

# IDH mutation is paradoxically associated with higher 18F-FDOPA PET uptake in diffuse grade II and grade III gliomas

A. Verger, Ph. Metellus, Q. Sala, C. Colin, E. Bialecki, D. Taieb, O. Chinot, D. Figarella-Branger, Eric Guedj

#### ▶ To cite this version:

A. Verger, Ph. Metellus, Q. Sala, C. Colin, E. Bialecki, et al.. IDH mutation is paradoxically associated with higher 18F-FDOPA PET uptake in diffuse grade II and grade III gliomas. European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, 2017, 44 (8), pp.1306-1311. 10.1007/s00259-017-3668-6. hal-02479845

HAL Id: hal-02479845

https://hal.science/hal-02479845

Submitted on 6 Apr 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Click here to view linked References

# IDH mutation is paradoxically associated with higher <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa PET uptake in diffuse grade II and grade III gliomas

Verger A. MD, PhD<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>; Metellus Ph. MD, PhD<sup>4, 5</sup>; Sala Q. MD<sup>1</sup>; Colin C. PhD<sup>5;</sup> Bialecki E.4; Taieb D. MD, PhD<sup>1, 6</sup>; Chinot O. MD, PhD<sup>5,7</sup>; Figarella-Branger D. MD, PhD<sup>5, 8</sup>; Guedi E. MD, PhD 1, 6, 9

# **Original Article**

Word count: 2358

#### Address for correspondence:

Eric Guedi, M.D., Ph.D.

eric.guedj@ap-hm.fr

Service Central de Biophysique et Médecine Nucléaire, Hôpital de la Timone, 264 rue Saint Pierre, 13005 Marseille, France.

Tel: +33-491385558; Fax: +33-491384769

Running-title: 18F-FDopa PET uptake and IDH mutation status in gliomas

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> APHM, La Timone Hospital, Department of Nuclear Medicine, Marseille, France;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Nuclear Medicine & Nancyclotep Imaging platform, CHRU Nancy, Lorraine University, Nancy, France;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> IADI, INSERM, UMR 947, Lorraine University, Nancy, France;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, Centre Hospitalier Privé Clairval, France;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Aix-Marseille Univ, INSERM, UMR 911, Marseille, France;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Aix-Marseille Univ, CERIMED, Marseille, France;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>APHM, La Timone Hospital, Department of Neuro-oncology, Marseille, France.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> APHM, La Timone Hospital, Department of Anatomopathology, Marseille, France;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Aix-Marseille Univ, Institut de Neurosciences de la Timone, CNRS, UMR 7289, Marseille, France;

# **Abstract**

Purpose: The World Health Organization (WHO) has recently updated Central Nervous System (CNS) classification by integrating diagnostic and prognostic molecular parameters, giving pivotal attention to *IDH* mutation as a favorable factor. Amino-acid PET is increasingly used in the management of gliomas, with however debated impact concerning the prognostic value. This study aims to assess relationship between *IDH* mutation and <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa PET uptake in newly diagnosed gliomas.

Methods: Forty-three patients, presenting with diffuse astrocytic and oligodendroglial tumors grade II and III gliomas and reclassified according to the 2016 WHO classification of tumors of the CNS, were retrospectively included. They all had <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa PET at initial stage, before surgery and histological diagnosis. <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa uptake values were compared between patients with and without *IDH* mutation using SUV (Standard Uptake Value) max ratios of T/N (Tumor/Normal contralateral brain) and T/S (Tumor/Striatum).

Results: Patients with *IDH* mutation exhibited higher uptake of  $^{18}$ F-FDopa in SUVmax ratios of T/N (1.6 vs. 1.2) and T/S (0.9 vs. 0.6), in comparison to patients without *IDH* mutation (p<0.05).

Conclusions: This study reveals a higher paradoxical <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa uptake in diffuse grade II and III gliomas with *IDH* mutation. Despite evident interest in the management of gliomas, and especially for post-therapeutic evaluation, our findings thus question the prognostic value of <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa PET uptake in this group of patients. This may be related to differences in aminoacid integration, metabolism, or cell differentiation.

 $\textbf{Keywords}: \ ^{18}\text{F-FDopa PET, IDH mutation, gliomas, prognosis}.$ 

# Introduction

Positron Emission Tomography (PET) is widely used in the medical management of gliomas [1]. At initial stage of the diagnosis, and before the revision World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System (2016 CNS WHO) [2], many neuroimaging studies have investigated the PET value to predict glioma grade, and possibly identify, for a same histological grade, metabolic profiles of distinct prognosis. In this line, FDG has shown to provide additional prognostic information in patients with high-grade gliomas [3]. However, due to a weak tumor contrast, FDG PET is less efficient than aminoacid PET for initial assessment of brain tumors, and especially for low-grade gliomas [1]. In this context, amino-acid PET tracers have become a well-established tool [4]. Among these tracers, <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa is the most available amino-acid radiotracer in Europe, and particularly in France, despite possible less validation in literature [4]. Briefly, <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa, is mainly integrated in cells by L-Amino-acid Transporter 1 (LAT 1), which is overexpressed in gliomas [5]. <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa PET allows to distinguish glioblastoma from other gliomas but its contribution to prognosis remains debated inside a same grade, particularly to stratify newly diagnosed low-grade gliomas [4,6,7]. Up to now, only two studies have reported a prognostic value of 18F-FDopa uptake in low-grade gliomas for disease progression [6,7]. Nevertheless, the relationship with molecular parameters was not evaluated; the first one did not provide semi-quantitative ratios [6], and the second one involved a weak number of patients (n=12) [7].

The 2016 CNS WHO has indeed recently updated gliomas classification with the introduction of molecular parameters including *IDH* (isocitrate dehydrogenase enzyme isoform) mutation, a key-factor of good-prognosis, in order to better define entities and subsequent prognosis [2]. The *IDH* mutation is associated with a better prognosis in glioma cells regardless of

histological parameters [8], and leads to a longer survival in patients with low-grade-gliomas [9].

The aim of our study was to compare <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa PET uptake to *IDH* mutation status in newly diagnosed grade II and III gliomas that were retrospectively reclassified according to the 2016 WHO classification of CNS tumors.

# **Material and Methods**

#### **Patients**

From February 2008 to August 2015, 71 patients had an <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa PET for brain lesion assessments at Timone Hospital (Marseille, France). Among them, we have retrospectively selected patients initially classified according to the 2007 WHO classification into astrocytoma, oligoastrocytoma or oligodendroglioma, for whom <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa PET was performed at an initial stage, before any treatment [10]. Patients with glioblastoma were excluded, because of weak number of cases (n=2). All the tumors were reclassified according to the 2016 WHO classification [2]. All patients included received oral information and gave their consent. The mean delay between <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa PET and histopathological and molecular diagnosis was 30.7 (±64.2) days.

# <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa PET

PET-CT were performed before histological diagnosis with a Discovery ST (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI). Routinely PET protocols were planned with a 110 MBq of <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa injection and a 15-minute static acquisition started at 15 minutes. No carbidopa was administered before radiotracer injection. Reconstruction image parameters were iterative OSEM 3D with 5 iterations and 32 subsets. After a first-step of visual examination, image analysis was only based on SUVmax ratios of T/N (Tumor/ Normal contralateral brain) and T/S (Tumor/ Striatum) as recommended for interpretation [11,12,4]. A two-dimensional Region-of-Interest (ROI) of 10 mm in diameter was placed on the major uptake of the tumor, with association to T1-weighted-gadolinium-enhanced MRI or T2-weighted-MRI when no

<sup>18</sup>F-FDopa uptake was visualized. Contralateral normal brain and striatum ROIs were defined to calculate SUVmax ratios.

#### Histopathological and molecular analysis

In order to reclassify the glioma cohort according to the 2016 WHO classification, we searched for *IDH* mutation and 1p/19q codeletion. For *IDH* mutation status, we first searched for *IDH*1<sup>R132H</sup> protein expression by immunohistochemistry (Dianova, H09). In case of lack of immunostaining, we performed *IDH1* and *IDH2* direct sequencing using the Sanger method as previously described [13]. 1p/19q codeletion status was analyzed by FISH (fluorescent *in situ* hybridization) [13]. At last ATRX (alpha-thalassemia/mental retardation syndrome X-linked) (Sigma, polyclonal) and Ki67 (cell marker for proliferation) (Dako, Mib1) nuclear expression was searched for by immunostaining.

Automated immunostaining was performed on 4-µm-thick FFPE (formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded) sections with an avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex on Bechmark XT (Ventana Medical System Inc, Tucson AZ, USA) using the Ventana kit including DAB (3,3-diaminobenzidine) reagent.

# Statistical analysis

Quantitative variables are expressed as means  $\pm$  standard deviations, and categorical variables as percentages. Student T-test was performed for means comparison of quantitative variables, with adjustment in case of unequal variances determined by Levene's Test [14] (means comparison between the two ratios and *IDH* status, and between T/S ratio and tumor grade)

and Chi-square tests for comparison between two categorical variables. Spearman coefficients were used for correlations. A p-value <0.05 was determined as significant.

# **Results**

Patient characteristics and follow up

The final constituted population was of 43 patients. They included 23 men and 20 women, with an age at 41.9±12.7 years. *IDH*-mutant and *IDH*-wild-type subgroups differed for age, as well for 1p/19q and ATRX status (Table 1).

Molecular analysis and integrated diagnosis

*IDH* mutation was recorded in 34 cases (79%) including 32 *IDH*1<sup>R132H</sup> and one *IDH*1<sup>R132C</sup> and one *IDH*1<sup>R132G</sup>. Among *IDH*-mutated tumors 12 were associated with a 1p/19q codeletion and 16 with nuclear ATRX loss of expression. As previously reported [15], 1p/19q codeletion and ATRX loss of expression were mutually exclusive. The remaining cases (9) displayed neither *IDH* mutation, nor 1p/19q codeletion. Therefore, according to histopathological criteria and molecular data we were able to classify our cohort according to the 2016 WHO classification.

The present study includes: 19 diffuse astrocytomas, *IDH*-mutant, 3 anaplastic astrocytomas, *IDH*-mutant, 9 oligodendrogliomas, *IDH*-mutant, 1p/19q-co-deleted, 3 anaplastic oligodendrogliomas, *IDH*-mutant, 1p/19q-co-deleted, 2 diffuse astrocytomas, *IDH*-wild type and 7 anaplastic astrocytomas, *IDH*-wild type. On the whole, 13 patients had anaplastic gliomas while 30 not.

Relationship between <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa PET uptake and IDH mutation

Patients with *IDH* mutation exhibited higher uptake of  $^{18}$ F-FDopa SUVmax ratios of T/N (1.6 vs. 1.2; p = 0.046) and T/S (0.9 vs. 0.6; p = 0.024), in comparison to patients without *IDH* mutation. An illustrative case is shown in Figure 1.

Relationship between <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa PET uptake and other histopathological and molecular parameters

Concerning histological parameters, significant differences were observed in <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa PET uptake between non-anaplastic and anaplastic gliomas with a 2.0 T/N ratio in anaplastic gliomas vs. a 1.4 T/N ratio in non-anaplastic gliomas (p=0.02; 1.1 vs. 0.8 for T/S ratio, p=0.20).

Concerning molecular parameters, a significant positive correlation between <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa PET uptake and Ki-67 was noticed with a 0.37 Spearman coefficient correlation for T/N ratio and 0.33 for T/S (p=0.02). No statistical differences in <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa uptake was found for the presence/absence of 1p/19q codeletion (1.8 vs. 1.4 for T/N ratio, p=0.06; 1.0 vs 0.8 for T/S, p=0.12) or ATRX loss of expression (1.4 vs. 1.5 for T/N ratio, p=0.70; 0.8 vs 0.9 for T/S, p=0.46).

A summary of main significant results is available in Figure 2.

# **Discussion**

This study reveals that newly diagnosed gliomas with *IDH* mutation, in particular setting of non-glioblastoma gliomas, paradoxically have higher <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa uptake than those without *IDH* mutation, despite expected better prognosis [2]. This result is obtained for both SUVmax ratios. Explanations have certainly to be searched in biological characteristics of <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa uptake and those of *IDH*-mutant tumor cells.

The first hypothesis could be linked to the biological properties conferred by *IDH* mutation to gliomas. The *IDH* mutation in fact leads to a decrease of amino-acid metabolism, including tyrosine, the dopamine precursor of <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa [10]; consequently, an elevation of free amino-acids is expected in mutant cells, and possibly of <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa. According to this statement, amino-acid tracer, in particular <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa uptake, should be enhanced in gliomas with *IDH* mutation, despite better prognosis. Indeed, *IDH* mutation induces the ability to catalyze NADPH-dependent reduction of α-keto-glutarate (α-KG) to 2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG). It leads thus to an excess of 2-HG in the cell causing the development a of alternative molecular pathways [13]. In this line, Reitman et al. studied effects of *IDH* mutation in the cellular metabolome [16]. They showed that *IDH* mutation confers changes in metabolic pathways including elevation of free amino-acids, and particularly of tyrosine. With this elevation of amino-acids, the exchange with <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa through amino-acid transporter would be enhanced, and explain its PET accumulation. In this line, findings could be shared with <sup>18</sup>F-Fluoro-Ethyl-Tyrosine (<sup>18</sup>F-FET).

In the second hypothesis, *IDH* mutation could rather confer a better differentiation to gliomas, explaining the <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa uptake enhancement. In a study of metabolic flows, Grassian et al. showed that cells with *IDH* mutation exhibited non-significant difference with non-mutant cells in context of normoxic conditions, which is a condition shared by glioma in absence of

necrosis. However, it is noteworthy that in hypoxic conditions, these mutant cells increased oxidative tricarboxylic acid metabolism along with decreased reductive glutamine metabolism [17], leading to a diminution of cellular growth and thus explain a better prognosis. This better prognosis should partly be linked to a better cell differentiation, since <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa uptake has been associated with tumor differentiation [18].

The third hypothesis would be related to an enhanced <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa cell integration through mainly LAT 1, which could be also shared by others amino-acid tracers, LAT 1 being the main transport mechanism of them [5].

To our knowledge, the only one amino-acid tracer PET previous study aiming to compare radiotracer uptake to *IDH* mutation, found a tendency to higher <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET uptake, as measured by SUVmax ratio of T/N [19]. Nevertheless, 21.5 % of <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET scans were negative, without any uptake. Moreover, the study included only non-anaplastic gliomas. On the other hand, *IDH* mutation has been also evaluated with FDG PET, and no association was reported, certainly because of the well-known limitations of this tracer for tumor contrast, especially for low-grade gliomas [13].

These findings question the prognosis value of <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa PET uptake in particular setting of newly diagnosed non-glioblastoma gliomas, but of course do not question its value for diagnosis and follow-up [1]. Future studies involving dynamic acquisitions equivalent to those related to FET-PET [20] could however modify this statement and further investigate <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa uptake by differentiating integration and metabolism steps.

Concerning the other studied histopathological and molecular parameters, results are in accordance with previous reports. Patients presented *IDH* mutation were significantly younger than those without mutation [13] and presented a 1p/19q codeletion [13] and a loss of ATRX mutation [21]. Moreover, as previously reported [22], all 1p/19q codeleted tumors were *IDH*-

mutated. We confirm significant correlations between anaplastic status and <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa PET uptake using SUVmax ratio of T/N [4]. Moreover, we found significant associations between Ki-67 expression, a marker of cellular proliferation, and <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa PET uptake as previously reported [1].

Otherwise, no other molecular associations with <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa uptake was noticed, excluding potential molecular interference in our results, especially for 1p/19q codeletion, or ATRX loss of expression, highly intertwined with *IDH* mutation [2,13,21].

Our study has several limitations. In addition to its retrospective design, these findings will need to be compared to survival after a more prolonged follow-up. Secondly, glioblastomas were here excluded, not allowing thus to extend these findings to all types of gliomas. Finally, the PET methodology could be discussed since a two dimension ROI was done instead of a Volume of Interest, and since no carbidopa was administered before radiotracer injection as recommended [23]. Nonetheless, the two-dimension ROI was done on the maximum tumor uptake, and the absence of carbidopa administration could unlikely influence results since all PET data were acquired using the same procedure.

On the whole, our study reveals a higher paradoxically <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa uptake in newly diagnosed non-glioblastoma gliomas with *IDH* mutation, which is a key-factor of good-prognosis in the 2016 WHO classification [2]. This discrepancy may be related to differences in amino-acid integration, metabolism, or cell differentiation. Despite evident interest in the management of gliomas, and especially for post-therapeutic evaluation, our findings thus question the prognostic value of <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa PET uptake in this group of patients. Investigations with different amino-acid PET tracers should be performed to determine if these findings could be extrapolating to others radiotracers, also using larger studies and prolonged follow-up.

# **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

<u>Funding:</u> This work has been carried out thanks to the support of the A\*MIDEX project (n° ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02) funded by the « Investissements d'Avenir » French Government program, managed by the French National Research Agency (ANR) and INCa-DGOS-Inserm 6038 Grant (SIRIC Marseille Glioma program)

<u>Conflict of Interest:</u> The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Tumor specimens were retrieved from the AP-HM tissue bank AC 2013-1786.

<u>Informed consent</u>: Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

# References

- 1. Albert NL, Weller M, Suchorska B, Galldiks N, Soffietti R, Kim MM, et al. Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology working group and European Association for Neuro-Oncology recommendations for the clinical use of PET imaging in gliomas. Neuro-Oncol. 2016;18:1199–208.
- 2. Louis DN, Perry A, Reifenberger G, von Deimling A, Figarella-Branger D, Cavenee WK, et al. The 2016 World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. Acta Neuropathol. (Berl.). 2016;131:803–20.
- 3. Colavolpe C, Metellus P, Mancini J, Barrie M, Béquet-Boucard C, Figarella-Branger D, et al. Independent prognostic value of pre-treatment 18-FDG-PET in high-grade gliomas. J. Neurooncol. 2012;107:527–35.
- 4. Janvier L, Olivier P, Blonski M, Morel O, Vignaud J-M, Karcher G, et al. Correlation of SUV-Derived Indices With Tumoral Aggressiveness of Gliomas in Static 18F-FDOPA PET: Use in Clinical Practice. Clin. Nucl. Med. 2015;40:e429-435.
- 5. Juhász C, Dwivedi S, Kamson DO, Michelhaugh SK, Mittal S. Comparison of amino acid positron emission tomographic radiotracers for molecular imaging of primary and metastatic brain tumors. Mol. Imaging. 2014;13.
- 6. Villani V, Carapella CM, Chiaravalloti A, Terrenato I, Piludu F, Vidiri A, et al. The Role of PET [18F]FDOPA in Evaluating Low-grade Glioma. Anticancer Res. 2015;35:5117–22.
- 7. Rossi Espagnet MC, Romano A, Mancuso V, Cicone F, Napolitano A, Scaringi C, et al. Multiparametric evaluation of low grade gliomas at follow-up: comparison between diffusion and perfusion MR with (18)F-FDOPA PET. Br. J. Radiol. 2016;89:20160476.
- 8. Cheng W, Ren X, Zhang C, Cai J, Han S, Wu A. Gene Expression Profiling Stratifies IDH1-Mutant Glioma with Distinct Prognoses. Mol. Neurobiol. 2016;
- 9. Zeng A, Hu Q, Liu Y, Wang Z, Cui X, Li R, et al. IDH1/2 mutation status combined with Ki-67 labeling index defines distinct prognostic groups in glioma. Oncotarget. 2015;6:30232–8.
- 10. Louis DN, Ohgaki H, Wiestler OD, Cavenee WK, Burger PC, Jouvet A, et al. The 2007 WHO classification of tumours of the central nervous system. Acta Neuropathol. (Berl.). 2007;114:97–109.
- 11. Chen W, Silverman DHS, Delaloye S, Czernin J, Kamdar N, Pope W, et al. 18F-FDOPA PET imaging of brain tumors: comparison study with 18F-FDG PET and evaluation of diagnostic accuracy. J. Nucl. Med. Off. Publ. Soc. Nucl. Med. 2006;47:904–11.
- 12. Fueger BJ, Czernin J, Cloughesy T, Silverman DH, Geist CL, Walter MA, et al. Correlation of 6-18F-fluoro-L-dopa PET uptake with proliferation and tumor grade in newly diagnosed and recurrent gliomas. J. Nucl. Med. Off. Publ. Soc. Nucl. Med. 2010;51:1532–8.

- 13. Metellus P, Colin C, Taieb D, Guedj E, Nanni-Metellus I, de Paula AM, et al. IDH mutation status impact on in vivo hypoxia biomarkers expression: new insights from a clinical, nuclear imaging and immunohistochemical study in 33 glioma patients. J. Neurooncol. 2011;105:591–600.
- 14. Hines WG, Hines RJ. Increased power with modified forms of the Levene (Med) test for heterogeneity of variance. Biometrics. 2000;56:451–4.
- 15. Tabouret E, Nguyen AT, Dehais C, Carpentier C, Ducray F, Idbaih A, et al. Prognostic impact of the 2016 WHO classification of diffuse gliomas in the French POLA cohort. Acta Neuropathol. (Berl.). 2016;132:625–34.
- 16. Reitman ZJ, Jin G, Karoly ED, Spasojevic I, Yang J, Kinzler KW, et al. Profiling the effects of isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 and 2 mutations on the cellular metabolome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2011;108:3270–5.
- 17. Grassian AR, Parker SJ, Davidson SM, Divakaruni AS, Green CR, Zhang X, et al. IDH1 mutations alter citric acid cycle metabolism and increase dependence on oxidative mitochondrial metabolism. Cancer Res. 2014;74:3317–31.
- 18. Jacob T, Grahek D, Younsi N, Kerrou K, Aide N, Montravers F, et al. Positron emission tomography with [(18)F]FDOPA and [(18)F]FDG in the imaging of small cell lung carcinoma: preliminary results. Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging. 2003;30:1266–9.
- 19. Bette S, Gempt J, Delbridge C, Kirschke JS, Schlegel J, Foerster S, et al. Prognostic Value of O-(2-[18F]-Fluoroethyl)-L-Tyrosine-Positron Emission Tomography Imaging for Histopathologic Characteristics and Progression-Free Survival in Patients with Low-Grade Glioma. World Neurosurg. 2016;89:230–9.
- 20. Jansen NL, Suchorska B, Wenter V, Eigenbrod S, Schmid-Tannwald C, Zwergal A, et al. Dynamic 18F-FET PET in newly diagnosed astrocytic low-grade glioma identifies high-risk patients. J. Nucl. Med. Off. Publ. Soc. Nucl. Med. 2014;55:198–203.
- 21. Wiestler B, Capper D, Holland-Letz T, Korshunov A, von Deimling A, Pfister SM, et al. ATRX loss refines the classification of anaplastic gliomas and identifies a subgroup of IDH mutant astrocytic tumors with better prognosis. Acta Neuropathol. (Berl.). 2013;126:443–51.
- 22. Labussière M, Idbaih A, Wang X-W, Marie Y, Boisselier B, Falet C, et al. All the 1p19q codeleted gliomas are mutated on IDH1 or IDH2. Neurology. 2010;74:1886–90.
- 23. Darcourt J, Schiazza A, Sapin N, Dufour M, Ouvrier MJ, Benisvy D, et al. 18F-FDOPA PET for the diagnosis of parkinsonian syndromes. Q. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging Off. Publ. Ital. Assoc. Nucl. Med. AIMN Int. Assoc. Radiopharmacol. IAR Sect. Soc. Of. 2014;58:355–65.

Table 1. Clinical, histopathological and molecular characteristics of included patients

| 5<br>6<br>7   | IDH-mutant (n=34) | <i>IDH</i> -wild-type (n=9) | p value* |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| 9   |                   |                             |          |
| 10<br>Age (years)                                   | 38.8 (SD=11.3)    | 53.3 (SD=11.7)              | 0.01*    |
| 12<br><b>Gender (female)</b><br>14                  | 15 (44%)          | 5 (56%)                     | 0.54     |
| Delay between PET and histological diagnosis (days) | 25.3 (SD=65.9)    | 51.1 (SD=55.7)              | 0.29     |
| Anaplastic gliomas                                  | 6 (18%)           | 2 (22%)                     | 0.75     |
| 19<br><b>Kži:67 (%)</b>                             | 6.3 (SD=4.7)      | 4.8 (SD=5.9)                | 0.41     |
| 21<br>1p/19q codeletion<br>23                       | 12/34 (35%)       | 0/9 (0%)                    | 0.04*    |
| AFRX loss of expression                             | 4/20 (20%)        | 4/4 (100%)                  | 0.01*    |

<sup>\*</sup>p value for difference between IDH-mutant and IDH-wild-type subgroups

# **Figure Legend**

**Figure 1.** Axial slices of T2-weighted-MRI (left panel) and <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa PET (right panel). For both astrocytomas, they appear hyperintense in T2-weighted-MRI (white arrows) whereas no contrast was observed in T1-weighted-gadolinium-enhanced MRI. In panel A, no significant <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa uptake (ratio T/N=1) is observed within the astrocytoma (white arrow), in a patient with a right temporal diffuse astrocytoma glioma *IDH*-wild-type. In panel B, significant <sup>18</sup>F-FDopa uptake (ratio T/N=1.4) is noted within the astrocytoma (white arrow), in a patient with a left fronto-insular diffuse astrocytoma glioma *IDH*-mutant. *T/N: Tumor/Normal contralateral brain* 

Figure 2. Summary of main significant results. Box-plots of distribution of SUVmax ratios for IDH-wildtype and -mutant gliomas in A, and for grade II and III gliomas in B (bars represent minimum and maximum values, except for outliners illustrating by rings). Scatter-plots of correlation between SUVmax ratios and Ki-67 index (in %) in C.



