## L19.P.17

## Effect of species, season and management practice on the nutritive value of fodder tree leaves

Mahieu S.<sup>1</sup> (stephanie.mahieu@inra.fr), Emile J. C.<sup>1</sup>, Barre P.<sup>2</sup>, Delagarde R.<sup>3</sup>, Novak S.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>FERLus, INRA, 86600 Lusignan, France; <sup>2</sup>UR4, URP3F, INRA, 86600 Lusignan, France; <sup>3</sup>Pegase, INRA-Agrocampus Ouest, 35590 Saint Gilles, France

A better understanding of tree pruning and pollarding on the fodder quality of woody leaves may be helpful for the conception and the management of livestock agroforestry systems (Dufour *et al.*, 2018; Emile *et al.*, 2017). In this study we compared the nutritive value of pollard and high stem tree leaves across seasons in three species (common ash, white mulberry and paulownia). Leaves were collected on high stem and winter pollard trees grown on the same site. All samples were analysed for 1/ ash, crude protein (CP), and fibre (NDF) contents, and 2/ enzymatic digestibility (Aufrère, 1982, adapted to the DAISY Incubator). The three-way ANOVA shows a significant effect of species, season and management on all the variables. Common ash and white mulberry exhibit a better fodder quality than Paulownia: higher leaves CP content, digestibility and lower NDF content. Leaves ash content was 50% and 30% higher for white mulberry than for common ash and paulownia, respectively. There was a strong interaction species by management for all variables but particularly high for CP and ash content. Leaves CP content decreased from June to October (146 to 131 g kg-1 DM). In conclusion, the three species have a sufficient digestibility and CP content to be including in the diet of ruminants whatever the season and the tree management.

			Dry matter (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )		Crude protein (g kg <sup>-1</sup> DM)		NDF (g kg <sup>-1</sup> DM)		Enzymatic digestibility (%)		Ash (g kg <sup>-1</sup> DM)		
		n	H.st.	Pollard	H.st.	Pollard	H.st.	Pollard	H.st.	Pollard	H.st.	Pollard	
Common	June	7	299	278	167	197	366	382	73.0	72.4	75	58	
ash	August	7	400	359	138	184	352	375	70.8	70.2	88	82	
	October	7	402	391	118	147	300	319	73.8	73.3	92	82	
White	June	3	225	268	219	153	258	242	84.6	90.1	123	123	
mulberry	August	3	322	324	151	121	266	259	83.2	84.3	156	191	
	October	3	346	373	164	114	257	286	82.6	82.3	164	196	
Paulownia	June	3	283	201	152	196	481	450	57.7	69.3	80	101	
	August	3	267	228	134	149	483	469	53.5	61.6	88	113	
	October	3	318	260	127	117	440	448	57.8	60.6	81	116	
LSD	LSD		10.8		6.9		9.0		13.8		4.9		
Three-way	ANOVA												
Mgt		DF=1	F= 9.3**		F=6.5*		F=1.9		F=4.6*		F=2.8+		
Sp.		2	62.1***		2.7*		275.7***		173.8***		199.9***		
Season		2	65.6***		42.3***		15.4***		4.9"		27.4***		
Sp. x Mgt		2	8.3***		28.5***		2.3+		6.7**		15.3***		
Mgt x Season		2	0.5		1.6		1.1		1.1		2.2		
Sp. x Season		4	4.5**		1.7		6.5***		1.7		5.9***		
Sp. x Mgt x Season		4		0.8		1.7		0.5		0.8		0.7	
Sp : species	: Mot : ma	nagemer	nt:H.st.	: High stem:	+P<0.10	"P<0.05. ""P	<0.01. ""P	<0.001: LSD	) : Least si	onificant diffe	rence		

Table 1 : Effect of tree species, season and management (pollard vs high stem) on leaves dry matter, crude protein, neutral detergent fibre (NDF) and ash content and enzymatic digestibility.

Keywords: nutritive value, fodder trees, pollard trees, agroforestry, ruminants.

References:

- 1. Aufrère J., 1982 Annales de Zootechnie 31, 111-130
- 2. Dufour et al., In : Proceedings of the 4th European Agroforestry Conference, Agroforestry as Sustain
- 3. Emile et al., 2017 Fourrages (230), 155-160