

# **A valuable experimental setup to model exposure to Legionella's aerosols generated by shower-like systems**

Séverine Allegra, Serge Riffard, Lara Leclerc, Françoise Girardot, Magalie Stauffert, Valérie Forest, Jérémie Pourchez

# **To cite this version:**

Séverine Allegra, Serge Riffard, Lara Leclerc, Françoise Girardot, Magalie Stauffert, et al.. A valuable experimental setup to model exposure to Legionella's aerosols generated by shower-like systems. Water Research, 2020, 10.1016/j.watres.2020.115496. hal-02468422

# **HAL Id: hal-02468422 <https://hal.science/hal-02468422v1>**

Submitted on 5 Feb 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



#### **Abstract**

 The mechanism underlying *Legionella* aerosolization and entry into the respiratory tract remains poorly documented. In previous studies, we characterized the aerodynamic behaviour of *Legionella* aerosols and assessed their regional deposition within the respiratory tract using a human-like anatomical model. The aim of this study was to assess whether this experimental setup could mimic the exposure to bioaerosols generated by showers. To achieve this objective we performed experiments to measure the mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) as well as the emitted dose and the physiological state of the airborne bacteria generated by a shower and two nebulizers (vibrating-mesh and jet nebulizers). The MMADs of the dispersed bioaerosols were characterized using a 12-stage cascade low-pressure impactor. The amount of dispersed airborne bacteria from a shower was quantified using a Coriolis® Delta air sampler and compared to the airborne bacteria reaching the thoracic region in the experimental setup. The physiological state and concentration of airborne *Legionella* were assessed by qPCR for total cells, culture for viable and cultivable *Legionella* (VC), and flow cytometry for viable but non- cultivable *Legionella* (VBNC). In summary, the experimental setup developed appears to mimic the bioaerosol emission of a shower in terms of aerodynamic size distribution. Compared to the specific case of a shower used as a reference in this study, the experimental setup developed underestimates by 2 times (when the jet nebulizer is used) or overestimates by 43 times (when the vibrating-mesh nebulizer is used) the total emitted dose of airborne bacteria. To our knowledge, this report is the first showing that an experimental model mimics so closely an exposure to *Legionella* aerosols produced by showers to assess human lung deposition and infection in well-controlled and safe conditions.

**Keywords:** *Legionella*; bioaerosols; shower systems; exposure.

# **Introduction**

 Members of the genus *Legionella* (Gram negative bacilli) are ubiquitous in natural and anthropogenic aquatic ecosystems. These bacteria are responsible for severe pneumonia, which may be fatal in 30% of cases when considering nosocomial infections. *L. pneumophila* is, by far, the most frequent species associated with Legionnaires' disease (LD). *Legionella* is now the number one cause of reported waterborne disease in the United States. The latest epidemiological data showed an increase in LD worldwide (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018; InVS, 2018) with the identification of new sources of contamination by *Legionella* aerosol dispersion (*i.e.,* car washing stations (Baldovin et al., 2018), street cleaning trucks (Valero et al., 2017), aerosols from biologic wastewater treatment plants (Loenenbach et al., 2018), reclaimed water used for spray irrigation (Pepper and Gerba, 2018), etc.). To assess the risk of infection of LD, researchers have used the quantitative microbial risk assessment (QMRA) method to provide models for aerosol dispersion (Thomas W. Armstrong and Haas, 2007; T. W. Armstrong and Haas, 2007; Buse et al., 2012; Hamilton and Haas, 2016; Schoen and Ashbolt, 2011). However, these risk models are only based (i) on the fluidic characteristics of water systems that could generate bioaerosols and (ii) on the infectious doses extrapolated to humans from animal experiments using inhalation, intraperitoneal injections or tracheal instillation for infection of the animal model.

 In previous works, we used a medical nebulization device to generate *Legionella* aerosols. Thus, we extensively characterized the bioaerosols produced by a vibrating-mesh nebulizer in terms of aerodynamic features and airborne *Legionella* emitted dose (Allegra et al. 2016). We also experimentally assessed the deposition of these bacteria in the pulmonary region using a 3D replica of the upper airways (Pourchez et al., 2017) and using an *ex vivo* ventilated porcine lung as an innovative human-like respiratory model (Perinel et al., 2018). We showed that the

 developed experimental setup can be used to mimic bacterial inhalation by an anatomical model of the respiratory tract to assess the *Legionella* dose reaching the thoracic region for a given bioaerosol source. Therefore, an interesting further step would consist of demonstrating that nebulizers can be used to satisfactorily mimic bioaerosol exposure during showering events. Indeed, if cooling towers are the most significant sources of *Legionella* outbreaks at a community level, spa pools and showers from public facilities are the most significant sources of *Legionella* nosocomial outbreaks (K. A. Hamilton et al., 2018).

 For this purpose, we compared (in terms of aerodynamic size distribution and bacteria concentration) two different technologies of bioaerosol generation: a vibrating-mesh nebulization system and a jet nebulizer. The jet nebulizer has been in continuous development since medicinal aerosol delivery started in the 19th century. Today, the majority of jet nebulizers are inexpensive devices operated via compressed gas. The gas passes through a small aperture in 84 the nebulizer to collect and atomize the liquid. The aerosol generated by atomization contains 85 large and small droplets and is driven to a baffle. Large droplets are impacted by the baffle, while small droplets are transported out of the nebulizer. In contrast, the vibrating-mesh nebulization system is a recent technology for aerosol generation using an annular piezo element to produce 88 mesh vibration to push the liquid through the mesh. Holes in the mesh have a conical structure, with the largest cross-section of the cone in contact with the liquid. The mesh deforms into the liquid side, thus pumping and loading the holes with liquid. This deformation on the other side of the liquid-drug reservoir ejects droplets through the holes. We hypothesize that with these two technologies, our experimental setup will be able to reproduce many different shower-like systems on the market place.

 For adaptation of our previous model to showering facilities, the original replica of the human upper airways (El Merhie et al., 2015; Leclerc et al., 2014) was placed in an experimental sealed enclosure mimicking a shower cubicle and connected to a filter mimicking the thoracic region

 (Perinel et al., 2018; Pourchez et al., 2017). A respiratory pump was used to fit the breathing parameters corresponding to adult male physiology at rest: breathing rate of 15 breaths per minute and tidal volume of 500 mL (Gradon and Marijnissen, 2003; NF EN 13544-1, 2002). The results obtained using this experimental setup were compared with the MMAD and the emitted dose of bioaerosols collected by the Coriolis® Delta air sampler in the lab's shower that is routinely used by the staff. The lab shower will be our reference in this work and hereafter referred to the "real" shower.

# **Materials and methods**

# Description of the "real" shower experiments used as a reference

 The shower analysed in this study is located on the ground floor of the building of the Center for Health Engineering of Mines Saint-Etienne (CIS, Saint-Etienne, France). This shower is regularly used by the academic staff and postgraduate students at lunchtime after sporting activities.

 Three independent experiments were carried out. The concentration of bacteria in the water network was determined by sampling 1 litre of water from the first flow and 1 litre during a thirty-minute showering event respectively at 10, 20 and 30 minutes. The quantity of bioaerosols dispersed during 10, 20 and 30 minutes was measured by the Coriolis® Delta air sampler (Bertin 114 Instruments, France) at a flow rate of 300 L.min<sup>-1</sup> in a Coriolis collection tube containing 5 mL of physiological sterile water. The water network samples (1 L) and the Coriolis® Delta collections (5 mL) were concentrated on a polycarbonate membrane by filtration. DNA from each polycarbonate membrane was extracted with the Power Water DNA extraction kit (MoBio). The total number of bacteria (in the water network and in bioaerosols) was quantified by qPCR targeting the 16S RNA gene (see qPCR section).

#### Description of the experimental setup to generate bioaerosol and to assess lung deposition

 A previously validated and original respiratory model was used in this study (Pourchez et al., 2017). The setup was composed of a human 3D replica corresponding to the entire nasal cavities (nasal fossae and frontal, ethmoid and maxillary sinuses) (El Merhie et al., 2015; Le Guellec et al., 2014). This replica was manufactured in transparent water-resistant and non-porous resin. Qualitative analyses with endoscopy and CT-scans were performed on the replica to assess the anatomical reproducibility (Durand et al., 2011). As shown in Figure 1, this human upper airway replica was placed in an exposition chamber allowing aerosol dispersion between 0.6 and 0.9 129 mL.min<sup>-1</sup> of the calibrated suspensions. This replica was linked to a pump (model 420-2902 – 230 VAC, 50 Hz, 0.6 Amp, 1 PH, 0.02 HP, Thermo Scientific) to inhale the aerosol suspended in the exposition chamber. The thoracic region (TR) was simulated by a filter holder (filter kit and filter pad, PARI, PulmoMed) with a polycarbonate membrane (Nuclepore™ track-etched membrane filter, Whatman, GE Healthcare) that allowed optimal bacterial recovery. To avoid any bacterial contamination of the pump, a HEPA filter (Pall filter BB50TE, Pall Medical) was placed after the thoracic filters. Five millilitres and 10 mL of the *Legionella* calibrated suspensions were nebulized in the respiratory model by a vibrating-mesh nebulizer or jet nebulizer, respectively. For safety reasons, the experimental setup was placed in a glove box (815-PGB "La petite glove box", Fisher Scientific). For quantification of the percentage of droplets reaching the filter holder, the 139 polycarbonate membrane was weighed  $(1 g = 1 mL)$  of water) before and after nebulization. After nebulization, the polycarbonate membrane was placed in 5 mL of physiological sterile water. After a 30 sec sonication (Bransonic 32 sonicator bath, Branson Instruments, Danbury, CT USA), the membrane was scraped using an automatic pipette tip. One millilitre was plated twice on adapted culture media to count the viable and culturable (VC) *Legionella*. One millilitre was placed in a tube for DNA extraction and qPCR analysis. One millilitre was placed in a flow cytometric tube for flow cytometry assays (FCAs).





 **Figure 1** Human-like shower experimental setup inside a glove box. This experimental setup mimics the dispersion and collection of *Legionella* aerosols under the breathing conditions of an adult male. TR: Thoracic region mimicked by a polycarbonate membrane inside a filter holder.

# *Legionella* strain, culture and flow cytometry for the nebulization process

 *L. pneumophila* serogroup 1 strain expressing green fluorescent protein (*Lp1 008-GFP*) was used. The strain was stored at -80°C in Cryobank tubes (Mast Diagnostic, Amiens, France). After thawing, *Lp1 008-GFP* was plated onto BCYE agar (Buffered Charcoal Yeast Extract, SR0110 C, Oxoid, France) supplemented with chloramphenicol (Sigma Aldrich, France) at 8 mg/mL (for GFP plasmid selection) for 72 h at 37°C. The samples were then re-plated onto the same media and incubated at 37°C for another 3 days to obtain *Legionella* EPF cells (Robertson et al., 2014). A suspension with an optical density of 0.2 at 600 nm (Biomate TM3, Avantec) was then used to achieve a 20 mL calibrated suspension (CS) in sterile normal saline (0.9% NaCl) solution at a 161 concentration between 2.0 x  $10^6$  and 2.0 x  $10^7$  CFU.mL<sup>-1</sup>.

 The culturability (determination of the number of viable and culturable *Legionella* - VC) was 163 assessed in duplicate by plating on BCYE agar, supplemented with chloramphenicol, 100 µL and 164 500 µL of the suspension retrieved from the polycarbonate membrane. After incubation for 72 h at 37°C, all the BCYE plates were observed under UV at 366 nm to quantify the *Legionella* colonies (CFU) expressing GFP.

 The physiological states of the airborne *Legionella* collected in the thoracic region (TR) were quantified by flow cytometry assays (FCAs) as previously described (Allegra et al., 2016, 2008). Briefly, the FCAs profiles of the samples were obtained by using a combination of GFP green fluorescence (viable cells expressing GFP) and propidium iodide (PI) red fluorescence for cells with damaged membranes. Flow cytometric measurements were performed using a CyFlow Cube6 instrument (Sysmex Partec) equipped with an air-cooled argon laser (488-nm emission; 20 mW). The green fluorescence emission from GFP was collected in the FL1 channel (500 to 560 nm), and the red fluorescence from PI was collected in the FL3 channel (670 nm). A threshold was applied onto the FL1 channel to eliminate background signals. Analyses were performed at a low-flow-rate setting. The results were analysed with FCS Express software (De Novo Software).

#### 178 Quantification by qPCR

 The total number of bacteria in the shower water network and in bioaerosols was quantified 180 using the 16S RNA gene as a target. Each reaction tube contained 5 µL of DNA extract, 2.5 µL of 181 each primer at 10 pmol/µL (5'-AGG GTT GAT AGG TTA AGA GC-3' and 5'-CCA ACA GCT AGT TGA 182 CAT CG-3') and 10 µL of the 2X Power SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Life Technologies). The standard curve was established using a mix of *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and the *L. pneumophila* sg1 strain isolated from water (strain collections of the teaching laboratory of Technical University Institute of Saint-Etienne). A suspension of an optical density of 0.2 at 600 nm (Biomate TM3, Avantec) was made for each bacterial strain. One  millilitre of each suspension was mixed and DNA was extracted from 1 mL of this latter suspension (Power Water DNA extraction kit - MoBio). 1:10 serial dilutions of this DNA were 189 prepared and 5 µL of each dilution were used as template to generate the standard curve. Meanwhile, the total number of bacteria in CFUs was determined by culture.

 The number of *Legionella* during the nebulization process was determined using the GFP mut2 sequence of the *Lp1 008-GFP* strain (template DNA 5 µL). The forward and reverse primers were 5'-AGAGTGCCATGCCCGAAGG -3' and 5'-AAGGACAGGGCCATCGCCAA-3', respectively (2.5 µL of 194 each primer at 10 pmol/µL). Ten microliters of the 2X Power SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Life Technologies) were used for each reaction. A standard curve was generated with *Lp1 008-GFP* 196 suspensions ranging from  $2.0x10^7$  to  $2.0x10^1$  CFU.mL<sup>-1</sup>. Plasmid DNA extraction from all samples was performed with a NuCleoSpin Plasmid kit (Macherey-Nagel) following the manufacturer's instructions.

 qPCR analyses were carried out on an ABI Prism 7500 automated system (Applied Biosystems), as follows: initial denaturation for 15 min and a two-step cycle consisting of 15 s denaturation, 1 201 min annealing and elongation at 60 °C. At the end of each elongation step, the fluorescence of the incorporated SYBR green dye was measured. At the end of 45 cycles of amplification, a melting curve programme was incorporated to identify primer dimers or other non-specific amplifications. Each sample was run in duplicate. The results were analysed using Sequence Detection Software version 1.4 (ABI 7500 System Software, Applied Biosystems).

 The results were expressed in genomic units (GU). When a comparison with cell counts was necessary, and to a better understanding, data was expressed in colonies forming units (CFUs) according to the standard curves.

#### Biological characterization and aerodynamic features of aerosols generated by a jet nebulizer

 We compared two different technologies used for bioaerosol generation: a vibrating-mesh 212 nebulization system and a jet nebulizer. A vibrating-mesh nebulizer, a medical device (eRapid® Nebulizer System by PARI, Cystic Fibrosis Services) used to aerosolize the bacterial suspensions, was linked to a small compressor and delivers aerosols with a vibrating membrane technology. 215 The jet nebulizer used was a Bio-Aerosol Nebulizing Generator (BANG, VitroCell®). This system is specifically designed for the generation of aqueous aerosols at a low air flow rate. Based on the 217 aerosolization principle of the Collison nebulizer, the design of the BANG has been modified to minimize foaming of protein solutions and thus increase the viability of microorganisms in bioaerosols while maximizing aerosol output.

 The aerodynamic features of the jet nebulizer were determined as previously described (Allegra et al., 2016). Briefly, the airborne particle size distribution was assessed using a 12-stage cascade 222 low pressure impactor (DLPI, Dekati, Finland). The size classification in DLPI was made from 30 nm up to 10 µm with evenly distributed impactor stages. Each stage of the cascade impactor was covered with a polycarbonate membrane (Nuclepore™ track-etched membrane filters, Whatman, GE Healthcare) to collect *Legionella* aerosols. After nebulization, each membrane was placed in 5 mL of physiological sterile water. After a 30 sec sonication (Bransonic 32 sonicator bath, Branson Instruments, Danbury, CT, USA), the bacterial suspensions of each stage were analysed by GFP mut2 qPCR. The mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) was calculated. The MMAD was defined as the median of the distribution of airborne particle mass with respect to the aerodynamic diameter.

**Results**

233 Quantification of the exposure to airborne bacteria during one minute in the shower as a reference

235 The technical features of the "real" shower are presented in Figure 2. As determined by qPCR, 236 the total bacteria concentration in the water network was  $3.3 \pm 1.0 \times 10^4$  bacteria. L<sup>-1</sup>. Assuming 237 that the aerosols are evenly distributed in the shower space, when extrapolating the numbers, 238 we found that the mean number of bacteria collected per minute by the Coriolis® Delta air 239 sampler was 8.6  $\pm$  3.2 x 10<sup>2</sup>. As the shower cubicle has a volume of 1.125 m<sup>3</sup>, the Coriolis<sup>®</sup> 240 system (300 L.min<sup>-1</sup>) can collect 26.7% of the air per minute. The actual number of bacteria per 241 minute in the shower cubicle was therefore 3.2 x 10<sup>3</sup>, and the number of aerosolized bacteria in 242 the shower cubicle was 2.9 x  $10^3$  bacteria.m<sup>3</sup>. The volume of air inhaled by an adult male at rest 243 is 7.5 x  $10^{-3}$  m<sup>3</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>. Therefore, in the specific case studied, we can estimate that a human male 244 at rest inhales approximately 22 bacteria per minute during a shower.

245



246

 **Figure 2** Summary of the experimental and calculated data from shower analysis. The number of inhaled bacteria was calculated based on results obtained by qPCR under the breathing conditions of an adult male and extrapolated from the characteristics of the shower and Coriolis air sampler. The results are from 3 independent experiments.

251

# 252 *Legionella* exposure quantification in the experimental setup

 The amount of *Legionella* reaching the thoracic region was assessed by culture, FCA and qPCR. The number of viable and culturable *Legionella* (VC) was determined by culture. FCA allowed the quantification of viable and potentially pathogen bacteria (VC and VBNC), and qPCR was used to quantify the total DNA from VC, VBNC and dead cells (DCs).

 Inside the experimental setup used with the vibrating-mesh nebulizer or with the jet nebulizer to generate bioaerosols, the volume per minute of calibrated suspension dispersed around the 259 human 3D replica of the upper airways was 1.00  $\pm$  0.25 mL.min<sup>-1</sup> and 0.16  $\pm$  0.06 mL.min<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. As determined by qPCR, only 2.0 ± 0.3% of the total *Legionella* DNA dispersed from 261 the jet nebulizer could reach the thoracic region compared to  $11 \pm 9.6\%$  from the vibrating-mesh nebulizer. In the experiments with the jet nebulizer, no significant values were obtained in the culture and FCA experiments. The amount of culturable and viable bacteria that could be detected was below the detection limits of the culture and FCA methods. Table 1 shows all the results obtained with the vibrating-mesh nebulizer.

 qPCR was used to quantify all *Legionella* physiological states, including dead cells. We found that 11 ± 9.6% of the total aerosolized *Legionella* DNA could reach the thoracic region. From the culture results, only 0.5 ± 0.7% of the culturable aerosolized *Legionella* was detected in the thoracic region. As expected, the culture method underestimates the real *Legionella* risk. Finally, with the FCA results, we observed that through the human anatomical model, the thoracic region was exposed to 4.3 ± 4.0% of the viable (VC + VBNC) aerosolized *Legionella*.

 **Table 1** Quantifications of aerosols generated by a vibrating-mesh nebulizer and reaching the TR of the shower-like experimental setup. Weighing experiments show the quantity of droplets reaching the TR. CS: calibrated suspension; TR: thoracic region; VC: viable and culturable bacteria; VBNC: viable but not culturable bacteria; DC: dead cells; CFU: colony forming unit; GU: genomic unit; SD: standard deviation. The results are from 20 independent experiments.



279

### 280 Comparison of the exposure to bacteria

 To determine if the shower-like experimental setup satisfactorily mimics a bioaerosol shower exposure, we compared the number of inhaled bacteria for a one-minute shower event (Table 2). As we used the "real" shower as a reference, the number of bacteria in the nebulizer's 284 reservoir (5 mL or 10 mL for the vibrating-mesh and jet nebulizer, respectively) was fixed at 3.3 x  $10^4$  bacteria. The results in Table 2 indicate that the experimental setup developed underestimates by 2 times (if the jet nebulizer is used) or overestimates by 43 times (if the vibrating-mesh nebulizer is used) the emitted dose of total (VC, VBNC and DC) airborne bacteria.

288

289 **Table 2** Comparison of bacterial exposure between a "real" shower event and a one-minute 290 shower-like experimental setup. VC: viable and culturable bacteria; viable (VC + VBNC); TR: 291 thoracic region. See Supplementary data for equations and calculations used.





293

#### 294 Features of the aerosols generated by nebulization

 The aerodynamic features of the aerosols generated by the jet nebulizer were compared to those of the vibrating-mesh nebulizer that were previously determined (Allegra et al., 2016). Figure 3 shows the repartition of *Legionella* depending on the aerosol size. Table 3 presents the proportions of viable and potentially pathogenic airborne bacteria. Both nebulizer profiles were 299 examined for the aerosol fraction that could reach the thoracic region (0.26  $\mu$ m to 2.4  $\mu$ m).

 From the literature and our previously published data with the vibrating-mesh nebulizer (Allegra et al., 2016; Perinel et al., 2018; Pourchez et al., 2017), we confirmed that *Legionella* EPF cells (Robertson et al., 2014) are approximately 1–2 µm long and 0.3–0.9 µm wide. Several studies have shown that bioaerosol droplets of more than 2.5 μm in diameter cannot reach the pulmonary alveoli (Leclerc et al., 2015; Pourchez et al., 2013; Schlesinger, 1989). In this study, depending on the cut-off diameters of the cascade impactor (DLPI), we defined the fraction of viable *Legionella* bioaerosol diameters ranging from 0.26 µm to 2.4 μm as the fraction that can reach the pulmonary alveoli and cause infection.

 First, we obtained similar results (by qPCR) between the percentage of bacteria reaching the 309 thoracic region (Table 1) and the percentage of bacteria collected in the DLPI (Table 3): 2.0  $\pm$ 310 0.3% compared to 2.4  $\pm$  0.1% for the jet nebulizer and 11  $\pm$  9.6% compared to 12.8  $\pm$  1.1% for the vibrating-mesh nebulizer (*p*>0,5 for the two tests).

 Second, the results obtained with the jet nebulizer confirmed that (i) VC cells were detected with the 262 nm cut-off diameter and (ii) the *Legionella* physiological states were not modified by the nebulization process or by its transportation on the 12-stage DLPI (same % of VC, VBNC and DC were obtained by FCA – data not shown). The jet nebulizer generated smaller airborne droplets than the vibrating-mesh nebulizer (MMAD of 1.2 ± 0.4 µm for the jet nebulizer *versus* 3.4 ± 0.4 µm for the vibrating-mesh nebulizer), but the same proportions of viable and potentially 318 pathogen airborne bacteria (42  $\pm$  3.2% and 48  $\pm$  5%, respectively) can reach the deep lung.



 **Figure 3** Cumulative distribution of *Legionella* aerosols generated by the 2 types of nebulizers. GU: genomic units. Results from 10 and 30 independent experiments for the jet nebulizer and vibrating-mesh nebulizer, respectively.

 **Table 3** Characteristics of *Legionella* aerosols generated by the 2 types of nebulizers. GU: genomic units. MMAD: mass median aerodynamic diameter. DLPI: low pressure cascade impactor. Results from 10 and 30 independent experiments for the jet nebulizer and vibrating-mesh nebulizer, respectively.



# **Discussion**

 QMRA provides a very useful methodology to manage the risk of infections by foodborne or some waterborne pathogens with simple cases of contamination. *Legionella* is currently a well- documented human waterborne pathogen, but as shown by Bentham and Whiley (Bentham and Whiley, 2018; Whiley et al., 2014), persistent and indeterminable uncertainties remain regarding *Legionella* epidemiology, frequency of exposure, aerosol characterization (quantity, size, content  of *Legionella*), strain infectivity, infectious dose and detection methods. No risk acceptance threshold is currently validated. However, in the context of global change and diminishing water resources, the need to use various water sources will lead to a need for the development and use of LCA (life cycle assessment) and QMRA methodologies (Harder et al., 2017; National Academies of Sciences and Medicine, 2019). To optimize these risk assessment and prevention methods, our studies using different detection methods of *Legionella* (Allegra et al., 2008; Allegra et al., 2011; Allegra et al., 2011)*,* aimed to characterize the aerosol generation (Allegra et al., 2016; Pourchez et al., 2017) and the inhaled aerosols that can reach pulmonary cells (Perinel et al., 2018). The experimental setup developed in this study proposes a model as close as possible to the human anatomy and physiology, respecting the 3R rule (Replacement, Reduction and Refinement) and consequently does not raise ethical issues. The model is easy to handle and has physiological similarities to human ventilation. Some factors, such as the nasal and bronchial mucosa, the ciliated cells, the secretion of mucus/surfactant and the body temperature, could not be taken into account in the model. Nevertheless, depending on the use of the vibrating- mesh or jet nebulizer, we can adapt the experimental configuration to mimic the exposure to *Legionella* aerosols generated by different shower-like systems.

#### 353 • Bioaerosol generation from shower-like systems

 Regarding aerosol dispersion (quantity, size), the discrepancy between the results from the different studies is due to the different methods and apparatuses used to measure the air bacteria concentration and to numerous other factors, such as ambient humidity, type of shower stall, design of the showerhead, water temperature, piping materials, human occupants or bacterial communities and biofilm development. Several publications (Chattopadhyay et al., 2017; Cowen and Ollison, 2006; Estrada-Perez et al., 2018; O'Toole et al., 2009; Perkins et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2007) have shown that (i) a shower with the low-flow showerhead generates a significantly higher number of aerosols than a high-flow shower. (ii) A hot shower (approximately

 40°C) increases the rate of evaporation; therefore, the number of small particles within the breathable range is increased, and the culturability of cells is decreased with the turn off to the VBNC state, as shown for *Pseudomonas* and *Legionella*. (iii) The number of bioaerosols generated during a shower is not only dependent on the concentration of bacteria in the water network. As an example, Zhou et al. (Zhou et al., 2007) demonstrated that the particle size distribution of shower aerosols was only mildly affected by the water flow rate in the case of hot water experiments showing droplet size in the 5 µm range. However, for cold water, the particle distribution varied significantly with the flow rate, and the droplets generated were smaller, 370 approximately 2 µm. The two nebulization technologies used in this study generated similar proportions of viable and potentially pathogenic airborne *Legionella* that could reach the lungs. 372 In addition, the droplet size generated by the vibrating-mesh nebulizer (MMAD of 3.4 µm) appeared to be representative of a shower using lukewarm water. However, the jet nebulizer, 374 which generates smaller airborne droplets (MMAD of 1.2 µm) seems to be representative of a shower using cold water. Moreover, these nebulizers could also mimic the bioaerosol characteristics from new sources of LD, such as a spa (Moore et al., 2015) or bioaerosols generated by wastewater treatment tanks (Wang et al., 2019).

 Concerning aerosol content, we can identify 4 modes of transportation during the aerosolization of *Legionella* (Allegra et al., 2016): cellular fragments of *Legionella* (entrapped or not) in droplets (28–262 nm), airborne bacteria not entrapped in droplets and oriented by the air flow direction during the respiration process (0.262–0.949 μm), airborne bacteria (entrapped or not) in droplets or in amoeba vacuoles (0.949–1.6 μm), and airborne bacteria packaged in droplets or in amoebae vacuoles (1.6–9.92 μm). VC *Legionella* was also detected as early as the 262 nm cut-off diameter of DLPI, confirming that *Legionella* bacilli have a size of approximately 1–2 μm long and 0.3–0.9 μm wide and can reach the deep lung. In the context of this study, we demonstrated a new data that the *Legionella* physiological states were not modified by the nebulization process

 or by its transportation through the 12-stage DLPI or the anatomical model. Thermally or chlorine-induced VBNC *Legionella pneumophila* may still infect amoebae and pulmonary cells after resuscitation on amoebae (Cervero-Aragó et al., 2019; Epalle et al., 2015; Mustapha et al., 2015). VBNC cell concentrations in the water network must be included in the QMRA models.

391 • Exposure and dose-response modelling

 There is currently no consensus on a threshold for the acceptability of microbiological risk. The acceptable risk of infection set by the WHO for waterborne pathogens is less than one infection per 10000 persons per year and stipulates that risk assessment be undertaken for waterborne pathogens to demonstrate microbiologically safe water (World Health Organization, 2016). The calculation and the expression of the result of the risk differ according to the authors (Azuma et al., 2013; Bouwknegt et al., 2013; Kerry A. Hamilton et al., 2018; Schoen and Ashbolt, 2011; Sharaby et al., 2019). Indeed, the annual risk estimation varies significantly between the scenario analyses: the dose response model chosen (clinical severity, incidence of LD or/and Pontiac fever, during or after an outbreak, etc.), the population at risk (residential or occupational), the detection method (culture-based, EMA-qPCR, or qPCR), the meteorological conditions, etc.

 The exposure assessment (duration, frequency) consists of determining the inhaled quantity of potentially pathogenic *Legionella*. However, the amount of inhaled air will depend on the activity of the subject and the exposure scenario. It is therefore easier to evaluate and model the risk associated with the generation of aerosols by shower-like systems rather than cooling towers. Our study shows similarities and complementarities with 2 other recent studies from Chattopadhyay et al. (Chattopadhyay et al., 2017) and Estrada-Perez et al. (Estrada-Perez et al., 2018) in term of aerosol samplers, Gram-negative bacillus targets, and detection methods. Table 4 shows that the concentrations of *Legionella* aerosols generated by the vibrating-mesh 410 nebulizer (8.9 x 10<sup>3</sup> CFU.m<sup>3</sup>) or by the jet nebulizer (1.4 x 10<sup>3</sup> CFU.m<sup>3</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>) in our experimental

- setup effectively mimic an emitted dose of shower bacteria. The air bacteria concentrations
- measured in these three studies were of the same order of magnitude.
- 
- **Table 4** Comparison of the experimental conditions and results between our study and 2 recent,
- close and complementary studies.



 Studies using animal models or manikins calculate the dose of inhaled *Legionella* from mathematical models. Here, bioaerosols were inhaled by a well-validated 3D replica of the upper airways (Le Guellec et al., 2014; Pourchez et al., 2017). A respiratory pump was used, to fit the breathing parameters corresponding to adult male physiology at rest: a breathing rate of 15 breaths per minute and a tidal volume of 500 mL. The number of viable bacteria reaching the thoracic region represents the dose of inhaled *Legionella* that can result in LD. As observed in Table 1, 4.3 ± 4.0% of the viable (VC + VBNC) *Legionella* aerosolized during the experimentation (experimental setup combined with the vibrating-mesh nebulizer) reached the thoracic region. In the context of the shower used as a reference (water network contaminated by 3.3 x  $10^4$  426 Legionella.L<sup>-1</sup>), 22 viable and potentially pathogenic Legionella may reach the pulmonary region 427 after a one-minute shower. Depending on the exposure scenario, we now have several experimental setups to mimic a large range of shower systems, by adjusting the concentration of 429 bacteria in the water network, the nebulizers settings and the time of experimentation, to provide robust data to scientific experts for QMRA development.

# **Conclusion**

- Viable and cultivable aerosolized *Legionella* bacilli were detected as early as the 262 nm cut-off diameter of DLPI, confirming that *Legionella* bacilli are approximately 1–2 μm long and 0.3–0.9 μm wide and can reach the deep lung.
- The *Legionella* physiological states were not modified by the nebulization process or by 437 its transportation through the 12-stage DLPI or the anatomical model.
- After a one-minute shower (used as a reference in this study), contaminated by 3 x  $10^4$  *Legionella* cells/L, 22 viable and potentially pathogenic *Legionella* cells may reach the pulmonary region of an adult male.
- The characteristics of the 2 nebulizers can allow the adaptation of our experimental setup to analyse the exposure to different shower-like systems.
- This original experimental setup will provide data to accurately quantify the *Legionella* risk exposure and the dose-response in the context of a *Legionella* infection from a water
- 

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

network to deposition in the lung.

- **Funding information**
- This study was supported by a grant from Jean Monnet University, France.

**Acknowledgments**

- We would like to thank: (i) Dr. C. Ginevra from the French Reference Center for *Legionella* for
- providing us with the GFP-modified strain. (ii) M. Landraud, P. Bourrassaud, A. Moravia, Z.
- Reynes, M. Pareux and M. Escot for the validation of the model during their internships and (iii)
- the teaching laboratory of Technical University Institute of Saint-Etienne for providing the
- environmental bacteria strains. Finally, we would like to dedicate this paper to the memory of
- Serge Riffard.
- 

#### **References**

- Allegra, S., Berger, F., Berthelot, P., Grattard, F., Pozzetto, B., Riffard, S., 2008. Use of flow cytometry to monitor Legionella viability. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74, 7813–7816. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01364-08
- Allegra, S., Girardot, F., Grattard, F., Berthelot, P., Helbig, J.H., Pozzetto, B., Riffard, S., 2011. Evaluation of an immunomagnetic separation assay in combination with cultivation to improve Legionella pneumophila serogroup 1 recovery from environmental samples. J. Appl. Microbiol. 110, 952–961. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.04955.x
- Allegra, Severine, Grattard, F., Girardot, F., Riffard, S., Pozzetto, B., Berthelot, P., 2011. Longitudinal evaluation of the efficacy of heat treatment procedures against Legionella 471 spp. in hospital water systems by using a flow cytometric assay. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77, 1268–1275. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02225-10
- Allegra, S., Leclerc, L., Massard, P.A., Girardot, F., Riffard, S., Pourchez, J., 2016. Characterization of aerosols containing Legionella generated upon nebulization. Sci. Rep. 6, 33998. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep33998
- Armstrong, T. W., Haas, C.N., 2007. A quantitative microbial risk assessment model for Legionnaires' disease: animal model selection and dose-response modeling. Risk Anal. Off. Publ. Soc. Risk Anal. 27, 1581–1596. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539- 6924.2007.00990.x
- Armstrong, Thomas W., Haas, C.N., 2007. Quantitative microbial risk assessment model for Legionnaires' disease: assessment of human exposures for selected spa outbreaks. J. Occup. Environ. Hyg. 4, 634–646. https://doi.org/10.1080/15459620701487539
- Azuma, K., Uchiyama, I., Okumura, J., 2013. Assessing the risk of Legionnaires' disease: The inhalation exposure model and the estimated risk in residential bathrooms. Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 65, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2012.11.003
- Baldovin, T., Pierobon, A., Bertoncello, C., Destefani, E., Gennari, M., Stano, A., Baldo, V., 2018. May car washing represent a risk for Legionella infection? Ann. Ig. Med. Prev. E Comunita 20, 57–65.
- Bentham, R., Whiley, H., 2018. Quantitative Microbial Risk Assessment and Opportunist 490 Waterborne Infections<sup>-</sup>Are There Too Many Gaps to Fill? Int. J. Environ. Res. Public. Health 15. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15061150
- Bouwknegt, M., Schijven, J.F., Schalk, J.A.C., de Roda Husman, A.M., 2013. Quantitative risk estimation for a Legionella pneumophila infection due to whirlpool use. Risk Anal. Off. Publ. Soc. Risk Anal. 33, 1228–1236. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2012.01909.x
- Buse, H.Y., Schoen, M.E., Ashbolt, N.J., 2012. Legionellae in engineered systems and use of quantitative microbial risk assessment to predict exposure. Water Res. 46, 921–933. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.12.022
- 498 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018. Legionnaires Disease and Pontiac Fever | Legionella | CDC [WWW Document]. URL https://www.cdc.gov/legionella/index.html (accessed 10.10.18).
- Cervero-Aragó, S., Schrammel, B., Dietersdorfer, E., Sommer, R., Lück, C., Walochnik, J., Kirschner, A., 2019. Viability and infectivity of viable but nonculturable Legionella pneumophila strains induced at high temperatures. Water Res. 158, 268–279. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.04.009
- Chattopadhyay, S., Perkins, S.D., Shaw, M., Nichols, T.L., 2017. Evaluation of Exposure to Brevundimonas diminuta and Pseudomonas aeruginosa during Showering. J. Aerosol Sci. 114, 77–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2017.08.008
- Cowen, K.A., Ollison, W.M., 2006. Continuous monitoring of particle emissions during showering. J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc. 1995 56, 1662–1668.
- Durand, M., Pourchez, J., Aubert, G., Le Guellec, S., Navarro, L., Forest, V., Rusch, P., Cottier, M., 2011. Impact of acoustic airflow nebulization on intrasinus drug deposition of a human plastinated nasal cast: new insights into the mechanisms involved. Int. J. Pharm. 421, 63– 71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2011.09.023
- El Merhie, A., Navarro, L., Delavenne, X., Leclerc, L., Pourchez, J., 2015. A new Strategy to Improve Drug Delivery to the Maxillary Sinuses: The Frequency Sweep Acoustic Airflow. Pharm. Res. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-015-1851-7
- Epalle, T., Girardot, F., Allegra, S., Maurice-Blanc, C., Garraud, O., Riffard, S., 2015. Erratum to: Viable but not Culturable Forms of Legionella pneumophila Generated After Heat Shock Treatment are Infectious for Macrophage-like and Alveolar Epithelial cells after Resuscitation on Acanthamoeba polyphaga. Microb. Ecol. 70, 576–578. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-015-0580-0
- Estrada-Perez, C.E., Kinney, K.A., Maestre, J.P., Hassan, Y.A., King, M.D., 2018. Droplet distribution and airborne bacteria in an experimental shower unit. Water Res. 130, 47– 57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.11.039
- Gradon, L., Marijnissen, J.C., 2003. Optimization of Aerosol Drug Delivery. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Hamilton, K.A., Haas, C.N., 2016. Critical review of mathematical approaches for quantitative microbial risk assessment (QMRA) of Legionella in engineered water systems: research gaps and a new framework. Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol. 2, 599–613. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6EW00023A
- Hamilton, Kerry A., Hamilton, M.T., Johnson, W., Jjemba, P., Bukhari, Z., LeChevallier, M., Haas, C.N., 2018. Health risks from exposure to Legionella in reclaimed water aerosols: Toilet flushing, spray irrigation, and cooling towers. Water Res. 134, 261–279. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.12.022
- Hamilton, K. A., Prussin, A.J., Ahmed, W., Haas, C.N., 2018. Outbreaks of Legionnaires' Disease and Pontiac Fever 2006-2017. Curr. Environ. Health Rep. 5, 263–271. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40572-018-0201-4
- Harder, R., Peters, G.M., Ashbolt, N.J., Svanström, M., 2017. Using quantitative microbial risk assessment and life cycle assessment to assess management options in urban water and sanitation infrastructures: Opportunities and unresolved issues. Microb. Risk Anal., Quantitative Microbial Risk Assessment of Reclaimed Water 5, 71–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mran.2016.11.004
- InVS, 2018. Bilan des cas de légionellose survenus en France en 2017. [WWW Document]. URL http://invs.santepubliquefrance.fr/Dossiers-thematiques/Maladies-
- infectieuses/Infections-respiratoires/Legionellose/Donnees/Bilan-des-cas-de-
- legionellose-survenus-en-France-en-2017 (accessed 8.7.18).
- Le Guellec, S., Le Pennec, D., Gatier, S., Leclerc, L., Cabrera, M., Pourchez, J., Diot, P., Reychler, G., Pitance, L., Durand, M., Jamar, F., Vecellio, L., 2014. Validation of anatomical models to study aerosol deposition in human nasal cavities. Pharm. Res. 31, 228–237. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-013-1157-6
- Leclerc, L., Merhie, A.E., Navarro, L., Prévôt, N., Durand, M., Pourchez, J., 2015. Impact of acoustic airflow on intrasinus drug deposition: New insights into the vibrating mode and 553 the optimal acoustic frequency to enhance the delivery of nebulized antibiotic. Int. J. Pharm. 494, 227–234. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2015.08.025
- Leclerc, L., Pourchez, J., Prevot, N., Vecellio, L., Le Guellec, S., Cottier, M., Durand, M., 2014. Assessing sinus aerosol deposition: benefits of SPECT-CT imaging. Int. J. Pharm. 462, 135– 141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2013.12.032
- Loenenbach, A.D., Beulens, C., Euser, S.M., van Leuken, J.P.G., Bom, B., van der Hoek, W., Husman, A.M. de R., Ruijs, W.L.M., Bartels, A.A., Rietveld, A., den Boer, J.W., Brandsema, P.S., 2018. Two Community Clusters of Legionnaires' Disease Directly Linked to a Biologic Wastewater Treatment Plant, the Netherlands. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 24, 1914–1918. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2410.180906
- Moore, G., Hewitt, M., Stevenson, D., Walker, J.T., Bennett, A.M., 2015. Aerosolization of respirable droplets from a domestic spa pool and the use of MS-2 coliphage and Pseudomonas aeruginosa as markers for Legionella pneumophila. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 81, 555–561. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02912-14
- Mustapha, P., Epalle, T., Allegra, S., Girardot, F., Garraud, O., Riffard, S., 2015. Monitoring of Legionella pneumophila viability after chlorine dioxide treatment using flow cytometry. Res. Microbiol. 166, 215–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2015.01.004
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, Medicine, 2019. Management of Legionella in Water Systems. The National Academies Press, Washington, DC. https://doi.org/10.17226/25474
- NF EN 13544-1, 2002. Respiratory therapy equipment Part 1 : nebulizing systems and their components. [WWW Document]. URL https://www.boutique.afnor.org/norme/nf-en- 13544-1/materiel-respiratoire-therapeutique-partie-1-systemes-de-nebulisation-et-leurs-composants/article/855050/fa029640 (accessed 11.24.19).
- O'Toole, J., Keywood, M., Sinclair, M., Leder, K., 2009. Risk in the mist? Deriving data to quantify microbial health risks associated with aerosol generation by water-efficient devices during typical domestic water-using activities. Water Sci. Technol. J. Int. Assoc. Water Pollut. Res. 60, 2913–2920. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2009.722
- Pepper, I.L., Gerba, C.P., 2018. Risk of infection from Legionella associated with spray irrigation of reclaimed water. Water Res. 139, 101–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.04.001
- Perinel, S., Forest, V., Landraud, M., Pourchez, J., Girardot, F., Riffard, S., Stauffert, M., Vergnon, J.-M., Allegra, S., 2018. Deposition pattern of aerosolized Legionella using an ex vivo human-porcine respiratory model. Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health 221, 252–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2017.11.002
- Perkins, S.D., Mayfield, J., Fraser, V., Angenent, L.T., 2009. Potentially pathogenic bacteria in 589 shower water and air of a stem cell transplant unit. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75, 5363-5372. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00658-09
- Pourchez, J., Albuquerque-Silva, I.M.D., Cottier, M., Clotagatide, A., Vecellio, L., Durand, M., Dubois, F., 2013. Generation and characterization of radiolabelled nanosized carbonaceous aerosols for human inhalation studies. J. Aerosol Sci. 55, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2012.07.011
- Pourchez, J., Leclerc, L., Girardot, F., Riffard, S., Prevot, N., Allegra, S., 2017. Experimental human-like model to assess the part of viable Legionella reaching the thoracic region after nebulization. PloS One 12, e0186042. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186042
- 
- Robertson, P., Abdelhady, H., Garduño, R.A., 2014. The many forms of a pleomorphic bacterial pathogen-the developmental network of Legionella pneumophila. Front. Microbiol. 5, 670. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00670
- Schlesinger, R.B., 1989. Deposition and clearance of inhaled particles, in: R.O. McClellan, R.F. Henderson (Eds.), Concepts in Inhalation Toxicology. Hemisphere Publishing Corp, New York, pp. 163–192.
- Schoen, M.E., Ashbolt, N.J., 2011. An in-premise model for Legionella exposure during showering events. Water Res. 45, 5826–5836. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.08.031
- Sharaby, Y., Rodríguez-Martínez, S., Höfle, M.G., Brettar, I., Halpern, M., 2019. Quantitative microbial risk assessment of Legionella pneumophila in a drinking water supply system in Israel. Sci. Total Environ. 671, 404–410. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.287
- Valero, N., de Simón, M., Gallés, P., Izquierdo, N., Arimon, J., González, R., Manzanares-Laya, S., Avellanes, I., Gómez, A., 2017. Street Cleaning Trucks as Potential Sources of Legionella pneumophila. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 23, 1880–1882. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2311.161390
- Wang, Y., Li, L., Xue, S., Han, Y., Yang, K., 2019. Characteristics and formation mechanism of intestinal bacteria particles emitted from aerated wastewater treatment tanks. Water Res. 163, 114862. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.114862
- Whiley, H., Keegan, A., Fallowfield, H., Ross, K., 2014. Uncertainties associated with assessing the public health risk from Legionella. Front. Microbiol. 5, 501. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00501
- World Health Organization, 2016. Quantitative microbial risk assessment: application for water safety management. Geneva Swiitzerland WHO.
- Zhou, Y., Benson, J.M., Irvin, C., Irshad, H., Cheng, Y.-S., 2007. Particle size distribution and inhalation dose of shower water under selected operating conditions. Inhal. Toxicol. 19, 333–342. https://doi.org/10.1080/08958370601144241
-