

# An economic approach to the study of the relationship between housing hazards and health: The case of residential fuel poverty in France

Sondès Kahouli

# ► To cite this version:

Sondès Kahouli. An economic approach to the study of the relationship between housing hazards and health: The case of residential fuel poverty in France. Energy Economics, 2020, 85, pp.104592. 10.1016/j.eneco.2019.104592. hal-02467611

# HAL Id: hal-02467611 https://hal.science/hal-02467611v1

Submitted on 21 Jul2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

# AN ECONOMIC APPROACH TO THE STUDY OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HOUSING HAZARDS AND HEALTH: THE CASE OF RESIDENTIAL FUEL POVERTY IN

# FRANCE

The impact of housing hazards on health outcomes is becoming a major issue especially given the recent and on-going reviews of energy legislation in many European countries. A large body of epidemiological literature argues that fuel poverty – a frequently debated example of a housing hazard involving low indoor temperatures – is associated with heightened health risks.

By using a large scale nationally representative dataset, I seek in this article to delve into this alleged association and uncover a causal relationship between fuel poverty and self-assessed health. Coming from an economics standpoint, I used a panel approach based on an instrumental fixed-effects model which allow me to analyse causality. I used both objective and subjective fuel-poverty indicators.

The results show that there is a significant causal relationship between fuel poverty and self-assessed health status. The estimated causality is exacerbated when subjective fuel-poverty indicators are used. The results also show that the negative impact of fuel poverty on health is deferred, meaning that fuel poverty takes time to manifest itself in terms of poor health.

In policy terms, this study adds to the debate on investing in housing energy-efficiency schemes to reduce fuel poverty and thereby improve health outcomes. It also lends support to the argument according to which improving housing conditions to curb fuel poverty is a lever for reducing pubic expenditures on health care.

### Keywords

Residential fuel poverty, Health, Panel model, Causality, Instrumental variables, France.

JEL CLASSIFICATION

 $Q_{40}; I_{10}; C_{23}$ 

### 1 Introduction

Over the past decade, several scientific studies have provided evidence that various aspects of the built environment – the human-modified places where people live, work, play, shop and more – 3 can have significant and directly measurable effects on health outcomes, *i.e.* physical, mental and social well-being (Houd, 2005). Therefore, consideration of the effects that the built environment has on health has been a key driver behind the developments in the field of environmental health. In particular, within the framework of the recurrent debates on the quality of indoor living environments on the one hand, and on socio-economic impacts of energy transition – in particular on residential fuel poverty – on the other hand, many European and other governments worldwide are paying more attention to the health consequences of residential fuel poverty. 10 Fuel poverty refers to a multidimensional concept that considers three main factors, namely the 11 household's financial situation, dwelling characteristics (*i.e.* energy efficiency) and energy prices 12 (EPEE (2006), Palmer et al. (2008), Blavier et al. (2011)). A household is considered fuel-poor 13 when it lives in an energy-inefficient dwelling and is unable to heat the home to an appropriate 14

standard level of warmth<sup>1</sup>. Therefore, residential fuel poverty takes the form of thermal discomfort
and is closely related to housing characteristics, *e.g.* heating system, energy efficiency, dampness,
mouldiness.

In Europe, despite the spread of fuel poverty and its recognition by governments as a social, 18 public health and environmental policy issue in a context of ever-increasing energy prices, the Eu-19 ropean Union (EU) has not yet adopted a common definition nor common indicators to measure 20 it<sup>2</sup>. The UK government was the first to acknowledge the phenomenon and set up measures to 21 fight it. In fact, the fuel poverty concept was born in the UK in the 1970s under the leadership of 22 activist organisations that called the issue to the attention of authorities and the general popula-23 tion in light of the winter mortality induced by the steady rise in energy prices, preventing some 24 households from heating their dwellings to an appropriate standard level of warmth (Dutreix et al. 25 (2014), ONPE (2014, 2015)). Two decades later, Boardman (1991), based on an earlier contribu-26 tion by Isherwood and Hancock (2016), defined an indicator that has since been used in the 2001 27 UK Fuel Poverty Strategy to measure fuel poverty (Fahmy et al., 2011). 28

In France, the official definition of fuel poverty was published in the National Environmental 29 Commitment Act (no. 2010-788 of 12 July 2010, "Loi Grenelle 2") amending the Housing Rights 30 Act (no. 90-449 of 31 May 31 1990, "Loi Besson")<sup>3</sup>. According to this definition, a fuel-poor house-31 hold represents a person who has difficulties accessing energy within his/her dwelling to satisfy 32 his/her basic needs, due to insufficient financial resources or inadequate dwelling characteristics 33 *i.e.* energy inefficiency, presence of dampness and rot. In terms of indicators, recently inspired by 34 developments in the UK, the French national observatory of fuel poverty<sup>4</sup> suggests using a com-35 bination of objective and subjective indicators to measure the magnitude of fuel poverty (ONPE 36 (2014, 2015)).37

The recent literature on fuel poverty identifies three types of indicators: objective factual indicators, subjective self-reported indicators and composite indices (Fizaine and Kahouli (2019)).

<sup>1.</sup> According to the World Health Organization (WHO), an appropriate standard level of warmth is equal to  $21^{\circ}$ C for the main living area and  $18^{\circ}$ C for other occupied rooms (ONPE, 2015).

<sup>2.</sup> However, the European Fuel Poverty and Energy Efficiency (EPEE) project, conducted between 2006 and 2009, used a descriptive approach to analyse fuel poverty in some European countries, *i.e.* Belgium, France, Italy, Spain and the United Kingdom. It was based on three criteria: the ability to pay to keep one's home warm, the presence of dampness, leaks, mould in the dwelling and arrears on electricity, gas and water bills (EPEE, 2006).

<sup>3.</sup> The "Loi Besson" no. 90-449 of 31 May 1990 stipulates that anyone encountering difficulties, particularly due to insufficient financial resources or inadequate living conditions, can benefit from public aid, according to the rules defined in the Act, for access to decent and independent housing with water, energy and telephone services - Translated from French (JORF, 1990).

<sup>4. &</sup>quot;Observatoire National de la Pauvrete Énergetique" (ONPE).

Objective factual indicators draw on measurable and observable criteria and are based on consump-40 tion theory. In particular, objective factual indicators take into account the amount of expenditures 41 devoted to satisfying fuel needs with respect to the total available financial endowments. The lit-42 erature distinguishes between the 10% indicator, the After Fuel Cost Poverty (AFCP) indicator 43 and the Low-Income/High-Costs (LIHC) indicator. Subjective fuel-poverty indicators are based 44 on personal opinions, interpretations, points of view and judgement. They are usually constructed 45 by referring to households' self-reported answers to questions asked by social investigators in a sur-46 vey. The most frequently asked questions include "Do you suffer from thermal discomfort?", "Have 47 you had difficulty paying your utility bills (in the past)?", "Can you afford your energy bills?", or 48 "Are you satisfied with your heating equipment?". Finally, composite indices were created as a 49 compromise between the simplicity of one-dimensional indicators and the need to account for the 50 multidimensional nature of fuel poverty. They represent an attempt to overcome the shortcomings 51 of one-dimensional indicators and, at the same time, produce a result that condenses the informa-52 tion into single and easy-to-interpret metrics (Thomson and Snell, 2013). 53

Currently, in the recent context of the creation of the EU Energy Poverty Observatory (EPOV) 54 in January 2018 and increasing debates on the physical and mental heath risks of residential fuel 55 poverty, the latter has become a major challenge. In this context, the EU recently developed 56 policies targeting the reduction of fuel poverty, particularly by enhancing the energy efficiency of 57 houses, old and new alike. In this framework, one way to help determine which type of policy 58 measure should be implemented to fight residential fuel poverty is to identify its consequences 59 on health as precisely as possible. Nevertheless, analysing the impacts of residential fuel poverty 60 and identifying transmission channels through which it can affect physical and mental health out-61 comes has proven to be an enormous challenge, requiring the development of new suitable research 62 paradigms, methodologies, datasets as well as a willingness for cooperation across different aca-63 demic disciplines (Houd, 2005).

Despite the considerable body of interdisciplinary literature in the fields of epidemiology, 65 medicine, environmental health, psychology and psychiatry that have begun to tackle this is-66 sue, the contribution from the field of economics is still limited. Several papers in economics have 67 already analysed the evidence relating income poverty, or more generally socio-economic status, 68 to health (Thomas and Strauss (1997), Adams et al. (1999), Adams et al. (2003), Currie (2009), 69 Chin (2010), Kuehnle (2014)). Nevertheless, few economics studies have explored the relationship 70 between residential fuel poverty and health, either in terms of correlation or causality (Lacroix and 71 Chaton (2015), Llorca et al. (2018)). 72

Here, based on observational large scale data, I aim to fill this gap in the literature. In par-73 ticular, I seek to determine whether there are causal effects of fuel poverty on self-assessed health 74 status, rather than focus exclusively on the description of correlations. I used a panel approach, 75 which offers the valuable possibility of conducting a longitudinal analysis, whereas studies on fuel 76 poverty are usually limited to cross-sectional analyses. In an European context astonishingly char-77 acterised by the absence of specific databases to analyse the question of the relationship between 78 fuel poverty and health, I relied on a nationally representative dataset, namely the Statistics on 79 Resources and Living Conditions (SRCV) database -which is part of the European Union Statis-80 tics on Income and Living Conditions (EU-SILC) database- to conduct this study. Although these 81 statistics are not specifically devoted to the analysis of the relationship between fuel poverty and 82 health (cf. Subsection 3.1.1), they nonetheless offer a unique opportunity to address the causality. 83 Thus, I aim through this study to initiate an incipient understanding of this issue and to open the 84 door for new research prospects. To reliably deal with causality, I used an instrumental fixed-effects

<sup>86</sup> model based on the identification of individual-specific instruments. Since, causality is still not

unequivocally demonstrated and is still up for discussion even when experimental designs based on 87 based on randomized controlled trial (RCT) are used to clearly identify causal paths, I aim through 88 the use of an instrumental-variables approach and a large scale nationally representative dataset to 89 contribute to this debate. I also aim to point-out the need for more interdisciplinary approach to 90 tackle the more general question of the relationship between housing hazards and health outcomes. 91 The paper unfolds as follows. In Section 2, I give a brief review of the literature on health de-92 terminants. In Section 3, I present the empirical analysis and discuss findings. Finally, in Section 93 4. I present the policy implications and conclude. 94

### <sup>35</sup> 2 Literature review on health determinants

The literature on health determinants is abundant and remarkably interdisciplinary. It relies on various complementary approaches to deconstruct health determinants. These determinants can be classified into three categories:

99 100

— individual characteristics, *i.e.* sex, age, socio-economic status, etc.;

environmental hazards. Generally speaking, they represent any state, event or substance
 that has the potential to threaten the surrounding natural environment or adversely affect
 people's health, *i.e.* pollution, traffic noise, housing conditions, natural disasters, etc.;

other hidden common factors, *i.e.* unobserved genetic heterogeneity, behavioural factors
 (nutrition, stress, smoking), rational economic decision-making, etc.

106

The literature focuses on studying the association (or alternatively, the correlation) and the causality between each determinant and health. Although the association between some determinants – for example, income or housing conditions – and health has been largely acknowledged, demonstrating causality paths is more difficult.

Below, I do not intend to give an exhaustive literature review on health determinants; instead, I propose a summary of the literature that takes into account the first two categories of health determinants listed above, namely individual characteristics and environmental hazards. Under the first category, I will briefly focus on the case of socio-economic status as an example of a largely discussed health determinant to which I will refer in the econometric analysis. Under the second category, I take the specific case of residential fuel-poverty as an example of an environmental hazard that impacts health, the aim of this paper. I consider associations and causality issues<sup>5</sup>.

### <sup>118</sup> 2.1 Individual characteristics: the relationship between socio-economic <sup>119</sup> status and health

Income is very frequently used as a proxy for socio-economic status and there is a very large body of economics and epidemiological literature studying the relationship between income and health<sup>6</sup>. This body of literature gives evidence that higher income is associated with better health and longer life. It also asserts that this association holds for different eras, places, genders and

<sup>5.</sup> The premises of a discussion of the relationship between the "the other hidden factors" and health outcomes can be found in Adams et al. (2003).

<sup>6.</sup> Kitagawa and Hauser (1973), Luft (1978), Hurd (1987), Hurd and Wise (1989), Rodgers (1991), Ettner (1996), Power et al. (1996), Feinstein (1992), Barsky et al. (1997), Bosma et al. (1997), Thomas and Strauss (1997), Backlund et al. (1999), Ecob and Smith (1999), Fitzpatrick and Dollamore (1999), Chandola (2000), Ross and Mirowsky (2000), Seeman et al. (2002), Adams et al. (2003), Adams et al. (1999), Adams et al. (2003), Currie (2009), Chin (2010), Kuehnle (2014).

ages<sup>7</sup>. At the same time, although there has been relatively few natural experiments that allow 124 causal paths to be clearly identified<sup>8</sup>, causal links in both directions have been reported (Culter 125 et al. (2006), Deaton (2008)). In a pioneering study, McKeown (1979) asserts that income is 126 the main determinant of health in the history of developed countries, primarily through better 127 nutrition, clothing and housing. Along the same lines, Pritchett and Summers (1996) found that 128 economic growth in developing countries led directly to reductions in infant mortality rates and 129 improvements in life expectancy. The underlying assumption is that health improvement is a 130 direct by-product of higher income levels through nutritional factors, as well as the fact that higher 131 income enables the provision of public health infrastructures. More recently, in a literature review 132 conducted in an epidemiological causal framework, Pickett and Wilkinson (2015) asserts that there 133 is strong evidence that income inequality affects population health and well-being. Further, of the 134 small number of studies that find no causality, most can be explained by income inequality being 135 measured at an inappropriate scale, the use of mediating variables as controls, the use of subjective 136 rather than objective measures of health or follow-up periods that are too short. 137

### <sup>138</sup> 2.2 Housing hazards

Housing hazards is a specific case of environmental hazards and includes important determi-139 nants of health. They refer to any risk of harm to the health or safety of an actual or potential 140 occupier that arises from a deficiency<sup>9</sup>. Below, I first focus on the case of the impact of housing 141 conditions on health outcomes. Then, I consider the more specific example of the fuel-poverty 142 housing hazard. I summarised two types of studies: studies that analyse the impact of housing 143 conditions - not just the effects of residential fuel poverty - on health (Subsection 2.2.1) and stud-144 ies that analyse the impact of residential fuel poverty as the only considered housing condition on 145 health (Subsection 2.2.2). In this latter type of study, the evidence of any link between residential 146 fuel poverty and health outcomes can be divided into two broad categories: the impact of low 147 indoor temperatures, *i.e.* thermal discomfort, and the impact of dampness and/or mould growth. 148 I considered only the negative effects of housing on health outcomes that deal with physical and 149 mental health. Some studies also consider the effect on social life and well-being. 150

### <sup>151</sup> 2.2.1 Literature focusing on housing conditions

There are a large number of articles, books and reports that focus specifically on housing and health<sup>10</sup>. Similarly, there are extensive studies that explore the multi-faceted links between poor socio-economic status and poor health (and educational status) and directly implicate poor housing as a determinant<sup>11</sup>.

156 Interestingly, there are comprehensive reviews summarising this literature on housing and

<sup>7.</sup> Goldman (2001), Currie and Stabile (2003), Propper et al. (2007), Cunha and Heckman (2007), Currie et al. (2008), Jones and Wildman (2008), Khanam et al. (2009), Currie (2009), Chin (2010), Reinhold and Jürges (2012), Kurk (2012), Apouey and Geoffard (2013), Kuehnle (2014), Pickett and Wilkinson (2015).

<sup>8.</sup> Évans (1978), Felitti et al. (1978), Fox et al. (1985), Dohrenwend et al. (1992), Goldman (1994), Chapman and Hariharran (1994, 1996), Kelley et al. (1997).

<sup>9.</sup> In UK, the health risk-assessment procedure, named Housing Health and Safety Rating System (HHSRS), that measures the risks within the home identifies a series of 29 potential housing hazards. They cover indoor pollution, hygiene, structural safety, temperatures, damp and mould growth, etc. For an exhaustive list of hazards, please visit: http://www.communities.gov.uk/publications/housing/hhsrsoperatingguidance". Source: Marmot Review Team (2011).

<sup>10.</sup> Ranson (1991), Burridge and Ormandy (1993), Ineichen (1993), Ambrose et al. (1996), BMA (2003), Howden Chapman and Carroll (2004), Bonnefoy et al. (2004), Bonnefoy et al. (2007), Ezratty et al. (2009).

<sup>11.</sup> Marmot et al. (1991), Syme (1994), Sandel et al. (1999), Airey et al. (1999), Graham (2000), Graham (2000), Attanasio and Emmerson (2001), Gravelle and Sutton (2001), Jefferis et al. (2002).

health <sup>12</sup>. Some of these reviews focus on the more general literature reporting associations between poor housing and poor health. Taking a different tack, others attempt to look at health gains
following home improvements <sup>13</sup>. Other systematic reviews focus on the impact of housing-related
interventions <sup>14</sup>, smoke alarms and house dust mite reduction measures <sup>15</sup>.

Although this body of literature shows that there is no doubt about the link between poor hous-161 ing and health, it reveals that the research required to demonstrate this linkage is not easy to carry 162 out. For instance, Thomson et al. (2002) argue that although there are many thousands of studies 163 linking housing improvement to health gains, only a handful have offered robust evidence on a 164 before-and-after renewal benefit (Roys et al., 2010). Conversely, although not focusing directly on 165 the residential fuel poverty issue, a number of these studies have shown that residential fuel poverty 166 has a harmful impact on health outcomes. For example, Wilkinson et al. (1998) show that the 167 seasonal mortality variations in the UK are related to indoor (rather than outdoor) temperature 168 and that this annual variation can be reduced by helping residents protect themselves from cold 169 weather conditions in their homes. In the same context, based on an epidemiological approach, the 170 Large Analysis and Review of European Housing and Health Status (LARES) study <sup>16</sup> shows that 171 there is a significant relationship between dwelling energy efficiency and physical health (Bonnefoy 172 et al. (2007), Ezratty et al. (2009)). This relationship takes the form of a negative link between 173 thermal discomfort and the risk of respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, hypertension or the 174 presence of digestive disorders. LARES also shows the same type of negative link between thermal 175 discomfort and mental and social well-being. 176

### 177 2.2.2 Literature focusing on residential fuel poverty

Table C.1 of Appendix C summarises and supplements the content of this paragraph.

When examining the literature on the health effects of residential fuel poverty, I consideredthe two main features of residential fuel poverty, namely thermal discomfort and damp and mouldgrowth.

There has been much research on the effects of indoor thermal discomfort, *i.e.* low temper-182 atures, on health since the pioneering assessment of the cost of indoor cold and the definition 183 of the 10% fuel-poverty indicator by Boardman (1991). For instance, Baker (2001) produced a 184 review of the evidence on the link between living in a fuel-poor dwelling and the increased risk of 185 illness. This study showed in particular a strong association between low indoor temperatures and 186 increased risk of strokes, heart attacks and respiratory illness. Other evidence shows cold stress 187 causing cardiovascular strain and increased incidence of dust mites in poorly ventilated homes – 188 in turn affecting asthma and eczema, especially in children. Baker (2001) review evidence on the 189 negative effect of the presence of damp and mould growth in the home on mental and physical 190 health. In the same context, Peat et al. (1998) reviewed literature over 15 years that linked damp 191

<sup>12.</sup> Raw and Hamilton (1995), Peat et al. (1998), Wilkinson (1999), Fuller-Thomson and Hwang (2000), Thomson et al. (2002).

<sup>13.</sup> Wilkinson (1999), Fuller-Thomson et al. (2000), Thomson et al. (2002).

<sup>14.</sup> Intervention studies refer to studies on heating and insulation improvements that were carried out and impacts on well-being that were assessed.

<sup>15.</sup> DiGuiseppi and Higgins (2000), Hammarquist et al. (2000).

<sup>16.</sup> LARES is a pan-European housing and health survey that was undertaken from 2002 to 2003 in eight European cities at the initiative of the WHO European Housing and Health task force. It was designed to achieve the following objectives:

to improve knowledge on the impacts of existing housing conditions on health and mental and physical well-being;

to assess the quality of the housing stock in a holistic way and to identify housing priorities in each of the surveyed cities;

<sup>-</sup> to develop an "easy-to-use" tool to assess the impact of housing on health in any city in Europe.

and mould in the home with respiratory health and concluded that building homes designed toprevent the proliferation of indoor allergens would reduce the risk of respiratory symptoms.

Wilkinson et al. (2001) showed that there is a credible chain of causation that links low indoor 194 temperatures due to energy inefficiency to cold-related deaths. In particular, there is a 23% excess 195 of deaths from heart attacks and strokes. Indoor temperatures below  $16^{\circ}$ C are a particular risk 196 and are most likely to affect old and poorly heated housing with low-income residents. Rudge 197 (2001) has been active in developing a methodology to assess the cost-effectiveness of investment 198 in warmer homes. This approach seeks to correlate data on low income, building characteristics 199 and admissions to hospital. Also, Howden-Chapman et al. (2005) focused on analysing the con-200 sequences of insulation measures<sup>17</sup> on health, the well-being of the occupants, as well as on their 201 utilisation of health care. 202

By considering a discursive approach, Ezratty (2010) and Ormandy and Ezratty (2012) argue 203 that fuel poverty and housing conditions considerably affect physical and mental heath as well as 204 social well-being. In particular, they explain that there are different methods for measuring ther-205 mal comfort, *i.e.* air temperature, residents' perceptions, satisfaction prediction, and argue that, 206 regardless of the method, thermal discomfort has negative direct, *i.e.* cardiovascular and respira-207 tory disease, asthma, etc., and indirect, *i.e.* risk of carbon monoxide poisoning, effects on health. 208 In the same context, Liddell and Guiney (2015), based on a literature review of nine intervention 209 studies that outline the current prevailing framework for understanding mental well-being in the 210 fields of psychology and psychiatry, argue that living in cold and damp housing contributes to a 211 variety of different mental health stressors, including persistent worry about debt and affordabil-212 ity, thermal discomfort and worry about the consequences of cold and damp for health. Lacroix 213 and Chaton (2015) rely on an economic approach and use subjective indicators for fuel poverty to 214 analyse whether the latter is a determinant of perceived health in France. In particular, they used 215 self-reported perceptions of feeling cold (thermal discomfort) as a proxy for fuel poverty and the 216 answer to the question "How is your general health?" as a proxy for health status. By estimating 217 a binary probit model, they showed that a person in fuel poverty is 2.36 percentage points more 218 likely to report poor or fair health status than a person who is not in fuel poverty. 219

More recently, Llorca et al. (2018) studied determinants of self-assessed health in Spain using 220 objective and subjective fuel poverty indicators. They however focused on "purging" the influence 221 of the objective measure of fuel poverty on self-assessed health. Their results show that poor hous-222 ing conditions, fuel poverty and material deprivation have a negative impact on health. Within 223 the framework of a different approach, Robić and Antčic (2018) studied the health consequences 224 of living in fuel poverty in Croatia. They used a sample of 394 households and with four groups 225 of fuel-poverty indicators <sup>18</sup> and showed that those who are affected by energy poverty live in in-226 adequate conditions and face adverse impacts on their health. 227

228

In summary, economics and non-economics interdisciplinary studies, although using different approaches, provide vast evidence that housing hazards, particularly residential fuel poverty, have negative impacts on physical and mental health, as well as on social life and well-being. Income is clearly a crucial determinant of health outcomes. Housing hazards, and in particular fuel poverty, can be seen as a transmission mechanism through which income impacts health outcomes (Kuehnle, 2014).

<sup>17.</sup> Insulation measures affect indoor temperature, humidity, energy consumption and mould growth.

<sup>18.</sup> The subjective qualitative assessments by the affected persons, the subjective qualitative assessments by others, the objective non-expenditure-based indicators and the expenditure-based indicators.

### <sup>235</sup> 3 Econometric analysis

I present my econometric analysis in two steps. I first present the data and summary statistics (Sub-section 3.1). Then, I present the econometric estimations used to determine if there any correlations and causality relationships between fuel poverty and self-assessed health status (Subsection 3.2).

### <sup>240</sup> 3.1 Data and summary statistics

### 241 3.1.1 Database

I used the Statistics on Resources and Living Conditions (SRCV)  $^{19}$  database published by the 242 French National Institute of Statistics and Economic Studies (INSEE)<sup>20</sup>. This database is a part of 243 the European Union Statistics on Income and Living Conditions (EU-SILC). The EU-SILC is the 244 EU reference source for comparative statistics on income distribution and social exclusion at the 245 European level, particularly in the context of the "Programme of Community action to encourage 246 cooperation between Member States to combat social exclusion" and for producing key policy 247 indicators on social cohesion for the follow-up of the main EU 2020 target on poverty and social 248 inclusion. It provides two types of annual data. First, it provides a cross-sectional data pertaining 249 to a given time or a certain time period with variables on income, poverty, social exclusion and other 250 living conditions. Second, it provides longitudinal data pertaining to individual-level changes over 251 time. The cross-sectional data is collected in two stages. An early subset of variables collected via 252 registers or interviews to assess as early as possible poverty trends. A full set of variables provided 253 along with the longitudinal data help to produce main key policy indicators on social cohesion. The 254 longitudinal data aim to identify the incidence and dynamic processes of the persistence of poverty 255 and social exclusion among subgroups in the population. For the cross-sectional and longitudinal 256 components, all household and personal data are linkable. I used the longitudinal component of 257 the SRCV, in particular, the waves going from 2004 to  $2015^{21}$ . Given that the SRCV does not 258 aim to address questions of fuel poverty and its relationship to health status, the quality of data 259 on fuel poverty and health is not as good as one would hope. However, these data still provide 260 the valuable opportunity to conduct a longitudinal study to at least approach this issue and then 261 open new prospects for further investigations. 262

### <sup>263</sup> 3.1.2 Data on fuel poverty, health status and controls

Based on the fuel-poverty literature and bearing in mind the weak points of various fuel-poverty indicators (Fizaine and Kahouli, 2019), I used two classes of indicators of fuel poverty: objective and subjective. For the objective indicator, I used the 10% indicator and for the subjective indicator, I first used the financial ability of a household to heat its dwelling and then used the difficulty that a household can encounter to heat its dwelling due to financial constraints or dwelling characteristics. I calculated the 10% indicator following formula (Hills (2011, 2012)):

$$I = \frac{\text{Equivalised fuel costs}}{\text{Equivalised disposable income (before housing costs)}}$$
(1)

19. In French, Statistique sur les Ressources et Conditions de Vie.

20. In French, Institut National de la Statistique et des Études Économiques.

<sup>21.</sup> For more information on the methodological and practical framework for the computation and production of the EU-SILC database, as well as information on quality and methodological limitations, interested readers can consult http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/i. French data in the EU-SILC database are available for free upon request from the French Quetelet network, accessible at the following address: https://quetelet.casd.eu/en/utilisateur/connexion.

270

271 272 — if I > 10% the household is fuel-poor.

One drawback of this formula is that the 10% indicator overestimates the extent of fuel poverty within the general population by including households with a high level of income. To counter this criticism, the ONPE (2014, 2015) studies suggest including in the calculation only those households having an income (*cu*) lower than the threshold of the third decile of income (*cu*). Therefore, in this study, only households having an income (*cu*) level lower than this threshold were considered as fuel-poor.

Thus, a household is fuel-poor if:

$$\frac{\text{Equivalised fuel costs}}{\text{Equivalised disposable income}} > 10\%$$
(2)

280 and

Equivalised disposable income = 
$$\frac{\text{Disposable income}}{\text{Number of consumption units}}$$
 (3)

< Threshold of the third decile of income

281 Note that:

Equivalised fuel costs = 
$$\frac{\text{Fuel costs}}{\text{Number of consumption units}}$$
 (4)

Regarding the subjective indicators of fuel poverty, the first indicator corresponds to the household's answer to the following question in the EU-SILC database:

284

- "Is your dwelling difficult or too expensive to heat to suitable level of warmth?" ("Yes" or "No").

287

<sup>288</sup> The second indicator corresponds to the answer to the following question:

289

- "Are you financially able to maintain an adequate temperature in the dwelling?" ("Yes" or "No").

292

The difference between these two indicators is that whereas the first refers to dwelling characteristics, the second is related to the household's financial situation.

Although the use of the objective consumption expenditures (the 10% indicator) has been well-295 accepted since the Boardman (1991) study, the use of subjective indicators is less consensual due to 296 their lack of consistency across respondents. For instance, Hills (2012) suggests that an overlapped 297 mix of cultural, generational and demographic factors influence participants' responses. Thomson 298 et al. (2017b) add that there are also risks associated with potential errors of exclusion and biases. 299 Nevertheless, Fahmy et al. (2011) and Koh et al. (2012) argue that subjective measures provide 300 a more nuanced perspective of energy poverty, capturing real experiences and needs in a manner 301 that cannot be captured by objective measures. In this context, Price et al. (2012) argue that 302 the overlap between objective indicators, in particular the 10% indicator, and subjective indica-303 tors, which are based on an individual's self-reported perceptions of household heating difficulties, 304

is minimal. Amongst households that felt that they had problems in maintaining warmth, fewer 305 than half show expenditures that would classify them as fuel-poor. Therefore, subjective indicators 306 affect the measurement of fuel poverty just like any objective indicator by providing additional in-307 formation that may enhance the comprehension of households' situations. Advocates of subjective 308 measures also argue that they are better able to capture the wider social exclusion and material 309 deprivation elements associated with energy poverty than objective expenditure-based measures 310 (Healy, 2004). Therefore, in this study, I considered that combining the use of objective and sub-311 jective indicators leads to a more exhaustive understanding of the multidimensional character of 312 fuel poverty. The two types of indicator capture different aspects of fuel poverty. 313

In comparison with the existing literature, using the subjective indicator related to the difficulty 314 to heat dwelling "Is your dwelling difficult or too expensive to heat well?", I followed Chaton and 315 Lacroix (2018) who defined fuel poverty in a similar way as in the French National Environmental 316 Commitment Act (no. 2010-788 of 12 July 2010, "Loi Grenelle 2"). Under this Act, a fuel-poor 317 household is a person who has difficulties within his/her dwelling to access energy to satisfy his/her 318 basic needs due to insufficient financial resources or inadequate dwelling characteristics (see Sec-319 tion 1). Similarly, by using the other subjective indicator, which relates to a household's finances 320 "Are you financially able to maintain an adequate temperature in the dwelling?", I also adopted 321 the definition of the National Environmental Commitment Act and went along the same lines as 322 several studies conducting comparative analyses of fuel poverty across Europe (Healy and Clinch 323 (2002), Healy (2004), Thomson and Snell (2013), Liddell et al. (2016), Dubois and Meier (2016), 324 and Bouzarovski and Tirado Herrero (2017)). In particular, Thomson and Snell (2013) used the 325 indicator "Can the household afford energy if they want it?" or equivalently "Can they keep the 326 home adequately warm?". 327

For health data, I used the answer to the following question in the EU-SILC database, which represents self-reported health status:

330

- "How is your general health status?" ("Very good", "Good", "Fairly good", "Bad", "Very bad"),

According to the ODPM (2006), health status represents the individual's state of physical, mental and social well-being. It is not limited to the presence or absence of disease, infirmity or physical injury, but includes psychological injuries and distress<sup>22</sup>.

To be consistent with previous studies, I generated a binary indicator for the self-reported health 336 status variable. It takes the value of 1 if the respondent's answer is "Very good", "Good", or "Fairly 337 good" and 0 when it is "Very bad" or "Bad" (Healy (2004), Eurofound (2012)). Although criticised 338 due to the risk of subjective biases, self-reported health status is a well-established indicator that 339 has been extensively used in public health and epidemiological research as well as in economic 340 research<sup>23</sup>. Moreover, existing evidence suggests that it is a reliable and valid measure of health 341 status (Lundberg and Manderbacka (2012), Mishra and Carleton (2015)), and can predict future 342 health outcomes (Mossey and Shapiro (1982), Miilunpalo et al. (1997), Thomson et al. (2017b)). 343 In addition to fuel-poverty and health status variables, based on insights detailed in Sub-344

section 2, I incorporated the following exogenous control variables classified into three homogeneous families:

<sup>22.</sup> However, I note that in a specialised health surveys and in general surveys of the population, the answer to the question "*How it is your general health status*?" is not devoted to giving specific information about mental health, but to how an individual perceives his/her health in its three dimensions: physical, mental and social well-being. Information about mental health is generally determined through other more targeted questions, such as "*Are you satisfied with your life*?" or "*Overall how would you rate your mental health*?".

<sup>23.</sup> Chin (2010), Eurofound (2012), Mulinari et al. (2015), Lacroix and Chaton (2015), Artazcoz et al. (2016), Llorca et al. (2018).

- household characteristics: sex (SEX), marital status (MAR), household size (HSIZE)
and disposable income (INC);
- dwelling characteristics: dwelling type (DWTY), presence or absence of roof leaks, damp

walls/floors/foundations, rot in window frames or floor (LEAK), and exposure and daylight
 (DARK), surface (SURF), dwelling age (DWAGE), and urban characteristics (RURAL).

- The variable dwelling age was used as a proxy for the energy-efficiency level of the dwelling.
- In particular, dwellings built before the first French thermal regulation in 1974 are assumed to be less energy efficient;
- Climate characteristics (CLIMHFR): (inner) Paris (CLIMFR1), Parisian (CLIMFR2),
   East and Centre-East (CLIMFR3), North and South (CLIMFR4), and West, South-West
   and Mediterranean (CLIMFR5 8) regions.
- 358

For the CLIMHFR variable, I adopted the official classification of France into eight zones having different climate characteristics, *i.e.* FR1 to FR8 (see Table 1).

All control variables were extracted from the EU-SILC database. By incorporating them in estimations, I help control for factors that may confound or mediate the relationship between health and fuel poverty. In this context, in addition to the impact of fuel poverty, I paid particular attention to the effect of income on self-assessed health outcomes because such effects have been extensively analysed in the literature.

Finally, I also incorporated two other variables in the estimations called instrumental variables:

Housing retrofit subsidy (RETRO);

- Energy prices (P).
- 370

I discussed in detail the issues with these two variables in Sub-section 3.2.1.

372

I ran all estimations on unbalanced samples to obtain the maximum number of observations. After merging, the sample contained 62,538 individuals older than 16 years observed from 2004 to 2015 for up to 9 years (rotating panel), corresponding to 242,424 observations. Because there are usually several individuals per household, for each household, I kept only the individual who answered the survey to avoid subjective bias. Therefore, the final sample was composed of 29,681 individuals, corresponding to 122,328 observations. All non-dummy variables used in estimations were log-transformed.

Variables and data sources are summarised in Table 1.

Variable	Header	Description	Source
		Endogenous variable	
Health status	HEALTH	Dummy: 1 if "Very good", "Good"or "Fairly good", 0 other- wise	EU-SILC
		Exogenous variables	
		Fuel-poverty indicators	
10% indicator	FPOV		Author calculation. <i>Cf.</i> Sub-section 3.1.9
Financial ability to maintain an appropriate level of warmth	TEM	Dummy: 1 if yes. 0 otherwise	EU-SILC
Dwelling difficult to heat	DIFFH	Dummy: 1 if yes, 0 otherwise	EU-SILC
	Cor	trols: household characteristics	
$-\overline{Sex}$	$-S\overline{E}\overline{X}^{-}$	Dummy: 1 if male, 0 otherwise	$\overline{E}\overline{U}$ - $\overline{S}ILC$
Marital status	MAR	Dummy: 1 if single, 0 otherwise	EU-SILC
Household size	HSIZE	Number of consumption units $(cu)$	EU-SILC
Disposable income	INC	÷	EU-SILC
	Co	ntrols: dwelling characteristics	
Dwelling type	DWTY	Dummy: I if apartment, 0 otherwise	EU-SILC
Leaks, damp and rot	LEAK	Dummy: 1 if yes, 0 otherwise	EU-SILC
Exposure and natural lighting	DARK	Dummy: 1 if dark, 0 otherwise	EU-SILC
Dwelling surface area	SURF	Surface in $m^2$	EU-SILC
Dwelling construction date	DWAGE	Dummy: 1 if post-1974 building $^{a}$ , 0 otherwise	EU-SILC
Rural commune	RU RAL	Dummy: 1 if $< 2000$ inhabitants, 0 otherwise	EU-SILC
	Ŭ	ntrols: climate characteristics	
$\overline{Climate zone FR1}$ = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	$\overline{CLIMFR1} = \overline{C}$	- Dummy: I if located in Paris, 0 otherwise	$\overline{Official} \operatorname{definition} \overline{v} = \overline{v} = \overline{v} = \overline{v} = \overline{v}$
Climate zone FR2	CLIMFR2	Dummy: 1 if located in Parisian region, 0 otherwise	Official definition $^{b}$
Climate zone FR3	CLIMFR3	Dummy: 1 if located in North, 0 otherwise	Official definition $^{b}$
Climate zone FR4	CLIMFR4	Dummy: 1 if located in the East or Center-East, 0 otherwise	Official definition <sup>b</sup>
	<u></u>	Instruments	דים ביובער
nousing retroite substay	DULAN	Dummy: 1 If yes, 0 otherwise	
Energy prices	P	I	Author calculation. <i>CJ.</i> Appendix B
	F		

Table 1 – Presentation of variables and data sources

a. 1974 corresponds to the date of the first thermal regulation in France. b. https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/fr/web/nuts/local-administrative-units

### 381 3.1.3 Summary statistics

In this section, I describe the summary statistics for the central variables, *i.e.* self-assessed 382 health status and fuel poverty. Below, I give the descriptive figures and provide the associated 383 tables in Appendix A. First, I describe the composition of the sample of households according to 384 the levels of the categorical health and fuel-poverty variables. Second, I describe the household 385 transition from one health and fuel-poverty status to another to ensure that there is a sufficient 386 intra-individual variability in these variables. The latter is important to verify when working with 387 panel data. I already verified that there is sufficient variation over time in self-assessed health-388 status and fuel-poverty variables to ensure that it is suitable to use panel data methodology. 389

Figure 1(a) shows that 25.92% of households in the sample declared that their health status is 390 "Fairly good". It also shows that 9.49% of households declared that they have a "Bad" or "Very 391 bad" health status, whereas 64.59% of them declared that they have a "Good" or "Very good" 392 health status. Regarding the intra-individual variation in the self-assessed health status, in partic-393 ular health improvement over time, Figure 1(b) shows for example that from year to year, 18.94% 394 of households declaring that they have a "Fairly good" health status declared that they have a 395 "Good" health status the following year. Similarly, 36.51% of households declaring that they have 396 a "Good" health status declared that they had a "Very good" health status the following year. I 397 observed the same transition rates for improvement when considering other health status levels. 398 The same is also true in the opposite direction, with health status worsening. For example, 11.65%399 of households declaring that they have a "Fairly good" health status declared that they have "Bad" 400 or "Very Bad" health status the following year. 401

Regarding the subjective fuel-poverty variables, Figure 1(c) shows that 25% of households de-402 clared that they have difficulty heating their dwelling and that one-third of households declared that 403 they encounter financial difficulties to satisfy their heating needs. Regarding the intra-individual 404 variation in subjective fuel poverty, Figure 1(d) shows that almost 14% of households declaring for 405 a given year that they had no difficulty heating their dwelling, declared that they had problems 406 the following year. Similarly, almost 43% of households declaring for a given year that they had 407 difficulty heating their dwelling declared that they did not encounter this difficulty the following 408 year. For the second subjective indicator of fuel poverty "Are you financially able to maintain an 409 adequate temperature in the dwelling?", Figure 1(e) shows that 39% of households declaring that 410 they have financial difficulty in a given year did not have the same problem the following year. 411 Their situation was therefore better. Conversely, almost 19.71% of households declaring at a given 412 year that they had no financial difficulty to heat their dwelling saw their situation worsen the 413 following year. 414

For the objective indicator of fuel poverty, *i.e.* the 10% indicator, calculations show that there are 10.21% of fuel-poor households in the sample. Of these, Figure 1(f) shows that 19.71% declared that they were fuel-poor during a given year, but became non-fuel-poor the following year. Further, 12.43% declared that they were not fuel-poor in a given year, but became fuel-poor the following year.

Interestingly, regarding the overlap between three measures of fuel poverty, statistics across fuelpoverty indicators show that 26.32% of individuals encounter at least one of the three fuel-poverty problems, *i.e.* being fuel-poor, declaring having financial ability to maintain an appropriate level of warmth, or declaring having a dwelling difficult to heat. They also show that 8.29% have two problems, and only 2.48% have the three problems at the same time.

425

In sum, in addition to giving a preliminary overview of the composition of the study sample,

the summary statistics mainly show that, from an econometric point of view, there is a sufficientvariability in central variables to use the panel-data methodology.



Figure 1. (a) Summary statistics for the self-assessed health status variable. Blue: frequency, Orange: percentage; (b) Intra-individual variation in the self-assessed health status. Each bar in each block of bar graphs represents one level of the self-assessed health status variable and gives the percentage of households that change levels, *i.e.* the intra-individual variation in health status from one year to the next; (c) Summary statistics for fuel poverty as approximated by "dwelling difficult to heat" and "household financial ability to heat its dwelling". Each bar gives the percentage of households declaring that they have a dwelling difficult to heat or that they have financial difficulties to heat their dwelling to an adequate temperature; (d) Intra-individual variation in fuel poverty as approximated by the variable "dwelling difficult to heat". Each bar in each block of bar graphs represents one level, *i.e.* "Yes" or "No" of the fuel-poverty variable and gives the percentage of households that change levels, *i.e.* the intra-individual variation; (e) Intra-individual variation in fuel poverty as approximated by the variable "household financial ability to heat its dwelling". Each bar in each block of bar graphs represents one level, *i.e.* "Yes" or "No" of the fuelpoverty variable and gives the percentage of households that change levels, *i.e.* the intra-individual variation in the household financial ability to heat its dwelling; (f) Intra-individual variation in the (objective) 10% indicator of fuel poverty.

### 429 3.2 Econometric specifications and findings

### 430 3.2.1 Is there any correlation between fuel poverty and self-assessed health status?

I start by studying the association between fuel poverty and self-assessed health status. I
estimated a fixed-effect model based on the following specifications:

$$\Delta y_{it} = a_i + \mu_t + \alpha \Delta x_{it} + \beta \Delta z_{it} + \Delta \epsilon_{it} , \qquad (5)$$

where  $y_{it}$  is health outcome,  $a_i$  represents unobserved individual fixed factors that are assumed to affect health outcome,  $\mu_t$  are time-specific effects,  $x_{it}$  is a fuel-poverty indicator (the treatment),  $z_{it}$ denotes the vector of other exogenous control variables, and  $\epsilon_{it}$  is an independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) disturbance term.  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the vectors of coefficients respectively associated with fuel poverty and control variables.

The model is estimated using the time first-difference estimator to remove individual hetero-438 geneity and, thus, its correlation with some explanatory variables. In particular, individuals do not 439 all have the same scale of perception when assessing their fuel-poverty and health statuses. Their 440 pessimism may be reflected in their answers to questions, thereby creating an endogeneity bias in 441 the estimates. Given that the most likely excluded variables that could cause a merely associative 442 relationship are time-persistent, especially relative to fuel-poverty changes, the first-difference es-443 timation should reduce the effect on the fuel-poverty estimates of excluded variables. In terms of 444 interpretation, the estimation of this specification determines, for a given individual, the impact 445 of variation of the fuel-poverty status between t-1 and t on the variation of his/her self-assessed 446 health status between t - 1 and t. 447

Table 2 gives the results of the estimation. As for all estimations presented throughout this paper, Models 1 to 4 differ according to their fuel-poverty indicator. In Model 1, I used the objective 10% indicator as calculated in Section 3.1.2. In Models 2 and 3, I used the two subjective indicators, namely "Are you financially able to maintain an adequate temperature in the dwelling?" and "Is your dwelling difficult or too expensive to heat to suitable level of warmth?". Finally, in Model 4, I simultaneously included all three fuel-poverty indicators.

Models 1 to 3 show that there is a significant negative correlation between fuel poverty and 454 self-assessed health status. In terms of magnitude, the fuel-poverty coefficients associated with 455 subjective indicators were higher than those associated with the objective indicator, *i.e.* -4.4%456 versus -6.4%. Model 4 confirms that the magnitude of the impact of fuel poverty is greater when 457 the objective 10% indicator is used *i.e.* -5% versus -2.9%. Most likely, the subjective dimension 458 in households' appreciation of their fuel-poverty situation exacerbates the magnitude of the ef-459 fect of fuel poverty on self-assessed heath state. Regarding the control variables, results of the 460 three models were quite similar. They showed a significant positive correlation between income 461 on self-assessed health status. In particular, individuals with high income, usually having a high 462 socio-economic standing, are expected to suffer less from fuel poverty because they can either af-463 ford energy or make their home more energy-efficient, and in turn their health is less affected. 464 This corroborates statements of previous studies. In fact, although the many studies examining 465 income inequality with regard to health interpreted the evidence differently, the large majority of 466 studies report that health tends to be worse in more unequal societies (Pickett and Wilkinson, 467 2015). Regarding dwelling characteristics, the results show that there is a significant and cor-468 rectly signed correlation between dwelling characteristics – in particular the presence or absence 469 of leaks/dampness and darkness – and dwelling energy efficiency, as assessed by dwelling age. The 470 remaining variables, *i.e.* dwelling type, surface and dwelling setting (urban or rural) are statically 471

non-significant. Finally, the results show that climate characteristics are significantly correlated
with self-assessed health status. In particular, living in (inner) Paris, the Parisian Region or the
North (where it's colder) is negatively correlated with self-assessed health status.

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
с	2.321	2.234	5.032	4.231
	$(2.821)^{***a}$	$(3.029)^{***}$	$(4.201)^{***}$	1.384
		Fuel pove	rty indicators	
$\overline{FPOV}$	-0.044			
	(-3.210)***	_	_	(-8.340)***
TEM		0.055	_	0.021
	_	$(3.011)^{***}$	_	$(4.110)^{***}$
DIFFH			-0.064	-0.029
	_	_	$(-8.671)^{***}$	(-1.982)**
	(	Control variables: h	ousehold character	istics
$\overline{S}\overline{E}\overline{X}$	0.030	$-\bar{0.002}$	0.003	0.011
	(0.920)	(1.300)	$(1.901)^*$	$(1.981)^{**}$
MAR	-0.247	-0.239	-0.897	-0.364
	(-1.340)	(-0.893)	(0.130)	(0.030)
HSIZE	0.302	0.138	0.932	0.645
	(0.011)	(0.282)	(0.021)	(1.021)
INC	0.031	0.036	0.021	0.012
	$(4.111)^{***}$	$(5.033)^{***}$	$(12.330)^{***}$	$(2.837)^{***}$
	_`'	Control variables:	dwelling characteri	= $         -$
$\overline{D}\overline{W}\overline{T}\overline{Y}^{$	0.339	$-\bar{0.122}$	0.404	0.212
	(0.112)	(0.291)	(0.910)	$(1.721)^*$
LEAKS	-0.033	-0.0352	-0.040	0.017
	(-13.240)***	(-4.321)***	(-9.000)***	(-3.421)***
DARK	-0.204	-0.165	-0.032	0.922
	(-0.041)	(-0.829)	(-0.140)	(0.002)
SURF	-0.006	-0.001	-0.003	-0.005
	(-2.210)**	(-1.281)	(-1.020)	(-1.991)**
DWAGE	0.003	0.003	0.062	0.0238
	$(2.276)^{***}$	$(3.362)^{***}$	$(2.237)^{**}$	$(4.239)^{***}$
RURAL	-0.231	0.322	0.391	-0.094
	(-0.040)	(0.280)	(0.032)	(-0.001)
		Control variables:	climate characteris	tics
$\overline{C}\overline{L}\overline{I}\overline{M}\overline{F}\overline{R}\overline{1}$	-0.002	-0.002	-0.005	-0.001
	(-12.240)***	(-19.903)***	(-14.132)***	(-8.389)***
CLIMFR2	-0.001	-0.003	-0.001	-0.004
	(-4.212)***	(-9.123)***	(-3.192)***	(-3.099)***
CLIMFR3	-0.021	-0.4352	-0.203	-0.023
	(3.370)***	(-0.030)	(1.231)	$(4.427)^{***}$
CLIMFR4	-0.322	-0.676	-0.982	-0.351
	(-0.200)	(-0.903)	(-1.102)	(-0.244)
$R^2$	0.323	0.402	0.490	0.389
Number of observations	102 041	102 129	101 876	101 299
Year fixed effects	Ves	Yes	Yes	Ves

Table 2 – Results of the estimation of fixed-effect models (1)

a. \*\*\* denotes significance at 1%, \*\* 5%, and \* 10%.

475

### 476 3.2.2 What's about causality between fuel poverty and self-assessed health status?

The estimation of the fixed-effects model shows that there is a significant correlation between fuel poverty and self-assessed health status, but is not enough to study the causal relationship between these two variables. Moreover, another limitation in the use of a fixed-effects model is

that it does not take into account the endogeneity bias, which refers to the fact that some time-480 varying observed or unobserved events that occur during a specific year may simultaneously affect 481 fuel poverty and health status. More specifically, the main requirement for consistent estimation 482 of  $\alpha$  within a standard regression analysis of Eq. (5), the condition that  $\operatorname{Cov}(x_{it}, \epsilon_{it}|z_{it}, \mu_t) = 0$ , 483 is unlikely to be met. Factors influencing fuel-poverty, such as individuals' expectations regarding 484 income or job loss, may also influence health, but were not observed and may bias estimates of  $\alpha$ 485 because these factors can have a direct effect on health in addition to their effect on fuel poverty. 486 The estimation of  $\alpha$  requires an instrument that predicts fuel poverty, but conditional on covari-487 ates, is not correlated with health outcome. Likewise, it is necessary to eliminate the unobserved 488 time-invariant individual-specific fixed effects  $a_i$ , and any time-varying unobserved effects  $\mu_t$  that 489 might affect health as well as fuel poverty. Therefore, to tackle with these challenges, I propose 490 the use of an instrumental fixed-effect specification of Eq. (5): 491 492

$$\Delta y_{it} = \alpha \Delta x_{it} + \beta \Delta z_{it} + \Delta \epsilon_{it} \tag{6}$$

Therefore, instrumental variables (IV) are used to predict changes in fuel-poverty variables,  $\Delta x_{it}$ . The combination of IV and fixed-effects estimation eliminates both potential sources of endogeneity – fixed individual characteristics that affect both health and fuel poverty in Eq. (5) and time-varying influences that affect both health and fuel poverty net of the influences of fixed characteristics – and, conditional on the assumptions of the model holding, the instrumental fixedeffects approach allows an unbiased estimation of the effect  $\alpha$  of fuel poverty on health in Eq. (5). A credible instrument must satisfy two conditions. First, it must be relevant – which means that

it must affect the probability of the treatment, *i.e.* fuel poverty. In a regression of the treatment 500 on the instrument, *i.e.* the first-stage equation, the coefficient of the IV must be sufficiently strong. 501 In our case, this means that the instruments must be significantly correlated with fuel poverty as 502 measured by objective or subjective indicators. Second, the instrument should satisfy the exclusion 503 restriction, which means that the instrument should affect the outcome, *i.e.* self-assessed health 504 status, exclusively through its effect on the treatment. When these two conditions are satisfied, 505 instruments give precise estimates of direct causal effects (Angrist et al. (1996), Heckman (2000, 506 2001), Adams et al. (2003)). 507

The choice of instruments often leads to debates due to the weak associations between the 508 treatment and the chosen instrument. It can thus be difficult to obtain precise estimates of direct 509 causal effects (Staiger and Stock, 1997). Instruments should therefore be carefully chosen, although 510 remarkably there is a consensus that proper instruments are very hard to find (Adams et al., 2003). 511 Ideally, they can be obtained through designed experiments, where random treatment assignment 512 precludes the possibility of confounding by common factors, provided recruitment and retention 513 of experimental subjects does not re-introduce confounding. In this study, economic events that 514 impact individuals differently and that are not related to their prior fuel poverty or health are po-515 tentially proper instruments. Table 3 presents some examples of instruments that have been used 516 in the health and development economics literature to study the question of the causality between 517 income (or alternatively wealth or socio-economic status) and health. Based on this literature, I 518 relied on the following two individual-specific instruments: 519

520

522

 $_{521}$  — IV(1): Housing retrofit subsidy <sup>24</sup>

Retrofit actions may not be exclusively devoted to enhance housing energy efficiency, and

<sup>24.</sup> In the EU-SILC database, this variable is called "Exceptional financial resources: receipt of housing retrofit subsidy".

are not necessarily a lever to fight residential fuel poverty. However, even though there is no 523 information in the EU-SILC database about how much of this subsidy was devoted to help 524 to improve energy efficiency, I assumed that it was primarily devoted to improving housing 525 energy efficiency. ADEME (2018)<sup>25</sup> argues that improving housing energy efficiency is the 526 foremost rationale for a household to undertake housing retrofit actions in France, and that 527 the most frequent housing retrofit actions by French households are housing insulation, *i.e.* 528 roof, walls, openings (windows and French window), and increasing the energy-efficiency of 529 the heating system. Moreover, ADEME (2018) adds that 83% of French households declare 530 that the retrofit actions undertaken indeed improved their housing thermal comfort. On 531 the other hand, the housing retrofit subsidy exogenously affects fuel poverty because its 532 amount does not depend on income (which enters in the calculation of the 10% objective 533 fuel-poverty indicator). In particular, in France, one of the most efficient energy retrofit 534 measures, the Tax Credit for Energy Transition  $^{26}$  – which is a tax provision that allows 535 households to reduce their income tax by up to 30% of the expenditures made to undertake 536 actions devoted to improve energy efficiency of the dwelling – is distributed by the govern-537 ment independently of income level (ADEME, 2018). 538

Based on this, I conjecture that the housing retrofit subsidy is a relevant instrument (exogenously affecting fuel poverty) and satisfies the exclusion restriction (its effect on health is only indirect and goes through fuel poverty).

- IV(2): Energy prices

In previous studies dealing with the causality between health and income, "Food prices" and - more generally – "Commodity prices" have usually been used as instruments (Thomas and Strauss (1997) and Brückner and Ciccone (2007). *Cf.* Table 3). By analogy and in the context of residential energy (heating) demand and the associated fuel-poverty issue, I propose energy prices as an instrument. I conjecture that an exogenous increase in energy prices, in particular gas and electricity <sup>27</sup> engenders an increase in residential energy expenditures, thus, in the probability to be pushed into fuel poverty. Thus, there is a direct link between energy prices and fuel poverty and energy prices are a relevant instrument (condition 1).

As for the exclusion condition (condition 2), it may likely be violated because health outcomes can be directly and negatively affected by an increase in energy prices. A channel for such negative effects goes through the competition between energy and health expenditures that an increase in energy prices may trigger: after a shock in energy prices, there will be less money for energy and health. Two assumptions are possible <sup>28</sup>:

557 558

559

542

— Assumption 1: there is less money for residential energy. The individual decides to reduce his/her residential energy consumption to maintain his/her health (and other)

26. Le Crédit d'Impôt pour la Transition Énergétique (CITE).

<sup>25.</sup> Based on the results of the "Energy retrofit actions for independent dwelling" survey dealing with the 2014-2016 period (Enquête "Travaux de Rénovation Énergétique des Maisons Individuelles" (TREMI)).

<sup>27.</sup> Gas and electricity are the main sources of energy used for residential heating in France. For example, in 2015, 39% of households used gas and 35% used electricity (INSEE, 2017).

<sup>28.</sup> The distribution of total budget to different item of expenditures, in particular residential heating and health depends on several determinants, particularly income. After an increase in energy prices, a poor individual will probably quickly change his/her habits and reduce his/her energy consumption to cope with high heating expenditures. However, an affluent individual will look for more energy-efficient equipment to maintain his/her thermal comfort and also reduce his/her medium- and long-term heating expenditures. In all cases, the trade-off between residential energy and health expenditures is a complex process and goes beyond the aim of this paper. For this reason, assumptions 1 and 2 below should be considered bearing in mind that they correspond to a simplified framework to look at possible trade-off between residential energy and health expenditures. I note that, unless I'm mistaken, there is currently no published study on the effect of the competition between energy and health expenditures.

expenditures. This can engender an energy deprivation situation leading to fuel poverty, which itself can induce a negative effect on health outcomes. In this case, the effect of an increase in energy prices on health is indirect and goes through fuel poverty. The instrument satisfies the exclusion condition.

Assumption 2: there is less money for health costs. The individual prefers thermal comfort to the detriment of health. Then the effect of an increase in energy prices on health will not go through fuel poverty. It will be direct and takes the form of a reduction in the demand for health care services, which can itself generate an additional stressful situation that can exacerbate the negative impact of energy prices on health. In this case, the exclusion condition is violated.

Which assumption is more realistic? Answering this question is difficult, although intuitively individuals may be expected to reduce their heating expenditures rather than their health expenditures. On the other hand, the direct and immediate effect of an increase in energy prices on health will operate through stress – whose consequences on health and health expenditures take time to manifest themselves – rather than a reduction in the demand for health care services, and thus health expenditures. Thus, assumption 2 is not applicable.

Although this statement is still hypothetical, official French statistics from the INSEE <sup>29</sup> show that the weight of health expenditures in the household budget has quadrupled over the last 60 years due to a sharp increase in overall health expenditures (caused, inter alia, by the ageing of the population) and to the decline in health expenditures ensured by society at large <sup>30</sup>. Moreover, the same official statistics show that, while between 1960 and 2017 residential expenditures, including energy expenditures, have increased by 3.1%, health expenditures have increased by 4.9% <sup>31</sup>.

- By considering these points, I reasonably assume that Assumption 1, according to which the instrument satisfies the exclusion condition applies: the effect of energy prices' increase on heath outcomes is indirect and goes through fuel poverty.
- 588

585

586

560

561

562

563

570

<sup>29.</sup> https://www.insee.fr/fr/statistiques/3303442?sommaire=3353488. "Institut National des Statistiques et des Études Économiques" (INSEE).

<sup>30.</sup> https://www.lafinancepourtous.com/decryptages/finance-perso/revenus/consommation/evolution-consommation\_menages/.

<sup>31.</sup> Although these aggregated statistics can be insightful, they should be interpreted with caution because they hide several economic, geographical and gender disparities.

Pritchett and Summers (1996) R		Instrument(s)
	<ul> <li>Aelationship between income and health (country level).</li> <li>Outcome: health</li> <li>Treatment: income</li> </ul>	1) Terms of trade, 2) Investment ratio, 3) Black market premium, 4) Price level distortion.
Ettner (1996) R le	celationship between income and health (individual evel). Outcome: health — Treatment: income	1) State unemployment rate, 2) Work experience, 3) Parental education, 4) Spousal characteris- tics.
Thomas and Strauss (1997) R	telationship between health of wages for men and women. — Outcome: wages — Treatment: health indicators	1) Relative food prices, 2) Non-labour income.
Meer et al. (2003) R	<ul> <li>telationship between health and wealth (individual level).</li> <li>— Outcome: health</li> <li>— Treatment: wealth</li> </ul>	1) Inheritances.
Adams et al. (2003) C (i	<ul><li>Jausal paths between health and socio-economic status individual level).</li><li>— Outcome: health</li></ul>	1) Economic events that impact individuals differently and that are not related to their prior socio-economic status or health, <i>i.e.</i> a tax change that affects wealth differently, 2) Change in mandated Medicaid coverage that has a differential impact across States, 3) Individual events such as receipt of inheritances <sup>a</sup> .
Brückner and Ciccone (2007) $R$ (c	<ul> <li>treatment: socio-economic status.</li> <li>celationship between growth, democracy, and civil war country level).</li> <li>Outcome: civil wars</li> <li>Treatment: economic growth</li> </ul>	1) Growth rate of current and lagged rainfall, 2) Commodity price index growth rate.
Chin (2010) R	telationship between income, health, and well-being (in- ividual level).	1) Interaction between the price of salt and the number of household members, 2) Interaction between regional rainfall and a binary variable of individual land ownership, 3) A variety of alternative instrumental variables: interactions, lags, and non-linear transformations of rainfall levels, rainfall growth, rainfall levels in the lowest $10^{th}$ and $25^{th}$ percentiles of total rainfall, number of 'economically productive' male and female children (ages 10-49), their mortality and
	<ul> <li>Outcome: health and well-being</li> <li>Treatment: income</li> </ul>	SCA-LARIOS DY HOUSCHOUL
C Kuehnle (2014) C	Jausal effect of family income on child health (individual svel).	1) Local unemployment rate, 2) Lagged local unemployment rate (by one year), 3) Average local unemployment rate (averaged over available waves, 4) Business growth rate and regional GDI (gross domestic income per head), 5) Local unemployment rate, business growth rate and regional
	<ul> <li>Outcome: health</li> <li>Treatment: income</li> </ul>	GDI, b) Average local unemployment rate, business growth rate and regional GDI.

The instrumental fixed-effect model was estimated in two steps. The first-stage least squares estimation model is defined as:

$$x_{it} = b_i + \eta_t + \gamma I_{it} + \delta z_{it} + \xi_{it} , \qquad (7)$$

where  $b_i$  represents unobserved time-invariant fixed factors, which may be correlated with the fixed 591 unobserved characteristics  $a_i$  in Eq. (5), and that affect an individual's fuel-poverty situation, 592  $\eta_t$  are unobserved time-varying factors that affect instrument(s) and income,  $x_{it}$  is a measure 593 of fuel poverty,  $I_{it}$  is a vector of instruments that directly affects fuel poverty and indirectly 594 affects the second-stage health outcome  $y_{it}$  and  $z_{it}$  is a vector of individual, housing and climate 595 characteristics. Finally,  $\xi_{it}$  denotes unobserved factors that are uncorrelated with  $z_{it}$  and the 596 second-stage error term  $\epsilon_{it}$  in Eq.(5). The fixed-effects estimation is applied to Eq. (7) to control 597 for both the time-varying unobserved factors  $\eta_t$  and unobserved fixed factors  $b_i$ : 598

$$\Delta x_{it} = \alpha \Delta I_{it} + \beta \Delta z_{it} + \Delta \xi_{it}. \tag{8}$$

Thus, the excluded variable bias arising from the potential correlation between the instruments  $I_{it}$  and the individual-specific time-invariant unobservables  $b_i$  is adequately addressed by fixed effects because the change in instruments must be exogenous.

Table 4 presents the results of the first-stage regression. I report only results showing the statistical significance of the IV, because they are the main interest in this first-stage regression. They show that there is a significant correlation between the instruments and fuel poverty. I expected credible estimates of direct causal effects with these instruments. I conclude that the instruments are sufficiently strong that I can implement an IV strategy to estimate the direct causal effects of fuel poverty on self-assessed health status (Angrist et al. (1996), Staiger and Stock (1997), Heckman (2000, 2001)).

Table 5 gives the results of the instrumental fixed-effects model. The results of the four models 609 show that fuel poverty has significant negative effects on self-assessed health status. In particular, 610 this effect ranges from -10.90% to -13% depending on which fuel-poverty indicator is used. A 611 10% increase in the objective fuel-poverty indicator induces a 10.9% decrease in the number of 612 households declaring that they have a very good general health status. For the subjective fuel-613 poverty measures, the results show that a 10% increase in the number of households declaring that 614 they are financially able to satisfy their heating needs (see Model 2) engenders a 13% decrease in 615 the number of households declaring the they have a very good general health status. Thus, the 616 negative effect is greater when fuel poverty is approximated using subjective indicators. When 617 using the three fuel-poverty indicators in the same regression (Model 4), the results show that 618 estimation of fuel-poverty effect is still higher in the case of subjective indicators. Based on 619 previous literature, I again conjecture that the estimated effect of fuel poverty on self-assessed 620 health status is slightly exacerbated when indicators are based on personal opinions, standards 621 and judgement. In particular, Llorca et al. (2018) argue that individuals who rate themselves as 622 fuel poor tend to report poorer health status. Lacroix and Chaton (2015) add that a person in fuel 623 poverty is (2.36 percentage points) more likely to report poor or fair health status than a person 624 who is not in fuel poverty. 625

When looking at control variables, the results of the four models support similar conclusions. The results from Model 1, in which the objective measure of fuel poverty is used, show that there is a negative causality between sex and self-assessed health status. The same holds when looking at the impact of household size and self-assessed health status. Interestingly, results also show that an increase in income has a positive impact on self-assessed health status which corroborates
previous results (see Section 2). As explained above, this effect is more stronger when the objective
fuel-poverty indicator is used (Model 1).

Considering dwelling characteristics, variables describing home dampness and leaks negatively impact self-reported health status, whereas dwelling energy efficiency operates in the opposite direction. The results of Models 2 and 3 give the same results as Model 1. Finally, regarding climate characteristics, results generally show some evidence of a negative and statistically significant effect of living in a cold region and self-assessed health status (in particular in Models 1 and 4) (Charlier and Kahouli, 2019).

Since it is well-established that the effect of fuel poverty on health is not immediate, manifesting 639 itself on the medium or long term, I re-estimated the previous instrumental fixed-effects model 640 by modifying the date at which the fuel-poverty variable is observed. I note that through this 641 additional specification, I aim to test the assumption according to which the negative impact of 642 fuel poverty on health is not immediate. It takes time to manifest itself in terms of poor health. By 643 extension, I also aim to link, even implicitly, this analysis to the literature dealing with scarring 644 effects which means that the longer the duration of time spent in fuel poverty the greater the 645 potential effect on health (Roberts et al., 2015)<sup>32</sup>. 646

647 The lagged specification is written as follows:

$$\Delta y_{it} = \alpha \Delta x_{i(t-k)} + \beta \Delta z_{it} + \Delta \epsilon_{it}. \tag{9}$$

The variables in Eq. (9) are defined as in Eq. (6) except the variable  $x_{i(t-k)}$ , which denotes henceforth the lagged fuel-poverty variable. In other words, I estimated the model by considering the contemporary and lagged impact of fuel poverty on self-assessed health status. I ran several estimates, but only report results from estimations with the impact of fuel poverty at periods (t)and (t-1) on self-assessed health status at period (t).

I note that some variables related to household and dwelling characteristics were not statistically significant in the previous estimation (Table 5), as in the preliminary estimation of correlations (Table 2), *i.e.* marital status, dwelling darkness and urban setting. Therefore, I removed these variables from the lagged IV estimation.

Table 6 presents the results of regressing fuel poverty at periods (t) and (t-1) on self-assessed 657 health status at period (t). Interestingly, they now show that the coefficients associated with fuel-658 poverty indicators at period (t) are statistically non-significant although correctly signed. However, 659 they are statistically significant at period (t-1). This means that the effect of fuel poverty is de-660 ferred (not immediate). Fuel poverty takes time to translate into negative health consequences. For 661 the objective indicator of fuel poverty, *i.e.* the 10% indicator, the impact of fuel poverty is slightly 662 lower than for the subjective indicators. More specifically, while for the objective indicator a 10%663 increase of fuel poor households induces a 14.1% decrease in the number of households declaring 664 that they have a good or very good self-assessed health status, it induces 21.1% decrease in the 665 number of households declaring that they have a good, very good or fairly good health status when 666 the financial ability to maintain an appropriate level of warmth is used as fuel poverty indicator. 667 When considering the regression containing the three fuel-poverty indicators, the causal impact of 668 fuel-poverty on self-assessed health status is still significant for each of the three indicators, but 669 more important when the subjective indicators are used. The effects of the control variable are 670

<sup>32.</sup> Although the model that I propose does not permit to analyse the dynamic aspect related to scarring effects and how the exit and re-entry into fuel poverty are influenced by housing and household characteristics, it is important to bear in mind that a reliable medium or long-term analysis of consequences of fuel poverty on health outcomes should take into account household fuel poverty situation at different time periods.

<sup>671</sup> relatively similar to those in the non-lagged model.

In summary, in light of my main question, the causality analysis based on the instrumental fixed-effects model shows that there is a significant causal relationship between fuel poverty and self-assessed health status. The magnitude of this causality, even small, appears to be more important when a subjective fuel-poverty indicator is used. It also shows that the negative impact of fuel poverty on health is deferred.

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
		Instrumer	ntal variables	
$\overline{IV(1)}$ : $\overline{Housing}$ retrofit subsidy ( <i>RETRO</i> )	-0.021	-0.019	-0.056	-0.0437
	(-12.374)*** <sup>a</sup>	(-8.368)***	$(-9.030)^{***}$	$(-15.000)^{***}$
IV(2): Energy prices $(P)$	3.010	2.382	2.763	1.973
	$(2.786)^{***}$	$(2.149)^{**}$	$(3.305)^{***}$	$(5.972)^{***}$
Control variables	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Adj $R^2$	0.231	0.197	0.184	0.326
Fisher's statistic $^{b}$	18.412***	$18.106^{***}$	19.923***	$20.054^{***}$

Table 4 – Results of the estimation of the first-stage regression

a. \*\*\* denotes significance at 1%, \*\* 5%, and \* 10%.

b. Weak instruments.

676

### **4** Conclusion and policy recommendations

In this article, I studied the causal relationship between fuel poverty and self-assessed health status by using a large scale nationally representative dataset and an instrumental fixed-effects model. I considered objective as well as subjective indicators of fuel poverty. The results show that there is a significant causal relationship between fuel poverty and self-assessed health status regardless of the fuel-poverty indicator and that this causal effect shows a lag in time. The results also show that the magnitude of causality is greater for subjective measures of fuel poverty.

Throughout this study, I aimed to shed light on the need to take overall health into account 684 when defining policy measures devoted to fighting fuel poverty in the residential sector. Negative 685 impacts on health may represent an additional potential argument to enhance efforts to combat 686 fuel poverty by investing in curative and preventive energy efficiency schemes. For example, in 687 January 2018, an Energy Voucher was created as a curative measure in France to replace social 688 tariffs of electricity and gas. Likewise, preventive measures that focus on improving dwelling energy efficiency have also recently been developed in France, *i.e.* diagnosis of energy use, financial sup-690 port for retrofit measures. Furthermore, the negative impacts of residential fuel poverty on health 691 may lend support to the idea that fighting this problem may constitute a key lever for reducing 692 public expenditures on health care on the medium and long term. A recent study, based on the 693 HHSRS Ezratty et al. (2017), assessed the cost of inefficient dwellings for the French health sector 694 as well as the cost benefits of housing improvements and thermal upgrades in France. It shows 695 that the reduction in the annual health cost due to upgrading energy-inefficient dwellings occupied 696 by low-income households is equal to  $\notin$  614.7 M. The authors argue that it is important to assess 697 the benefits of improving housing conditions for the health sector and call for the development of 698 methodologies to carry out this type of assessment. This argument provides the rationale for pro-699 moting expenditures on home-improvement schemes, because they represent savings in health-care 700 costs. 701

<sup>702</sup> Nevertheless, although, both studies based on experimental designs and observational data ar-

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
		Fuel pov	erty indicators	
$F\bar{P}\bar{O}V$	-0.109			-0.083
	$(-1.970)^{**}$	—		(-3.238)***
TEM	—	0.112	—	0.143
	—	$(1.711)^*$	—	$(2.647)^{***}$
DIFFH	—	—	-0.130	-0.132
		—	$(-2.098)^{***}$	(-1.983)**
	(	Control variables:	household character	ristics
$\overline{SEX}$	0.023	-0.034	0.021	0.045
	$(3.112)^{***}$	$(3.209)^{***}$	$(4.298)^{***}$	$(1.983)^{**}$
MAR	0.233	0.782	0.521	(-0.313)
	(0.023)	(0.411)	(0.240)	(1.349)
HSIZE	-0.002	-0.001	-0.002	-0.004
	(-2.121)**	(-1.873)*	(-1.994)**	(-2.103)**
INC	0.078	0.0283	0.0231	0.098
	$(4.134)^{***}$	$(3.292)^{***}$	$(3.604)^{***}$	$(6.239)^{***}$
	`′	Control variables:	dwelling character	$$ $$
$\overline{DWTY}$	0.031	$-\bar{0.021}$	$\overline{0.028}$	0.012
	$(1.973)^{**}$	$(2.041)^{**}$	$(1.991)^{**}$	$(2.310)^{***}$
LEAKS	-0.001	-0.002	-0.003	0.003
	$(-2.230)^{***}$	(-5.293)***	$(-3.030)^{***}$	(-1.983)**
DARK	-0.631	-0.223	-0.323	-0.231
	(-0.081)	(-0.009)	(-0.000)	(0.023)
SURF	-0.002	-0.003	-0.003	-0.023
	$(-2.012)^{**}$	$(-1.991)^{**}$	$(-2.090)^{***}$	$(-3.289)^{***}$
DWAGE	0.021	0.187	0.112	0.231
	$(1.895)^{**}$	$(2.022)^{**}$	$(2.117)^{**}$	$(3.128)^{***}$
RURAL	0.003	-0.002	-0.001	0.002
	(0.700)	(-0.001)	(-0.003)	(0.000)
	` '	Control variables:	climate characteri	stics
$\overline{CLIMFR1}$		-0.003	-0.004	-0.001
	(-10.320)***	(-9.973)***	(-6.101)***	(-8.809)***
CLIMFR2	-0.001	-0.003	-0.002	-0.004
0.011011.102	(-2.212)**	(-4.163)***	(-5.102)***	(-8.999)***
CLIMFR3	-0.060	0.4352	0.203	-0.037
	(1.790)**	(0.030)	(1.231)	(-2.427)***
CLIMFR4	-0.302	-0.676	-0.231	-0.351
	(-0.000)	(-0.000)	(-0.002)	(-0.004)
Year fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
KP LM Underid	35.231***	34.239***	31.340***	29.239***
KP Wald F-test	20.233***	19.349***	18.390***	21.382***
Sargan <i>p</i>	0.064	0.056	0.060	0.074
P	0.001	2.000	5.000	

	Table 5 –	Causality	analysis:	results of	f the	instrumental	fixed-effects	model
--	-----------	-----------	-----------	------------	-------	--------------	---------------	-------

gue for causality between residential fuel poverty and health outcomes, there is still a need more 703 detailed analyses of causality identification. At the same time, results of such analyses should 704 be considered in addition to other ones dealing with the definition, the measurement, and the 705 socio-economic consequences of fuel poverty. The later represents in fact a multidimensional phe-706 nomenon that must be fought as part of an integrated approach taking into account its multiple 707 facets. Therefore, (claims for the) identification of causality in this article is not intended to pro-708 vide an optimal solution to the problem of fuel poverty but rather to highlight the existence of a 709 lever of action which is still until now unconsidered in terms of public policies despite the growing 710 recognition and political sensitivity to the adverse welfare impacts of fuel poverty, *i.e.* impacts on 711 physical and mental health and on educational attainments. 712

<sup>713</sup> I conclude this article by giving some avenues for future research that can improve on this <sup>714</sup> study. First, some populations, namely infants and the elderly, are more vulnerable than others

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
		Fuel pover	ty indicators	
$\bar{F}\bar{P}\bar{O}\bar{V}$	-0.201			-0.192
	(-0.970)	_	_	(-0.203)
lag1FPOV	-0.141	_	_	-0.173
	$(-12.070)^{***}$	_	_	$(-15.933)^{***}$
TEM	_	0.111	—	0.321
	_	(0.211)	_	(0.722)
lag1TEM	_	0.211	_	0.287
C .	_	$(12.001)^{**}$	_	$(4.383)^{***}$
lDIFFH	_		0.183	-0.291
	_	_	$(1.672)^*$	(-1.123)
lag1DIFFH	_	_	-0.124	-0.231
C .	_	_	(-11.033)***	(-15.343)***
	<u>c</u>	ontrol variables: ho	ousehold characteris	-
$\overline{SEX}$	0.003	$-\bar{0}.\bar{0}2\bar{3}$	0.034	0.004
	$(8.649)^{***}$	$(4.942)^{***}$	$(4.122)^{***}$	$(9.342)^{***}$
HSIZE	-0.023	-0.098	-0.076	-0.021
	(-11.404)***	(-9.211)***	(-6.622)***	(-8.323)***
INC	0.073	0.089	0.093	0.065
	$(5.182)^{***}$	$(5.928)^{***}$	$(3.091)^{***}$	(7.939)***
	`′	Control variables: d	welling characteris	tics
$\overline{DWTY}$	0.015	0.021	0.017	0.0348
	$(3.933)^{***}$	$(4.261)^{**}$	$(5.221)^{***}$	$(3.130)^{***}$
LEAKS	-0.003	-0.004	-0.004	0.006
	$(-2.984)^{***}$	(-3.483)***	$(-1.983)^{***}$	(-3.243)***
SURF	-0.087	-0.034	-0.044	-0.078
	$(-2.233)^{***}$	$(-4.741)^{***}$	$(-3.134)^{***}$	(-5.923)***
DWAGE	0.013	0.015	0.019	0.034
	$(23.985)^{***}$	$(18.834)^{***}$	$(14.000)^{***}$	$(9.632)^{***}$
		Control variables: o	limate characterist	ics
$\overline{CLIMFR1}$	-0.010	-0.045	-0.035	-0.039
	$(-2.540)^{**}$	(-4.323)***	(-8.124)***	(-6.039)***
CLIMFR2	-0.021	-0.002	-0.002	-0.054
	$(-3.534)^{***}$	(-2.003)**	$(-3.093)^{**}$	(-4.403)**
CLIMFR3	-0.004	0.001	0.001	-0.032
	(2.730)**	(0.030)	$(1.671)^*$	(-2.290)**
CLIMFR4	-0.041	-0.0376	0.231	-0.021
	(-3.124)***	(-0.020)	(0.112)	(-0.012)
Year fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
KP LM Underid	30.121***	26.200***	28.910***	28.999***
KP Wald F-test	20.123***	22.002***	20.123***	18.122***
Sargan $p$	0.055	0.053	0.059	0.069

Table 6 – Lagged causality analysis: results of the instrumental fixed-effects model

to poor housing conditions and the health impacts may be more harmful for them. Therefore, 715 a separate analysis on different populations, with a distinction between infants and the elderly, 716 may provide more relevant conclusions. Second, a growing body of literature shows the increasing 717 interest in the determinants of mental health and social well-being. Incipiently, a focus on the con-718 sequences of housing conditions and residential fuel poverty on mental heath and social well-being 719 would expand this analysis to these determinants of overall health. Considering these two areas of 720 research within the framework of a dynamic monitoring goal of fuel poverty represents a fruitful 721 and challenging area of research. In fact, because of the dynamic character of fuel poverty, there 722 is a need for reliable estimation of scarring effects to obtain a reliable assess its health impacts. 723

To explore these avenues of research, improvements are sorely needed in data collection to enhance the quality and quantity of data on residential fuel poverty and health. When I started working on the question of the relationship between residential fuel poverty and health, the chal-

lenge was to find empirical data. Although the EU-SILC database has valuable longitudinal data, 727 it still suffers from a dearth of data on health and housing conditions and is generally not designed 728 to explore the relationship between fuel poverty and health. The medium- and long-term effects 729 need to be analyzed in a more relevant way by using realistic data to monitor the fuel poverty 730 and health conditions of households over several years and to study different populations according 731 to age. Ideally, this database should be interdisciplinary and combine economic, epidemiological, 732 medical, geographical setting and location data on households' fuel poverty and health situation. 733 The development of this type of database is needed not only on a national, but also a European 734 level and should be developed using general harmonized rules that can be adapted to national 735 specificities to allow for international comparisons and feedback. 736

### 737 Acknowledgments

The author wishes to express her gratitude to the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments. She also thanks David Ormandy (University of Warwick, UK) and Véronique Ezratty
(Medical service, Électricité de France (ÉDF), France) for their useful comments on a preliminary
version of the article.

This study was financially supported by the French Energy Council ("*Conseil Français de l'Energie*" (CFE)). All errors remain with the authors. The usual disclaimer applies.

Summary st.	atistics of cen	tral variables - S	self-assess	ed heal	th stat	us and fu	el pove	irty
Table A.1 – Summar variable	y statistics for the se	lf-assessed health status	Table A.2 – ] status	Intra-indivic	dual variat	ion in the self-	-assessed h	ealth
VallaUte	Frequency	Percentage	entrate	Verv had	Bad	Fairly good	Good	Verv good
Very bad	1.49	1.22	Very bad	34.09	48.18	12.58	4.78	0.38
Bad	10.11	8.27	$\operatorname{Bad}$	6.87	49.5	34.65	8.36	0.61
Fairly good	31.7 5	25.92	Fairly good	0.64	11.01	56.23	29.51	2.61
Good	55.22	45.15	Good	0.15	1.92	18.94	66.06	12.93
Very good	23.78	19.44	Very good	0.07	0.55	4.99	36.51	57.88
Table A.3 – Summar	v statistics for fuel r	overty as approximated						
by the two subjective	e indicators of fuel po	verty	Table A.4 – I motod by the	ntra-indivic	lual variati	on in fuel pov	erty as apl	oroxi-
		Percentage	am an an an	varraure uv	wennig uni	carr to near		
Dwelling not difficult	to heat	74.76			Not diffic	ult to heat	Diifficult	to heat
Dwelling diifficult to	heat	25.24	Not difficult 1	to heat	86.13		13.87	
Financial ability to n	naintain adequate temp	. 69.21	Difficult to h	eat	42.93		57.07	
No financial ability to	maintain adequate ten	ър. 30.79						
	۔ و ا							
by the two subjective	y statistics for ruer p indicators of fuel po	ooverty as approximated werty						
			- - - -			-		
	Financial ability to	Financial inability	Table A.0 – I	ntra-indivic	iual variati	on in tuel pov	erty as apl	Jrox1-
	maintain adequate temp.	to maintain ade- quate temp.	mated by the	variable "dv	wennng ann	cult to near		
Financial ability to	ĸ				Fuel-poor		Not fuel	poor
maintain adequate	87.33	12.67	Fuel-poor		80.29		19.71	
temp.			Not fuel-poor		12.43		87.57	
No financial abil-								
ity to maintain ad-	35.91	64.09						
equate temp.								

K

# <sup>744</sup> B Methodology used to calculate individual-specific energy <sup>745</sup> prices

The EU-SILC database does not provide data on individual-specific energy prices. We need to calculate these prices by merging data from three databases namely EU-SILC, PHEBUS<sup>33</sup>, and PEGASE<sup>34</sup>. To do so, we considered two crucial points.

First, the energy tariff in France depends on the power needed for space and water heating, appliances, lighting and cooking, etc. This power itself depends on the structure of the energy mix in the dwelling (share of gas and electricity) and the size of the dwelling (surface area). For instance, the electricity tariff is not the same for a dwelling using gas for heating and a dwelling using electricity for a given surface area. Such information on tariffs is available in PHEBUS database.

Second, we needed to associate the energy tariff (divided by the price of the base fee and the unit cost of kWh) with each dwelling (household), which depends on its surface area and its energy mix. For each household, we must determine an electricity tariff and a gas tariff.

From a practical point of view, to include energy prices in the EU-SILC database, we used the three following steps:

First, we split the EU-SILC database into categories according to the surface of the dwelling
(10 classes), the share of electricity expenditures (10 classes from 0% to 100%) and the share
of gas expenditures (10 classes from 0% to 100%).

Second, we split the PHEBUS database into the same categories. Because for each category
 of household, the tariff for electricity and gas is given in PHEBUS database, we incorporated
 this information (tariffs) into the EU-SILC database. This step let us attribute an electricity

and gas tariff for each housing unit in the EU-SILC database.

Finally, we used information provided in the PEGASE database to assign to each energy tariff in the EU-SILC database an energy price covering base fees and consumption.

More details about the merging process can be found in Charlier and Kahouli (2019).

<sup>33.</sup> The "PHEBUS" database ("*Performance de l'Habitat, Equipements, Besoins et Usages de l'énergie*") is especially devoted to the in-depth analysis of the fuel poverty issue in France. This database was compiled from April to October 2013 by the Ministry of Ecology, Sustainable Development and Energy ("*Ministère de l'Ecologie, du Développement durable et de l'Energie*"; MEDDE)), the General Commission for Sustainable Development ("*Commissariat Général au Développement Durable*" (CGDD)), and the Department of Observation and Statistics ("*Service de l'observation et des statistiques*"; SOeS). It has two parts: (1) a face-to-face interview with the occupants of the home about their energy consumption, expenditures and attitudes and (2) an energy-efficiency diagnosis of the dwelling. In particular, "PHEBUS" contains information describing the household, *i.e.* the amount of energy expenditures, attitudes toward energy consumption, disposable income, age, etc., and dwelling characteristics, *i.e.* surface, type of heating system, level of energy efficiency, etc. Therefore, it can study households' energy consumption in detail and the associated question of fuel poverty. The "PHEBUS" database covers the year 2013.

<sup>34.</sup> The PEGASE database ("Petrole, Electricite, Gaz et Autres Statistiques de l'Energie") stores and distributes French energy statistics collected by the Department of Observation and Statistics ("Service de l'Observation et des Statistiques"; SOeS)). The new methodology of dissemination of detailed statistics is based on a Beyond 20/20 format which is also used by the International Energy Agency (IEA) and the French National Institute of Statistics and Economic Studies (INSEE; "Institut National des Statistiques et des Etudes Economiques"). It mainly provides long-term data series. The annual energy statistics summarize the consumption of different types of energies. This database presents the annual series in units (per kWh for gas or electricity). All statistics can be downloaded free of charge and reused with any license or payment of royalties, provided the acknowledgement of the source. More details on the PEGASE database are available on http://www.statistiques.developpement-durable.gouv. fr/donnees-ligne/r/pegase.html

# Overview of studies on the relationship between residential fuel poverty and health 710 C

Results          Damp and mouldy living conditions have an adverse effect on symptomatic health, in particular of children          People who live in damp housing are more likely to report long-standing illness, disability or infirmity          People who live in damp housing are more likely to report long-standing illness, disability or infirmity          Preople who live in damp nousing are more likely to report long-standing illness, disability or infirmity          The prevalence of ill health increases as the severity of dampness increases          Negative impacts of damp on mental health          Drop in temperature increases mortality in regions with warm winters, in populations with cooler homes, and among people who wear fewer clothes and are less active indoors          Drop in temperature increases mortality in regions with warm winters, in populations with cooler homes, and among people who wear fewer clothes and are less active indoors          Drop in temperature increases mortality in regions with warm winters, in populations with cooler homes, and among people who wear fewer clothes and are less active indoors          Drop in temperature increases in the stanta regions with warm with warm with warm with warm winters, in populations with coler homes, and among people who wear fewer clothes and are less active indoors          Drop in temperature increases active indoors          Evidence of causality between children's poor health and dampness <th>Health dimension       Physical Mental Social       x       x       x       x       x       x       x</th> <th>Methodology Cross-sectional study of ran- dom sample of households con- taining children<sup>35</sup>. Sepa- rate and independent assess- ments of housing conditions and health Analysis of responses gathered in a cross sectional, postal questionnaire survey of a ran- domly selected sample of 5347 residents of Worcester A post-hoc analysis of data from a household interview survey Finland, Germany, the Nether- lands, London, Italy, Athens, Palermo Survey and detailed question- naire Literature review</th> <th>Country Glasgow, Edinburgh, London Worcester (England) Glasgow Glasgow Glasgow Glasgow</th> <th>Topic Relationship between damp and mould and symptomatic ill health felationship between damp housing and adult health European different aspects of poor housing on mental health European differences in mortality rate per 1°C of temperature decrease Relationship between poor housing, fuel poverty and health mort of outdoor and in- door cold to seasonal respi- ratory and cardiovascular disease and mortality</th> <th>nces et al. (1989) : et al. (1994) n and Hunt (1996) inter Group / Action Scotland ; (2000)</th>	Health dimension       Physical Mental Social       x       x       x       x       x       x       x	Methodology Cross-sectional study of ran- dom sample of households con- taining children <sup>35</sup> . Sepa- rate and independent assess- ments of housing conditions and health Analysis of responses gathered in a cross sectional, postal questionnaire survey of a ran- domly selected sample of 5347 residents of Worcester A post-hoc analysis of data from a household interview survey Finland, Germany, the Nether- lands, London, Italy, Athens, Palermo Survey and detailed question- naire Literature review	Country Glasgow, Edinburgh, London Worcester (England) Glasgow Glasgow Glasgow Glasgow	Topic Relationship between damp and mould and symptomatic ill health felationship between damp housing and adult health European different aspects of poor housing on mental health European differences in mortality rate per 1°C of temperature decrease Relationship between poor housing, fuel poverty and health mort of outdoor and in- door cold to seasonal respi- ratory and cardiovascular disease and mortality	nces et al. (1989) : et al. (1994) n and Hunt (1996) inter Group / Action Scotland ; (2000)
<ul> <li>a definitive link between home temperatures and specific health outcomes</li> <li>Direct associations between a reduction in mortality indices and incomed surfaction management and temperatures</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Outdoor and indoor cold temperatures potentially promote respiratory illnesses in the presence of respiratory pathogens</li> <li>It is methodologically difficult to disentangle the different roles of mould and dust mites in causing asthma, and thus to show a definitive link between home temperatures and specific health</li> </ul>				door cold to seasonal respi- ratory and cardiovascular disease and mortality	
	x	Literature review		Impact of outdoor and in-	(000)
ditional costs of poor housing for the National Health Service (NHS)					
ness — Investment in housing renewal may be cost effective, given ad-				health	
- Evidence of causality between children's poor health and damp-	×	Survey and detailed question- naire	Glasgow	Relationship between poor housing, fuel poverty and	ction Scotland
wear fewer clothes and are less active indoors			9		
— Drop in temperature increases mortality in regions with warm minimum in monological with coolar house and among and only who		lands, London, Italy, Athens,	multiple	mortality rate per 1°C of	
	x	Finland, Germany, the Nether-	Linear	European differences in	er Group
		survey		health	
Negative impacts of damp on mental health	×	A post-muc analysis of uava from a household interview	(Scotland)	of poor housing on mental	(0221) 111111 DI
increases		residents of Worcester			
— The prevalence of ill health increases as the severity of dampness		domly selected sample of 5347			
standing illness, disability or infirmity		questionnaire survey of a ran-		health	
- People who live in damp housing are more likely to report long-		in a cross sectional, postal	(England)	damp housing and adult	
	x x	Analysis of responses gathered	Worcester	Relationship between	al. (1994)
		and health			
		ments of housing conditions			
		rate and independent assess-			
symptomatic health, in particular of children		taining children <sup>35</sup> . Sepa-	London	symptomatic ill health	
- Damp and mouldy living conditions have an adverse effect on		dom sample of households con-	$\operatorname{Edinburgh}$ ,	damp and mould and	
	x	Cross-sectional study of ran-	Glasgow,	Relationship between	l. (1989)
	Physical Mental Social				
Results	Health dimension	Methodology	Country	Topic	s

35. 1169 children in 597 households.

Dystent Month (2000)     Review of the relationship     Dystent Month Sectal       Coolent (2000)     Inverse of the relationship     Interature review     x       Howen cold stress and a circulatory disease)     Interature review     x     Hypothermia represents a minor cause of coress wither monthly and circulatory disease)       Searerville et al. (2000)     Assessment of the use of built in the check (focus and stress for the curce cold-stress in homes of automation disease)     Internation of the use of built in the check of cores of the curce cold-stress in homes of automation disease)       Searerville et al. (2000)     Assessment of the use of built in built by insching a inschine factor of the curce cold-stress in homes of automation addition     Internation in the mapy of the buse seares to have or the hall in homes of automation addition       Khanon (2000)     Assessment of the use of built in the curce of the curce cold-stress in homes of automation addition     Internation in the mapy of the buse seares to have or the hall in homes of automation and the automation addition in homes of automation and the automation addition in homes of automation addition in the point in homes of automation and the automation addition in homes of automation and the automation addition in the point in homes of automation and the automation addition in the point in homes of automation and the automation addition in the automation and the automation addition of automation addi	References	Tonic	City	Table C.1 - Continued from the p Methodology	previous page Alth dimension	Focus and /or results
Guodoni (2000)       Review ould arress and heachin the olderly (focus on direction of the relation of access wither mortality and indemic heart disease. For the other half <ul> <li>Hyperturin represents a minor cause of access wither mortality and indemic heart disease. For the other half</li> <li>Respiratory disease accesses (for the other half</li> <li>Respiratory disease (for the other half</li> <li>Respiratory the heat of other half</li> <li>Respiratory disease (for the other half</li> <li>Respiratory the heat of other half</li> <li>Respiratory problems</li> <li>Respiratory problems</li> <li>Respiratory problems</li> <li>Respiratory problems</li> <li>Respiratory for the heat of other half</li> <li>Respiratory half</li> <li>Respiratory disease of the heat of other half</li> <li>Respiratory half</li> <li>Respirator half</li> <li>Restor half</li></ul>			2	Physic	cal Mental Social	
prevent of data tries and both in the oldary (secus a circulatory disease)     and information cause of secoses whate monthly a circulatory disease social entrance and a discipance in the control of the secose whate monthly an entrance and information cause of secoses whate monthly an entrance and information cause of secoses whate monthly and information cause of secose whate montaneous of a secone and information cause of secoses whate montaneous and information cause of secose whate montaneous of a secone and information cause of secose whate montaneous and information cause of secose of the house secone and another by installing a control by installing a control by installing a control by installing a control board of the secone of the house secone of the inport of energy efficiency and the montaneous of secone of the house secone of the house secone of the inport of energy efficiency and the montaneous of the house second annewy of 135 x x <ul> <li>All the montaneous of a second of the second of th</li></ul>	Goodwin (2000)	Review of the relationship		Literature review x		
Induction of details of details of constant       Inspinior interact disease accounts of the inder of costs of the inder index of costs of the inter index of costs of the inter interact disease. The interval interva		between cold stress and				<ul> <li>Hypothermia represents a minor cause of excess winter mortality</li> </ul>
or circulatory disease)       of circulatory disease)       and is diaminic hard, disease, and cerebroacediar disease, fact diagonality is insiding a correspond to the times of greatest triak of the actre oner of the actre one of the actre of the actre one of the actre one of the actre of the actre one of the actre one of the actre of the actre of the actre one of the actre of the act		health in the elderly (focus				— Respiratory disease accounts for half of excess cold-related deaths
Sumerville et al. (2000)       Assessment of the use of communication of any time relative to summer at precisely the times of day that outing system in pomes of astimutication of the backhood pressure and host relative to summer at precisely the times of grantest risk of the ucute on and of the ucute on an ucute on an under the origination of the host of the ucute on an ucute on a transment in the ucute on an ucute on a transment of the host of the ucute on an ucute on a transment of the host of the ucute on an ucute on a transment of the host of the ucute on a transment of the host of the ucute on a transment of the host of the ucute on a transment of the host of the ucute on a transment of the host of the ucute on a transment of the host of the ucute on a transment of the host of the ucute on a transment of the host of the ucute on a transment of the host of the ucute on t		on circulatory disease)				and ischemic heart disease, and cerebrovascular disease for the
Somerville et al. (2000)       Assessment of the use of conwall       Plot intervention study       x         Somerville et al. (2000)       Assessment of the use of conwall       Plot intervention study       x         Somerville et al. (2000)       Assessment of the use of conwall       Plot intervention study       x         Somerville et al. (2000)       Assessment of the use of conwall       Plot intervention study       x         Substance       Assessment of the basility system       and point intervention study       x       x         Inhores of stathandic       Assessment of the basility proper section       Stathandic       and provement in the energy efficiency of the house section has the inhores of the action on their results in house section         Khanom (2000)       Assessment of the hashin       Stathandic       -       Significant relationship between porr housing and certain hashin in house section         Khanom (2000)       Insport of energy efficiency       (The nergy efficiency of the hash in provement in fuely poor       -         Significant relation where near provement in fuely poor       Indiversity problems       -       Significant relation where neary or obtain grade to interview of the one of the outer outer of the outer of the outer of the outer						other half
Sumervile et al. (2000)       Assessment of the use of Conwall       Pilot intervention study       x       -						Elderly people experience increased blood pressure and heart rate
Somervile et al. (2000)       Messement of the used of conwall       Pilot intervention study       x       corrected in the energy efficiency of the house seems to have branch particularly on their respective inspact of energy efficiency of the house seems to have branch particularly on their respective inspact of energy efficiency of the house seems to have branch particularly on their respective inspact of energy efficiency of the house seems to have branch particularly on their respective inspact of energy efficiency of the house seems to have branch particularly on their respective inspact of energy efficiency of the house seems to have branch particularly on their respective inspact of energy efficiency (rower two indepth interviews in provement in flor) point insprovement in flor)point insproved blocks and halth particularly depression and vomiting in the case of children in the theory efficiency is and halth instructions of the house server haltery instruction and the hold instructions in the hold instruction insproved blocks and halth particularly depression and vomiting in the case of children in the hold in the the hold instruction and hold in the traves are already on their respective in the hold in the traves are already in the trave of flores in and halth interviews include section blocks in the respective interviews include section in the traves include section holds in the restrict and the interviews include section blocks in an observence in the restrict and the restrict section and voin increase secting to the restrin the restrict and halth interviews includ						in winter relative to summer at precisely the times of day that
Somervile et al. (2000)       Assessment of the use of conveal       Pilot intervention study       x       number         NBS       neath by interling       system       -       Improvement in the energy efficiency of the house seems to have a current in hunding system         in house of asthmatic       -						correspond to the times of greatest risk of the acute onset of
WIS morey to improve health by installing a central heeting system in homes of ashmatic dinktom       -       Inprovement in the energy efficiency of the house seems to have buildential system import of energy-efficiency (Tween import of energy-efficiency import of energy-efficiency intervience import of energy-efficiency intervience intervien	Somerville et al. (2000)	Assessment of the use of	Cornwall	Pilot intervention study x		
tenth       by installing a central heating system       a positive impact on children's health, particularly on their resential positive impact of a saturation of a saturation of a saturation of the nearing system         in house of a saturation of a saturation of the nearing system       in house of a saturation of the nearing system         Khauon (2000)       Assessment of the health       Evolution (2000)         Assessment in fuel-poor       hundes)       Structured questionnaires and x x x         Khauon (2000)       Assessment in fuel-poor       hundes)         Impact of energ-efficiency       trouc inductions       - Significant relationship between poor housing and certain health         Khauon (2000)       Relationship between in-       Sheffield       Cross-sectional survey of 135       x x       -         Green et al. (2000)       Relationship between in-       Sheffield       Cross-sectional survey of 135       x x       -         Green et al. (2000)       Relationship between in-       Sheffield       Cross-sectional survey of 135       x x       -       Begens is related to worrise over fuel bills and inability to inprove ductions and works of uninproved biods and health       -<	~	NHS money to improve				— Improvement in the energy efficiency of the house seems to have
Central heating system       Central heating system       Dimes of ashmatic         Khanon (2000)       Assessment of the heath       London       Structured questionnaires and       x       x       x       Significant relationship between poor housing and certain health outcomes, particularly depression and vomiting in the case of children         Nhanon (2000)       Assessment of the heath       London       Structured questionnaires and       x       x       x       =       Significant relationship between poor housing and certain health outcomes, particularly depression and vomiting in the case of children         Nhanon (2000)       Relationship between im       Sheffield       Sheffield       Significant relationship between poor housing and certain health outcomes, particularly depression and vomiting in the case of children         Octeen et al. (2000)       Relationship between im       Sheffield       Significant solutions:       = <td></td> <td>health by installing a</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>a positive impact on children's health, particularly on their res-</td>		health by installing a				a positive impact on children's health, particularly on their res-
in homes of actimatic       in homes of actimatic         Ahaom (2000)       Assertion       Structured questionnaires and x       x       x         Ahaom (2000)       Assertion       Structured questionnaires and x       x       x         Ahaom (2000)       Assertion       Structured questionnaires and x       x       x         Import of energy-efficiency       Towen       two in-depth interviews       -       - Significant relationship between poor housing and certain health houses         Import of energy-efficiency       Towen       Number of energy-efficiency       Towen-energy of 135       x       -       -       Depression is related to worrise over freel bills and inability to demonstructure and wonting in the case of chillent proved energy efficiency       -		central heating system				piratory problems
children       children         Khanom (2000)       Assessment of the lealth       Iondon       Structured questionnaices and       x       x       x       sessment       Structured questionnaices and       x       x       x       x       x       x       Structured questionnaices and       x		in homes of asthmatic				4 5
Khanom (2000)Assessment of the healthLondonStructured questionnaires andxxximpact of energy-efficiency(Towertwo in-depth interviewsSignificant relationship between poor housing and certain healthimprovement in fuel-poorhamlets)two in-depth interviewsSignificant relationship between poor housing and certain healthfinance of energy-efficiencytwo in-depth interviewsSignificant relationship between poor housing and certain healthGreen et al. (2000)Relationship between im-SheffieldCross-sectional survey of 135xxGreen et al. (2000)Relationship between im-SheffieldCross-sectional survey of 135x		children				
impact of energy-efficiency       (Tower two in-depth interviews improvement in fuel-poor hamlets)       Inductor energy efficiency interviews improvement in the patheteen poor housing and certain health houses         improvement in fuel-poor hamlets)       hamlets)       -       Significant relationship between poor housing and certain health houses         Area       -       Significant relationship between immovement in fuel-poor housing contributions       -         Green et al. (2000)       Relationship between immovement immoved blocks       -       -         Breesen et al. (2001)       Relationship between immoved blocks       -       -         and health       -       Significant relationship between poor housing and certain health in residents of improved blocks         Breesen et al. (2001)       Resistent of strategies       Three       -	Khanom (2000)	Assessment of the health	London	Structured questionnaires and x	××	
improvement in fuel-poor       handets)         foresestion in fuel-poor       handets)         foresestion in fuel-poor       handets)         foresestion in fuel-poor       handets)         Green et al. (2000)       Relationship between im-         Sheffield       Cross-sectional survey of 135       x         Green et al. (2000)       Relationship between im-       Sheffield       Cross-sectional survey of 135       x         Green et al. (2000)       Relationship between im-       Sheffield       Cross-sectional survey of 135       x       x         Green et al. (2000)       Relationship between im-       Sheffield       Cross-sectional survey of 135       x       x       -       Represion is related to worries over fuel bills and inability to improved locks         and health       residents of improved blocks       -       -       Residents of improved blocks       -       -       Housing better health than residents of unimproved blocks       -       -       Housing metter health than residents of unimproved blocks       -       -       Housing metter health than residents of unimproved blocks       -       -       Housing metter health than residents of unimproved blocks       -       -       Housing metter health than residents of unimproved blocks       -       -       Housing metter health ancidenteree area to area relevant tool to combat low i		impact of energy-efficiency	(Tower	two in-depth interviews		— Significant relationship between poor housing and certain health
Houses       dren         Green et al. (2000)       Relationship between im-       Sheffield       Cross-sectional survey of 135       x       -       Depression is related to worries over fuel bills and inability to improve the bills and inability to improved energy efficiency         Green et al. (2000)       Relationship between im-       Sheffield       Cross-sectional survey of 135       x       -       Residents of improved blocks indicating better health than residents of unimproved blocks and matched sample of 140 res-         Howieson et al. (2001)       Assessment of strategies       Three       -       Housing indocating better health than residents of unimproved blocks indicating better health than residents of unimproved blocks poor health         Howieson et al. (2001)       Assessment of strategies       Three       -       Allergen avoidance measures associated with mechanical heat revalue to an leagen reservoirs which, are covery tentilation (MHRV) can reduce allergen reservoirs which, are a covery tentilation (MHRV) can reduce allergen reservoirs which, are a consequence, may have a significant effect on lung function in activity and measurement of fefects on heapinatory health of asthmatics		improvement in fuel-poor	hamlets)			outcomes, particularly depression and vomiting in the case of chil-
Green et al. (2000)       Relationship between im- proved energy efficiency       Sheffield       Cross-sectional survey of 135       x       -       Depression is related to worries over fuel bills and inability to improve housing conditions         Green et al. (2000)       Relationship between im- proved energy efficiency       Sheffield       Cross-sectional survey of 135       x       x         And health       residents of improved blocks       -       Residents of improved blocks       -       Residents of improved blocks         Movieson et al. (2001)       Assessment of strategies       Three       Lanarkshire       -       Housing investment can be a relevant tool to combat low incomes, poor housing and poor health         Howieson et al. (2001)       Assessment of strategies       Three       x       -       Allergen avoidance measures associated with mechanical heat re- vepor housing and boor health         Howieson et al. (2001)       Assessment of study       ecvery ventilation (MHRV) can reduce allergen reservoirs which, reservoirs and dust mite       study         Reversion and the following of effects on the respiratory       ecvery ventilation (MHRV) can reduce allergen reservoirs which, as a consequence, may have a significant effect on lung function in asthmatics		houses	~			dren
Green et al. (2000)       Relationship between im-       Sheffield       Cross-sectional survey of 135       x       x       popuestor to main actuation on the proved blocks had higher mean scores of SF-36 <sup>36</sup> , and health         Residents of filtency       residents of improved blocks       -       Residents of improved blocks and higher mean scores of SF-36 <sup>36</sup> , indicating better health than residents of unimproved blocks         Howieson et al. (2001)       Assessment of strategies       Three       Lanarkshire       -       Housing investment can be a relevant tool to combat low incomes, poor housing and poor health.       -       Allergan avoidance measures associated with mechanical heat revolution incomes, poor housing and down incomes, poor housing and down incomes, revertion       -       -       Allergan avoidance measures associated with mechanical heat revolution in study are study are and astorics of effects on lung function in asthmatics         Howieson et al. (2001)       Assessment of strategies       Three       Lanarkshire       ×       -       Allergan avoidance measures associated with mechanical heat revolution in study and measures associated with mechanical heat revolution in study and measures associated with mechanical heat revolution         feffects on the respiratory       feffects on the respiratory       -       Allergan avoidance, may have a significant effect on lung function         feffects on the respiratory       feffects on the respiratory       -       -       -       -         feffects on the re						
Green et al. (2000)       Relationship between im- proved energy efficiency       Sheffield       Cross-sectional survey of 135       x       x       -       Residents of improved blocks had higher mean scores of SF-36 <sup>36</sup> , indicating better health than residents of unimproved blocks       -       Residents of improved blocks       -       Residents of improved blocks       -       Residents of improved blocks       -       -       -       -       -       Residents of improved blocks       - <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Depression is related to wornes over ruer bins and mapping to improve housing conditions</td></t<>						Depression is related to wornes over ruer bins and mapping to improve housing conditions
proved energy efficiency       residents of improved blocks       -       Residents of improved blocks had higher mean scores of SF-36 <sup>4</sup> , and health         and health       and matched sample of 140 res-       indicating better health than residents of unimproved blocks         idents of unimproved blocks       -       Residents of improved blocks         Howieson et al. (2001)       Assessment of strategies       Three       Lanarkshire       x       -       Allergen avoidance measures associated with mechanical heat revolution (MHRV) can reduce allergen reservoirs which, reservoirs and dust mite       story ventilation (MHRV) can reduce allergen reservoirs which, as a consequence, may have a significant effect on lung function in activity and measurement         of effects on the respiratory health of asthmatics       -       Allergen avoidance       -	Green et al. $(2000)$	Relationship between im-	Sheffield	Cross-sectional survey of 135 x	×	
and health       and matched sample of 140 res-       indicating better health than residents of unimproved blocks         idents of unimproved blocks       idents of unimproved blocks       -         Howieson et al. (2001)       Assessment of strategies       Three       Lanarkshire       x         Howieson et al. (2001)       Assessment of strategies       Three       Lanarkshire       x         Howieson et al. (2001)       Assessment of strategies       Three       Lanarkshire       x         Newieson et al. (2001)       Assessment of strategies       Three       Lanarkshire       x         Newieson et al. (2001)       Assessment of strategies       Three       Lanarkshire       x         Newieson et al. (2001)       Assessment of strategies       Three       Lanarkshire       x         Very verdicing indoor water       phases       In-       Allergen avoidance measures associated with mechanical heat recovery vertilation (MHRV) can reduce allergen reservoirs which, as a consequence, may have a significant effect on lung function activity and measurement         Very vertilation       Secondenence, may have a significant effect on lung function in asthmatics         Very vertilation       In achiever, may have a significant effect on lung function in asthmatics         Very vertilation       In achiever, may have a significant effect on lung function in athmatics		proved energy efficiency		residents of improved blocks		<ul> <li>Residents of improved blocks had higher mean scores of SF-36<sup>36</sup>,</li> </ul>
Idents of unimproved blocks       — Housing investment can be a relevant tool to combat low incomes, poor health         Howieson et al. (2001)       Assessment of strategies       Three       Lanarkshire       ×         Howieson et al. (2001)       Assessment of strategies       Three       Lanarkshire       ×       poor housing and poor health         Howieson et al. (2001)       Assessment of strategies       Three       Lanarkshire       ×       poor housing and poor health         for reducing indoor water       phases       In-       Allergen avoidance measures associated with mechanical heat recovery ventilation (MHRV) can reduce allergen reservoirs which, reservoirs and dust mite       study         reservoirs and dust mite       study       as a consequence, may have a significant effect on lung function         of effects on the respiratory       health of asthmatics       health of asthmatics		and health		and matched sample of 140 res-		indicating better health than residents of unimproved blocks
Howieson et al. (2001)       Assessment of strategies       Three       Lanarkshire       x       poor housing and poor health         For reducing indoor water       phases       In-       Allergen avoidance measures associated with mechanical heat re-         vapour       pressure, allergen       tervention       -       Allergen avoidance measures associated with mechanical heat re-         vapour       pressure, allergen       tervention       -       -       Allergen avoidance measures associated with mechanical heat re-         vapour       pressure, allergen       tervention       -       <				idents of unimproved blocks		— Housing investment can be a relevant tool to combat low incomes,
Howieson et al. (2001)       Assessment of strategies       Three       Lanarkshire       ×         for reducing indoor water       phases In-       —       Allergen avoidance measures associated with mechanical heat recovery ventilation (MHRV) can reduce allergen reservoirs which, reservoirs and dust mite       study         reservoirs       and dust mite       study       as a consequence, may have a significant effect on lung function in asthmatics         of effects on the respiratory       health of asthmatics       in asthmatics						poor housing and poor health
for reducing indoor water phases In- vapour pressure, allergen tervention vapour pressure, allergen tervention vapour pressure, allergen tervention vapour pressure, allergen reservoirs which, reservoirs and dust mite study reservoirs and dust mite	Howieson et al. (2001)	Assessment of strategies	Three	Lanarkshire x		
vapour pressure, allergen tervention tervention tervention (MHRV) can reduce allergen reservoirs which, reservoirs and dust mite study as a consequence, may have a significant effect on lung function activity and measurement of effects on the respiratory health of asthmatics		for reducing indoor water	phases In-			— Allergen avoidance measures associated with mechanical heat re-
reservoirs and dust mite study as a consequence, may have a significant effect on lung function activity and measurement of effects on the respiratory health of asthmatics		vapour pressure, allergen	tervention			covery ventilation (MHRV) can reduce allergen reservoirs which,
activity and measurement in asthmatics of effects on the respiratory health of asthmatics		reservoirs and dust mite	$\operatorname{study}$			as a consequence, may have a significant effect on lung function
of effects on the respiratory health of asthmatics		activity and measurement				in asthmatics
health of asthmatics		of effects on the respiratory				
		health of asthmatics				

36. The 36-Item Short Form Survey is a health survey that represents a measure of perceived health.

			Table C.1 – Continued fi	rom the previous page	
References	Topic	City	Methodology	Health dimension	Focus and/or results
				Physical Mental Social	
Howden-Chapman et al.	Consequences of insulation	Single-	New Zealand	х	
(2005)	measures <sup>37</sup> on health and	blinded,			— Large trials of complex environmental interventions can be con-
	well-being of the occu-	clustered			ducted in a robust manner with high participation rates. Crucial
	pants, as well as on their	and ran-			success factors are effective community involvement and interven-
	utilisation of health care	domised			tion that is valued by the participants
		housing and health trial			
Shenassa et al. (2007)	Assessment of a previ-	Analysis of	Eight European countries <sup>39</sup>	×	
	ously reported association	survey data			— Dampness and mould were associated with depression, indepen-
	between home damp and	from eight			dent of individual and housing characteristics.
	mould and the risk of	European			— This association was independently mediated by the perception
	depression. Exploration	cities <sup>38</sup>			of control over one's home and by physical health
	whether depression was				
	mediated by perception of				
	control over one's home				
	or mould-related physical				
	illness				
Ezratty et al. (2009)	Relationship between	EU	Epidemiological study based	×	
	dwelling energy ineffi-		on logistic regressions		— Significant relationship between thermal discomfort and risk of
	ciency and health <sup>40</sup>				respiratory and cardiovascular diseases
					— Significant relationship between the presence of mould and mois-
					ture and respiratory diseases
					— Potential link between hypertension and temperature changes
					— Presence of links between certain indicators of energy efficiency
					and the presence of digestive diseases <sup>41</sup>
Ezratty (2010)	Impacts of fuel poverty on		Discursive	x x x	
	health				- Direct impacts of fuel poverty on physical health, <i>i.e.</i> respiratory
					and cardiovascular diseases, allergy and asthma,
					— Indirect impacts of fuel poverty on physical health, <i>i.e.</i> risk of
					carbon monoxide poisoning
					continued on next page
37. Insulation measures	affect indoor temperature, h	umidity, energy	consumption and mould grow	rth. -+	

38. In particular, creation of a dampness and mould score from resident- and inspector-reported data and use of validated index of depressive symptoms to assess depression.
39. Angers (France), Bonn (Germany), Bratislava (Slovakia), Budapest (Hungary), Ferreira do Alentejo (Portugal), Forli (Italy), Geneva (Switzerland), Vilnius (Lithuania).
40. In Ezratty et al. (2009), dwelling energy inefficiency is defined in terms of characteristics of dwelling construction, indoor air quality, heating and cooking systems, ventilation, thermal confort and weather-tightness of windows and roof.
41. Diarrhoea and ulcers of the stomach or duodenum.

			Table C.1 – Continued f	rom the previous page	
References	Topic	City	Methodology	Health dimension	Focus and/or results
				Physical Mental Social	
Harris et al. (2010)	Relationship between housing conditions and physical and mental health	England	Survey analysis <sup>42</sup>	× × ×	<ul> <li>Negative impact of fuel poverty, <i>i.e.</i> cold and damp home, on physical and mental health</li> <li>It is possible to identify different household clusters depending on their financial and housing characteristics</li> </ul>
Liddell and Morris (2010)	Impacts of fuel poverty on health	5 cities <sup>43</sup>	Discursive (literature review)	×	<ul> <li>Physical health effects of fuel poverty are modest in adults, but significant in children (respiratory health) and infants (weight gain and susceptibility to illness).</li> <li>Mental health effects of fuel poverty is significant in adults and addressed</li> </ul>
Marmot Review Team (2011)	Effects of cold homes and fuel poverty on health	Discursive evidence reviews	UK	× × ×	<ul> <li>Direct heath impact</li> <li>Countries that have more energy-efficient housing have fewer excess winter deaths (EWDs)</li> <li>Cohldren living in cold homes are more than twice as likely to suffer from a variety of respiratory problems</li> <li>Mental health is negatively affected by fuel poverty and cold housing for any age group</li> <li>Indirect health impacts</li> <li>Cold housing negatively affects children's educational attainment, emotional well-being and resilience</li> <li>Cold housing negatively affects dexterity and increases the risk of accidents and injuries in the home</li> <li>Investing in the energy efficiency of housing can help stimulate the labour market and economy and the creation of skilled workforce</li> </ul>
Ormandy and Ezratty (2012)	Relationship between ther- mal discomfort and health	Europe	Discursive	х х х	<ul> <li>Negative impacts of thermal discomfort on health</li> <li>Some groups may be more susceptible to high or low indoor temperatures than others</li> </ul>
Howden-Chapman et al. (2012)	A review of policies, re- search, and health impacts	New Zealand	Discursive	× × ×	<ul> <li>Negative impacts of thermal discomfort on health</li> <li>Some groups may be more susceptible to high or low indoor temperatures than others</li> </ul>
42. This study employe of common mental disord mould, cutting back on fi A Findbard and Wales	d the Adult Psychiatric Morb lers such as anxiety and depu tel usage, lack of central heat Scorland New Zealand Fue	idity Survey ( ession. The s ing and doubl	APMS) 2007. APMS 2007 incluc urvey also covered aspects of hc e-glazing, being cold at home an A	des a structured clinical as ousing conditions and fine id fuel-related debt or util	continued on next page sessment of mental health that allowed for the reliable diagnosis ncial strain related to domestic fuel use, including presence of ity disconnection problems.

	Focus and/or results	le		<ul> <li>Negative direct impacts of fuel poverty on physical health<sup>44</sup> and mental health (depression)</li> </ul>	— Negative indirect impacts of fuel poverty on physical health re-	lated to risky behaviour, $i.e.$ intoxication (due to the use of al-	ternative heating) and domestic accidents	- Negative impact of fuel poverty on social well-being, <i>i.e.</i> social		— Living in cold and damp housing contributes to a variety of differ-	ent mental health stressors, such as persistent worry about debt	and affordability, thermal discomfort and worry about the conse-	quences of cold and damp for health	- Improvements in energy efficiency are often associated with sig-	nificant improvements in mental well-being		- A person in fuel poverty is 2.36 percentage points more likely to	report poor or fair health status than a person who is not in fuel	poverty	— The classical winter deaths methodology yields limited relevant	results, $i.e.$ accurate estimates of the actual public health impacts	of cold temperatures in only 2 of 30 European countries	- The alternative heating degree days methodology may yield more	accurate estimations	continued on next page
ed from the previous page	Health dimension	Physical Mental Socia	v) x x x v						iew x						цу цу цу	on x $x^{4'}$ $x^{4'}$			rin- x	es-	lity	ive	on		
Table C.1 – Continue	Methodology		Discursive (literature review						Discursive (Literature revi	of 9 studies) $^{45}$						Econometric analysis based	a binary probit model		Use of classic excess w	ter deaths methodology to	timate cold-related mortal	and proposal of an alternat	estimation method based	heating degree days	
	City		Ile-de-	France					Į							France			30 Eu-	ropean	countries <sup>48</sup>				
	Topic		Relationship between fuel	poverty and health					Relationship between fuel	poverty and mental health						Relationship between fuel	poverty and self-reported	health status <sup>46</sup>	Review of historical data,	going from $1980$ to $2013$ , on	cold-related deaths				
	References		Host et al. $(2014)$						Liddell and Guiney	(2015)						Lacroix and Chaton	(2015)		Liddell et al. (2016)						

<sup>44.</sup> Cardiovascular problems, hypertension, asthma attacks, allergies, headaches, colds and sore throats. 45. Liddell and Guiney (2015) focus on intervention studies in which heating and insulation improvements were carried out and impacts on well-being assessed.

<sup>46.</sup> Lacroix and Chaton (2015) use a subjective measure of fuel poverty, *i.e.* self-reported feeling cold. For health status, they use self-reported health status as a proxy. In addition to the impact of fuel poverty, the authors also assessed the impact of other particular individual and environmental features on self-reported health status.

<sup>47.</sup> Mental health (and social well-being dimensions) are taken into account by introducing three variables describing mental health status, namely "Feeling sad", "Feeling happy", and "Feeling discouraged"in the estimation. For each of these variables, five answers were possible: "Always", "Most of the time", "From time to time", "Naver". 48. Cyprus, Malta, Greece, Portugal, Bulgaria, Italy, Hungary, Romania, Spain, Slovenia, France, Austria, Poland, Czech, Germany, Lithuania, Belgium, the Netherlands, Latvia,

Luxembourg, Switzerland, Estonia, Denmark, Finland, Sweden, UK, Ireland, Norway, Iceland.

	Focus and/or results	ul de la construcción de la constru	<ul> <li>Unequal concentration of energy poverty, poor health and poor well-being across Europe, with Eastern and Central Europe af- fected the worst</li> <li>A higher incidence of poor physical and mental health amongst the energy-poor populations of most countries, compared with non-energy poor households</li> <li>The largest disparities in health and well-being levels between energy-poor and non-energy-poor households occur within rela- tively coual societies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Households who are affected by fuel poverty live in inadequate conditions and face adverse impacts on their health</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Poor housing conditions, fuel poverty and material deprivation have a negative impact on health</li> <li>Individuals who rate themselves as fuel-poor tend to report poorer health status</li> <li>The effect of objective fuel poverty on health is stronger when unobserved heterogeneity of individuals is controlled for</li> </ul>
om the previous page	Health dimension	Physical Mental Socia	× × ×	×	×
Table C.1 – Continued fr	Methodology		Statistical approach based on the Mann-Whitney U tests, prevalence rates and logistic regressions	Data analysis based several fuel-poverty indicators <sup>50</sup>	Latent class-ordered probit model
	City		32 Eu- ropean countries <sup>49</sup>	Sisak- Moslavina - Croatia	Spain
	Topic		Relationship between fuel poverty, health and well- being	Health impacts of living in energy poverty	Relationship between fuel poverty and self-assessed health.
	References		Thomson et al. (2017)	Robić and Antčic (2018)	Llorca et al. (2018)

49. Sweden, Finland, Austria, Denmark, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Slovenia, Czech Republic, Germany, Belgium, Montenegro, France, Ireland, Croatia, Italy, Slovakia, UK, Macedonia, Hungary, Serbia, Spain, Romania, Latvia, Bulgaria, Lithuania, Poland, Estonia, Malta, Greece, Portugal, Cyprus, Turkey.
50. In particular, Robić and Antčic (2018) use the following fuel-poverty indicators: (1) subjective qualitative assessments by the affected persons, (2) subjective qualitative assessments by others, (3) objective non-expenditure-based indicators and (4) expenditure-based indicators. To construct their database, a total of 394 households were visited in Sisak-Moslavina County, and complete data were collected and analysed for 375 households.

### References

- P. Adams, M. D. Hurd, D. McFaddena, A. Merrillc, and T. Ribeiroa. Healthy bodies and thick wallets: The dual relation between health and economic status. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 13:145–166, 1999.
- P. Adams, M. D. Hurd, D. McFaddena, A. Merrillc, and T. Ribeiroa. Healthy, wealthy, and wise?
  Tests for direct causal paths between health and socioeconomic status. *Journal of Econometrics*, 112:3–56, 2003.
- ADEME. Enquête TREMI: Travaux de rénovation energétique des maisons individuelles Campagne 2017, 2018. ADEME Faits et chiffres ISBN 979-10-297-1023-0.
- C. Airey, S. Bruster, L. Calderwood, B. Erens, L. Pitson, G. Prior, and N. Richards. National survey of NHS patients. Coronary heart disease, 1999. National Centre for Social Research,
  Picker Institute Europe, Oxford.
- P. Ambrose, J. Barlow, A. Bonsey, V. Donkin, M. Pullin, and J. Rundles. The real cost of poor
   homes, 1996. Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors, London.
- J. Angrist, G. Imbens, and D. Rubin. Identification of causal effects using instrumental variables.
   Journal of the American Statistical Association, 91:444-472, 1996.
- B. Apouey and P. Y. Geoffard. Family income and child health in the UK. Journal of Health Economics, 32(4):715–727, 2013.
- L. Artazcoz, I. Cortès, F. G. Benavides, V. Escribà-Agüir, X. Bartoll, H. Vargas, and C. Borrell.
  Long working hours and health in Europe: Gender and welfare state differences in a context of
  economic crisis. *Health Place*, 40:161–168, 2016.
- O. Attanasio and C. Emmerson. Differential mortality in the UK, 2001. Working paper. IFS
   Working Papers (W01/16). The Institute for Fiscal Studies, London.
- E. Backlund, P. Sorlie, and N. Johnson. A comparison of the relationships of education and income with mortality: The national longitudinal mortality study. Social Science and Medicine, 49:1373–1384, 1999.
- <sup>797</sup> W. Baker. Fuel poverty and ill health: A review, 2001. Center for sustainable energy.
- R. Barsky, T. Juster, M. Kimball, and M. Shapiro. Preference parameters and behavioral hetero geneity: An experimental approach in the health and retirement survey. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 112:537–579, 1997.
- A. Blavier, F. Dimitropoulos, B. Faraco, and M. Moisan. Précarité energétique: État des
   lieux et propositions d'actions, 2011. URL http://www.precarite-energie.org/IMG/
   pdf/Precarite\_energetique\_\_tables\_rondes\_departementales\_V2-2.pdf\_[Accessed\_
- December\_08, 2016]. Réseau d'Acteurs Précarité-Énergie-Logement (RAPPEL).
- BMA. Housing and health: Building for the future, 2003. British Medical Association, London.
- B. Boardman. Fuel poverty: From cold homes to affordable warmth, 1991. London: Belhaven
  Press. ISBN: 1852931396, 978-1852931391. 224 p.

771

- X. Bonnefoy, M. Braubach, D. Krapavickaite, D. Ormandy, and I. Zurlyte. Housing conditions and
   self-reported health status: A study in panel block buildings in three cities of Eastern Europe.
   Journal of Housing Built Environment, 18:329–352, 2004.
- X. Bonnefoy, M. Braubach, M. Davidson, and N. Röbbel. A pan-European housing and health
   survey: Description and evaluation of methods and approaches. *International Journal of Envi-* ronment and Pollution, 30, 2007.
- H. Bosma, M. G. Marmot, H. Hemingway, A. C. Nicholson, E. Brunner, and S. A. Stansfeld. Low
  job control and risk of coronary hear disease in whitehall II (prospective cohort) study. *British Medical Journal*, 314:558–565, 1997.
- S. Bouzarovski and S. Tirado Herrero. The energy divide: Integrating energy transitions, regional inequalities and poverty trends in the European Union. *European Urban Regional Studies*, 24:
  69–86, 2017.
- M. Brückner and A. Ciccone. Growth, democracy, and civil war, 2007. CEPR Discussion Papers
   6568, C.E.P.R. Discussion Papers.
- R. Burridge and D. Ormandy. Unhealthy housing: Research, remedies and reform, 1993. E & FN
  Spon, London.
- T. Chandola. Social class differences in mortality using the new UK national statistics socioeconomic classification. *Social Science and Medicine*, 50:641–649, 2000.
- K. Chapman and G. Hariharran. Controlling for causality in the link between income and mortality. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 8(1):85–93, 1994.
- K. Chapman and G. Hariharran. Do poor people have a stronger relationship between income and
  mortality than the rich? Implications of panel data for health-health analysis. Journal of Risk
  and Uncertainty, 12(1):51–63, 1996.
- D. Charlier and S. Kahouli. From residential energy demand to fuel poverty: Income-induced
   non-linearities in the reactions of households to energy price fluctuations. *The Energy Journal*,
   40(2):101–137, 2019.
- C. Chaton and E. Lacroix. Does France have a fuel poverty trap? *Energy Policy*, 113:258–268,
  2018.
- B. Chin. Income, health, and well-being in rural Malawi. Demographic Research, 23:997–1030,
  2010.
- K. J. Collins. Cold, cold housing and respiratory illnesses, 2000. In Rudge, J. and Nicol, F. (Eds),
  Cutting the cost of the cold. E & FN Spon.
- B40 D. Culter, A. Deaton, and A. Lleras-Muney. The determinants of mortality. *Journal of Economic* Perspectives, 20(3):97–120, 2006.
- F. Cunha and J. Heckman. The technology of skill formation. American Economic Review, 97(2):
  31-47, 2007.
- C. Currie, M. Molcho, W. Boyce, B. Holstein, T. Torsheim, and M. Richter. Researching health inequalities in adolescents: The development of the health behaviour in school-aged children (UDCC) for the flucture of the fluct
- (HBSC) family affluence scale. Social Science and Medicine, 66(6):1429–1436, 2008.

- J. Currie. Helathy, wealthy, and wise: Socioeconomic status, poor health in childhood, and human capital development. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 47(1):87–122, 2009.
- J. Currie and M. Stabile. Socioeconomic status and child health: Why is the relationship stronger for older children? *American Economic Review*, 93(5):1813–1823, 2003.
- A. Deaton. Income, health, and well-being around the world: Evidence from the Gallup World
  Poll. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 22(2):53–72, 2008.
- C. DiGuiseppi and J. Higgins. Systematic review of controlled trials of interventions to promote
   smoke alarms. Archives of disease in childhood, 82:341–348, 2000.
- B. P. Dohrenwend, I. Levav, P. E. Shrout, S. Schwartz, G. Naveh, B. G. Link, A. E. Skodol,
  and A. Stueve. Socioeconomic status and psychiatric disorders: The causation-selection issue. *Science*, 255(5047):946-952, 1992.
- U. Dubois and H. Meier. Energy affordability and energy inequality in Europe: Implications for
   policy making. Energy Research & Social Science, 18:21–35, 2016.
- N. Dutreix, C. Baecher, B. Pianu, and E. Collomb. Figure(s) de la précarité énergétique, 2014. URL http://www.urbanisme-puca.gouv.fr/IMG/pdf/figures-precariteenergetique.pdf\_[Accessed\_November\_30,\_2016]. Une synthèse réalisée par Nomadéis. Synthèse réalisée entre septembre 2013 et mars 2014 à la demande du Plan Urbanisme Construction et Architecture (PUCA).
- R. Ecob and G. Smith. Income and health: What is the nature of the relationship? Social Science
   and Medicine, 48:693–705, 1999.
- Energy Action Scotland. The impact of fuel poverty and housing conditions on Scotland's health,
   1999. Energy Action Scotland.
- EPEE. Diagnosis of causes and consequences of fuel poverty in Belgium, France, Italy,
  Spain and United Kingdom, 2006. URL http://www.powerhouseeurope.eu/uploads/tx\_
  phecasestudies/Analysis\_report\_epee\_3\_2\_EN.pdf\_[Accessed\_November\_27,\_2015]. European Fuel Poverty and Energy Efficiency (EPEE) project, EIE/06/158/SI2.447367, WP2 Deliverable 5, 69 p.
- S. Ettner. New evidence on the relationship between income and wealth. Journal of Health *Economics*, 15:67–85, 1996.
- Eurofound. Third European quality of life survey-quality of life in Europe: Impacts of the crisis,2012. Publications Office of the European Union: Luxembourg.
- Eurowinter Group. Cold exposure and winter mortality from ischaemic heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, respiratory disease, and all causes in warm and cold regions of Europe. *The Lancet*,
  349:1341–1346, 1997.
- A. Evans. Causation and disease: A chronological journey. American Journal of Epidemiology,
   108, 1978.
- V. Ezratty. Précarité énergétique et santé : "To heat or to eat". Environnement, Risques & Santé,
  8(1):3-4, 2010.

- V. Ezratty, A. Duburcq, C. Emery, and J. Lambrozo. Liens entre l'efficacité énergétique du logement et la santé des résidents : Résultats de l'étude européenne LARES. *Environnement*, *Risques & Santé*, 8(6):497–506, 2009.
- V. Ezratty, D. Ormandy, M. H. Laurent, A. Duburcq, C. Lenchi, F. Boutière, P. A. Cabanes, and
  J. Lambrozo. Fuel poverty in France: Adapting an English methodology to assess the health
  cost implications. *Indoor and Built Environment*, 0(0):1–10, 2017.
- E. Fahmy, D. Gordon, and D. Patsios. Predicting fuel poverty at a small-area level in England.
   *Energy policy*, 39:4370–4377, 2011.
- J. Feinstein. The relationship between socioeconomic status and health: A review of the literature.
   The Millbank Quarterly, 71(2):279–322, 1992.
- V. J. Felitti, R. F. Anda, D. Nordenberg, D. F. Williamson, A. M. Spitz, V. Edwards, M. P. Koss,
  and J. S. Marks. Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction of many of the
  leading causes of death in adults. *American Journal of Preventative Medicine*, 14(4):245–258,
  1978.
- J. Fitzpatrick and G. Dollamore. Examining adult mortality rates using the national statistics
   socio-economic classification. *Health Statistics Quarterly*, 2:33–40, 1999.
- F. Fizaine and S. Kahouli. On the power of indicators: How the choice of fuel poverty indicator affects the identification of the target population. *Applied Economics*, 51:1081–1110, 2019.
- A. Fox, P. Goldblatt, and D. Jones. Social class mortality differentials: Artifact, selection, or life
   circumstances? Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 39:1–8, 1985.
- E. Fuller-Thomson, D. Hulchanski, and S. Hwang. The housing/health relationship: What do we know. *Reviews of environmental health*, 15(1-2):109–133, 2000.
- H. D. Fuller-Thomson, E. and S. Hwang. The housing/health relationship: What do we know?
   *Reviews of environmental health*, 15(1-2):109–133, 2000.
- N. Goldman. Social factors and health: The causation-selection issue revisited. Proceedings of the
   National Academy of Science, 91:1251–1255, 1994.
- N. Goldman. Social inequalities in health: Disentangling the underlying mechanisms. In: Weinstein, M., Hermalin, a. (eds.), strengthening the dialogue between epidemiology and demography,
   2001. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences.
- J. Goodwin. Cold stress, circulatory illness and the elderly, 2000. In Rudge, J. and Nicol, F. (Eds), Cutting the cost of the cold. E & FN Spon.
- H. Graham. Understanding health inequalities, 2000. Open University Press, Maidenhead.
- H. Gravelle and M. Sutton. Income related inequalities in self-assessed health in Britain 1979-1995,
  2001. Centre for Health Economics, University of York.
- G. Green, D. Ormandy, J. Brazier, and J. Gilbertson. Tolerant building: The impact of energy
  efficiency measures on living conditions and health status, 2000. In Rudge, J. and Nicol, F.
  (Eds), Cutting the cost of the cold. E & FN Spon.
- C. Hammarquist, M. Burr, and P. Gotzsche. House dust mite control measures for asthma.
   *Cochrane Data base of Systematic Reviews*, 2:CD001187, 2000.

- J. Harris, J. Hall, H. Meltzer, R. Jenkins, T. Oreszczyn, and S. McManus. Health, mental health
  and housing conditions in England, 2010. Research for the Eaga Charitable Trust by the National
  Centre for Social Research.
- J. D. Healy. Housing, fuel poverty and health: A pan-European analysis, 2004. Ashgate: Aldershot,
   UK, 2004.
- J. D. Healy and J. P. Clinch. Fuel poverty in Europe : A cross country analysis using new composite measurement, 2002. URL https://www.ucd.ie/gpep/research/archivedworkingpapers/
   2002/02-04.pdf\_[Accessed\_March\_27,\_2016]. Environmental studies research series working papers 02/04. ISSN 1393-9335. Department of environmental studies University College. Dublin
- 933 Richview, Dublin Irland.
- J. Heckman. Causal parameters and policy analysis in econometrics: A twentieth century retro spective. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 105:45–97, 2000.
- J. Heckman. Econometrics counterfactuals and causal models, 2001. International Statistical
  Institute, Working Paper, University of Chicago.
- J. Hills. Fuel poverty: The problem and its measurement. Interim report of the of the fuel
   poverty review, 2011. URL http://sticerd.lse.ac.uk/dps/case/cr/CASEreport69.pdf\_
   [Accessed\_January\_27,\_2016]. Center of analysis of social exclusion. Report 69. ISSN 1465 3001.
- J. Hills. Getting the measure of fuel poverty. Final report of the fuel poverty review,
  2012. URL http://sticerd.lse.ac.uk/dps/case/cr/CASEreport72.pdf\_[Accessed\_March\_
  27,\_2016]. Center of analysis of social exclusion. Report 72. ISSN 1465-3001.
- J. Hopton and S. Hunt. Housing conditions and mental health in a disadvantaged area in Scotland.
   Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 50(1):56-61, 1996.
- S. Host, D. Grange, L. Mettetal, and U. Dubois. Précarité énergétique et santé : État des connaissances et situation en Île-de-France, 2014. URL http://www.ors-idf.org/dmdocuments/2014/
  Synth\_PE\_et\_sante\_WEB.pdf\_[Accessed\_December\_16, 2017]. Paris : Observatoire régional de santé Île-de-France. ISBN : 978-2-7371-1821-0. 20 p.
- E. Houd. Dwelling disparities: How poor housing leads to poor health. Environmental health
   perspectives, 113(5):311-317, 2005.
- P. Howden Chapman and P. Carroll. Housing & health: Research, policy and innovation, 2004.
  Steele Roberts, Wellington, New Zealand.
- P. Howden-Chapman, J. Craneb, A. Mathesona, H. Viggersa, M. Cunninghamc, T. Blakelyd,
  D. O'Deaa, C. Cunninghame, A. Woodwardf, K. Saville-Smithg, M. Bakera, and N. Waipara.
  Retrofitting houses with insulation to reduce health inequalities: Aims and methods of a clus-
- tered, randomised community-based trial. Social Science and Medicine, 61(12):2600–10, 2005.
- P. Howden-Chapman, H. Viggers, R. Chapman, K. O'Sullivan, L. Telfar Barnard, and B. Lloyd.
  Tackling cold housing and fuel poverty in New Zealand: A review of policies, research, and
  health impacts. *Energy Policy*, 49:134–142, 2012.
- S. G. Howieson, C. McSharry, G. Morris, E. McKenzie, and D. Young. Energy efficiency, indoor
  air quality and asthma, 2001. Unpublished.

- M. Hurd. Savings of the elderly and desired bequests. American Economic Review, 77:298–312,
   1987.
- M. Hurd and D. Wise. Wealth depletion and life cycle consumption by the elderly. In: Wise, d. (ed.), topics in the economics of aging, 1989. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- B. Ineichen. Homes and health: How housing and health interact, 1993. E & FN Spon, London.
- INSEE. Les acteurs économiques et l'environnement, 2017. URL [Accessed\_July\_07,\_2019].
   Collection Insee Références.
- B. C. Isherwood and R. M. Hancock. Household expenditure on fuel: Distributional aspects, 2016.
  Economic Adviser's Office, DHSS, London.
- B. Jefferis, C. Power, and C. Hertzman. Birth weight, childhood socio-economic environment and
  cognitive development in the 1958 birth cohort study. *British Medical Journal*, 325:1–6, 2002.
- A. M. Jones and J. Wildman. Health, income and relative deprivation: Evidence from the BHPS.
   Journal of Health Economics, 27:308–324, 2008.
- JORF. Loi n° 90-449 du 31 mai 1990 visant à la mise en oeuvre du droit au logement (1), 1990. URL http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTexte.do?cidTexte=
  LEGITEXT000006075926\_[Accessed\_December\_10,\_2015]. NOR: EQUX8900132L. Version consolidée au 10 décembre 2015.
- S. Kelley, C. Hertzman, and M. Daniels. Searching for the biological pathways between stress and
  health. Annual Review of Public Health, 18:437–462, 1997.
- R. Khanam, H. S. Nghiem, and L. B. Connelly. Child health and the income gradient: Evidence
  from Australia. Journal of Health Economics, 28(4):805–817, 2009.
- L. Khanom. Impact of fuel poverty on health in Tower Hamlets, 2000. In Rudge, J. and Nicol, F. (Eds), Cutting the cost of the cold. E & FN Spon.
- E. Kitagawa and P. Hauser. Differential mortality in the United States: A study. In: Socioeconomic
   epidemiology, 1973. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- L. Koh, R. Marchand, A. Genovese, and A. Brennan. Fuel poverty: Perspectives from the front
  line, 2012. Fuel Poverty Series. University of Sheffield, Centre for Energy, Environment and
  Sustainability: Sheffield, UK, 2012.
- D. Kuehnle. The causal effect of family income on child health in the UK. Journal of Health
   *Economics*, 36:137–150, 2014.
- K. E. Kurk. Parental income and the dynamics of health inequality in early childhood evidence
  from the UK. *Health Economics*, 22(10):1199–1214, 2012.
- E. Lacroix and C. Chaton. Fuel poverty as a major determinant of perceived health: The case of
  France. Public Health, 129:517–524, 2015.
- C. Liddell and C. Guiney. Living in a cold and damp home: Frameworks for understanding impacts
  on mental well-being? *Public health*, 129:191–199, 2015.
- C. Liddell and C. Morris. Fuel poverty and human health: A review of recent evidence. *Energy Policy*, 38:2987–2997, 2010.

- C. Liddell, H. Thomson, C. Morris, and C. Guiney. Excess winter deaths in 30 European countries
   1980-2013: A critical review of methods. *Journal of Public Health*, 38(4):806–814, 2016.
- M. Llorca, A. Rodríguez-Álvarez, and T. Jamasb. Objective vs. subjective fuel poverty and selfassessed health, 2018. EPRG Working Paper 1823. Cambridge Working Paper in Economics
  1843.
- H. Luft. Poverty and health: Economic causes and consequences of health problems, 1978.
   Ballinger, Cambridge, MA.
- O. Lundberg and K. Manderbacka. Assessing reliability of a measure of self-rated health. Scandinavian Journal of Social Medicine, 24:218–224, 2012.
- M. Marmot, G. Davey Smith, S. Stansfield, C. Patel, and J. North. Health inequalities among
  british civil servants: The whitehall 11 study, 1991. The Lancet.
- Marmot Review Team. The health impacts of cold homes and fuel poverty, 2011. ISBN 978-185750-343-2.
- T. McKeown. The role of medicine: Dream, mirage, or nemesis?, 1979. Princeton University Press.
  224 p.
- J. Meer, D. Miller, and H. Rosen. Exploring the health-wealth nexus, 2003. NBER Working Papers
   9554, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.
- S. Miilunpalo, I. Vuori, P. Oja, M. Pasanen, and H. Urponen. Self-rated health status as a health measure: The predictive value of self-reported health status on the use of physician services and on mortality in the working-age population. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 50:517–528, 1997.
- S. Mishra and R. N. Carleton. Subjective relative deprivation is associated with poorer physical
   and mental health. Social Science and Medicine, 147:144–149, 2015.
- J. M. Mossey and E. Shapiro. Self-rated health: A predictor of mortality among the elderly. *BMJ*, 72(8):800–808, 1982.
- S. Mulinari, A. Bredström, and J. Merlo. Questioning the discriminatory accuracy of broad migrant
  categories in public health: Self-rated health in Sweden. *European Journal of Public Health*, 25:
  911–917, 2015.
- ODPM. Housing health and safety rating system. Operating guidance, 2006. Housing Act 2004
   Guidance about inspections and assessment of hazards given under Section 9.
- ONPE. Définitions, indicateurs, premiers résultats et recommandations, 2014. URL
   http://www.presse.ademe.fr/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/ONPE1erRapportSynthèse.
   pdf\_[Accessed\_December\_3,\_2015]. Premier rapport de l'ONPE (Observatoire National de
   la Précarité Énergétique).
- 1035ONPE.Les chiffres clés de la précarité énergétique, 2015.URL http://www.onpe.org/1036sites/default/files/pdf/tableau\_de\_bord/chiffres\_cles.pdf\_[Accessed\_November\_
- <sup>1037</sup> 27, 2015]. Observatoire National de la Précarité Énergétique (ONPE). Édition numéro 1.
- D. Ormandy and V. Ezratty. Health and thermal comfort: From who guidance to housing strategies. *Energy Policy*, 49:116–121, 2012.

- C. N. Packer, S. Stewart-Brown, and S. E. Fowle. Damp housing and adult health: Results from
  a lifestyle study in Worcester, England. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 48:
  555–559, 1994.
- G. Palmer, T. MacInnes, and P. Kenway. Cold and poor: An analysis of the link between fuel poverty and low income, 2008. URL http://www.poverty.org.uk/reports/fuel%20poverty.
  pdf\_[Accessed\_December\_08,\_2015]. Published by New Policy Institute, 3 Coppergate House, 16 Brune Street London E1 7NJ. ISBN: 978-1-902080-24-6.
- J. Peat, J. Dickerson, and J. Li. Effects of damp and mould in the home on respiratory health: A review of the literature. *Allergy*, 53:120–128, 1998.
- K. E. Pickett and R. G. Wilkinson. Income inequality and health: A causal review. Social Science
   and Medicine, 128:316–326, 2015.
- S. D. Platt, C. H. Martin, S. M. Hunt, and C. W. Lewis. Damp housing, mould growth, and sympomatic health state. *British Medical Journal*, 289:1673–1678, 1989.
- C. Power, S. Matthews, and O. Manor. Inequalities in self-rated health in the 1958 birth cohort:
   Life time social circumstances or social mobility?, 1996. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- C. W. Price, K. Brazier, and W. Wang. Objective and subjective measures of fuel poverty. *Energy Policy*, 49:33–39, 2012.
- L. Pritchett and L. Summers. Wealthier is healthier. Journal of Human Resources, 31(4):841–868,
  1996.
- C. Propper, J. Rigg, and S. Burgess. Child health: Evidence on the roles of family income and maternal mental health from a UK birth cohort. *Health Economics*, 16(11):1245–1269, 2007.
- 1001 R. Ranson. Healthy housing: A practical guide, 1991. E & FN Spon, London.
- G. Raw and R. Hamilton. Building regulation and health, 1995. Building Research EstablishmentReport.
- S. Reinhold and H. Jürges. Parental income and child health in Germany. Journal of Health
   *Economics*, 21(5):562–579, 2012.
- D. Roberts, E. Vera-Toscano, and E. Phimister. Fuel poverty in the UK: Is there a difference between rural and urban areas? *Energy Policy*, 87:216–223, 2015.
- S. Robić and B. Antčic. Exploring health impacts of living in energy poverty: Case study Sisak Moslavina county, Croatia. Energy & buildings, 169:379–387, 2018.
- B. Rodgers. Socio-economic status, employment and neurosis. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric
   *Epidemiology*, 26:104–114, 1991.
- C. Ross and J. Mirowsky. Does medical insurance contribute to socioeconomic differentials in
   health? The Millbank Quarterly, 78:291–321, 2000.
- M. Roys, M. Davidson, S. Nicol, D. Ormandy, and P. Ambrose. The real cost of poor housing,
   2010. BRE Press. ISBN 978-1-84806-115-6.
- J. Rudge. Developing a methodology to evaluate the outcome of investment in affordable warmth,
  2001. LEARN, University of North London, Eaga Partnership Charitable Trust.

- M. Sandel, J. Sharfstein, and S. Randy. There's no place like home: How america's housing crisis
   threatens our children, 1999. Housing America, San Francisco.
- T. Seeman, B. Singer, G. Love, and L. Levy-Storms. Social relationships, gender, and allostatic
   load across two age cohorts. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 64:395–406, 2002.
- E. D. Shenassa, C. Daskalakis, A. Liebhaber, M. Braubach, and M. Brown. Dampness and mould in the home and depression. An examination of mold related illness and perceived control of one's home as possible depression pathways. *American Journal of Public Health*, 97:1893–1899, 2007.
- M. Somerville, I. Mackenszie, P. Owen, and D. Miles. Housing and health: Does installing heating
  in their homes improve the health of children with asthma? *Public Health*, 114:434–439, 2000.
- D. Staiger and J. Stock. Instrumental variables regression with weak instruments. *Econometrica*, 64:556–586, 1997.
- S. Syme. The social environment and health. Daedalus Journal of American Academy of Arts and
   Sciences, Health and Wealth, 123 (Fall)(4):79–86, 1994.
- Thomas and J. Strauss. Health and wages: Evidence on men and women in urban Brazil. Journal
   of Econometrics, 77:159–185, 1997.
- H. Thomson and C. Snell. Quantifying the prevalence of fuel poverty across the European Union.
   *Energy Policy*, 52:563–572, 2013.
- H. Thomson, M. Petticrew, and D. Morrison. Housing improvement and health gain: A summary
  and systematic review, 2002. MRC Social & Public Health Sciences Unit. Occasional Paper No
  5. University of Glasgow. ISBN: 1-901519-03-1.
- H. Thomson, C. Snell, and B. Bouzarovski. Health, well-being and energy poverty in Europe: A comparative study of 32 European countries. *International Journal of Environmental Research* and Public Health, 14(6):584–604, 2017.
- H. Thomson, S. Bouzarovski, and Snell. Rethinking the measurement of energy poverty in Europe:
  A critical analysis of indicators and data. *Indoor Built Environment*, 26(7):879–901, 2017b.
- D. Wilkinson. Poor housing and ill health: A summary of the research evidence, 1999. Edinburgh,
   Scottish Office Central Research Unit.
- M. Wilkinson, P. an Landon, B. Armstrong, S. Stevenson, S. Pattenden, M. McKee, and
  T. Fletcher. The social and environmental determinants of excess winter deaths in England,
  1986-96, 2001. The Policy Press and the Joseph Rowntree Foundation 2001. ISBN 1 86134 355
  8.
- P. Wilkinson, S. Stevenson, B. Armstrong, and T. Fletcher. Housing and winter death. *Epidemi*ology, 9(4):S59, 1998.