

# Experimental characterisations of single cane reeds

Bruno Gazengel, Jean Pierre Dalmont, Pierre André Taillard

Laboratoire d'Acoustique de l'Université du Mans, UMR CNRS 6613, Avenue Olivier Messiaen, 72085 Le Mans Cedex 9, FRANCE



## **GENERAL CONTEXT**



- Musical acoustics
- Single reed woodwind instruments
  - Clarinet (cylindrical resonator)
  - Saxophone (conical resonator)
- Development of experimental tools for reed makers









- Reed ↔ beam with complex shape and material properties
- Material
  - Cane reed (natural)
  - Synthetic material (plastic, composite, ...)
- Shape : different cutting according to different musical styles













- Strength ↔ stiffness k
  - Given by a number, no physical units !
  - Table for knowing the equivalent strength of different manufacturers
  - The strength enables to adapt the reed to a mouthpiece tip opening

Brand	Stre Soft	ngth																1	Hard
Vandoren Traditional		1		1.5		2		2.5		3		3.5		4		4.5		5	
Vandoren V12							2.5		3		3.5		4			4.5		5	
Vandoren 56 Rue Lepic							2.5		3		3.5	3.5+	4			4.5		5	
Vandoren V16			1.5		2		2.5		3		3.5		4			5			
Vandoren Java		1	1.5	2		2.5		3		3.5		4							
Vandoren Java Red		1	1.5		2		2.5		3	3.5		4							
Vandoren ZZ			1.5	2		2.5		3		3.5		4							
Rico		1.5		2	2.5		3		3.5		4								
Rico Royal	1	1.5		2	2.5		3		3.5		4			5					
Rico Grand Concert Select								2.5		3		3.5		4		4.5		5	
Rico Grand Concert Select Evolution							2		2.5		3		3.5		4		4.5		5
Rico Select Jazz			25		2M		2H	38	3M		ЗH		45		4M		<b>4H</b>		
Hemke					2		2.5				3.5		4						
La Voz				S	MS		м		мн				н						
Plasticover	1	1.5		2			3		3.5		4		5						
Fibracell					S			MS		м		мн		н					







- Reeds with same cut, same strength produce strong differences in subjective quality
  - Can we measure objective parameters highly correlated with subjective descriptors?
  - Which parameters given by the physical models are the most relevant ?





• Static stiffness measurement (reed maker)



• Dynamic stiffness measurement (mode 1)







- Results
  - Samples : tests with clarinet reeds (50 + 150 reeds, 1 expert)
  - Physical measurements
    - No difference between static and dynamic stiffness
  - Perceptive tests
    - Effect of reed on perception is significant
    - Correlation between stiffness and "ease of playing" R = 0.75

B. Gazengel, J. P. Dalmont, and J. F. Petiot, "Link between objective and subjective characterizations of Bb clarinet reeds," Appl. Acoust., vol. 106, 2016.

J.-F. J. F. Petiot, P. Kersaudy, G. Scavone, S. McAdams, and B. Gazengel, "Investigation of the relationships between perceived qualities and sound parameters of saxophone reeds," Acta Acust. united with Acust., vol. 103, no. 5, pp. 812–829, 2017.





# • Modal analysis (digital heterodyne holography)

P.-A. Taillard, F. Laloë, M. Gross, J.-P. Dalmont, and J. Kergomard, "Statistical Estimation of Mechanical Parameters of Clarinet Reeds Using Experimental and Numerical Approaches," Acta Acust. united with Acust., vol. 100, no. 3, pp. 555–573, May 2014.





- Modal analysis by digital holography - positive results
  - A viscoelastic model of the reed-material allows to reconstruct quite correctly the observed resonance frequencies
  - Confirmation of the results by Guimezanes (PhD thesis 2008)

- Negative points
  - No evident relationship with the musical subjective evaluation by the clarinettist

Thomas Guimezanes. Etude expérimentale et numérique de l'anche de clarinette. PhD, thesis, Thèse de doctorat, Université du Maine, 2008.





- Summary
  - Static characterisation: which experimental conditions?
  - Dynamic charactarisation:
    - Which experimental conditions?
    - Artificial boundary conditions (no bending of the reed against the lay, no lip)
    - Low acoustic pressure  $\rightarrow$  small reed tip displacement (some um)
- Needs characterisation of exciter.













## **EXCITER PARAMETERS**

- Reed
  - Stiffness (strength)
  - Shape (cut)
  - Material
- Mouthpiece
  - Tip opening
  - Table length
  - Facing curve
- Musician's embouchure







#### **MODEL OF EXCITER**

- Exciter = reed + mouthpiece + lip
- S<sub>0</sub>: opening surface at rest (without lip pressure)
- $S_{L}$ : opening surface with lip pressure
- Reed stiffness : inelastic shock or bending (non linear stiffness)







#### **MODEL OF EXCITER**

- Aeraulic section  $S(\Delta p)$
- Flow rate entering the mouthpiece (Bernoulli's law)









- Measurement of:
  - Pressure difference  $\Delta p = p$ -Pm
  - Reed tip deflection z ( $\Delta p$ , S<sub>L</sub>)
  - Flow rate U ( $\Delta p$ , S<sub>L</sub>)
  - Effective aeraulic area  $S(\Delta p)$









## • Quasi-static measurements

- Negative pressure in the bottle (closed reed then opening of the reed)
- Foam (avoid oscillations)
- Measurement of pressures  $\rightarrow$  estimation of flow rate
- Measurement of reed position at the tip (optical sensors)







#### Reed tip deflection







• Compliance















#### Volume velocity







## • Equivalence pressure / lip position



Equivalence between lip position Ψ and pressure p

$$x = \Delta p + a (\Psi - \Psi_0)$$





# **CHARACTERISATION OF EXCITER ALONE**

- Static measurements
  - Photography of reed channel

Artificial lip

Reed

Mouthpiece

Reed R14 new

Artificial lip Reed

Mouthpiece Reed R14 after break-in

Photos of the reed R14 before and after break-in for an embouchure



Channel height measured by photo for 4 different reeds and 7 embouchures





- Results from measurements
  - Characterisation of reed alone not enough
  - Characterisation of exciter (reed + mouthpiece + lip) needed. Static characterisation is enough (channel photography)
  - Lip force equivalent to acoustic pressure
  - Important parameters
    - 1) Opening surface at rest (without lip pressure)
    - 2)  $K(\Delta p, S_L) \leftrightarrow$  evolution of the stiffness between the tip and the vamp of the reed
- Perspectives
  - Transfer to reed makers

