

On 2-Salem polynomials and 2-Salem numbers in positive characteristic

Mabrouk Ben Nasr, Hassen Kthiri, Jean-Louis Verger-Gaugry

To cite this version:

Mabrouk Ben Nasr, Hassen Kthiri, Jean-Louis Verger-Gaugry. On 2-Salem polynomials and 2-Salem numbers in positive characteristic. 2020. hal-02464774

HAL Id: hal-02464774 <https://hal.science/hal-02464774v1>

Preprint submitted on 3 Feb 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On 2-Salem polynomials and 2-Salem numbers in positive characteristic

Mabrouk Ben Nasr^{,1}, Hassen Kthiri^{,2}, and Jean-Louis Verger-Gaugry³

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of sciences, Sfax, Tunisia, e.mail: mabrouk bennasr@yahoo.fr

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of sciences, Sfax, Tunisia, e.mail: hassenkthiri@gmail.com

³LAMA, CNRS UMR 5127,Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, F-73000 Chamb´ery , France, e.mail: jean-louis.verger-gaugry@univ-smb.fr

Abstract

Bateman and Duquette have characterized Salem numbers in positive characteristic. This work extends their results to 2-Salem numbers from 2-Salem minimal polynomials of the type $Y^n + \lambda_{n-1} Y^{n-1} + \ldots$ $\lambda_1 Y + \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X][Y]$ where $n \geq 2$, $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ and $\deg \lambda_{n-1} < \deg \lambda_{n-2} = \sup_{i \neq n-2} \deg(\lambda_i)$. The existence of 2-Salem polynomials over \mathbb{F}_q , and related questions of irreducibility and algebraicity of 2-Salem numbers, are studied by means of Weiss's method of upper Newton polygons, for $q \neq 2^r, r \geq 1$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.: 11R04; 11R06,11R09, 11R52, 12D10. Key words: Finite field, Laurent series, 2-Salem series, irreducible polynomial, Newton polygon.

Contents

1 Introduction

A Salem number is a real algebraic integer $\theta > 1$ of even degree at least 4, conjugated to θ^{-1} , having all its conjugates θ_i excluding θ and θ^{-1} of modulus exactly 1 [9]. The minimal polynomial $\Lambda(z)$ of a Salem number θ is reciprocal: it satisfies the equation $z^{\deg \Lambda(z)} \Lambda(\frac{1}{z}) = \Lambda(z)$. To put is simply, this means that its coefficients form a palindromic sequence: they read the same backwards as forwards. Therefore $\theta + \theta^{-1}$ is a real algebraic integer $\theta > 2$ such that its conjugates $\neq \theta + \theta^{-1}$ lie in the real interval [-2, 2]. The Mahler measure $M(\theta) :=$ deg Π θ $i=1$ $\max\{1, |\theta_i|\}$ of θ satisfies $M(\theta) = \theta$ and the trace $tr(\theta) :=$ deg \sum θ $i=1$ $\theta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is not bounded and can take arbitrary negative values [6].

In 1945, Salem [8] first defined and studied Salem numbers. They were called after his name. The set of Salem numbers is traditionally denoted by T, the letter just after S, where S denotes the set of Pisot numbers [2] [9]. The smallest element known of T is Lehmer's number $\beta_0 = 1.1762...$ of degree 10, as dominant root of Lehmer's polynomial:

$$
P(X) = X^{10} + X^9 - X^7 - X^6 - X^5 - X^4 - X^3 + X + 1.
$$
\n(1)

The problem of Lehmer for Salem numbers $\theta \in T$ can be formulated as follows: does there exist an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that: if $M(\theta) > 1$ then $M(\theta) > 1+c$? If such a nontrivial minoration occurs, is the infimum of T a Salem number [3]? The problem of Lehmer stated for Mahler measures of nonzero algebraic numbers, not being a root of unity, became the Conjecture of Lehmer, stating that such an absolute constant exists. The Surveys [9] [10] take stock of the problem of minoration of the Mahler measure in all its forms.

Kerada [5] defined, as a generalization of a Salem number, a j-Salem number, $j \geq 2$. In particular, a 2-Salem number or a pair of Salem numbers is a pair (β_1, β_2) of conjugate algebraic integers of modulus > 1 whose remaining conjugates have modulus at most 1, with at least one having modulus exactly 1. The set of 2-Salem numbers is denoted by T_2 . It is partitioned as $T_2 = T_2' \bigcup T_2''$ where T_2' is the set of 2-Salem numbers with $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and T''_2 the set of 2-Salem numbers for which β_1 and β_2 are complex non-real (and so complex conjugates of one another, $\beta_1 = \overline{\beta_2}$.

In this work, instead of the classical setting of the real numbers, the analogues of 2-Salem numbers over the ring of formal Laurent series over finite fields are investigated. Bateman and Duquette [1] initiated the study of Salem numbers in positive characteristic. In this context 2-Salem numbers in positive characteristic will be called 2-Salem series, 2-Salem elements or 2-Salem numbers. The objectives of the present note consist in extending some of the results of Bateman and Duquette to 2-Salem series over $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$, $q \neq 2^r$, and to study the analogues of the above-mentioned properties of 2-Salem series. More precisely, let \mathbb{F}_q denote the finite field having q elements, $q \geq 3$, and let p be the characteristic of \mathbb{F}_q ; q is a power of p. Let X be an indeterminate over \mathbb{F}_q and denote $k := \mathbb{F}_q(X)$. Let ∞ be the unique place of k which is a pole of X, and denote $k_{\infty} := \mathbb{F}_q((\frac{1}{X}))$. Let \mathbb{C}_{∞} be a completion of an algebraic closure of k_{∞} . Then \mathbb{C}_{∞} is algebraically closed and complete, and we denote by v_{∞} the valuation on \mathbb{C}_{∞} normalized by $v_{\infty}(X) = -1$. We fix an embedding of an algebraic closure of k in \mathbb{C}_{∞} so that all the finite extensions of k mentioned in this work will be contained in \mathbb{C}_{∞} . An explicit description of v_{∞} is done in section 2. For simplicity's sake the algebraic closure of k_{∞} will be often denoted by $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))}$.

2-Salem series over $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ may belong to k_{∞} or to finite extensions of k_{∞} . By analogy with Kerada's notations we denote by T_2^* the set of 2-Salem series. It can be partitioned as $T_2^* = T_2'^* \cup T_2''^*$ where $T_2'^*$ denotes those 2-Salem series (ω_1, ω_2) over $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ which (both) belong to $\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$, and $T_2''^*$ those 2-Salem series, not in $\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$, such that $(\omega_1^n, \omega_2^n) \in T_2'^*$ for some integer $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose $q \neq 2^r$ for any integer $r \geq 1$. Denote by ω_1 and ω_2 the dominant roots of the irreducible polynomial

$$
\Lambda(Y) = Y^{n} + \lambda_{n-1} Y^{n-1} + \lambda_{n-2} Y^{n-2} + \ldots + \lambda_1 Y + \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X][Y] \tag{2}
$$

where $n \geq 3$, $\lambda_0 \neq 0$, $\deg \lambda_{n-1} < \deg \lambda_{n-2} = \sup_{i \neq n-2} \deg(\lambda_i)$. Then

- (i) if $\deg \lambda_{n-2} > 2 \deg \lambda_{n-1}$, then the pair $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in T_2'^*$ if and only if $\deg \lambda_{n-2}$ is even, the opposite $-\alpha_{2s}$ of the dominant coefficient of $\lambda_{n-2} = \alpha_{2s}X^{2s} + \ldots + \alpha_0$ is a square in \mathbb{F}_q , and $\deg \lambda_{n-3} < \deg \lambda_{n-2}$.
- (ii) if deg $\lambda_{n-2} < 2 \deg \lambda_{n-1}$, then $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in T_2^*$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 the fields of formal power series and the valuation used in the sequel are recalled. In this context the main result of Bateman and Duquette, characterizing Salem elements, is restated. Section 3 is devoted to arithmetical and topological properties of 2-Salem series in $\overline{\mathbb{F}_a((X^{-1}))}$. In section 4 Weiss's method of the upper Newton polygon is explicited to characterize 2-Salem series in $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))}$. In section 5 attention is focused on those 2-Salem series which lie in the field $\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$, by establishing criteria discriminating whether they belong to $\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$ or to $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in section 6. In Theorem 1.1 the polynomial given by (2) is assumed irreducible. More generally, the question of irreducibility of a polynomial of the general form (2) is discussed in section 7.

2 Salem series in $\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$

For p a prime and q a power of p, let $\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$ be the set of Laurent series over \mathbb{F}_q which is defined as follows

$$
\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1})) = \{ \omega = \sum_{i \ge n_0} \omega_i X^{-i} : n_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \omega_i \in \mathbb{F}_q \}.
$$

We know that every algebraic element over $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ can be writing explicitly as a formal series because $\mathbb{F}_q[X] \subseteq$ $\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$. However, as $\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$ is not algebraically closed, such an element is not necessarily expressed as a power series. We refer to Kedlaya [4], for a full characterization of the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$. We denote by $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))}$ an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$. Indifferently we will speak of 2-Salem elements, 2-Salem numbers or 2-Salem series in the present context.

Let ω be an element of $\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$, its polynomial part is denoted by $[\omega] \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ and $\{\omega\}$ its fractional part. We can remark that $\omega = [\omega] + {\omega}$. If $\omega \neq 0$, then the polynomial degree of ω is $\gamma(\omega) = \sup\{-i : \omega_i \neq 0\}$, the degree of the highest-degree nonzero monomial in ω , and $\gamma(0) = -\infty$. Note that if $[\omega] \neq 0$ then $\gamma(\omega)$ is the degree of the polynomial $[\omega]$. Thus, we define the absolute value

$$
|\omega| = \begin{cases} q^{\gamma(\omega)} & \text{for } \omega \neq 0; \\ 0 & \text{for } \omega = 0. \end{cases}
$$

Since |.| is not archimedean, |.| fulfills the strict triangle inequality

 $|\omega + \nu| \leq \max(|\omega|, |\nu|)$ and $|\omega + \nu|$ = max $(|\omega|, |\nu|)$ if $|\omega| \neq |\nu|$.

Definition 2.1. A Salem (resp. Pisot) element ω in $\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$ is an algebraic integer over $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ such that $|\omega| > 1$, whose remaining conjugates in $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))}$ have an absolute value no greater than 1, and at least one has absolute value exactly 1. (resp. whose remaining conjugates in $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))}$ have an absolute value strictly less than 1). The set of Salem (resp. Pisot) numbers is denoted T^* (resp. S^*).

Theorem 2.2. ([1]) An element ω in $\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$ is a Salem (resp. Pisot) element if and only if its minimal polynomial can be written as $\Lambda(Y) = Y^s + \lambda_{s-1}Y^{s-1} + \ldots + \lambda_0$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ for $i = 0, \ldots, s-1$ with $|\lambda_{s-1}| =$ $\sup_{0 \le i \le s-2} |\lambda_i|$. (resp. $|\lambda_{s-1}| > \sup_{0 \le i \le s-2} |\lambda_i|$).

Similarly to the real case, in the sequel we will focus on 2-Salem series in k_{∞} : such a 2-Salem number or a pair of Salem series as a pair (ω_1, ω_2) in $\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1})) \times \mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$ has an absolute value greater than 1, such that ω_1 is an algebraic integer over $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$, with the property that all of its conjugates lie on or within the unit circle, and at least one conjugate lies on the unit circle. This implies that all 2-Salem elements are necessarily separable over $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$. Also, for any $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in T_2''^*$, then by definition, $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \notin (\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1})) \times \mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1})))$ such that $(\omega_1^n, \omega_2^n) \in T_2^{\prime *}$, for some integer $n \geq 2$. So we can check easily that any element in T_2^* is either in $T_2^{\prime *}$ or in $T_2^{\prime\prime*}$.

Let us remark that it is easy to construct a 2-Salem number over \mathbb{F}_q with $q = 2$ and then to show that 2-Salem numbers do exist without the assumption $q \neq 2^r, r \geq 1$, taken in Theorem 1.1. The exclusion case $q \neq 2^r$ of Theorem 1.1 will arise in a general setting from Lemma 5.3 and its consequences.

3 Multiplicative properties of 2-Salem series

Lemma 3.1. If ω and ν are algebraic integers, then $\omega + \nu$ and $\omega\nu$ are algebraic integers.

Proof. Let ω and ν be two algebraic integers and

$$
P_{\omega}(Y) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} A_i Y^i \in \mathbb{F}_q[X][Y]
$$

and $P_{\nu} \in \mathbb{F}_q[X][Y]$ their minimal polynomials. Then, $A_d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Let $\nu_1 = \nu, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_s$ the roots of P_ν . Therefore $Q(Y) = \prod_{j=1}^s P_\omega(Y - \nu_j)$ is a polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$, a dominant coefficient is $(A_d)^s \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $Q(\omega + \nu) = 0$.

For the second one, we take the polynomial

$$
R(Y)=\Pi_{j=1}^s\nu_j^dP_\omega(\frac{Y}{\nu_j})
$$

is a polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$, whose dominant coefficient is $(A_d)^s \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $R(\omega \nu) = 0$. \Box

Proposition 3.2.

Let $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in T_2^{\prime *}$, then $(\omega_1^n, \omega_2^n) \in T_2^{\prime *}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Proof. Let $M \in \mathbb{F}_q[X][Y]$ the minimal polynomial of integer algebraic ω of degree d and $\omega_2, \ldots, \omega_d$ the conjugates of ω . Then there exists exactly one conjugate ω_2 of ω_1 that lie outside the unit disc and for $3 \leq i \leq d$, the conjugates ω_i lie on or within the unit circle, and at least one conjugate ω_j lies on the unit circle for $3 \leq j \leq d$. Let $\omega_3, \dots, \omega_d$ denote the other roots of M. Since ω is an algebraic integer, by Lemma 3.1, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ω_1^n is also. Let $\Lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q[X][Y]$ be the minimal polynomial of ω_1^n .

Now, we consider the embedding σ_i of $\mathbb{F}_q(X)(\omega_1)$ into $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))}$, which fixes $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$ and maps ω_1 to ω_i .

$$
\Lambda(\omega_i^n) = \Lambda((\sigma_i(\omega_1))^n)) = \Lambda(\sigma_i(\omega_1^n)) = \sigma_i(\Lambda(\omega_1^n)) = \sigma_i(0) = 0
$$

So for all $i \leq d$, ω_i^n is a root of the equation $\Lambda(Y) = 0$. We have,

$$
[\mathbb{F}_q(X)(\omega_1^n) : \mathbb{F}_q(X)] \leq [\mathbb{F}_q(X)(\omega_1) : \mathbb{F}_q(X)].
$$

This shows that $\deg(\Lambda) \leq \deg(M)$. So $\omega_1^n, \omega_2^n, \ldots, \omega_d^n$ are all the roots of Λ .

If $3 \leq i \leq d$, then $|\omega_i^n| = |\omega_i|^n \leq 1$ and there exists at least $3 \leq j \leq n$ such that $|\omega_j^n| = |\omega_j|^n = 1$. Therefore $(\omega_1^n, \omega_2^n) \in T_2'^*,$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$ \Box

Note that the converse is false in general. For instance, take $q = 3, d = 4$ and $n = 2$. Then, the polynomial

$$
Y^4 - 2X^2Y^2 + 2X^2
$$

over \mathbb{F}_3 is irreducible and its two roots of absolute value > 1 defined by

$$
(\omega_1, \omega_2) = ((\sqrt{2}(X - \frac{1}{X^3} + \ldots), -(\sqrt{2}(X - \frac{1}{X^3} + \ldots)),
$$

not lie in $\mathbb{F}_3((X^{-1}))$. The other conjugates defined by

$$
(\omega_3, \omega_4) = (1 - \frac{1}{X^2} + \dots, -(1 + \frac{1}{X^2} + \dots)).
$$

We can see that (ω_1^2, ω_2^2) lie in $\mathbb{F}_3((X^{-1}))$.

The 2- Salem series have the following basic property, as is easily seen by considering the trace.

Proposition 3.3. Let $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in T_2'^{*}$, then $\{\omega_1^n + \omega_2^n\}$ is bounded.

Proof. Let N an integer and (ω_1, ω_2) be a 2-Salem series and $\omega_3, \ldots, \omega_d$ the other conjugates of ω_1 and ω_2 . From the preceding proposition result, for all $n \geq N$ integer, ω_1^n and ω_2^n are the roots of the same degree d irreducible polynomial, Λ_n in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$. Also,

$$
tr(\Lambda_n) = \sum_{i=1}^d \omega_i^n \in \mathbb{F}_q[X].
$$

So $\{tr(\Lambda_n)\}=0$. The above can be rewritten as

$$
\{tr(\Lambda_n) = \sum_{i=1}^d \omega_i^n\} = \{\omega_1^n + \omega_2^n + \sum_{i=3}^d \omega_i^n\}.
$$

Since, for $3 \leq i \leq d$, by definition $|\omega_i| \leq 1$, and there exists at least $3 \leq j \leq n$ such that $|\omega_j^n| = |\omega_j|^n = 1$. Further, passing to absolute value, it is easy to show that

$$
\lim_{n \mapsto +\infty} |\{\sum_{i=3}^d \omega_i^n\}| \le \max_{i=3,\dots,d} |\{\omega_i^n\}| \le C \in \mathbb{F}_q.
$$

Therefore $\{\omega_1^n + \omega_2^n\}$ is bounded.

The following remark is a particular case of Proposition 3.3.

Remark 3.4.

Let $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in T_2'^*$ such that it has only one root ω_3 with absolute value equal to 1 and the other conjugates have an absolute value strictly less than 1. Then $\lim_{n \to +\infty} {\{\omega_1^n + \omega_2^n\}} = 0.$

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, we can see that $\omega_3 \in \mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$. Thus

$$
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \{ \omega_3^n \} = 0. \tag{3}
$$

 \Box

On the other hand, by the proof of Proposition 3.3, we have

$$
\omega_1^n + \omega_2^n = Tr(\omega_1^n) - \omega_3^n - \omega_4^n - \ldots - \omega_d^n
$$

which implies for $n \geq N$,

$$
|\{\omega_1^n + \omega_2^n\}| = |\{\omega_3^n\} + \{\omega_4^n\} + \dots + \{\omega_d^n\}|
$$

\n
$$
\leq |\{\omega_3^n\} + \omega_4^n + \dots + \omega_d^n|
$$

\n
$$
\leq \max_{i=4,\dots,d} (|\{\omega_3^n\}|, |\omega_i^n|).
$$

Since $|\omega_i|$ < 1 for $i = 4, ..., d$ and by (3), the assertion of the Remark follows.

Proposition 3.5. Let $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in T_2'^*$ with a minimal polynomial $\Lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q[X][Y]$ of degree 4 and $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ and ω_4 its roots such that $\deg \omega_3 = 0$. If $\Lambda(0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, then $\omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3 \in T^*$.

Proof. Let $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in T_2'^*$ and

$$
\Lambda(Y) = Y^4 + \lambda_3 Y^3 + \lambda_2 Y^2 + \lambda_1 Y + \lambda_0.
$$

the minimal polynomial of ω_1 and ω_2 . Let ω_3 and ω_4 the other conjugates. Consider

$$
Q(Y) = Y^4 \Lambda(\frac{1}{Y}).
$$

Clearly Q is an irreducible monic over $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$, and has four roots

$$
\frac{1}{\omega_1}, \quad \frac{1}{\omega_2}, \quad \frac{1}{\omega_3} = \omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_4 \quad and \quad \frac{1}{\omega_4} = \omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3.
$$

We have

$$
|\frac{1}{\omega_3}| = |\omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_4| = 1
$$

$$
|\frac{1}{\omega_4}| = |\omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3| > 1
$$

and $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{\omega_i}$ < 1, for $i = 1, 2$. Therefore $\omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3$ is a Salem series.

4 A first characterization of 2-Salem series

We will now take up the following definition which we need. Recall the definition of upper Newton polygon of a polynomial

$$
\Lambda(X,Y) = \lambda_n Y^n + \lambda_{n-1} Y^{n-1} + \ldots + \lambda_1 Y + \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X,Y]
$$
\n⁽⁴⁾

to each monomial $\lambda_i Y^i \neq 0$, we assign the point $(i, \deg(\lambda_i)) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. For $\lambda_i = 0$, we ignore the corresponding point $(i, -\infty)$. If we consider the upper convex hull of the set of points

$$
\{(0, deg(\lambda_0)), \ldots, (n, deg(\lambda_n))\},\
$$

we obtain the so-called upper Newton polygon of $\Lambda(X, Y)$ with respect to Y. The polygon is a sequence of line segments $E_1, E_2, \ldots E_t$, with monotonous decreasing slopes.

 \Box

 \Box

The following Proposition of Weiss in [11] is main tool for our purposes. The Newton polygon is an important tool in the study of 2-Pisot series. Recall the definition of upper Newton polygon of a polynomial

$$
f(X,Y) = A_m Y^m + A_{m-1} Y^{m-1} + \dots + A_1 Y + A_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X,Y].
$$
\n(5)

To each monomial $A_i Y^i \neq 0$, we assign the point $(i, \deg(A_i)) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. For $A_i = 0$, we ignore the corresponding point $(i, -\infty)$. If we consider the upper convex hull of the set of points

$$
\{(0, deg(A_0)), \ldots, (m, deg(A_m))\},\
$$

we obtain the so-called upper Newton polygon of $f(X, Y)$ with respect to Y. The Newton polygon of $f(X, Y)$ is a sequence of line segments with monotonous decreasing pairwise distinct slopes. The slope of a segment of the Newton polygon of $f(X, Y)$ joins, for instance, the point $(r, deg(A_r))$ to $(r + s, deg(A_{r+s}))$ for some $0 \leq r < r + s \leq m$. The slope is

$$
k = \frac{\deg(A_{r+s}) - \deg(A_r)}{s}.
$$

Denote by K_f the set of the slopes.

Proposition 4.1 (Weiss). Let

$$
\Lambda(X,Y) = Y^n + \lambda_{n-1} Y^{n-1} + \ldots + \lambda_1 Y + \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X,Y]
$$

and K_{Λ} the set of the slopes of its Newton polygon. Then, for every $k \in K_{\Lambda}$,

i) $\Lambda(X, Y)$, as a polynomial in Y, has s roots $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s$ with the same degree $-k$ and

$$
|\alpha_1| = \ldots = |\alpha_s| = q^{-k}
$$

ii) The polynomial

.

$$
\Lambda_k(X,Y) = \prod_{i=1}^s (Y - \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))[Y]
$$

divides $\Lambda(X, Y)$, with

$$
\Lambda(X,Y) = \prod_{k \in K_{\Lambda}} \Lambda_k(X,Y).
$$

Corollary 4.2. [7] Let

$$
\Lambda(X,Y) = \lambda_n Y^n + \lambda_{n-1} Y^{n-1} + \ldots + \lambda_1 Y + \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X][Y].
$$
\n⁽⁶⁾

and ω a root of Λ . If $|\lambda_n| = \sup_{0 \le k \le n} |\lambda_k|$, then $|\omega| \le 1$.

Corollary 4.3. Let

$$
\Lambda(X,Y) = Y^n + \lambda_{n-1} Y^{n-1} + \ldots + \lambda_1 Y + \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X][Y] \tag{7}
$$

with $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ and $\sup_{0 \leq k < n-2} \deg \lambda_k = \deg \lambda_{n-2} < 2 \deg \lambda_{n-1}$. Then, Λ has only two roots $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$ satisfying $|\omega_1| > 1$ and $|\omega_2| > 1$ and at least one conjugate lies on the unit circle.

Proof. First notice that the stated condition implies that deg $\lambda_{n-1} > 0$. Moreover the Newton polygon of Λ contains the line with a slope k_1 joining $(n-1, \deg \lambda_{n-1})$ and $(n, 0)$, the the line with a slope k_2 joining $(n-2, \deg \lambda_{n-2})$ and $(n-1, \deg \lambda_{n-1})$ and the line with a slope k_3 joining $(n-2, \deg \lambda_{n-2})$ and $(n-k, \deg \lambda_{n-k})$. By Proposition 4.1 (*i*), Λ has exactly two roots ω_1 , ω_2

$$
\begin{cases} |\omega_1| = q^{\deg \lambda_{n-1}} = q^{-k_1} > 1\\ |\omega_2| = |\omega_2| = |q^{\deg \lambda_{n-2} - \deg \lambda_{n-1}} = q^{-k_2} > 1. \end{cases}
$$

and $j = n - 2 - k$ roots ω_j such that

$$
|\omega_j| = |q^{\frac{-\deg \lambda_{n-2} + \deg \lambda_k}{n-2-k}}| = q^{-k_3} = 1.
$$

By Proposition 4.1 (ii), there are two factors $\Lambda_{k_1}(X,Y) = (Y - \omega_1) \in \mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))[Y]$ and $\Lambda_{k_2}(X,Y) =$ $(Y - \omega_2) \in \mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))[Y]$ of Λ . Hence ω_1 and $\omega_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1})).$ \Box

Theorem 4.4. Let Λ the polynomial of degree $n \geq 3$ defined by

$$
\Lambda(Y) = Y^{n} + \lambda_{n-1} Y^{n-1} + \lambda_{n-2} Y^{n-2} + \ldots + \lambda_1 Y + \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X][Y]
$$

with $\lambda_0 \neq 0$. Then, Λ has exactly 2 roots in $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))}$ of an absolute strictly greater than 1 and all remaining roots in $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))}$ have an absolute value less or equal to 1 and at least one conjugate lies on the unit circle if and only if $|\lambda_{n-1}| < |\lambda_{n-2}| = \sup_{i \leq n-2} |\lambda_i|$.

Proof. Let $w = \omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_n$ be the roots of Λ . Suppose that $|\omega_1| \geq |\omega_2| > 1 \geq |\omega_3| \geq \ldots \geq |\omega_n|$ and there exists at least one $3 \leq j \leq n$ such that $|\omega_j| = 1$. For $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}; k \neq 2$, we have

$$
|\lambda_{n-k}|=|\sum_{1\leq i_1
$$

and

$$
|\lambda_{n-j}|=|\sum_{1\leq i_1
$$

Then

$$
|\lambda_{n-2}| = \sup_{i \neq n-2} |\lambda_i|.
$$

For the converse, it follows easily from Proposition 4.1.

Example 4.5.

Let

$$
\Lambda(Y) = Y^3 + (X+1)Y^2 + (X^4 + X^3)Y + X^4 + X^3 + X^2 + X + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2[X][Y].
$$

By Theorem 4.4, $\Lambda(Y)$ has two roots ω_1 and ω_2 of an absolute value strictly greater than 1 and one root ω_3 of an absolute value exactly equal to 1. Using the fact that

- $[\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3] = X + 1$
- $[\omega_1 \omega_2 + \omega_1 \omega_3 + \omega_2 \omega_3] = X^4 + X^3$
- $[\omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3] = X^4 + X^3 + X^2 + X + 1.$

Then ω_1 , ω_2 and ω_3 are defined by:

$$
\begin{cases}\n\omega_1 = X^2 + 1 + \frac{1}{Z_1} \text{ such that } |Z_1| > 1; \\
\omega_2 = X^2 + X + \frac{1}{Z_2} \text{ such that } |Z_2| > 1;\n\end{cases}
$$

and $\omega_3 = 1 + \frac{1}{7}$ $\frac{1}{Z_3}$ such that $|Z_3| > 1$. For $j = 1, 2$ $\Lambda(\omega_j) = 0$ implies Z_1 (rep. Z_2) is a root of the polynomial H_1 (resp. H_2) defined by

$$
H_1 = Z^3 + (X^3 + 1)Z^2 + (X^2 + X)Z + 1.
$$
\n(8)

and

$$
H_2 = (X^2 + X + 1)Z^3 + (X^3 + X^2)Z^2 + (X^2 + 1)Z + 1.
$$
\n(9)

Applying Proposition 4.1 to the equations (9) and (8), we obtain $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2((X^{-1}))$. Therefore $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2((X^{-1}))$. Since Λ is monic and irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_2[X]$, we deduce that (ω_1, ω_2) is 2-Salem series and Λ is the minimal polynomial of ω_1 .

 \Box

 \Box

5 Criteria of existence of roots and conjugates in $\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$

Before giving the proof of our results, we will present some lemmas that we will need:

Lemma 5.1. Let $n > 3$ and Λ defined by

$$
\Lambda(Y) = Y^{n} + \lambda_{n-1} Y^{n-1} + \lambda_{n-2} Y^{n-2} + \ldots + \lambda_1 Y + \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X][Y].
$$

Suppose $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ and $\sup_{i \neq n-2} \deg \lambda_i = \deg \lambda_{n-2} \geq 2 \deg \lambda_{n-1}$. If $\deg(\lambda_{n-2})$ is odd, then Λ has no roots in $\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$ with absolute value > 1 .

Proof. By Theorem 4.4, Λ has two roots ω_1 and ω_2 such that $|\omega_1| > 1$ and $|\omega_2| > 1$. The remaining roots ω_3,\ldots,ω_n have an absolute value less or equal to 1 and at least one conjugate lies on the unit circle for $3 \leq j \leq n$. As deg $\lambda_{n-2} \geq 2 \deg \lambda_{n-1}$, then the Newton polygon of Λ contains the line connecting the points $(n-2,\deg\lambda_{n-2})$ and $(n,0)$. The slope of this line is $k=-\frac{\deg\lambda_{n-2}}{2}$. By Proposition 4.1 (i) , Λ has $n-(n-2)=2$ 2 roots ω_1 and ω_2 . Since they have the same absolute value $q^{-\overline{k}} > 1$. Then

$$
\deg \omega_1 = \deg \omega_2 = -k = -\frac{\deg \lambda_{n-2}}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}.
$$
\n(10)

Therefore $\omega_1, \omega_2 \notin \mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1})).$

Lemma 5.2. Let Λ defined by

 $\Lambda(Y) = Y^n + \lambda_{n-1} Y^{n-1} + \lambda_{n-2} Y^{n-2} + \ldots + \lambda_1 Y + \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X][Y].$

Suppose $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ and $\sup_{i \neq n-2} \deg \lambda_i < \deg \lambda_{n-3} = \deg \lambda_{n-2} \geq 2 \deg \lambda_{n-1}$. If $\deg(\lambda_{n-2})$ is odd, then Λ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$.

Proof. By Considering the Newton polygon of Λ , then it has exactly two roots ω_1 and ω_2 such that $|\omega_1| > 1$ and $|\omega_2| > 1$, one root ω_1 such that $|\omega_3| = 1$ and remaining roots $\omega_4, \ldots, \omega_n$ have an absolute value strictly less than 1. Suppose that

$$
\Lambda(Y) = \Lambda_1(Y) \Lambda_2(Y) \tag{11}
$$

$$
= (Ys + As-1Ys-1 + ... + A1Y + A0)(Ym + Bm-1Ym-1 + ... + B1Y + B0).
$$
 (12)

such that $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X][Y]$ and $s > 0$, $m > 0$.

If $\Lambda_1(\omega_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$; then all roots of Λ_2 have an absolute value strictly less 1, which is a contradiction, because $|B_0| > 1$.

If $\Lambda_1(\omega_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$; then the roots of Λ_2 contains one root of absolute value equal to 1 and the other roots have an absolute value strictly less 1, which is a contradiction, $|B_0| > 1$.

If $\Lambda_2(\omega_3) = 0$ and all the other conjugates are the root of Λ_1 . Then we can write Λ of the form (11) by

$$
\Lambda(Y) = (Y^{n-1} + A_{n-2}Y^{n-2} + \ldots + A_1Y + A_0)(Y + B_0) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X][Y].
$$

such that deg $B_0 = \deg(\omega_3) = 0$, so $B_0 = b_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$. Thus

$$
\deg \lambda_{n-2} = \deg A_{n-3} > \sup_{j \neq n-3} \deg A_j = \sup_{j \neq n-2} \deg \lambda_j.
$$

contradicting the hypothesis of the lemma. Then we may conclude that $\Lambda_1(\omega_1) = 0$ and $\Lambda_2(\omega_2) = 0$. The remaining roots of Λ_1 and Λ_2 have an absolute value ≤ 1 . (***)

Since $-A_{s-1}$ (resp., $-B_{m-1}$) is the sum of the roots of Λ_1 (resp., Λ_2) and by the symmetric function of the roots, it follows that

$$
\deg A_{s-1} = \deg \omega_1 = \sup_{i \neq s-1} \deg A_i \text{ and } \deg B_{m-1} = \deg \omega_2 > \sup_{j \neq m-1} \deg B_j.
$$

By Lemma 5.1, it follows that

$$
\deg A_{s-1} = \deg B_{m-1}.
$$

On the other hand $|A_{s-2}| \leq |\omega_1|$ and $|B_{m-2}| < |\omega_2|$. Then

$$
\deg \lambda_{n-2} = \deg (A_{s-2} + A_{s-1}B_{m-1} + B_{m-2}) = \deg A_{s-1} + \deg B_{m-1} = 2 \deg A_{s-1},
$$

a contradiction.

Lemma 5.3. Let $q \neq 2^r$ and Λ the polynomial defined by

$$
\Lambda(Y) = Y^{n} + \lambda_{n-1} Y^{n-1} + \lambda_{n-2} Y^{n-2} + \ldots + \lambda_1 Y + \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X][Y]
$$

where $\lambda_0 \neq 0$, $\deg \lambda_{n-2} \geq \sup_{i \neq n-2} \deg(\lambda_i)$ and $\deg \lambda_{n-2} > 2 \deg \lambda_{n-1}$. Let ω_1 be a root of Λ such that $|\omega_1| > 1$. If deg $\lambda_{n-3} = \deg \lambda_{n-2}$, then $\omega_1 \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$

Proof. According to Lemma 5.1, we conclude that deg λ_{n-2} is even. Set deg $\lambda_{n-2} = 2s$, then deg $\omega_1 = \deg \omega_2 =$ s. Consider

$$
\omega_1 = \sum_{i=0}^s a_i X^i + \frac{1}{Z_1}
$$

such that $a_s \neq 0$ and $|Z_1| > 1$. Let $\lambda_n = 1$,

$$
\lambda_i = \sum_{k_i=0}^{m_i} \alpha_{(k_i,i)} X^{k_i}
$$

with $m_i \leq s$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n - 1$ and

$$
\lambda_{n-2} = \sum_{j=0}^{2s} \alpha_{(j,n-2)} X^j
$$

such that $\alpha_{(2s,n-2)} \neq 0$. $\Lambda(\omega_1) = 0$ implies

$$
([\omega_1] + \frac{1}{Z_1})^n + \lambda_{n-1}([\omega_1] + \frac{1}{Z_1})^{n-1} + \lambda_{n-2}([\omega_1] + \frac{1}{Z_1})^{n-2} + \ldots + \lambda_1([\omega_1] + \frac{1}{Z_1}) + \lambda_0 = 0.
$$

Multiplying by Z_1^n , we obtain

$$
Z_1^n(\sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k[\omega_1]^k) + Z_1^{n-1}(\sum_{k=1}^n k\lambda_k[\omega_1]^k) + Z_1^{n-2}(\sum_{k=2}^n \frac{k(k-1)}{2}\lambda_k[\omega_1]^k) + \dots + Z_1^{n-k}(\frac{(n-k)\dots(n-(k+1))}{k!})\lambda_{n-k}[\omega_1]^{n-k} + \dots + 1 = 0.
$$

Whence Z_1 is the root of polynomials H defined by

$$
H(Z) = A_n Z^n + A_{n-1} Z^{n-1} + \ldots + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y]
$$

with

$$
A_i = \sum_{k=0}^i \binom{n-k}{i-k} \lambda_{n-k} [\omega_1]^{i-k}, \quad 0 \le i \le n.
$$
\n
$$
(13)
$$

Moreover

$$
-\lambda_{n-1} = [\omega_1] + [\omega_2] \tag{14}
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{n-2} = \omega_1 \omega_2 + \omega_1 \omega_3 + \ldots + \omega_{n-1} \omega_n \tag{15}
$$

$$
= ([\omega_1][\omega_2]) + Q \tag{16}
$$

with $Q \in \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y]$ and $\deg Q \leq s - 1$. Notice that $\deg \lambda_{n-2} > 2 \deg \lambda_{n-1}$ implies

$$
\deg \lambda_{n-1} = \deg([\omega_1] + [\omega_2]) < s. \tag{17}
$$

It follows from (14) and (15) that

$$
\begin{cases} \deg(\lambda_{n-k}[\omega_1]^{i-k}) = is & \forall k = 0, 2 \\ \deg(\lambda_{n-k}[\omega_1]^{i-k}) < is & \forall k \neq 0, 2. \end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
\deg A_i \leq is, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n.
$$

In view of (13), we can write

$$
A_n = [\omega_1]^n + \lambda_{n-1} [\omega_1]^{n-1} + \ldots + \lambda_0
$$

= $[\omega_1]^{n-2} Q + \lambda_{n-3} [\omega_1]^{n-3} + \ldots + \lambda_0.$

Thus

$$
\deg A_n = (n-1)s.
$$

Again, by (13), it is easy to show that

$$
\deg A_i \le (n-1)s, \text{ quand } 0 \le i \le n-1.
$$

As a result, by applying of Corollary 4.2, we obtain $|Z_1| \leq 1$, a contradiction.

 \Box

6 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The next result, interesting in its own right, will play a dominant role in the characterization of 2-Salem numbers.

Theorem 6.1. Let $n \geq 3$ and Λ the polynomial defined by

$$
\Lambda(Y) = Y^{n} + \lambda_{n-1} Y^{n-1} + \lambda_{n-2} Y^{n-2} + \ldots + \lambda_1 Y + \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X][Y]
$$
\n(18)

where $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ and $\deg \lambda_{n-1} < \deg \lambda_{n-2} = \sup_{i \neq n-2} \deg(\lambda_i)$. Suppose $q \neq 2^r$, $\deg \lambda_{n-2} > 2 \deg \lambda_{n-1}$ and let ω_1 be a root of Λ such that $|\omega_1| > 1$. Then $\omega_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$ if and only if $[\omega_1] \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$, $\deg \lambda_{n-2}$ is even and $\deg \lambda_{n-3} < \deg \lambda_{n-2}.$

Proof. Using Lemma 5.3, it follows that the condition is necessary. For sufficiency, we keep the same steps of the proof of Lemma 5.3 until the inequality (15). Since deg λ_{n-3} < 2s − 1, then deg A_n is at most $(n-1)s-1$. From (17) and the condition $q \neq 2^r$, it follows that $a_s \neq b_s$ and $[\omega_1] \neq [\omega_2]$. Hence

$$
[\omega_1] - [\omega_2] = 2a_sX^s + (a_{s-1} - b_{s-1})X^{s-1} + \ldots + (a_0 - b_0).
$$

Thus $\deg([\omega_1] - [\omega_2]) = s$. Just as above, we see that

$$
A_{n-1} = [\omega_1]^{n-2}([\omega_1] - ([\omega_2]) + (n-2)(Q[\omega_1]^{n-3} + \lambda_{n-3}[\omega_1]^{n-4})
$$

$$
-\lambda_{n-3}[\omega_1]^{n-4} + (n-4)\lambda_{n-4}[\omega_1]^{n-5} + \ldots + \lambda_1.
$$

and

$$
A_{n-2} = (n-1)[\omega_1]^{n-3}([\omega_1] - [\omega_2]) + [\omega_1]^{n-3}[\omega_2] +
$$

+
$$
\frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2}[\omega_1]^{n-4}Q + \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{2}\lambda_{n-3}[\omega_1]^{n-5} + \ldots + \lambda_1.
$$

Therefore

$$
\deg A_{n-1} = (n-2)s + \deg([\omega_1] - [\omega_2]) = (n-1)s
$$

and

$$
\deg A_{n-2} = (n-2)s.
$$

Notice that $A_n \neq 0$, if not, by Corollary 4.2, we get $|Z_1| \leq 1$, a contradiction. We conclude that

$$
\deg A_{n-1} > \sup_{i \neq n-1} \deg A_i.
$$

Finally, by (i) and (ii) of Proposition 4.1, the only root of H with an absolute value > 1 is Z_1 and there is a factor $(Z - Z_1) \in \mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))[Z]$ of H. Then $Z_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$ and $\omega_1 = [\omega_1] + \frac{1}{Z_1} \in \mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1})),$ completes the proof. \Box

Remark 6.2.

- (i) We mention that Theorem 6.1 is not always true in characteristic 3 in the case deg $\lambda_{n-2} = 2 \deg \lambda_{n-1}$. (see Example 6.3).
- (ii) We note also that this theorem is not always true for any field of characteristic $p = 2$. (see Example 4.5).

Example 6.3.

Let

$$
\Lambda(Y) = Y^3 + (X+1)Y^2 + X^2Y - X^2 + 2 \in \mathbb{F}_3[X][Y].
$$
\n(19)

By Theorem 4.4, $\Lambda(Y)$ has two roots ω_1 and ω_2 of an absolute value strictly greater than 1 and one root ω_3 of an absolute value equal to 1. Set $\omega_1 = X + \frac{1}{7}$ $\frac{1}{Z_1} \in \mathbb{F}_3((X^{-1}))$ such that $|Z_1| > 1$. Z_1 is the root of the polynomial defined by

$$
2Z^3 + 2XZ^2 + (X+1)Z + 1 = 0.
$$
\n(20)

By Proposition 4.1, we deduce that $Z_1 \in \mathbb{F}_4((X^{-1}))$ and $\omega_1 \in \mathbb{F}_3((X^{-1}))$. Set now $\omega_2 = X + 1 + \frac{1}{7}$ $\frac{1}{Z_2} \in \mathbb{F}_3((X^{-1}))$ such that $|Z_2| > 1$. We obtain Z_2 is the root of the polynomial defined by

$$
Z^{3} + (X^{2} + X + 1)Z^{2} + (2X^{2} + X + 2)Z + 1 = 0.
$$
\n(21)

Again by Proposition 4.1, we deduce that $Z_2 \in \mathbb{F}_3((X^{-1}))$ and $\omega_2 \in \mathbb{F}_3((X^{-1}))$. As Λ is monic and irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_3[X]$, it follows that (ω_1, ω_2) is 2-Salem series and Λ is the minimal polynomial of ω_1 .

We can now give the proof of our main result Theorem 1.1 which characterizes 2-Salem series in the case $q \neq 2^r$:

(i) Assume that $\omega_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$. By Theorem 6.1, we get deg λ_{n-2} is even and deg $\lambda_{n-3} < \deg \lambda_{n-2}$. Set

$$
\lambda_{n-2} = \alpha_{2s} X^{2s} + \alpha_{2s-1} X^{2s-1} + \ldots + \alpha_0 \tag{22}
$$

$$
= (a_s X^s + a_{s-1} X^{s-1} + \dots + a_0)(b_s X^s + b_{s-1} X^{s-1} + \dots + b_0) + Q
$$
\n(23)

As deg $\lambda_{n-1} < s$, we obtain $a_s = -b_s$, which implies

 $-\alpha_{2s} = -a_s b_s = a_s^2.$

For the converse, suppose $-\alpha_{2s} = a^2$. Putting $a_s = a$. By induction, suppose we have $a_s, a_{s-1}, \ldots, a_{i+1} \quad (0 \le i \le s-1)$. From (22), we deduce taht

$$
\alpha_{s+i} = a_s b_i + a_{s-1} b_{i+1} + \ldots + a_i b_s \tag{24}
$$

$$
= a(b_i - a_i) + d \tag{25}
$$

where

$$
d = a_{s-1}b_{i+1} + \ldots + b_{s-1}a_{i+1}.
$$

On the other hand, there is at least one conjugate ω_j lies on the unit circle for $3 \leq j \leq n$. Let

$$
\omega_j = c_0 + c_{-1}X^{-1} + \dots
$$

set

$$
\lambda_{n-1} = \beta_s X^s + \beta_{s-1} X^{s-1} + \ldots + \beta_0
$$

= -([\omega_1] + [\omega_2] + c_0).

Thus

$$
-\beta_i = a_i + b_i \quad 1 \le i \le s. \tag{26}
$$

and

$$
-\beta_0 = a_0 + b_0 + c_0.
$$

Combining (24) and (26) we get, for $1 \leq i \leq s - 1$, that

$$
a_i = -2^{-1}(\beta_i + a^{-1}(\alpha_{s+i} - d))
$$

and

$$
a_0 = -\beta_0 - b_0 - c_0.
$$

Therefore, $[\omega_1] \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ and from Theorem 6.1, we obtain $\omega_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$. By the same way, we can show that $\omega_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$. As Λ is monic and irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$, then ω_1 is an algebraic integer. Therefore (ω_1, ω_2) is a 2-Salem pair.

(ii) This assertion follows immediately by Corollary 4.3.

Remark 6.4.

Note that Theorem 1.1 (i) is not always true in the case deg $\lambda_{n-2} = 2 \text{ deg }\lambda_{n-1}$. To show this, we construct two counterexamples.

Example 6.5.

Let Λ the polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_3[X]$ which is defined in (19). Then, in view of the above, Λ satisfies the conditions deg $\lambda_{n-2} = 2 \text{ deg }\lambda_{n-1}$ and -1 is a non square in \mathbb{F}_3 . In contrast, Λ has two dominant roots $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{F}_3((X^{-1})).$

Example 6.6.

The polynomial

$$
\Lambda_2 = Y^4 - XY^3 + X^2Y^2 + XY + X^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_5[X][Y]
$$

satisfies the conditions deg $\lambda_{n-2} = 2 \deg \lambda_{n-1}$ and -1 is a square in \mathbb{F}_5 . By Proposition 4.1 (*i*), Λ_2 has exactly two dominate roots ω_1 and ω_2 with

$$
\deg \omega_1 = \deg \omega_2 = 1.
$$

The other conjugate roots ω_3 and ω_4 have the same degree equal to 0. Suppose $[\omega_1] \in \mathbb{F}_5[X]$, using the fact that

$$
[\omega_1] + [\omega_2] + [\omega_3] + [\omega_4] = X,
$$

this yields that $[\omega_2] \in \mathbb{F}_5[X]$. Let

$$
[\omega_1] = a_1 X + a_0 , \qquad [\omega_2] = b_1 X + b_0
$$

and

 $[\omega_3] = c_0$, $[\omega_2] = d_0$

where
$$
a_1, b_1, c_0
$$
 and d_0 are four integers in $\mathbb{F}_5 \setminus \{0\}$. It follows that

$$
a_1 + b_1 = a_1 b_1 = 1.
$$

These equations have no solutions in \mathbb{F}_5 .

7 A criterium of irreducibility

Theorem 7.1. Let Λ the polynomial of the form (18) where $n \geq 4$ and $q \neq 2^r$ such that

$$
\sup_{i\in\{1,2,\ldots,n-4\}\cup\{n-1\}}\deg\lambda_i < \deg\lambda_{n-3} = \deg\lambda_{n-2} < 2\deg\lambda_{n-1}.
$$

If, for $1 \leq i \leq n-4$, $\frac{\deg \lambda_{i+1} + \deg \lambda_{i-1}}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2} \frac{\log \lambda_{n-1}}{2} < \deg \lambda_i \text{ and } \deg \lambda_{n-2} - \deg \lambda_{n-1} < \deg \lambda_{n-4} < \deg \lambda_{n-1}, \text{ then}$ (ω_1, ω_2) is a 2-Salem series and Λ is its minimal polynomial.

Proof. By Corollary 4.3, $\Lambda(Y)$ has two roots ω_1 and ω_2 in $\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))$ of degree s and m respectively such that

$$
1 < |\omega_2| = q^{\deg \lambda_{n-2} - \deg \lambda_{n-1}} = q^m < |\omega_1| = q^{\deg \lambda_{n-1}} = q^s
$$

and there is exactly one conjugate ω_3 lies on the unit circle. The other conjugates $\omega_4, \ldots, \omega_n \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q((X^{-1}))}$ have an absolute value strictly less than 1. Since for $1 \leq i \leq n-4$, we have deg λ_{i+1} + deg λ_{i-1}

 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{\deg \lambda_i}{\deg \lambda_i}$, then

$$
|\omega_i| = q^{-k_j} < 1
$$

where

$$
-k_j = \deg \omega_i = \deg \lambda_{n-j} - \deg \lambda_{n-j+1}
$$

for $4 \leq j \leq n$.

We keep the same steps of the proof of Lemma 5.2 until (***). we may conclude that $\Lambda_1(\omega_1) = 0$ and $\Lambda_2(\omega_2) = 0$. Suppose first that $\Lambda_2(\omega_3) = 0$, so we obtain $\omega_1 \in S^*$ and $\omega_2 \in T^*$. Applying Theorem 2.2, we get

$$
\deg A_{s-1} = \deg \omega_1 > \sup_{i < s-2} \deg A_i \text{ and } \deg B_{m-1} = \deg B_{m-2} = \deg \omega_2 > \sup_{j \neq m-1} \deg B_j. \tag{27}
$$

From (11), we get, for $A_s = B_m = 1$, that

$$
\lambda_{n-4} = \sum_{i+j=4, \ 0 \le i \le 4, \ 0 \le j \le 4} A_{s-i} B_{m-j}.
$$
\n(28)

We can see that $\Lambda_1(\omega_4) = 0$. Indeed, if not then, by the symmetric function of the roots of Λ_2 we obtain

$$
\deg B_{m-3} = \deg(\omega_2 \omega_3 \omega_4) = \deg \lambda_{n-4} - \deg \lambda_{n-1} < 0,
$$

a contradiction. In the other hand, deg λ_{n-2} – deg λ_{n-1} < deg λ_{n-4} < deg λ_{n-1} implies

$$
m < \sup \deg((A_{s-2}B_{m-2} + A_{s-1}B_{m-3})) < s. \tag{29}
$$

Therefore

$$
\deg(A_{s-2}B_{m-2}) = \deg \lambda_{n-4} < \deg(A_{s-1}B_{m-3}) = s + \deg B_{m-3}.\tag{30}
$$

It then follows from (28) and (30) that

$$
\deg \lambda_{n-4} = \deg A_{s-1} + \deg B_{m-3} \ge s = \deg \lambda_{n-1},
$$

a contradiction.

If now $\Lambda_1(\omega_3) = 0$, then $\omega_1 \in T^*$ and $\omega_2 \in S^*$. The arguments of the proof are the same. Therefore $\Lambda(Y)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$. Finally, as $\Lambda(Y)$ is monic, then (ω_1, ω_2) is a 2-Salem series and Λ is its minimal \Box polynomial.

Example 7.2. Pair of 2-Salem series of degree 5 in $\mathbb{F}_3((X^{-1}))$.

Let

$$
\Lambda(Y) = Y^5 + X^4Y^4 + X^5Y^3 + X^5Y^2 + X^3Y + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_3[X][Y].
$$

We deduce from Theorem 7.1, that Λ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_3[X]$ and has 5 roots defined by

$$
\begin{cases}\n\omega_1 = X^5 + 2X + \frac{1}{X^2} + \dots = X^5 + 2X + \frac{1}{Z_1} & \text{such that } |Z_1| > 1 \\
\omega_2 = X + 1 + \frac{1}{Z_2} & \text{such that } |Z_2| > 1 \\
\omega_3 = 2 + \frac{1}{Z_3} & \text{such that } |Z_3| > 1 \\
\omega_4 = \frac{1}{X^2} + \dots \\
\omega_5 = \frac{1}{X^3} + \dots\n\end{cases}
$$

These roots correspond to the facets of the upper Newton polygon associated with the 2-Salem minimal polynomial Λ. As Λ is monic, then w_1 is an algebraic integer. Therefore (ω_1, ω_2) is a 2-Salem series.

References

- [1] P.T. Bateman, A.L. Duquette, The analogue of the Pisot-Vijayaraghavan numbers in fields of formal power series, Illinois J. Math. 6 (1962), 594–606.
- [2] M-J. Bertin, A. Decomps-Guilloux, M. Grandet-Hugot, M. Pathiaux-Deleosse, J-P. Schreiber, Pisot and Salem Numbers. Basel: Birkhauser Verlag (1992).
- [3] D.W. Boyd, Small Salem numbers, Duke Math. J. 44, no. 2 (1977), 315–328.
- [4] K.S. Kedlaya, The algebraic closure of the power series field in positive characteristic, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc 129, no. 12 (2001), 3461–3470.
- [5] M. Kerada, Une caractérisation de certaines classes d'entiers algébriques généralisant les nombres de Salem, Acta Arith. 72 (1995), 55–65.
- [6] J. McKee and C. Smyth, There are Salem Numbers of Every Trace, Bull. London Math. Soc. 37 (2005), 25–36.
- [7] M. Ben Nasr and H. Kthiri, Characterization of 2-Pisot elements in the field of Laurent series over a finite field, Math. Notes (2019), to appear.
- [8] R. Salem, Power series with integral coefficients, Duke Math. J. 12 (1945), 153–172 .
- [9] C. Smyth, Seventy Years of Salem Numbers: a Survey, Bull. London Math. Soc. 47 (2015), 379–395.
- [10] J-L. Verger-Gaugry, A Survey on the Conjecture of Lehmer and the Conjecture of Schinzel-Zassenhaus, 2019, from https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02315014/document.
- [11] E. Weiss, Algebraic number theory. Reprint of the 1963 original," Mineola, NY: Dover Publications, 275 pp. (1998).