

## ON INTERVAL UNCERTAINTIES OF CARDINAL NUMBERS OF SUBSETS OF FINITE SPACES WITH TOPOLOGIES WEAKER THAN T 1

J F Peters, I J Dochviri

### ▶ To cite this version:

J F Peters, I J Dochviri. ON INTERVAL UNCERTAINTIES OF CARDINAL NUMBERS OF SUB-SETS OF FINITE SPACES WITH TOPOLOGIES WEAKER THAN T 1. 2020. hal-02464752

## HAL Id: hal-02464752 https://hal.science/hal-02464752v1

Preprint submitted on 3 Feb 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# ON INTERVAL UNCERTAINTIES OF CARDINAL NUMBERS OF SUBSETS OF FINITE SPACES WITH TOPOLOGIES WEAKER THAN $T_1$

#### J.F. PETERS AND I.J. DOCHVIRI

#### Dedicated to A. V. Arkhangel'skii and S.A. Naimpally

ABSTRACT. In the work using interval mathematics, we develop knowledge for cardinal numbers from the viewpoint of uncertainty analysis. In the finite non- $T_1$  topological spaces, the inclusionexclusion formula provide interval estimations for the closure and interior of given sets. This paper introduces a novel approach that combines combinatorial and point-set topology, which leads to a number of results. Among these is the cardinality estimation for the intersection of two open sets that cover a hyperconnected topological space.

#### Contents

| 1.  | Introduction  | 2        |
|-----|---------------|----------|
| 2.  | Preliminaries | <b>2</b> |
| 3.  | Main Results  | 4        |
| Ref | erences       | 6        |

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54A25, Secondary 05A19. Key words and phrases. Finite sets, cardinal number, hyperconnected, inclusionexclusion property, interval mathematics, topology.

The research has been supported by the Natural Sciences & Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) discovery grant 185986 and Instituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM) Francesco Severi, Gruppo Nazionale per le Strutture Algebriche, Geometriche e Loro Applicazioni grant 9 920160 000362, n.prot U 2016/000036 and Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK) Scientific Human Resources Development (BIDEB) under grant no: 2221-1059B211301223.

#### J.F. PETERS AND I.J. DOCHVIRI

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In point-set topology, trends of last five decades are connected with investigations of infinite cardinal functions of topological spaces. However, many combinatorial properties of finite topological spaces are in the shadow. Even so, discrete mathematics and combinatorics use finite sets for naturally formulated inclusion-exclusion identities via closure operators [4] and improved Bonferroni inequalities via abstract tubes [5], [8]. Also, we must emphasize, that characterizations of finite sets yield important results in computational topology (see, *e.g.*, [9], [2]).

It seems that cardinality counting problems in discrete mathematics and combinatorics began using famous inclusion-exclusion formula. That is, for two given finite sets, we have the well-known view, namely,

$$card(A \cup B) = card(A) + card(B) - card(A \cap B).$$

This formula is successfully applicable, if we know exact values of cardinalities (see, *e.g.*, [8], [4], [3]). However, it is interesting that the evaluation of cardinalities of corresponding sets occurs while we have imprecise information about cardinals of the particular sets. Such a situation arises when we have, for example, so-called big data sets and molecular structures.

#### 2. Preliminaries

In [7], R. Moore developed interval mathematics for computational problems, where parameters of investigating models are uncertain and we are only able to describe parameters by closed interval estimations. In this section, we briefly recall basic operations of interval arithmetic.

Let  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, x \in \mathbb{R}$ . A closed interval of the reals is denoted by  $[a_1, a_2] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a_1 \leq x \leq a_2\}$ . From [7], we have following interval arithmetic:

- (1)  $[a_1, a_2] + [b_1, b_2] = [a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2];$ (2)  $[a_1, a_2] - [b_1, b_2] = [a_1 - b_2, a_2 - b_1];$ (3)  $[a_1, a_2] \times [b_1, b_2] = [minP, maxP],$  where  $P = \{a_1b_1, a_1b_2, a_2b_1, a_2b_2\};$
- (4) If  $0 \notin [b_1, b_2]$ , then  $\frac{[a_1, a_2]}{[b_1, b_2]} = [a_1, a_2] \times [\frac{1}{b_2}, \frac{1}{b_1}]$ .

It should be especially noticed that any real number k is identified with interval [k, k]. Moreover, if  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  are non-negative real numbers then interval multiplication (3) should be change in the following way  $[a_1, a_2] \times [b_1, b_2] = [a_1b_1, a_2b_2]$ . Also, if  $a_1 \ge 0$  and  $b_1 > 0$  then the rule (4) can be simplified as following  $\frac{[a_1, a_2]}{[b_1, b_2]} = [\frac{a_1}{b_2}, \frac{a_2}{b_1}]$ .

 $^{2}$ 

There are established several important computational differences between interval arithmetic from real one, but we do not need more information than we present here about interval mathematics.

In the sequel, the sets of natural and rational numbers are denoted by symbols  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ , but  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

For a rational number  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  we have to use two well-known notations:  $\lfloor q \rfloor = max\{m \in \mathbb{Z} | m \leq q\}$  and  $\lceil q \rceil = min\{n \in \mathbb{Z} | n \geq q\}.$ 

For topological spaces we use notions from [1]. If  $O \subset X$  is an open subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  then we will write  $O \in \tau$ . The complement of an open set is called to be closed set. The collection of all closed subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  we denote by  $co(\tau)$ . Also, in a finite topological space  $(X, \tau)$  denote by cl(A) closure (resp. int(A) interior of)  $A \subset X$ , which is minimal closed (resp. maximal open) set containing (resp. contained in) a set A.

Recall that a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $T_1$  space if and only if  $\{x\}$  is closed set, for every  $x \in X$ . Therefore, in  $T_1$  space  $(X, \tau)$ , we have  $\{x\} = cl(\{x\})$  for every  $x \in X$ .

For the finite, non- $T_1$  topological spaces cardinal estimations using closure and interior operators is less lightened part of discrete mathematics.

Naturally, if we know about a set A that both of estimations  $card(A) \in [a_1, a_2]$  and  $card(A) \in [b_1, b_2]$  are valid, where  $[a_1, a_2] \cap [b_1, b_2] \neq \{\emptyset\}$  then we should declare  $card(A) \in [\max\{a_1, b_1\}, \min\{a_2, b_2\}]$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $A \subset B$  be subsets of a set X where card(X) = n and  $card(A) \in [a_1, a_2]$ . Then  $card(B) \in [a_1 + 1, n - 1]$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $A \subset B$  implies that card(A) < card(B). Since the minimal value of cardinality of a set A can be equal to  $a_1$ , then  $a_1 + 1 \leq card(B)$ . On the other hand we have,  $B \subset X$  and card(B) < card(X) = n. Hence it can be write  $card(B) \leq n - 1$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let A, B and C be finite subsets of a set X such that  $C = A \times B$ ,  $card(C) \in [c_1, c_2]$  and  $card(A) \in [a_1, a_2]$ . Then  $card(B) \in [\lceil \frac{c_1}{a_2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{c_2}{a_1} \rfloor]$ .

*Proof.* Since for Cartesian product  $C = A \times B$ , we can write following cardinal equality:  $card(B) = \frac{card(C)}{card(A)}$ , then applying above mentioned operation of the interval division we get  $card(B) \in \left[\frac{c_1}{a_2}, \frac{c_2}{a_1}\right] \cap \mathbb{N}_0 = \left[\left\lceil\frac{c_1}{a_2}\right\rceil, \left\lfloor\frac{c_2}{a_1}\right\rfloor\right]$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $X = A \cup B$  be a finite set with  $card(X) \in [m, n]$ , but  $card(A) \in [a_1, a_2]$  and  $card(B) \in [b_1, b_2]$ . Then  $card(A \cap B) \in [a_1 + b_1 - n, a_2 + b_2 - m] \cap \mathbb{N}_0$ .

*Proof.* Applying the famous inclusion-exclusion formula, we can write  $card(A \cap B) = card(A) + card(B) - card(A \cup B) = card(A) + card(B) - [m, n]$ . By substitution of given cardinal estimations we obtain  $card(A \cap B) \in [a_1 + b_1 - n, a_2 + b_2 - m] \cap \mathbb{N}_0$ .

#### 3. Main Results

In section, we work with topological spaces which are not even  $T_1$  topologies. Examples of such topological spaces are known in the point-set topology as  $T_0$  and  $R_0$  spaces.

In the Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3, we assume that  $(X, \tau)$  is a non- $T_1$  space with card(X) = n and  $min\{card(F)|F \in co(\tau) \setminus \{\emptyset\}\} = 2$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $A = \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_m\}$  be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  with  $m \in [1, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor]$ . If  $cl(\{a_i\}) \cap cl(\{a_j\}) = \emptyset$ , for  $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$  and  $i \neq j$  then  $card(cl(A)) \in [2m, n]$ .

*Proof.* It is known that in  $T_1$  topological space  $(X, \tau)$  with card(X) = n we have  $card(cl(\{x\})) = 1$ , for every  $x \in X$ . Therefore, in view our conditions we conclude that  $n \ge card(cl(\{x\})) \ge 2$ , for every  $x \in X$ . Note that for the set  $A = \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_m\}$  we can write its closure as following:  $cl(A) = cl(\{a_1\}) \cup cl(\{a_2\}) \cup ... \cup cl(\{a_m\})$ . Therefore, the inequalities hold  $n \ge card(cl(A)) = card(cl(\{a_1\})) + card(cl(\{a_2\})) + ... + card(cl(\{a_m\})) \ge 2m$ . □

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $A = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_p\}$  be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  with  $p \in \left[\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil, n\right]$ . If  $card(cl(x)) \in [2, k_x]$ , for any point  $x \in (X \setminus A)$  and  $cl(\{x_i\}) \cap cl(\{x_j\}) = \emptyset$ , for  $i, j \in \{p + 1, p + 2, ..., n\}, i \neq j$ , then

$$card(int(A)) \in [0, 2p - n], \text{ if } n \leq \sum_{x \in (X \setminus A)} k_x$$

and

$$card(int(A)) \in [n - \sum_{x \in (X \setminus A)} k_x, 2p - n], \text{ if } \sum_{x \in (X \setminus A)} k_x < n.$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$  and  $A = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_p\}$ . It is known that  $int(A) = X \setminus cl(X \setminus A)$ . Since  $card(X \setminus A) = n - p$  then using Theorem 3.1. we can write  $card(cl(X \setminus A)) \in [2(n-p), n]$ . But, taking into account condition  $card(cl(x_i)) \in [2, k_i], i = p + 1, n$  we obtain better estimation than previous, namely:  $card(cl(X \setminus A)) = card(cl(x_{p+1})) + card(cl(X \setminus A)) = card(cl(x_{p+1})) + card(cl(X \setminus A)) = card(cl(x_{p+1})) + card(cl(X \setminus A)) = card(cl(X \setminus A))$ 

SUBSET CARDINALITY IN FINITE TOPOLOGICAL SPACES WEAKER THAN  $T_{\rm 15}$ 

 $\begin{aligned} & card(cl(x_{p+2})) + \ldots + card(cl(x_n)) \in [2,k_{p+1}] + [2,k_{p+2}] + \ldots + [2,k_n] = \\ & [2(n-p),min\{n,\sum_{i=p+1}^n k_i\}]. \end{aligned}$ 

It is clear that if  $n \leq \sum_{i=p+1}^{n} k_i$  then  $card(cl(X \setminus A)) \in [2(n-p), n]$ . Hence we get  $card(int(A)) \in [0, 2p-n]$ . If  $\sum_{i=p+1}^{n} k_i < n$  then  $card(cl(X \setminus A)) \in [2(n-p), \sum_{i=p+1}^{n} k_i]$  and we obtain  $card(int(A)) \in [n - \sum_{i=p+1}^{n} k_i, 2p-n]$ .

Recall that a set A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called semi-open if there exists  $O \in \tau \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  such that  $O \subset A \subset cl(O)$  [6]. The complement of an semi-open set is called semi-closed. The class of all semi-open (resp. semi-closed) subsets of a space  $(X, \tau)$  we denote usually as SO(X) (resp. SC(X)). It can be easily to verify that  $A \in SO(X)$  if and only if  $A \subset$ cl(intA), but  $B \in SC(X)$  if and only if  $int(clB) \subset B$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $A \in SO(X)$  be a nonempty subset of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $card(A) \in [k+1, 2k-1]$ , where  $k \in [1, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor]$ .

*Proof.* For a set  $A \in SO(X)$  we can choose  $O \in \tau \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  such that  $O \subset A \subset cl(O)$ . Hence  $card(O) < card(A) < card(cl(O)) \leq n$ . Denote by k = card(O), then it is obvious that  $k \in [1, n - 1]$ . Hence  $card(A) \in [k+1, n-1]$ , but by Theorem 3.1. we can write  $card(clO) \in [2k, n]$ . Note that the inequality 2k < n implies  $k \in [1, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor]$ . Collecting our estimations we get  $k + 1 \leq card(A) < [2k, n]$ , i.e.  $card(A) \in [k + 1, 2k - 1]$ .

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called hyperconnected if cl(O) = X, for every  $O \in \tau \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ . It is obvious that  $(X, \tau)$  is hyperconnected if and only if  $O_1 \cap O_2 \neq \{\emptyset\}$ , for any pair of  $O_1, O_2 \in \tau \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ .

Now, in contrast of above theorems we remove certain conditions from a topological space.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a hyperconnected topological space with  $card(X) \in [m, n]$ . If  $X = O_1 \cup O_2$ , where  $O_1, O_2 \in \tau \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  are sets with  $card(O_1) \in [a_1, a_2]$ ,  $card(O_2) \in [b_1, b_2]$  and  $max\{a_2, b_2\} < m$ . Then  $card(O_1 \cap O_2) \in [a_1 + b_1 - n, a_2 + b_2 - m] \cap \mathbb{N}_0$ .

*Proof.* Since in the hyperconnected space  $(X, \tau)$  we have  $O_1 \cap O_2 \neq \emptyset$ , for any pair of  $O_1, O_2 \in \tau \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  then it takes place following equality:  $card(O_1 \cap O_2) = card(O_1) + card(O_2) - card(O_1 \cup O_2) = [a_1, a_2] + [b_1, b_2] - [m, n] = [a_1 + b_1 - n, a_2 + b_2 - m] \cap \mathbb{N}_0.$ 

#### J.F. PETERS AND I.J. DOCHVIRI

#### References

- A.V. Arkhangel'skii and V.I. Ponomarev, Fundamentals of General Topology: Problems and Exercises, Dordecht-Boston-Lancaster: D. Reidel Publishing Company, (1984), xvi+456 pp., Trans. from Russian by V.K. Jain, MR0445439, MR0879271, Zbl 0568.54001.
- [2] I. Dochviri, Computer Mathematics. Logical and point-set topological constructions (in Georgian), Tbilisi, Technical University Press, 2008, 143 pp.
- [3] I. Dochviri and J.F. Peters, Topological Sorting of Finitely Near Sets, Mathematics in Computer Sciences, 10(2), (2016), 273–277, MR3507604,Zbl 1345.54020.
- [4] K. Dohmen, Improved Inclusion-Exclusion Identities via Closure Operators, Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, 4(1), (2000), 61–66, MR1778018, Zbl 0946.68100.
- [5] K. Dohmen, Improved Bonferroni Inequalities via Abstract Tubes. Inequalities and identities of inclusion-exclusion type., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, v. 1826, 2003, viii+113 pp., MR2019293, Zbl 1026.05009.
- [6] N. Levine, Semi-Open Sets and Semi-Continuity in Topological Spaces, The American Mathematical Monthly, 70(1), (1963), 36–41, MR0166752, MR0367888, Zbl 0113.16304.
- [7] R.E. Moore, *Interval Analysis*. Englewood Clifs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, Inc. XI, 1966, xi+145 pp., MR0231516.
- [8] D. Q. Naiman and H. P. Wynn, Inclusion-Exclusion-Bonferroni Identities and Inequalities for Discrete Tube Like Problems via Euler Characteristics, The Annals of Statistics, 20(1), (1992), 43–76, MR1150334, Zbl 0752.62028.
- S.A. Naimpally and J.F. Peters, *Topology with Applications. Topological spaces via near and far*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2013, xv + 277 pp., forward by I.A. Taimanov, Zbl 1268.54001.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND COMPUTER ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF MAN-ITOBA AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES, ADIYA-MAN UNIVERSITY, 02040 ADIYAMAN, TURKEY

Email address: james.peters3@ad.umanitoba.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS; CAUCASUS INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY; 73 CHAR-GALI STR., 0141 TBILISI, GEORGIA

Email address: iraklidoch@yahoo.com