

Development patterns of an isolated oligo-mesophotic carbonate buildup, early Miocene, Yadana field, offshore Myanmar

Thomas Teillet, François Fournier, Lucien F. Montaggioni, Marcelle Boudagher-Fadel, Jean Borgomano, Juan Braga, Quentin Villeneuve, Fei Hong

▶ To cite this version:

Thomas Teillet, François Fournier, Lucien F. Montaggioni, Marcelle Boudagher-Fadel, Jean Borgomano, et al.. Development patterns of an isolated oligo-mesophotic carbonate buildup, early Miocene, Yadana field, offshore Myanmar. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 2020, 111, pp.440-460. 10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2019.08.039. hal-02464720

HAL Id: hal-02464720 https://hal.science/hal-02464720

Submitted on 20 Jul 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0264817219304118 Manuscript_5000248159a95b7bc5c27879c65a0c61

2 Development patterns of an isolated oligo-mesophotic carbonate buildup, early Miocene, Yadana field, offshore Myanmar

1	1
-	۰.

Thomas Teillet ^{1,2}, François Fournier ¹, Lucien F. Montaggioni ¹, Marcelle BouDagher-Fadel³,

6

Jean Borgomano¹, Juan C. Braga⁴, Quentin Villeneuve¹, Fei Hong²

8

¹: Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, IRD, Coll France, CEREGE, 3 Place Victor Hugo, Case 67,

10 13331 Marseille Cedex 03, France

²: TOTAL, CSTJF, Avenue Larribau, 64018 Pau Cedex, France

- 12 ³: Postgraduate Unit of Micropalaeontology, Department of Geological Sciences, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, U.K.
- ⁴: Departamento de Estratigrafía y Paleontología, Universidad de Granada, Campus Fuente
 Nueva 18002 Granada, Spain

16

Abstract

- 20 The development history of an oligo-mesophotic, early Miocene, isolated carbonate system (>160 m in thickness), forming the uppermost part of the Oligo-Miocene Yadana buildup
- 22 (northern Andaman Sea), has been evidenced from the integration of sedimentological core studies from 4 wells (cumulated core length: 343 m), well correlations, seismic interpretation and

- 24 analysis of the ecological requirements of the main skeletal components. Three types of carbonate factory operated on the top of the platform, depending on water-depth, turbidity and
- 26 nutrient level: (1) a scleractinian factory developing under mesophotic conditions during periods of high particulate organic matter supplies, (2) an echinodermal factory occupying dysphotic to
- 28 aphotic area of the platform coevally with the scleractinian factory, (3) a large benthic foraminiferal-coralline algal factories prevailing under oligo-mesophotic and oligo-mesotrophic
- 30 conditions. The limited lateral changes in facies between wells, together with the seismic expression of the Yadana buildup, suggest deposition on a flat-topped shelf. Carbonate
- 32 production and accumulation on the Yadana platform was mainly controlled by light penetration, nutrient content and hydrodynamic conditions. Scleractinian-rich facies resulted from transport
- of coral pieces derived from mesophotic environments (mounds?) and deposited in deeper, low light, mud-rich environments in which lived abundant communities of suspension feeders such
- 36 as ophiuroids. Changes in monsoonal intensity, terrestrial runoff from the Irrawaddy River, upwelling currents and internal waves activity during the early Miocene are likely responsible

38 for significant variations in water turbidity and nutrient concentration in the Andaman Sea, thus promoting the development of an oligo-mesophotic, incipiently drowned platform.

40

Key-words: Miocene, carbonate buildup, oligophotic, mesophotic, large benthic foraminifera,coralline algae, corals, Yadana.

44

1. Introduction

	Prolific literature devoted tropical Cenozoic carbonate systems from Southeast Asia reveals a
48	great diversity of carbonate factories in relation to a wide range of global and local
	environmental and climatic parameters, including temperature, nutrient content, light
50	penetration and terrigenous inputs (e.g., Wilson, 2002; Wilson and Vecsei, 2005; Madden and
	Wilson, 2013; Nowak et al., 2013; Santodomingo et al., 2015). Usually, the concept of 'tropical
52	carbonate factory' is associated with a dominantly biological carbonate production in warm,
	well-illuminated, oligotrophic, and very shallow waters (e.g., Hallock and Glenn, 1986;
54	Schlager, 2000, 2003; Pomar and Hallock, 2008). In such settings, carbonates are typically
	produced by various photosynthetic autotrophs including calcareous green and red algae, and by
56	symbiont-bearing organisms such as zooxanthellate corals and large benthic foraminifera, thus
	resulting in the so-called photozoan sediment association (James, 1997). These humid tropical
58	environments may be affected by a wide range of siliciclastic, fresh water and nutrients inputs
	that are generally considered to be unfavorable to the photozoan carbonate production (e.g.,
60	Wilson, 2002, 2008). Many modern and Cenozoic equatorial, southeast Asian carbonate systems
	are associated with upwelling and/or terrestrial runoff, high turbidity and cool waters (Madden
62	and Wilson, 2013). In such settings, nutrient-reliant biota often outweighs light dependent
	autotrophs (Tomascik et al., 2000; Wilson and Vecsei, 2005). At a regional scale, the combined
64	effect of high nutrient supplies and low light penetration promotes the development of large scale
	isolated and/or land-attached oligophotic (sensu Pomar, 2001) platforms such as the modern
66	Paternoster Platform (Burollet et al., 1986), Spermonde Platform (Renema and Troelstra, 2001),
	Kalukalukung Banks (Roberts and Phipps, 1988) and the Cenozoic Berai (Saller and Vijaya,
68	2002), Tonasa (Wilson and Bosence, 1996), Melinau platforms (Adams, 1965) and foreslope of
	Hawaiian Islands (Pyle et al., 2016). However in such carbonate platforms, even though

- 70 oligophotic carbonate production dominates, reefal and/or non-reefal euphotic carbonate factories are coexisting (Wilson and Vecsei, 2005). The reconstruction of depositional models
- for ancient, coral-rich sedimentary systems has become a major issue in carbonate sedimentology since significant oligo-mesophotic scleractinian carbonate factories have been
 evidenced in modern and Cenozoic environments (e.g., Lesser et al., 2009; Kahng et al. 2010;

Morsilli et al. 2012), thus questioning the common use of 'tropical carbonate factory' concepts in paleoenvironmental interpretations.

The late Oligocene- early Miocene Yadana carbonate platform is located in the Andaman Sea, offshore Myanmar (Fig. 1A). Three-dimensional seismic interpretation of the Yadana gas-78 bearing carbonate reservoir revealed that towards the upper part of the Yadana limestones (Upper Burman Limestone), the platform is transitioning from an attached to an isolated 80 configuration (Paumard et al., 2017). The analysis of seismic facies coupled with the use of 82 modern analogues of tropical carbonate systems have led to interpret the Yadana buildup as a euphotic reef-rimmed carbonate platform (Paumard et al., 2017). The present study, on the basis 84 of a detailed analysis of biological associations, sedimentological and diagenetic features from cores, aims at (1) revisiting the depositional model of the Upper Burman Limestone, previously established by Paumard et al. (2017), (2) assessing the impact of environmental factors such as 86 turbidity, light penetration, hydrodynamic energy and nutrient availability on carbonate production in tropical isolated carbonate platforms, (3) documenting the development of a coral-88 rich isolated carbonate system in mesophotic conditions, in southeast Asia during the early Miocene, and 4) linking changes in environmental conditions and carbonate production with the 90 stratigraphic architecture of an isolated carbonate buildup with dominant oligo-mesophotic production. Such depositional models are also relevant in oil and gas industry since they may 92

constrain the exploration targets and production strategies in carbonate settings with dominant 94 oligo-mesophotic contribution.

96

2. Geological setting

- During the Cenozoic, the regional geodynamic context strongly controlled the initiation, the 100 development and the demise of southeast Asian carbonate systems (Wilson and Hall, 2010). As a result of the oblique collision of the Indian-Australian plate beneath the Eurasian plate, the 102 Sunda subduction zone formed during the early Eocene (Curray, 2005; Chakraborty and Khan, 2009), and induced the opening of the Andaman Sea as a back-arc basin. During the late 104 Oligocene to the early Miocene (Fig. 2), the Yadana carbonate buildup, 25 km to 30 km in size, developed at the top of a volcanic basement, located in the northern Andaman Sea (Racey and 106 Ridd, 2015; Paumard et al., 2017). The volcanic basement has been interpreted as a volcanic arc separating the M5 fore-arc basin to the west from the Moattama back-arc basin to the east (Racey 108 and Ridd, 2015) or alternatively as a volcanic ridge, created during the northward motion of the Indian plate above the Kerguelen island hotspot (Paumard et al., 2017) (Fig. 2). 110
- 112 Paumard et al. (2017) proposed a review of the stratigraphy and age of the Yadana carbonate platform based on a regional study integrating seismic and well data. The lowermost deposits
- 114 from the Moattama Basin (Fig. 3) consists of Upper Eocene volcano-clastics sediments. The overlying Oligo-Miocene shallow-water carbonates may reach up to 700 m in thickness, and are
- subdivided into two distinct formations: the Lower Burman Limestone, and the Upper Burman

Limestone. The Lower Burman Limestone, Chattian in age is composed of two distinct
carbonate buildups separated by a SW-NE-trending trough which is filled by the Sein Clastics
Formation (late Chattian). The overlying Upper Burman Limestone has been interpreted as
recording an upward transition from an attached platform to a single, reef-rimmed isolated
carbonate buildup during the early Miocene. At the end of the Upper Burman Limestone
deposition, a long-term depositional hiatus occurred from the early Miocene to the late Miocene.

- The Upper Burman Limestone carbonates are sealed by late Miocene (N16 planktonic zone)
- 124 shales (Pyawbwe and Badamyar formations) from the Irrawaddy deltaic system. A major eastward tilting phase of the Yadana platform, evidenced by seismic profiles (Fig. 2), occurred
- during the late Miocene (horizon M6: 8.2 Ma, after Paumard et al., 2017).

128

130 **3. Material and Methods**

- 132 Approximately 20 wells have penetrated the top of the Upper Burman Limestone. Four wells (WELL-1, WELL-2, WELL-3 and WELL-4), located in the western half of the buildup (Fig.
- 134 2B), have been selected in this study for a detailed sedimentological study, totalizing 343 m of cumulated length (WELL-1: 87.5 m, WELL-2: 84 m WELL-3: 59.5 m WELL-4: 112 m). The
- 136 carbonate interval penetrated by cores averages 160 meters.

Macroscopic core description and thin-section study under polarized-light microscopy provided

the sedimentologic framework for the present study. Around 700 thin sections were prepared from cores with an average spacing of 0.5 m and stained with red alizarin and potassium
ferrocyanide.

All thin sections were point counted on the basis of 300 points to quantify the bioclastic
composition of the carbonates. Lithofacies have been defined based on sedimentary structures,
depositional textures and biological composition identified from cores and thin-sections. These
lithofacies have been interpreted in terms of depositional environments by reference to modern
and ancient analogues. The paleoenvironmental reconstructions have been constrained using
light-dependent communities including coralline algae and large benthic foraminifera.
Bathymetric zonation is based on the distinction between "euphotic" (maximal light intensity
commonly associated with high wave energy), "mesophotic" (sufficient light for coral
development, typically below normal wave base), "oligophotic" (sufficient light for coralline

algae), and "dysphotic" (insufficient light for photosynthesis) and "aphotic" (Pomar, 2001).The chronostratigraphic framework of the Yadana carbonate buildup has been revisited (Fig. 4)

152 on the basis of: (1) a reappraisal of the available planktonic and benthic foraminiferal biostratigraphy, and (2) new taxonomic determinations of the benthic foraminiferal material from

154 the studied Upper Burman Limestone cores (Fig. 5). The benthic foraminiferal stratigraphy was based on the East Indian Letter Classification (Adams, 1970; BouDagher-Fadel, 1999;

BouDagher-Fadel, 2015, 2018) and planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy on the zonation defined by Berggren et al. (1995) and modified by Wade et al. (2011), by using the time scale
defined by Gradstein et al. (2012).

The correlation framework between wells has been defined on the basis of: (1) biostratigraphic constraints, and (2) correlation of vertical changes in light penetration and trophic conditions.

162 The studied dataset includes 2D and 3D seismic surveys acquired by TOTAL. The 2D seismic profiles were acquired between 1993 and 1997 cover an area of ~14 200 km2. The 3D seismic

- data were acquired in 2011, over area of ~511 km2. The 3D volume is characterized by a bin spacing of 12.5 x 6.25 m and a trace sampling of 3 ms. The vertical resolution of the prestack
- time migrated data used in the present work is around 20 m within the carbonate reservoir. In addition to the gas water contact (GWC), that forms a well-identified flat-spot, five seismic
- 168 reflectors (TOP UBL, H9, H9A, H10B and H10), have been interpreted throughout the carbonate buildup from 3D seismic data.

170

172 **4. Results**

174

176 *4.1 Biostratigraphy*

- 178 The Upper Burman Limestone overlies the Sein siliciclastics of late Rupelian to early Chattian age as derived from the occurrence of *Paragloborotalia opima* (P20-P21). The age of the lower
- 180 part of the UBL limestones (below the cored interval) is poorly constrained. The lowermost cored interval from the Upper Burman Limestone is early Aquitanian in age (N4a) as supported
- by the first co-occurrence of *Miogypsinella ubaghsi* (Fig. 5 A) and *Miogypsinoides formosensis* (WELL-1, 1340.06 m). Above, the co-occurrence of *Miogypsinoides bantamensis* (Fig. 5 C) and
- 184 *Miogypsina gunteri* indicates a later Aquitanian age (N4). The uppermost Upper Burman Limestone is recognized to be Burdigalian in age (N6) on the basis of the occurrence of
- Miogypsina intermedia (Fig. 5 B), Miogypsina globulina and Miogypsinoides dehaarti (WELL-2
 1272.23 m).
- 188 Calcareous nannofossils and planktonic foraminifers present in the shales overlying the UBL (Discoaster quinqueramus, Sphaeroidinellopsis seminulina, Globigerinoides extremus) are

- 190 assigned to the Tortonian (N20-N17), thus suggesting a hiatus duration of approximatively 9 My at top of the Yadana platform (Burdigalian-Tortonian hiatus)
- 192

194

4.2 Lithofacies and paleo-environmental interpretations

Carbonates from the UBL interval are calcite-dominated limestones, with few partially
dolomitized intervals. In decreasing order of abundance the main biological components observed on thin sections are: (1) non-geniculate coralline algae (42% of total bioclastic
fraction on average; WELL-1=48%; WELL-2=41%; WELL-3=45%; WELL-4=33%), (2) larger benthic foraminifera (41% on average; WELL-1=38%; WELL-2=42%; WELL-3=41%; WELL-3=41%; WELL-3=41%; WELL-3=41%; WELL-4=25%). Subordinate components include echinoderms (5% on average), bryozoans, green algae and planktonic foraminifera. Five distinct lithofacies have been defined and interpreted in terms of depositional environments (Table 1):

204

LF1. Coralline algal floatstone to rudstone

The coralline algal floatstones to rudstones (Fig. 6 A-G) consist of spheroidal-ellipsoidal rhodoliths or pieces of branching coralline algae embedded within a coralline algalforaminiferal wackestone to packstone matrix. The coralline algal association is composed of *Lithothamnion, Mesophyllum* and *Sporolithon*. Rhodoliths are heterometric, mostly with
diameters ranging from 1 cm to 10 cm in cores and commonly displaying warty and branching growth forms. Loose pieces of branching and columnar (fruticose) coralline algae
(particularly *Lithothamnion* and *Sporolithon*) may be dominant in some intervals (Fig. 6C, D). Loose and hooked *Mesophyllum* are present in very low amount (Fig. 6F). Mastophoroids are

- extremely rare or lacking. The foraminiferal assemblage is dominated by *Spiroclypeus tidoenganensis* and *Nephrolepidina sumatrensis*, with common occurrences of
 Miogypsinoides, *Miogypsina* and *Heterostegina* (*Vlerkina*). Echinoderms, ectoprocts and planktonic foraminifera are occasional.
- 218 Interpretation: In LF1 facies, the coralline algal assemblage is dominated by the melobesioids Lithothamnion, Mesophyllym and Sporolithon. Such an assemblage is typical of 220 low-light environments, in oligo-mesophotic settings (Braga et al., 2010). In modern, tropical, low-turbidity environments, melobesioids have been shown to occur preferentially at water-depths ranging from 30 to 80 m (Adey, 1979; Braga and Aguirre, 2004). The co-222 occurrence of warty rhodoliths and pieces of branching coralline algae belonging to the same taxa (Fig. 6C, G) may suggest that the isolated branches may derive from the fragmentation 224 of branching rhodoliths during high-energy events (Bosence, 1983; Freiwald et al., 1994), 226 such as storms, cyclones or internal waves. The foraminiferal assemblage dominated by large and flat Spiroclypeus and Lepidocyclinids is typical of oligo-mesophotic environments, at 228 water depths of 30 m or greater, but could live comfortably at 70 m depth (Hallock and Glenn, 1986; Noad, 2001). In contrast, benthic foraminifers like Miogypsinoides, Miogypsina and Heterostegina (Vlerkina) are common taxa in shallow-water, euphotic environments 230 associated with sea-grass beds (Fournier et al., 2004; BouDagher-Fadel, 2018; Hallock and Pomar, 2008; Maurizot et al., 2016) but were also reported from shallow mesophotic, mid-232 ramp environments (Bassi, 2005; Bassi et al., 2007; Rahmani et al., 2009). The mudsupported nature of LF1 suggests that the depositional environment was relatively sheltered 234 or not permanently subject to wave action. As a consequence, the biological and textural features of LF1 facies likely reflect deposition in the shallowest part of the mesophotic zone, 236

in an area located below the base of fair-weather wave action but experiencing episodic high-energy events.

240 LF2.1. Large benthic foraminiferal rudstone

The LF2.1 facies (Fig. 7A, B) consists of relatively thin (< 1 m) accumulations of large benthic foraminifers, enriched in red algal fragments, with rare bryozoans and echinoderms which are typically interbedded within coralline algal floatstones (LF1). The intergranular spaces may be

- occupied by a peloidal grainstone matrix or occluded by sparry calcite cements. Large benthic foraminifera are usually centimetric in size (sometimes up to 2 cm) and most of them display
- 246 broken edges. The foraminiferal assemblage is dominated by *Lepidocyclina (Nephrolepidina)* sumatrensis, L. (N.) oneatensis, and Spiroclypeus tidoenganensis with rarer specimens of
- 248 *Amphistegina*, *Heterostegina*, *Miogyspina*, and *Miogypsinoides*. Coralline algae mainly include branching and encrusting, warty *Lithothamnion*, laminated, loose *Mesophyllum* and branching
- 250 *Sporolithon.* The LF2.1 rudstone intervals exhibit close (cm- scale) vertical and lateral orientation changes of large benthic foraminifera (Fig. 7B).
- **252 Interpretation**: The foraminiferal assemblage, dominated by *Nephrolepidina* and *Spiroclypeus* as well as the coralline algal association (*Lithothamnion-Mesophyllum-Sporolithon*) suggest that
- carbonate grains have been produced within the mesophotic zone (Braga et al., 2010). In addition, the lack or scarcity of mud matrix in intergranular spaces, with the poor state of
- 256 preservation of large benthic foraminifers, may indicate that frequent turbulence was strong enough to winnow muddy particles and rework the large foraminiferal tests. The rare occurrence
- 258 of *Amphistegina*, *Heterostegina*, *Miogyspina*, and *Miogypsinoides*, compared to LF1, may reflect that the sediment source of the rudstones is located at greater depths within the mesophotic zone

but may also give evidence of preferential sorting of low-density bioclasts such as large and flat lepidocyclinids and *Spiroclypeus* (Jorry et al., 2006; Pomar et al., 2012; 2015). The low
intergranular matrix content and predominantly non-oriented nature of the large benthic foraminifera in LF2.1 is interpreted as resulting from a bedload transportation and mass
deposition during high-energy events (storms or internal waves). In addition, the occurrence of LF2.1 rudstone beds within mesophotic LF1 coralline algal and foraminiferal floatstones,
together with the mesophotic affinity of the biotic assemblage in LF2.1 also indicates that during high-energy events the sediment was remobilized and then redeposited on top of the Yadana
shelf in a similar water-depth range.

270 LF2.2. Large benthic foraminiferal floatstone

LF2.2 lithofacies consists of large benthic foraminiferal floatstone with a coralline algal
wackestone/packstone matrix (Fig. 7C, D). Larger benthic foraminifers are up to 2cm in diameters, thin-shelled, commonly well-preserved (unbroken), and typically horizontallyoriented. The foraminiferal assemblage is dominated by *Spiroclypeus tidoenganensis* with common occurrences of *Cycloclypeus, Eulepidina, Nephrolepidina, Miogypsinoides* and

276 *Amphistegina*. Planktonic foraminifers occur occasionally in LF2.2 lithofacies. Laminar and loose *Mesophyllum*, together with branching *Lithothamnion* are common components.

278

Interpretation: The foraminiferal assemblage dominated by very large and flat *Spiroclypeus*, *Cycloclypeus* and *Lepidocyclinids*, is typical of oligophotic environments, at depths of 30 m or greater, but could grow comfortably at depths as great as 70 m (Hallock and Glenn, 1986; Noad,
280 2001). The occurrence of planktonic foraminifera in LF2.2 is consistent with such water depths.

The coralline algal assemblage, dominated by *Mesophyllum* and *Lithothamnion*, is also
indicative of relatively low light conditions (e.g., Adey 1979; Bosence 1983; Rosler et al. 2015).
The biological composition together with the well preservation state of flat-shaped large benthic
foraminifera and the high proportion of micrite matrix strongly suggest that the LF2.2 floatstones were deposited in an oligophotic, low-energy environment.

288

LF3.1 Coral floatstone with echinoderm-rich wackestone matrix

- 290 LF3.1 lithofacies is a scleractinian-dominated floatstone (Fig. 8 A-C, E) consisting of thin branches of unidentified corals or massive fragments of merulinids and pocilloporids. Associated 292 fossils included solitary corals. All are embedded in a wackestone matrix dominated by echinoderm fragments (mainly ophiuroids and some echinoids: Fig. 8D), small pieces of nonarticulated coralline algae and occasional benthic foraminifers (mainly broken lepidocyclinids, 294 Spiroclypeus, Miogypsinoides and Amphistegina). Some rare, well-preserved, flat-shaped lepidocyclinids and Spiroclypeus may represent autochtonous biota. The coralline algal 296 assemblage, although poorly preserved, is prominently composed of Mesophyllum and *Lithothamnion.* Most of the corals are partially leached and filled with a finely bioclastic micrite 298 (Fig. 8A, C, E) which is identical in nature and in physical continuity with the matrix in which 300 they are embedded (faint ghost fabrics, sensu Sanders, 2003). Corals may be also replaced by
- calcite (Fig. 8B) or may be preserved as molds.
- 302 Within the Upper Burman Limestone, LF3.1 has been encountered in four distinct, 5 to 10 mthick intervals. Brecciated intervals (1 to 3 m-thick) may occur with LF3.1 units (Fig 9). In such
- breccia, clasts are gravel to pebble-sized (typically 0.5 to 5.0 cm diameter), sub-angular to subrounded in shape (Fig. 9A-B) and commonly display deep embayments (Fig 9A). The space

between clasts is filled with a lime mud-supported sediment containing various proportions of fine-grained echinoderm fragments. The top of brecciated LF3.1 intervals are characterized by
 uneven, tightly indurated and brecciated surfaces with angular clasts (WELL-3 1295.5 m on Fig.

310

Interpretation:

9C).

- 312 The biological assemblage in the matrix of LF3.1 floatstone is dominated by echinoderms and contains low amounts of light-dependent biota, which is not consistent with deposition under euphotic conditions. Benthic foraminifers (mainly broken, flat-shaped lepidocyclinids and 314 Spiroclypeus) are extremely scarce and likely derive from oligo-mesophotic environments. In addition, coralline algae are always broken and the assemblage (Mesophyllum and 316 Lithothamnion) is similar to that of LF1 and LF2. The fragmented nature of corals, red algae and 318 most foraminifers strongly is indicative of displacement. Assemblages of broken benthic foraminifers (lepidocyclinids, Spiroclypeus, Miogypsinoides) and coralline algae (Mesophyllum 320 and *Lithothamnion*) indicate that the transported bioclastic material derives from an area located within the mesophotic domain. It is therefore likely that corals and associated benthic foraminifers and coralline algae, were derived from nearby patches or mounds whose top has 322 reached the mesophotic environment. The importance of coral-dominated carbonate factories in mesophotic settings has been recognized in various Cenozoic carbonate systems, in relation with 324 nutrient-rich and episodically agitated environments (e.g., Morsilli et al., 2012; Pomar et al., 2014) or with turbid waters (Santodomingo et al., 2015). Under such conditions, corals may 326 develop by enhancing their heterotrophic strategy and thus acting as suspension feeders (e.g.,
- Anthony, 1999; Morsilli *et al.*, 2012). The relative dominance of heterotrophs (echinoderms) and

the lack of *in situ* light-dependent biota (larger benthic foraminifers, coralline algae and
zooxanthelate corals) could reflect low light environments (dysphotic to aphotic) due to
increased water-depth or water-turbidity. In ancient and modern environments, occurrences of
dense ophiuroid populations are regarded as requiring the combination of three conditions
(Aronson *et al.*, 1997; Aronson, 2009) : low skeleton-crushing predation, low rates of sediment
resuspension, and high flux of particulate organic matter. As a consequence, the development of
coral patches in mesophotic settings, coevally with ophiuroid-rich sediment in dysphotic to
aphotic area may be interpreted as resulting from high concentrations of suspended particulate

338

In brecciated intervals (Fig. 9A-B), the similarity between the texture and composition of the intraclasts and those of the matrix in which they are embedded, the irregular shape of the clasts 340 which display deep embayments, strongly suggest that brecciation affected a partially lithified lime-mud and therefore occurred early, in marine environments. Similar features of soft 342 deformation and early brecciation have been interpreted by Bouchette et al. (2001) as resulting from water-wave cyclic loading. As a consequence, in spite of prevailing low-energy 344 hydrodynamic conditions which are suggested by the lime-mud-supported nature of LF3.1, 346 episodic high energy events are needed to: (1) break up and removed coral colonies, and (2) trigger sediment brecciation, clast deformation and reworking on the partially-lithified seabottom (Bouchette et al., 2001; Seguret et al., 2001). The angular nature of clasts at top of 348 brecciated intervals and the sharp contact with overlying LF1 facies (Fig. 9) suggests that early brecciation processes affected well-lithified limestones (Teillet et al., 2019), and likely occurred 350 during a period of depositional hiatus (hardground).

LF3.1 lithofacies therefore is interpreted to have deposited in a low light environment (dysphotic to aphotic), with high concentrations of suspended particulate organic matter, at the vicinity of
mesophotic coral patches and subject to episodic high-energy events, such as storms or internal waves, causing sea-floor brecciation.

356

LF3.2 Echinoderm wackestone

- The LF3.2 lithofacies is a bioclastic wackestone dominated by fragments of echinoderms, including ophiuroid ossicles and echinoids (Fig. 8F). Small-size (< 1 mm) fragments of coralline
- algae (*Mesophyllum* and *Lithothamnion*) are common. Isolated coral pieces and rare fragments of
 large benthic foraminifers may be present. LF3.2 facies commonly occurs as layers (0.10 to 1 m thick) interbedded within LF3.1 coral floatstones.

Interpretation:

- 364 The similarity in texture and biota between the echinodermal wackestone (LF3.2) and the matrix of the coral floatstone (LF3.1) give evidence that LF3.2 represents a lateral analog of LF3.1,
- 366 deposited in a dysphotic to aphotic environment. This contention is reinforced by the fact that LF3.2 and LF3.1 form thin (0.10 to 1 m) alternations. Since LF3.1 and LF3.2 essentially differ in
- their coral abundance, they may reflect a gradient of proximity to mesophotic coral patches.

4.2 Vertical and lateral changes in lithofacies and related environments

Vertical changes in lithofacies, related depositional environments and remarkable surfaces are summarized in Fig. 10 and 11 for each well in the cored intervals of the Upper Burman Limestone. All of the lithofacies have been interpreted as being deposited in low to moderate

- 374 light environments, below the euphotic zone. In the cored sections, the Upper Burman Limestone is subdivided into meter-to-decameter-scale sedimentary units dominated either by LF1, LF2.1
- and LF2.2 lithofacies (named units FA1 to FA6 in Fig. 10, 11 and 12) or by LF3.1 and LF3.2 lithofacies (units ES1 to ES5: Fig. 10, 11 and 12). Transitions between lithofacies are
 characterized mainly by gradual changes in biogenic composition. However, the upper part of coral-rich intervals (LF3.1 facies) is typically brecciated and topped by hardground surfaces
- 380 (Teillet et al., 2019) (Fig. 9C, 10, 11). The correlation framework and the well-to-seismic tie (Fig. 12) revealed that the seismic reflectors roughly follow lithostratigraphic boundaries. For
- instance, the seismic marker H9B matches with the boundary between a lower, 10 m-thick interval of foraminiferal wackestones (LF2.2) and an upper, massive, interval containing
- 384 rhodoliths, lepidocyclinids and *Spiroclypeus* (LF1). The stratigraphic correlation between wells reveals a lack of significant lateral change in lithofacies association within the carbonate buildup.
- 386 Such a stratigraphic architecture is indicative of a lack of significant topographic gradient on top of the buildup. The cored section of the Upper Burman Limestone (Aquitanian-Burdigalian)
- 388 exhibits five main coral floatstone (LF3) intervals interbedded within foraminiferal-coral algaldominated intervals (LF1-LF2).

390

4.3 Seismic interpretation

392

The 3D seismic records from, the Upper Burman Limestone interval are characterized by a set
of flat, continuous, parallel, low to moderate amplitude reflectors (Fig. 13). There is no change in seismic facies or reflector morphology on the edges of the Yadana platform that could be
interpreted as suggesting the presence of outer reef rims. The inter-well correlation of seismic

markers is supported by the good lateral continuity of the seismic reflectors within the Upper
Burman Limestone (Fig. 13A-C). The lack of significant lateral changes in amplitude, the flat morphology and the parallel pattern of the reflectors are strongly consistent with a layer-caked
stratigraphic architecture (low lateral changes in lithofacies and thickness) as suggested by well correlations (Fig. 12). Additionally, seismic reflectors are conform with chronostratigraphic
time-lines (Fig. 13 D)

On the seismic profiles, reflectors from the Upper Burman Limestone appear sharply truncated at
the northern platform margin (Fig. 13A-C). In addition, the very uneven shape of the reservoir top surface on coherency maps (Fig. 13E) argues for a significant erosion of the Yadana buildup,
after the Burdigalian and prior to deposition of the overlying prodelta shales during the Tortonian.

408

5. DISCUSSION

410

5.1 The Yadana buildup: an early Miocene, isolated, oligo-mesophotic carbonate platform 412

The Yadana carbonate platform has been previously interpreted as a shallow-water, reef-rimmed carbonate platform based on seismic facies interpretations (Paumard et al., 2017). Instead, the present environmental interpretations are based on: (1) the nature of the skeletal components and

the ecological requirements of the benthic communities from which they have derived, particularly light for autotrophs and food requirements for heterotrophs, (2) rock textures as
indicative of water-energy, (3) reconstruction of sedimentary geometries based on well correlations and 2D seismic cross sections analysis.

The isolated position of the Yadana carbonate system during the Aquitanian and Burdigalian has been demonstrated by the three-dimensional pattern of seismic data (Paumard et al., 2017). The lateral correlative potential of the lithofacies and their variability in thickness are indicative of a weak topographic gradient, at least in the western part of the buildup. In addition, the carbonate sediments from the Upper Burman Limestone are characterized by the absence of strictly euphotic constituents such as reef dwelling or seagrass-related biota. This suggests that the studied area around wells was not fed by sediments produced by a euphotic carbonate factory. In addition, the lack of lateral changes in the seismic facies and in thickness eastward, as revealed by the seismic data, supports the interpretation of a flat-topped platform for the whole early

Miocene Yadana build-up.

- 430 The layer-caked architecture (=alternating echinodermal-scleractinian and foraminiferal-coralline algal intervals) of the Upper Burman Limestone is indicative of specific environmental
- 432 conditions (light penetration, nutrient content, water-depth) on the platform top at a given time.In contrast, the vertical changes in lithofacies (Fig. 10, 11, 12) can be interpreted in terms of
- 434 changing environmental parameters such as nutrient supply, turbidity, water energy and waterdepth. The Upper Burman Limestone from the Yadana build-up has recorded three types of
- 436 carbonate factory that operated on the top of the platform depending on the paleoenvironmental context (1) a scleractinian factory developing under mesophotic conditions in shallow (below
- 438 fair-weather-wave base?), nutrient-rich waters, (2) an echinodermal factory occupying aphotic to oligophotic area of the shelf coevally with the scleractinian carbonate factory below fair-
- 440 weather-wave base, and (3) large benthic foraminiferal (LBF)-coralline algal factories prevailing under oligo-mesophotic and oligo-mesotrophic conditions below fair-weather-wave base.
- 442 The scleractinian and the echinodermal carbonate factories (Fig. 14A)

Analysis of the biogenic components and textural features of LF3.1 and LF3.2 lithofacies reveals
the existence of two coeval carbonate factories developing on top of the Yadana shelf. During LF3.1 and LF3.2 deposition, the top of the Yadana shelf was mainly located within the disphotic
or possibly aphotic zone, and below the fair weather wave base. In such environments, skeletal carbonate production was dominated by echinoderms including a large proportion of ophiuroids.
The abundance of ophiuroids in LF3.1 and LF3.2 suggests that prevailing environmental

- conditions favored the development of suspension-feeders (McKinney and Hageman, 2007). The
 abundance of coral fragments scattered within echinodermal wackestones (LF3.1), together with
 broken, mesophotic benthic foraminifers and coralline algae, relies to the existence of a
 mesophotic scleractinian carbonate factory. However, in-situ coral facies have not been
- encountered in cores.
- 454 In modern environments, mesophotic coral communities are known to include both zooxanthellate and azooxanthellate corals and occur in the lower half of the photic zone at depths
- 456 down to 150 m (e.g., Kahng et al. 2010). In such environments, zooxanthellate corals may exhibit various photo-acclimatization strategies, but can also develop heterotrophic behavior, as
- 458 suspension feeders, particularly when light significantly decreases with increasing depth or increasing turbidity, or during periods of high nutrient supplies (e.g., Muscatine et al., 1989;
- 460 Alamaru et al., 2009; Chan et al., 2009; Lesser et al., 2009; Morsilli et al., 2012). In modern (Kahng et al., 2010, and references therein) and ancient low-light environments, corals are
- 462 known to form low-relief buildups as observed in the late Eocene pro-delta environments from Spain (Morsilli et al., 2012). The strategy of forming mounds is believed to favor the
 464 development of suspension feeders since such reliefs promote surrounding turbulent currents

capable of carrying picoplanktons and phytoplanktons (Atkinson and Bilger, 1992; Ribes et al.,

2003; Pomar and Hallock, 2008; Morsilli et al., 2012;). In the Yadana platform, corals from 466 LF3.1 possibly derive from neighboring patches or mounds whose top is located in mesophotic environment. Since LF3.1 and LF3.2 mostly differ in their coral abundance, they may reflect a 468 gradient of proximity to such a possible mounds. In addition, the lateral changes in thickness (<10m) of coral-rich units, as well as the lateral pinching out of some of these units, may 470 advocate for the existence of localized sources of coral production, scattered on the Yadana 472 shelf, promoting the formation of a mounded, low relief, top of platform morphology (Fig. 14A). The absence of visible positive morphologies on seismic profiles and the relatively flat and continuous expression of seismic reflectors may suggest that: 1) possible mounds have not been 474 preserved, as a result of marine erosion processes, or 2) the height and lateral extension of these mounds are below the vertical and lateral resolution of the seismic (~ 20 m). The latter 476 hypothesis would imply that the difference in elevation between the top of the mound (coral-478 dominated carbonate factory) which is located within the mesophotic zone and the dysphoticaphotic platform (echinoderm-dominated carbonate factory) is less than 20 meters. This would 480 be consistent with a steep light gradient within the water column and therefore with high turbidity (Fig. 14D). Such an interpretation is consistent with the size of mesophotic coral mounds (5-20 meters-high) documented in Rupelian (Majella Mountain: Brandano et al., 2018) 482 and Chattian (Salento Peninsula: Tomassetti et al., 2018) ramp systems from the Mediterranean area. Additionally, the coeval development of a scleractinian and an echinodermal carbonate 484 factory may have been favored by increased influxes in suspended organic particulate matter that promoted both suspension feeding behavior of zooxanthelate corals at shallow depths in 486 mesophotic, turbid waters (Anthony, 1999), and the development of ophiuroids in deeper, dysphotic to aphotic setting (Aronson et al., 1997; McKinney & Hageman, 2007). The 488

occurrence of brecciated intervals within coral-floatstone intervals, together with the common
fragmentation of corals, large benthic foraminifera and coralline algae are indicative of episodic
high-energy events that could have been generated by storms or internal waves (Morsilli and
Pomar, 2012; Pomar et al., 2012). Finally, the pervasive, early dissolution of coral aragonite

bottom which may be related to (1) enhanced organic matter decay coupled with respiration in environments that are enriched in particulate organic-matter (Sanders, 2003), and (2) the influx
of cold, CO₂-rich waters from upwelling currents (Feely et al., 2008).

(faint ghost texture of coral floatstones) is indicative of under-saturated conditions at the sea-

498 *The large benthic foraminiferal-coralline algal carbonate factories* (Fig. 14B, C)

Foraminiferal and coralline algal-dominated carbonate sediments (LF1 and LF2.1) are found in 500 10 to 25 m-thick intervals that are correlatable between the studied wells (Fig. 12). All the identified biological constituents are indicative of mesophotic, oligo-mesotrophic conditions. 502 The lack of euphotic biota within such intervals strongly suggests that there has been no euphotic carbonate factory on the Yadana buildup at that time. The large benthic foraminiferal-coralline algal carbonate factory, that was the source of carbonate sediment of LF1 and LF2.1, is therefore 504 interpreted to have operated on the top of the Yadana shelf, under mesophotic conditions (Fig. 506 14B). The high micrite content in coralline algal floatstones LF1 advocates for a low energy environment, below wave-action. However, the usual disintegration of branching rhodoliths and the presence of the LBF rudstone LF2.1 argues for a repetitive alternation of low to high energy 508 conditions. Another evidence of high-energy conditions could be the occurrence of thin (< 1 m)

510 beds of well-sorted foraminiferal rudstones (LF2.1) with dominantly non-oriented large benthic foraminifera, interbedded within coralline algal floatstones (Fig. 7B). Such rudstone deposits

- 512 likely correspond to the remobilization of the mesophotic carbonate products and redistribution on top of the Yadana shelf during storm or internal wave events.
- 514 Flat-shaped, large benthic foraminifers (*Spiroclypeus*, *Cycloclypeus* and *Eulepidina*) which are the dominant biota of LF2.2 are known to characterize oligophotic and oligo-mesotrophic
- environments (e.g., Buxton and Pedley 1989; Beavington-Penney and Racey 2004; Pomar et al.2017). The lack of lateral changes in texture, biota and thickness between the wells for LF2.2
- 518 intervals, in addition with the high micrite content, are regarded as expressing a deposition on top of a low-energy platform rather than on a ramp system (Fig. 14C).

520

5.2 Factors controlling the development of mesophotic coral communities on the Yadana platform

As previously discussed, coralline algal and foraminiferal-dominated carbonate factories are linked to oligo-mesophotic settings associated with low-to-moderate turbidity and oligomesotrophic waters, while scleractinian and echinodermal carbonate factories developed coevally during periods of suspended particulate nutrient influxes.

528 Modern mesophotic carbonate buildups have been commonly reported from locations where surface waters are clear and oligotrophic, allowing sufficient light to penetrate to depths of 30–

- 530 50 m, and where high fluxes of phytoplanktons and zooplanktons are supplied by internal waves (e.g. Hallock, 2001; Pomar et al., 2017). High fluxes of particulate organic matter would also
- explain the coeval development of echinoderm-dominated deposits in deeper (dysphotic to aphotic) setting. Such an interpretation is supported by various evidences of episodic highenergy events affecting scleractinian-echinoderm facies (LF3.1 and LF3.2) such as the

transportation of mesophotic coral fragments into dysphotic-aphotic environments, and the
common occurrence of brecciated intervals (Fig. 9). The Andaman Sea has been extensively
studied for the occurrence of high amplitude (> 60 m) internal solitons (e.g., Osborne and Burch,
1980; Hyder et al., 2005; Jantzen et al., 2013). Locally, solitons are related to the occurrence of
strong tidal currents in a stratified water column flowing over reliefs inducing abrupt changes in

- bathymetry, especially, over the seamounts from the Andaman volcanic arc (Hyder et al., 2005).Solitons induce perturbations of the depth of the pycnocline and generate strong currents (Apel et
- al., 1985). Such phenomena probably also occurred during the early Miocene, at a time when the topographic sill of the Andaman Arc was already formed and may have contributed to enrich
 shallow-waters in nutrient by mixing with upwelled deeper waters.

An alternative interpretation would be a development of mesophotic coral communities in 546 shallow, turbid waters with high particulate organic matter influx. Scleractinian-dominated bioconstructions that formed in turbid water under significant terrigenous inputs, have been 548 shown to be common in the geological record (Sanders and Baron-Szabo, 2005) and particularly

- in Cenozoic shallow-water sedimentary systems from southeast Asia (e.g. Wilson, 2005; Novak
- et al., 2013; Santodomingo et al., 2015, 2016). At present, the Irrawaddy River of Myanmar is one of the muddiest rivers (Licht et al., 2016) inflowing into the northern Andaman Sea and the
- 552 Gulf of Martaban (Rao et al., 2005). It is the fifth largest river in the world in terms of suspended sediment discharge, known to have been the major source of sediment within the Andaman Sea
- 554 since the early Miocene (Licht et al., 2016). As a consequence, the Gulf of Martaban is one of the largest perennially turbid zones of the world's oceans. The suspended sediment levels and the
- area covered by the highly turbid zone have been shown to be strongly governed by the springneap tidal cycles (Ramaswamy et al., 2004). South of the Gulf of Martaban, in the Andaman Sea,

- around the location of the Yadana field, the nutrients supplied by the Irrawaddy river favor high concentrations of chlorophyll from algae and diatoms in the ocean (Ramaswamy et al., 2004).
- 560 The late Oligocene and early Miocene paleogeography of the Andaman Sea is quite similar to the modern (Fig. 1A, B). So, the changes over time in sediment and nutrient inputs from the
- 562 Irrawaddy River have most likely controlled changes in water turbidity and particulate nutrient concentration in the Yadana area. Periods of high terrigenous inputs may have favored the
- 564 development of suspension feeders and driven the development of a dysphotic to aphotic echinodermal and mesophotic scleractinian carbonate factories. According to this interpretation,
- the change from a mesophotic platform dominated by large benthic foraminifers and coralline algae to a dysphotic echinodermal platform may have occurred without increasing water-depth
- 568 (Fig. 14D). As a consequence, in contrast to interpretations by Paumard et al. (2019), our sedimentological results do not support a deepening trend triggered by a rapid relative sea-level
 570 rise, toward the top of the platform.
- Finally the occurrence of upwelling currents may also have favored the development of
 mesophotic coral buildups on the Yadana platform. At present, monsoonal activity in the
 Andaman Sea is known to induce the formation of seasonal upwelling currents, particularly on
 the margin of Thailand (e.g., Chatterjee et al., 2017). The South Asian Monsoon (SAM), one of
 the most significant climatic components in the area is known to have occurred as early as the
 Oligocene-Miocene boundary (Fig. 4B) (Clift et al., 2008; Clift and Vanlaningham, 2010;
 Betzler et al., 2018). Seasonal upwelling currents, related to monsoonal activity, have been also
 reported to occur during the Late Miocene in the Andaman Sea (Chakraborty and Ghosh, 2016).
 The integration of various geochemical and mineralogical proxies by Clift et al. (2008)
 concluded that monsoon intensification started during the early Miocene (after ~24 Ma) and that

	at least 5 cycles of chemical weathering intensity have been recorded during the Aquitanian-
582	Burdigalian interval. Changes in monsoonal activity during the early Miocene that are suggested
	by such alteration cycles in southeast Asia may have controlled cyclic terrestrial nutrient supplies
584	and upwelling currents in the Andaman sea. As a result, periods of strong upwelling activity
	and/or high terrestrial nutrient inputs may have favored the development suspension feeders on
586	top of the Yadana platform and the formation of mesophotic coral patches, whereas oligo-
	mesophotic and oligo-mesotrophic carbonate factories dominated by coralline algae and large
588	benthic foraminifera characterize periods of lower monsoonal intensity.

.

590

5.3 The significance of oligo-mesophotic carbonate factories in the Cenozoic of southeast Asia

594

The concept of 'tropical carbonate factory', has been extensively used to typify carbonate 596 paleoenvironments in various Cenozoic sub-tropical to tropical areas (Hallock and Glenn, 1986; Schlager, 2000; 2003). Nevertheless, an important number of recent studies in the Mediterranean region (e.g., Morsilli et al. 2012; Pomar et al. 2014, 2017; Brandano et al., 2017) have 598 increasingly identified facies associations and geometries which are significantly different from the standard modern tropical carbonate model based on reef systems and have pointed out the 600 importance of the meso-oligophotic carbonate production by larger benthic foraminifers, red 602 algae, associated to scleractinians. Brandano and Corda (2002) evidenced a lower-middle Miocene tropical ramp in the Central Appenines where the main carbonate production took place in the aphotic and oligophotic zone. Similarly, the role of mesophotic carbonate factories in 604 Oligo-Miocene carbonate systems has been also evidenced in the Perla field (offshore

- 606 Venezuela, Carribean domain), where most carbonate sediments have been shown to be produced in the oligo-mesophotic domain (Pomar et al., 2015).
- 608 In tropical, Cenozoic to modern environments from southeast Asia, heterozoan carbonate production has been shown to be significant and sometimes dominant in areas where upwelling
- and/or terrestrial runoff, high turbidity and cool waters occur (Halfar and Mutti, 2005; Madden and Wilson, 2013; Wilson and Vecsei, 2005). In such environments, heterotrophic and
- mixotrophic biota are more common than photosynthetic autotrophs (Tomascik et al., 2000;Wilson and Vecsei, 2005). Additionally, most southeast Asian Cenozoic platforms (including
- 614 isolated platforms) have extensive development of oligophotic facies in moderate to deep photic zone (Wilson and Vecsei, 2005). Under conditions of high nutrient supply and high water
- 616 turbidity, large scale, isolated and land-attached oligophotic platforms develop in modern environments (Paternoster platform: *Burollet* et al. 1986 ; Spermonde platform: Renema and
- 618 Troelstra 2001 ; Kalukalukung banks: Roberts and Phipps 1988, Saya de Malha Bank: Hilbertz and Goreau 2002). Cenozoic counterparts have been also described: Berai platform (Saller and
- Vijaya, 2002); Tonasa platform (Wilson and Bosence, 1996) ; and Melinau platforms (Adams, 1965). In these carbonate systems, the oligophotic carbonate production dominates while a
- euphotic carbonate production may occur in some shallow water areas (Wilson and Vecsei, 2005), including barrier reefs or shoal rims (e.g. Paternoster and Berai Platforms), or localized
- 624 patch/pinnacle reefs (e.g., Spermonde shelf). Shallow areas with euphotic carbonate production may be of very reduced extent in some banks or incipiently drowned platforms such as in the
- Wonosari and Kalukalukuangs platforms (Read, 1985). The present study shows that isolated carbonate systems with exclusive oligo-mesophotic and dysphotic-aphotic carbonate production
 existed during the early Miocene in Southeast Asia and that "incipiently drowned platform"

conditions persisted throughout the entire early Miocene interval. On the Yadana platform, oligomesophotic carbonate production has been persistent during the early Miocene and has been likely promoted by the combination of repeated periods of high terrestrial runoff (Irrawaddy
River), upwelling currents related to monsoonal activity and/ or by deep-water mixing controlled

by internal waves.

- 634 Carbonate production dominated by non-framework building biota has been evidenced in OligoMiocene, euphotic environments from the Indo-Pacific realm. Probably, the most significant
 636 non-framework building euphotic carbonate factory relates to foraminiferal and coralline algal
 and scleractinian production in sea-grass environments. Isolated carbonate buildups with
 638 dominant sea-grass-related carbonate production has been described in the late Oligocene and
 early Miocene from the Malampaya buildup, Philippines, where changes in trophic states have
- 640 operated (Fournier et al., 2004). The Malampaya and Yadana isolated systems show a number of similarities, including their predominantly aggrading stratigraphic architecture, the flat-topped
- 642 morphology of the platform and the relative abundance of coral-rich facies. However, apart from corals, carbonate production in Malampaya is characterized by euphotic, seagrass-inhabiting
- 644 benthic foraminifera (Austrotrillina, soritids, alveolinids, Neorotalia, Miogypsina and Miogypsinoides) whereas in Yadana the large foraminifers (Lepidocyclinids, Spiroclypeus) and
- 646 encrusting coralline algae of oligo-mesophotic affinity are predominant. Additionally, euphotic carbonate production dominated by seagrass dwellers has been also recognized in the Aquitanian
- 648 and Burdigalian ramps from Nepoui, New Caledonia (Maurizot et al., 2016), and from the Middle-to-Late Miocene Marion Plateau open platform (Conesa *et al.*, 2005).
- 650 Accordingly, the study of the Yadana platform provides new insights into tropical carbonate production in southeast Asia during the Cenozoic. Along with the classical euphotic, oligotrophic

- 652 carbonate factory (Photozoan *sensu* James 1997), dominated by photosynthetic autotrophs and symbiont-bearing organisms including framework-building corals and large benthic foraminifera
- 654 (e.g., Wilson and Evans, 2002; Saqab and Bourget, 2016), four tropical carbonate factories coexisted on top of southeast Asian isolated buildups during the Miocene: (1) Seagrass-related,
- euphotic factory, (2) Oligo-mesophotic and oligo-mesotrophic large benthic foraminiferal and coralline algal factory, (3) a scleractinian factory developing under mesophotic conditions, (4) an
- echinodermal factory in disphotic to aphotic shelves. It also worth mentioning that these four carbonate factories characterize also the Mediterranean Oligocene and lower Miocene carbonate
- 660 ramps (e.g. Pedley 1998; Pomar et al., 2017; Brandano et al., 2017).

662

CONCLUSION

664

Based on a detailed study of biological and sedimentological features from cores, wellcorrelations and seismic expression, a revised depositional model of the early Aquitanian to mid
Burdigalian carbonates from the Yadana platform is proposed and interpreted in terms of
changes in turbidity, hydrodynamic water energy and nutrient availability.

The layer-cake architecture inferred from seismic and well-correlations, together with the
 low lateral changes in lithofacies suggest deposition on top of a flat-topped and open
 platform throughout the early Miocene interval. Oligo-mesophotic biological associations
 dominate whereas strictly euphotic constituents are lacking. Three types of carbonate
 factories operated at the top of the platform, depending on the paleoenvironmental
 context: (1) a scleractinian factory developing under mesophotic conditions during

periods of particulate nutrient supplies, (2) an echinodermal factory occupying dysphotic
 to aphotic area of the shelf coevally with the scleractinian carbonate factory, and (3) large
 benthic foraminiferal-coralline algal factories prevailing under oligo-mesophotic and
 oligo-mesotrophic conditions.

- 2) The three fundamental parameters controlling carbonate production on the Yadana platform were: (1) turbidity, (2) nutrient content, and (3) water energy. The dominantly low-energy setting of the oligo-mesophotic deposits suggests that deposition occurred below the fair-weather wave base. Changes from mesophotic to dysphotic-aphotic carbonate factories on the Yadana platform top through time may be related either to a change in water depth, or to a change in water transparency. The usual fragmentation of branching rhodoliths and the occurrence of brecciated intervals within early cemented coral-echinodermal lithofacies are indicative of frequent episodic high energy events such as storms, internal waves and/or cyclones
- 3) Finally, for the first time in the Oligo-Miocene from southeast Asia, the present case study documents the development history of a strictly oligo-mesophotic isolated carbonate platform with significant development of coral-rich deposits. Changes in monsoonal intensity and terrestrial runoff from the Irrawaddy River are thought to have largely controlled the paleoceanographic history of the Andaman Sea during the early Miocene. Such events were likely responsible for changes in water turbidity and nutrient supplies as well as for the episodic occurrence of upwelling currents. Internal waves may be also regarded as a potential factor controlling both local hydrodynamics and nutrient supplies. Such paleoceanographic conditions, characterized by variable trophic regimes,

promoted development of an incipiently drowned platform, in oligo-mesophotic settings, during the whole early Miocene time interval.

700 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

698

The present study is part of a PhD work (PhD student: Thomas Teillet) funded by TOTAL R&D CARBONATES, Pau, France. TOTAL and partners (CHEVRON, MOGE) are also greatly acknowledged for the database, the technical support and the clearance for publishing the study. This manuscript has also benefited greatly from the detailed and constructive remarks from Prof. Marco Brandano and Dr. Victorien Paumard.

708 **REFERENCES**

- Adams, C.G., 1970. A reconsideration of the East Indian Letter Classification of the Tertiary.Bull. Brit. Mus. Nat. Hist., Geol. 19, 87–137.
- Adams, C.G., 1965. The Foraminifera and stratigraphy of the Melinau Limestone, Sarawak, and its importance in Tertiary correlation. Q. J. Geol. Soc. 121, 283–338.
- Adey WH, 1979. Crustose coralline algae as microenvironmental indicators in the Tertiary, in:
 Gray, J., Boucot, A.J. (Eds.), Historical biogeography, plate tectonics and the changing
 environment. Oregon State Univ. Press., Corvallis, pp. 459–464.
- 710 environment. Oregon state only. Press., corvains, pp. 439-404.
- Alamaru, A., Loya, Y., Brokovich, E., Yam, R., Shemesh, A., 2009. Carbon and nitrogen
 utilization in two species of Red Sea corals along a depth gradient: Insights from stable isotope
 analysis of total organic material and lipids. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 73, 5333–5342.
- Anthony, K.R.N., 1999. Coral suspension feeding on fine particulate matter. J. Exp. Mar Biol. Ecol., 232, 85-106.
- Apel, J.R., Holbrook, J.R., Liu, A.K., Tsai, J.J., 1985. The Sulu Sea Internal Soliton Experiment.J. Phys. Oceanogr. 15, 1625-1651.
- Aronson, R.B., 2009. Metaphor, inference, and prediction in paleoecology: climate change and the Antarctic bottom fauna, in: Dietl, G.P., Flessa, K.W. (Eds.), Conservation Paleobiology:
- Using the Past to Manage for the Future, Paleontological Society Short Course. Paleontol. Soc.Pap. 15, pp. 177–194.

Aronson, R.B., Blake, D.B., Oji, T., 1997. Retrograde community structure in the late Eocene of Antarctica Retrograde community structure in the late Eocene of Antarctica. Geology 25, 903–
906.

Atkinson, M.J., Bilger, R.W., 1992. Effects of water velocity on phosphate uptake in coral reefflat communities. Limnol. Oceanogr. 37, 273–279.

732

Bassi, D., 2005. Larger foraminiferal and coralline algal facies in an Upper Eocene storminfluenced, shallow-water carbonate platform (Colli Berici, north-eastern Italy). Palaeogeogr.
Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 226, 17–35.

- 736 Bassi, D., Hottinger, L., Nebelsick, J.H., 2007. Larger foraminifera from the upper Oligocene of the Venetian area, north-east Italy. Palaeontology 50, 845–868.
- 738 Beavington-Penney, S.J., Racey, A., 2004. Ecology of extant nummulitids and other larger benthic foraminifera: Applications in palaeoenvironmental analysis. Earth Sc. Rev. 67, 219–265.
- 740 Berggren, W.A., Kent, D. V., Swisher, C.C., Aubry, M.-P., 1995. A Revised Cenozoic Geochronology and Chronostratigraphy, in: Berggren, W.A., Kent, D.V., Aubry, M.A.,
- Hardenbol, J. (Eds.), Geochronology, Time Scales, and Global Stratigraphic Correlation. SEPMSpec. Publ. 54, pp. 129-212.
- Betzler, C., Eberli, G.P., Kroon, D., Wright, J.D., Swart, P.K., Nath, B.N., Alvarez-Zarikian,C.A., Alonso-Garciá, M., Bialik, O.M., Blättler, C.L., Guo, J.A., Haffen, S., Horozal, S., Inoue,
- 746 M., Jovane, L., Lanci, L., Laya, J.C., Mee, A.L.H., Lüdmann, T., Nakakuni, M., Niino, K., Petruny, L.M., Pratiwi, S.D., Reijmer, J.J.G., Reolid, J., Slagle, A.L., Sloss, C.R., Su, X., Yao,

- Z., Young, J.R., 2016. The abrupt onset of the modern South Asian Monsoon winds. Scientific Reports 6, 1–10.
- 750 Betzler, C., Eberli, G.P., Lüdmann, T., Reolid, J., Kroon, D., Reijmer, J.J.G., Swart, P.K., Wright, J., Young, J.R., Alvarez-Zarikian, C., Alonso-García, M., Bialik, O.M., Blättler, C.L.,
- Guo, J.A., Haffen, S., Horozal, S., Inoue, M., Jovane, L., Lanci, L., Laya, J.C., Hui Mee, A.L., Nakakuni, M., Nath, B.N., Niino, K., Petruny, L.M., Pratiwi, S.D., Slagle, A.L., Sloss, C.R., Su,
- 754 X., Yao, Z., 2018. Refinement of Miocene sea level and monsoon events from the sedimentary archive of the Maldives (Indian Ocean). Progr. Earth Planet. Sci. 5:5, 1-18.
- Bosence, D.W.J., 1983. Description and Classification of Rhodoliths (Rhodoids, Rhodolites), in:
 Peryt, T.M. (Ed.), Coated Grains. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 217–224.
- Bouchette, F., Séguret, M., Moussine-Pouchkine, A., 2001. Coarse carbonate breccias as a result of water-wave cyclic loading (uppermost Jurassic South-East Basin, France). Sedimentology 48, 767–789.

BouDagher-Fadel, M.K., 2018. Evolution and geological significance of larger benthic foraminifera, second ed. London, UCL press.

BouDagher-Fadel, M.K., 2015. Biostratigraphic and geological significance of planktonic foraminifera, second ed., London, UCL press.

Boudagher-Fadel, M.K., Banner, F.T., 1999. Revision of the stratigraphic significance of the Oligocene-Miocene "Letter-Stages". Rev. Micropal. 42, 93–97.

Braga, J.C., Aguirre, J., 2004. Coralline algae indicate Pleistocene evolution from deep, open
platform to outer barrier reef environments in the northern Great Barrier Reef margin. Coral
Reefs 23, 547–558.

- 770 Braga, J.C., Bassi, D., Piller, W., 2010. Palaeoenvironmental significance of Oligocene Miocene coralline red algae – a review, in: Mutti, M., Piller, W., Betzler, C. (Eds.), Carbonate
- Systems during the Oligocene–Miocene Climatic Transition. Int. Assoc. Sedim., Spec. Publ. 42, pp. 165–182.
- 774 Brandano, M., Corda, L., 2002. Nutrients, sea level and tectonics: constrains for the facies architecture of a Miocene carbonate ramp in central Italy. Terra Nova 14, 4, 257–262.
- Brandano, M., Cornacchia, I., Tomassetti, L., 2017. Global versus regional influence on the carbonate factories of Oligo-Miocene carbonate platforms in the Mediterranean area. Mar.
 Petrol. Geol. 87, 188–202.

Brandano, M., Tomassetti, L., Cornacchia, I., 2018. The lower Rupelian cluster reefs of Majella
platform, the shallow water record of Eocene to Oligocene transition. Sediment. Geol. 380, 21–30.

- Burollet, P.F., Boichard, R., Lambert, B., Villain, J.M., 1986. The Pater Noster CarbonatePlatform. Indonesian Petroleum Association, Proceedings 15th Annual Convention, 155–169.
- 784 Buxton, M.W.N., Pedley, H.M., 1989. Short Paper: A standardized model for Tethyan Tertiary carbonate ramps. J. Geol. Soc. London. 146, 746–748.
- 786 Chakraborty, P.P., Khan, P.K., 2009. Cenozoic geodynamic evolution of the Andaman-Sumatra subduction margin: Current understanding. Isl. Arc 18, 184–200.

Chakraborty, A., Ghosh, A.K., 2016. Ocean upwelling and intense monsoonal activity based on late Miocene diatom assemblages from Neil Island, Andaman and Nicobar Islands, India. Mar.
Micropaleontol. 127, 26–41.

Chan, Y.L., Pochon, X., Fisher, M.A., Wagner, D., Concepcion, G.T., Kahng, S.E., Toonen, R.J.,

- 792 Gates, R.D., 2009. Generalist dinoflagellate endosymbionts and host genotype diversity detected from mesophotic (67-100 m depths) coral Leptoseris. BMC Ecol. 9, 1–7.
- Chatterjee, A., Shankar, D., McCreary, J.P., Vinayachandran, P.N., Mukherjee, A., 2017.
 Dynamics of Andaman Sea circulation and its role in connecting the equatorial Indian Ocean to
 the Bay of Bengal. J. Geophys. Res. Ocean. 122, 3200–3218.
- Clift, P.D., Hodges, K. V., Heslop, D., Hannigan, R., Van Long, H., Calves, G., 2008.
 Correlation of Himalayan exhumation rates and Asian monsoon intensity. Nat. Geosci. 1, 875–880.
- 800 Clift, P.D., Vanlaningham, S., 2010. A climatic trigger for a major Oligo-Miocene unconformity in the Himalayan foreland basin. Tectonics 29, 1–18.
- 802 Conesa, G., Favre, E., Münch, P., Dalmasso, H., Chaix, C., 2005. Biosedimentary and paleoenvironmental evolution of the southern Marion platform from the Middle to Late Miocene
- 804 (Northeast Australia, ODP LEG 194, sites 1196 and 1199), in: Anselmetti, F.S., Isern, A.R., Blum, P., Betzler, C. (Eds.), Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results.
 806 College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program) 194, pp.1-38.
- Curray, J.R., 2005. Tectonics and history of the Andaman Sea region. J. Asian Earth Sci. 25, 187–232.

Feely, R.A., Sabine, C.L., Hernandez-Ayon, J.M., Ianson, D., Hales, B., 2008. Evidence for
upwelling of corrosive "acidified" water onto the continental shelf. Science 320, 5882, 1490–
1492.

- 812 Fournier, F., Montaggioni, L., Borgomano, J., 2004. Paleoenvironments and high-frequency cyclicity from Cenozoic South-East Asian shallow-water carbonates: A case study from the
- 814 Oligo-Miocene buildups of Malampaya (Offshore Palawan, Philippines). Mar. Petrol. Geol. 21, 1–21.
- 816 Freiwald, A., Henrich, R., 1994. Reefal coralline algal build-ups within the Arctic Circle: morphology and sedimentary dynamics under extreme environmental seasonality.
- 818 Sedimentology 41, 963–984.

Gradstein, F., Ogg, J., Schmitz, M., Ogg, G., 2012. The Geologic Time Scale 2012, Elsevier.

- 820 Halfar, J., Mutti, M., 2005. Global dominance of coralline red-algal facies: A response to Miocene oceanographic events. Geology 33, 481–484.
- Hallock, P., Glenn, E.C., 1986. Larger Foraminifera: A Tool for Paleoenvironmental Analysis of
 Cenozoic Carbonate Depositional Facies. Palaios 1, 55–64.
- Hallock, P., Schlager, W., 1986. Nutrient excess and the demise of coral reefs and carbonate platforms. Palaios 1, 389-398.
- Hallock, P., Pomar, L., 2008. Cenozoic evolution of larger benthic foraminifers:Paleoceanographic evidence for changing habitats. Proc. 11th Internat. Coral Reef Symp., Ft.
- 828 Lauderdale, Florida, pp. 16–20.

Hilbertz, W., Goreau, T., 2002. Saya de Malha Expedition Report, Lighthouse Foundation.

- 830 Holbourn, A., Kuhnt, W., Schulz, M., Erlenkeuser, H., 2005. Impacts of orbital forcing and atmospheric carbon dioxide on Miocene ice-sheet expansion. Nature 438, 483–487.
- 832 Hyder, P., Jeans, D.R.G., Cauquil, E., Nerzic, R., 2005. Observations and predictability of internal solitons in the northern Andaman Sea. Appl. Ocean Res. 27, 1–11.
- James, N.P., 1997. The cool-water carbonate depositional realm, in: James, N.P., Clarke, J. (Eds.), Cool-water Carbonates. SEPM Spec. Publ. 56, pp. 1-20.
- ⁸³⁶ Jantzen, C., Schmidt, G.M., Wild, C., Roder, C., Khokiattiwong, S., Richter, C., 2013. Benthic reef primary production in response to large amplitude internal waves at the Similan Islands
- 838 (Andaman Sea, Thailand). PLoS One 8, 1–16.

Kahng, S.E., Garcia-Sais, J.R., Spalding, H.L., Brokovich, E., Wagner, D., Weil, E., Hinderstein,

- L., Toonen, R.J., 2010. Community ecology of mesophotic coral reef ecosystems. Coral Reefs 29, 255–275.
- Lesser, M.P., Slattery, M., Leichter, J.J., 2009. Ecology of mesophotic coral reefs. J. Exp. Mar.Bio. Ecol. 375, 1–8.
- Licht, A., Reisberg, L., France-Lanord, C., Naing Soe, A., Jaeger, J.J., 2016. Cenozoic evolution of the central Myanmar drainage system: Insights from sediment provenance in the Minbu SubBasin. Basin Res. 28, 237–251.
- Madden, R.H.C., Wilson, M.E.J., 2013. Diagenesis of a SE Asian Cenozoic carbonate platform margin and its adjacent basinal deposits. Sediment. Geol. 286–287, 20–38.

Maurizot, P., Cabioch, G., Fournier, F., Leonide, P., Sebih, S., Rouillard, P., Montaggioni, L., 850 Collot, J., Martin-Garin, B., Chaproniere, G., Braga, J.C., Sevin, B., 2016. Post-obduction carbonate system development in New Caledonia (Népoui, Lower Miocene). Sediment. Geol.331, 42–62.

McKinney, F.K., Hageman, S.J., 2007. Crossing the Ecological Devide: Paleozoic to Modern 854 Marine Ecosystems in the Adriatic Sea. The Sedimentary Record 5, 4-8.

Miller, K.G., Kominz, M.A., Browning, J. V., Wright, J.D., Mountain, G.S., Katz, M.E.,
856 Sugarman, P.J., Cramer, B.S., Christie-Blick, N., Pekar, S.F., 2005. The phanerozoic record of global sea-level change. Science 310, 1293–1298.

- Morley, C.K., 2017. Chapter 4 Cenozoic rifting, passive margin development and strike-slip faulting in the Andaman Sea: a discussion of established v. new tectonic models, in:
- Bandopadhyay, P.C., Carter, A. (Eds.), The Andaman–Nicobar Accretionary Ridge: Geology,Tectonics and Hazards. Geol. Soc. London, Mem. 47, pp. 27–50.
- Morsilli, M., Bosellini, F.R., Pomar, L., Hallock, P., Aurell, M., Papazzoni, C.A., 2012.
 Mesophotic coral buildups in a prodelta setting (Late Eocene, southern Pyrenees, Spain): A
 mixed carbonate-siliciclastic system. Sedimentology 59, 766–794.

Morsilli, M., Pomar, L., 2012. Internal waves vs. surface storm waves: A review on the origin of hummocky cross-stratification. Terra Nova 24, 273–282.

Muscatine, L., Falkowski, P.G., Dubinsky, Z., Cook, P.A., McCloskey, L.R., 1989. The Effect of

868 External Nutrient Resources on the Population Dynamics of Zooxanthellae in a Reef Coral. Proc.R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 236, 311–324.

Noad, J., 2001. The Gomantong Limestone of eastern Borneo: A sedimentological comparison with the near-contemporaneous Luconia Province. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 175, 273–302.

Osborne, A.R., Burch, T.L., 1980. Internal Solitons in the Andaman Sea. Science 208, 4443, 451–460.

Novak, V., Santodomingo, N., Rösler, A., Di Martino, E., Braga, J.-C., Taylor, P.D., Johnson,

K.G., Renema, W., 2013. Environmental reconstruction of a late Burdigalian (Miocene) patch
 reef in deltaic deposits (East Kalimantan, Indonesia). Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.,

878 374, 110–122.

Paumard, V., Zuckmeyer, E., Boichard, R., Jorry, S.J., Bourget, J., Borgomano, J., Maurin, T.,

Ferry, J.N., 2017. Evolution of Late Oligocene - Early Miocene attached and isolated carbonate platforms in a volcanic ridge context (Maldives type), Yadana field, offshore Myanmar. Mar.
Petrol. Geol. 81, 361–387.

- Pedley, H.M., 1998. A review of sediment distributions and processes in Oligo-Miocene ramps
 of southern Italy and Malta (Mediterranean divide). Geol. Soc., London. Spec. Publ. 149, 163– 179.
- Pomar, L., 2001. Types of carbonate platforms: A genetic approach. Basin Res. 13, 313–334.
 Pomar, L., Hallock, P., 2008. Carbonate factories: A conundrum in sedimentary geology. Earth
- 888 Sci. Rev. 87, 134–169.

Pomar, L., Morsilli, M., Hallock, P., Badenas, B., 2012. Internal waves, an under-explored
source of turbulence events in the sedimentary record. Earth Sci. Rev. 111, 56–81.

Pomar, L., Mateu-Vicens, G., Morsilli, M., Brandano, M., 2014. Carbonate ramp evolution during the Late Oligocene (Chattian), Salento Peninsula, southern Italy. Palaeogeogr. 892 Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 404, 109–132.

- Pomar, L., Martinez, W., Espino, D., Ott, V.C. De, Benkovics, L., 2015. Oligocene-Miocene 894 Carbonates of the Perla Field, Offshore Venezuela: Depositional Model and Facies Architecture,
- in: Bartolini, C., Mann, P. (Eds.), Petroleum Geology and Potential of the Colombian Caribbean 896 Margin. AAPG Mem. 108, pp. 647-673.
- Pomar, L., Baceta, J.I., Hallock, P., Mateu-Vicens, G., Basso, D., 2017. Reef building and 898 carbonate production modes in the west-central Tethys during the Cenozoic. Mar. Petrol. Geol. 900 83, 261–304.

Pyle, R.L., Boland, R., Bolick, H., Bowen, B.W., Bradley, C.J., Kane, C., Kosaki, R.K., Langston, R., Longenecker, K., Montgomery, A., Parrish, F.A., Popp, B.N., Rooney, J., Smith, 902 C.M., Wagner, D., Spalding, H.L., 2016. A comprehensive investigation of mesophotic coral 904 ecosystems in the Hawaiian Archipelago. PeerJ 4, e2475

- Racey, A. and Ridd, M.F., 2015. Petroleum geology of the Moattama Region, Myanmar, in: Racey, A and Ridd, M.F. (Eds.), Petroleum Geology of Myanmar. Geol. Soc. Memoir 45, pp. 906 63-81.
- Rahmani, A., Vaziri-Moghaddam, H., Taheri, A., Ghabeishavi, A., 2009. A model for the 908 paleoenvironmental distribution of larger foraminifera of Oligocene-Miocene carbonate rocks at
- Khaviz Anticline, Zagros Basin, SW Iran. Hist. Biol. 21, 215–227. 910

Ramaswamy, V., Rao, P.S., Rao, K.H., Thwin, S., Rao, N.S., Raiker, V., 2004. Tidal influence

- 912 on suspended sediment distribution and dispersal in the northern Andaman Sea and Gulf of Martaban. Mar. Geol. 208, 33–42.
- 914 Rao, P.S., Ramaswamy, V., Thwin, S., 2005. Sediment texture, distribution and transport on the Ayeyarwady continental shelf, Andaman Sea. Mar. Geol. 216, 239–247.
- Read, J.F., 1985. Carbonate Platforms Facies Model. Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol. Bull. 69, 1-21.
 Renema, W., Troelstra, S.R., 2001. Larger foraminifera distribution on a mesotrophic carbonate
- shelf in SW Sulawesi (Indonesia). Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 175, 125–146.

920

Ribes, M., Coma, R., Atkinson, M.J., Kinzie, R.A., 2003. Particle removal by coral reef communities: Picoplankton is a major source of nitrogen. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 257, 13–23.

Roberts, H., Phipps, C.V., 1988. Proposed oceanographic controls on modern Indonesian reefs :

- 922 A turn-off/turn-on mechanism in a monsoonal setting. Proc. 6th Int. Coral Reef Symp. South Sulawesi, 3, pp.529-534.
- 924 Rösler, A., Pretkovi, V., Novak, V., Renema, W., Braga, J.C., 2015. Coralline Algae From the Miocene Mahakam Delta (East Kalimantan, Southeast Asia). Palaios 30, 83–93.
- 926 Saller, A.H., Vijaya, S., 2002. Depositional and diagenetic history of the Kerendan carbonate platform, Oligocene, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. J. Petrol. Geol. 25, 123–150.
- 928 Sanders, D., 2003. Syndepositional dissolution of calcium carbonate in neritic carbonate environments: Geological recognition, processes, potential significance. J. African Earth Sci., 36, 930 99-134.

Sanders, D., Baron-Szabo, R.C., 2005. Scleractinian assemblages under sediment input: their
characteristics and relation to the nutrient input concept. Paleogeogr. Paleoclim. Paleoecol., 216, 139-181.

- Santodomingo, N., Novak, V., Pretkovic, V, Marshall, N., Di Martino, E., Lo Giudice Capelli,
 E., Rösler, A., Reich, S., Braga, J.-C., Renema, W., Johnson, K.G., 2015. A diverse patch reef
- 936 from turbid habitats in the Middle Miocene (East Kalimantan, Indonesia). Palaios, 30, 128–149.

Santodomingo, N., Renema, W., Johnson, K.G., 2016. Understanding the murky history of the

- 938 Coral Triangle: Miocene corals and reef habitats in East Kalimantan (Indonesia). Coral Reefs, 35, 765-781.
- Saqab, M.M., Bourget, J., 2016. Seismic geomorphology and evolution of early-mid Miocene isolated carbonate build-ups in the Timor Sea, North West Shelf of Australia. Mar. Geol. 379, 224–245.

Schlager, W., 2000. Sedimentation rates and growth potential of tropical, cool-water and mudmound carbonate factories,. Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ. 178, 217–227.

Schlager, W., 2003. Benthic carbonate factories of the Phanerozoic. Int. J. Earth Sci. 92, 445– 946 464.

Seguret, M., Moussine-Pouchkine, A., Raja Gabaglia, G., Bouchette, F., 2001. Storm deposits
and storm-generated coarse carbonate breccias on a pelagic outer shelf (South-East Basin, France). Sedimentology 48, 231–254.

950 Teillet, T., Fournier, F., Gisquet, F., Montaggioni, L. F., Borgomano, J., Villeneuve, Q., Hong,F., 2019. Diagenetic history and porosity evolution of an Early Miocene carbonate buildup

- 952 (Upper Burman Limestone), Yadana gas field, offshore Myanmar. Mar. Petrol. Geol. 109, 589–606.
- 954 Tomascik, T., Mah, A.J., Nontji, A., Moosa, M.K., 2000. The Ecology of the Indonesian Seas.Part I. The Ecology of Indonesia Series, Volume VII, Periplus Editions.
- Tomassetti, L., Petracchini, L., Brandano, M., Trippetta, F., Tomassi, A., 2018. Modeling lateral facies heterogeneity of an upper Oligocene carbonate ramp (Salento, southern Italy). Mar. Petrol.
 Geol. 96, 254–270.
- Wade, B.S., Pearson, P.N., Berggren, W.A., Pälike, H., 2011. Review and revision of Cenozoic
 tropical planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy and calibration to the geomagnetic polarity and astronomical time scale. Earth Sci. Rev. 104, 111–142.
- 962 Wilson, M.E.J., 2002. Cenozoic carbonates in Southeast Asia: Implications for equatorial carbonate development. Sediment. Geol. 147, 295–428.
- Wilson, M.E.J., 2005. Development of equatorial delta-front patch reefs during the Neogene, Borneo. J. Sediment. Res. 75, 114–133.
- 966 Wilson, M.E.J., 2008. Global and regional influences on equatorial shallow-marine carbonates during the Cenozoic. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 265, 262–274.
- 968 Wilson, M.E.J., Bosence, D.W.J., 1996. The Tertiary evolution of South Sulawesi: a record in redeposited carbonates of the Tonasa Limestone Formation, in: Hall, R., Blundle, D. (Eds.),
- 970 Tectonic Evolution of Southeast Asia. Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ. 106, pp. 365–389.

Wilson, M.E.J., Evans, M.J., 2002. Sedimentology and diagenesis of Tertiary carbonates on the
Mangkalihat Peninsula, Borneo: Implications for subsurface reservoir quality. Mar. Petrol. Geol. 19, 873–900.

974 Wilson, M.E.J., Vecsei, A., 2005. The apparent paradox of abundant foramol facies in low latitudes: Their environmental significance and effect on platform development. Earth Sci. Rev.
976 69, 133–168.

Wilson, M.E.J., Hall, R., 2010. Tectonic influences on SE Asian carbonate systems and their

978 reservoir development, in: Morgan, W.A., George, A.D., Harris, P.M., Kupecz, J.A., Sarg, J.F.
(Eds.), Cenozoic Carbonate Systems of Australasia. SEPM Spec. Publ. 95, pp. 13–40.

982 Figure and table caption

Fig. 1. (A) Location map of the Yadana field and tectonic setting of the Andaman Sea (after Curray 2005); (B) and (C) Paleogeography of the Andaman Sea and environmental setting of the
Yadana platform during the early Miocene (B) and late Oligocene (B) (modified from Licht *et al.*, 2016; Morley, 2017).

988

Fig. 2. Morphological and geophysical frame of the Yadana field (A) Depth map of the top
reservoir surface interpreted from 2D seismic data showing the Yadana High, the M5 and the
Moattama basins. The red square represents the 3D seismic survey of the Yadana Field and the
red line refers to the seismic profile (Fig. 2C). (B) 3D Seismic survey of the Yadana Field, depth
map of the top reservoir surface and well location. (C) Interpreted regional 2D seismic profile
through the Yadana High (with location of projected WELL-1, WELL-2, WELL-3, WELL-4).
(D) Depth map of horizon H10B (early Aquitanian), well location and outlines of the Yadana

- ⁹⁹⁶ platform during the early Aquitanian (base of cored interval). (E) Depth map of horizon H9B (N6 zone: Burdigalian), well location and outlines of the Yadana platform during the
 ⁹⁹⁸ Burdigalian.
- Fig. 3. Seismic architecture and stratigraphy of the Yadana carbonate platform: (A) Interpreted 3D seismic profile (location on the Fig. 2.B.) of the Yadana Field passing across the wells
 WELL-4 and WELL-2. The lithostratigraphic units and the gas water contact (yellow dotted line)
- are showed (B). Lithostratigraphic column of the Yadana platform, name and age of the sedimentary units.

- Fig. 4. Chronostratigraphic framework of the Yadana carbonate platform (synthesis of the four studied wells): (A) based on planktonic and large benthic foraminifera from cores and side wall
- samples. (B) Chronostratigraphic framework of the Yadana field, eustacy (Miller *et al.*, 2005)and global climatic events: (1) late Oligocene-early Miocene warming and Mi-1 glaciation
- (Holbourn *et al.*, 2005), (2) intensity of Proto-monsoon and South Asian Monsoon (Betzler *et al.*, 2016).

1012

1014

Fig. 5. Microphotographs of key large benthic foraminifera of the UBL formation: (A) WELL-2 1354, 95 mCD: *Miogypsinella ubaghsi* (Tan Sin Hok, 1936). (B) WELL-3 1350, 24 mCD:

Miogypsina intermedia (Drooger, 1952); (C) WELL-1 1254, 3 mCD: Miogypsinoides

- bantamensis (Tan Sin Hok, 1936). (D) WELL-2 1329, 22 mCD: Globigerinoides primordius (Blow and Banner, 1962), E) WELL-1 1243, 88 mCD: a Spiroclypeus tidoenganensis (Van
- der Vlerk, 1925); b Miogypsina subiensis (BouDagher-Fadel and Price, 2013). (F) WELL-1
 1259, 50 mCD: L. (Nephrolepidina) sumatrensis (Brady, 1875)

- **Fig. 6.** Microphotographs (A F) and core photograph (G) of coralline algal floatstones and rudstones (LF1): (A) WELL-3 1321.97 m: Coralline algal rudstone (LF1). Encrusting
- (rhodolith) and warty forms, possible *Lithothamnion* (Lith) with small conceptacles. (B) WELL1 1251.25 m: Coralline algal floatstone (LF1). Branching *Lithothamnion* (Lith) with flat (and
- filled) conceptacles. *L. ramossissimum* type. (C) WELL-3 1323.40 m: Coralline algal floatstone (LF1) with a foraminiferal-red algal grainstone matrix. Encrusting and branching *Lithothamnion*

- 1028 (Lith) with flat conceptacles, *L. ramossissimum* type, (D) WELL-2 1262.68 m: Coralline algal floatstone (LF1). Abundant protuberant crusts of *Sporolithon* (Sporo), (E) WELL-3 1334.48 m:
- 1030 Coralline algal floatstone (LF1) with a foraminiferal grainstone matrix (LF1). Branching and encrusting forms of coralline algae (**RA**) associated with *Miogypsinoides* (**Miog**). (F) WELL-3
- 1032 1340.90 m: Coralline algal with large benthic foraminiferal floatstone-wackestone (LF2.2).
 Laminar, loose *Mesophyllum* (Meso). (G) WELL-2 1345 m: Core picture of rhodolothic
 1034 rudstone. Rudstone. Black bar length = 7 mm. (G)
- **Fig. 7.** Microphotographs of foraminiferal rudstones (LF2.1) and foraminiferal floatstone (LF2.2): (A) WELL-1 1319.49 m: Large benthic foraminiferal rudstone (LF2.1) with broken
- specimens of *Spiroclypeus* and *Lepidocyclinids (Lepidocyclina spp)*. Space between bioclasts is filled by calcitic cements. (B) WELL-2 1291.8 m: Oriented core sample of lithofacies LF2.1.
- (C) WELL-1 1270.70 m: large benthic foraminiferal floatstone (LF2.2) with flat *Spiroclypeus* (Spiro), laminar coralline algae (RA) and small pieces of corals (Coral). (D) WELL-3 1344.98
- m: Large benthic foraminiferal floatstone (LF2.2) with flat-shaped *Cycloclypeus* (Cyclo),
 Spiroclypeus (Spiro) and laminar coralline algae (RA).
- 1044

Fig. 8. Microphotographs of coral floatstones (LF3.1) and echinodermal wackestones (LF3.2):
(A) WELL-1294.98 m: leached corals (Coral) filled with lime mud (faint ghost texture) set in fine bioclastic wackestone matrix with rare flat large benthic foraminifers (LBF) and echinoderms (Echi). (B) WELL-2 1361.42 m: Cemented coral fragment in a wackestone-packestone bioclastic matrix dominated by echinoderm fragments (C) WELL-1 1294.7 m:
leached coral in echinodermal wackestone. (D) WELL-4 1258.03 m: Section of ophiuroids

within the matrix of a coral floatstone LF3.1 (1). (E) WELL-3 1311.84 m: Dissolved coral
(LF3.1) filled with fine bioclastic micritic matrix (F) WELL-4 1326.22 m: Bioclastic packestone-wackestone with fragments of echinoderms (Echi) (LF3.2).

- Fig.9. Core photographs of brecciated LF3 intervals: (A) WELL-1 1256.6 m: brecciated coral
 floatstone with irregular-shaped clasts showing deep embayments. (B) WELL-4 1258.03 m: microphotograph showing a brecciated echinodermal wackestone (LF3.2). The sediment
 between clasts consists of lime mud. Clasts display irregular shapes with smooth edges which suggest that brecciation affected a relatively soft, partially lithified sediment; (C) WELL-3
 1308.05 m: core photograph of a hardground surface, at the top of a carbonate breccia composed of poorly displaced, tight elements of coral-rich and echinodermal floatstone (LF3). The hardground is sharply overlain by highly microporous, coralline algal-foraminiferal floatstone (diagenetic facies: G-DF1A). The space between clasts is filled by the overlying microporous sediment.
- Fig. 10. Description of cores in terms of textures, bio-constituents, large benthic foraminiferal taxa, and morphologies, coralline algal morphologies and environmental interpretations: WELL 2 (A) and WELL-3 (B).
- Fig. 11. Description of cores in terms of textures, bio-constituents, large benthic foraminiferal taxa, and morphologies, coralline algal morphologies and environmental interpretations: WELL 1 (A) and WELL-4 (B).

- Fig. 12. Well-correlations and stratigraphic architecture of the Yadana platform, based on large benthic foraminiferal biostratigraphy, well-to seismic tie (vertical resolution ~20m) and
 correlation of lithofacies associations. Names of depositional units refer to coralline algal-foraminiferal carbonate factories (FA) and Echinodermal / Scleractinian carbonate factories
 (ES).
- **Fig. 13.** Seismic geometries of the Yadana platform. The location of seismic profiles are indicated on Fig. 13E (red lines). (A) SE-NW-oriented, crossing through wells WELL-3 and
- ¹⁰⁸² WELL-4 and illustrating the main reflectors within the Upper Burman Limestone. (B) E-Worientated profile, (C) N-S-oriented profile, and (D) W-E-oriented profile, through WELL-4 and
- WELL-2 (WELLE-1: projected). The gas water contact is identifiable on this line by a nearly horizontal reflector cross-cutting time-lines. (E) Coherency map of the top reservoir surface.
 1086
- Fig. 14. Depositional models for the flat-topped, oligo-mesophotic Yadana platform during the
 early Miocene (cored interval), for distinct paleooceanographic settings: (A) Mesophotic scleractinian mounds developing on top of a platform with dominant echinodermal carbonate
 production in dysphotic to aphotic conditions; the development of coral buildups results from significant supplies in particulate organic matter from various potential sources (upwelling
 currents, internal waves or terrigenous runoff); (B) Mesophotic, coralline algal-foraminiferal carbonate production prevailing on the platform top, under oligotrophic to mesotrophic
 conditions; (C) Oligophotic, large benthic foraminiferal carbonate production under oligotrophic to mesotrophic to mesotrophic conditions; (D) Paleowater-depth estimates for the distinct carbonate factories.
 Two hypotheses of water turbidity are considered for the mesophotic coral mounds. The light-

intensity zonation with depth is based on the proportion of surface light for different extinction
 coefficients of light (modified from Morsilli et al. 2012). Lower limit of the euphotic, mesophotic and oligophotic zones depends on water transparency. Curves of light penetration for

different extinction coefficients of light are based on Hallock and Schlager (1986); Kahng et al., (2010).

1102

Table 1. Lithofacies classification and paleoenvironmental interpretations of the UBL formation,based on the main skeletal components and sedimentological attributes.







		c	alca	reou	s Na	nnof	ossil	s / P	lank	toni	c For	amin	hifers						В	ent	thic	: Fo	ram	iinif	ers			Ι											
	, ji	Sic	le w	all		Cor	es			Si	de-v	/all c	ores			1	Side	wa	ll co	re				C	ores						eral						_		
	Lithostratigrapl column	Discoaster quinqueramus	Sphareoidineilopsis seminulina	Globigerinoides extremus	Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilica	Sphenolithus neoabies	Reticulofenestra hagii	Calcidiscus leptoporus	Globorotalia opima	Globigerina ciperoensis	Globigerina angustiumbilicata	Globigerina praebulloides	Globoquadrina venezuelana	Globorotalia permicra	Nummulites	Spiroclypeus	Operculina	Cycloclypeus	Notorotalla	Eulepidina	Nephrolepidina	Notorotalia	Miogypsinoides formosensis	Miogypsinella ubaghsi	Miogypsinoides bartamensis	Miogypsing gunteri Miogypsing olohuling	Miogypsina intermedia		Planktonic Foraminifers (N, P Zones) Calcareous Nannofossils		Benthic Foraminife «Letter stage»	Stage			Age (My)	Age/Stage	Lithostratigraphic column	Thickness (m)	-50
12 <u>00</u>			T																										N20 N17	NN11		Tortonian	Late Miocene		17				
_			Γ		T	Τ	T	T								Τ		Γ	Ĩ		Γ							T	N6 N5 - N6	NN4		Burdiga- lian			18_	an	~	\sim	\sim
-	UBL Cored																											Ī	N4	NN2	Tf1 Te5	uitanian	Early Miocene		19_ 20_	Burdigal	L cored	30	
14 <u>00</u>						T	1	1										Ľ			Ľ		T	ľ				t	N4a		ļ	ĄĄ			21_	anian	5		
																	d	L										l	N4a			?	?		22	Aquita		120	
15 <u>00</u> 	UBL																												P22			Undifferenciated hattian-Aquitanian			23 24 25	ittian Aqui. 🗠	JBL	50	
16 <u>00</u>																						1							P22 P21	NN2 NP25	Te4 Te1	7	?		27	Unid. Cha)	2	
1700	Sein									ł						I	L					I						l	P21	NP24		ate pelian/ arty nattian			28	?			
-										- A.					Ťľ		ľ	Γ	ľ		Ť	t						Ī				- <u>5</u> -6	ocene		30	Late Rug	Sein	50	
1800														1		1													P20 P18	NP24 NP21	Td	Rupeliar	Olige		31 32 33	Rupelian	LBL	200	
A														1			L	1				Ш						1	_						в				

					Climatic events
Age (My)	Age/Stage	Lithostratigraphic column	Thickness (m)	→ & Eustatic curve → ③ → (Miller, 2005)	Late Oligo-Miocene warning (1) Mi-1 glaciation (1) Proto-Mosoon and SAM (2)
17_					
18 19 20	Burdigalian	cored	30		
21_ 22_ 23_	Aquitanian	UBL	120	{	
24 25 26 27 28	~ Unid. Chattian Aqui. ~	NBL	250	mm	
29 = 30 =	Late Rup.	Sein	50	ξ	
31 32 33 B	Rupelian	LBL	200		



















Dysphotic / aphotic

Mesophotic

Environmental zonation











OLIGO-MESOPHOTIC CORALLINE ALGAL / FORAMINIFERAL CARBONATE FACTORY



Table	1
-------	---

Lithofacies, skeletal components and interpretation

	Lithofacies	Skeletal components	Interpretation				
Coralline algal (LF1)	LF1. Coralline algal floatstone to rudstone with a coralline algal-foraminiferal wackestone to packestone matrix.	Heterometric spheroidal-ellipsoidal rhodoliths (1-10 cm in diameter) or pieces of branching coralline algae (Lithothamnion, Mesophyllum and Sporolithon. The foraminiferal assemblage is dominated by Spiroclypeus tidoenganensis and Nephrolepidina sumatrensis, with common occurrences of Miogypsinoides, Miogypsina and Heterostegina (Vlerkina)	Mesophotic zone, oligotrophic (to slightly mesotrophic) conditions, below wave-base, episodic high-energy events.				
miniferal dominated LF2)	LF2.1. Large benthic foraminiferal rudstone with common red algal fragments. Intergranular space is occluded by sparry calcite cements.	The foraminiferal assemblage is dominated by Lepidoclyclina (Nephrolepidina) sumatrensis, L. (N.) oneatensis, and Spiroclypeus tidoenganensis with rarer specimens of Amphistesgina, Heterostegina, Miagypsina, and Miagypsinoide. Coralline algae mainly include branching and warty Lithothamnion, losse Mesophyllum and branching Sporolithon.	Mesophotic zone, oligotrophic (to slightly mesotrophic) conditions, below wave-base, Deposition during episodic high-energy events.				
Large benthic fora (I	LF2.2. Large benthic floatstone with coralline algal wackestone / packestone matrix.	Large benthic foraminifers are large (up to 2cm), thin-shelled, commonly well preserved, and typically horizontally- oriented. Dominated by <i>Spiroclypeus</i> <i>tidoenganensis</i> with common occurrences of <i>Cycloclypeus</i> Laminar and loose <i>Mesophyllum</i> , together with branching <i>Lithothamnion</i> are common.	Oligophotic zone, oligotrophic (to slightly mesotrophic) conditions, below wave-base, low energy setting.				
oral dominated (LF3)	LF3.1. Coral floatstone With an echinodermal wackestone matrix. Coral-dominated intervals are frequently brecciated. Breccia clasts display low displacement, are sub- angular to sub-rounded in shape and exhibit common deep embayments. The space between clasts is filled with a lime mud sediment containing various proportions of small echinoderm fragments.	Scleractinian floatstone consists of transported fragile branches or massive fragments of <i>Faviids</i> and <i>Pocilloporids</i> . Ophiuroids, echinoids, small pieces of non-articulated coralline algae and occasional broken <i>Spiroclypeus</i> and <i>lepidocyclinids</i> .	Dysphotic to aphotic zone, below wave- base, episodic high-energy events. Bioclastic material (mainly corals) is transported and derives from an area located within mesophotic domains (coral mounds?). Breccia intervals result from the action of storms or internal waves.				
C	LF3.2. Echinodermal wackestone Commonly brecciated, like LF3.1	Bioclastic wackestone is dominated by echinoderm pieces including ophiuroid ossicles and echinoids, small size fragments of coralline algae. Frequently interbedded between coral floatstone LF3.1.	Dysphotic to aphotic zone, below wave- base, episodic high-energy events. Lateral equivalent of LF3.1				