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# THE CLASSICAL BOUSSINESQ SYSTEM REVISITED 

LUC MOLINET ${ }^{1}$, RAAFAT TALHOUK ${ }^{2}$, AND IBTISSAM ZAITER ${ }^{2}$


#### Abstract

In this work, we revisit the study by M. E. Schonbek [11] concerning the problem of existence of global entropic weak solutions for the classical Boussinesq system, as well as the study of the regularity of these solutions by C. J. Amick [1]. We propose to regularize by a "fractal" operator (i.e. a differential operator defined by a Fourier multiplier of type $\left.\left.\left.\epsilon|\xi|^{\lambda},(\epsilon, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times\right] 0,2\right]\right)$. We first show that the regularized system is globally unconditionally wellposed in Sobolev spaces of type $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}), s>\frac{1}{2}$, uniformly in the regularizing parameters $\left.\left.(\epsilon, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times\right] 0,2\right]$. As a consequence we obtain the global wellposedness of the classical Boussinesq system at this level of regularity as well as the convergence in the strong topology of the solution of the regularized system towards the solution of the classical Boussinesq equation as the parameter $\epsilon$ goes to 0 . In a second time, we prove the existence of low regularity entropic solutions of the Boussinesq equations emanating from $u_{0} \in H^{1}$ and $\zeta_{0}$ in an Orlicz class as weak limits of regular solutions.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the classical Boussinesq system, introduced by J. V. Boussinesq in 1871 to describe weak amplitude long wave propagation on the surface of ideal incompressible liquid for irrotational flow submitted to gravitational force where the surface tension has been neglected. In 2002, Bona, Chen and Saut [3] have derived a class of models called four parameters Boussinesq systems. The corresponding PDE's system is given by:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\zeta_{t}+u_{x}+(u \zeta)_{x}+a u_{x x x}-b \zeta_{x x t} & =0  \tag{1.1}\\
u_{t}+\zeta_{x}+u u_{x}+c \zeta_{x x x}-d u_{x x t} & =0
\end{align*}\right.
$$

$\zeta(x, t)+1$ corrrespond to the normalized total height of the liquid and then describe the free surface of the liquid, $x$ is the spatial position which is proportional to distance in the direction of propagation. $u(x, t)$ is the horizontal velocity field of the liquid particle which is at position $x$ at time $t . a, b, c$ and $d$ are four parameters verifying consistence relation (see [3]). The classical Boussinesq system corresponds to the choice of parameters: $a=b=c=0$ and $d=1$ and the system becomes:

$$
\begin{cases}\zeta_{t}+u_{x}+(u \zeta)_{x} & =0  \tag{1.2}\\ u_{t}+\zeta_{x}+u u_{x}-u_{x x t} & =0\end{cases}
$$

Schonbek (in [11]) have shown the existence of global in time weak solution under a natural non-cavitation condition $\left(1+\zeta_{0}>0\right)$ with initial data $\zeta_{0}$ in some Orlicz class and $u_{0} \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. She used a viscosity method by regularizing the

[^0]first equation with the Laplace operator after what a uniform entropic estimate is established. This entropic estimate allowed to passing to the limit and defining a weak solution for the classical Boussinesq system. Amick (in [1]) showed that weak solutions given by Schonbek are in fact infinitely regular, i.e. in $C_{0}^{\infty}$ if the initial data are $C_{c}^{\infty}$. Actually the results of Amick are implicitly containing also that the entropic solution is in $H^{k}$ if the initial data are in classical regular spaces of type $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{k}} \times \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}}, \forall \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{k} \geq \mathbf{2}$. Bona \& all. (in [4]) studied many cases of giving $a, b, c, d$ parameters and in particular concerning system (1.2) they give, without proof, existence and uniqueness results of solution $(\zeta, u) \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{s} \times H^{s+1}\right)$ for given initial data in $H^{s} \times H^{s+1}, s \geq 1$ with $\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left(1+\zeta_{0}(x)\right)>0$ and announcing the continuity of the flow on more restricted class of initial data. All the previous studies are in one dimension, many other studies of the four parameters Boussinesq system in the last ten years concerning the two dimensional case, see for instance [10] and references therein.

In our work we reconsider the method of regularization by using generalized derivative operator, also called "fractal" operator, that is a differential operator defined by a Fourier multiplier of type $\left.\left.|\xi|^{\lambda}, \lambda \in\right] 0,2\right]$. More precisely we consider the following regularized system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\zeta_{t}+u_{x}+(u \zeta)_{x}+\epsilon g_{\lambda}(\zeta) & = & 0  \tag{1.3}\\
u_{t}+\zeta_{x}+u u_{x}-u_{x x t} & = & 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $g$ is the non-local operator defined through the Fourier transform by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathcal{F}(g[\varphi(t, \cdot)])(\xi)=|\xi|^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(\varphi(t, \cdot))(\xi), \quad \text { with } \lambda \in\right] 0,2\right] . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that this system is locally in time unconditionally well posed in $H^{s} \times$ $H^{s+1}$ for $s>\frac{1}{2}$ uniformly with respect to the parameter $\epsilon \geq 0$ and $\lambda>0$. In particular we get the convergence in $C\left([0, T] ; H^{s} \times H^{s+1}\right)$ of the solutions to (1.3) towards the solutions to the Boussinesq equation (1.2) as the parameter $\epsilon$ tends to 0 . Then we prove that the analysis of Schonbek to establish the entropic estimate still work for (1.3) so that we can extend our solutions for all positive times. Finally we prove that the low regularity entropic solutions of the Boussinesq equation with $u_{0} \in H^{1}$ and $\xi$ in an Orlicz class can also be obtained as limits of regular solutions by regularizing the initial datas and using our main convergence results. We prove also the continuity of the flow map. All the previous results are obtained only under the non zero-depth condition $1+\zeta_{0}>0$.

### 1.1. Statement of the main results.

Definition 1.1. Let $s>1 / 2$ and $T>0$. We will say that $(\zeta, u) \in L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[; H^{s} \times\right.$ $\left.H^{s+1}\right)$ is a solution to (1.3) associated with the initial datum $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times$ $H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ if $(\zeta, u)$ satisfies (1.3) in the distributional sense, i.e. for any test function $\psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(]-T, T[\times \mathbb{R})$, it holds

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[\left(\psi_{t}+\psi_{x}+\epsilon g_{\lambda}(\psi)\right) \zeta+\psi_{x}(\zeta u)\right] d x d t+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(0, \cdot) \zeta_{0} d x=0  \tag{1.5}\\
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[\left(\psi_{t}-\psi_{t x x}+\psi_{x}\right) u+\psi_{x} u^{2} / 2\right] d x d t+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(0, \cdot) u_{0} d x=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark 1.1. Note that $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ is an algebra for $s>1 / 2$ and thus $\zeta u$ and $u^{2}$ are well-defined and belong to $L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[; H^{s}(\mathbb{R})\right.$. Moreover, $g_{\lambda}(\zeta) \in L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[; H^{s-\lambda}\right)$. Therefore (1.5) forces $\left(\zeta_{t}, u_{t}\right) \in L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[; H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}\right)$ and thus (1.3) is satisfied in $L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[; H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}\right)$. In particular, $(\zeta, u) \in C\left([0, T] ; H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R}) \times\right.$ $H^{s+1}$ ) and (1.5) forces $(\zeta(0), u(0))=\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)$.
Definition 1.2. Let $s>1 / 2$. We will say that the Cauchy problem associated with (1.3) is unconditionally globally well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ if for any initial
data $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ there exists a solution $(\zeta, u) \in C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times\right.$ $\left.H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ to (1.3) emanating from $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)$. Moreover, for $T>0,(\zeta, u)$ is the unique solution to (1.3) associated with $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)$ that belongs to $L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[; H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times\right.$ $\left.H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Finally, for any $T>0$, the solution-map $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \mapsto(\zeta, u)$ is continuous from $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ into $C\left([0, T] ; H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$.

Theorem 1.1. For any $\epsilon \geq 0, \lambda \in] 0,2]$ and any $s>1 / 2$, the Cauchy problem (1.3) is unconditionally globally well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$.

Moreover, denoting by $\left(\zeta^{\epsilon, \lambda}, u^{\epsilon, \lambda}\right)$ the solution to (1.3) emanating from $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in$ $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$, for any $T>0$ it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\zeta^{\epsilon, \lambda}, u^{\epsilon, \lambda}\right) \underset{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}{\longrightarrow}(\zeta, u) \text { in } C\left([0, T], H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\zeta, u)$ denotes the solution to (1.2) emanating from $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)$.

## 2. Notations and preliminary

2.1. Notations and function spaces. In the following, $C$ denotes any nonnegative constant whose exact expression is of no importance. The notation $a \lesssim b$ means that $a \leq C_{0} b$.
We denote by $C\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right)$ a nonnegative constant depending on the parameters $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots$ and whose dependence on the $\lambda_{j}$ is always assumed to be nondecreasing. Let $p$ be any constant with $1 \leq p<\infty$ and denote $L^{p}=L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ the space of all Lebesgue-measurable functions $f$ with the standard norm

$$
|f|_{L^{p}}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}<\infty
$$

When $p=2$, we denote the norm $|\cdot|_{L^{2}}$ simply by $|\cdot|_{2}$. The real inner product of any functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ in the Hilbert space $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is denoted by

$$
\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{1}(x) f_{2}(x) d x
$$

The space $L^{\infty}=L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ consists of all essentially bounded, Lebesgue-measurable functions $f$ with the norm

$$
|f|_{\infty}=\operatorname{ess} \sup |f(x)|<\infty
$$

We denote by $W^{1, \infty}=W^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R})=\left\{f, \partial_{x} f \in L^{\infty}\right\}$ endowed with its canonical norm. For convenience, we denote the norm of $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{*} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$ by $\|\cdot\|_{L_{t, x}^{\infty}}$.

For any real constant $s \geq 0, H^{s}=H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the Sobolev space of all tempered distributions $f$ with the norm $|f|_{H^{s}}=\left|\Lambda^{s} f\right|_{2}<\infty$, where $\Lambda$ is the pseudo-differential operator $\Lambda=\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$.
For any functions $u=u(t, x)$ and $v(t, x)$ defined on $[0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$ with $T>0$, we denote the inner product, the $L^{p}$-norm and especially the $L^{2}$-norm, as well as the Sobolev norm, with respect to the spatial variable $x$, by $(u, v)=(u(t, \cdot), v(t, \cdot))$, $|u|_{L^{p}}=|u(t, \cdot)|_{L^{p}},|u|_{L^{2}}=|u(t, \cdot)|_{L^{2}}$, and $|u|_{H^{s}}=|u(t, \cdot)|_{H^{s}}$, respectively.
For $\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{X}\right)$ a Banach space, we denote as usually $L^{p}(] 0, T[; X), 1 \leq p \leq+\infty$, the space of mesurable functions equipped by the norm:

$$
\|u\|_{L_{T}^{p} X}=\left(\int_{0}^{T}\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{X}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \text { for } 1 \leq p<+\infty
$$

and

$$
\|u\|_{L_{T}^{\infty} X}=\operatorname{ess} \sup _{t \in] 0, T[ }\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{X} \quad \text { for } p=+\infty
$$

Finally, $C^{k}([0, T] ; X)$ is the space of $k$-times continuously differentiable functions from $[0, T]$ with value in $X$, equipped with its standard norm

$$
\|u\|_{C^{k}([0, T] ; X)}=\max _{0 \leq l \leq k} \sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left|u^{(l)}(t, \cdot)\right|_{X}
$$

Let $C^{k}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the space of $k$-times continuously differentiable functions. For any closed operator $T$ defined on a Banach space $X$ of functions, the commutator $[T, f]$ is defined by $[T, f] g=T(f g)-f T(g)$ with $f, g$ and $f g$ belonging to the domain of $T$. Throughout the paper, we fix a smooth cutoff function $\eta$ such that

$$
\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \quad \eta_{[-1,1]}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{supp}(\eta) \subset[-2,2]
$$

We set $\phi(\xi):=\eta(\xi)-\eta(2 \xi)$. For $l \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{2^{l}}(\xi):=\phi\left(2^{-l} \xi\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any summations over $N$ or $K$ are presumed to be dyadic i.e. $N$ and $K$ range over numbers of the form $\left\{2^{k}: k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. Then, we have that

$$
\sum_{N>0} \phi_{N}(\xi)=1 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{*}
$$

Let us define the Littlewood-Paley multipliers by

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{N} u=\mathcal{F}_{x}^{-1}\left(\phi_{N} \mathcal{F}_{x} u\right), \quad \tilde{P}_{N} u=\left(P_{2^{-1} N}+P_{N}+P_{2 N}\right) u \\
P_{\gtrsim N}:=\sum_{K \gtrsim N} P_{K} \text { and } P_{\ll N}:=\sum_{K \ll N} P_{K}
\end{gathered}
$$

2.2. Some preliminary estimates. The following product and commutator estimates will be used intensively throughout the paper.
Proposition 2.1. Let $N>0$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left[P_{N}, P_{\ll N} f\right] g_{x}\right|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left|f_{x}\right|_{L^{\infty}}\left|\tilde{P}_{N} g\right|_{L^{2}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We give a short proof of (2.2) in the appendix for sake of completness.
We will also need the two following product estimates in Sobolev spaces :
(1) For every $p, r, t$ such that $r+p-t>1 / 2$ and $r, p \geq t$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f g\|_{H^{t}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim\|f\|_{H^{p}(\mathbb{R})}\|g\|_{H^{r}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) For any $s \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f g\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{\infty}}\|g\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})}+\|f\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R})}\|g\|_{L^{\infty}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (2.3) is a standart Sobolev product estimate, the second one (2.4) is the well known Moser product estimate (see for instance [13] or [8], and references therein.) With (2.3)-(2.4) in hand, it is straightforward (see Appendix) to prove the two following frequency localized product estimates given in proposition (2.2) that we will extensively use in the next section.

Proposition 2.2. For any $N>0$ and $s>0$ it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{s}\left|P_{N}\left(P_{\gtrsim N} f g_{x}\right)\right|_{L^{2}} \lesssim \delta_{N} \min \left(|f|_{H^{s+1}}|g|_{L^{\infty}},|f|_{H^{s}}\left|g_{x}\right|_{L^{\infty}}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas for $s>1 / 2$ it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{s-1}\left|P_{N}\left(P_{\gtrsim N} f g_{x}\right)\right|_{L^{2}} \lesssim \delta_{N}|f|_{H^{s+1}}|g|_{H^{s-1}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left|\left(\delta_{2^{j}}\right)_{j \geq 0}\right|_{l^{2}} \leq 1$.
We also need the following property of the regularizing operator defined in (1.4) (see Appendix).

Proposition 2.3. Let $f \in H^{\lambda / 2+s}$, for $s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{\lambda}\left[\Lambda^{s} f\right], \Lambda^{s} f\right)_{L^{2}} \geq\left|f_{x}\right|_{H^{\lambda / 2-1+s}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Local existence for the regularized system and energy estimates

3.1. Local well-posedness and estimates for a Bona-Smith's approximation. We fix $\epsilon>0$ in (1.3). For $\mu>0$ we consider the Bona-Smith's type regularization problem associated to (1.3)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\zeta_{t}-\mu \zeta_{t x x}+u_{x}+(u \zeta)_{x}+\epsilon g_{\lambda}(\zeta) & = & 0  \tag{3.1}\\
u_{t}-u_{x x t}+\zeta_{x}+u u_{x} & = & 0 \\
(\zeta, u)(0) & =\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Setting $V=(\zeta, u),(3.1)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} V=\Omega_{\mu}(V) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Omega_{\mu}(V)=\left(\left(1-\mu \partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left[-u_{x}-(u \zeta)_{x}-\epsilon g_{\lambda}(\zeta)\right],\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left[-\zeta_{x}-u u_{x}\right]\right)
$$

Since $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ is an algebra for $s>1 / 2$ and $\lambda \leq 2$, it is straightforward to check that $\Omega_{\mu}$ is a locally Lipschitz mapping from $\left(H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})\right)^{2}$ into itself for $s>1 / 2$. Therefore by the Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem for ODE in Banach spaces we infer that (4.14) is locally well-posed in $\left(H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})\right)^{2}$, i.e. for any $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in\left(H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})\right)^{2}$ there exists $T_{s}=T_{s}\left(\left|\zeta_{0}\right|_{H^{s+1}}+\left|u_{0}\right|_{H^{s+1}}\right)$ and a unique solution $(\zeta, u) \in C^{1}\left(\left[0, T_{s}\right] ;\left(H^{s+1}\right)^{2}\right)$. Moreover, for any $R>0$, the mapping that to $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)$ associates $(\zeta, u)$ is continuous from $\left(B(0, R)_{H^{s+1}}\right)^{2} \subset\left(H^{s+1}\right)^{2}$ into $C\left(\left[0, T_{s}(R)\right] ;\left(H^{s+1}\right)^{2}\right)$.

We start by stating some energy estimate fundamental to prove our result. For $s \geq 0$ and $\mu \geq 0$ we define $E_{\mu}^{s}:\left(H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})\right)^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mu}^{s}(\zeta, u)=|\zeta|_{H^{s}}^{2}+\mu\left|\zeta_{x}\right|_{H^{s}}^{2}+|u|_{H^{s+1}}^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sequel we denotes by $\left(\delta_{N}\right)_{N \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}}$ any sequence of positive real numbers such that

$$
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{2^{j}}^{2} \leq 1
$$

3.1.1. $H^{s}$ estimate. Applying the operator $P_{N}$ to the equations in (3.1), multiplying respectively by $\langle N\rangle^{2 s} P_{N} \zeta$ and $\langle N\rangle^{2 s} P_{N} u$ the first and the second equation, integrating with respect to $x$ and adding the resulting equations, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\langle N\rangle^{2 s}}{2} \frac{d}{d t} E_{\mu}^{0}\left(P_{N} \zeta, P_{N} u\right) & +\epsilon\langle N\rangle^{2 s}\left(g_{\lambda}\left[P_{N} \zeta\right], P_{N} \zeta\right)_{L^{2}} \\
& =-\langle N\rangle^{2 s}\left(P_{N}(\zeta u)_{x}, P_{N} \zeta\right)_{L^{2}}-2\langle N\rangle^{2 s}\left(P_{N}\left(u u_{x}\right), P_{N} u\right)_{L^{2}} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that Proposition 2.3 yields

$$
\langle N\rangle^{2 s}\left(g_{\lambda}\left[P_{N} \zeta\right], P_{N} \zeta\right)_{L^{2}} \geq\left|P_{N} \zeta_{x}\right|_{H^{\lambda / 2-1}}^{2} \geq 0
$$

Integrating by parts and using (2.2) and (2.5) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle N\rangle^{2 s}\left|\left(P_{N}\left(u u_{x}\right), P_{N} u\right)_{L^{2}}\right|= & \langle N\rangle^{2 s}\left|\left(P_{N}\left(\left(P_{\ll N}+P_{\gtrsim N}\right) u u_{x}\right), P_{N} u\right)_{L^{2}}\right| \\
= & \langle N\rangle^{2 s} \left\lvert\,-\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{\ll N} u_{x} P_{N} u, P_{N} u\right)_{L^{2}}+\right. \\
& \left(\left[P_{N}, P_{\ll N} u\right] u_{x}, P_{N} u\right)_{L^{2}}+\left(P_{N}\left(P_{\gtrsim N} u u_{x}\right), P_{N} u\right) \mid \\
\lesssim & \langle N\rangle^{2 s}\left|u_{x}\right|_{L^{\infty}}\left|\tilde{P}_{N} u\right|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\delta_{N} N^{s}\left|P_{N} u\right|_{L^{2}}\left|u_{x}\right|_{L^{\infty}}|u|_{H^{s}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way, integrating by parts and using (2.2) and (2.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle N\rangle^{2 s}\left|\left(P_{N}\left(u \zeta_{x}\right), P_{N} \zeta\right)_{L^{2}}\right|=\langle N\rangle^{2 s} \left\lvert\,-\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{\ll N} u_{x} P_{N} \zeta, P_{N} \zeta\right)_{L^{2}}+\left(\left[P_{N}, P_{\ll N} u\right] \zeta_{x}, P_{N} \zeta\right)_{L^{2}}\right. \\
&+\left(P_{N}\left(P_{\gtrsim N} u \zeta_{x}\right), P_{N} \zeta\right)_{L^{2}} \mid \\
& \lesssim\langle N\rangle^{2 s}\left|u_{x}\right|_{L^{\infty}}\left|\tilde{P}_{N} \zeta\right|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\langle N\rangle^{s} \delta_{N}|\zeta|_{L^{\infty}}|u|_{H^{s+1}}\left|P_{N} \zeta\right|_{L^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

While (2.4) leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle N\rangle^{2 s}\left|\left(P_{N}\left(u_{x} \zeta\right), P_{N} \zeta\right)_{L^{2}}\right| & \lesssim\langle N\rangle^{s} \delta_{N}\left|u_{x} \zeta\right|_{H^{s}}\left|P_{N} \zeta\right|_{L^{2}} \\
& \lesssim\langle N\rangle^{s}\left(\delta_{N}|u|_{H^{s+1}}|\zeta|_{L^{\infty}}+\left|u_{x}\right|_{L^{\infty}}|\zeta|_{H^{s}}\right)\left|P_{N} \zeta\right|_{L^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging the three last inequalities in (3.4), integrating on $] 0, T$ [ and applying Hölder inequality in time one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|P_{N} \zeta\right|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{2} & +\mu\left|P_{N} \zeta\right|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}^{2}+\left|P_{N} u\right|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}^{2}+\epsilon\left|P_{N} \zeta\right|_{L_{T}^{2} H^{s+\lambda / 2-1}}^{2} \lesssim\langle N\rangle^{2 s} E_{\mu}^{0}\left(P_{N} \zeta_{0}, P_{N} u_{0}\right) \\
& +T^{1 / 2} \delta_{N}\left(\left|u_{x}\right|_{L_{T x}^{\infty}}+|\zeta|_{L_{T x}}\right)\left(|u|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}+|\zeta|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s}}\right)\left(\left|P_{N} \zeta\right|_{L_{T}^{2} H^{s}}+\left|P_{N} u\right|_{L_{T}^{2} H^{s+1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing in $N>0$ and applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in $N$ on the last term to the above right-hand side member we eventually get

$$
\begin{align*}
|\zeta|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{2}+ & \mu|\zeta|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}^{2}+|u|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}^{2}+\epsilon|\zeta|_{L_{T}^{2} H^{s+\lambda / 2-1}}^{2} \lesssim E_{\mu}^{s}\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \\
& +T^{1 / 2}\left(\left|u_{x}\right|_{L_{T x}^{\infty}}+|\zeta|_{L_{T x}^{\infty}}\right)\left(|u|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}+|\zeta|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s}}\right)\left(|\zeta|_{L_{T}^{2} H^{s}}+|u|_{L_{T}^{2} H^{s+1}}\right) \\
& \lesssim E_{\mu}^{s}\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0},\right)+T\left(\left|u_{x}\right|_{L_{T x}^{\infty}}+|\zeta|_{L_{T x}^{\infty}}\right)\left(|u|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}^{2}+|\zeta|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{2}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

According to classical Sobolev inequalities, denoting by $T_{s}^{\infty}$ the maximal time of existence in $\left(H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})\right)^{2}$, The local well-posedness of $(3.1)$ in $\left(H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})\right)^{2}$ together with (3.5) ensure that for any $s>1 / 2, T_{s}^{\infty}=T_{\frac{1}{2}+}^{\infty}$. On the other hand, (3.5) with $s=\frac{1}{2}+$ together with a classical continuity argument ensure that $T_{\frac{1}{2}+}^{\infty} \gtrsim$ $\left[E_{\mu}^{\frac{1}{2}+}\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)\right]^{-1 / 2}$ and that for any $s>1 / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in\left[0, T_{\frac{1}{2}+, \mu}\right]} E_{\mu}^{s}(\zeta, u)(t)+\epsilon\left|\zeta_{x}\right|_{L_{T_{\frac{1}{2}+, \mu}}^{2}}^{2} H^{s+\frac{\lambda}{2}-1} \leq 2 E_{\mu}^{s}\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $T_{\frac{1}{2}+, \mu}=T_{\frac{1}{2}+}\left(E_{\mu}^{\frac{1}{2}+}\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)\right) \sim\left[4 E_{\mu}^{\frac{1}{2}+}\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)\right]^{-1 / 2}$.
3.1.2. $H^{s-1}$ estimate for the difference of two solutions. Let $\left(\zeta_{i}, u_{i}\right)$ be two solutions to (3.1) with respectively $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$, then setting $\eta=\zeta_{1}-\zeta_{2}$ and $v=u_{1}-u_{2}$ it holds

$$
\begin{cases}\eta_{t}-\mu_{1} \eta_{t x x}+v_{x}+\left(u_{1} \eta\right)_{x}+\epsilon g_{\lambda}(\eta) & =\left(v \zeta_{2}\right)_{x}+\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right) \zeta_{2 t x x}  \tag{3.7}\\ v_{t}+\eta_{x}+u_{1} v_{x}-v_{x x t} & =v u_{2 x}\end{cases}
$$

Applying the operator $P_{N}$ to the equations in (4.1), multiplying respectively by $\langle N\rangle^{2(s-1)} P_{N} \zeta$ and $\langle N\rangle^{2(s-1)} P_{N} v$ the first and the second equation, integrating with respect to $x$, adding the resulting equations and proceeding as above but with (2.3) and (2.6) instead of (2.4) and (2.5) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle N\rangle^{2(s-1)} \frac{d}{d t} E_{\mu_{1}}^{0}\left(P_{N} \eta, P_{N} v\right) & +2 \epsilon\langle N\rangle^{2(s-1)}\left|P_{N} \eta_{x}\right|_{H^{\lambda / 2-1}}^{2} \lesssim \delta_{N}\langle N\rangle^{s-1}\left|u_{1}\right|_{H^{s+1}} \\
& \times\left(|\eta|_{H^{s-1}}+|v|_{H^{s-1}}\right)\left(\left|P_{N} \eta\right|_{L^{2}}+\left|P_{N} v\right|_{L^{2}}\right) \\
& +\langle N\rangle^{2 s-2}\left|P_{N} v\right|_{L^{2}}\left|P_{N}\left(v u_{2 x}\right)\right|_{L^{2}} \\
& +\langle N\rangle^{2 s-2}\left|P_{N} \eta\right|_{L^{2}}\left(\left|P_{N}\left(v \zeta_{2}\right)_{x}\right|_{L^{2}}+\left|\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right|\left|P_{N} \zeta_{2 x x t}\right|_{L^{2}}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Noticing that, since $s>1 / 2$ it holds

$$
\left.\langle N\rangle^{s-1}\left|P_{N}\left(v \zeta_{2}\right)_{x}\right|_{L^{2}} \lesssim \mid P_{N}\left(v \zeta_{2}\right)\right)\left.\right|_{H^{s}} \lesssim \delta_{N}\left|v \zeta_{2}\right|_{H^{s}} \lesssim \delta_{N}|v|_{H^{s}}\left|\zeta_{2}\right|_{H^{s}}
$$

and that (2.3) leads to

$$
\langle N\rangle^{s-1}\left|P_{N}\left(v u_{2 x}\right)\right|_{L^{2}} \leq \delta_{N}\left|v u_{2 x}\right|_{H^{s-1}} \lesssim \delta_{N}|v|_{H^{s-1}}\left|u_{2}\right|_{H^{s+1}} .
$$

Therefore integrating (3.8) on ]0, $T$ [, we eventually get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|P_{N} \eta\right|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s-1}}^{2}+\mu \mid & \left.P_{N} \eta\right|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s}} ^{2}+\left|P_{N} v\right|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{2}+\epsilon\left|P_{N} \zeta\right|_{L_{T}^{2} H^{s+\lambda / 2-2}}^{2} \\
\lesssim & \lesssim\langle N\rangle^{2 s-1} E_{\mu}^{0}\left(P_{N} v(0), P_{N} \eta(0)\right)+\left|\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right|^{2}\left|\zeta_{2} t\right|_{L_{T}^{2} H^{s+1}}^{2} \\
& +T^{1 / 2} \delta_{N}\left(1+\left|u_{1}\right|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}+\left|u_{2}\right|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}+\left|\zeta_{2}\right|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s}}\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(|v|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s}}+|\eta|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s-1}}\right)\left(\left|P_{N} \eta\right|_{L_{T}^{2} H^{s-1}}+\left|P_{N} u\right|_{L_{T}^{2} H^{s}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing in $N>0$ and applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in $N$ on the last term to the above right-hand side member we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
|\eta|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s-1}}^{2}+ & \mu|\eta|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{2}+|v|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{2}+\epsilon|\eta|_{L_{T}^{2} H^{s+\lambda / 2-2}}^{2} \\
& \lesssim E_{\mu}^{s-1}(v(0), \eta(0))+T\left|\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right|^{2}\left|\zeta_{2}\right|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}^{2} \\
& +T\left(1+\left|u_{1}\right|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}+\left|u_{2}\right|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}+\left|\zeta_{2}\right|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s}}\right)\left(|v|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{2}+|\eta|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s-1}}^{2}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

3.2. Local well-posedness of (1.3) uniformly in $\epsilon \in[0,1]$ and $\lambda \in] 0,2]$. We will prove the local well-posedness of the regularized problem (1.3) using a standard compactness method.

Proposition 3.1 (Uniform in $\epsilon$ and $\lambda$ LWP). Let $s>1 / 2$ and $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times$ $H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$, then there exists $T_{0}=T_{0}\left(\left|\zeta_{0}\right|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+}}+\left|u_{0}\right|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}+}}\right)$ such that for any $\epsilon \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in] 0,2]$ there exists a solution $\left(\zeta^{\epsilon, \lambda}, u^{\epsilon, \lambda}\right)$ of the Cauchy problem (1.3) in $C\left(\left[0, T_{0}\right] ; H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}\right)$. This is the unique solution to the IVP (1.3) that belongs to $L^{\infty}(] 0, T_{0}\left[; H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}\right)$.

Moreover,

$$
\sup _{\epsilon, \lambda}\left|\left(\zeta^{\epsilon, \lambda}, u^{\epsilon, \lambda}\right)\right|_{L_{T_{0}}^{\infty} H^{s} \times H^{s+1}} \lesssim\left|\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)\right|_{H^{s} \times H^{s+1}}
$$

and for any $\alpha>0$, the solution map $S_{\epsilon, \lambda}:\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\zeta^{\epsilon, \lambda}, u^{\epsilon, \lambda}\right)$ is continuous from $B(0, \alpha)_{H^{s} \times H^{s+1}}$ into $C\left([0, T(\alpha)] ; H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ uniformly in $\epsilon$ and $\lambda$.

Finally, let $T^{*}$ be the maximal time of existence in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ of the solution $\left(\zeta^{\epsilon, \lambda}, u^{\epsilon, \lambda}\right)$ emanating from $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$. Then for any $0<T^{\prime}<T^{*}$ it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\zeta|_{L_{T^{\prime}}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{2}+|u|_{L_{T^{\prime}}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}^{2} \lesssim \exp \left(C T^{\prime}\left(\left|u_{x}\right|_{L_{T^{\prime} x}^{\infty}}+|\zeta|_{L_{T^{\prime} x}^{\infty}}\right)\right) E_{0}^{s}\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some universal constant $C>0$.
Proof. - Unconditional uniqueness. Let $\left(\zeta_{i}, u_{i}\right), i=1,2$ be two solution of the IVP (1.3) that belong to $L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[; H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}\right)$ for some $T>0$. Setting $\eta=\zeta_{1}-\zeta_{2}$ and $v=u_{1}-u_{2}$, exactly the same calculations as in 3.14 on the difference of two solutions to (3.1) but with $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=0$ (note that all the calculus are justified since for any $N, P_{N} u_{i}$ and $P_{N} \zeta_{i}$ belong to $\left.C^{1}\left([0, T] ; H^{\infty}\right)\right)$ lead for $0<T^{\prime}<T$ to

$$
\begin{align*}
|v|_{L_{T^{\prime}}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{2}+ & |\eta|_{L_{T^{\prime}}^{\infty} H^{s-1}}^{2} \lesssim E_{0}^{s-1}(v(0), \eta(0)) \\
& +T^{\prime}\left(1+\left|u_{1}\right|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}+\left|u_{2}\right|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}+\left|\zeta_{2}\right|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s} s}\right)\left(|v|_{L_{T^{\prime}}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{2}+|\eta|_{L_{T^{\prime}}^{\infty} H^{s-1}}^{2}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

that proves the uniqueness in this class by taking

$$
0<T^{\prime}<\left(1+\left|u_{1}\right|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}+\left|u_{2}\right|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}+\left|\zeta_{2}\right|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s}}\right)^{-1}
$$

and repeating the argument a finite number of times.

- Existence. Let $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$. We regularized the initial data by setting $\zeta_{0, n}=S_{n} \zeta$ and $u_{0, n}=S_{n} u_{0}$ where $S_{n}$ is the Fourier multiplier by $\chi_{[-n, n]}$. It is straightforward to check that for $n \geq 1,\left(\zeta_{0, n}, u_{0, n}\right) \in\left(H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)^{2}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{0, n}\right|_{H^{s+r}} \leq n^{r}\left|u_{0}\right|_{H^{s}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\zeta_{0, n}\right|_{H^{s+r}} \leq n^{r}\left|\zeta_{0}\right|_{H^{s}} \quad \text { for any } r \geq 0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\mu=\mu_{n}=n^{-5}$, we thus obtain that for any $s>0$ and any $r \geq 0$

$$
E_{\mu_{n}}^{s+r}\left(\zeta_{0, n}, u_{0, n}\right)=\left|\zeta_{0, n}\right|_{H^{s+r}}^{2}+n^{-5}\left|\partial_{x} \zeta_{0, n}\right|_{H^{s+r}}^{2}+\left|u_{0, n}\right|_{H^{s+r+1}}^{2} \lesssim n^{2 r} E_{0}^{s}\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)
$$

In particular setting, for $s>1 / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{s} \sim\left(1+\left|u_{0}\right|_{H^{s+1}}+\left|\zeta_{0}\right|_{H^{s}}\right)^{-1} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we deduce from subsection 3.1, that we can construct a sequence $\left(\zeta_{n}, u_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1} \subset$ $C^{1}\left(\left[0, T_{\frac{1}{2}+}\right] ;\left(H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)^{2}\right)$ such that for any $n \geq 1,\left(\zeta_{n}, u_{n}\right)$ satisfies (3.1) with $\mu=$ $\mu_{n}=n^{-5}$. Moreover, from (3.6) and (3.12) we infer that for $s>1 / 2$ and $r \geq 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{t \in\left[0, T_{\frac{1}{2}+}\right]} E_{\mu_{n}}^{s+r}\left(\zeta_{n}, u_{n}\right)(t) & \leq 2 E_{\mu_{n}}^{s+r}\left(\zeta_{0, n}, u_{0, n}\right) \\
& \lesssim n^{2 r} E_{0}^{s}\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand from the first equation in (3.1) we obtain that on $\left[0, T_{\frac{1}{2}+}\right]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\partial_{t} \zeta_{n}\right|_{H^{s+1}} & \leq\left|\left(1-\mu_{n} \partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(u_{n, x}+\left(u_{n} \zeta_{n}\right)_{x}+\epsilon g_{\lambda}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)\right)\right|_{H^{s+1}} \\
& \leq\left|u_{n, x}+\left(u_{n} \zeta_{n}\right)_{x}+\epsilon g_{\lambda}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)\right|_{H^{s+1}} \\
& \lesssim\left|u_{n}\right|_{H^{s+2}}\left(1+\left|\zeta_{n}\right|_{L^{\infty}}\right)+\left|u_{n}\right|_{H^{s+1}}\left|\zeta_{n, x}\right|_{L^{\infty}}+\left|\zeta_{n}\right|_{H^{s+1+\lambda}} \\
& \lesssim \sqrt{1+E_{0}^{s}\left(u_{n}, \zeta_{n}\right)} \sqrt{E_{0}^{s+3}\left(u_{n}, \zeta_{n}\right)} \lesssim n^{3}\left(1+E_{0}^{s}\left(u_{0}, \zeta_{0}\right)\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

For $n_{1} \geq n_{2}$ applying (3.9) with $\left(\zeta_{i}, u_{i}\right)=\left(\zeta_{n_{i}}, u_{n_{i}}\right), i=1,2$, using (3.14)-(3.15) and that $\left|\frac{1}{n_{1}^{5}}-\frac{1}{n_{2}^{5}}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n_{2}^{5}}$ we thus obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\zeta_{n_{1}}-\zeta_{n_{2}}\right|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s-1}}^{2}+\left|u_{n_{1}}-u_{n_{2}}\right|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{2} \lesssim E_{0}^{s-1}\left(\zeta_{0, n_{1}}-\zeta_{0, n_{2}}, u_{0, n_{1}}-u_{0, n_{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{n_{2}^{4}} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

that forces $\left(\left(\zeta_{n}, u_{n}\right)\right)_{n \geq 1}$ to be a Cauchy sequence in $C\left(\left[0, T_{s}\right] ; H^{s-1} \times H^{s}\right)$. Since according to (3.6), $\left(\left(\zeta_{n}, u_{n}\right)\right)_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded in $C\left(\left[0, T_{s}\right] ; H^{s} \times H^{s+1}\right)$ with $\left(\zeta_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ bounded in $L^{2}(] 0, T_{s}\left[; H^{s+\frac{\lambda}{2}-1}\right)$ it follows that there exists $(\zeta, u) \in L^{\infty}\left(\left[0, T_{s}\right] ; H^{s} \times\right.$ $\left.H^{s+1}\right)$ with $\zeta \in L^{2}(] 0, T_{s}\left[; H^{s+\frac{\lambda}{2}-1}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\left(\zeta_{n}, u_{n}\right) & \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} & (\zeta, u) \quad \text { in } C\left(\left[0, T_{s}\right] ; H^{s^{\prime}} \times H^{s^{\prime}+1}\right), \quad \forall 0<s^{\prime}<s \\
\zeta_{n} & \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} & \zeta \quad \text { in } L^{2}(] 0, T_{s}\left[; H^{s+\frac{\lambda}{2}-1}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{array}
$$

In particular, $(\zeta, u)$ is a solution of the IVP (1.3).

- Continuity in the strong norm To prove the continuity of $(\zeta, u)$ in $H^{s} \times H^{s+1}$ we use Bona-Smith arguments to check that the sequence $\left(\left(\zeta_{n}, u_{n}\right)\right)_{n \geq 1}$ is actually a Cauchy sequence in $C\left(\left[0, T_{s}\right] ; H^{s} \times H^{s+1}\right)$. Let $n_{1} \geq n_{2}$ and set $(\eta, v)=\zeta_{n_{1}}-$ $\left.\zeta_{n_{2}}, u_{n_{1}}-u_{n_{2}}\right), \mu_{i}=\mu_{n_{i}}=n_{i}^{-5}$. By the definition of ( $\zeta_{n}, u_{n}$ ) for any $0<r<s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mu_{n_{2}}}^{s-r}(\eta(0), v(0)) \leq n_{2}^{-2 r} E_{0}^{s}(\eta(0), v(0)) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, (3.16) together with (3.14) and (3.13) ensure that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\eta|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s-1}}^{2}+|v|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{2} \lesssim \frac{1}{n_{2}^{2}} E_{0}^{s}(\eta(0), v(0))+\frac{1}{n_{2}^{4}} \leq\left(\frac{1}{n_{2}} \gamma\left(n_{2}\right)\right)^{2} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\gamma(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. On the other hand, (3.14) ensures that for any $r>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in\left[0, T_{\frac{1_{2}}{}+}\right]} E_{\mu_{n_{i}}}^{s+r}\left(\zeta_{n_{i}}(t), u_{n_{i}}(t)\right) \lesssim n_{i}^{2 r} E_{0}^{s}\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now observing that $(\eta, v)$ satisfies (3.7) with $\left(\zeta_{i}, u_{i}\right)=\left(\zeta_{n_{i}}, u_{n_{i}}\right)$ and proceeding as in (3.8) we eventually get

$$
\begin{align*}
N^{2 s} \frac{d}{d t} E_{\mu_{n_{1}}}^{0}\left(P_{N} \eta, P_{N} v\right) & +2 \epsilon\left|P_{N} \eta_{x}\right|_{H^{s+\lambda / 2-1}}^{2} \lesssim\left|u_{n_{1}}\right|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}+}}\left(|\eta|_{H^{s}}+|v|_{H^{s}}\right) \\
& \times N^{s}\left(\left|P_{N} \eta\right|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left|P_{N} v\right|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)+\delta_{N} N^{s}\left|P_{N} v\right|_{L^{2}}\left|u_{n_{2}}\right|_{H^{s+1}}|v|_{H^{s}} \\
& +\delta_{N} N^{s}\left|P_{N} \eta\right|_{L^{2}}\left(|v|_{H^{s}}\left|\zeta_{n_{2}}\right|_{H^{s+1}}+|v|_{H^{s+1}}\left|\zeta_{n_{2}}\right|_{H^{s}}+n_{2}^{-5}\left|\partial_{t} \zeta_{n_{2}}\right|_{H^{s}}\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

But in view of (3.14) and (3.20)

$$
\left|\zeta_{n_{2}}\right|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}|v|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s}} \lesssim n_{2} \frac{1}{n_{2}} \gamma\left(n_{2}\right) \underset{n_{2} \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0
$$

and (3.15) yields

$$
\frac{1}{n_{2}^{4}}\left|\partial_{t} \zeta_{n_{2}}\right|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s}} \lesssim \frac{1}{n_{2}}\left(1+E_{0}^{s}\left(u_{0}, \zeta_{0}\right)\right) .
$$

Integrating in time and summing in $N$, it thus follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
&|\eta|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{\infty}+\mu_{n_{1}}|\eta|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}^{2}+|v|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}^{2}+2 \epsilon\left|\eta_{x}\right|_{L_{T_{s}}^{2} H^{s+\lambda / 2-1}}^{2} \\
& \leq E_{\mu_{n_{1}}}^{s}(\eta(0), v(0))+T_{s} \tilde{\gamma}\left(n_{2}\right) \\
&+T_{s}\left(1+\left|u_{n_{1}}\right|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}^{s}+\left|u_{n_{2}}\right|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}+\left|\zeta_{n_{2}}\right|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s}}\right) \\
& \times\left(|\eta|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{\infty}+|v|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}^{2}\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

$(\zeta, u) \in C\left(\left[0, T_{s}\right] ; H^{s} \times H^{s+1}\right)$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\mu_{n_{1}}}^{s}\left(\zeta_{0, n_{1}}-\zeta_{0, n_{2}}, u_{0, n_{1}}-u_{0, n_{2}}\right) \underset{n_{1} \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} & E_{0}^{s}\left(\zeta_{0}-\zeta_{0, n_{2}}, u_{0}-u_{0, n_{2}}\right) \\
& =E_{0}^{s}\left(\left(1-S_{n_{2}}\right) \zeta_{0},\left(1-S_{n_{2}}\right) u_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus letting $n_{1} \rightarrow+\infty$ in (3.23) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in\left[0, T_{s}\right]} E_{0}^{s}\left(\zeta-\zeta_{n}, u-u_{n}\right)(t) \lesssim E_{0}^{s}\left(\left(1-S_{n}\right) \zeta_{0},\left(1-S_{n}\right) u_{0}\right)+\tilde{\gamma}(n) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an implicit constant that is independent of $\epsilon \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in] 0,2]$.

- Continuity of the flow-map. Let now $\left(\left(\zeta_{0}^{k}, u_{0}^{k}\right)\right)_{k \geq 1} \subset H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\left(\zeta_{0}^{k}, u_{0}^{k}\right) \rightarrow\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)$ in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$. We want to prove that the emanating solution $\left(\zeta^{k}, u^{k}\right)$ to (1.3) tends to $(\zeta, u)$ in $C\left(\left[0, T_{0}\right] ; H^{s} \times H^{s+1}\right)$ uniformly in $\epsilon$ and $\lambda$. We set $\zeta_{0, n}^{k}=S_{n} \zeta_{0}^{k}$ and $u_{0, n}^{k}=S_{n} u_{0}^{k}$ and we call $\left(\zeta_{n}^{k}, u_{n}^{k}\right) \in C\left(\left[0, T_{s}\right] ; H^{s} \times H^{s+1}\right)$ the associated solution to (3.1) with $\mu=\mu_{n}=n^{-5}$. By the triangle inequality, for $k$ large enough, it holds
$\left|u-u^{k}\right|_{L^{\infty}(] 0, T_{s}\left[; H^{s}\right)} \leq\left|u-u_{n}\right|_{L^{\infty}(] 0, T_{s}\left[; H^{s}\right)}+\left|u_{n}-u_{n}^{k}\right|_{L^{\infty}(] 0, T_{s}\left[; H^{s}\right)}+\left|u_{n}^{k}-u^{k}\right|_{L^{\infty}(] 0, T_{s}\left[; H^{s}\right)}$.
Using the estimate (3.24) on the solution to (3.1) we infer that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{t \in\left[0, T_{s}\right]}\left(E_{0}^{s}\left(\zeta-\zeta_{n}, u-u_{n}\right)(t)+\right. & \left.E_{0}^{s}\left(\zeta^{k}-\zeta_{n}^{k}, u^{k}-u_{n}^{k}\right)(t)\right) \\
\lesssim & E_{0}^{s}\left(\left(1-S_{n}\right) \zeta_{0},\left(1-S_{n}\right) u_{0}\right) \\
& +E_{0}^{s}\left(\left(1-S_{n}\right) \zeta_{0}^{k},\left(1-S_{n}\right) u_{0}^{k}\right)+\gamma(n) \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\left|u-u_{n}\right|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s}}+\left|u^{k}-u_{n}^{k}\right|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s}}\right)=0 \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, it remains to prove that for any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left|u^{k}-u_{n}^{k}\right|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s}}=0 \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this we first notice that (3.9) with $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$ ensures that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n}-u_{n}^{k}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(] 0, T_{s}\left[; H^{s}\right)}^{2} & \lesssim E_{\mu_{n}}^{s-1}\left(\zeta_{0, n}-\zeta_{0, n}^{k}, u_{0, n}-u_{0, n}^{k}\right) \\
& \lesssim E_{0}^{s-1}\left(\zeta_{0}-\zeta_{0}^{k}, u_{0}-u_{0}^{k}\right) . \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

and that (3.21) leads for $r \geq 0$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in\left[0, T_{\frac{1}{2}+}\right]} E_{\mu_{n}}^{s+r}\left(\zeta_{n}^{k}(t), u_{n}^{k}(t)\right) \lesssim n^{2 r} E_{0}^{s}\left(\zeta_{0, n}^{k}, u_{0, n}^{k}\right) \lesssim n^{2 r}\left(E_{0}^{s}\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)+1\right) . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, setting $(\eta, v)=\left(\zeta_{n}-\zeta_{n}^{k}, u_{n}-u_{n}^{k}\right)$, observing that $(\eta, v)$ satisfies (3.7) with $\left(\zeta_{1}, u_{1}\right)=\left(\zeta_{n}, u_{n}\right),\left(\zeta_{2}, u_{2}\right)=\left(\zeta_{n}^{k}, u_{n}^{k}\right)$ and $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=n^{-5}$ and proceeding as in (3.22) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle N\rangle^{2 s} \frac{d}{d t} E_{\mu_{n}}^{0}\left(P_{N} \eta, P_{N} v\right) & +2 \epsilon\left|P_{N} \eta_{x}\right|_{H^{s+\lambda / 2-1}}^{2} \lesssim\left|u_{n}\right|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}+}}\left(|\eta|_{H^{s}}+|v|_{H^{s}}\right) \\
& \times\langle N\rangle^{s}\left(\left|P_{N} \eta\right|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left|P_{N} v\right|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right) \\
& +\delta_{N}\langle N\rangle^{s}\left(\left|P_{N} v\right|_{L^{2}}\left|u_{n}^{k}\right|_{H^{s+1}}|v|_{H^{s}}+\left|P_{N} \eta\right|_{L^{2}}|v|_{H^{s+1}}\left|\zeta_{n}^{k}\right|_{H^{s}}\right) \\
& +\delta_{N}\langle N\rangle^{s}\left|P_{N} \eta\right|_{L^{2}}|v|_{H^{s}}\left|\zeta_{n}^{k}\right|_{H^{s+1}} . \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

But (3.28)-(3.29) ensure that

$$
|v|_{H^{s}}\left|\zeta_{n}^{k}\right|_{H^{s+1}} \lesssim n\left[\left(E_{0}^{s}\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)+1\right) E_{0}^{s-1}\left(\zeta_{0}-\zeta_{0}^{k}, u_{0}-u_{0}^{k}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

Therefore integrating in time and summing in $N>0$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
|\eta|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{2}+ & |v|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}^{2} \lesssim E_{0}^{s}(\eta(0), v(0))+T_{s} n^{2}\left(E_{0}^{s}\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)+1\right) E_{0}^{s-1}\left(\zeta_{0}-\zeta_{0}^{k}, u_{0}-u_{0}^{k}\right) \\
& +T_{s}\left(1+\left|u_{n}\right|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}+\left|u_{n}^{k}\right|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}+\left|\zeta_{n}^{k}\right|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s}}\right)\left(|\eta|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{2}+|v|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}^{2}\right) \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

which ensures that
$|\eta|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{2}+|v|_{L_{T_{s}}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}^{2} \lesssim E_{0}^{s}(\eta(0), v(0))+T_{s} n^{2}\left(E_{0}^{s}\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)+1\right) E_{0}^{s-1}\left(\zeta_{0}-\zeta_{0}^{k}, u_{0}-u_{0}^{k}\right)$ and proves (3.27). Note that this last estimate and (3.25) are uniform in $\epsilon$ and $\lambda$. Combining (3.26) and (3.27), we thus obtain the continuity of the flow map in $C\left(\left[0, T_{s}\right] ; H^{s} \times H^{s+1}\right)$ uniformly in $\epsilon \geq 0$ and $\left.\left.\lambda \in\right] 0,2\right]$. Hence the IVP (1.3) is locally well-posed with a minimal time of existence $T_{s}$ that satisfies (3.13).

Let now $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in H^{s} \times H^{s+1}$ and $T_{s}^{*}$ be the maximal time of existence in $H^{s} \times H^{s+1}$ of the emanating solution $(\zeta, u)$. Then proceeding exactly as to obtain (3.5) in the preceding subsection we get for any $0<t_{0}<t_{0}+\Delta t<T^{\prime}<T_{s}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
|\zeta|_{L^{\infty}(] t_{0}, t_{0}+\Delta t\left[; H^{s}\right)}^{2} & +|u|_{L^{\infty}(] t_{0}, t_{0}+\Delta t\left[; H^{s+1}\right)}^{2} \lesssim E^{s}\left(\zeta\left(t_{0}\right), u\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \\
& +\Delta t\left(\left|u_{x}\right|_{L_{T^{\prime} x}^{\infty}}^{\infty}+|\zeta|_{L_{T^{\prime} x}^{\infty}}^{\infty}\right)\left(|\zeta|_{L^{\infty}(] t_{0}, t_{0}+\Delta t\left[; H^{s}\right)}^{2}\right) \\
& \left.+|u|_{L^{\infty}(] t_{0}, t_{0}+\Delta t\left[; H^{s+1}\right)}^{2}\right) \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, for $\Delta t \sim\left(\left|u_{x}\right|_{L_{T^{\prime} x}^{\infty}}+|\zeta|_{L_{T^{\prime} x}^{\infty}}\right)^{-1}$, it holds

$$
|\zeta|_{L^{\infty}\left(J t_{0}, t_{0}+\Delta t\left[; H^{s}\right)\right.}^{2}+|u|_{L^{\infty}(] t_{0}, t_{0}+\Delta t\left[; H^{s+1}\right)}^{2} \lesssim E^{s}\left(\zeta\left(t_{0}\right), u\left(t_{0}\right)\right)
$$

This proves (3.10) by dividing $\left[0, T^{\prime}\right]$ in small intervals of length $\Delta t \sim\left(\left|u_{x}\right|_{L_{T^{\prime} x}^{\infty}}+\right.$ $\left.|\zeta|_{L_{T^{\prime} x}^{\infty}}\right)^{-1}$.

Finally, (3.10) and Sobolev embeddings ensure that $T_{s}^{*}=T_{\frac{1}{2}+}^{*}$ and thus the minimal time of existence in $H^{s} \times H^{s+1}$ is bounded from below by $T_{\frac{1}{2}+}$ that satisfies (3.13) with $s=\frac{1}{2}+$. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1 with $T_{0}=T_{\frac{1}{2}+}$.
3.3. Continuity of the flow-map with respect to the parameter $\epsilon$. It remains to prove the continuity of the flow-map with respect to the parameter $\epsilon$ but this a direct consequence of the uniform in $\epsilon$ LWP. Indeed, let $\lambda \in] 0,2]$ be fixed and let $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$. As in the preceding subsection, we set $\left(\zeta_{0, n}, u_{0, n}\right)=\left(S_{n} \zeta_{0}, S_{n} u_{0}\right)$ and we denote by $\left(\zeta_{n}^{\epsilon}, u_{n}^{\epsilon}\right) \in C\left(\left[0, T_{\frac{1}{2}+}\right] ; H^{s}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ the associated solution to (1.3). For $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\left(\zeta^{\epsilon}-\zeta^{0}, u^{\epsilon}-u^{0} \|_{L_{T_{\frac{1}{2}+} H^{s}}^{\infty}}\right. & \leq \|\left(\zeta^{\epsilon}-\zeta_{n}^{\epsilon}, u^{\epsilon}-u_{n}^{\epsilon} \|_{L_{T_{\frac{1}{2}+}^{\infty}}^{\infty} H^{s}}\right. \\
& +\left\|\left(\zeta_{n}^{\epsilon}-\zeta_{n}^{0}, u_{n}^{\epsilon}-u_{n}^{0}\right)\right\|_{L_{T_{\frac{1}{2}+}^{\infty}}^{\infty} H^{s}} \\
& +\left\|\left(\zeta^{0}-\zeta_{n}^{0}, u^{0}-u_{n}^{0}\right)\right\|_{L_{T_{\frac{1}{2}+}^{\infty}}^{\infty} H^{s}} . \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

By the continuity of the flow-map uniformly in $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, the first and the third terms in the right-hand side can be made arbitrarily small by taking $n$ large. To estimate the second term, we set $(\eta, v)=\left(\zeta_{n}^{\epsilon}-\zeta_{n}^{0}, u_{n}^{\epsilon}-u_{n}^{0}\right)$ and we observe that $(\eta, v)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}\eta_{t}+v_{x}+\left(u_{n}^{\epsilon} \eta\right)_{x}+\epsilon g_{\lambda}\left(\eta_{n}\right) & =\left(v \zeta_{n}^{0}\right)_{x}-\epsilon g_{\lambda}\left(\zeta_{n}^{0}\right) \\ v_{t}+\eta_{x}+u_{n}^{\epsilon} v_{x}-v_{x x t} & =v \partial_{x} u_{n}^{0},\end{cases}
$$

Proceeding as in the obtention of (3.34) (in particular, making use of (3.14)), we obtain for $0<T \leq T_{\frac{1}{2}+}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
|\eta|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{2}+|v|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}^{2} & \lesssim E_{0}^{s}(\eta(0), v(0))+\epsilon T n^{4 \lambda} E_{0}^{s}\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \\
& +T\left(1+n^{2}\right)\left(1+E_{0}^{s}(\eta(0), v(0))\right)\left(|\eta|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{2}+|v|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}^{2}\right) \tag{3.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Noticing that $\eta(0)=v(0)=0$ and proceeding as above we then get

$$
|\eta|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s}}^{2}+|v|_{L_{T}^{\infty} H^{s+1}}^{2} \lesssim \exp \left[C T\left(1+n^{2}\right)\right] \epsilon T n^{4 \lambda} E_{0}^{s}\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)
$$

Taking $\epsilon$ sufficiently close to 0 according to $n$, we see that the second term in the right-hand side of (3.33) can be made arbitrarily small. Therefore, the convergence follows.

## 4. A priori estimates and global existence of strong solutions

In this section, we establish the global existence for any fixed $\epsilon \geq 0$ of (1.3). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. To obtain the uniform estimates, we proceed as in [11] by constructing a convex positive entropy for the associated hyperbolic system

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\zeta_{t}+(u+u \zeta)_{x} & =0  \tag{4.1}\\
u_{t}+\left(\zeta+\frac{u^{2}}{2}\right)_{x} & =0
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Let us we recall the notion of entropy for a hyperbolic system. Consider the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}+f(u)_{x}=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ a smooth function. We say that a pair of functions $\eta, q: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an entropy-entropy flux pair if all smooth solutions of (4.2) satisfy the additional conservation law

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(u)_{t}+q(u)_{x}=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can also be written

$$
\nabla \eta u_{t}+\nabla q u_{x}=0 .
$$

On the other hand, multiplying (4.2) by $\nabla \eta$, we obtain

$$
\nabla \eta u_{t}+\nabla \eta \nabla f u_{x}=0
$$

This ensures that the compatibility condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \eta \nabla f=\nabla q \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

forces any smooth solutions of (4.2) to satisfy the additional conservation law (4.3). We define

$$
w=1+\zeta, \sigma(w)=w \ln w, \sigma_{L}(w)=\sigma(1)+\sigma^{\prime}(1)(w-1)=w-1
$$

and

$$
\sigma_{0}(w)=\sigma(w)-\sigma_{L}(w)=w \ln w-w+1
$$

Note that $\sigma_{0}$ is a convex function on $] 0,+\infty[$ and enjoys the following property.
Lemma 4.1. Let $s>1 / 2$ be fixed. The functional

$$
\zeta \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_{0}(1+\zeta) d x
$$

is well-defined and continuous for the $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ metric on the subset $\Theta$ of $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$
\Theta:=\left\{\zeta \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}), 1+\zeta>0 \text { on } \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

Moreover, there exists $C>0$ such that for all $\zeta \in \Theta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_{0}(1+\zeta) d x \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^{2} d x \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us fix $\zeta \in \Theta$. We first notice that since $s>1 / 2$, we have $\zeta \in C(\mathbb{R})$ with $\zeta(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$ and thus $1+\zeta$ has got a minimum value $\left.\left.\alpha_{0} \in\right] 0,1\right]$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Therefore, for $\zeta^{\prime} \in \Theta$ such that $\left|\zeta-\zeta^{\prime}\right|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \alpha_{0} / 2$ it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\zeta^{\prime} \geq \min _{\mathbb{R}}(1+\zeta)-\alpha_{0} / 2=\alpha_{0} / 2>0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, clearly $\sigma_{0}^{\prime}(1+z)=\ln (1+z)$ and thus $0 \leq \sigma_{0}^{\prime}(1+z) \leq z$ for $z \geq 0$. On the other hand, by the mean-value theorem, for $z \in\left[\alpha_{0} / 2-1,0\right]$ it holds $|\ln (1+z)| \leq \frac{2}{\alpha_{0}}|z|$. Gathering these two estimates and using again the mean value theorem we thus infer that

$$
\left|\sigma_{0}(1+\zeta)-\sigma_{0}\left(1+\zeta^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \frac{2}{\alpha_{0}} \max \left(|\zeta|,\left|\zeta^{\prime}\right|\right)\left|\zeta-\zeta^{\prime}\right|
$$

that yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_{0}(1+\zeta)-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_{0}\left(1+\zeta^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \frac{2}{\alpha_{0}}\left(|\zeta|_{L^{2}}+\left|\zeta^{\prime}\right|_{L^{2}}\right)\left|\zeta-\zeta^{\prime}\right|_{L^{2}} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\zeta^{\prime} \equiv 0$ we obtain that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_{0}(1+\zeta) d x$ is well-defined on $\Theta$ and the continuity result follows as well from (4.7).

Finally, we notice that as $\sigma_{0}(1+x) \sim x \ln x$ at $+\infty$ and $\sigma_{0}(1+x) \sim x^{2}$ near the origin, there exists $M \geq 1$ and $c_{1}^{M}, c_{2}^{M}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{cccc} 
& \left(c_{1}^{M}\right)^{-1} x^{2} \geq \sigma_{0}(1+x) \geq c_{1}^{M} x^{2} & \text { for } & -1<x<M \\
\text { and } & \left(c_{2}^{M}\right)^{-1} x^{2} \geq \sigma_{0}(1+x) \geq c_{2}^{M} x \ln x \geq x & \text { for } & x \geq M . \tag{4.8}
\end{array}
$$

This clearly leads to (4.5).

We introduce the Orlicz class associated to the function $\sigma_{0}(1+\cdot)$

$$
\Lambda_{\sigma_{0}}:=\left\{\zeta \text { measurable } / \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_{0}(1+\zeta(x)) d x<+\infty\right\}
$$

with the notation $|\zeta|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_{0}}}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_{0}(1+\zeta(x)) d x$.
Now, we shall establish a uniform crucial entropic estimate for our solution.
Proposition 4.1. Let $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in H^{s} \times H^{s+1}$, for $s>1 / 2$, and such that $1+\zeta_{0}>0$. Then, the solution $(\zeta, u) \in C\left(\left[0, T_{0}\right] ; H^{s} \times H^{s+1}\right)$ to (1.3), constructed in Proposition 3.1, satisfies $1+\zeta(t, x)>0$ a.e. on $\left[0, T_{0}\right] \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\zeta \in L^{\infty}(] 0, T_{0}\left[; \Lambda_{\sigma_{0}}\right)$ and it holds
$\frac{1}{2}|u(t)|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_{0}(1+\zeta(t, x)) d x \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|u_{0}\right|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_{0}\left(1+\zeta_{0}(x)\right) d x, \forall t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]$.

Proof. We first assume that $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in\left(H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \cap W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R})\right) \times H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. According to Proposition 3.1, (1.3) has got a unique solution $(\zeta, u) \in C\left(\left[0, T_{0}\right] ; H^{\infty} \times H^{\infty}\right)$ emanating from $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)$, where $T_{0}$ only depends on $\left|\zeta_{0}\right|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+}}+\left|u_{0}\right|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}+}}$. Then we observe that for $\theta=0,1,2, \Lambda^{\theta} \zeta$ verifies the following integral representation on $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda^{\theta} \zeta(t, x) & =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Lambda^{\theta} \zeta_{0}(z) K_{\lambda}(t, x-z) d z \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Lambda^{\theta} \partial_{x}(u(s, z)+\zeta(s, z) u(s, z)) K_{\lambda}(t-s, x-z)\right) d z d s \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{\lambda}(t, x)=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(e^{-\epsilon t|\cdot| \lambda}\right)(x)$ is the kernel associated to $g_{\lambda}$ that satisfies (see [6]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|K_{\lambda}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}=1, \quad \text { and }\left\|\partial_{x} K_{\lambda}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}=c_{1}(t \epsilon)^{-1 / \lambda}, \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $(t, x) \in] 0 ;+\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\lambda}(t, x)=\frac{1}{t^{1 / \lambda}} K_{\lambda}\left(1, \frac{x}{t^{1 / \lambda}}\right),\left|K_{\lambda}(t, x)\right| \leq \frac{C}{t^{1 / \lambda}\left(1+t^{-2 / \lambda}|x|^{2}\right)} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta \in L^{\infty}(] 0, T_{0}\left[; W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R})\right) . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we make a change of unknown by setting $w=1+\zeta$, the system (1.3) becomes

$$
\begin{cases}w_{t}+(u w)_{x} & =-\epsilon g_{\lambda}(w)  \tag{4.14}\\ u_{t}+\left(w+u^{2} / 2\right)_{x} & =u_{x x t}\end{cases}
$$

with initial data $w(0, x)=w_{0}(x)=1+\zeta_{0}(x)$ and $u(0, x)=u_{0}(x)$. The associated hyperbolic system becomes

$$
\begin{cases}w_{t}+(u w)_{x} & =0  \tag{4.15}\\ u_{t}+\left(w+u^{2} / 2\right)_{x} & =0\end{cases}
$$

As in (4.2), let $f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be defined by

$$
f(w, u)=\left(w u, w+u^{2} / 2\right) .
$$

Let $\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a pair of functions satisfying the compatibility condition (4.4). Then, setting $V=(w, u)^{T}$, the solution of (4.14) satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta(w, u)_{t}+q(w, u)_{x} & =\nabla \eta(V) V_{t}+\nabla q(V) V_{x} \\
& =\nabla \eta\left(V_{t}+(f(V))_{x}\right) \\
& =\nabla \eta(V)\left(\epsilon w_{x x}, u_{t x x}\right)^{T} \\
& =\epsilon \eta_{w} g_{\lambda}(w)+\eta_{u} u_{x x t} . \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\eta$ be the function of the form

$$
\eta(w, u)=u^{2} / 2+\alpha(w)
$$

for some function $\alpha$. Thus, (4.16) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta(w, u)_{t}+q(w, u)_{x} & =\epsilon \alpha^{\prime}(w) g_{\lambda}(w)+u u_{x x t} \\
& =\epsilon \alpha^{\prime}(w) g_{\lambda}(w)-\left(u_{x}^{2} / 2\right)_{t}+\left(u u_{x t}\right)_{x} . \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to get an a priori estimate on solutions to (4.14), we have to choose $\alpha$ to be a convex function (see Lemma 4.2 below) and $\eta$ to be a convex and positive function. Since $u \mapsto u^{2} / 2$ is convex and positive, it actually suffices to ask $\alpha$ to be also convex and positive. At this stage, it is worth noticing that Proposition 6.1 in the Appendix ensures that $1+\zeta(t) \geq \min _{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+\zeta_{0}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ for any $t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]$. We set $\alpha(w)=\sigma(w)=w \ln w$ that is a convex function on $] 0,+\infty[$. It is straightforward to check that with this $\alpha, \eta$ satisfies the compatibility condition (4.4) with the entropy flux given by

$$
q(w, u)=\alpha(w) u+u w+u^{3} / 3
$$

Thus we have found an entropy which is convex but not positive. To obtain a positive convex entropy $\eta$, it suffices to substract from $\alpha$ its linear part at 1 that leads to

$$
\tilde{\alpha}(w)=\sigma(w)-\sigma^{\prime}(1)(w-1)=w \ln w+w-1=\sigma_{0}(w),
$$

so that the entropy function becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\eta}(w, u)=u^{2} / 2+w \ln w+w-1 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, in order for (4.4) to hold, the entropy flux function $q$ has to be modified consequently and becomes

$$
\tilde{q}(w, u)=q(w, u)-q(1,0)-\sigma^{\prime}(1)[f(w, u)-f(1,0)]
$$

where we choose the constant so that $\tilde{q}(1,0)=0$. Now, by omitting the tilde, the new $\eta$ and $q$ satisfy the equation (4.17) which will be the starting point of our calculations. As in [11], we consider the space time square

$$
R=\left\{(s, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} / 0 \leq s \leq t,-N \leq x \leq N\right\}, \text { for } N>0
$$

Then integrating equation (4.17) over $R$ and using the divergence theorem, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-N}^{N} & (\eta(w, u)(t, x)-\eta(w, u)(0, x)) d x+\int_{0}^{t}(q(w, u)(s, N)-q(w, u)(s, N)) d s \\
= & -\epsilon \int_{0}^{t} \int_{-N}^{N} \alpha^{\prime}(w) g_{\lambda}(w) d x d s-\int_{-N}^{N}\left(u_{x}^{2} / 2(t, x)-u_{x}^{2} / 2(0, x)\right) d x \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left(u u_{x t}(s, N)-u u_{x t}(s,-N)\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for any fixed $t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right], \zeta(t) \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $w(t)=1+\zeta(t)>0$ on $\mathbb{R}$, we deduce that there exists $w_{\min }(t), w_{\max }(t)>0$ such that $w(t) \in\left[w_{\min }(t), w_{\max }(t)\right]$.

Clearly the mapping $\sigma_{0}: w \mapsto w \ln w+1-w$ belongs to $C^{2}\left(\left[w_{\min }(t), w_{\max }(t)\right]\right)$ with $\sigma_{0}(1)=0$ and using a regular convex and positive extension of $\sigma_{0}$ we can assume that $\sigma_{0}$ is a $C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ convex positive function that belongs to $W^{2, \infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore (4.13) ensures that $\sigma_{0}(w(t)) \in W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R})$ for any $t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]$. Letting $N$ go to $+\infty$ in the above equality, we can thus use the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \eta(w, u)(t, x) d x+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{u_{x}^{2}}{2}(t, x) d x  \tag{4.19}\\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \eta(w, u)(0, x)+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{u_{x}^{2}}{2}(0, x) d x-\epsilon \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_{0}^{\prime}(w) g_{\lambda}(w) d x d s
\end{align*}
$$

We need the following Lemma to conclude.
Lemma 4.2. Let $\lambda \in] 0,2\left[, \varphi \in C_{b}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right.$ and $\alpha \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ be a convex function. Then, we have

$$
g_{\lambda}(\alpha(\varphi)) \leq \alpha^{\prime}(\varphi) g_{\lambda}(\varphi)
$$

For the proof of this lemma, see [7].
Now, let us treat the last term in (4.19). For each $t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]$ Lemma 4.2 yields

$$
g_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{0}(w(t))\right) \leq \sigma_{0}^{\prime}(w(t)) g_{\lambda}(w(t)) .
$$

and (4.19) leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \eta(w, u)(t, x) d x+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{u_{x}^{2}}{2}(t, x) d x \\
& \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \eta(w, u)(0, x)+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{u_{x}^{2}}{2}(0, x) d x-\epsilon \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{0}(w(s, x)) d x d s\right. \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Now since $\sigma_{0}(w(t)) \in W^{2,1}$ for each $t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]$, we get that $g_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{0}(w(t, \cdot)) \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right.$. Indeed, this result is direct for $\lambda=2$ and for $0<\lambda<2$ it suffices to notice that

$$
P_{N} g_{\lambda}(f)=\mathcal{F}_{x}^{-1}\left(|\cdot|^{\lambda} \phi_{N}(\cdot)\right) * f=\mathcal{F}_{x}^{-1}\left(\xi \mapsto \frac{|\xi|^{\lambda}}{\xi^{2}} \phi_{N}(\xi)\right) * \partial_{x}^{2} f, \quad \forall f \in W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}),
$$

where $\phi_{N}$ is defined in (2.1). It follows that

$$
\left|P_{N} g_{\lambda}(f)\right|_{L^{1}} \lesssim \min \left(N^{\lambda}|f|_{L^{1}}, N^{\lambda-2}\left|\partial_{x}^{2} f\right|_{L^{1}}\right) \quad \forall N>0,
$$

and thus

$$
\left.\left|g_{\lambda}(f)\right|_{L^{1}} \lesssim\left|\sum_{N>0}\right| P_{N} g_{\lambda}(f)\right|_{L^{1}} \lesssim \sum_{0<N<1} N^{\lambda}|f|_{L^{1}}+\sum_{N>1} N^{\lambda-2}\left|\partial_{x}^{2} f\right|_{L^{1}} \lesssim|f|_{W^{2,1}}
$$

that proves the desired result. Finally, since

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(g_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{0}(w(t, \cdot))\right)(0)=0\right.
$$

this ensures that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\lambda}\left(\sigma_{0}(w(s, x)) d x=0, \quad \forall t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right] .\right.
$$

This proves (4.9) for $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in\left(H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \cap W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R})\right) \times H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. The result for $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in\left(H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ follows by using the continuity of the flow-map together with Lemma 4.1. Note in particular that the continuity in $C\left([0, T] ; H^{s}\right)$ of the flow-map associated with $\zeta$ and Proposition 6.1 (see the appendix) ensure that $1+\zeta(t, x) \geq \min _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left(1+\zeta_{0}(x)\right)>0$ a.e. on $\left[0, T_{0}\right] \times \mathbb{R}$.

Next, we state the global well-posedness result.

Proposition 4.2. Let $\left.\left.(\epsilon, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times\right] 0,2\right]$ and let $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$, $s>1 / 2$, such that $1+\zeta_{0}>0$. Then the unique solution $(\zeta, u)$ to (1.3) constructed in Proposition 3.1 can be extended for all positive times and thus belongs to $C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; H^{s} \times\right.$ $\left.H^{s+1}\right)$. Moreover, for any $T>0$ there exists a constants $C_{T, s}>0$ only depending on $\left|\zeta_{0}\right|_{H^{s}}$ and $\left|u_{0}\right|_{H^{s+1}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\zeta|_{L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[; H^{s}\right)}+|u|_{L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[; H^{s+1}\right)} \leq C_{T, s} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the flow-map $S_{\epsilon, \lambda}:\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\zeta^{\epsilon, \lambda}, u^{\epsilon, \lambda}\right)$ is continuous from $H^{s} \times H^{s+1}$ into $C\left([0, T] ; H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ uniformly in $\epsilon$ and $\lambda$.

Proof. According to (3.10) and the local well-posedness result, it suffices to proves that for any $T>0$ there exists $c_{T}>0$ only depending on $T,\left|u_{0}\right|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}}+}$ and $|\zeta|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+}}$, such that if the solution $(\zeta, u)$ to (1.3) belongs to $C\left(\left[0, T\left[; H^{s} \times H^{s+1}\right)\right.\right.$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\zeta|_{L^{\infty}(] 0, T[\times \mathbb{R})}+\left|u_{x}\right|_{L^{\infty}(] 0, T[\times \mathbb{R})} \leq c_{T} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We mainly follow the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [1]. Let $N$ be a positive odd integer, we start by deriving an estimate on $\sup _{t \in[0, T[ }|\zeta(t)|_{L^{N}}$. For this we multiply the first of (1.3) by $\zeta^{N}$ and integrate with respect to $x$, to get

$$
\frac{1}{N+1} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^{N+1}+\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\lambda}(\zeta) \zeta^{N}=-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^{N} u_{x}-\frac{N}{N+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^{N+1} u_{x}
$$

To treat the term $\epsilon \int g_{\lambda}(\zeta) \zeta^{N}$, we use the property of operator $g_{\lambda}$ in Lemma 4.2 to prove that it is non negative. Note that the convexe function taking here $\alpha(x)=$ $x^{N+1}$. Therefore integrating the above identity on $(0, t)$, using that $N+1$ is an even integer, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N+1}|\zeta(t)|_{L^{N+1}}^{N+1} \leq \frac{1}{N+1}\left|\zeta_{0}\right|_{L^{N+1}}^{N+1}-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^{N} u_{x}-\frac{N}{N+1} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^{N+1} u_{x} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we make use of the fact that for any $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ it holds $\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1} f=\frac{1}{2} e^{-|\cdot|} * f$ and $\partial_{x}^{2}\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1} f=-f+\left(1-\partial_{x}^{2}\right)^{-1} f$. Differentiating the second equation of (1.3) with respect to $x$ we thus obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{t x} & =\zeta-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|\cdot-z|} \zeta d z+\frac{u^{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|\cdot-z|} u^{2}(z) d z \\
& =\zeta+f_{1}+f_{2}+f_{3} \tag{4.24}
\end{align*}
$$

We would like to estimate the $L^{\infty}$ and the $L^{2}$-norms of the $f_{i}$. The terms with $u$ in the above right-hand side can be easily estimate in the following way

$$
\left|f_{2}+f_{3}\right|_{L^{\infty}} \leq|u|_{L^{\infty}}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left|e^{-|\cdot|}\right|_{L^{1}}\right) \leq|u|_{H^{1}}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\left|f_{2}+f_{3}\right|_{L^{2}} \leq|u|_{L^{4}}^{2}+\left|e^{-|\cdot|} * u^{2}\right|_{L^{2}} \lesssim|u|_{L^{4}}^{2} \lesssim|u|_{H^{1}}^{2} .
$$

To estimate $f_{1}$ we will make use of (4.8). Denoting by $A(t)$ the measurable set of $\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
A(t)=\{z \in \mathbb{R}, / \zeta(t, z) \geq M\}
$$

Young's convolution estimates lead to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|e^{-|\cdot|} * \zeta\right|_{L^{\infty}} & \leq\left|e^{-|\cdot|} *\left(\zeta \chi_{A^{\mathrm{c}}}\right)\right|_{L^{\infty}}+\left|e^{-|\cdot|} *\left(\zeta \chi_{A}\right)\right|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \leq\left|e^{-|\cdot|}\right|_{L^{1}}\left|\zeta \chi_{A^{\mathrm{c}}}\right|_{L^{\infty}}+\left|e^{-|\cdot|}\right|_{L^{\infty}}\left|\zeta \chi_{A}\right|_{L^{1}} \\
& \leq 2 M+|\zeta|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_{0}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|e^{-|\cdot|} * \zeta\right|_{2} & \leq\left|e^{-|\cdot|}\right|_{L^{1}}\left|\zeta \chi_{A^{\mathrm{d}}}\right|_{L^{2}}+\left|e^{-|\cdot|}\right|_{L^{2}}\left|\zeta \chi_{A}\right|_{L^{1}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{C_{1}^{M}}|\zeta|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_{0}}}+|\zeta|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_{0}}} \lesssim|\zeta|_{\sigma_{0}} . \tag{4.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (4.24) on $[0, t]$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x}(t)=u_{0, x}+\int_{0}^{t} \zeta(s) d s+F \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, according to the above estimates and Proposition 4.1,

$$
|F(t)|_{L^{\infty}}+|F(t)|_{L^{2}} \lesssim t\left(1+\left|u_{0}\right|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\left|\zeta_{0}\right|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_{0}}}\right), \quad \forall t \in[0, T[
$$

Making use of Holder's inequality, this enables to bound the first term of the righthand side member to (4.23) in the following way:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^{N}(s) u_{x}(s) d s\right|=\left|-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{t} \zeta^{N}\left(u_{0, x}+F\right)-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^{N} \int_{0}^{s} \zeta(\tau) d \tau\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left(\left|u_{0, x}\right|_{L^{N+1}}+|F|_{L^{N+1}}\right) \int_{0}^{t}|\zeta|_{L^{N+1}}^{N}(s) d s+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{t}|\zeta(s)|^{N} d s \int_{0}^{t}|\zeta(s)| d s \\
& \quad \lesssim\left(\left|u_{0, x}\right|_{L^{N+1}}+|F|_{L^{2}}+|F|_{L^{\infty}}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left(1+|\zeta(s)|_{L^{N+1}}^{N+1}\right) d s+t \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|\zeta(s)|^{N+1} d s \\
& \quad \lesssim(1+t)\left(1+\left|u_{0}\right|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}+}}^{2}+\left|\zeta_{0}\right|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_{0}}}\right)\left(1+\int_{0}^{t}|\zeta|_{L^{N+1}}^{N+1}(s) d s\right) \tag{4.27}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the penultimate step we perform Holder's inequalities in time.
Finally, since $\zeta \geq-1$ on $[0, t]$, (4.26) leads to

$$
u_{x}(t) \geq u_{0, x}-t+F
$$

Since $N+1$ is even, this enables to control the last term of the right-hand side member to (4.23) in the following way :

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{N}{N+1} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta^{N+1} u_{x} & \leq\left(t+\left|u_{0, x}\right|_{L^{\infty}}+|F|_{L^{\infty}(] 0, t[\times \mathbb{R})}\right) \int_{0}^{t} \zeta^{N+1} \\
& \lesssim(1+t)\left(1+\left|u_{0}\right|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}+}}^{2}+\left|\zeta_{0}\right|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_{0}}}\right) \int_{0}^{t}|\zeta|_{L^{N+1}}^{N+1} \tag{4.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Gathering (4.23) and (4.27)-(4.28), we infer that $\gamma(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|\zeta(s)|^{N+1} d s$ satisfies the following differential inequality on $] 0, T$ [

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \gamma(t) \lesssim\left|\zeta_{0}\right|_{L^{N+1}}^{N+1}+(1+t)\left(1+\left|u_{0}\right|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}+}}^{2}+\left|\zeta_{0}\right|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_{0}}}\right)(1+\gamma(t)) \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of Sobolev inequalities and (4.5), Gronwall's inequality ensures that there exists $\tilde{C}_{T}>0$ only depending on $T,\left|u_{0}\right|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}+}}$ and $\left|\zeta_{0}\right|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+}}$ such that $\gamma(t) \leq \tilde{C}_{T}$ on $[0, T[$. Then re-injecting this estimate in (4.29) we obtain

$$
\sup _{t \in[0, T[ }|\zeta(t)|_{L^{N+1}} \leq C_{T}
$$

Letting $N \rightarrow+\infty$ this proves the estimate on the first term in (4.22). Finally, the estimate on the second term in (4.22) follows directly from the first one together with (4.26).

The continuity of the flot-map follow directly from Proposition 3.1.
Finally we can state the following theorem as a consequence of the previous results.

Theorem 4.1. Let $s>1 / 2$. For $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in H^{s} \times H^{s+1}$ such that $1+\zeta_{0}>0$, the classical Boussinesq system (1.2) has a unique solution ( $\zeta, u$ ) in $C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, H^{s} \times\right.$ $\left.H^{s+1}\right) \cap C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, H^{s-1} \times H^{s}\right)$.
The flot-map $S:\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \longrightarrow(\zeta, u)$ is continuous from $H^{s} \times H^{s+1}$ into $C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ; H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \times\right.$ $\left.H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$.

## 5. Global entropy solutions of the BoussinesQ system

In this section, we study existence of weak solution for the Boussinesq system (1.2) for initial condition $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in \Lambda_{\sigma_{0}} \times H^{1}$. To do so, we regularize the initial data by a mollifiers sequence $\left(\rho_{n}\right)_{n} \subset D(\mathbb{R})$ by setting $\zeta_{0, n}=\rho_{n} * \zeta_{0}$ and $u_{0, n}=\rho_{n} * u_{0}$, where $\rho_{n}(\cdot)=n \rho(n \cdot), \rho \in D(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
0 \leq \rho \leq 1, \operatorname{supp} \rho \subset[0,1] \text { and } \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho d x=1
$$

Note that $\left(u_{0, n}\right)_{n} \subset H^{s}$ and it is bounded in $H^{1}$ with $\left\|u_{0, n}\right\|_{H^{1}} \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}}$ and $u_{0, n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. For $\zeta_{0, n}$, we first notice that $\zeta_{0} \in \Lambda_{\sigma_{0}}$ ensures that $1+\zeta_{0}>0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}$. Since $1+\zeta_{0, n}=\rho_{n} *\left(1+\zeta_{0}\right)$, it follows that $1+\zeta_{0, n}>0$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, using (4.8) and proceeding exactly as (4.25) by replacing $e^{-|\cdot|}$ by $\rho_{n}$ it is straightforward to check that $\zeta_{0, n} \in L^{2}$ with $\left|\zeta_{0, n}\right|_{L^{2}} \leq c_{n}\left|\zeta_{0}\right|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_{0}}}$ where $c_{n}$ depends on $\left\|\rho_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}$. Similary, we can verify that $\zeta_{0, n} \in H^{s}$, for $s \geq 0$.
Now, consider $\left(\zeta_{n}, u_{n}\right)$ the solution of (1.2) emanating from $\left(\zeta_{0, n}, u_{0, n}\right)$ given by Proposition 4.2. we will prove that $\left(\zeta_{n}, u_{n}\right)$ has a subsequence which converges to a weak solution of the Boussinesq system (1.2) with initial data $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)$. Note that $\left(\zeta_{n}, u_{n}\right)$ satisfies the entropy estimate (4.9) which implies

$$
\left\|u_{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_{0}\left(1+\zeta_{n}\right) d x \leq\left\|u_{0, n}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_{0}\left(1+\zeta_{0, n}\right) d x
$$

The $H^{1}$-convergence of $\left(u_{0, n}\right)$ towards $u_{0}$ ensures that the first term of the above right-hand side converges to $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}$. For the second term one has to work a little more. We follow [11] and use the convexity of $\sigma_{0}$ and Jensen inequality to get that

$$
\sigma_{0}\left(1+\zeta_{0, n}\right)=\sigma_{0}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+\zeta_{0}\right) \rho_{n}(\cdot-z) d z\right) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_{0}\left(1+\zeta_{0}\right) \rho_{n}(\cdot-z) d z
$$

Therefore, integrating on $\mathbb{R}$, using Fubini and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_{n}=1$, we obtain

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_{0}\left(1+\zeta_{0, n}\right) d x \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_{0}\left(1+\zeta_{0}\right) d x
$$

We thus are lead to the following uniform estimate on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}(t)\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_{0}\left(1+\zeta_{n}(t)\right) d x \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma_{0}\left(1+\zeta_{0}\right) d x \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sequel we will make a constant use of the following lemma that can be easily deduced from (4.8) and (5.1).

Lemma 5.1. let $\chi \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\zeta_{n}(t, x) \chi(x)\right| d x \leq c \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t$, where $c$ is independent of $n$.

Proof. Let $M$ be defined as in (4.8). Then by (5.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\zeta_{n}(t, x) \| \chi(x)\right| d x= & \int_{\left\{x /-1<\zeta_{n}(t, x) \mid \leq M\right\}}\left|\zeta_{n}(t, x) \| \chi(x)\right| d x \\
& +\int_{\left\{x / \zeta_{n}(t, x) \geq M\right\}}\left|\zeta_{n}(t, x) \chi(x)\right| d x  \tag{5.3}\\
\leq & M \int_{\mathbb{R}}|\chi(x)| d x+|\chi|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_{0}\left(1+\zeta_{n}(t, x)\right) d x \leq c .
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 5.1. Consider the sequence $\left(\zeta_{n}, u_{n}\right)$ constructed above for $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in$ $\Lambda_{\sigma_{0}} \times H^{1}$ (in particular $1+\zeta_{0}>0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}$ ). Then there exists a subsequence $\left(\left(\zeta_{n_{k}}, u_{n_{k}}\right)\right)_{k}$ and $(\zeta, u) \in L_{l o c}^{1}(] 0,+\infty[\times \mathbb{R}) \times\left(L^{\infty}(] 0,+\infty\left[, H^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}\right)\right)$ such that $\left(\zeta_{n_{k}}\right)_{k}$ converges weakly to $\zeta$ in $L^{1}$ on every compact of $] 0,+\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ and $\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)_{k}$ converges weakly-* in $L^{\infty}(] 0,+\infty\left[, L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and strongly, for any $T>0$, in $C([0, T], C(\mathbb{R}))$ (and then in $C\left([0, T], L_{l o c}^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ ) to $u$.

Proof. First, applying the above lemma with $\chi=\mathbb{1}_{[-A, A]}$ for $A>0$ we obtain that $\left(\zeta_{n}(t)\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $L_{l o c}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. In particular, $\left(\zeta_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$. According to Dunford-Pettis Theorem (see [5] Vol I p.294), to prove that $\left(\zeta_{n}\right)_{n}$ is weakly compact in $L^{1}(] 0, T[\times]-1, A[)$, it suffices to check that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for any bounded measurable set $B \subset] 0, T[\times]-1, A[$ with $|B|<\delta$ it holds

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{B}\left|\zeta_{n}\right|(t, x) d x d t<\varepsilon .
$$

But this follows directly from (4.8) and (5.1). Indeed, proceeding as in the proof of the above lemma, we easily check that for any $k \geq M$ and any $B \subset] 0, T[\times]-1, A[$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B}\left|\zeta_{n}\right|(t, x) d x d t & \leq \int_{B \cap\left(-1<\xi_{n} \leq k\right)} \zeta_{n} d x d t+\int_{B \cap\left(\zeta_{n}>k\right)} \zeta_{n} d x d t \\
& \leq k|B|+\left(C_{2}^{M} \ln k\right)^{-1} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_{0}\left(1+\zeta_{n}\right) d x d t \\
& \leq k|B|+T\left|\zeta_{0}\right|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_{0}}}\left(C_{2}^{M} \ln k\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

that clearly gives the desired result by taking $k$ large enough.
Now, let us tackle the strong convergence of $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$. By (5.1), we have that $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}\left([0, T], H^{1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Then, $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}(] 0,+\infty\left[, L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. We deduce that it has a weakly-* convergent subsequence in $L^{\infty}(] 0,+\infty\left[, L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Now, we will prove that $\left(\partial_{t} u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[, L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ and after we use a theorem of Aubin-Simon to get the strong convergence. To do so, we recall that by the equation it holds

$$
\partial_{t} u_{n}(t, x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} k(x-z)\left(\zeta_{n}+u_{n}^{2} / 2\right)(t, z) d z
$$

where $k(x)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sign}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{e}^{-|\mathrm{x}|}$. Now by (5.1) and Young's convolution estimates, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} k(x-z) u_{n}^{2} / 2(t, z) d z=\left|k * u_{n}^{2} / 2\right|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq c|k|_{L^{1}}\left|u_{n}^{2}\right|_{L^{2}} \leq c t e .
$$

For the first integral on $\zeta_{n}$, we will use, as in [1], the fact that $\left|\sigma_{0}\left(1+\zeta_{n}\right)\right|_{L^{1}} \leq c t e$ given by (5.1) and the property of the mapping $\sigma_{0}(1+\cdot)$ giving in (4.8). Again denoting by $A_{n}(t)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} / \zeta_{n}(t, x) \geq M\right\}$, with $M \geq 1$ as in (4.8), we write

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} k(x-z) \zeta_{n}(t, z) d z=\int_{A_{n}^{c}} k(x-z) \zeta_{n}(t, z) d z+\int_{A_{n}} k(x-z) \zeta_{n}(t, z) d z=f_{1}+f_{2} .
$$

By Young's convolution estimates, (4.8) and (5.1), we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|f_{1}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L^{2}} \leq|k|_{L^{1}}\left|\zeta_{n} 1_{A_{n}^{c}}\right|_{L^{2}} \leq\left(C_{1}^{M}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\int_{A_{n}^{c}} \sigma_{0}\left(1+\zeta_{n}(t, x)\right) d x\right)^{1 / 2} \lesssim\left|\zeta_{0}\right|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_{0}}}^{1 / 2}, \\
\left|f_{2}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{A_{n}}\left|\zeta_{n}(t, x)\right| d x \lesssim\left|\zeta_{0}\right|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_{0}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left|f_{2}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L^{1}} \leq|k|_{L^{1}}\left|\zeta_{n} 1_{A_{n}}\right|_{L^{1}} \lesssim\left|\zeta_{0}\right|_{\Lambda_{\sigma_{0}}}
$$

Then, we obtain

$$
\left|f_{2}(t, \cdot)\right|_{L^{2}} \leq\left|f_{2}\right|_{L^{\infty}}^{1 / 2}\left|f_{2}\right|_{L^{1}}^{1 / 2} \leq c t e
$$

Combining the above estimates, we deduce that $\left\|\partial_{t} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}$ is bounded uniformly in $n$ and $t$.
Next, we prove that $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ has a strongly convergent subsequence in $C([0, T] ; C(\mathbb{R}))$, i.e. in $C([0, T] ; C(K))$ for every compact $K$ of $\mathbb{R}$. For this end, set $K_{m}=[-m, m]$, by compact Sobolev injection and Aubin-Simon theorem see [12], we have that $E_{\infty, \infty}^{m}$ is compactly embedded in $C\left([0, T], C\left(K_{m}\right)\right)$ where

$$
E_{\infty, \infty}^{m}=\left\{u \in L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[, H^{1}\left(K_{m}\right)\right) \text { such that } \partial_{t} u \in L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[, L^{2}\left(K_{m}\right)\right)\right\}
$$

By the preceding calculations, we have proved that $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $E_{\infty \infty}^{m}$. We deduce that it has a subsequence $\left(u_{n_{k}}^{m}\right)_{k}$ strongly convergent in $C\left([0, T], C\left(K_{m}\right)\right)$ (also in $C\left([0, T], L^{2}\left(K_{m}\right)\right)$ ). By applying the diagonal extraction processus, we can construct a subsequence $\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)_{k}$ which is strongly convergent in $C\left([0, T], C\left(K_{m}\right)\right)$, for every $m \geq 1$ and thus in $C([0, T], C(K))$ ( and then in $C\left([0, T], L^{2}(K)\right)$ ) for every compact $K$ of $\mathbb{R}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. Now, the next theorem gives a weak solution of the Boussinesq system.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\left(\zeta_{n}, u_{n}\right)$ be as in Proposition 5.1. Then, the limit functions $(\zeta, u)$ obtained by Proposition 5.1 is a weak solution for the Boussinesq system with initial data $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(] 0,+\infty[\times \mathbb{R})$. Multiplying (1.3) by $\rho$ and integrating, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta_{n} \varphi_{t} d x d t+\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u_{n}+u_{n} \zeta_{\epsilon}\right) \varphi_{x} d x d t=0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{n} \varphi_{t} d x d t+\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u_{n}^{2} / 2+\zeta_{\epsilon}\right) \varphi_{x} d x d t-\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\epsilon} \varphi_{x x t} d x d t=0 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking the limit when $n$ tends to infinity, we have to prove that

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta \varphi_{t} d x d t+\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}(u+u \zeta) \varphi_{x} d x d t=0
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u \varphi_{t} d x d t+\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u^{2} / 2+\zeta\right) \varphi_{x} d x d t-\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u \varphi_{x x t} d x d t=0
$$

Since $\varphi$ is with compact support in $] 0,+\infty\left[\times \mathbb{R}\right.$ and $\left(\zeta_{n}\right)_{n}$ converges weakly in $L_{l o c}^{1}$ to $\zeta$ we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta_{n} \varphi_{t} d x d t=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta \varphi_{t} d x d t
$$

And the strong convergence of $u_{n}$ to $u$ in $C\left([0, T], L^{2}(K)\right)$ implies that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u_{n}-u\right) \varphi_{x} d x d t=0
$$

Let $S$ be the support of $\varphi$ and suppose that $S \subset] 0, T[\times] c, d[\subset] 0,+\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$. Then, we write

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\zeta_{n} u_{n}-\zeta u\right) \varphi_{x} d x d t=\int_{S} \zeta_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right) \varphi_{x} d x d t+\int_{S} u\left(\zeta_{n}-\zeta\right) \varphi_{x} d x d t
$$

Since $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $C([0, T] ; C(K))$, for every $K$ compact of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\left(\zeta_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $L_{l o c}^{1}$ we deduce that the first term in the above right-hand side member tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Noticing that the limit for second term follows directly from the weak convergence of $\left(\zeta_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $L_{l o c}^{1}$ and the fact that $u \varphi_{x} \in L^{\infty}(S)$, we finally obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta \varphi_{t} d x d t+\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}(u+u \zeta) \varphi_{x} d x d t=0
$$

which implies that $(\zeta, u)$ satisfies the first equation of (1.2) in the distribution sense. For the second equation (5.5), the proof is direct using the weak convergence of $\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$ and the strong convergence of $\left(u_{n}\right)$.

It is still to prove that the limit $(\zeta, u)$ satisfies the initial data $\left(\zeta_{0}, u_{0}\right)$. Recall that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ converges to $u$ in $C([0, T], C(K))$ (i.e. in $\left.C([0, T] \times K)\right)$ ) for every compact $K$ of $\mathbb{R}$ and that $u_{0, n}$ converges to $u_{0}$ in $H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. This enough to implies that $u(0, x)=u_{0}(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact we notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(u(t, \cdot)-u_{0}(\cdot)\right)\right\|_{\infty, K} \leq\left\|\left(u(t, \cdot)-u_{n}(t, \cdot)\right)\right\|_{\infty, K} \\
& \left.\quad+\left\|\left(u_{n}(t, \cdot)-u_{0, n}(\cdot)\right)\right\|_{\infty, K}+\|\left(u_{0, n}(\cdot)-u_{0}(\cdot)\right)\right) \|_{\infty, K} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above convergence results force the first and the third term of the above righthand side to converge towards 0 uniformly in $t \in[0, T]$ whereas the continuity of $u_{n}$ force the second term to tends to 0 as $t \searrow 0$ for each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and thus $u(0, x)=u_{0}(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
Let us now prove that $\zeta$ satisfies the initial condition. For $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\left(t_{k}\right)_{k} \in$ $[0, T]$ converging to 0 , we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\zeta\left(t_{k}, x\right)-\right. & \left.\zeta_{0}(x)\right) \varphi d x\left|\leq\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\zeta\left(t_{k}, x\right)-\zeta_{n}\left(t_{k}, x\right)\right) \varphi d x\right|\right. \\
& +\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\zeta_{n}\left(t_{k}, x\right)-\zeta_{0, n}(x)\right) \varphi d x\right|+\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\zeta_{0, n}(x)-\zeta_{0}(x)\right) \varphi d x\right| \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

For the first integral, we proceed as in [11], Claim 4.2 of Theorem 4.2]. So by Dunford's lemma and Lemma 5.1, for each $t$ there exists a subsequence $\left(\zeta_{n}^{t}\right)_{n}$ of $\left(\zeta_{n}\right)_{n}$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\zeta(t, x)-\zeta_{n}^{t}(t, x)\right) \varphi d x=0
$$

Now applying the diagonalization process to $\left(\zeta_{n}^{t_{k}}\right)_{n, k}$, we can extract a subsequence $\left(\zeta_{k}\right)_{k}$ such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\zeta\left(t_{k}, x\right)-\zeta_{k}\left(t_{k}, x\right)\right) \varphi d x=0
$$

For the second integral, using the integral representation of $\zeta_{k}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\zeta_{k}\left(t_{k}, x\right)-\zeta_{0, k}(t, x)\right) \varphi(x) d x & =-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{t_{k}}\left(\left(u_{k}(s, x)+u_{k} \zeta_{k}(s, x)\right)_{x} \varphi(x) d s d x\right.  \tag{5.7}\\
& =\int_{0}^{t_{k}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\left(u_{k}(s, x)+u_{k} \zeta_{k}(s, x)\right) \varphi_{x}(x) d x d s\right.
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 5.1 it holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid \int_{0}^{t_{k}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\left(u_{k}(s, x)+u_{k} \zeta_{k}(s, x)\right) \varphi_{x}(x) d x d s \mid\right. \\
& \quad \leq\left|u_{k}\right|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{0}^{t_{k}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\left|\varphi_{x}(x)\right|+\left|\zeta_{k}(s, x) \varphi_{x}(x)\right|\right) d x \leq c_{1} t_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{1}$ is independent of $k$. So for the subsequence $\zeta_{k}$, we obtain that

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\zeta_{k}\left(t_{k}, x\right)-\zeta_{0, k}(x)\right) \varphi d x\right| \leq c_{1} t_{k}
$$

The last integral in (5.6) goes to 0 since $\zeta_{0} \in L_{l o c}^{1}$ and thus $\left(\zeta_{0, k}\right)_{k}$ converges to $\zeta_{0}$ in $L_{l o c}^{1}$. Then, by using the subsequence $\left(\zeta_{k}\right)_{k}$ in (5.6), we deduce that

$$
\lim _{k \leftrightarrow+\infty}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\zeta\left(t_{k}, x\right)-\zeta_{0}(x)\right) \varphi d x\right|=0
$$

## 6. Appendix

6.1. Proof of Proposition 2.1. Let $N>0$. We follow [8]. By Plancherel and the mean-value theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\left[P_{N}, P_{\ll N} f\right] g_{x}\right)(x)\right|= & \left|\left(\left[P_{N}, P_{\ll N} f\right] \tilde{P}_{N} g_{x}\right)(x)\right| \\
= & \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}_{x}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{N}\right)(x-y) P_{\ll N} f(y) \tilde{P}_{N} g_{x}(y) d y \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\ll N} f(x) \mathcal{F}_{x}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{N}\right)(x-y) \tilde{P}_{N} g_{x}(y) d y \mid \\
= & \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(P_{\ll N} f(y)-P_{\ll N} f(x)\right) N \mathcal{F}_{x}^{-1}(\varphi)(N(x-y)) \tilde{P}_{N} g_{x}(y) d y\right| \\
\leq & \left\|P_{\ll N} f_{x}\right\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} N\left|x-y\left\|\mathcal{F}_{x}^{-1}(\varphi)(N(x-y))\right\| \tilde{P}_{N} g_{x}(y)\right| d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, since $N|\cdot|\left|\mathcal{F}_{x}^{-1}(\varphi)(N \cdot)\right|=\left|\mathcal{F}_{x}^{-1}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)(N \cdot)\right|$ we deduce from Young's convolution and Bernstein inequalities that

$$
\left.\|\left[P_{N}, P_{\ll N} f\right] g_{x}\right)\left\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim N^{-1}\right\| P_{\ll N} f_{x}\left\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}}\right\| \tilde{P}_{N} g_{x}\left\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\right\| P_{\ll N} f_{x}\left\|_{L_{x}^{\infty}}\right\| \tilde{P}_{N} g \|_{L^{2}}
$$

This completes the proof of estimation (2.2). Let us prove estimation (2.5). Using Bernstein inequality and the characterization of the Sobolev space, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N^{s}\left|P_{N}\left(P_{\gtrsim N} f g_{x}\right)\right|_{L^{2}} & \lesssim N^{s} \delta_{N}\left|P_{\gtrsim N} f g_{x}\right|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq N^{s} \delta_{N}\left|P_{\gtrsim N} f\right|_{L^{2}}\left|g_{x}\right|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \leq \delta_{N} N^{s}\left(\sum_{k \gtrsim n}\left|P_{k} f\right|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|g_{x}\right|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \leq \delta_{N} N^{s}\left(\sum_{k \gtrsim n} \delta_{k}^{2} K^{-2 s}|f|_{H^{s}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|g_{x}\right|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \leq \delta_{N} N^{s} N^{-s}|f|_{H^{s}}\left(\sum_{k \gtrsim n} \delta_{k}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left|g_{x}\right|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \leq \delta_{N}|f|_{H^{s}}\left|g_{x}\right| L_{L^{\infty}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now it remains to prove

$$
N^{s}\left|P_{N}\left(P_{\gtrsim N} f g_{x}\right)\right|_{L^{2}} \lesssim \delta_{N}|f|_{H^{s+1}}|g|_{L^{\infty}} .
$$

To do, we write $P_{N}\left(P_{\gtrsim N} f g_{x}\right)=\partial_{x} P_{N}\left(P_{\gtrsim N} f g\right)-P_{N}\left(P_{\gtrsim N} f_{x} g\right)$. The second term can be treated as above to obtain

$$
N^{s}\left|P_{N}\left(P_{\gtrsim N} f_{x} g\right)\right|_{L^{2}} \lesssim \delta_{N}|f|_{H^{s+1}}|g|_{L^{\infty}}
$$

For the first term, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N^{s}\left|\partial_{x} P_{N}\left(P_{\gtrsim N} f g\right)\right|_{L^{2}} & \lesssim N^{s} N\left|P_{N}\left(P_{\gtrsim N} f g\right)\right|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq N^{s} N \delta_{N}\left|P_{\gtrsim N} f g\right|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq N^{s+1} \delta_{N}\left(\sum_{k \gtrsim N}\left|P_{k} f\right|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}|g|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \leq N^{s+1} \delta_{N}\left(\sum_{k \gtrsim N} \delta_{k}^{2} K^{-2(s+1)}|f|_{H^{s+1}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}|g|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \leq N^{s+1} \delta_{N} N^{-(s+1)}|f|_{H^{s+1}}\left(\sum_{k \gtrsim N} \delta_{k}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}|g|_{L^{\infty}} \\
& \leq \delta_{N}|f|_{H^{s+1}}|g|_{L^{\infty}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally to prove estimate (2.6), we first notice that it follows directly from (2.5) for $s>3 / 2$ since $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. For $s \leq 3 / 2$, we start by noticing that

$$
P_{N}\left(P_{\gtrsim N} f g_{x}\right)=P_{N}\left(P_{\sim N} P_{\lesssim N} g_{x}\right)+P_{N}\left(\sum_{K \gtrsim N} P_{K} f \tilde{P}_{K} g_{x}\right) .
$$

The contribution of the first term of the above right-hand side is easily estimated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
N^{s-1}\left|P_{N}\left(P_{\sim N} f P_{\lesssim N} g_{x}\right)\right|_{L^{2}} & \lesssim N^{s-1}\left|P_{\sim N} f\right|_{L^{\infty}} N^{2-s}|g|_{H^{s-1}} \\
& \lesssim N\left|P_{\sim N} f\right|_{L^{\infty}}|g|_{H^{s-1}} \\
& \lesssim \delta_{N}|f|_{H^{s+1}}|g|_{H^{s-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $s>1 / 2$. On the other hand, the contribution of the second term can be estimated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
N^{s-1}\left|P_{N}\left(\sum_{K \gtrsim N} P_{K} f \tilde{P}_{K} g_{x}\right)\right|_{L^{2}} & \lesssim N^{s-1} N^{1 / 2}\left|P_{N}\left(\sum_{K \gtrsim N} P_{K} f \tilde{P}_{K} g_{x}\right)\right|_{L^{1}} \\
& \lesssim N^{s-1 / 2} \sum_{K \gtrsim N} K^{-1-s}\left|P_{\sim K} f\right|_{H^{s+1}} K^{2-s}\left|\tilde{P}_{K} g\right|_{H^{s-1}} \\
& \lesssim N^{s-1 / 2}|f|_{H^{s+1}}|g|_{H^{s-1}} \sum_{K \gtrsim N} K^{1-2 s} \\
& \lesssim N^{1 / 2-s}|f|_{H^{s+1}}|g|_{H^{s-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is suitable since $s>1 / 2$.

### 6.2. Proof of Proposition 2.3.

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(g_{\lambda}\left[\Lambda^{s} f\right], \Lambda^{s} f\right) & \left.=\int \widehat{g_{\lambda}\left[\Lambda^{s} f\right.}\right] \widehat{\widehat{\Lambda^{s} f}} d \xi \\
& =\int|\xi|^{\lambda-2}\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{s}|\xi|^{2} \widehat{f} \widehat{f} d \xi=\int|\xi|^{\lambda-2}\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{s} \widehat{f_{x}} \overline{\hat{f}_{x}} d \xi \\
& \geq \int\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-1}\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)^{s} \widehat{f_{x}} \widehat{f_{x}} d \xi=\left|f_{x}\right|_{H^{s-1+\lambda / 2}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 6.1. Let $\zeta_{0} \in H^{\infty}$ and $\zeta \in L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[, H^{\infty} \times W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ satisfying the first equation of (1.3). If $1+\zeta_{0}>0$ on $\mathbb{R}$ then for all $t \in[0, T], 1+\zeta(t, x) \geq$ $\min _{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+\zeta_{0}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in] 0,1\left[\right.$. We set $\nu=1+\zeta-m_{0, \alpha}$, where $0<m_{0, \alpha}=\min _{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+\zeta_{0}\right) \wedge \alpha \leq$ $\alpha<1$. $\nu$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{t}+(\nu u)_{x}+\epsilon g_{\lambda}(\nu)+m_{0, \alpha} u_{x}=0 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\nu^{-}=\min (0, \nu)$. Note that since for all $t \in[0, T], \zeta(t, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$ and $\zeta \in C([0, T] ; \mathbb{R})$, there exists $M>0$ such that $\nu^{-} \equiv 0$ on $[0, T] \times(\mathbb{R} \backslash[-M, M])$. This ensures that $\nu^{-} \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}\right)$. Multiplying by $\nu^{-}$and integrating over $\mathbb{R}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int\left(\nu^{-}\right)^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int\left(\nu^{-}\right)^{2} u_{x} d x+\epsilon \int g_{\lambda}(\nu) \nu^{-} d x+m_{0} \int u_{x} \nu^{-} d x=0 \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have to prove that $\int g_{\lambda}(\nu) \nu^{-} d x \geq 0$. For this aim, set $\eta(x)=\min (0, x)^{2} / 2$ and let $\eta_{\delta}=\eta * \varphi_{\delta}$ where $\left(\varphi_{\delta}\right)_{\delta}$ is a mollifiers sequence. It is easy to see that $\eta_{\delta}$ is a convex function of class $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and that

$$
\int g_{\lambda}(\nu) \nu^{-} d x=\int g_{\lambda}(\nu) \eta^{\prime}(\nu)=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int g_{\lambda}(\nu) \eta_{\delta}^{\prime}(\nu) d x
$$

using the dominated convergence theorem. Let us check that $\eta_{\delta}(\nu) \in W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R})$. Note that we can write

$$
\eta_{\delta}(\nu)=\beta_{\delta}(\zeta)
$$

where $\beta_{\delta}(\zeta)=(\eta * \varphi)\left(1+\zeta-m_{0, \alpha}\right)$ and $\beta_{\delta} \in W^{2, \infty}$. For $\delta$ sufficiently small, it is easy to verify that $\beta_{\delta}(0)=0$. Since $\xi \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \xi$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}$, and since $\beta_{\delta} \in W^{2, \infty}(I)$ for any interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, we deduce that $\eta_{\delta}(\nu) \in W^{2,1}$. Now using Lemma 4.2 we have

$$
\int g_{\lambda}(\nu) \eta_{\delta}^{\prime}(\nu) d x \geq \int g_{\lambda}\left(\eta_{\delta}(\nu)\right) d x
$$

Since $\eta_{\delta}(\nu) \in W^{2,1}$ we get that $g_{\lambda}\left(\eta_{\delta}(\nu)\right) \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and since

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(g_{\lambda}\left(\eta_{\delta}(\nu)\right)\right)(0)=0
$$

this ensures that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\lambda}\left(\eta_{\delta}(\nu)\right) d x=0
$$

Finally, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int\left(\nu^{-}\right)^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int\left(\nu^{-}\right)^{2} u_{x} d x+m_{0, \alpha} \int u_{x} \nu^{-} d x=-\epsilon \int g_{\lambda}(\nu) \nu^{-} d x \\
=-\epsilon \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int g_{\lambda}(\nu) \eta_{\delta}^{\prime}(\nu) d x \leq-\epsilon \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int g_{\lambda}\left(\eta_{\delta}(\nu)\right) d x=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, we get

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int\left(\nu^{-}\right)^{2} d x \lesssim\left|\nu^{-}\right|_{L^{2}}\left|u_{x}\right|_{L^{\infty}}+\left|\nu^{-}\right|_{L^{2}}\left|u_{x}\right|_{L^{2}} \lesssim\left|\nu^{-}\right|_{L^{2}}|u|_{s+1}
$$

By Gronwall Lemma, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left(\nu^{-}\right)^{2} d x \leq C\left|\nu_{0}^{-}\right|_{L^{2}} e^{\int_{0}^{t}|u|_{s+1} d t} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\nu_{0}^{-}=0$, we deduce that $\nu \geq 0$ and then $1+\zeta \geq \min _{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+\zeta_{0}\right) \wedge \alpha$. Since it holds for any $\alpha \in] 0,1\left[\right.$, it ensures that $1+\zeta \geq \min _{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+\zeta_{0}\right) \wedge 1=\min _{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+\zeta_{0}\right)$.

Notice that, as signaled page 15, by using the continuity of the flow map associated with $\zeta$, Proposition 6.1 still valid for $s>\frac{1}{2}, \zeta_{0} \in H^{s}$ and $\zeta \in L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[, H^{s}\right)$.
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