

Bad taxonomy can kill: molecular reevaluation of Unio mancus Lamarck, 1819 (Bivalvia: Unionidae) and its accepted subspecies

Vincent Prié, Nicolas Puillandre, Philippe Bouchet

▶ To cite this version:

Vincent Prié, Nicolas Puillandre, Philippe Bouchet. Bad taxonomy can kill: molecular reevaluation of Unio mancus Lamarck, 1819 (Bivalvia: Unionidae) and its accepted subspecies. Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems, 2012, 405, pp.08. 10.1051/kmae/2012014. hal-02458098

HAL Id: hal-02458098 https://hal.science/hal-02458098v1

Submitted on 28 Jan 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Bad taxonomy can kill: Molecular re-evaluation of *Unio mancus* Lamarck, 1819
- 2 (Bivalvia: Unionidae) and its accepted subspecies
- 3 Vincent PRIE ^{1, 2}*
- 4 Nicolas PUILLANDRE ¹
- 5 Philippe BOUCHET ¹
- 6 ¹ Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Département Systématique et Evolution,
- 7 USM 603/UMR 7138 "Systématique, Adaptation, Evolution", Equipe
- 8 "Exploration de la Biodiversité", Case Postale 51, 55, Rue Buffon, 75231
- 9 Paris Cedex 05, France
- ² BIOTOPE, Service Recherche & Développement, BP 58, 22 bd Maréchal Foch,
- 11 34 140 Mèze, France
- 12 *Corresponding author:
- 13 Vincent Prié Biotope
- 14 22 Bd Maréchal Foch
- 15 BP 58
- 16 34 140 Mèze
- 17 <u>vprie@biotope.fr</u>
- 18 Tel. (+33) 6 72 32 00 43
- 19 Short title: *Unio mancus* in France: a molecular evaluation
- 20 Keywords: COI gene, conservation genetics, freshwater mussel, molecular
- 21 systematics, species delimitation.

23 Abstract

The conservation status of European unionid species rests on the scientific knowledge of the 1980s, before the current revival of taxonomic reappraisals based on molecular characters. The taxonomic status of *Unio mancus* Lamarck, 1819, superficially similar to *Unio pictorum* (Linnaeus, 1758) and often synonymized with it, is re-evaluated based on a random sample of major French drainages and a systematic sample of historical type localities. We confirm the validity of *U. mancus* as a distinct species occurring in France and Spain, where it is structured into three geographical units here ranked as subspecies: *U. m. mancus* [Atlantic drainages, eastern Pyrenees, Spanish Mediterranean drainages], *U. m. turtonii* Payraudeau, 1826 [coastal drainages East of the Rhône and Corsica] and *U. m. requienii* Dupuy, 1843 [Seine, Saône-Rhône, and coastal drainages West of the Rhône]. Many populations of *Unio mancus* have been extirpated during the 20th century and the remaining populations continue to be under pressure; *U. mancus* satisfies the criteria to be listed as "Endangered" in the IUCN Red List.

- 40 Les risques d'une mauvaise taxonomie : ré-évaluation moléculaire d'*Unio mancus*
- 41 Lamarck, 1819 (Bivalvia: Unionidae) et de ses sous-espèces.

43 Résumé

44 Le statut de conservation des espèces d'unionidés européennes repose sur les connaissances scientifiques des années 1980, avant le renouveau des ré-45 46 évaluations taxonomiques basées sur des caractères moléculaires. Le statut 47 taxonomique de la Mulette méridionale Unio mancus Lamarck, 1819, 48 superficiellement semblable à la Mulette des peintres Unio pictorum (Linnaeus, 49 1758) et souvent mise en synonymie avec elle, est ici ré-évalué sur la base d'un échantillonnage à l'aveugle des grands bassins versants français et d'un 50 51 échantillonnage ciblé des localités-types historiques. Nous confirmons la validité 52 d'Unio mancus comme espèce distincte, présente en France et en Espagne, où elle 53 est structurée en trois unités géographiques ici traitées comme sous-espèces : U. 54 m. mancus [bassins versants atlantiques, est des Pyrénées, bassins versants 55 méditerranéens espagnols] U. m. turtonii Payraudeau, 1826 [bassins versants des 56 fleuves côtiers à l'est du Rhône et de Corse] et U. m. requienii Dupuy, 1843 57 [Seine, Saône-Rhône, et fleuves côtiers à l'est du Rhône]. De nombreuses populations d'Unio mancus ont été éradiquées dans le courant du 20ème siècle et 58 59 beaucoup de populations restantes restent menacées : l'espèce répond aux critères 60 "En Danger" pour la Liste Rouge de l'UICN.

- 62 Introduction
- Freshwater mussels combine several life history traits (longevity, filter feeding,
- larvae parasitic on the gills of fishes) that make them particularly vulnerable to
- loss of habitat quality. Unionidae have the dubious privilege of being among the
- most threatened freshwater invertebrates (Lydeard et al. 2004), with 20% of North
- 67 American species already listed as Extinct or Possibly Extinct (Master et al.
- 68 2000), and a number of European species protected under national or European
- 69 regulations. Because legislative texts protect species through names, it is
- 70 important that names reflect appropriate evolutionary and/or conservation units.
- However, the taxonomy of European unionids is still unstabilized, especially in
- southern Europe, and the current listings on legislative texts which reflect the
- 73 scientific knowledge of the 1980s have not kept pace with advances in
- 74 taxonomical knowledge.
- 75 The taxonomy of European unionids is plagued with a plethora of names. Based
- on a typological approach to within- and between-population phenotypic
- diversity, hundreds of nominal species have been described, especially as a result
- of the excesses of the 19th century French "Nouvelle École" (see Bouchet 2002).
- 79 There is an important body of classical and modern literature that documents shell
- plasticity in unionoids (e.g., Ortmann 1920; Agrell 1948; Nagel 1992; McMurray
- 81 et al. 1999; Watters 1994; Zieritz and Aldridge 2009; Zieritz et al. 2010) and,
- based on phenotypic resemblances, these 19th century names have ended up in
- 83 synonymy (see, among others, Haas 1969). Molecular techniques are now
- 84 allowing a fresh evaluation of these nominal species, confirming in many cases

85 morphology-based synonymies (Araujo et al. 2005; Araujo et al. 2009b), but sometimes leading to the revalidation of some abusively synonymized species 86 87 (Araujo et al. 2009a, Araujo et al. 2009b; Reis and Araujo 2009; Khalloufi et al. 88 2011). Conservation programs now largely advocate the use of genetic approaches to evaluate the validity of the evolutionary and/or conservation units being 89 90 managed (Schonewald-Cox et al. 1983; Machordom et al. 2003; Geist and Kuehn 91 2005). 92 "Bad taxonomy can kill" (May 1990, Mace 2004). Given the subjective basis of 93 the taxonomic validity of many nominal species, it is not impossible that 94 important conservation units remain unrecognized or buried in the "graveyard of 95 synonymy". Clarifying the taxonomic status of potentially endangered taxa is thus 96 a major concern. Among the unionoids of French hydrographic basins, the 97 taxonomic status of *Unio mancus* Lamarck, 1819 has been controversial in the recent literature. Based on shell characters, Haas (1969) considered *U. mancus* as 98 99 one of the subspecies of U. elongatulus C. Pfeiffer, 1825 (despite the 100 nomenclatural priority of mancus over elongatulus). Nagel and Badino (2001), 101 based on enzymatic studies, treated *U. mancus* as a subspecies of *U. pictorum* 102 (Linnaeus 1758). In the taxonomic authority list of French non-marine molluscs, 103 Falkner et al. (2002) listed U. mancus as a valid species with seven subspecies, 104 largely inspired from Haas' (1969) subspecies of U. elongatulus: U. m. mancus 105 [Seine, Loire, Charente basins, coastal rivers of Normandy], U. m. aleronii 106 Companyo and Massot, 1845 [eastern Pyrenees and Garonne basin], U. m. bourgeticus Bourguignat in Locard, 1882 [Saône and Ain drainages, Lake 107

Bourget], U. m. brindosianus de Folin and Berillon, 1874 [coastal lakes of the Landes], U. m. moquinianus Dupuy, 1843 [piedmont of central and western Pyrenees], U. m. requienii Michaud, 1831 [Rhone basin downstream of Lyon], and *U. m. turtonii* Payraudeau, 1826 [Corsica], suggesting that their geographical segregation implied underlying genetic isolation. The status of *U. mancus* as a valid species was confirmed by Araujo et al. (2005) based on molecular and ecological data on Spanish populations. Except for *U. aleronii* (synonymized with U. mancus by Araujo et al. 2009c), the status of the subspecies recognized by Falkner et al. (2002) has not been further evaluated. Because of the considerable phenotypic variation of the shell in unionids, the application of names beyond their type locality or, at least, beyond the hydrographic basin of their type locality, is problematic. In consequence, and through COI sequences obtained from topotypical populations, the purpose of the present study is (1) to re-evaluate the taxonomic status of Unio mancus and compare it specifically with *U. pictorum*, and (2) to evaluate the taxonomic status of the different nominal subspecies of *U. mancus*.

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

- 125 Material and methods
- 126 Material studied
- 127 The main drainage systems of France (Rhine River excepted) were sampled, with
- special consideration for the type localities of the accepted subspecies (Figure 1,
- Table I). Sampling was successful for type localities of *Unio m. mancus* Lamarck,
- 130 1819, Unio m. aleronii Companyo and Massot, 1845, U. m. bourgeticus
- Bourguignat, 1882, U. m. brindosianus de Folin and Bérillon, 1874, U. m.
- 132 requienii Michaud, 1831 and U. m. turtonii Payraudeau, 1826. U. m. moquinianus
- Dupuy, 1843, seems to have been extirpated from its type locality and we sampled
- nearby localities belonging to the same drainage. In addition, specimens from
- Lake Maggiore in Italy were included in the dataset. A total of 151 specimens
- were sampled in 38 localities (Figure 1, Table I).
- 137 DNA analysis
- 138 A piece of foot was clipped from living specimens and preserved in 90% ethanol.
- Soft tissues and shells are kept in the Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle in
- Paris (see Table I for voucher numbers). DNA was extracted from these samples
- using the Nucleospin Tissue Kit (marketed by Macherey Nagel), following the
- manufacturer's protocol. A fragment of the cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI)
- gene was amplified by PCR using the universal primers LCO1490 and HCO2198
- 144 (Folmer et al. 1994) or our own designated primers (CO1UnioF:
- 145 TGTTAGCTTTGTGATCTGGGTTAATTGG; CO1UnioR:
- 146 AAATTGGATCACCTCCCCAGTAGGG). The PCR mix contained 20 ng of
- 147 DNA, 1U of Fast Start Taq (commercialized by Roche), 6 pmol of dNTP mix,

- $148 \quad 37.5 \text{ pmol of MgCl}_2$, 1 pmol of each primer and H_2O was added for a final volume
- of 50 ml. PCR started with an initial denaturation cycle at 95 °C for 10 min,
- 150 followed by 50 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 1 min, and a
- 151 final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were purified using
- Nucleofast Plates (commercialized by Macherey Nagel) and sequenced in a
- 153 3730XL genetic analyser (Applied Biosystems). Extractions, amplification and
- sequencing were performed by Genoscreen (France) and Eurofins (Germany).
- 155 Fifteen sequences from Araujo et al. (2005) (for U. mancus) and from Soroka
- 156 (2010) (for *U. pictorum*) were downloaded from GenBank and included in the
- analyses (see Table I for GenBank accession numbers). These were selected
- because the sequences are linked to voucher specimens.
- 159 The 154 sequences obtained in this study and the 15 sequences downloaded from
- 160 GenBank were aligned using ClustalW multiple alignment implemented in Bio-
- 161 Edit (Hall, 1999). MEGA 5 (Tamura et al. 2011) was used to calculate the p
- distances between each pair of specimen. The GTR + I + G model was identified
- as the best-fitting substitution model by JModeltest 0.1.1 (Posada, 2008)
- 164 following the Akaike criterion. This model has been used for the Bayesian
- analysis, performed running two parallel analyses in MrBayes (Huelsenbeck *et al.*)
- 166 2001), consisting each of two Markov chains of 15,000,000 generations each,
- sampled every 5,000 generations. A consensus tree was then calculated after
- omitting the first 25% trees as burn-in.
- The Bayesian analyses were run on the 26 different haplotypes obtained from the
- 170 169 sequences involved in this study. *Unio tumidus* Philipsson, 1788 and *Unio*

171	crassus Philipsson, 1788 were chosen as outgroups, as they are considered to be
172	closely related to U. mancus and U. pictorum (Nagel and Badino 2001)
173	Margaritifera auricularia (Spengler, 1793) was chosen as distant outgroup to
174	assess the monophyly of <i>U. mancus</i> and <i>U. pictorum</i> .

- 176 Results
- On the phylogenetic tree (Figure 2), three main clades were defined. Sequences
- 178 from GenBank identified as *Unio mancus* by Araujo et al. (2005) clustered in
- 179 clade 1 together with our own material of *U. mancus* and its subspecies.
- 180 Specimens from the Italian lake cluster in clade 2. Sequences of specimens
- identified as *U. pictorum* by Soroka (2010) all clustered in clade 3 together with
- our own material of *U. pictorum*. Average divergence within the *U. pictorum*
- clade was 0.3 % (max: 1 %), average divergence within the *U. mancus* clade was
- 184 0.5 % (max 1.3 %), minimum distance between *U. mancus* and *U. pictorum* was
- 185 2.9 %. *U. mancus* and *U. pictorum* were found monophyletic.
- 186 *U. pictorum* has been found mainly in northern France (Seine and Meuse basins),
- 187 with isolated populations in Lake Bourget, Lake Brindos and the Garonne basin,
- suggesting a broader distribution but scattered occurrences (Figure 3, Table I). U.
- 189 mancus is found in the Mediterranean drainages of France and eastern Spain to
- the Seine basin in the north. Beyond this presumably natural distribution, *Unio*
- 191 mancus occurs in the Rhine, and Glöer and Zettler (2005) have suggested that this
- is the result of an expansion through the Rhine-Rhône canal (established in 1833),
- where it was not reported before 1911 (as *Unio voltzii* Kobelt, 1911; see Haas
- 194 1969). *U. mancus* has not been found in the Meuse River.
- 195 Specimens from Lake Maggiore in Italy have been referred to "U. pictorum
- 196 mancus" by Ravera et al. (2007), while Gavetti et al. (2008) identify them as U.
- 197 mancus. The specimens from Lake Maggiore form a distinct lineage from U.

199 et al. (1995) and the Italian fauna Checklist (www.faunaitalia.it). 200 Within the *U. mancus* clade, populations were divided in three geographic groups 201 (Figure 3): Group 1a included the populations from Atlantic drainages (Loire, 202 Garonne), plus the Mediterranean populations from Spain and from the Basse 203 River near Perpignan (posterior probabilities = 1); group 1b included the 204 populations from Corsica and South-East France, i.e. drainage systems East of the 205 Rhône (posterior probabilities = 0.86); group 1c the populations from the Rhône, 206 Hérault and Seine drainages (posterior probabilities = 0.45). These three 207 evolutionary units are characterized by several diagnostic characters: clade 1a 208 differs from all other by three fixed apomorphies (bp 448: T instead of C; bp 478: 209 A instead of G; bp 518: G instead of A), clade 1b by one apomorphic character 210 (bp 169: A instead of G) and clade 1c by one apomorphy (bp 346: G instead of 211 A). On the three specimens from Lake Bourget attributable to *U. mancus*, one 212 constitutes a distinct haplotype with only one different character (bp 455: G 213 instead of T). U. m. turtonii is not distinct from continental populations of South-214 East France (Argens). U. m. moquinianus and U. m. aleronii cluster in the same 215 group as the nominate U. m. mancus (Loire), and their haplotypes are shared by 216 most of the specimens sampled (none of them constitute a distinct clade). 217 Specimens from the type locality of *U. m. brindosianus* were found to belong to 218 the *U. pictorum* clade, as well as two specimens from Lake Bourget that did not 219 differ in shell shape from *U. mancus* from the same locality (Figure 4I-J).

mancus (Figure 2, clade 2), which is identifiable as *Unio elongatulus*, after Bodon

221 Discussion

Validity of *Unio mancus*

223 Haas (1969) suspected the possible existence of hybrids between *U. mancus* and 224 U. pictorum in the Seine and Marne Rivers. Nagel and Badino (2001) considered 225 them conspecific. Our results support the distinction between U. mancus and U. 226 pictorum, the former currently present in the Atlantic drainages from the Pyrenees 227 to the small drainages of Brittany, in the Seine basin in upstream Aisne and Marne 228 Rivers, and in Mediterranean drainages, Corsica included. Furthermore, these 229 populations are conspecific with *U. mancus* as identified by Araujo *et al.* (2005) 230 in Spain. The species level status of *mancus* is further supported by the syntopic 231 co-occurrence of *U. mancus* and *U. pictorum* in Lake Bourget and in the Cher, 232 Ourcq, Seine and Aisne Rivers (Figure 3, Table I). 233 Within *Unio mancus*, the French populations cluster in three COI sequences 234 groups (1a, 1b and 1c) that do not correspond to the subspecies delimitations 235 proposed by Haas (1969) and retained by Falkner et al. (2002). However, 236 although sampling topotypes is in principle the most reliable approach to evaluate 237 the status of a nominal (sub)species, it is not certain that material collected in 238 2010-2011 belongs to the same gene pool as the specimens occupying the type 239 localities in the mid-19th century, when the nominal species were described. 240 Aquatic ecosystems have been strongly impacted by human activities over the last 241 century. Water quality has been globally declining, sediment quality has been 242 modified by dams and channelization (Sabater 2008, Sabater and Tockner 2009), 243 and these changes may have locally led to extirpation of populations. Previously isolated drainage systems are now connected by canals and through fish translocation, which induce translocation of associated bivalves through the larval parasitic stage (Nagel 2000; Gherardi et al. 2008; Cappelletti et al. 2009), resulting in new gene pools being introduced to old localities. Because of the potential colonization and introgression, the geographical genetic structuring observed in the 21st century may thus be different from that in the 19th century when names were established. Sampling of specimens at the type locality is thus not sufficient to guarantee that the specimens are genuinely representative of nominal (sub)species originally described from that locality. To avoid a wrong application of the name to supposedly topotypical specimens, it is thus essential to compare them morphologically to the historical type specimens (when available). With this caveat in mind, it is not entirely certain that present-day populations in Lake Bourget (type locality of *U. m. bourgeticus*) represent the same gene pool as in the 1880s. The specimens sampled (Figure 4G-J) have uniformly small, brownish and rounded shells that do seem similar to the type specimens (MNHN 22139, Figure 4K), but the latter are more kidney-shaped. Furthermore, whereas based on COI sequences evidence both U. pictorum and U. mancus are now present in the lake, we found no morphological differences between them. If this situation existed already in the 19th century, then the allocation of the type material of *Unio bourgeticus* to either *U. mancus* or *U. pictorum* is problematical. Likewise, the specimens sampled in Lake Brindos (type locality of U. m. brindosianus) were all attributable to U. pictorum according to COI sequences, but it is not clear whether they correspond genuinely to what was historically

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

described as *U. brindosianus* (which would then be a synonym of *U. pictorum*), or

whether *U. mancus* has been extirpated from that locality and replaced by the

269 ecologically more tolerant *U. pictorum*.

268

270

274

275

276

277

278

279

281

282

283

284

285

287

Evaluation of the morphological variability

271 Important morphological variations exist in shell size, shape and colour of U.

272 *mancus* (Figure 4, more material is illustrated in Prié 2012).

273 Specimens from the Loire (Figure 4B) and Seine drainages (Figure 4C), including

the nomenclatural lectotype designed by Falkner (1994) (Figure 4A), have small-

sized, elongated, and rather yellowish shells; by contrast, specimens from Brittany

have larger and much darker shells (Figure 4D). The population from the Basse

River (type locality of *U. m. aleronii*, Figure 4E) is characterized by small, ovate,

rather greenish shells. Their COI sequences are similar to those from Atlantic

drainages and to the morphologically very different specimens from Spain

280 (Araujo et al. 2005; Araujo et al. 2009c). The sampled population from the Adour

drainage (Pyrenees) has small and rounded shells (Figure 4F), with a very dark

color, that corresponds to the original description of *U. m. moquinianus* and its

taxonomical extension in Haas (1969). Their COI sequences belong to the same

clade as populations from the Loire and Charente Rivers, which have very

different shell shape and color.

Specimens from Lake Bourget (Figure 4G-J) are morphologically homogeneous,

with shells resembling those from the Aisne River, but their COI sequences

288 correspond to two species, *U. pictorum* and *U. mancus*. However, none of the

specimens sampled matches perfectly the kidney-shape and dark shells of 19th 289 290 century historical type specimens (Figure 4K). 291 Populations from Corsican and Mediterranean drainages have large, often kidney-292 shaped shells with a brownish colour (Figure 4L-O). They belong to two distinct 293 clades, one of them, despite different shell shapes, including the Lake Bourget and 294 Seine basin populations. Material from Corsica matches the original description of 295 U. m. turtonii, but this description is vague enough to correspond to any U. 296 mancus population from southern France. Characters such as the thickness of the 297 shells are most probably linked to water quality: Corsican populations of U. 298 mancus live on an acid substrate whereas the mainland populations sampled live 299 mainly on limestone. 300 Incongruence of morphology with DNA sequences data has been noted in 301 previous studies (Nagel 1992; McMurray et al. 1999; Zieritz et al. 2010). Because 302 the historically restricted-range U. m. aleronii, U. m. bourgeticus and U. m. 303 moquinianus cannot be separated by COI sequences, there is no support to regard 304 them as separate taxa despite their morphological singularities. 305 Structuring within *U. mancus* 306 Despite the weak posterior probabilities, the genetic structure within *U. mancus* is 307 biogeographically cohesive and coherent, supporting the recognition of three 308 different evolutionary/conservation units corresponding to the three groups 1a, 1b 309 and 1c (Figure 3). 310 Group 1a includes the populations from Atlantic drainages, from the Pyrenees to 311 Brittany, including the Loire basin, as well as the population from the Basse River in Perpignan. Outside France, it includes Spanish Mediterranean drainages as far South as the Jucar River basin. This clade corresponds to the subspecies *Unio m*. mancus, Unio m. aleronii, U. m. moquinianus as recognized by Falkner et al. (2002), and should thus be known as the nominotypical subspecies *Unio m*. mancus, with the other names as synonyms. The genetic similarity between populations from the Loire and the Adour-Garonne systems was not expected as the Adour and Garonne drainages have to this day remained unconnected by canals (Persat and Keith 2011). This distribution matches that of Leusiscus burdigalensis Valenciennes, 1844 (with the taxonomic extension of Keith et al., 2011). Interestingly, the genus Leusiscus Cuvier, 1816 is closely related to Squalius Bonaparte, 1837, which includes two species known as efficient host fishes for *U. mancus* (Araujo 2005), suggesting that *L. burdigalensis* should be tested as a larval host for *Unio mancus*. The co-clustering of populations from Spanish Mediterranean drainages and French Atlantic drainages evidences that, counter-intuitively, the Pyrenees are not a significant biogeographic barrier. Interestingly, fishes like the Iberian gudgeon Gobio lozanoi Doadrio and Madeira, 2004 or the Adour minnow *Phoxinus bigerri* Kottelat, 2007 are also distributed in both the Ebro basin in Spain and the Adour basin in the French Atlantic drainage (Kottelat and Freyhof 2007, Keith et al. 2001). The distribution of Squalius laietanus Doadrio, Kottelat and de Sostoa, 2007, including the Ebro basin and the southernmost French Mediterranean Rivers (Kottelat and Freyhof 2007, Keith et al. 2001), parallels that of clade 1a in the Basse River. Such distributions may

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

334 have their origin in the connections between French and Spanish hydrographic 335 systems during periods of low sea levels (Persat and Keith, 2011). 336 The Rhône, Vidourle and Hérault rivers have been connected in the past when the 337 sea level was lower, which can explain the similarity of fauna in the rivers 338 draining to the Golfe du Lion (Persat and Keith, 2011). This is congruent with the 339 COI sequences results in our analysis. By contrast, an unexpected result of our 340 study was the allocation of specimens from the Seine drainage system to clade 1c. 341 This was unexpected as the fauna of the Seine is in general more similar to that of 342 the Loire due to (i) connections during geological time until the early Pleistocene, 343 and (ii) historically (year 1642), the opening of the canal de Briare connecting the 344 Loire and the Loing (a tributary of the Seine). The artificial connection between 345 the Rhône and the Seine systems was established later in 1832 (through Canal de 346 Bourgogne between Ouch and Armacon Rivers) and 1907 (canal between the 347 Saone and Marne Rivers). We hypothesize that the occurrence of *U. mancus* in 348 the Seine is the result of a modern colonization event, either via canals or fish 349 translocation. 350 Falkner et al. (2002) restricted the name U. m. turtonii to Corsican populations 351 and questioned the identity of populations for continental rivers East of the 352 Rhône. The clustering of COI sequences of specimens from South-East France 353 and Corsica in group 1b is congruent with Germain's (1931) opinion, and the 354 name *U. m. turtonii* is applicable to it. 355 Clade 1c corresponds to the subspecies U. m. requienii as recognized by Falkner 356 et al. (2002), but with a distribution here extended to the Hérault River and to the Seine system. (The identity of *U. m. bourgeticus*, from the Rhône drainage, remains in doubt as collected material seems different from type material, but it is probably a synonym of *U. m. requienii*).

Conservation issues

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

When the appendices to the Habitats and Species Directive (92/43/EEC) were put together back in the early 1990s (see Bouchet et al. 1999 for a history of how the list was compiled), the prevailing scientific opinion was that *Unio pictorum* was a polytypic species, with a broad range extending from Portugal to Estonia, and was not endangered. The then poorly known *U. mancus* was not explicitly considered, and was probably implicitly considered to be part of the variation of *U. pictorum* (e. g. in the Habitats Directive) or *U. elongatulus* (e. g. in the Bern Convention). The recognition of *U. mancus* as a distinct species, endemic to a few drainages in France and Spain, has of course radical consequences in a conservation perspective (Morrison et al. 2009). Extensive sampling in the main drainages in the course of the present study resulted in the discovery of healthy populations of *Unio mancus*, particularly in Atlantic drainages, as well as healthy populations of *U. crassus*, a species considered endangered, protected in France and listed in the Habitats Directive. However, compared to *U. crassus*, *U. mancus* has a much more restricted range, with drainages in Mediterranean and southwestern France contributing most populations. These drainages are heavily impacted by human activities and systematic sampling of historical localities indicates an important decline of populations, giving cause of concern for their conservation status.

Intensive farming produces considerable pollutants effluents: 73,000 tons of phytosanitary products have been used in France in 2004, and pesticides have been detected in 96% of French rivers checkpoints (IFEN 2006). Dams and impoundments for irrigation are a primary cause of fragmentation and habitat loss due to siltation (Strayer 2006, Sabater 2008); water extraction and severe droughts heighten the concentration of pollutants and eutrophication effects (Sabater and Tockner 2009). It thus does not come as a surprise that the distributions and abundances of unionids in French rivers differ – sometimes considerably – from what can be inferred from 19th century publications. For instance, the type localities of *U. m. moquinianus* do not seem to host *Unio* species any more: the Echez and Arros Rivers are both highly impacted by intensive corn farming. Only a few live specimens were found in the Drée River (type locality of U. m. mancus), whereas numerous recently dead specimens covered the river bed, indicating a recent die-off. The Drée River is subject to recurrent pollution from malfunctioning sewage treatment plants, and an important pollution, involving fish mortality, occurred in summer 2010 during a low water event. Although we could find living *Unio* in Lake Brindos, these were very scarce and no juveniles were encountered, indicating a declining population. Indeed, the site is affected by heavy organic siltation, the substrate is dominated by anoxic sludge, and the survival of this population is obviously compromised. As noticed above, specimens collected in Lake Le Bourget differ morphologically from specimens historically collected in that lake, and a doubt remains concerning the survival of such historical *U. m. bourgeticus* morphotypes.

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

Populations in the Mediterranean drainages have become very restricted and demographically weak. Mediterranean rivers are affected by water restriction, which increases the effect of other stressors such as pollutants, UV-impact and thermal stress (Tockner et al. 2010), and should be even more impacted by human activities and global changes in the future (Alcamo et al. 2007; Sabater and Tockner 2009). French Mediterranean drainages are home to two subspecies, for which conservation efforts should be considered. In Corsica, U. m. turtonii was historically known from at least eight rivers (Payraudeau 1826), which have all been resurveyed (this paper and Araujo, pers. com.); Unio m. turtonii has likely been extirpated from three of these sites. It also seems to have recently been locally extirpated from the River Argens by a major flood that occurred in December 2010 after our sampling had taken place. The type locality of U. m. aleronii in the Basse River is now restricted to a stretch of a few hundreds of meters, between the suburbs of Perpignan downstream and the newly built railway line upstream. Robust taxon delimitation of, and within, U. mancus thus suggests its conservation status should be revised to take into account the impact of habitat degradation on the size and number of populations of its constituent subspecies or evolutionary units.

IUCN categorization

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

- 423 *U. mancus* is currently considered "Near Threatened" on the IUCN European Red
- 424 List of Non-Marine Molluscs (Cuttelod et al. 2011). At the time when the Red
- 425 List was compiled (2009), criterion A (declining population) was not used as no

precise data were available to support it. This is the unfortunate fate of invertebrate taxa: despite evidence of an alarming global decline of non-marine molluscs (Lydeard et al 2004), we generally lack proper data to assess population decline for each taxon considered. In this paper, 18 historical localities have been re-sampled. Despite an intensive search involving several prospectors and appropriate approaches (aquascope, dredging, scuba diving), the result was that 10 of these 18 populations have been extirpated since the early 1900s and four out of the remaining eight are declining. Moreover, global decline can be inferred from the well documented decline of habitat and water quality. It is therefore likely that population reduction has reached over 50% during the 20th century. The causes are not clearly understood, they have not ceased and are in most cases not reversible; inferred decline is based on direct observation, decline in Area Of Occurrence (AOO), and effect of pollutants. Based on these considerations, U. mancus meets the criteria A2ace to categorize as "Endangered". With regard to criteria B (restricted range and fragmentation, decline or fluctuations) and C (small population size and decline or fluctuations), *U. mancus* has a relatively large distribution (about 800,000 km², based on river basins) and healthy populations are known. Given this distribution and occurrence, criteria B and C are not globally applicable, but the present study stresses out the "severely fragmented" option in criterion B, which should be taken into account. Different COI lineages have been revealed in France but, although COI evolves at a fast rate, it is not enough to reveal population isolation nowadays. Therefore, fragmentation may be higher than suggested by COI sequences. Further studies on

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

449 population genetics may highlight distinct populations most likely isolated in 450 independent hydrosystems. 451 Fragmentation and decline are an established fact, especially with regard to U. m. 452 turtonii known only from six locations: one on the mainland (the population 453 sampled by us has since been extirpated but specimens probably survive 454 elsewhere in the River Argens), five in Corsica, with extirpation from three 455 further localities within a century. However, total population probably exceeds 456 10,000 individuals, and criterion C is not applicable. Criterion D (very small 457 population or very restricted distribution) and E. (Quantitative analysis of 458 extinction risk) are not applicable either. 459 Each of the three subspecies of *Unio mancus* recognized in the present study 460 should arguably be considered as "Endangered". Despite a more restricted range and higher anthropogenic pressure on U. m. turtonii, it does meet the IUCN 461 462 criteria for "Critically Endangered".

464 Acknowledgments

475

Benjamin Adam (BIOTOPE), Michel Bramard (ONEMA), Xavier Cucherat 465 466 (BIOTOPE), Erwann Jacquin (Deli-Sports), Florent Lamand (ONEMA), Joseph Mateï (ONEMA), Marie-Lilith Patou (BIOTOPE), Pierre-Yves Pasco (SEPNB-467 468 Bretagne vivante), Henri Persat (LEHNA), Oscar Ravera (CNR - Institute of 469 Ecosystem Study), Ludwick Simon (BIOTOPE) took part in the sampling effort. 470 Gérard Tardivo (DREAL Centre) allowed obtaining a special authorization for 471 sampling protected species U. crassus and M. auricularia. This study was 472 completed with financial support of BIOTOPE (PI Frederic Melki) and grants 473 from MNHN ATM Barcode (PIs Sarah Samadi and Jean-Noël Labat) and ANR 474 6eme extinction (PI Philippe Bouchet).

- 476 References:
- 477 Agrell I., 1948. The shell morphology of some Swedish unionids as affected by
- 478 ecological conditions. Arkiv för zoologi, 41A, 1-30.
- 479 Alcamo J., Flörke M. and Märker M., 2007. Future long-term changes in global
- 480 water resources driven by socioeconomic and climatic change. Hydrological
- 481 *Science Journal*, 52, 247-275.
- 482 Araujo R., Gomez I. and Machordom A., 2005. The identity and biology of *Unio*
- 483 mancus Lamarck, 1819 (= U. elongatulus) (Bivalvia: Unionidae) in the Iberian
- 484 Peninsula. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, 71, 25-31.
- 485 Araujo R., Toledo C. and Machordom A., 2009a. Redescription of *Unio gibbus*
- 486 Spengler, 1793, a west palaearctic freshwater mussel with hookless glochidia.
- 487 *Malacologia*, 51, 131-141.
- 488 Araujo R., Toledo C., Van Damme D., Ghamizi M., and Machordom A., 2009b.
- 489 Margaritifera marocana (Pallary, 1918): A valid species inhabiting Moroccan
- 490 rivers. Journal of Molluscan Studies, 75, 95-101.
- 491 Araujo R., Reis J., Machordom A., Toledo C., Madeira M.J., Gómez I., Velasco J.
- 492 C., Morales J., Barea J.M., Ondina P. and Ayala I., 2009c. Las náiades de la
- 493 península ibérica. *Iberus*, 27, 7-72.
- 494 Bodon M., Favilli L., Giusti F. and Manganelli G., 1995. Gastropoda Pulmonata.
- 495 in: Minelli A., Ruffo S. and La Posta S. [Eds]. Checklist delle specie della fauna
- 496 italiana, Bologna (Calderini), 14, 1-160.
- Bouchet P., 2002. Mollusques terrestres et aquatiques de France : un nouveau
- 498 référentiel taxonomique, un nouveau départ, de nouvelles perspectives. *In*:

- 499 Falkner G., Ripken T. E. J. and Falkner M., 2002. Mollusques continentaux de
- 500 France : Liste de Référence annotée et Bibliographie, *Patrimoines Naturels*, 52, 5-
- 501 20.
- 502 Bouchet P., Falkner G. and Seddon M., 1999. Lists of protected land and
- freshwater molluscs in the Bern Convention and European Habitats Directive: are
- they relevant to conservation? *Biological Conservation*, 90, 21-31.
- 505 Cappelletti C., Cianfanelli S., Beltrami M. E. and Ciutti F., 2009. Sinanodonta
- 506 woodiana (Lea, 1834) (Bivalvia: Unionidae): A new non-indigenous species in
- lake Garda (Italy). *Aquatic Invasions*, 4, 685-688.
- 508 Cuttelod A., Seddon M. and Neubert E., 2011. European Red List of Non-marine
- Molluscs. IUCN. Luxembourg, Publications Office of the European Union, 97 p.
- 510 Falkner G., 1994. Systematik vorderorientalischer Najaden als Vorstudie zur
- Bearbeitung archäologischer Funde. In: Kokabi M. and Wahl J. (Eds), Beiträge
- 512 zur archäozoologie und prähistorischen Anthropologie, Forschungen und
- 513 Bearbeitung archäologischer Funde. Forschungen und Berichte zur Vor- und
- 514 Frühgeschichte in Baden-Württemberg, Stuttgart (K. Theiss), 53, 135-162.
- 515 Falkner G., Ripken T.E.J. and Falkner M., 2002. Mollusques continentaux de
- 516 France. Liste de référence annotée et bibliographie. Patrimoines Naturels, 52,
- 517 350 p.
- Folmer O., Black M., Hoeh W., Lutz R. and Vrijenhoek R., 1994. DNA primers
- for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit i from diverse
- 520 metazoan invertebrates. Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology, 3, 294 -
- 521 299.

- Gavetti E., Birindelli S., Bodon M. and Manganelli G., 2008. Molluschi terrestri e
- 523 d'acqua dolce della Valle di Susa. Monografie di Museo Regionale di Scienze
- Naturali, Torino 44, Beinasco, 274 p.
- 525 Geist J. and Kuehn R., 2005. Genetic diversity and differentiation of central
- 526 European freshwater pearl mussel (*Margaritifera margaritifera* L.) populations:
- 527 Implications for conservation and management. *Molecular Ecology*, 14, 425-439.
- 528 Germain, L., 1931. Mollusques terrestres et fluviatiles. Faune de France, Paris
- 529 (Lechevalier), 477 p.
- 530 Gherardi F., Bertolino S., Bodon M., Casellato S., Cianfanelli S., Ferraguti M.,
- 531 Lori E., Mura G., Nocita A., Riccardi N., Rossetti G., Rota E., Scalera R.,
- Zerunian S. and Tricarico E., 2008. Animal xenodiversity in Italian inland waters:
- 533 Distribution, modes of arrival, and pathways. *Biological Invasions*, 10, 435-454.
- 534 Glöer P. and Zettler M. L., 2005. Kommentierte Artenliste der
- 535 Süßwassermollusken Deutschlands. *Malakologische Abhandlungen*, 23, 3-26.
- Haas F., 1969. Superfamilia Unionacea. Das Tierreich, 88, 663 p.
- Hall T. A., 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor
- and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series,
- 539 41, 95-98.
- Huelsenbeck J. P., Ronquist F. and Hall B., 2001. Mrbayes: Bayesian inference of
- phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics*, 17, 754-755.
- 542 IFEN, 2006. Les pesticides dans les eaux, données 2003 et 2004. In: F. Nirascou
- 543 (Ed.), Les Dossiers de l'IFEN, Institut Français de l'Environnement, Orléans, 38p.

- Keith P., Persat H., Feunteun E., Allardy J. (Eds.), 2011. Les poissons d'eau
- 545 douce de France. Editions Biotope-Mèze and MNHN-Paris, 552 p.
- 546 Khalloufi N., Toledo C., Machordom A., Boumaïza M. and Araujo R., 2011. The
- 547 unionids of Tunisia: Taxonomy and phylogenetic relationships, with redescription
- of Unio ravoisieri Deshayes, 1847 and U. durieui Deshayes 1847. Journal of
- 549 *Molluscan Studies*, 77, 103-115.
- Kottelat M. and Freyhof J., 2007. Handbook of European freshwater fishes.
- Published by the authors, 666 p.
- Lydeard C., Cowie R. H., Ponder W. F., Bogan A. E., Bouchet P., Clark S. A.,
- 553 Cumming K.S., Frest T.J., Gargominy O., Herbert D.G., Hershler R., Perez K.E.,
- Roth B., Seddon M., Strong E.E and Thompson F.G., 2004. The global decline of
- 555 nonmarine mollusks. *BioScience*, 54, 321-330.
- Mace, G. M., 2004. The role of taxonomy in species conservation. *Philosophical*
- *Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 359, 711-719.
- 558 Machordom A., Araujo R., Erpenbeck D. and Ramos M.A., 2003.
- 559 Phylogeography and conservation genetics of endangered European
- 560 Margaritiferidae (Bivalvia: Unionoidea). Biological Journal of the Linnean
- 561 Society, 78, 235–252.
- Master L. L., Stein B. A., Kutner L. S. and Hammerson G. A. 2000. Vanishing
- assets: Conservation status of U.S. Species. In: Stein B. A., Kutner L. S. and
- Adams J. S. (Eds.), Precious heritage: The status of biodiversity in the United
- States, New York, Oxford University Press, 93-118.
- 566 May, R., 1990. Taxonomy as destiny. *Nature*, 347, 129-130.

- 567 McMurray S.E., Schuster G.A. and Ramey B.A., 1999. Recruitment in a
- 568 freshwater unionid (Mollusca: Bivalvia) community downstream of Cave Run
- Lake in the Licking River, Kentucky. American Malacological Bulletin, 15, 57-
- 570 63.
- Morrison W. R., Lohr J. L., Duchen P., Wilches R., Trujillo D., Mair M. and
- Renner S.S., 2009. The impact of taxonomic change on conservation: Does it kill,
- 573 can it save, or is it just irrelevant? *Biological Conservation* 142, 3201–3206.
- Nagel K.O., 1992. Das Schalenwachstum dreier Muschelarten (Bivalvia:
- 575 Unionidae) in der Schwalm, einem nordhessischen Mittelgebirgsfluβ.
- 576 Decheniana, 145, 165-176.
- Nagel K.O., 2000. Testing hypotheses on the dispersal and evolutionary history of
- 578 freshwater mussels (Mollusca: Bivalvia: Unionidae). Journal of Evolutionary
- 579 *Biology*, 13, 854-865.
- Nagel K.O. and Badino G., 2001. Population genetics and systematics of the
- European Unionidae. In: Bauer G. and Wächtler K., Ecology and Evolution of the
- Freshwater Mussels Unionidae, *Ecological Studies*, 1454, 51-81.
- Ortmann A.E., 1920. Correlation of shape and station in freshwater mussels
- (Naiades). *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society*, 59, 268-312.
- Payraudeau B.-C., 1826. Catalogue descriptif et méthodique des Annélides et des
- Mollusques de l'île de Corse. Bechet, Levrault, Paschoud, Treuttel and Wurtz,
- 587 Paris, 218 p.
- Persat H. and Keith P., 2011. Biogéographie et historique de la mise en place des
- 589 peuplements ichtyologiques de France métropolitaine. In: Keith P., Persat H.,

- 590 Feunteun E., Allardy J., Eds.., Les poissons d'eau douce de France. Editions
- 591 Biotope-Mèze and MNHN-Paris, 552 p.
- 592 Posada D., 2008. jModelTest: Phylogenetic Model Averaging. *Molecular Biology*
- *and Evolution*, 25, 1253-1256
- Prié V., 2012. Les sous-espèces de la Mulette méridionale *mancus* Lamarck 1819
- 595 (Bivalvia, Unionidea) en France : descriptions originales et matériel topotypique.
- 596 *MalaCo*, 8, 19 p.
- Ravera O., Frediani A. and Riccardi N., 2007. Seasonal variations in population
- 598 dynamics and biomass of two *Unio pictorum mancus* (Mollusca, Unionidae)
- 599 populations from two lakes of different trophic state. Journal of Limnology, 66,
- 600 15-27.
- Reis J. and Araujo R., 2009. Redescription of *Unio tumidiformis* Castro, 1885
- 602 (Bivalvia, Unionidae), an endemism from the south-western Iberian peninsula.
- 603 *Journal of Natural History*, 43, 1929-1945.
- Sabater S., 2008. Alteration of the global water cycle and their effects on river
- structure, function and services. Freshwater Reviews, 1, 75-88.
- 606 Sabater S. and Tockner K., 2009. Effects of hydrologic alterations on the
- ecological quality of river ecosystems. *In*: Sabater S. and Barcelo D. (Eds), Water
- scarcity in the Mediterranean: Perspectives under global change, Springer Berlin /
- 609 Heidelberg, 15-39.
- 610 Schonewald-Cox C.M., Chambers S.M., Macbryde B. and Thomas L., 1983.
- 611 Genetics and conservation. Menlo Park, California: Benjamin/Cummings, 722 p.

- 612 Soroka M., 2010. Characteristics of mitochondrial DNA of unionid bivalves
- 613 (Mollusca: Bivalvia: Unionidae). I. Detection and characteristic of double
- 614 uniparental inheritance (DUI) of unionid mitochondrial DNA. Folia
- 615 *Malacologica*, 18, 147-188.
- Strayer D. L., 2006. Challenges for freshwater invertebrate conservation. *Journal*
- 617 of North American Benthological Society, 25, 271-287.
- Tamura K., Peterson D., Peterson N., Stecher G., Nei M. and Kumar S., 2011.
- 619 MEGA5: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis using Maximum Likelihood,
- 620 Evolutionary Distance, and Maximum Parsimony methods. *Molecular Biology*
- 621 and Evolution, 28, 2731-2739.
- Tockner K., Pusch M., Borchardt D. and Lorang M. S., 2010. Multiple stressors in
- 623 coupled river–floodplain ecosystems. Freshwater Biology, 55, 135-151.
- Watters G.T., 1994. Form and function of unionoidean shell sculpture and shape
- 625 (Bivalvia). American Malacological Bulletin, 11, 1–20.
- 626 Zieritz A. and Aldridge D.C., 2009. Identification of ecophenotypic trends within
- three European freshwater mussel species (Bivalvia: Unionoida) using traditional
- and modern morphometric techniques. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society,
- 629 98, 814–825.
- 630 Zieritz A., Hoffman J.I., Amos W. and Aldridge D.C., 2010. Phenotypic plasticity
- and genetic isolation-by-distance in the freshwater mussel *Unio pictorum*
- 632 (Mollusca: Unionoida). *Evolutionary Ecology*, 24, 923-938.

Table I: Samples localities, MNHN numbers and GenBank accession numbers.

*: type localities.

	Maritime Facade	Major drainage system	River	Species	Clade	Haplotype	Voucher n°	Genebank accession n
				Unio mancus mancus	1c	1	IM-2009-12598	En cours
			Loutz	Unio mancus mancus	1c	1	IM-2009-12599	En cours
				Unio pictorum	2	18	IM-2009-17835	En cours
				Unio pictorum	2	18	IM-2009-17836	En cours
			Lake Brindos *	Unio pictorum	2	18	IM-2009-17837	En cours
		Adour		Unio pictorum	2	18	IM-2009-17838	En cours
				Unio pictorum	2	18	IM-2009-17839	En cours
				Unio pictorum	2	17	IM-2009-21214	En cours
			Gave de Pau	Unio pictorum	2	19	IM-2009-21215	En cours
				Unio pictorum	2	17	IM-2009-21216	En cours
				Unio mancus mancus	1c	1	IM-2009-12710	En cours
			D.II.	Unio mancus mancus	1c	1	IM-2009-12711	En cours
		Charente	Belle	Unio mancus mancus	1c	1	IM-2009-12713	En cours
	Atlantic			Unio mancus mancus	1c	1	IM-2009-12714	En cours
			Né	Unio mancus mancus	1c	1	IM-2009-12715	En cours
Sequences				Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	IM-2009-12716	En cours
produced for this paper				Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	IM-2009-12717	En cours
			Seugne	Unio mancus mancus	1c	3	IM-2009-12602	En cours
				Unio mancus mancus	1c	1	IM-2009-12604	En cours
		Dordogne	Lary	Unio pictorum	2	17	IM-2009-12588	En cours
				Unio pictorum	3	17	IM-2009-12589	En cours
		Loire		Unio mancus mancus	1a	6	IM-2009-21274	En cours
				Unio mancus mancus	1a	1	IM-2009-21275	En cours
				Unio mancus mancus	1a	1	IM-2009-21276	En cours
				Unio mancus mancus	1a	6	IM-2009-21277	En cours
			A.,46.*	Unio mancus mancus	1a	1	IM-2009-21278	En cours
			Authion	Unio mancus mancus	1a	6	IM-2009-21279	En cours
				Unio mancus mancus	1a	6	IM-2009-21280	En cours
				Unio mancus mancus	1a	6	IM-2009-21281	En cours
				Unio mancus mancus	1a	6	IM-2009-21282	En cours
				Unio mancus mancus	1a	1	IM-2009-21283	En cours
			Boivre	Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	IM-2009-12591	En cours

Dries								
Unio mancus mancus 1c 6 IM-2009-21296 En cours				Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	IM-2009-21267	En cours
Unite mancus mancus 1c 2 IM-2009-21293 En cours			Drée*	Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	IM-2009-21268	En cours
Unio mancus mancus				Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	IM-2009-21269	En cours
Unio mancus moncus				Unio mancus mancus	1c	2	IM-2009-21293	En cours
Unio mancus mancus				Unio mancus mancus	1c	4	IM-2009-21294	En cours
Cher				Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	IM-2009-21295	En cours
Unio mancus mancus 1c 6 IM-2009-21298 Fin cours				Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	IM-2009-21296	En cours
Unio mancus mancus 1c			Cher	Unio pictorum	2	17	IM-2009-21297	En cours
Unio mancus mancus 1c 2 IM-2009-21300 En cours				Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	IM-2009-21298	En cours
Unio pictorum				Unio mancus mancus	1c	1	IM-2009-21299	En cours
None Unio mancus mancus 1c				Unio mancus mancus	1c	2	IM-2009-21300	En cours
Vonne				Unio pictorum	2	17	IM-2009-21301	En cours
Atlantic via Manche Atlantic via Manche Atlantic via Manche Seine Elle Unio mancus mancus Duio mancus mancus Ellé Unio mancus mancus Duio pictorum Duio mancus requienii Duio man				Unio mancus mancus	1c	1	IM-2009-12666	En cours
Aliantic via Manche			Vonne	Unio mancus mancus	1c	1	IM-2009-12667	En cours
Aliantic via Manche Ellé Unio mancus mancus 1c 6 IM-2009-17788 En cours				Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	IM-2009-12668	En cours
Note		A.V.	EU (Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	IM-2009-17787	En cours
Beaufort Beaufort Beaufort Beaufort Unio mancus mancus 1a 5 IM-2009-21303 En cours		Aër	Ellé	Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	IM-2009-17788	En cours
Blez Jean Beaufort Unio mancus mancus 1a 5 IM-2009-21304 En cours				Unio mancus mancus	1a	5	IM-2009-21302	En cours
Blez Jean Beaufort Unio mancus mancus 1a 5 IM-2009-21305 En cours				Unio mancus mancus	1a	5	IM-2009-21303	En cours
Unio mancus mancus		Blez Jean	Described:	Unio mancus mancus	1a	5	IM-2009-21304	En cours
Unio mancus mancus 1a 5 IM-2009-21307 En cours			Beaufort	Unio mancus mancus	1a	5	IM-2009-21305	En cours
Atlantic via Manche Aisne Dial pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-17764 En cours				Unio mancus mancus	1a	5	IM-2009-21306	En cours
Atlantic via Manche Alsne Unio pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-17798 En cours Unio pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-17799 En cours Unio pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-17801 En cours Unio pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-17802 En cours Unio pictorum 0 19 IM-2009-21250 En cours Unio pictorum 1 23 IM-2009-21285 En cours Unio pictorum 2 23 IM-2009-21286 En cours Unio pictorum 2 23 IM-2009-21286 En cours Unio pictorum 2 27 IM-2009-21286 En cours Unio pictorum 2 21 IM-2009-21287 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21218 En cours Unio mancus requienii				Unio mancus mancus	1a	5	IM-2009-21307	En cours
Atlantic via Manche Alsne Unio pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-17799 En cours Unio pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-17801 En cours Unio pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-17802 En cours Unio pictorum 0 19 IM-2009-21250 En cours Unio pictorum 1 23 IM-2009-21285 En cours Unio pictorum 1 23 IM-2009-21286 En cours Unio pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-21286 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21218 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21222 En cours Unio mancus requienii				Unio pictorum	2	17	IM-2009-17764	En cours
Atlantic via Manche Atlantic via Manche Armance Unio pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-17801 En cours Interpretation in the cours Unio pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-17802 En cours Interpretation in the cours Unio pictorum 1 23 IM-2009-21250 En cours Unio pictorum 1 23 IM-2009-21285 En cours Unio pictorum 2 23 IM-2009-21286 En cours Unio pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-21286 En cours Unio pictorum 2 21 IM-2009-21287 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21218 En cours Unio mancus requienii				Unio pictorum	2	17	IM-2009-17798	En cours
Atlantic via Manche Armance Unio pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-17802 En cours IM-2009-21250 En cours Unio pictorum 1 23 IM-2009-21285 En cours Unio pictorum 2 23 IM-2009-21286 En cours Unio pictorum 2 23 IM-2009-21286 En cours Unio pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-21286 En cours Unio pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-21288 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21218 En cours Unio mancus requienii			Aisne	Unio pictorum	2	17	IM-2009-17799	En cours
Manche Armance Unio pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-17802 En cours				Unio pictorum	2	17	IM-2009-17801	En cours
Armance Unio pictorum 0 19 IM-2009-21250 En cours				Unio pictorum	2	17	IM-2009-17802	En cours
Seine Eure Unio pictorum 2 23 IM-2009-21286 En cours			Armance	Unio pictorum	0	19	IM-2009-21250	En cours
Unio pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-21287 En cours Oise Unio pictorum 2 21 IM-2009-12688 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21218 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21222 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21224 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21228 En cours				Unio pictorum	1	23	IM-2009-21285	En cours
Oise Unio pictorum 2 21 IM-2009-12688 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21218 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21222 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21224 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21228 En cours			Eure	Unio pictorum	2	23	IM-2009-21286	En cours
Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21218 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21222 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21224 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21228 En cours				Unio pictorum	2	17	IM-2009-21287	En cours
Ourcq Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21222 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21224 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21228 En cours			Oise	Unio pictorum	2	21	IM-2009-12688	En cours
Ourcq Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21224 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21228 En cours			Ourcq	Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-21218	En cours
Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21228 En cours				Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-21222	En cours
				Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-21224	En cours
Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-21229 En cours				Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-21228	En cours
				Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-21229	En cours

Mediterranean								
Unio pictorum				Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-21231	En cours
Unio mancus requiemi				Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-21233	En cours
Petit Mori				Unio pictorum		23	IM-2009-21234	En cours
Petit Morin				Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-21235	En cours
Petit Morin				Unio pictorum	2	23	IM-2009-21236	En cours
Petit Marin				Unio mancus requienii		11	IM-2009-17803	En cours
Unio mancus requienti 12 1M-2009-17813 Fin cours				Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-17810	En cours
Minimancus requienii 1b 13 1M-209-17814 En cours			Petit Morin	Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-17812	En cours
Mediterranean				Unio mancus requienii		12	IM-2009-17813	En cours
Mediterranean Mediterrane				Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-17814	En cours
Seine Unio pictorum 2 21 IM-2009-12695 En cours				Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-21242	En cours
Unio pictorum 2 17				Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-21245	En cours
Note			Seine	Unio pictorum	2	21	IM-2009-12695	En cours
Nediterranean Somme Somme Canal Unio pictorum 2				Unio pictorum	2	17	IM-2009-12696	En cours
Somme Somme Somme Somme Canal Unio pictorum 2 21 IM-2009-17772 En cours				Unio pictorum	2	17	IM-2009-12697	En cours
Mediterranean Mediterranea		Sommo	Sommo Canal	Unio pictorum	2	17	IM-2009-17771	En cours
Argens		Somme	Somme Canal	Unio pictorum	2	21	IM-2009-17772	En cours
Unio mancus turtonii		Argens	Argens	Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12571	En cours
Basse		Aigeiis	Argens	Unio mancus turtonii	1a	10	IM-2009-12579	En cours
Basse		Basse	Basse*	Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	IM-2009-17815	En cours
Basse				Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	IM-2009-17816	En cours
Mediterranean				Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	IM-2009-17817	En cours
Mediterranean				Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	IM-2009-17818	En cours
Mediterranean				Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	IM-2009-17819	En cours
Mediterranean Hérault Unio mancus requienii 1b 14 IM-2009-12569 En cours Lamalou Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-12572 En cours Ardèche Unio mancus requienii 1b 14 IM-2009-12570 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-12582 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-12701 En cours Unio pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-12703 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-12704 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-12705 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-12706 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 15 IM-2009-12708 En cours Cèze Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-12581 En cours				Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	IM-2009-17820	En cours
Mediterranean Hérault Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-12572 En cours Lamalou Unio mancus requienii 1b 14 IM-2009-12570 En cours Ardèche Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-12582 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-12701 En cours Unio pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-12703 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-12704 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-12705 En cours Unio mancus requienii 1b 15 IM-2009-12708 En cours Cèze Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-12708 En cours				Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	IM-2009-17821	En cours
Hérault			Hérault	Unio mancus requienii	1b	14	IM-2009-12569	En cours
Ardèche Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-12582 En cours	Mediterranean	Hérault		Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-12572	En cours
Note that the property is a second of the property is a			Lamalou	Unio mancus requienii	1b	14	IM-2009-12570	En cours
Rhône Lake Bourget* Unio pictorum 2 17 IM-2009-12703 En cours			Ardèche	Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-12582	En cours
Lake Bourget* Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-12704 En cours		Rhône		Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-12701	En cours
Rhône			Lake Bourget*	Unio pictorum	2	17	IM-2009-12703	En cours
Note				Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-12704	En cours
Unio mancus requienii 1b 15 IM-2009-12708 En cours Cèze Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-12581 En cours				Unio pictorum	2	17	IM-2009-12705	En cours
Cèze Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-12581 En cours				Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-12706	En cours
				Unio mancus requienii	1b	15	IM-2009-12708	En cours
Lake Gravière* Unio mancus requienii 1b 13 IM-2009-17775 En cours			Cèze	Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-12581	En cours
			Lake Gravière*	Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-17775	En cours

1	1	Ī	1	Ī		,	•	Ī
			Rhône	Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-12605	En cours
				Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-12699	En cours
				Unio mancus requienii	1b	14	IM-2009-17806	En cours
			Suran	Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-17807	En cours
				Unio mancus requienii	1b	14	IM-2009-17808	En cours
				Unio mancus requienii	1b	13	IM-2009-17809	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12735	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12736	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1 a	9	IM-2009-12737	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12738	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12739	En cours
			Fium Orbu*	Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12740	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12747	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12748	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	8	IM-2009-12749	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12751	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12754	En cours
			Golo*	Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-17784	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12725	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12726	En cours
		Corsica	Taravu*	Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12727	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12728	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12729	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12730	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12731	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12732	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12733	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12734	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12758	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12759	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12760	En cours
			Ta.::aa*	Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12761	En cours
			Taviganu*	Unio mancus turtonii	1a	9	IM-2009-12762	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1 a	9	IM-2009-21815	En cours
				Unio mancus turtonii	1 a	9	IM-2009-17750	En cours
	Pô			Unio mancus turtonii	1 a	9	IM-2009-17751	En cours
		D2	Lake Maggiore	Unio elongatulus	3	16	IM-2009-12673	En cours
		rave Magginie	Unio elongatulus	3	16	IM-2009-12674	En cours	

				Unio elongatulus	3	16	IM-2009-12675	En cours
				Unio pictorum	2	22	IM-2009-12573	En cours
	Nauthaus Caa	Maria	Marra	Unio pictorum	2	17	IM-2009-12574	En cours
	Northern Sea	Meuse	Meuse	Unio pictorum	2	22	IM-2009-12576	En cours
				Unio pictorum	2	17	IM-2009-12577	En cours
				Unio pictorum	2	13	?	AF468684.2
		North Sea (Poland)		Unio pictorum	2	14	233	EU548056.1
				Unio pictorum	2	19	253	EU548057.1
				Unio mancus mancus	1c	7	Fw1238-15	AY522847.1
				Unio mancus mancus	1c	7	Fw1238-18	AY522848.1
			Unio mancus mancus	1c	7	Fw1487-1	AY522849.1	
				Unio mancus mancus	1c	7	Fw1487-2	AY522850.1
Genebank sequences			Unio mancus mancus	1c	7	Fw1487-3	AY522851.1	
		Mediterranean (Spain)	Unio mancus mancus	1c	7	Fw1487-4	AY522852.1	
		Mediterranean (Spain)	Unio mancus mancus	1c	7	Fw1487-5	AY522853.1	
			Unio mancus mancus	1c	7	Fw1535-N1	AY522854.1	
			Unio mancus mancus	1c	7	Fw1536-N2	AY522855.1	
			Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	Fw1544-N50	AY522856.1	
			Unio mancus mancus	1c	6	Fw1545-N53	AY522857.1	
				Unio mancus mancus	1c	7	Fw1585-N250	AY522858.1
	North Sea	Meuse	Meuse	Unio tumidus			IM-2009-12575	En cours
Outgroups (own sequences)		Loire	Vienne	Unio crassus			IM-2009-12564	En cours
	Atlantic			Margaritifera auricularia			IM-2009-12611	En cours
(27)								

- 639 Figure captions
- 640 Figure 1: Distribution of *Unio mancus* subspecies in France according to Falkner
- 641 et al. (2002) (red dotted lines). Type localities are indicated by grey squares.
- Localities sampled for this study are represented by green dots.

- Figure 2: Bayesian phylogenetic tree of French and Spanish populations of *U*.
- 645 mancus, U. elongatulus and U. pictorum. Posterior probabilities are indicated for
- each node (when > 0.70). H1 to H23: haplotype numbers. Rivers are given after
- 647 haplotypes numbers. Black lines link up haplotypes from the same locality.
- Clades 1, 2 and 3 and groups 1a, 1b and 1c are highlighted.
- Blue = clade 1a, red = clade 1b, orange = clade 1c, purple = *U. mancus*, green =
- 650 U. elongatulus, yellow = U. pictorum.

651

- Figure 3: Putative distribution of the subspecies of *U. mancus* inferred from main
- drainage systems. Blue, *U. m. mancus*; orange, *U. m. requienii*; red, *U. m. turtonii*.
- Yellow circles, *U. pictorum* sample sites; green circle, *U. elongatulus* sample site.

- 656 Figure 4: Morphological variability of *U. mancus* in France.
- 657 A: U. m. mancus, lectotype. River Drée, Bourgogne, MNHN 24632; B: U. m.
- 658 mancus, River Vonne at Soudan (Loire-Atlantique), MNHN IM-2009-12668; C:
- 659 U. m. mancus, River Ellé at Meslan (Morbihan), MNHN IM-2009-17788; D: U.
- 660 m. mancus, River Basse at Toulouges (Pyrénées-Orientales), MNHN IM-2009-
- 661 17815; E: U. m. mancus, River Loutz at Lacajunte (Landes), MNHN IM-2009-

- 662 12599; F: U. m. requienii, River Aisne at Bourg-et-Comin (Aisne), MNHN IM-
- 663 2009-17803; G: U. m. requienii, Lake Bourget at Chindrieux (Savoie), MNHN
- 664 IM-2009-12701; H: U. m. requienii, Lake Bourget at Chindrieux (Savoie),
- MNHN IM-2009-12707; I: *U. pictorum*, Lake Bourget at Chindrieux (Savoie),
- MNHN IM-2009-12703; J: U. pictorum, Lake Bourget at Chindrieux (Savoie),
- 667 MNHN IM-2009-12705; K: U. bourgeticus, syntype, MNHN 22139; L: U.
- 668 mancus requienii, possible topotype, Lake Gravières at Arles (Bouches-du-
- Rhône), MNHN IM-2009-17775; M: U. mancus requienii, River Rhône at
- 670 Sablons (Isère), MNHN IM-2009-12605; N: U. mancus requienii, River Hérault
- at Bélarga (Hérault), MNHN IM-2009-12569; O: U. mancus turtonii, River Orbu
- at Ghisonaccia (Haute-Corse), MNHN IM-2009-12736; P: U. mancus turtonii,
- 673 River Argens at Le Muy (Var), MNHN IM-2009-12579.
- All specimens with an IM catalog number prefix are sequenced vouchers.







