

# Barcoding type specimens helps to identify synonyms and an unnamed new species in Eumunida Smith, 1883 (Decapoda: Eumunididae)

Nicolas Puillandre, Enrique A Macpherson, Josie Lambourdière, Eumunida Smith, Corinne Cruaud, Marie-Catherine Boisselier-Dubayle, Sarah Samadi

# ▶ To cite this version:

Nicolas Puillandre, Enrique A Macpherson, Josie Lambourdière, Eumunida Smith, Corinne Cruaud, et al.. Barcoding type specimens helps to identify synonyms and an unnamed new species in Eumunida Smith, 1883 (Decapoda: Eumunididae). Invertebrate Systematics, 2011, 25 (4), pp.322. 10.1071/IS11022. hal-02458087

# HAL Id: hal-02458087 https://hal.science/hal-02458087v1

Submitted on 28 Jan 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Barcoding type-specimens helps to identify synonyms and an unnamed new species in 1 2 Eumunida Smith, 1883 (Decapoda, Eumunididae). 3 Nicolas Puillandre<sup>1,\*</sup>, Enrique Macpherson<sup>2</sup>, Josie Lambourdière<sup>3</sup>, Corinne Cruaud<sup>4</sup>, 4 Marie-Catherine Boisselier-Dubayle<sup>1-3</sup>, Sarah Samadi<sup>1-3</sup>. 5 6 7 <sup>1</sup> "Systématique, Adaptation et Evolution", UMR 7138 UPMC-IRD-MNHN-CNRS 8 (UR IRD 148), Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Département Systématique et 9 Evolution, CP 26, 57 Rue Cuvier, F-75231 Paris Cedex 05, France. <sup>2</sup> Centro de Estudios Avanzados de Blanes (CEAB-CSIC), C. acc. Cala Sant Francesc 10 11 14, 17300 Blanes, Girona, Spain <sup>3</sup> Service de systématique moléculaire (CNRS-MNHN, UMS2700), Muséum National 12 d'Histoire Naturelle, Département Systématique et Evolution, CP 26, 57 Rue Cuvier, F-13 14 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France. 15 <sup>4</sup> GENOSCOPE, Centre National de Séquençage, 2 rue Gaston Crémieux, CP 5706, 16 91057 Evry Cedex France. 17 18 \*: puillandre@mnhn.fr; tel: 33 140 79 31 73; fax: 33 140 79 38 44 19

Running head: Barcoding type-specimens in Eumunida

20

21

| ٨                | he  | tra | ot |
|------------------|-----|-----|----|
| $\boldsymbol{A}$ | 115 | ua  | CL |

23 The primary purpose of DNA-barcoding projects is to generate an efficient expertise and identification tool. This is an important challenge to the taxonomy of the 21st 24 25 century, as the demand increases and the expert capacity does not. However, identifying 26 specimens using DNA-barcodes requires a preliminary analysis to relate molecular 27 clusters to available scientific names. Through a case study of the genus Eumunida 28 (Decapoda, Eumunididae), we illustrate how naming molecule based units, and thus 29 provide an accurate DNA-based identification tool, is facilitated by sequencing type-30 specimens. Using both morphological and unlinked molecular markers (COI and 28S 31 genes), we analyzed 230 specimens from 12 geographic areas, covering two thirds of 32 the known diversity of the genus, including type-specimens of 13 species. Most 33 hypotheses of species delimitation are validated, as they correspond to molecular units 34 linked to only one taxonomic name (and vice-versa). However, a putative cryptic 35 species is also revealed and three entities previously named as distinct species may in 36 fact belong to a single one, and thus need to be synonymised. Our analyses, which 37 integrate the current naming rules, enhance the alpha-taxonomy of the genus and 38 provide an effective identification tool based on DNA-barcodes. They illustrate the 39 ability of DNA-barcode, especially when type-specimens are included, to pinpoint 40 where a taxonomic revision is needed.

41

42

#### Introduction

43 When describing a new species, the taxonomists provide a species name and designate 44 one (or several) type-specimens to which this name is permanently attached. A species 45 name allows us to designate a testable species hypothesis, and the type-specimens 46 provide the link with the name of this hypothesis. Designating species hypotheses by 47 species names allows anyone to associate newly examined specimens to already 48 proposed species hypotheses. However, proposing species hypotheses, species names 49 and species identifications are three distinct tasks that should not be confused (Dayrat 50 2006). They can be distinguished as follows: (i) the scientific task consists of proposing 51 hypotheses about species boundaries, based on the comparison of characters or on 52 biological criteria; (ii) the naming task deals with assigning names to such species

hypotheses; and (iii) the identification task is to identify specimens in the light of already named species hypotheses.

53

54

83

84

55 Within this methodological framework, the primary purpose of DNA barcoding 56 projects is not to produce new taxonomic hypotheses and to name them – task one and 57 two – but to facilitate taxonomic identification – the third task – by developing a global 58 standard for the identification of biological species based on molecular data (Hebert and 59 Gregory 2005, Schindel and Miller 2005). However, identifying specimens using only 60 their barcode sequences requires the constitution of a database that includes the 61 sequences and the corresponding specimen data, authoritatively identified using 62 morphological characters. Furthermore, a prior analysis of the molecular diversity of the 63 groups is necessary to confirm (or reject) that DNA barcodes may be used as a 64 diagnostic character for the species at hand, i.e. that intraspecific and interspecific genetic distances are separated by a "barcode gap". In that way, the identification of 65 66 new specimens using such a DNA library would follow the opinion of the taxonomist 67 that has identified the specimens of the DNA barcode library. Here, two problems need 68 to be addressed. First, a link between DNA-based species hypotheses and already 69 available morphological species hypotheses (and thus species names) needs to be 70 assessed. For example, in the Smith's et al. (2007) study, it was not possible to ascertain 71 the link between genetic clusters and available names with full confidence because no 72 DNA barcode was obtained for the holotype; this uncertainty in the assignation of 73 species names to species hypotheses was indicated by indicating the scientific names in 74 quotation marks. Second, one important by-product of DNA barcoding as an 75 identification tool for taxonomy is the detection of specimens that cannot be attributed 76 to any available species hypothesis, and for which a new hypothesis – and thus a new 77 name – may be proposed (e.g. Padial and De La Riva 2007). Once again, the attribution 78 of available species names to genetic clusters is critical to clearly highlight genetic 79 clusters that would deserve a new species name. Thus, because DNA barcodes can be 80 used both to attribute species names to a given specimen and to flag genetic clusters for 81 which no name is available, we should clarify how names are – or should be – given to 82 species hypotheses. This can be achieved by the sequencing of type specimens.

Using a case study of the genus *Eumunida* Smith, 1883 (Decapoda, Chirostyloidea, Eumunididae), we here illustrate the difficulties of this naming task, in

| 85  | the context of the development of DNA barcodes as an identification tool. We selected      |
|-----|--|
| 86  | this genus because the description of most species is recent and the conservation of       |
| 87  | name-bearing specimens in the collections allows us to access to molecular characters.     |
| 88  | Many species were described using material that has been preserved in 70% ethanol, the     |
| 89  | samples are housed in the collection of the Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle in        |
| 90  | Paris, having been collected over a quarter of a century exploration in the South West     |
| 91  | Pacific area (Bouchet, Héros et al. 2008). In this case study we integrate the three tasks |
| 92  | of taxonomy. Our specific aims are thus: (i) to test the robustness of recognized species  |
| 93  | hypotheses and if needed to propose new ones, (ii) to name the revised set of species      |
| 94  | hypotheses. This way, the efficiency of DNA barcodes as an identification key will also    |
| 95  | be evaluated. To that end, we gathered mitochondrial and nuclear data for 230              |
| 96  | specimens attributed to the genus Eumunida, including type specimens, for a large          |
| 97  | proportion of the described species. We also compared the distribution of                  |
| 98  | morphological characters used in the identification keys over the identified genetic       |
| 99  | clusters. The inclusion of type specimens in the dataset unambiguously links genetic       |
| 100 | clusters to taxa names.  |
| 101 |  |

#### Materials and methods

103

104

### Material and DNA sequencing

105 From the collections of the Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris (MNHN) we 106 selected 230 specimens of Eumunida from the South West Pacific and Indian Oceans 107 (Table 1). Among them, 9 are holotypes and 24 are paratypes, representing 13 different 108 species. The 197 remaining specimens were morphologically authoritatively identified to 109 the species level and attributed to 17 valid names of eumunid species. Thus, more than 110 half of the species diversity currently recognized in the genus Eumunida is represented 111 in our data set (Table 2 and 3). These 17 species hypotheses are represented by 1 to 95 specimens, with an average of 12.05 specimens per species (Table 1). These 112 113 morphological identifications were used as primary species hypotheses. The 114 morphological characters used in species identification for all the species in the genus 115 were listed and used to build a morphological matrix (Tables 2 and 3).

| 116 | DNA was extracted from a piece of muscle tissue using the DNeasy® 96 Tissue                          |
|-----|--|
| 117 | kit (Qiagen), and specimens were kept as vouchers. Fragments of the                                  |
| 118 | Cytochrome Oxydase I (COI) mitochondrial gene and 28S rDNA nuclear gene were                         |
| 119 | amplified using universal primers LCO1490 (5'-   |
| 120 | GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG-3') and HCO2198 (5'-   |
| 121 | TAAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAAAAATCA-3') (Folmer, Black et al. 1994), and C1'                                  |
| 122 | (5'- ACCCGCTGAATTTAAGCAT-3', (Jovelin and Justine 2001) and D2 (5'-                                  |
| 123 | TCCGTGTTTCAAGACGG-3', (Dayrat, Tillier et al. 2001). All PCR reactions were                          |
| 124 | performed in 25 $\mu$ l, containing 3 ng of DNA, 1X reaction buffer, 2.5 mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> , 0.26 |
| 125 | mM dNTP, 0.3 $\mu$ M of each primer, 5% DMSO and 1.5 units of Q-Bio Taq, QBiogene                    |
| 126 | for COI gene and Taq Core Kit 2, QBiogene for 28S rDNA gene. Thermocycles                            |
| 127 | consisted of an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 4', followed by 30 cycles of                   |
| 128 | denaturation at 94°C for 30", annealing at 48°C for COI gene and 56°C for 28S rDNA                   |
| 129 | gene for 40" and extension at 72°C for 1". The final extension was at 72°C for 10".                  |
| 130 | Some PCR products were purified using Montage <sup>TM</sup> PCR Centrifugal Filter Devices           |
| 131 | (Millipore) and sequenced on a $Ceq2000^{TM}$ automated sequencer (Beckman) –                        |
| 132 | corresponding to GenBank accession numbers AY800009-800046, AY800048,                                |
| 133 | AY800050, AY800051, AY800055-800065 and DQ011181-011220. The other PCR                               |
| 134 | products were purified and sequenced by the Genoscope (GenBank accession numbers                     |
| 135 | EU243337 - EU243562 for COI gene and EU243574 - EU243663 for 28S rDNA gene).                         |
| 136 | In all cases, both directions were sequenced to confirm accuracy of each haplotype                   |
| 137 | sequences.   |
| 138 |  |
| 139 | Phylogenetic analyses  |
| 140 | Sequences were manually aligned for the COI gene, and the Clustal W algorithm                        |
| 141 | (default parameters) implemented in BioEdit (Hall 1999) was used for alignment of our                |
| 142 | 28S rDNA sequences. Since all the species analyzed here belong to a single genus, the                |
| 143 | sequence variability and the number of gaps for the 28S gene were reduced.                           |
| 144 | Consequently, we considered that homology was confidently inferred using Bioedit.                    |
| 145 | The RNAalifold webserver (http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at/cgi-bin/RNAalifold.cgi) was used               |
| 146 | to predict a consensus secondary structure for the 28S gene and to identify the loops and            |
| 147 | stems. Loops generally correspond to variable regions, as opposed to stems, which are                |

| 148 | generally more conserved. In consequence, two different models of evolution were used            |
|-----|--|
| 149 | for the phylogenetic analyses of the 28S data. Best-fit models of evolution were selected        |
| 150 | for the COI genes and for the loops and stems partitions of the 28S gene using                   |
| 151 | Modelgenerator V.85 (Keane, Creevey et al. 2006) under the Bayesian Information                  |
| 152 | Criterion, with four discrete gamma categories. The best-fit models of evolution are the         |
| 153 | HKY+I+G (with I = 0.6 and $\alpha$ = 0.62) for the COI gene, the TrNef+I+G (I = 0.31, $\alpha$ = |

HKY+I+G (with I = 0.6 and  $\alpha$  = 0.62) for the COI gene, the TrNef+I+G (I = 0.31,  $\alpha$  =

154 0.15) for the 28S gene, the K80+G ( $\alpha = 0.5$ ) for the loops of the 28S gene and the

155 K80+G ( $\alpha = 0.25$ ) for the stems of the 28S gene.

> As distances-based methods are classically used in barcode studies, a genetic distance matrix including all sequences was calculated for COI gene under the K2P model and used to reconstruct a Neighbor-Joining (NJ) tree, using MEGA 5 (Tamura, Peterson et al. 2011). To accurately reconstruct the phylogenetic relationships within Eumunida, a Bayesian Analysis (BA) was also conducted using Mr. Bayes (Huelsenbeck, Ronquist et al. 2001); it consisted in two independent analyses (six Markov chains, 30,000,000 generations, with a sampling frequency of one tree each 5,000 generations). One different model (each with 6 substitution categories, a gammadistributed rate variation across sites approximated in four discrete categories and a proportion of invariable sites) was applied for each partition (COI, 28S loops and 28S stems). Convergence of each analysis was evaluated using Tracer 1.4.1 (Rambaut and Drummond 2007), and analyses were terminated when ESS values were all greater than 200. We also used the AWTY application (a system for graphical exploration of Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) convergence in Bayesian phylogenetic inference) for each run (two runs for the COI genes and two for the 28S gene): the cumulative split frequencies were stable after the burnin phase, the split frequencies in run pairs ("compare" analysis) were strongly correlated and the between-run distance was included in the range of the within run distances for more than half of the generations ("var" analysis). A consensus tree was then calculated after omitting the first 25% trees as burn-in. For both genes, we used Munida acantha (Macpherson, 1994) as outgroup to artificially root the tree (GenBank accession numbers: AY800033 for COI gene and EU249347 for 28S rDNA gene).

177 178

179

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

#### **Results**

| 1 | $\alpha$ |
|---|----------|
|   | 211      |
|   | $\alpha$ |
|   |          |

#### Mitochondrial dataset

182 We obtained 226 COI sequences of 658 bp in length with 219 polymorphic sites 183 corresponding mostly to the first (47) and third codon position (164). This dataset is 184 available in the BOLD project "Eumunida barcodes and taxonomy" under the accession 185 numbers EUMU001-07 to EUMU226-07. The maximum K2P distance between pairs of 186 COI sequences of the *Eumunida* genus is 0.158, with a minimum of 0 and a mean of 187 0.079 (Fig. 1A). The histogram representing all the distances between types and non-188 types specimens defines two groups (Fig. 1A): the first, with an upper boundaries of 189 0.033, includes all the distances between two type-specimens of one species, but also 190 distances between the holotype of E. parva (de Saint Laurent & Macpherson, 1990) and 191 the type specimens (one holotype and five paratypes) of E. karubar (de Saint Laurent & 192 Poupin, 1996); the second, characterized by a lower boundary of 0.043, includes only 193 interspecific comparisons between types. NJ and bayesian phylogenetic trees were 194 highly congurent (only the bayesian tree is shown in Fig. 2A) and revealed 16 terminal 195 genetic units: genetic distances within each cluster are less than 0.033, and COI 196 sequences placed in different genetic units are separated by genetic distances greater 197 than 0.043. Among these 16 genetic units, 13 include several specimens and all are 198 highly supported (Posterior Probabilities PP=1), and 10 contain 1 or several type 199 specimens.

200201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

#### Nuclear dataset

The 28S rDNA gene was much more difficult to sequence especially for older museum specimens and less specimens were sequenced compared to the CO1 dataset as a consequence. We obtained 89 sequences of 867 bp. Two groups of K2P distances are separated by a gap on the genetic distances histogram (Fig. 1B). The short-distance group has an upper bound of 0.001 and the long-distance group has a lower bound of 0.018. For each pair of specimens, a genetic distance less than 0.001 for this dataset corresponds to a genetic distance less than 0.033 with the COI gene. Conversely, when the genetic distance between two 28S rDNA sequences is greater than 0.018, the genetic distance between COI sequences corresponding to the same specimens is greater than 0.043. The intraspecific distances between type specimens fall in the short-distance

212 group whereas interspecific distances between type specimens fall in the long-distance 213 group. The 28S dataset reveals the same monophyletic lineages than the COI dataset: 214 among the 16 lineages defined with the COI gene, seven correspond with clusters 215 identified by the 28S gene (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, one additional lineage, not 216 sequenced with the COI gene, is defined with the 28S gene. The deeper nodes of the 28S 217 tree are not as well resolved as the CO1 tree but the terminals are highly supported in all 218 cases. 219 220 Genetic units and species names 221 Based on separate analyses of the two molecular data sets we are able to define 17 222 genetically distinct units (Fig. 2) that may be considered as species hypotheses. Eleven 223 of these units include at least one sequence of one type specimen (holotype and/or 224 paratypes) for at least one of the two genes, and can be directly linked to a species 225 name. Types were included for E. annulosa de Saint Laurent & Macpherson, 1990, E. 226 bispinata Baba, 1990, E. keiji de Saint Laurent & Macpherson, 1990, E. marginata de 227 Saint Laurent & Macpherson, 1990, E. minor de Saint Laurent & Macpherson, 1990, E. 228 multilineata de Saint Laurent & Poupin, 1996, E. similior Baba, 1990, E. spinosa 229 Macpherson, 2006, E. squamifera de Saint Laurent & Macpherson, 1990, E. 230 sternomaculata de Saint Laurent & Macpherson, 1990 and E. treguieri de Saint Laurent 231 & Poupin, 1996. Four other genetic units do not include type specimens but are based on 232 morphological identification key: E. capillata de Saint Laurent & Macpherson, 1990, E. 233 funambulus Gordon, 1930, E. laevimana Gordon, 1930 and E. picta Smith, 1883. The 234 name "E. annulosa" is attributed to two clades, one including the holotype. Since the 235 specimens of the genetic unit without the holotype look like those from E. annulosa but 236 are not closely related to E. annulosa (Fig. 2A and B), and in accordance with the Code 237 of Zoological Nomenclature, we named this genetic group E. aff. annulosa. Finally, the 238 remaining genetic unit unites specimens morphologically assigned to three different 239 species (E. karubar de Saint Laurent & Poupin, 1996, E. parva de Saint Laurent & 240 Macpherson, 1990 and E. smithii Henderson, 1885). For the COI dataset, the holotype 241 of E. parva, five paratypes of E. karubar and the holotype of E. karubar are included 242 within the same genetic unit (Fig. 2C). Genetic distances between sequences of 243 paratypes and/or holotypes falling into this well supported clade are lower than between other paratypes of a single species name placed in a single clade (e.g. the two paratypes of *E. bispinata*).

#### **Discussion**

## The barcoding gap

In our analysis, the distribution pattern of genetic distances for the two gene fragments used allows us to cluster genetically similar individuals that are separated from each other by relatively large distances. In the bimodal distribution of distances, the lower bound of the first mode – small distances – and the upper bound of the second mode – large distances (Meier, Zhang *et al.* 2008) are reliably estimated thanks to the larger number of specimens analyzed, allowing to assert that the observed gap is not an artifact resulting from a sampling bias. We are fully aware, like others (*e.g.* Meyer and Paulay 2005, Costa, deWaard *et al.* 2007, Hajibabaei, Singer *et al.* 2007, Wiemers and Fiedler 2007, Meier, Zhang *et al.* 2008), of the importance of the sampling scheme to interpret a gap in the distribution of the pairwise genetic distances, but insist that the originality of our dataset is the inclusion of type specimens. Interestingly, all the genetic distances between the paratypes of a given name fall in the first mode whereas genetic distances among the holotypes (and the paratypes from different names) fall into the second mode (except for the type specimens of *E. karubar* and *E. parva*), suggesting that the gap may be used in a first approach as a species threshold.

#### Concordance of most genetic units with primary species hypotheses

Inclusion of a closely related outgroup in the analysis shows that each of the 17 defined genetic units has it own evolutionary history. Moreover, the two gene trees obtained with our two unlinked genetic markers are in concordance. This concordance suggests that genetic exchanges among individuals from different clades are unlikely. A previous study has shown that in two of these genetic units, gene flow occurs between populations over the geographic range of each species but not between species (Samadi, Bottan *et al.* 2006). These 17 genetic units can thus be considered robust species hypotheses.

Among them, 15 units cluster specimens attributed, to a unique species and a single name using the morphological identification key,. Ten of these fifteen species

clusters include also type specimens. These 15 clusters are therefore delimited unambiguously, even though inclusion of type specimens in such genetic units is the only way to unambiguously attribute species names to them; but even though five units do not include the type specimen for the name attributed from the key, we can define 15 primary species hypotheses as the best ones given the available data to date. However, our result is not fully congruent with previous species hypotheses of which four are questioned by the molecular analysis. Indeed our data suggest (i) occurrence of a cryptic species -i.e. not yet identified using morphology - that needs a new name because no type specimen can be attributed to the corresponding cluster and (ii) the grouping of three previously admitted species hypotheses into one, and thus the synonymy of three available species names.

# A cryptic species under the name E. annulosa

The genetic divergence found between E. annulosa and E. aff. annulosa largely exceeds the average divergence found not only within the other species hypotheses of our data set, but also within other galatheoid species (Machordom and Macpherson 2004). Since one of the two clades includes the holotype of E. annulosa, the other clade (E. aff. annulosa), not yet detected by morphologists, should indisputably be described under a new name (Fig. 2A & 2B). Although this clade is more closely related to E. treguieri in the tree, morphological characters differ slightly only from those of E. annulosa or E. sternomaculata. On the basis of morphological characters, these two species are distinguished by the relative length of the first pair of anterolateral spines, longer in E. sternomaculata than in E. annulosa, the presence of two (E. annulosa) or three (E. sternomaculata) distal spines on the carpus of the chelipeds, and the posterior part of abdominal tergites, after last stria, more smooth in E. annulosa than in E. sternomaculata (Table 3, characters 6, 9 and 10). The larger specimens of E. aff. annulosa display, for these two characters, intermediate states: the relative size of the first anterolateral spine is intermediate between that described for E. annulosa and that described for E. sternomaculata and a 3<sup>rd</sup> distal spine is present on the cheliped carpus, but generally is very small. However, these morphological characters, on which this new species may be diagnosed, are difficult to observe on small specimens and thus useful only for adult specimens identification. Since the two species are morphological

| 308 | very close but do not display sister relationships, they are "cryptic species", and not              |
|-----|--|
| 309 | "sibling species", as defined in Bickford et al. (Bickford, Lohman et al. 2007). This                |
| 310 | result stresses the importance of molecular analyses to detect such "cryptic species" not            |
| 311 | only within this genus, but also in others crustacean decapods (see the review by                    |
| 312 | Knowlton 2000 and Bickford, Lohman et al. 2007). Contrary to most studies, that                      |
| 313 | provide (at best) molecular data for name bearing specimens of new species names (e.g.               |
| 314 | Shih, Naruse et al. 2010, Ahyong, Chan et al. 2010), the inclusion of many name-                     |
| 315 | bearing specimens into the analysis points to the necessity of a new name for this                   |
| 316 | "cryptic species". For such cryptic taxa, the DNA barcode is obviously a more effective              |
| 317 | identification tool than a morphological identification key, being informative at all the            |
| 318 | life stages and thus having broader applications (e.g. De Ley, Tandingam De Ley et al.               |
| 319 | 2005, Savolainen, Cowan et al. 2005, Vences, Thomas et al. 2005).                                    |
| 320 |  |
| 321 | Synonymy of E. karubar, E. parva and E. smithii  |
| 322 | Our analysis also suggests that three named species hypotheses (E. karubar, E. parva,                |
| 323 | E. smithii) should actually be merged into a single species hypothesis. When using a                 |
| 324 | morphological identification key, the specimens attributed to one of these three species             |
| 325 | names, including the five paratypes, the holotype of <i>E. karubar</i> and the holotype of <i>E.</i> |
| 326 | parva, are scattered among the different sub-clades without displaying any obvious                   |
| 327 | significant pattern (Fig. 2C and 2D).  |
| 328 | The morphological distinction among E. parva, E. karubar and E. smithii is                           |
| 329 | based on the occurrence (E. smithii and E. karubar) or absence (E. parva) of ventral                 |
| 330 | spines on the merus of the chelipeds and on the presence (E. smithii and E. karubar) or              |
| 331 | absence (E. parva) of some ventromesial spines on the palm of the chelipeds (Table 3,                |
| 332 | characters 8 and 14). The distinction among these species is also based on the length of             |
| 333 | the ocular peduncles, shorter in E. smithii than in E. karubar and E. parva (Saint                   |
| 334 | Laurent and Poupin 1996). By combining data from morphology, geography, and                          |
| 335 | independent genetic characters, we suggest that the three names are synonymous (this                 |
| 336 | amounts to considering E. parva and E. karubar as junior synonyms of E. smithii). This               |
| 337 | interpretation may yet be challenged by the molecular analysis of the holotype of $E$ .              |
| 338 | smithii. This was not possible because the type specimens for this name were collected               |
| 339 | during the Challenger Expedition (1874-1876), are not housed at the MNHN, and tissue                 |
|     |  |

340 was not available for sequencing. In consequence, we used topotypic specimens, 341 collected from the type locality (Kei Islands, Indonesia). According to our 342 interpretation, the morphological differences upon which description of new species 343 hypotheses bearing new species names has been based in the past are the expression of 344 intraspecific variability. This would imply that variability should be used with caution 345 as a diagnostic trait at species level in this genus. The alternative hypothesis would be 346 recent speciation events leading to low genetic divergence. Therefore, we propose that the genus *Eumunida* contains 28 species (see also 347 348 Baba, Macpherson et al. 2008; Schnabel and Ahyong 2010) including the new cryptic 349 species of E. annulosa and considering E. parva and E. karubar as junior synonyms of 350 *E. smithii* ). The diagnosis of *E. smithii* is as follows: 351 **Diagnosis** — Carapace with distinct transverse ridges, laterally armed with 6 spines; 2 352 spines anterior to posterior cervical groove, anterior spine subequal to posterior spine, 353 about half as long as lateral supraocular spine. No spine on gastric region. Third 354 maxilliped merus with median spine and without distal spine on flexor margin. Sternite 3 355 with paired median spines; sternite 4 unarmed on each side. Cheliped carpus with 3 356 terminal spines; palm without ventral pad of densely packed hairs, longer than fingers, 357 relatively massive, covered with short fine setae. Rudimentary pleopods present on 358 abdominal segments 2-5 in males. 359 360 Name-bearing specimens integrated into a molecular revision of species hypotheses. 361 One of the main problems when revising species hypotheses and identifying specimens 362 in the context of DNA barcoding projects is the naming procedure. An appropriate 363 sampling effort within species, a large taxonomic coverage within the genus, and the 364 inclusion of as many type specimens as possible are necessary when confronting 365 morphological species hypotheses to independent characters (DNA polymorphism) and 366 various species delimitation criteria. In the case of the genus *Eumunida*, it allowed us (i) 367 to support most of the morphology-based primary species hypotheses, (ii) to bring up 368 new hypotheses, and (iii) to point at the necessity of a taxonomic revision. Overall, 369 although we detected two discrepancies between our data and the current state of the 370 taxonomy of the genus *Eumunida*, our results suggest that most morphological traits 371 commonly used in this genus to propose primary species hypotheses stand up when

| 372                      | other characters are used. By contrast with most studies, the inclusion of name-bearing   |
|--------------------------|---|
| 373                      | specimens in the molecular study allows us to correctly assign names to the supported   |
| 374                      | or reformulated species hypotheses and to unquestionably determine whether new  |
| 375                      | names are needed or whether some names should be considered synonyms of older   |
| 376                      | names. This point is particularly critical when cryptic species are detected, i.e., when  |
| 377                      | morphological keys do not help to attribute names to genetic units. Last, even though   |
| 378                      | several Eumunida species are missing in this study and should be barcoded in the  |
| 379                      | future, our study shows that the COI gene fragment is an effective tool to attribute  |
| 380                      | species names to specimens, and vice versa, in the genus Eumunida, which is the   |
| 381                      | primary purpose of DNA barcoding.   |
| 382                      |   |
| 383                      | Acknowledgments   |
| 384                      | We are grateful to Bertrand Richer de Forges, cruise leader of several deep-sea cruises   |
| 385                      | of the Tropical Deep Sea Benthos program on board R/V Alis, that generated the deep-  |
| 386                      | sea samples used in this study. All material has been collected under appropriate   |
| 387                      | collection permits and approved ethics guidelines. This work was supported by the   |
| 388                      | "Consortium National de Recherche en Génomique", and the "Service de Sysmatique   |
| 389                      | Mléculaire" of the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (UMS 2700 CNRS-MNHN).   |
| 390                      | It is part of the agreement $n^{\circ}2005/67$ between the Genoscope and the Muséum National  |
| 391                      | d'Histoire Naturelle on the project "Macrophylogeny of life" directed by Guillaume  |
| 392                      | Lecointre. These data fed the MarBol project supported by the Sloan Foundation. We  |
| 393                      | are also pleased to thank Anouk Barberousse, Philippe Bouchet, Mande Holford, Line  |
| 394                      | Le Gall and Simon Tillier for constructive discussions and/or comments on, and English  |
| 395                      | improvements of, the manuscript, and Julien Brisset and Laure Corbari for the curation  |
| 396                      | of the collection.  |
| 397                      |   |
| 398                      | References  |
| 399                      |   |
| 400<br>401<br>402<br>403 | Ahyong, S.T., Chan, TY., and Bouchet, P. (2010) Mighty claws: a new genus and species of lobster from the Philippine deep sea (Crustacea, Decapoda, Nephropidae). <i>Zoosystema</i> <b>32</b> (3), 525-535. |
| 404<br>405               | Baba, K., Macpherson, E., Poore, G.C.B., Ahyong, S.T., Bermudez, A., Cabezas, P., Lin, C.W., Nizinski, M., Rodrigues, C., and Schnabel, K.E. (2008) Catalogue of squat                                      |

- 406 lobsters of the world (Crustacea: Decapoda: Anomura families Chirostylidae,
- 407 Galatheidae and Kiwaidae). Zootaxa 1905, 1-220.

- 409 Bickford, D., Lohman, D.J., Sodhi, N.S., Ng, P.K.L., Meier, R., Winker, K., Ingram,
- 410 K.K., and Das, I. (2007) Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation.
- 411 *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **22**(3), 148-155.

412

- Bouchet, P., Héros, V., Lozouet, P., and Maestrati, P. (2008) A quater-century of deep-
- sea malacological exploration in the South and West Pacific: Where do we stand? How
- 415 far to go? In 'Tropical Deep-Sea Benthos 25. Mémoires du Muséum national d'Histoire
- aturelle 196: 9-40.' (Eds. V Héros, RH Cowie and P Bouchet): Paris ISBN: 978-2-
- 417 85653-614-8.)

418

- Costa, F.O., deWaard, J.R., Boutillier, J., Ratsnasingham, S., Dooh, R.T., Hajibabaei,
- 420 M., and Hebert, P.D.N. (2007) Biological identifications through DNA barcodes: the
- 421 case of the Crustacea. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science 64, 272-295.

422

- 423 Dayrat, B. (2006) A taxonomic revision of *Paradoris* sea slugs (Mollusca, Gastropoda,
- 424 Nudibranchia, Doridina). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 147, 125-238.

425

- Dayrat, B., Tillier, A., Lecointre, G., and Tillier, S. (2001) New clades of Euthyneuran
- 427 Gastropods (Mollusca) from 28S rRNA sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and*
- 428 Evolution 19(2), 225-235.

429

- De Ley, P., Tandingam De Ley, I.T., Morris, K., Abebe, E., Mundo-Ocampo, M.,
- 431 Yoder, M., Heras, J., Waumann, D., Rocha-Olivares, A., Jay Burr, A.H., Baldwin, J.G.,
- and Thomas, W.K. (2005) An integrated approach to fast and informative
- 433 morphological vouchering of nematodes for applications in molecular barcoding.
- 434 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* **360**, 1945-1958.

435

- 436 Folmer, O., Black, M., Hoeh, W., Lutz, R., and Vrijenhoek, R. (1994) DNA primers for
- amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan
- invertebrates. *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology* **3**, 294-299.

439

- 440 Hajibabaei, M., Singer, G.A.C., Hebert, P.D.N., and Hickey, D.A. (2007) DNA
- barcoding: how it complements taxonomy, molecular phylogenetics and population
- 442 genetics. *Trends in Genetics* **23**, 167-172.

443

- Hall, T.A. (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and
- analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series* **41**, 95-98.

446

- Hebert, P.D.N., and Gregory, T.R. (2005) The promise of DNA Barcoding for
- 448 taxonomy. Systematic Biology **54**, 852-859.

449

- 450 Huelsenbeck, J.P., Ronquist, F., and Hall, B. (2001) MrBayes: bayesian inference of
- 451 phylogeny. *Bioinformatics* **17**, 754-755.

452

- Jovelin, R., and Justine, J.-L. (2001) Phylogenetic relationships within the
- 454 Polyopisthocotylean monogeneans (Plathyhelminthes) inferred from partial 28S rDNA
- sequences. *International Journal for Parasitology* **31**(4), 393-401.

- 457 Keane, T.M., Creevey, C.J., Pentony, M.M., Naughton, T.J., and McInerney, J.O.
- 458 (2006) Assessment of methods for amino acid matrix selection and their use on
- empirical data shows that ad hoc assumptions for choice of matrix are not justified.
- 460 *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **6**, 1-17.

461

- Knowlton, N. (2000) Molecular genetic analyses of species boundaries in the sea.
- 463 *Hydrobiologia* **420**, 73-90.

464

- 465 Machordom, A., and Macpherson, E. (2004) Rapid radiation and cryptic speciation in
- 466 galatheid crabs of the genus *Munida* and related genera in the South West Pacific:
- 467 molecular and morphological evidence. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 33,
- 468 259-279.

469

- 470 Meier, R., Zhang, G., and Ali, F. (2008) The use of mean instead of smallest
- interspecific distances exaggerates the size of the "Barcoding Gap" and leads to
- 472 misidentification. Systematic Biology **57**(5), 809-813.

473

- 474 Meyer, C.P., and Paulay, G. (2005) DNA Barcoding: error rates based on
- 475 comprehensive sampling. *PLoS Biology* **3**(12), 1-10.

476

- 477 Padial, J.M., and De La Riva, I. (2007) Integrative taxonomists should use and produce
- 478 DNA barcodes. *Zootaxa* **1586**, 67-68.

479

- 480 Rambaut, A., and Drummond, A.J. (2007) Tracer v1.4. In '.' (Available from
- 481 http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer)

482

- 483 Saint Laurent, M.d., and Poupin, J. (1996) Crustacea, Anomura: Les espèces indo-ouest
- pacifiques du genre Eumunida Smith, 1880 (Chirostylidae). Description de six espèces
- nouvelles. In 'Résultats des Campagnes MUSORSTOM, volume 15. Vol. 168.' (Ed. A
- 486 Crosnier) pp. 337-385. (Mémoires du Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris)

487

- 488 Samadi, S., Bottan, L., McPherson, E., Richer De Forges, B., and Boisselier, M.C.
- 489 (2006) Seamount endemism questioned by the geographic distribution and population
- 490 genetic structure of marine invertebrates. *Marine Biology* **146**, 1463-1475.

491

- 492 Savolainen, V., Cowan, R.S., Vogler, A.P., Roderick, G.K., and Lane, R. (2005)
- Towards writing the encyclopedia of life: an introduction to DNA barcoding.
- 494 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B **360**, 1805-1811.

495

- 496 Schindel, D.E., and Miller, S.E. (2005) DNA barcoding a useful tool for taxonomists.
- 497 *Nature* **435**, 17.

498

- 499 Schnabel, K.E., and Ahyong, S.T. (2010) A new classification of the Chirostyloidea
- 500 (Crustacea: Decapoda: Anomura). Zootaxa 2687, 56-64.

| 501 |   |
|-----|---|
| 502 | Shih, HT., Naruse, T., and Ng, P.K.L. (2010) <i>Uca jocelynae</i> sp. nov. a new species of |
| 503 | fiddler crab (Crustacea: Brachyura:Ocypodidae) from the Western Pacific. Zootaxa            |
| 504 | <b>2337</b> , 47-62.  |
| 505 |   |
| 506 | Smith, A.M., Wood, D.M., Janzen, D.H., Hallwachs, W., and Hebert, P.D.N. (2007)             |
| 507 | DNA barcodes affirm that 16 species of apparently generalist tropical parasitoid flies      |
| 508 | (Diptera, Tachinidae) are not all generalists. Proceedings of the National Academy of       |
| 509 | Sciences <b>104</b> , 4967-4972.  |
| 510 |   |
| 511 | Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Stecher, G., Nei, M., and Kumar, S. (2011)          |
| 512 | MEGA5: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis using Maximum Likelihood,                   |
| 513 | Evolutionary Distance, and Maximum Parsimony Methods. <i>Molecular Biology and</i>          |
| 514 | Evolution, 10.1093/molbev/msr121.   |
| 515 |   |
| 516 | Vences, M., Thomas, M., Bonett, R.M., and Vieites, D.R. (2005) Deciphering                  |
| 517 | amphibian diversity through DNA barcoding: chances and challenges. <i>Philosophical</i>     |
| 518 | <i>Transactions of the Royal Society B</i> <b>360</b> (1462), 1859-1868.                    |
| 519 |   |
| 520 | Wiemers, M., and Fiedler, K. (2007) Does the DNA barcoding gap exist? – a case study        |
| 521 | in blue butterflies (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae). Frontiers in Zoology 4, 1-16.                |
| 522 |   |
| 523 |   |

Table 1: Description of the specimens analysed in this study

| MNHN ID                        | Geographic area  | Morphological ID                 | Status   | GenBank COI          | GenBank 28S          | BOLD ID                |
|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|----------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| IU-2008-13009                  | Norfolk Ridge  | sternomaculata                   | holotype | EU243561             | EU243662             | EUMU225-7              |
| IU-2008-13010                  | Norfolk ridge  | annulosa                         | holotype | EU243515             | EU243646             | EUMU179-7              |
| IU-2008-13627<br>IU-2008-13628 | Norfolk ridge, Brachiopode<br>Norfolk ridge, Kaimon Maru | sternomaculata<br>annulosa       |          | EU243484<br>EU243507 | EU243635<br>EU243644 | EUMU148-7<br>EUMU171-7 |
| IU-2008-13642                  | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable                               | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243481             | EU243633             | EUMU145-7              |
| IU-2008-13736                  | Norfolk ridge, Kaimon Maru                               | annulosa                         |          | EU243506             | EU243643             | EUMU170-7              |
| IU-2008-13747                  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est                                | annulosa                         |          | EU243469             | EU243623             | EUMU133-7              |
| IU-2008-13748                  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est                                | annulosa                         |          | EU243470             |                      | EUMU134-7              |
| IU-2008-13749                  | Norfolk ridge, Eponge                                    | annulosa                         |          | EU243467             | EU243621             | EUMU131-7              |
| IU-2008-13750                  | Norfolk ridge, Eponge                                    | annulosa                         |          | EU243468             | EU243622             | EUMU132-7              |
| IU-2008-13751<br>IU-2008-13752 | Norfolk ridge, Crypthelia<br>Norfolk ridge, Crypthelia   | annulosa<br>annulosa             |          | EU243460<br>EU243461 | EU243618             | EUMU124-7<br>EUMU125-7 |
| IU-2008-13752<br>IU-2008-13753 | Norfolk ridge, Crypthelia                                | annulosa                         |          | EU243461<br>EU243462 | EU243016             | EUMU125-7<br>EUMU126-7 |
| IU-2008-13754                  | Norfolk ridge, Crypthelia                                | annulosa                         |          | EU243463             | EU243619             | EUMU127-7              |
| IU-2008-13755                  | Norfolk ridge, Crypthelia                                | annulosa                         |          | EU243464             |                      | EUMU128-7              |
| IU-2008-13756                  | Norfolk ridge, Crypthelia                                | annulosa                         |          | EU243465             |                      | EUMU129-7              |
| IU-2008-13757                  | Norfolk ridge, Crypthelia                                | annulosa                         |          | EU243466             | EU243620             | EUMU130-7              |
| IU-2008-13758                  | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable                               | annulosa                         |          | EU243474             | EU243626             | EUMU138-7              |
| IU-2008-13759<br>IU-2008-13760 | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable<br>Norfolk ridge, Stylaster   | annulosa<br>annulosa             |          | EU243473<br>EU243471 | EU243625<br>EU243624 | EUMU137-7<br>EUMU135-7 |
| IU-2008-13760<br>IU-2008-13761 | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster                                 | annulosa                         |          | EU243471<br>EU243472 | E0243024             | EUMU136-7              |
| IU-2008-13762                  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau ouest                              | annulosa                         |          | EU243489             |                      | EUMU153-7              |
| IU-2008-13763                  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau ouest                              | annulosa                         |          | EU243490             | EU243637             | EUMU154-7              |
| IU-2008-13764                  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau ouest                              | annulosa                         |          | EU243491             |                      | EUMU155-7              |
| IU-2008-13765                  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau ouest                              | annulosa                         |          | EU243492             |                      | EUMU156-7              |
| IU-2008-13766                  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau ouest                              | annulosa                         |          | EU243493             | EU243638             | EUMU157-7              |
| IU-2008-13767                  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau ouest                              | annulosa                         |          | EU243494             | EU243639             | EUMU158-7              |
| IU-2008-13768<br>IU-2008-13769 | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est<br>Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est   | sternomaculata<br>sternomaculata |          | EU243456<br>EU243457 | EU243615             | EUMU120-7<br>EUMU121-7 |
| IU-2008-13770                  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est                                | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243458             | EU243616             | EUMU122-7              |
| IU-2008-13771                  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est                                | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243459             | EU243617             | EUMU123-7              |
| IU-2008-13772                  | Norfolk ridge, Brachiopode                               | annulosa                         |          | EU243435             |                      | EUMU099-7              |
| IU-2008-13773                  | Norfolk ridge, Brachiopode                               | annulosa                         |          | EU243436             |                      | EUMU100-7              |
| IU-2008-13775                  | Norfolk ridge, Antigonia                                 | annulosa                         |          | EU243443             |                      | EUMU107-7              |
| IU-2008-13776                  | Norfolk ridge, Antigonia<br>Norfolk ridge, Antigonia     | annulosa                         |          | EU243444             |                      | EUMU108-7              |
| IU-2008-13777<br>IU-2008-13778 | Norfolk ridge, Crypthelia                                | annulosa<br>annulosa             |          | EU243445<br>EU243447 |                      | EUMU109-7<br>EUMU111-7 |
| IU-2008-13779                  | Norfolk ridge, Munida                                    | annulosa                         |          | EU243448             |                      | EUMU112-7              |
| IU-2008-13780                  | Norfolk ridge, Munida                                    | annulosa                         |          | EU243449             | EU243612             | EUMU113-7              |
| IU-2008-13781                  | Norfolk ridge, Munida                                    | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243450             |                      | EUMU114-7              |
| IU-2008-13782                  | Island of Pines  | annulosa                         |          | EU243451             | EU243614             | EUMU115-7              |
| IU-2008-13785                  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est                                | spinosa                          |          | EU243533             | EU243655             | EUMU197-7              |
| IU-2008-13786<br>IU-2008-13787 | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est<br>Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est   | spinosa<br>spinosa               |          | EU243534<br>EU243535 | EU243656             | EUMU198-7<br>EUMU199-7 |
| IU-2008-13788                  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est                                | spinosa<br>spinosa               |          | EU243536             | EU243657             | EUMU200-7              |
| IU-2008-13789                  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est                                | spinosa                          |          | EU243537             |                      | EUMU201-7              |
| IU-2008-13790                  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est                                | spinosa                          |          | EU243538             |                      | EUMU202-7              |
| IU-2008-13791                  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est                                | spinosa                          |          | EU243539             |                      | EUMU203-7              |
| IU-2008-13792                  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est                                | spinosa                          |          | EU243540             |                      | EUMU204-7              |
| IU-2008-13793<br>IU-2008-13794 | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est<br>Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est   | spinosa<br>spinosa               |          | EU243541<br>EU243542 |                      | EUMU205-7<br>EUMU206-7 |
| IU-2008-13794<br>IU-2008-13795 | Solomon Islands  | laevimana                        |          | EU243542<br>EU243508 |                      | EUMU172-7              |
| IU-2008-13796                  | Solomon Islands  | laevimana                        |          | EU243509             |                      | EUMU173-7              |
| IU-2008-13797                  | Guadeloupe   | picta                            |          | EU243556             | EU243661             | EUMU220-7              |
| IU-2008-13798                  | Guadeloupe   | picta                            |          | EU243557             |                      | EUMU221-7              |
| IU-2008-13799*                 | Guadeloupe   | picta                            |          | EU243558             |                      | EUMU222-7              |
| IU-2008-13801                  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est                                | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243455             |                      | EUMU119-7              |
| IU-2008-13803<br>IU-2008-13804 | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est<br>Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est   | sternomaculata<br>sternomaculata |          | EU243452<br>EU243453 |                      | EUMU116-7<br>EUMU117-7 |
| IU-2008-13804<br>IU-2008-13805 | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est                                | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243454             |                      | EUMU118-7              |
| IU-2008-13806                  | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster                                 | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243400             |                      | EUMU064-7              |
| IU-2008-13876                  | Norfolk ridge, Aztèque                                   | annulosa                         |          | EU243383             |                      | EUMU047-7              |
| IU-2011-5396                   | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau Ouest                              | annulosa                         |          | EU243365             |                      | EUMU029-7              |
| IU-2011-5397                   | Norfolk ridge, Eponge                                    | annulosa                         |          | EU243370             |                      | EUMU034-7              |
| IU-2011-5398                   | Norfolk ridge, Eponge                                    | annulosa                         |          | EU243371             |                      | EUMU035-7              |
| IU-2011-5399<br>IU-2011-5400   | Norfolk ridge, Eponge<br>Norfolk ridge, Eponge           | annulosa<br>annulosa             |          | EU243372<br>EU243412 | EU243597             | EUMU036-7<br>EUMU076-7 |
| IU-2011-5401                   | Norfolk ridge, Eponge                                    | annulosa                         |          | EU243412<br>EU243413 | EU243597<br>EU243598 | EUMU077-7              |
| IU-2011-5402                   | Norfolk ridge, Eponge                                    | annulosa                         |          | EU243414             | EU243599             | EUMU078-7              |
|                                |  |                                  |          |                      |                      |                        |

| IU-2011-5403  | Norfolk ridge, Eponge               | annulosa                         |          | EU243415             |               | EUMU079-7              |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| IU-2011-5404  | Norfolk ridge, Eponge               | annulosa                         |          | EU243416             |               | EUMU080-7              |
| IU-2011-5405  | Norfolk ridge, Eponge               | annulosa                         |          | EU243417             |               | EUMU081-7              |
| IU-2011-5406  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau Ouest         | annulosa                         |          | EU243366             | EU243585      | EUMU030-7              |
| IU-2011-5407  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau Ouest         | annulosa                         |          | EU243367             | EU243586      |                        |
|               |                                     |                                  |          |                      | EU243360      | EUMU031-7              |
| IU-2011-5408  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau Ouest         | annulosa                         |          | EU243368             | TT 10 10 10 T | EUMU032-7              |
| IU-2011-5409  | Norfolk ridge, Eponge               | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243475             | EU243627      | EUMU139-7              |
| IU-2011-5410  | Norfolk ridge, Eponge               | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243476             | EU243628      | EUMU140-7              |
| IU-2011-5411  | Norfolk ridge, Eponge               | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243477             | EU243629      | EUMU141-7              |
| IU-2011-5412  | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243478             | EU243630      | EUMU142-7              |
| IU-2011-5413  | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243480             | EU243632      | EUMU144-7              |
| IU-2011-5414  | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243479             | EU243631      | EUMU143-7              |
| IU-2011-5415  | Norfolk ridge, Brachiopode          | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243482             | EU243634      | EUMU146-7              |
|               |                                     |                                  |          |                      | EU243034      |                        |
| IU-2011-5416  | Norfolk ridge, Brachiopode          | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243483             |               | EUMU147-7              |
| IU-2011-5417  | Norfolk ridge, Brachiopode          | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243485             |               | EUMU149-7              |
| IU-2011-5418  | Norfolk ridge, Brachiopode          | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243486             |               | EUMU150-7              |
| IU-2011-5419  | Norfolk ridge, Brachiopode          | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243487             |               | EUMU151-7              |
| IU-2011-5420  | Norfolk ridge, Brachiopode          | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243488             | EU243636      | EUMU152-7              |
| IU-2011-5421  | Norfolk ridge                       | sternomaculata                   | paratype |                      | EU243651      |                        |
| IU-2011-5422  | Norfolk ridge                       | sternomaculata                   | paratype |                      | EU243652      |                        |
| IU-2011-5423  | Tuamotu                             | keijii                           | F        | EU243337             |               | EUMU001-7              |
| IU-2011-5424  | New-Caledonia                       | keijii                           |          | EU243338             |               | EUMU002-7              |
|               |                                     |                                  |          |                      |               |                        |
| IU-2011-5425  | New-Caledonia                       | keijii                           |          | EU243339             |               | EUMU003-7              |
| IU-2011-5426  | Wallis                              | keijii                           |          | EU243340             |               | EUMU004-7              |
| IU-2011-5427  | New-Caledonia                       | capillata                        |          | EU243341             |               | EUMU005-7              |
| IU-2011-5428  | New-Caledonia                       | capillata                        |          | EU243342             |               | EUMU006-7              |
| IU-2011-5429  | Indonesia, Kai Island               | capillata                        |          | EU243343             |               | EUMU007-7              |
| IU-2011-5430  | Indonesia, Tanimbar Island          | capillata                        |          | EU243344             |               | EUMU008-7              |
| IU-2011-5431  | New-Caledonia, Surprise             | parva                            |          | EU243345             |               | EUMU009-7              |
| IU-2011-5432  | New-Caledonia, Surprise             | parva                            |          | EU243346             |               | EUMU010-7              |
| IU-2011-5433  | Norfolk Ridge, Jumeau Est           | karubar                          |          | EU243347             | EU243574      | EUMU011-7              |
|               | <i>U</i> ,                          |                                  |          |                      | E0243374      |                        |
| IU-2011-5434  | Norfolk Ridge, Jumeau Est           | karubar                          |          | EU243348             | ELIO 40555    | EUMU012-7              |
| IU-2011-5435  | Norfolk Ridge, Jumeau Est           | karubar                          |          | EU243349             | EU243575      | EUMU013-7              |
| IU-2011-5436  | Indonesia, Tanimbar and Kai Islands | smithii                          |          | EU243350             |               | EUMU014-7              |
| IU-2011-5437  | Indonesia, Tanimbar and Kai Islands | smithii                          |          | EU243351             |               | EUMU015-7              |
| IU-2011-5438  |                                     | treguieri                        |          | EU243352             | EU243576      | EUMU016-7              |
| IU-2011-5439  | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | annulosa                         |          | EU243353             | EU243577      | EUMU017-7              |
| IU-2011-5440  | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | annulosa                         |          | EU243354             | EU243578      | EUMU018-7              |
| IU-2011-5441  | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243355             | 202.0070      | EUMU019-7              |
| IU-2011-5442  | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243356             | EU243579      | EUMU020-7              |
|               |                                     |                                  |          |                      |               |                        |
| IU-2011-5443  | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | annulosa                         |          | EU243357             | EU243580      | EUMU021-7              |
| IU-2011-5444  | Polynesia, Raivavae                 | treguieri                        | paratype | EU243358             | EU243581      | EUMU022-7              |
| IU-2011-5445  | Tuamotu, Mururoa                    | treguieri                        | paratype | EU243359             | EU243582      | EUMU023-7              |
| IU-2011-5446  | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | annulosa                         |          | EU243360             | EU243583      | EUMU024-7              |
| IU-2011-5447  | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | annulosa                         |          | EU243361             | EU243584      | EUMU025-7              |
| IU-2011-5448  | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | annulosa                         |          | EU243362             |               | EUMU026-7              |
| IU-2011-5449  | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | annulosa                         |          | EU243363             |               | EUMU027-7              |
| IU-2011-5450  | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | annulosa                         |          | EU243364             |               | EUMU028-7              |
| IU-2011-5451  | Norfolk ridge, Eponge               | annulosa                         |          | EU243369             |               | EUMU033-7              |
| IU-2011-5451  | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | annulosa                         |          | EU243373             |               | EUMU037-7              |
|               |                                     |                                  |          |                      |               |                        |
| IU-2011-5453  | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | annulosa                         |          | EU243374             |               | EUMU038-7              |
| IU-2011-5454  | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | annulosa                         |          | EU243375             |               | EUMU039-7              |
| IU-2011-5455  | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | annulosa                         |          | EU243376             |               | EUMU040-7              |
| IU-2011-5456  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau Est           | annulosa                         |          | EU243377             |               | EUMU041-7              |
| IU-2011-5457  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau Est           | annulosa                         |          | EU243378             |               | EUMU042-7              |
| IU-2011-5458  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau Est           | annulosa                         |          | EU243379             |               | EUMU043-7              |
| IU-2011-5459  | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau Est           | annulosa                         |          | EU243380             |               | EUMU044-7              |
| IU-2011-5460  | Norfolk ridge, Mont n°2             | annulosa                         |          | EU243381             |               | EUMU045-7              |
| IU-2011-5461  | Norfolk ridge, Mont n°2             | annulosa                         |          | EU243382             |               | EUMU046-7              |
|               |                                     |                                  |          |                      | ELIO 42507    |                        |
| IU-2011-5462  | Norfolk ridge, Mont n°2             | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243384             | EU243587      | EUMU048-7              |
| IU-2011-5463  | Norfolk ridge, Mont n°1             | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243385             | EU243588      | EUMU049-7              |
| IU-2011-5464  | Norfolk ridge, Mont n°1             | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243386             | EU243589      | EUMU050-7              |
| IU-2011-5465  | Norfolk ridge, Mont n°1             | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243387             |               | EUMU051-7              |
| IU-2011-5466  | Norfolk ridge, Mont n°1             | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243388             | EU243590      | EUMU052-7              |
| IU-2011-5467  | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243389             |               | EUMU053-7              |
| IU-2011-5468  | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243390             |               | EUMU054-7              |
| IU-2011-5469  | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243391             |               | EUMU055-7              |
| IU-2011-5470  | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243392             |               | EUMU056-7              |
|               |                                     |                                  |          |                      |               |                        |
| IU-2011-5471  | Norfolk ridge, Eponge               | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243393             |               | EUMU057-7              |
| IU-2011-5472  | Norfolk ridge, Eponge               | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243394             |               | EUMU058-7              |
| IU-2011-5473  | Norfolk ridge, Eponge               | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243395             |               | EUMU059-7              |
| H = 2011 5474 |                                     |                                  |          |                      |               |                        |
| IU-2011-5474  | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | sternomaculata                   |          | EU243396             | EU243591      | EUMU060-7              |
| IU-2011-5475  |                                     | sternomaculata<br>sternomaculata |          | EU243396<br>EU243397 | EU243591      | EUMU060-7<br>EUMU061-7 |

| IU-2011-5476                 | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | sternomaculata |          | EU243398             |            | EUMU062-7 |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------|
| IU-2011-5477                 | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | sternomaculata |          | EU243399             |            | EUMU063-7 |
| IU-2011-5478                 | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | annulosa       |          | EU243401             |            | EUMU065-7 |
| IU-2011-5479                 | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | annulosa       |          | EU243402             | EU243592   | EUMU066-7 |
| IU-2011-5480                 | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | annulosa       |          | EU243403             |            | EUMU067-7 |
| IU-2011-5481                 | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | annulosa       |          | EU243404             | EU243593   | EUMU068-7 |
| IU-2011-5482                 | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | annulosa       |          | EU243405             |            | EUMU069-7 |
| IU-2011-5483                 | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | annulosa       |          | EU243406             |            | EUMU070-7 |
| IU-2011-5484                 | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | annulosa       |          | EU243407             | EU243594   | EUMU071-7 |
| IU-2011-5485                 | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | annulosa       |          | EU243408             | 202.007.   | EUMU072-7 |
| IU-2011-5486                 | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | annulosa       |          | EU243409             |            | EUMU073-7 |
| IU-2011-5487                 | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | annulosa       |          | EU243410             | EU243595   | EUMU074-7 |
| IU-2011-5488                 | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | annulosa       |          | EU243411             | EU243596   | EUMU075-7 |
| IU-2011-5489                 | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau Est           | annulosa       |          | EU243411<br>EU243418 | EU243600   | EUMU082-7 |
|                              | <b>C</b> .                          | annulosa       |          | EU243419             | E0243000   |           |
| IU-2011-5490                 | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau Est           |                |          |                      | EU243601   | EUMU083-7 |
| IU-2011-5491                 | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau Est           | annulosa       |          | EU243420             | EU243001   | EUMU084-7 |
| IU-2011-5492                 | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau Est           | annulosa       |          | EU243421             | E11242602  | EUMU085-7 |
| IU-2011-5493                 | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau Est           | annulosa       |          | EU243422             | EU243602   | EUMU086-7 |
| IU-2011-5494                 | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | sternomaculata |          | EU243423             | EU243603   | EUMU087-7 |
| IU-2011-5495                 | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | sternomaculata |          | EU243424             |            | EUMU088-7 |
| IU-2011-5496                 | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | sternomaculata |          | EU243425             | EU243604   | EUMU089-7 |
| IU-2011-5497                 | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | sternomaculata |          | EU243426             |            | EUMU090-7 |
| IU-2011-5498                 | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | sternomaculata |          | EU243427             | EU243605   | EUMU091-7 |
| IU-2011-5499                 | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | sternomaculata |          | EU243428             |            | EUMU092-7 |
| IU-2011-5500                 | Norfolk ridge, Eponge               | sternomaculata |          | EU243429             | EU243606   | EUMU093-7 |
| IU-2011-5501                 | Norfolk ridge, Eponge               | sternomaculata |          | EU243430             |            | EUMU094-7 |
| IU-2011-5502                 | Norfolk ridge, Eponge               | sternomaculata |          | EU243431             |            | EUMU095-7 |
| IU-2011-5503                 | Norfolk ridge, Eponge               | sternomaculata |          | EU243432             | EU243607   | EUMU096-7 |
| IU-2011-5504                 | Norfolk ridge, Eponge               | sternomaculata |          | EU243433             |            | EUMU097-7 |
| IU-2011-5505                 | Norfolk ridge, Eponge               | sternomaculata |          | EU243434             | EU243608   | EUMU098-7 |
| IU-2011-5506                 | Norfolk ridge, Brachiopode          | annulosa       |          | EU243437             | EU243609   | EUMU101-7 |
| IU-2011-5507                 | Norfolk ridge, Brachiopode          | annulosa       |          | EU243438             | EU243610   | EUMU102-7 |
| IU-2011-5508                 | Norfolk ridge, Kaimon Maru          | annulosa       |          | EU243439             | 202 13010  | EUMU103-7 |
| IU-2011-5509                 | Norfolk ridge, Kaimon Maru          | annulosa       |          | EU243440             |            | EUMU104-7 |
| IU-2011-5510                 | Norfolk ridge, Kaimon Maru          | annulosa       |          | EU243441             |            | EUMU105-7 |
| IU-2011-5511                 | Norfolk ridge, Kaimon Maru          | annulosa       |          | EU243441<br>EU243442 | EU243611   | EUMU106-7 |
|                              |                                     |                |          |                      | EU243011   |           |
| IU-2011-5512                 | Norfolk ridge, Crypthelia           | annulosa       |          | EU243446             |            | EUMU110-7 |
| IU-2011-5513                 | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau ouest         | annulosa       |          | EU243495             |            | EUMU159-7 |
| IU-2011-5514                 | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau ouest         | annulosa       |          | EU243496             |            | EUMU160-7 |
| IU-2011-5515                 | Solomon Islands                     | laevimana      |          | EU243497             |            | EUMU161-7 |
| IU-2011-5516                 | Madagascar                          | similior       | holotype | EU243498             |            | EUMU162-7 |
| IU-2011-5517                 | Indonesia, Tanimbar and Kai Islands | treguieri      |          | EU243499             |            | EUMU163-7 |
| IU-2011-5518                 | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est           | spinosa        | paratype | EU243500             | EU243640   | EUMU164-7 |
| IU-2011-5519                 | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est           | spinosa        | paratype | EU243501             | EU243641   | EUMU165-7 |
| IU-2011-5520                 | Loyalty ridge                       | minor          |          | EU243502             |            | EUMU166-7 |
| IU-2011-5521                 | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | sternomaculata |          | EU243503             |            | EUMU167-7 |
| IU-2011-5522                 | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | sternomaculata |          | EU243504             | EU243642   | EUMU168-7 |
| IU-2011-5523                 | Norfolk ridge, Introuvable          | sternomaculata |          | EU243505             |            | EUMU169-7 |
| IU-2011-5524                 | Solomon Islands                     | laevimana      |          | EU243510             |            | EUMU174-7 |
| IU-2011-5525                 | Polynesia, Tubuai                   | treguieri      | paratype | EU243511             |            | EUMU175-7 |
| IU-2011-5526                 | Polynesia, Tubuai                   | treguieri      | paratype | EU243512             |            | EUMU176-7 |
| IU-2011-5527                 | Norfolk ridge, Jumeau est           | spinosa        | holotype | EU243513             | EU243645   | EUMU177-7 |
| IU-2011-5528                 | New-Caledonia                       | keijii         | paratype | EU243514             |            | EUMU178-7 |
| IU-2011-5529                 | Tuamotu                             | treguieri      | paratype | EU243516             | EU243647   | EUMU180-7 |
| IU-2011-5530                 | Tuamotu                             | treguieri      | paratype | EU243517             | EU243648   | EUMU181-7 |
| IU-2011-5531                 | New-Caledonia                       | parva          | holotype | EU243518             |            | EUMU182-7 |
| IU-2011-5532                 | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | annulosa       |          | EU243519             | EU243649   | EUMU183-7 |
| IU-2011-5533                 | Norfolk ridge, Stylaster            | annulosa       |          | EU243520             | EU243650   | EUMU184-7 |
| IU-2011-5534                 | New-Caledonia, Surprise             | parva          |          | EU243521             | 20213030   | EUMU185-7 |
| IU-2011-5535                 | New-Caledonia, Surprise             | parva          |          | EU243522             | EU243653   | EUMU186-7 |
| IU-2011-5536                 | New-Caledonia, Surprise             | parva<br>parva |          | EU243522<br>EU243523 | LU273033   | EUMU187-7 |
|                              |                                     | _              |          |                      |            |           |
| IU-2011-5537                 | New Caledonia, Surprise             | parva          |          | EU243524             | EI 1242654 | EUMU188-7 |
| IU-2011-5538                 | New-Caledonia, Surprise             | parva          |          | EU243525             | EU243654   | EUMU189-7 |
| IU-2011-5539                 | New-Caledonia, Surprise             | parva          |          | EU243526             |            | EUMU190-7 |
| IU-2011-5540                 | New-Caledonia, Surprise             | parva          |          | EU243527             |            | EUMU191-7 |
| IU-2011-5541                 | Indonesia, Kai island               | karubar        | paratype | EU243528             |            | EUMU192-7 |
| IU-2011-5542                 | Indonesia, Kai island               | karubar        | paratype | EU243529             |            | EUMU193-7 |
| IU-2011-5543                 | Indonesia, Kai island               | karubar        | paratype | EU243530             |            | EUMU194-7 |
| IU-2011-5544                 | Indonesia, Kai island               | karubar        | paratype | EU243531             |            | EUMU195-7 |
|                              | T 1 ' TZ ' 1 1                      | karubar        | paratype | EU243532             |            | EUMU196-7 |
| IU-2011-5545                 | Indonesia, Kai island               | Karubar        | F        |                      |            |           |
| IU-2011-5545<br>IU-2011-5546 | New-Caledonia                       | marginata      | holotype | EU243543             |            | EUMU207-7 |
|                              |                                     |                |          |                      |            |           |
| IU-2011-5546                 | New-Caledonia                       | marginata      | holotype | EU243543             |            | EUMU207-7 |

| IU-2011-5549<br>IU-2011-5550 | Madagascar<br>Madagascar | multilineata<br>minor | paratype | EU243546<br>EU243547 |          | EUMU210-7<br>EUMU211-7 |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------|----------------------|----------|------------------------|
| IU-2011-5551                 | Norfolk ridge            | minor                 | paratype | EU243548             |          | EUMU212-7              |
| IU-2011-5552                 | Norfolk ridge            | minor                 | paratype | EU243549             |          | EUMU213-7              |
| IU-2011-5553                 | Loyalty ridge            | minor                 | holotype | EU243550             |          | EUMU214-7              |
| IU-2011-5554                 | Loyalty ridge            | minor                 | paratype | EU243551             |          | EUMU215-7              |
| IU-2011-5555                 | Loyalty ridge            | minor                 |          | EU243552             |          | EUMU216-7              |
| IU-2011-5556                 | Loyalty ridge            | minor                 |          | EU243553             |          | EUMU217-7              |
| IU-2011-5557                 | Loyalty ridge            | minor                 |          | EU243554             |          | EUMU218-7              |
| IU-2011-5558                 | Philippines              | funambulus            |          |                      | EU243658 |                        |
| IU-2011-5559                 | Indonesia, Kai island    | karubar               | holotype | EU243555             | EU243659 | EUMU219-7              |
| IU-2011-5560                 |                          | treguieri             |          |                      | EU243660 |                        |
| IU-2011-5561                 | Namibia                  | squamifera            | paratype | EU243559             |          | EUMU223-7              |
| IU-2011-5562                 | Namibia                  | squamifera            | paratype | EU243560             |          | EUMU224-7              |
| IU-2011-5563                 | Polynesia, Tuamotu       | treguieri             | holotype | EU243562             | EU243663 | EUMU226-7              |

Table 2: Description of morphological characters (numbered from 1 to 16).

|    | Characters  | States                     |
|----|---|----------------------------|
| 1  | Thoracic spines   | YES=1, NO=0                |
| 2  | Posterior region of carapace with complete striae                       | YES=1, NO=0                |
| 3  | Number of anterolateral spines on each side                             | one spines=1, two spines=0 |
| 4  | Pad on palm of cheliped   | Yes=1, NO=0                |
| 5  | Epigastric spines   | YES=1, NO=0                |
| 6  | Posterior part of abdominal tergites, after last stria, smooth          | YES=1, NO=0                |
| 7  | Depressed area on branchial region of carapace                          | YES=1, NO=0                |
| 8  | Mesiodorsal row of spines on cheliped palm                              | YES=1, NO=0                |
| 9  | First anterolateral spine less than half lateral supraorbital           | YES=1(less), NO=0 (more)   |
| 10 | Distal spines on carpus of chelipeds                                    | 1=2 sp, 0=3 sp             |
| 11 | Distal spine on merus of third maxilliped                               | YES=1, NO=0                |
| 12 | Male Pleopods   | YES=1, NO=0                |
| 13 | Six to seven spines upper margin of propodus walking leg                | YES=1, NO=0                |
| 14 | Row of ventral spines on merus of chelipeds                             | 1=5-8sp, 0=1sp             |
| 15 | Ocular peduncles short, not reaching end of lateral supraorbital spines | YES=1, NO=0                |
| 16 | Lateral surface of 4th pereiopod with spine                             | YES=1, NO=0                |

Table 3: Character state for each *Eumunida* species.

Species for which molecular data were obtained are indicated in bold.

|                   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| E. ampliata       | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 1  |
| E. annulosa       | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| E. australis      | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| E. balssi         | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| E. bella          | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| E. bispinata      | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| E. capillata      |   | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| E. chani          |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| E. debilistriata  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 1  |
| E. depressa       | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 0  |
| E. dofleini       | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| E. funambulus     | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0  | 1  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 1  |
| E. gordonae       | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| E. karubar        | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 1  |
| E. keijii         | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| E. laevimana      | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 0  |
| E. macphersoni    | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  |
| E. marginata      | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| E. minor          | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 1  |
| E. multilineata   | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 0  |
| E. pacifica       | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| E. parva          | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| E. picta          | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| E. similior       | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 0  |
| E. smithii        | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 1  |
| E. spinosa        | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| E. squamifera     | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| E. sternomaculata | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| E. treguieri      | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1  |
| Eumunida n. sp.   | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |

# Figure captions

Fig. 1: Histogram of genetic distances for (A) the COI gene and (B) the 28S gene datasets. Black bars: pairs of type-specimens. Grey bars: pairs of non-type-specimens.

Fig. 2: (A). Bayesian tree for COI gene dataset, with posterior probabilities indicated for each node. Clades are collapsed in triangles, with the height representing the number of specimens and the width the length of the branches. \*: units including a type specimen; (B) Bayesian tree for the 28S gene dataset; (C) Detail of the COI gene tree for the *E. parva/E. karubar/E. smithii* clade. (D) Detail of the 28S gene tree for the *E. parva/E. karubar/E. smithii* clade.





