



HAL
open science

On automorphism groups of Toeplitz subshifts

Sebastian Donoso, Fabien Durand, Alejandro Maass, Samuel Petite

► **To cite this version:**

Sebastian Donoso, Fabien Durand, Alejandro Maass, Samuel Petite. On automorphism groups of Toeplitz subshifts. *Discrete Analysis*, 2017, 19, 10.19086/da.1832 . hal-02455371

HAL Id: hal-02455371

<https://hal.science/hal-02455371>

Submitted on 26 Jan 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On automorphism groups of Toeplitz subshifts ^{*}

Sebastian Donoso[†]

Fabien Durand
Samuel Petite

Alejandro Maass[‡]

Received 05 January 2017; Published 15 June 2017

Abstract: In this article we study automorphisms of Toeplitz subshifts. Such groups are abelian and any finitely generated torsion subgroup is finite and cyclic. When the complexity is non-superlinear, we prove that the automorphism group is, modulo a finite cyclic group, generated by a unique root of the shift. In the subquadratic complexity case, we show that the automorphism group modulo the torsion is generated by the roots of the shift map and that the result of the non-superlinear case is optimal. Namely, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we construct examples of minimal Toeplitz subshifts with complexity bounded by $Cn^{1+\varepsilon}$ whose automorphism groups are not finitely generated. Finally, we observe the coalescence and the automorphism group give no restriction on the complexity since we provide a family of coalescent Toeplitz subshifts with positive entropy such that their automorphism groups are arbitrary finitely generated infinite abelian groups with cyclic torsion subgroup (eventually restricted to powers of the shift).

Key words and phrases: Toeplitz subshifts, automorphism group, complexity function, coalescence.

1 Introduction

Given a finite set \mathcal{A} , the *full shift* $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the set of all bi-infinite sequences $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $x_i \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. This set can be thought of as all *colorings* of \mathbb{Z} with colors in \mathcal{A} . The finite set \mathcal{A} is usually called the *alphabet*. A *subshift* is a subset of a fullshift $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ which is closed for the product topology and invariant

^{*}This research was supported through the cooperation project MathAmSud DCS 38889 TM.

[†]Partially supported by ERC grant 306494 and CMM-Basal grant PFB-03.

[‡]Supported by CMM-Basal grant PFB-03.

under the *shift map* $\sigma: \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto (x_{i+1})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. A *word* w is an element of $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}^n$. Its length $|w|$ is the positive integer such that $w \in \mathcal{A}^{|w|}$. We say that a word w *appears* in a sequence $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in X$ if there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $w = x_n \cdots x_{n+|w|-1}$. The *complexity* of a subshift X is the map $p_X(\cdot): \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ which for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ counts the number of words of length n appearing in sequences of X . An *endomorphism* is a continuous onto map $\phi: X \rightarrow X$ such that $\phi \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \phi$. It is called an *automorphism* whenever it is bijective. We remark that since X is compact, the inverse of an automorphism ϕ is also continuous and thus is an automorphism too. The group of all automorphisms is countable and denoted $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$.

The study of the group of automorphisms of low complexity subshifts has become very active in the last five years. In contrast with the positive entropy studies, where the automorphism group can be very large (see for instance [3]), a lot of evidence suggests that low complexity systems ought to have a small automorphism group. In particular, studies [18] and [16] on classes of minimal substitutive subshifts showed that the automorphism groups are virtually \mathbb{Z} . It turns out that this result holds for any minimal subshift with non-superlinear complexity (i.e., $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_X(n)/n < \infty$) [9, 13]. Also, for some special substitution subshifts with this complexity growth, the automorphism group is cyclic [7]. Higher order polynomial complexity growth was also considered by Cyr and Kra in [8, 10]. In [8], the authors proved that for transitive subshifts, if $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_X(n)/n^2 = 0$ then the quotient $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle$ is a periodic group, where $\langle \sigma \rangle$ is the group spanned by the shift map. In [10], for a large class of minimal subshifts of subexponential complexity they also proved that the automorphism group is amenable. These results showed that the automorphism group seems to gain in constraints when the complexity goes down but this is not always true. Interestingly, in [19] the author provided a Toeplitz subshift with complexity $p_X(n) \leq Cn^{1.757}$, whose automorphism group is not finitely generated.

Even though these results allow us to slowly understand the group of automorphisms of low complexity subshifts, the complete picture is still unclear, even for particular classes of subshifts. The purpose of this article is to use the class of Toeplitz subshifts and study their automorphism groups in order to understand some general questions relating complexity growth and the size of the automorphism group. We observe that since the automorphism group of a Toeplitz subshift is a subgroup of the associated odometer [13], then it is a countable abelian group. This fact restricts our study to such class of groups.

We start by considering Toeplitz subshifts of subquadratic complexity. In this case, any endomorphism is bijective, so the coalescent property holds (see Section 3 for an expanded discussion). In Theorem 3.2 we show that the automorphism group is spanned by the roots of the shift map modulo the torsion subgroup. In fact, this result holds each time the quotient $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle$ is periodic and the subquadratic case is a consequence of [8]. When this quotient is finite, in particular when the Toeplitz subshift has non-superlinear complexity [13], we also prove in Theorem 3.2 that the automorphism group modulo a finite cyclic group is spanned by one root of the shift map, thus this quotient is a cyclic group. Both results open the door to applications to other Toeplitz subshifts with higher complexities. These results follow from the study of subgroups of odometers and allow us to recover Theorem 12 from [7], where the authors consider a family of Toeplitz subshifts generated by substitutions. The condition on Theorem 3.2 is true for many Toeplitz in the family called (p, q) -Toeplitz [5], including the example in [19]. Then, using this class of Toeplitz subshifts we extend the example given by Salo in [19], proving that the condition of non-superlinear complexity of [9, 13] cannot be relaxed. More precisely, in Theorem 4.1 we prove that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a Toeplitz subshift in the aforementioned family such that the complexity verifies $p_X(n) \leq Cn^{1+\varepsilon}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and whose automorphism group is not finitely generated. In fact,

this construction allows us to produce Toeplitz subshifts with arbitrarily big polynomial complexity whose automorphism group is not finitely generated. We left open the question whether we can get the same result with an even smaller complexity. For instance, $p_X(n)/n \leq C \log(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In contrast with previous results, large complexity is not enough to have a large automorphism group. Here we prove that coalescence and the size of the automorphism group impose no restrictions to the complexity function. In Theorem 5.4 we provide a family of coalescent Toeplitz subshifts with positive entropy such that its automorphism group is an arbitrary infinite countable and finitely generated abelian group with cyclic torsion subgroup. For the group \mathbb{Z} , this result resembles the main result in [4] where the construction is not explicit. Also, in [15], an explicit example is given, with an arbitrary entropy but with a specific maximal equicontinuous factor. Our construction is explicit, self-contained and may be generalized to \mathbb{Z}^d -Toeplitz arrays or G -Toeplitz arrays for any countable amenable residually finite group G (see [6]).

Finally, we remark that not every infinite countable abelian group can be the automorphism group of a Toeplitz subshift: it has to be a subgroup of an odometer [13], hence residually finite. For instance, the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} does not satisfy this property (see Section 2.3). But besides this restriction, we do not know if every infinitely generated countable abelian group (embedded in an odometer) can be realized as the automorphism group of a Toeplitz subshift.

2 Background

2.1 Topological dynamical systems

A *topological dynamical system* (or just a system) is a pair (X, T) where X is a compact metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a homeomorphism. Let “dist” be a distance in X . The orbit of a point $x \in X$ is given by $\text{Orb}_T(x) = \{T^n x; n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. A topological dynamical system is *minimal* if the orbit of every point is dense in X and is *transitive* if at least one orbit is dense in X . In a transitive system, points with dense orbits are called *transitive points*.

Let (X, T) be a topological dynamical system. We say that $x, y \in X$ are *proximal* if there exists a sequence $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{Z} such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(T^{n_i} x, T^{n_i} y) = 0$. A stronger condition than proximality is asymptoticity. Two points $x, y \in X$ are *asymptotic* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(T^n x, T^n y) = 0$. Nontrivial asymptotic pairs may not exist in an arbitrary topological dynamical system but it is well known that a nonempty aperiodic subshift always admits at least one [2, Chapter 1].

A *factor map* between the topological dynamical systems (X, T) and (Y, S) is a continuous onto map $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\pi \circ T = S \circ \pi$. We say that (Y, S) is a *factor* of (X, T) and that (X, T) is an *extension* of (Y, S) . We use the notation $\pi: (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ to indicate the factor map. If in addition π is a bijective map we say that (X, T) and (Y, S) are *topologically conjugate*.

The system (X, T) is a *proximal extension* of (Y, S) via the factor map $\pi: (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ (or the factor map itself is a *proximal extension*) if for every $x, x' \in X$ the condition $\pi(x) = \pi(x')$ implies that x, x' are proximal. For minimal systems, (X, T) is an *almost one-to-one extension* of (Y, S) via the factor map $\pi: (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ (or the factor map itself is an *almost one-to-one extension*) if there exists $y \in Y$ with a unique preimage for the map π . The relation between these two notions is given by the following folklore result. If the factor map $\pi: (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ between minimal

systems is an almost one-to-one extension then it is also a proximal extension (see [13] for a proof).

A topological dynamical system (X, T) is *equicontinuous* if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $\text{dist}(x, y) \leq \delta$ then for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has $\text{dist}(T^n x, T^n y) \leq \varepsilon$. It is well known that any topological system has a *maximal equicontinuous factor*, that is, a factor that is equicontinuous and that is an extension of any other equicontinuous factor of the system (see [2]).

An *automorphism* of the topological dynamical system (X, T) is a homeomorphism ϕ of the space X to itself such that $\phi \circ T = T \circ \phi$. We denote by $\text{Aut}(X, T)$ the group of automorphisms of (X, T) . The subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X, T)$ generated by T is denoted by $\langle T \rangle$. Analogously one defines an *endomorphism* of the topological dynamical system (X, T) as a continuous and onto map $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ such that $\phi \circ T = T \circ \phi$. The space of endomorphisms of (X, T) is denoted $\text{End}(X, T)$. A system (X, T) is *coalescent* if $\text{End}(X, T) = \text{Aut}(X, T)$. When (X, σ) is a subshift, the Curtis-Hedlund-Lyndon theorem asserts that an endomorphism ϕ is defined by a *local rule*. That is, there exists $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{N}$ (called a radius of ϕ) and a *block map* $\hat{\phi} : \mathcal{A}^{2\mathbf{r}+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $\phi(x)_n = \hat{\phi}(x_{n-\mathbf{r}} \dots x_n \dots x_{n+\mathbf{r}})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2.2 Odometers

Let $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of natural numbers such that p_n divides p_{n+1} for all $n \geq 1$. Define the quotients associated to this sequence by $q_1 = p_1$ and $q_{n+1} = p_{n+1}/p_n$ for $n \geq 1$. The *odometer* at scale $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)} = \{(x_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{p_n}; x_{n+1} = x_n \pmod{p_n} \forall n \geq 1\},$$

where \mathbb{Z}_p stands for $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, notation which is usually devoted to the ring of p -adic integers when p is prime. In this framework this ring is the one associated to the scale $(p^n)_{n \geq 1}$ so will be denoted $\mathbb{Z}_{(p^n)}$.

The set $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ is an inverse limit $\varprojlim \mathbb{Z}_{p_n}$ of the canonical homomorphisms $\mathbb{Z}_{p_{n+1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p_n}$. Clearly $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ is an abelian group with the coordinatewise addition and when finite it is cyclic. The odometer $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ where $p_n = n!$ for all $n \geq 1$ is called the *universal odometer*. We notice that the odometers $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_{i_n})}$, where $(i_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is strictly increasing, are isomorphic as groups. We denote by $\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ the elements $(0, 0, \dots)$ and $(1, 1, \dots)$ in any odometer. The natural dynamics on an odometer $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ is given by the addition by $\mathbf{1}$. It is not difficult to see that it is a minimal equicontinuous topological dynamical system, that we also call odometer and denote by $(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}, +\mathbf{1})$. In particular, the subgroup $\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle$ generated by $\mathbf{1}$ is dense in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$. This subgroup is identified with the integers.

The following simple lemma is a slight generalization of the minimality of the odometer. The proof is given for completeness.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ be an odometer and consider an integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(m, p_n) = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then, the dynamics defined by the addition by $m\mathbf{1}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ is minimal.*

Proof. Since the addition by $\mathbf{1}$ is minimal in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$, it suffices to show that the orbit of 0 in \mathbb{Z}_{p_n} by the addition by m contains 1 for all $n \geq 1$. Since $(m, p_n) = 1$, there exist integers a, b such that $am = bp_n + 1$. This ends the proof. \square

We will also need to understand when an odometer has torsion elements. For that we need some extra notation. For each prime number p , denote by $v_p(n)$ the *p -adic valuation* of the integer n , that

is, $v_p(n) = \max\{k \geq 0; p^k \text{ divides } n\}$. Given an odometer $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$, the sequence $(v_p(p_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is a non-decreasing sequence and we can define the *multiplicity function*, as proposed in [14], by

$$\mathbf{v}((p_n)) = \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_p(p_n); p \text{ prime} \right).$$

For an abelian group G , let $T(G)$ denote its torsion subgroup, that is, the subgroup generated by the torsion elements, *i.e.*, elements of finite order. For an integer p , let $T(G)_p$ denote the set of elements in G of order a power of p .

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ be an odometer. Then its torsion subgroup is*

$$T(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}) = \bigoplus_p T(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)})_p,$$

where the sum is taken over all the prime numbers p such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_p(p_n)$ is positive and finite. Moreover, each group $T(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)})_p$ is a finite cyclic group of order $p^{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_p(p_n)}$. In particular, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_p(p_n) \in \{0, \infty\}$ for all prime numbers, then $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ is torsion free.

It follows from the Chinese remainder theorem that the torsion subgroup of a finitely generated subgroup of an odometer is cyclic. Also, the group of p -adic integers $\mathbb{Z}_{(p^n)}$ is torsion free. In contrast, the odometer $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ where p_n is the product of the first n primes, *i.e.*, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_p(p_n) = 1$ for any prime number p , has a non-finitely generated torsion subgroup.

Proof. The Chinese remainder theorem implies that $T(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}) = \bigoplus_p T(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)})_p$, where the sum is taken over all the prime numbers. So it suffices to study each group $T(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)})_p$.

Let p be a prime such that there exists $(x_n)_{n \geq 1} \in T(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)})_p$ different from $\mathbf{0}$, of order p^k for some $k \geq 1$, meaning $p^k x_n = 0 \pmod{p_n}$ for each $n \geq 1$. Moreover there exists n such that for all large enough $m \geq n$, p^k is the order of x_m in \mathbb{Z}_{p_m} , and, thus p^k divides p_m . Thus, if $T(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)})_p$ is non trivial then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_p(p_n)$ is positive. Let us show it is finite. If it is not the case then there would be some m such that $p_m = p_n p q$ for some integer q and we should have $p^{k-1} x_m = a p_n q$ for some integer a . Consequently, $p^{k-1} x_n = 0 \pmod{p_n}$ and thus $x_n = 0 \pmod{p_n}$. This would contradict the fact that p^k is the order of x_n .

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_p(p_n) = k_p$ is finite and the order of $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is at most p^{k_p} . Since for each large enough n (so that $v_p(p_n) = k_p$), the set of elements in \mathbb{Z}_{p_n} of order $p^{k'}$ for some $0 \leq k' \leq k_p$, forms a cyclic group of cardinality p^{k_p} , the group $T(\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)})_p$ is a cyclic group of cardinality p^{k_p} . \square

2.3 Toeplitz subshifts

We will use classical notions of symbolic dynamics (*e.g.* subshift, words, complexity, ...) and we refer to Section 2.3 in [13] for a presentation and the notation of these notions. We assume some familiarity of the reader with the notion of Toeplitz subshift so we review them succinctly. We refer to [14] for a survey on this topic.

Let $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, where \mathcal{A} is a finite alphabet. For an integer $p \geq 1$, we let $Per_p(x) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}; x_n = x_{n+kp} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be the set of indexes where x is p -periodic. The sequence x is said to be *Toeplitz* if there exists a sequence $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\mathbb{Z} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} Per_{p_n}(x)$. Equivalently, the

sequence x is Toeplitz if all finite blocks in x appear periodically. We say that p_n is an *essential period* if for any $1 \leq p < p_n$ the sets $Per_p(x)$ and $Per_{p_n}(x)$ do not coincide. If the sequence $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is formed by essential periods and p_n divides p_{n+1} , we call it a *periodic structure* of x . Clearly, if $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a periodic structure, then $(p_{i_n})_{n \geq 1}$ is also a periodic structure for any strictly increasing sequence of positive integers $(i_n)_{n \geq 1}$.

A subshift (X, σ) is a *Toeplitz subshift* if X is the orbit closure of a Toeplitz sequence $x \in X$. The subshift (X, σ) is also referred as the *subshift generated* by x . Let $?$ be a symbol not in \mathcal{A} . If $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a periodic structure of x , then for every $n \geq 1$ we can define the *skeleton map* at scale p_n by $S_{p_n} : X \rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cup ?)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by putting $(S_{p_n}(y))_m$ equal to y_m if $m \in Per_{p_n}(y)$ and to $?$ otherwise. Not all the points $y \in X$ are Toeplitz sequences, but they all have the same *skeleton structure* $(S_{p_n}(y))_{n \geq 1}$ modulo a shift. More precisely, if $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is the periodic structure of the Toeplitz sequence x and y is any point in X , then for any $n \geq 1$ there exists $j_n \in \{0, \dots, p_n - 1\}$ such that $Per_{p_n}(y) = Per_{p_n}(x) - j_n$ and sequences x and y coincide on these coordinates, i.e., $S_{p_n}(y) = \sigma^{j_n} S_{p_n}(x)$ (see [14], Section 8).

It is well known that a minimal subshift (X, σ) is a Toeplitz subshift if it is an almost one-to-one extension of an odometer. The odometer is given by $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$, where $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a periodic structure of a Toeplitz point x generating X . The projection of a point $y \in X$ into the odometer is given by the sequence $(j_n)_{n \geq 1}$ described above (see for instance [20]). Hence, the projection of the Toeplitz sequence x is $\mathbf{0}$. Moreover, this odometer is the *maximal equicontinuous factor* of (X, σ) . A finite Toeplitz subshift is generated by a periodic Toeplitz sequence so it can be identified with its also finite associated odometer.

Since a Toeplitz subshift is an almost one-to-one extension of its maximal equicontinuous factor, to study its group of automorphisms, we will use the following result proved in [13] (Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4).

Lemma 2.3 ([13]). *Let (X, T) be a minimal system and $\pi : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ be the projection of X onto its maximal equicontinuous factor. Then, we can define a map $\widehat{\pi} : \text{Aut}(X, T) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Y, S)$, $\phi \mapsto \widehat{\pi}(\phi)$, such that $\widehat{\pi}(\phi)(\pi(x)) = \pi(\phi x)$ for every $x \in X$. If π is a proximal extension (in particular if π is an almost one-to-one extension) then $\widehat{\pi}$ is injective.*

It is worth mentioning that the same result holds for endomorphisms and that endomorphisms of an equicontinuous system are automatically automorphisms [1]. It follows that the automorphism group of a Toeplitz subshift can be identified with a subgroup of the associated odometer. Indeed, it is well known that the group of automorphisms of an equicontinuous system is homeomorphic to the space itself (see [1] or Lemma 5.9 in [13] for a shorter proof). It follows that the automorphism group of a Toeplitz subshift is a countable abelian group.

Many other results described below will follow from the analysis of subgroups of odometers. As a first remark we have that if (X, σ) is a Toeplitz subshift and $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ is its associated odometer, then any finitely generated subgroup $G \leq \text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^d \oplus H$, where, by Lemma 2.2, H is a finite cyclic abelian group. If the odometer has no torsion, then the group H is trivial and thus G is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^d . This kind of properties restrict the groups that can be realized as the automorphism groups of Toeplitz subshifts. We already mentioned in the introduction that the group of rational numbers with the addition cannot be injected in any odometer $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$. Nevertheless, we will see in Section 5 that any finitely generated abelian group whose torsion subgroup is cyclic can be realized as the automorphism group of a Toeplitz subshift.

2.4 Automorphism group of disjoint Toeplitz subshifts

Two topological dynamical systems (X, T) and (Y, S) are said to be *disjoint* if the product system $(X \times Y, T \times S)$ does not have any non-empty, closed and $T \times S$ invariant subsets projecting onto X and Y respectively, different from $X \times Y$.

In what follows we use the symbol \oplus (instead of \times) whenever we want to stress that a product is in the group category. We start with a general lemma. Notice that the inclusion $\text{End}(X, T) \oplus \text{End}(Y, S) \subseteq \text{End}(X \times Y, T \times S)$ is always true.

Lemma 2.4. *Let (X, T) and (Y, S) be disjoint minimal systems. If $\phi \in \text{End}(X \times Y, T \times S)$ (resp. $\text{Aut}(X \times Y, T \times S)$) commutes with $\text{id} \times S$ and $T \times \text{id}$, then $\phi \in \text{End}(X, T) \oplus \text{End}(Y, S)$ (resp. $\text{Aut}(X, T) \oplus \text{Aut}(Y, S)$). In particular, the conclusion holds if $\text{End}(X \times Y, T \times S)$ is abelian.*

Proof. Write the endomorphism $\phi(x, y) = (\phi_1(x, y), \phi_2(x, y))$. If ϕ commutes with $\text{id} \times S$ and $T \times \text{id}$, then we get that $\phi_1(x, y) = \phi_1(x, S^n y)$ and $\phi_2(T^n x, y) = \phi_2(x, y)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By minimality of (X, T) and (Y, S) we get that ϕ_1 only depends on x and ϕ_2 only depends on y , meaning that ϕ belongs to $\text{End}(X, T) \oplus \text{End}(Y, S)$. The same is true for ϕ an automorphism. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let (X_1, σ) and (X_2, σ) be disjoint Toeplitz subshifts. Then, $\text{End}(X_1 \times X_2, \sigma \times \sigma) = \text{End}(X_1, \sigma) \oplus \text{End}(X_2, \sigma)$ and $\text{Aut}(X_1 \times X_2, \sigma \times \sigma) = \text{Aut}(X_1, \sigma) \oplus \text{Aut}(X_2, \sigma)$. In particular, if (X_1, σ) and (X_2, σ) are coalescent then $(X_1 \times X_2, \sigma \times \sigma)$ is coalescent too.*

Proof. It suffices to notice that the system $(X_1 \times X_2, \sigma \times \sigma)$ is a Toeplitz subshift itself. By Lemma 2.3, $\text{End}(X_1 \times X_2, \sigma \times \sigma)$ is abelian and we can apply Lemma 2.4. \square

3 Automorphism group of Toeplitz subshifts with subquadratic complexity

In this section we study the automorphism groups of Toeplitz subshifts of subquadratic complexity. That is, the complexity function verifies $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_X(n)}{n^2} = 0$. In this case, a simple argument relying in Lemma 5 of [17] implies that these systems are coalescent. In fact, if (X, σ) is a minimal subshift of subquadratic complexity (not necessarily Toeplitz), we can consider the *spacetime* tiling of an endomorphism ϕ , as done in [8, 11, 12], and obtain a periodicity condition on this tiling that is translated into $\phi^n = \sigma^m$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. From this we deduce that ϕ is injective and then is an automorphism.

Recall that for an abelian group G , $T(G)$ is its torsion subgroup and that $G/T(G)$ is a torsion free group. Most of the proofs rely on the following property of odometers.

Lemma 3.1. *If G is an abelian group and $\mathbf{s} \in G$ is an element of infinite order such that $G/\langle \mathbf{s}, T(G) \rangle$ is finite, then $G/T(G)$ is a cyclic group isomorphic to $\langle \mathbf{s} \rangle$. In particular, the quotient $(G/T(G))/\langle \mathbf{s} \rangle$ is also a cyclic group.*

Proof. Since $G/\langle \mathbf{s}, T(G) \rangle$ is finite, let $g_1, \dots, g_m \in G$ be representatives for all of its cosets. For every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ there exists an integer $\ell_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\ell_i g_i \in \langle \mathbf{s}, T(G) \rangle$. Let ℓ denote the smallest positive integer such that $\ell g \in \langle \mathbf{s}, T(G) \rangle$ for every $g \in G$. It is standard to check that ℓ divides $\text{lcm}(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_m)$.

Now, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, let k_i be the integer such that $\ell g_i \equiv k_i \mathbf{s} \pmod{T(G)}$. Since $G/T(G)$ is torsion free, the minimality of ℓ gives that $(\ell, k_1, \dots, k_m) = 1$. By Bezout's Theorem there exist integers a_0, \dots, a_m such that

$$a_0 \ell + a_1 k_1 + \dots + a_m k_m = 1.$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{s} = (a_0 \ell + a_1 k_1 + \dots + a_m k_m) \mathbf{s} \equiv \ell(a_0 \mathbf{s} + a_1 g_1 + \dots + a_m g_m) \pmod{T(G)}$, and consequently there exists $g \in G$ such that $\ell g \equiv \mathbf{s} \pmod{T(G)}$. Since $\ell g_i \equiv \ell k_i g \pmod{T(G)}$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $G/T(G)$ is torsion free, it follows $g_i \equiv k_i g \pmod{T(G)}$. This together with $\ell g \equiv \mathbf{s} \pmod{T(G)}$ shows that $G/T(G)$ is generated by g , thus is cyclic. Clearly $(G/T(G))/\langle \mathbf{s} \rangle$ is also cyclic. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, σ) be a Toeplitz subshift and let $T = T(\text{Aut}(X, \sigma))$ be the torsion subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$.*

1. *If $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/\langle T, \sigma \rangle$ is a periodic group, then any subgroup H of $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ containing the shift is spanned by the roots of $\sigma \pmod{T(H)}$ in H and $T(H)$. In particular, a torsion free subgroup H containing σ is spanned by the roots of σ in H .*
2. *If $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle$ is finite, then $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \oplus T$ and T is either trivial or isomorphic to some \mathbb{Z}_N .*

The statement (1) of this theorem applies for instance when (X, σ) has subquadratic complexity, by the main result in [8]. Statement (2) applies when (X, σ) has non-superlinear complexity [13]. Moreover, since $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ embeds into the odometer $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ associated to (X, σ) , Lemma 2.2 implies that any prime divisor p of N satisfies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_p(p_n)$ is positive and finite. In this situation, the odometers of the Toeplitz substitutions considered in [7] are groups of p -adic integers $\mathbb{Z}_{(p^n)}$, that have no torsion. So it follows that in this case $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is itself a cyclic group, which corresponds to Corollary 12 in [7].

Proof. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ be the odometer associated to the Toeplitz subshift (X, σ) and let H be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ containing σ . For $\phi \in H$, consider the subgroup G of H spanned by ϕ and σ . By Lemma 2.3 we can see G as a subgroup of the odometer $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ and translate the hypothesis to the statement: $G/\langle T(G), \mathbf{1} \rangle$ is an abelian finitely generated periodic group. But this implies that $G/\langle T(G), \mathbf{1} \rangle$ is in fact finite. From Lemma 3.1, the group $G/T(G)$ is cyclic. In particular, there exist $\rho \in G$ and $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\phi \equiv \rho^{m_1} \pmod{T(H)}$ and $\sigma = \rho^{m_2} \pmod{T(H)}$. This completes (1).

If $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle$ is finite, then $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is finitely generated. So Lemma 2.2 implies that its torsion subgroup T is cyclic. A direct consequence of Lemma 3.1 to $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is that $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/T$ is cyclic. Since $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) \simeq (\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/T) \oplus T$ we have proved statement (2). \square

It is worth noting that if φ is a root of σ , then the integer ℓ such that $\varphi^\ell = \sigma$ has to be prime with each p_n appearing in the odometer $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$. This follows from the fact that the equality $\varphi^\ell = \sigma$ (in $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$) is translated into $\ell z = \mathbf{1}$ for $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$, which is possible only if ℓ is prime with p_n for all $n \geq 1$. This observation allows us to produce examples of Toeplitz subshifts without roots, so where the automorphism group is trivial.

We illustrate Theorem 3.2 and previous comment in the next general construction. We concentrate on part (2). Examples for part (1) appear in the next section.

Consider a sequence $(w_n)_{n \geq 1}$ on the finite alphabet $\mathcal{A} \cup \{?\}$ such that for each $n \geq 1$, $|w_n| = q_n \geq 3$ and, for $n \geq 2$, $w_n = u_n?v_n$, where u_n and v_n are non empty words on the alphabet \mathcal{A} . Thus w_n contains exactly one symbol $?$. Now define the sequence $(W_n)_{n \geq 1}$ by: $W_1 = w_1^\infty = \dots w_1 w_1 . w_1 w_1 \dots$, where the central dot indicates the position to the left of the zero coordinate, and $W_{n+1} = F_{W_n}(w_{n+1}^\infty)$ for every $n \geq 1$. Here, $F_{W_n}(w_{n+1}^\infty)$ is the sequence obtained from W_n replacing consecutively all the symbols $?$ by the sequence w_{n+1}^∞ , where $(w_{n+1}^\infty)_0$ is placed in the first $?$ to the right of coordinate 0. The map F will be studied in more details in next section.

Since symbol $?$ moves away from zero coordinate with n , then the sequence $(W_n)_{n \geq 2}$ converges to a point $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. In addition, each coordinate of x is periodic with periods in the sequence $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$, where $p_n = q_1 \cdots q_n$. Hence x is a Toeplitz sequence. We let the reader check that one can choose the words u_n 's and v_n 's to construct a non periodic sequence x . Also, special choices of the u_n 's and v_n 's allow us to prove that $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is the sequence of essential periods of x (for example consider two different letters $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and take $u_n = a$, $v_n = b^{q_n-2}$). So, under this assumption we have that $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ is the odometer associated with X , the orbit closure of x by the shift map. Finally, remark that any word of length p_n appearing in x can be constructed filling one symbol $?$ in W_n , which is a periodic sequence of period p_n . Then, the complexity of X verifies $p_X(p_n) \leq |\mathcal{A}| p_n$, and thus X has non-superlinear complexity.

Fixing the values of $(q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in such a way that $p_n = n!$ for all $n \geq 3$ we get a Toeplitz subshift whose odometer is the universal one. In this case, by Lemma 2.2 we have that $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is torsion free and by Theorem 3.2 it is spanned by the roots of σ . But by the discussion after previous theorem the only possible root is the shift itself. Again, by Lemma 2.2, one can make other choices of the sequence $(q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in such a way that $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is torsion free and isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} .

The same construction together with Corollary 2.5 allow us to get Toeplitz subshifts with non-superlinear complexity such that $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is isomorphic with $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_N$ for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, in previous construction consider p a prime number not dividing N and set $q_n = p$ for any $n \geq 1$. Then consider the cartesian product system $(X \times \mathbb{Z}_N, \sigma \times +\mathbf{1})$, which, by the mentioned corollary, is a Toeplitz subshift with the desired automorphism group.

As consequence of the discussion of this section we can formulate the following dichotomy.

Corollary 3.3. *Let (X, σ) be a Toeplitz subshift such that $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)/\langle \sigma \rangle$ is a periodic group. If $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is torsion free, then either $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is cyclic or $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is not finitely generated.*

Proof. The dichotomy follows from considering the cases whether $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is spanned by finitely or infinitely many roots of σ . □

We can think of this result as a consequence of the algebraic structure of the automorphism group of a Toeplitz subshift, which for given automorphisms ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 allow us to take a “common divisor”, i.e. an automorphism ϕ such ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 belong to $\langle \phi \rangle$ (assuming that there is no torsion). This situation has to be contrasted with the case of a mixing shift of finite type, where the shift always admits only finitely many roots (see the discussion after Problem 3.5 in [3]).

In the next section we exhibit some examples where the shift does have infinitely many roots. It remains open whether we can give a precise description of the roots of the shift map generating the automorphism groups in previous theorems.

4 Not finitely generated automorphism groups for Toeplitz subshifts

As proved in Theorem 3.2, the quotient of the automorphism group by the torsion subgroup for a Toeplitz subshift of subquadratic complexity is generated by the roots of the shift map. In the particular case of non-superlinear complexity the automorphism group modulo its torsion subgroup is virtually \mathbb{Z} and generated by a unique root. In this section we prove that the last result is in some sense optimal. That is, we can construct Toeplitz subshifts of subquadratic complexity such that the automorphism group is even not finitely generated. The same construction allows to get Toeplitz subshifts of arbitrary polynomial complexity whose automorphism groups are not finitely generated.

The main result of the section is the following,

Theorem 4.1. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a Toeplitz subshift (X, σ) such that the complexity verifies $\frac{p_X(n)}{n} \leq Cn^\varepsilon$ for all $n \geq 1$ and whose automorphism group is torsion free and not finitely generated.*

To achieve this, we make use of the class of (p, q) -Toeplitz subshifts. In [5], Cassaigne and Karhumäki introduced this class and established the fundamental properties we discuss in the sequel. In this class one can get complexities that are arbitrarily close to non-superlinear (but always superlinear). This class was implicitly used by Salo [19] to give an example of a subshift of complexity $p_X(n) \leq Cn^{1.757}$ with a non-finitely generated automorphism group. We simplify and extend his result in the generality of Theorem 4.1. We start introducing the basic notions from [5], then we use freely their results. It is worth noting that in [5] the construction was carried out for one sided subshifts, but all can be extended without any problem to the two sided case.

4.1 (p, q) -Toeplitz subshifts

We refer to Section 2 in [5] for a detailed discussion on next properties and concepts.

Let \mathcal{A} be a finite alphabet and $?$ a letter not in \mathcal{A} (usually the symbol $?$ is referred as a “hole”). Let $x \in (\mathcal{A} \cup \{?\})^{\mathbb{Z}}$. The sequence x represents a sequence over the alphabet \mathcal{A} with holes. Given $x, y \in (\mathcal{A} \cup \{?\})^{\mathbb{Z}}$, define $F_x(y)$ as the sequence obtained from x replacing consecutively all the $?$ by the symbols of y , where y_0 is placed in the first $?$ to the right of coordinate 0. In particular, if x has no holes, $F_x(y) = x$ for every $y \in (\mathcal{A} \cup \{?\})^{\mathbb{Z}}$. In addition, observe that:

$$\text{if } z = F_x(y) \text{ then } F_z = F_x \circ F_y. \tag{1}$$

Now, consider a finite word w in $\mathcal{A} \cup \{?\}$. Let p be the length of w and q the number of its holes. Denote by w^∞ the sequence $\cdots www.www \cdots \in (\mathcal{A} \cup \{?\})^{\mathbb{Z}}$, where the central dot indicates the position to the left of coordinate 0. We define the sequence $(T_n(w))_{n \geq 1}$ by: $T_1(w) = w^\infty$ and $T_{n+1}(w) = F_{w^\infty}(T_n(w))$ for every $n \geq 1$. It is not complicated to see that each $T_n(w) = u_n^\infty$ for some word u_n of length p^n and u_n has q^n holes. We have that the limit $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(w)$ is well defined as a sequence in $(\mathcal{A} \cup \{?\})^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Moreover, if w does not start or finish with a hole, then the limit sequence belongs to $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, i.e., x has no holes. The point x is called a (p, q) -Toeplitz sequence. Its orbit closure under the shift map X is called a (p, q) -Toeplitz subshift.

One of the main results in [5, Theorem 5] states that the complexity of a non periodic (p, q) -Toeplitz is $\Theta(n^r)$, where $r = \log(p/d)/\log(p/q)$ and $d = (p, q)$.

Now suppose that $(p, q) = 1$. Then, there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$C_1 n^{1 + \frac{\log q}{\log p - \log q}} \leq p_X(n) \leq C_2 n^{1 + \frac{\log q}{\log p - \log q}}, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (2)$$

Moreover, the length of $|u_n|$ above is the smallest possible, $(p^n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a periodic structure for x and the associated odometer is given by $\mathbb{Z}_{(p^n)}$ which is torsion free by Lemma 2.2. Therefore, thanks to Lemma 2.3 we get the same conclusion for $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$.

If p is large enough compared with q , we have that $|\frac{\log q}{\log p - \log q}| \leq \varepsilon$. Thus, (p, q) -Toeplitz subshifts is a natural class to study to prove Theorem 4.1.

4.2 Self reading properties of (p, q) -Toeplitz

Let w be a finite word in $(\mathcal{A} \cup \{?\})$ of length p and q holes with $(p, q) = 1$. Let $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(w)$ be the (p, q) -Toeplitz sequence generated and X its orbit closure under the shift. The purpose of this section is to prove the main consequences of the so called “self-reading” property of x . First we summarize some basic results, some were already discussed in [5], others need to be proved.

Proposition 4.2. *We have,*

1. *For every $n \geq 1$, the map $F_{T_n(w)} : (\mathcal{A} \cup \{?\})^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cup \{?\})^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is continuous and $F_{T_n(w)}(x) = x$.*
2. *$F_{T_n(w)}(\sigma^{q^n} y) = \sigma^{p^n} F_{T_n(w)}(y)$ for every $y \in (\mathcal{A} \cup \{?\})^{\mathbb{Z}}$.*
3. *The skeleton structure of x is $(T_n(w))_n$, i.e., $S_{p^n}(x) = T_n(w)$ for all $n \geq 1$.*
4. *The transformation σ^{q^n} is minimal in X for every $n \geq 1$.*
5. *$F_{T_n(w)}$ leaves X invariant.*

Proof. Continuity in statement (1) is direct from definition. Now, from (1) we have that $T_{n+1}(w) = F_{w^\infty}(T_n(w))$, and thus $F_{T_{n+1}(w)} = F_{w^\infty} \circ F_{T_n(w)}$, which implies $F_{T_n(w)} = (F_{w^\infty})^n$. From this equality we deduce $F_{T_n(w)}(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (F_{w^\infty})^{n+m}(w^\infty) = x$. This proves (1).

Statement (2) follows from the fact that each $T_n(w)$ is periodic of period p^n and contains q^n holes. Statement (3) is direct.

Let π denote the factor map from X to $\mathbb{Z}_{(p^n)}$. Since $(p, q) = 1$, statement (4) follows from the fact that translation by $q^n \mathbf{1}$ acts minimally in the odometer $\mathbb{Z}_{(p^n)}$ by Lemma 2.1, for every $n \geq 1$. Indeed, if $A \subseteq X$ is closed and invariant under σ^{q^n} , then $\pi(A)$ is also closed (since X is compact) and invariant. By minimality we get that $\pi(A) = \pi(X) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p^n)}$. But π is almost one-to-one and thus A contains all points with one preimage (which is a G_δ -set). Since A is closed we get $A = X$. This proves (4).

We finally notice that properties (1) and (2) imply that $F_{T_n(w)}((\sigma^{q^n})^m x) \in X$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The minimality of σ^{q^n} implies that $F_{T_n(w)}$ leaves invariant X , proving (5). \square

4.3 Proof of Theorem 4.1

First, we choose a word w of length p and with q holes in $\mathcal{A} \cup \{?\}$ such that the associated limit sequence x is non periodic and in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. It is enough to avoid the symbol $?$ in the first and last coordinates of w . Recall we are considering p and q to be relatively primes. Notice that, by (2), within the family of (p, q) -Toeplitz subshifts with p and q relatively primes we can get for any $\varepsilon > 0$ complexities such that for some constant $C > 0$, $p_X(n)/n \leq Cn^\varepsilon$, for all $n \geq 1$. It remains to prove that the automorphism group of the subshift X generated by x is not finitely generated.

Let $n \geq 1$ and $z \in X$. As observed in Section 2.3, we can find a unique $m = m(n, z) \in \{0, \dots, p^n - 1\}$ such that $S_{p^n}(z) = \sigma^m S_{p^n}(x) = \sigma^m T_n(w)$, where in the last equality we have used Proposition 4.2 (3). Recall that $S_{p^n}(\cdot)$ is the skeleton map at scale p^n and m is nothing but the projection of z onto the factor \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} .

Let $z \in X \mapsto H_n(z)$ be the map that associates the sequence in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of the consecutive symbols of z in the holes of $S_{p^n}(z)$ from the coordinate $-m(n, z)$. That is, the unique sequence satisfying

$$F_{S_{p^n}(x)}(H_n(z)) = \sigma^{-m(n, z)}(z). \tag{3}$$

We have that H_n is a continuous function. Let k be an integer and r such that $0 \leq r < p^n$ and $k + m = lp^n + r$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is straightforward to check that $m(n, \sigma^k(z)) = r$. Then, using (3) and Proposition 4.2 (2), one gets

$$H_n(\sigma^k z) = \sigma^{lq^n} H_n(z). \tag{4}$$

We claim that $H_n(z)$ belongs to X . Since $S_{p^n}(z) = \sigma^m S_{p^n}(x)$, there is an integer sequence $(\ell_i)_{i \geq 0}$ so that z can be written as $z = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{p^n \ell_i + m}(x)$. Using formula (4) we get,

$$H_n(z) = H_n(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{p^n \ell_i + m}(x)) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{q^n \ell_i} H_n(\sigma^m(x)).$$

But, from (3), $H_n(\sigma^m(x)) = H_n(x)$ and by Proposition 4.2 (1) $H_n(x) = x$, then $H_n(z) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{q^n \ell_i}(x) \in X$. The claim is proved.

Define $\varphi_n(z) = \sigma^m F_{S_{p^n}(x)}(\sigma(H_n(z)))$. We have that this map $\varphi_n : X \rightarrow X$ is well defined by the previous claim and Proposition 4.2 (5). This map leaves invariant each \mathcal{A} -letter in the p^n -skeleton of z and shift the symbols of z in the holes by one within the holes.

Using again formula (4) it is not difficult to check that φ_n is an automorphism of (X, σ) . Moreover, we have that $\varphi_n^{q^n} = \sigma^{p^n}$ because there are q^n holes in the first p^n letters of $S_{p^n}(x) = T_n(w)$.

On the other hand, q^n is the minimum positive integer ℓ such that $\varphi_n^\ell \in \langle \sigma \rangle$. Indeed, if $\varphi_n^\ell = \sigma^r$ for some integer r , then $\sigma^{\ell p^n} = \varphi_n^{\ell q^n} = \sigma^{r q^n}$ and by aperiodicity, $\ell p^n = r q^n$. Since p and q are relatively prime, q^n divides ℓ .

In particular, $\langle \{\varphi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \sigma \rangle / \langle \sigma \rangle$ is an infinite periodic group and thus it is not finitely generated (finitely generated torsion abelian groups are finite). This implies that $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma)$ is not finitely generated.

□

We finish this section pointing out that if we choose p and $q = p - 2$ for a large odd value of p , then the associated (p, q) -Toeplitz subshift has a polynomial complexity of degree $\frac{\log(p)}{\log(p) - \log(p-2)} \geq \frac{p-2}{2} \log(p)$. We conclude that,

Corollary 4.3. *There exist Toeplitz subshifts of arbitrarily large polynomial complexity with a not finitely generated automorphism group.*

In the light of Corollary 3.3, we notice that we can easily exhibit infinitely many roots of the shift.

Corollary 4.4. *Let (X, σ) be a (p, q) -Toeplitz, with $(p, q) = 1$. Then for every $n \geq 1$, σ admits a q^n -root.*

Proof. We have that for every $n \geq 1$ there exists an automorphism φ_n such that $\varphi_n^{q^n} = \sigma^{p^n}$. Since $(p, q) = 1$ we can find $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ap^n = bq^n + 1$. Then $\varphi_n^{aq^n} = \sigma^{ap^n} = \sigma^{bq^n} \sigma$ and the automorphism $\varphi_n^a \sigma^{-b}$ is a q^n -root of σ . \square

5 Realization of finitely generated abelian groups

In this section we show that within the class of Toeplitz subshifts we can realize any finitely generated abelian group with cyclic torsion subgroup as an automorphism group. Recall that the property of the torsion is necessary by Lemma 2.2. In the process, we show that large entropy does not suffice to have a large automorphism group, by constructing Toeplitz subshifts with arbitrarily large entropy and no automorphisms other than powers of the shift. This result is a consequence of the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *For any infinite odometer there exists a uniquely ergodic Toeplitz subshift (X, σ) with an arbitrarily large topological entropy whose associated odometer is equal to the given one and $\text{Aut}(X, \sigma) = \text{End}(X, \sigma) = \langle \sigma \rangle$.*

Proof. Fix an odometer $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$ not isomorphic to a finite group. In this case we can consider $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ strictly increasing. Below we construct a Toeplitz point x and its associated subshift X in an iterative process and prove all the desired properties. Without loss of generality we may assume that $p_1 = 1$.

The Toeplitz subshift. Let $D_0 > 1$ and $k_1 > 3$ be constants that will be adjusted later. In the following, we will assume that k_1 is large enough so that $2^{n-2}k_1 > (n+1)^2D_0$ for all integer $n \geq 2$. We consider an alphabet \mathcal{A} with k_1 letters and let $i_1 = 1$.

Fix $n \geq 2$ and suppose that at step $(n-1)$ we have defined $k_{n-1} \geq 2^{n-2}k_1$ words $B_{1,n-1}, \dots, B_{k_{n-1},n-1}$ of the same length $p_{i_{n-1}}$ on \mathcal{A} . Pick a positive integer $i_n > i_{n-1}$ such that $p_{i_n} > p_{i_{n-1}} 3k_{n-1} ((n^2D_0)^{-1} - k_{n-1}^{-1})^{-1}$ (the definition of k_1 ensures that the term $(n^2D_0)^{-1} - k_{n-1}^{-1}$ is positive). Next, we build words of length p_{i_n} by concatenating the words $B_{1,n-1}, \dots, B_{k_{n-1},n-1}$ according to the following rules:

C1) The words $B_{1,n-1} \dots B_{\lfloor k_{n-1}/2 \rfloor, n-1}$ and $B_{\lfloor k_{n-1}/2 \rfloor + 1, n-1} \dots B_{k_{n-1}, n-1}$ respectively always appear as prefix and suffix of all words of step n .

C2) After ensuring C1), we complete the remaining positions with $p_{i_n}/p_{i_{n-1}} - k_{n-1}$ words of length $p_{i_{n-1}}$ of the previous step. To do so, we consider all different concatenations of $B_{2,n-1}, \dots, B_{k_{n-1},n-1}$ (we exclude $B_{1,n-1}$) such that each $B_{i,n-1}$ appears the same number of times $d_{i,n-1}$, for every $i \geq 2$. More precisely, set $d_n = d_{i,n-1} = \lfloor (p_{i_n}/p_{i_{n-1}} - k_{n-1}) / (k_{n-1} - 1) \rfloor$ for $i \geq 3$ (so it is constant for such i) and $\hat{d}_n = d_{2,n-1} = p_{i_n}/p_{i_{n-1}} - k_{n-1} - (k_{n-1} - 2)d_n$. Clearly, $\hat{d}_n \geq d_n$. For any such concatenation we obtain a word of step n of length p_{i_n} and we let k_n denote the number of words of this length we get. We have the bound $k_n \geq f(d_n, k_{n-1} - 1)$, where $f(d, k) = \frac{(dk)!}{(d!)^k}$ denotes the number of partitions of a set of cardinality

dk in k atoms such that each atom has d elements. Observe that $f(d, k) \geq k!$ and so $k_n \geq 2k_{n-1} \geq 2^{n-1}k_1$ for each $n \geq 2$. We choose an order $B_{1,n}, \dots, B_{k_n,n}$ of them and continue with step $n + 1$.

By construction, there exists a sequence x in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that for every $n \geq 1$ the word around coordinate 0 is equal to $B_{k_n,n} \cdot B_{1,n}$, where the dot indicates the position to the left of coordinate 0 in x . It is not difficult to see that for every $n \geq 1$ the sequence x is a concatenation of words $B_{\cdot,n}$. Moreover, any finite word in x appears periodically with period p_{i_n} for some $n \geq 1$. Indeed, by construction, any finite word in x is a subword of $B_{k_n,n} \cdot B_{1,n}$ for some $n \geq 1$, which by C1) is $p_{i_{n+1}}$ -periodic. This implies that x is a Toeplitz sequence. Call (X, σ) the associated Toeplitz subshift.

We will need the following fundamental claim. We say a word u has a *trivial overlapping* with the word v whenever u appears in v only as a prefix or a suffix, meaning if $v = pus$ for some words p, s then p or s has to be the empty word.

Claim 5.2. *For every $n \geq 1$, each word $B_{i,n}$ has a trivial overlapping with $B_{j,n}B_{k,n}$ for any $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, k_n\}$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction. The case $n = 1$ is true by construction. Now assume the result holds for n and by contradiction assume that $B_{i,n+1}$ is a subword (different from a prefix or a suffix) of $B_{j,n+1}B_{k,n+1}$ for some $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, k_{n+1}\}$. We have that in the word $B_{j,n+1}B_{k,n+1}$, $B_{1,n}$ only appears as prefix of $B_{j,n+1}$ and prefix of $B_{k,n+1}$, otherwise $B_{1,n}$ would be a subword of $B_{j',n}B_{k',n}$ for some $j', k' \in \{2, \dots, k_n\}$, contradicting the induction hypothesis. But $B_{i,n+1}$ also starts with $B_{1,n}$ so the only possibility is $B_{j,n+1}B_{k,n+1} = B_{i,n+1}B_{k,n+1}$ or $B_{j,n+1}B_{k,n+1} = B_{j,n+1}B_{i,n+1}$, which contradicts our assumption. \square

Before giving the rest of the proof, let us fix some notations. For a word y (eventually infinite), $i < j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $y|_{[i,j]}$ denotes the word $y_i \cdots y_{j-1}$. We say that a word u occurs in y if there exists some $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $y|_{[i,i+|u|]} = u$, where $|u|$ denotes the length of u and the index i is called an *occurrence* of u .

Now we check that X is an almost one-to-one extension of the odometer $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_{i_n})}$. For this, it is enough to verify that $(p_{i_n})_{n \geq 1}$ is a periodic structure of $x = (x_n)_n$, and for this it is only left to check that each p_{i_n} is an essential period of x . If not, there exists $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq p < p_{i_n}$ such that $Per_p(x) = Per_{p_{i_n}}(x)$. By condition C1), $\{0, 1, \dots, p_{i_{n-1}} - 1\} \subseteq Per_{p_{i_n}}(x)$, so p is an occurrence of $x|_{[0,p_{i_{n-1}}]} = B_{1,n-1}$ in x . Since $B_{1,n}$ is a concatenation of words $B_{\cdot,n-1}$, Claim 5.2 and condition C2), both imply that $B_{1,n-1}$ has no occurrence, except 0, in $B_{1,n} = x|_{[0,p_{i_n}]}$. This contradicts the fact that $1 \leq p < p_{i_n}$.

Lower bound for the topological entropy. We use the following lower bound of the topological entropy $h(X, \sigma) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log p_X(n)/n \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log k_n}{p_{i_n}}$. A standard computation using the Stirling formula gives that

$$\log f(d, k) \geq (d - 1)(k - 1) \log(k + 1)$$

for every d and k larger than some universal constant. Since we have $d_n \geq 3(((n + 1)^2 D_0)^{-1} - (2^{n-2} k_1)^{-1})^{-1} - 2$ and $k_n \geq 2^{n-1} k_1$, in what follows we can assume that k_1 and D_0 are large enough so that d_n and k_n satisfy the previous estimates for all $n \geq 1$. An iterated use of the previous inequality leads to:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\log k_n}{p_{i_n}} &\geq \frac{\log f(d_n, k_{n-1} - 1)}{p_{i_n}} \\
 &\geq \frac{d_n - 1}{p_{i_n}} (k_{n-1} - 2) \log k_{n-1} = \frac{p_{i_{n-1}}}{p_{i_n}} (d_n - 1)(k_{n-1} - 2) \frac{\log k_{n-1}}{p_{i_{n-1}}} \\
 &\geq \frac{p_{i_{n-1}}}{p_{i_n}} (d_n - 1)(k_{n-1} - 2) \cdots \frac{p_{i_1}}{p_{i_2}} (d_2 - 1)(k_1 - 2) \frac{\log k_1}{p_{i_1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, a standard computation gives that for all $n \geq 2$

$$\left| \frac{p_{i_{n-1}}}{p_{i_n}} (d_n - 1)(k_{n-1} - 2) - 1 \right| \leq \frac{1}{k_{n-1} - 1} + \frac{p_{i_{n-1}}}{p_{i_n}} 3k_{n-1} \leq \frac{1}{n^2 D_0}.$$

Let $C > 0$ and $0 < r < 1$ be constants such that $z + 1 \geq \exp(-C|z|)$ when $|z| < r$. Then, if D_0 is large enough, we can take $z = \frac{p_{i_{n-1}}}{p_{i_n}} (d_n - 1)(k_{n-1} - 2) - 1$ to get

$$\prod_{n \geq 2} \frac{p_{i_{n-1}}}{p_{i_n}} (d_n - 1)(k_{n-1} - 2) \geq \exp\left(-C \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{n^2 D_0}\right).$$

It follows that $h(X, \sigma) \geq \exp\left(-C \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{n^2 D_0}\right) \frac{\log k_1}{p_{i_1}}$. Hence, we can make the entropy arbitrarily large by moving k_1 .

Unique ergodicity. Condition C2) and Claim 5.2 both impose that for every $n \geq 1$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, k_n\}$ the set of occurrences of the word $B_{i,n}$ in x has a specific frequency. More precisely, the word $B_{i,n}$ appears exactly a specific number of time in $B_{j,n+1}$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, k_{n+1}\}$ (Namely one time if $i = 1$ and $d_{i,n} + 1$ times otherwise). Since any $x \in X$ is a concatenation of words $B_{\cdot, n+1}$ (Condition C2)), it follows that the average number of occurrences of $B_{i,n}$, $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} 1_{[B_{i,n}]_0}(\sigma^k x)$ converges, as N goes to infinity, to $(1 + d_{i,n})/|B_{1,n+1}| = (1 + d_{i,n})/p_{i_{n+1}}$ (with the convention $d_{1,n} = 0$). Hence for every ergodic measure μ we have that $\mu([B_{i,n}]_0) = (1 + d_{i,n})/p_{i_{n+1}}$ by the Ergodic Theorem. Since the cylinders $[B_{i,n}]_0$ (and their images under the powers of the shift) generate the Borel σ -algebra, the associated subshift is uniquely ergodic.

Automorphism group. We now prove that (X, σ) has no other endomorphisms than the powers of σ . Let $\phi \in \text{End}(X, \sigma)$ and consider $n \geq 1$ large enough such that $p_{i_{n-1}}$ is greater than the radius of a block map for ϕ .

By Claim 5.2 and condition C2), any occurrence $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ of $B_{1,n}$ is an occurrence of some $B_{j,n+1}$ in x . Since x is a concatenation of words $B_{\cdot, n+1}$, the index $i - (k_n - \lfloor k_n/2 \rfloor)p_{i_n} - p_{i_{n-1}}$ is an occurrence of the word

$$B_{k_{n-1}, n-1} B_{\lfloor k_n/2 \rfloor + 1, n} \cdots B_{k_n, n} B_{1, n} B_{2, n} \cdots B_{\lfloor k_n/2 \rfloor, n} B_{1, n-1},$$

meaning that the word $B_{1,n}$ is always preceded in x by $B_{k_{n-1}, n-1} B_{\lfloor k_n/2 \rfloor + 1, n} \cdots B_{k_n, n}$ and followed by the word $B_{2, n} \cdots B_{\lfloor k_n/2 \rfloor, n} B_{1, n-1}$. This phenomenon is usually referred as $B_{1,n}$ is an *extensible word*.

Therefore, there exists a word w of length $k_n p_{i_n}$ such that

$$\{i \in \mathbb{Z}; x|_{[i, i+p_{i_n}]} = B_{1,n}\} \subseteq \{i \in \mathbb{Z}; \phi(x)|_{[i-(k_n - \lfloor k_n/2 \rfloor)p_{i_n}, i + \lfloor k_n/2 \rfloor p_{i_n}]} = w\}.$$

By construction, the set in the left hand side of the equation is $p_{i_{n+1}}\mathbb{Z}$, so the word w occurs periodically with period $p_{i_{n+1}}$ in $\phi(x)$.

Recall that $\phi(x)$ is a concatenation of the words $B_{i,n+1}$ of length $p_{i_{n+1}}$ and, in such decomposition, condition C2) ensures that each word $B_{i,n+1}B_{j,n+1}$, $i, j \in \{2, \dots, k_{n+1}\}$ appears at least once. From the periodicity of occurrences of w there exists $0 \leq p \leq p_{i_{n+1}}$ such that for every $i, j \in \{2, \dots, k_{n+1}\}$,

$$B_{i,n+1}B_{j,n+1}|_{[p, p+k_n p_{i_n}]} = w. \tag{5}$$

The following claim shows that this p has to be close to $p_{i_{n+1}}$, that is, w starts with a suffix of $B_{i,n+1}$ and ends with a prefix of $B_{j,n+1}$.

Claim 5.3. *Let p be an integer $0 \leq p \leq p_{i_{n+1}}$ that satisfies (5). Then,*

$$|p + k_n p_{i_n} - p_{i_{n+1}} - \lfloor k_n/2 \rfloor p_{i_n} - 1| \leq p_{i_{n-1}} (\lfloor k_{n-1}/2 \rfloor + 1).$$

Proof. Assume the inequality is false. This implies that the word $w = B_{i,n+1}B_{j,n+1}|_{[p, p+k_n p_{i_n}]}$ has a suffix (or a prefix) with a factor $B_{\cdot, n-1}$ of $B_{i,n+1}$ (or of $B_{j,n+1}$) different from the ordered part given by condition C1). Since condition C2) ensures that we can find any word $B_{\ell, n-1}$, $\ell \geq 2$, at any position in $p_{i_n}\mathbb{Z} \cap \{p_{i_n} \lfloor k_n/2 \rfloor, \dots, p_{i_{n+1}} - (k_n - \lfloor k_n/2 \rfloor) p_{i_n}\}$ outside the ordered parts given by condition C1), there exist indices $i', j' \in \{2, \dots, k_{n+1}\}$ such that $B_{i', n+1}B_{j', n+1}|_{[p, p+k_n p_{i_n}]} \neq w$, leading to a contradiction \square

Call the word $B_{i,n+1}B_{j,n+1}|_{[p+(k_n - \lfloor k_n/2 \rfloor) p_{i_n} - 1, p+(k_n - \lfloor k_n/2 \rfloor + 1) p_{i_n}]}$ the *middle word* of w . For any occurrence ℓ of $B_{1,n}$ in x , the unique extension property implies that ℓ also is an occurrence of the middle word of w in $\phi(x)$. Thus, by Claim (5.3), ℓ is at distance at most $p_{i_{n-1}} (\lfloor k_{n-1}/2 \rfloor + 1)$ from an occurrence of $B_{1,n}$ in $\phi(x)$. Finally, we get $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|k| \leq p_{i_{n-1}} (\lfloor k_{n-1}/2 \rfloor + 1)$ such that both x and $\sigma^k \phi(x)$ starts with $B_{1,n}$. Considering $\sigma^k \phi$ instead of ϕ , we can assume that x and $\phi(x)$ start with the word $B_{1,n}$, where ϕ admits a block map $\tilde{\phi}$ of radius smaller than $p_{i_{n-1}} + p_{i_{n-1}} (\lfloor k_{n-1}/2 \rfloor + 1) \leq p_{i_n}$.

By the extensible property of $B_{1,n}$ we get that $\phi([B_{1,n}]_0) \subseteq [B_{1,n}]_0$, where $[B_{1,n}]_0$ is the cylinder set starting with word $B_{1,n}$ at zero coordinate. Using that $B_{1,n}$ only appears as a prefix of the words $B_{j,n+1}$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, k_{n+1}\}$, we get that $\phi(x)$ has to start with some word $B_{j,n+1}$. Since the radius of $\tilde{\phi}$ is lower than p_{i_n} , the same argument as before shows that there exists another $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|k| \leq p_{i_n} (\lfloor k_n/2 \rfloor + 1) < p_{i_{n+1}}$ such that both x and $\sigma^k \phi(x)$ starts with $B_{1,n+1}$. But since $\phi(x)$ starts with some $B_{j,n+1}$ we have that if $j \neq 1$ then $k \geq p_{i_{n+1}}$. So we get that $j = 1$ and $k = 0$. Inductively we conclude that x and $\phi(x)$ start with the word $B_{1,n}$ for every $n \geq 1$. Hence, x and $\phi(x)$ are right asymptotic. Since any word of x has a positive occurrence in x , the block map $\tilde{\phi}$ codes the identity map, so $\phi = \text{Id}$. We conclude that $\text{End}(X, \sigma) = \text{Aut}(X, \sigma) = \langle \sigma \rangle$, finishing the proof. \square

In the previous proof we can relax condition C2) by considering all the concatenations of words $B_{i,n-1}$, $i \in \{2, \dots, k_{n-1}\}$, without imposing restrictions on their number of occurrences, leading to the construction of a coalescent Toeplitz subshift with entropy arbitrarily high but not necessarily uniquely ergodic.

The fact that we can choose first an infinite odometer and then find a Toeplitz almost one-to-one extension of it with arbitrarily high entropy allow us to deduce the following.

Theorem 5.4. *Any infinite finitely generated abelian group with cyclic torsion subgroup can be realized as the automorphism group of a coalescent Toeplitz subshift with arbitrarily large or zero entropy.*

Proof. Let G be a finitely generated abelian group with cyclic torsion subgroup. By the fundamental theorem of finitely generated abelian groups, we may identify G with $\mathbb{Z}^d \oplus \mathbb{Z}_a$, where $d \geq 1$ and $a = a_1^{s_1} \cdots a_\ell^{s_\ell}$ with different prime numbers a_i for $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ and $\ell \geq 1$.

Consider d different primes r_1, \dots, r_d , where each r_j is also chosen to be different from the a_i 's for $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$. Let us consider for each $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ a Toeplitz subshift (X_j, σ) such that $\text{End}(X_j, \sigma) = \text{Aut}(X_j, \sigma) = \langle \sigma \rangle$, so is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} , and whose associated odometer is $\mathbb{Z}_{(r_j^n)}$, i.e., where the scale is given by $(r_j^n)_{n \geq 1}$. Examples of such subshifts are provided by Theorem 5.1, in the positive entropy case and by the examples illustrating Theorem 3.2 (2) in the zero entropy case.

Consider the product space $X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_d \times \mathbb{Z}_a$ with the action $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$ given by the shift on each X_j for $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and by the addition by one on the finite system \mathbb{Z}_a (recall that in the case a Toeplitz is finite we identify it with the associated odometer). The fact that all the involved odometers (finite and infinite) have different primes in their bases implies that the system (X, σ) is a minimal system (we refer to Section 12 in [14] for a deeper discussion on disjointness properties of Toeplitz subshifts). Moreover, (X, σ) is also a Toeplitz subshift: the k -th coordinate of $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d, m) \in X$ is periodic of period the product of the periods of the k -th coordinates of x_1, \dots, x_d, m . By Corollary 2.4 we get that (X, σ) is coalescent and its automorphism group is abelian and equal to $\text{Aut}(X_1, \sigma) \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{Aut}(X_d, \sigma) \oplus \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_a, +1)$, which is nothing but $\mathbb{Z}^d \oplus \mathbb{Z}_a$, and thus is isomorphic to G . \square

Finally remark that any finite cyclic group can be realized as the automorphism group of a periodic Toeplitz subshift. So to summarize, any finitely generated abelian group with cyclic torsion subgroup can be realized as the automorphism group of a Toeplitz subshift.

We finish this section with some open questions: Given a countable subgroup of an odometer $\mathbb{Z}_{(p_n)}$, can we find a Toeplitz subshift whose automorphism group realizes this group? In particular, does there exist a Toeplitz subshift whose automorphism group contains an infinite countable direct sum of \mathbb{Z} ?

References

- [1] J. Auslander. Endomorphisms of minimal sets. *Duke Math. J.*, 30:605–614, 1963. [6](#)
- [2] J. Auslander. Minimal flows and their extensions. North-Holland Mathematics Studies 153, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1988. [3, 4](#)
- [3] M. Boyle, D. Lind, and D. Rudolph. The automorphism group of a shift of finite type. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 306: 71–114, 1988. [2, 9](#)
- [4] W. Bulatek and J. Kwiatkowski. Strictly ergodic Toeplitz flows with positive entropies and trivial centralizers. *Studia Mathematica* 103:133–142, 1992. [3](#)
- [5] J. Cassaigne and J. Karhumäki. Toeplitz Words, Generalized Periodicity and Periodically Iterated Morphisms. *European J. Combin.* 18:497–510, 1997. [2, 10, 11](#)
- [6] M.-I. Cortez and S. Petite. G-odometers and their almost one-to-one extensions. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 78(2):1–20, 2008. [3](#)

- [7] E. Coven, A. Quas, and R. Yassawi. Computing automorphism groups of shifts using atypical equivalence classes. *Discrete Anal.* 2016, Paper No. 611, 28 pp. [2](#), [8](#)
- [8] V. Cyr and B. Kra. The automorphism group of a shift of subquadratic growth. to appear in *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, arXiv:1403.0238. [2](#), [7](#), [8](#)
- [9] V. Cyr and B. Kra. The automorphism group of a shift of linear growth. *Forum Math. Sigma* 3:e5, 27, 2015. [2](#)
- [10] V. Cyr and B. Kra. The automorphism group of a minimal shift of stretched exponential growth. *J. Mod. Dyn.* 10:483–495, 2016. [2](#)
- [11] V. Cyr, J. Franks, and B. Kra. The spacetime of a shift automorphism. 2016, arXiv:1610.07923. [7](#)
- [12] V. Cyr, J. Franks, B. Kra, and S. Petite. Distortion and the automorphism group of a shift. 2016, arXiv:1611.05913. [7](#)
- [13] S. Donoso, F. Durand, A. Maass, and S. Petite. On automorphism groups of low complexity subshifts. *Ergodic Theory and Dynam. Systems* 36:64–95, 2016. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#), [8](#)
- [14] T. Downarowicz. Survey of odometers and Toeplitz flows in Algebraic and topological dynamics. *Contemp. Math.* 385:7–37, 2005. [5](#), [6](#), [17](#)
- [15] T. Downarowicz, J. Kwiatkowski, and Y. Lacroix. A criterion for Toeplitz flows to be topologically isomorphic and applications. *Colloq. Mathematicum* 68:219–228, 1995. [3](#)
- [16] J. Olli. Endomorphisms of Sturmian systems and the discrete chair substitution tiling system. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 33:4173–4186, 2013. [2](#)
- [17] A. Quas and L. Zamboni. Periodicity and local complexity. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 319:229–240, 2004. [7](#)
- [18] V. Salo and I. Törmä. Block maps between primitive uniform and Pisot substitutions. *Ergodic Theory and Dynam. Systems* 35:2292–2310, 2015. [2](#)
- [19] V. Salo. Toeplitz subshift whose automorphism group is not finitely generated. to appear *Colloq. Math.* arXiv:1411.3299. [2](#), [10](#)
- [20] S. Williams. Toeplitz minimal flows which are not uniquely ergodic. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* 67:95–107, 1984. [6](#)

AUTHORS

Sebastian Donoso
Centro de Modelamiento Matemático,
CNRS-UMI 2807, Universidad de Chile
Santiago, Chile.
Current address:
Instituto de Ciencias de la Ingeniería
Universidad de O'Higgins
Rancagua, Chile.
sdonosof [at] gmail [dot] com
<https://sites.google.com/site/sebastiandonosofuentes>

Fabien Durand
Laboratoire Amiénois de Mathématiques Fondamentales et Appliquées,
CNRS-UMR 7352, Université de Picardie Jules Verne
Amiens, France
fabien [dot] durand [at] u-picardie [dot] com
<http://www.lamfa.u-picardie.fr/fdurand>

Alejandro Maass
Departamento de Ingeniería Matemática and Centro de Modelamiento Matemático,
CNRS-UMI 2807, Universidad de Chile,
Santiago, Chile.
amaass [at] dim [dot] uchile [dot] cl
<http://www.dim.uchile.cl/amaass>

Samuel Petite
Laboratoire Amiénois de Mathématiques Fondamentales et Appliquées,
CNRS-UMR 7352, Université de Picardie Jules Verne
Amiens, France
samuel [dot] petite [at] u-picardie [dot] com
<http://www.lamfa.u-picardie.fr/petite>