

Multigenerational exposure to uranium changes morphometric parameters and global DNA methylation in rat sperm

Audrey Legendre, Ghada Elhmiri, Celine Gloaguen, Victor Magneron, Dimitri Kereselidze, Nawel Saci, Christelle Elie, Elodie Vaysset, Mohamedamine Benadjaoud, Karine Tack, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Audrey Legendre, Ghada Elhmiri, Celine Gloaguen, Victor Magneron, Dimitri Kereselidze, et al.. Multigenerational exposure to uranium changes morphometric parameters and global DNA methylation in rat sperm. Comptes Rendus Biologies, 2019, 342 (5-6), pp.175-185. 10.1016/j.crvi.2019.07.002 . hal-02444480

HAL Id: hal-02444480 https://hal.science/hal-02444480

Submitted on 13 Mar 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

| 1 | Multigenerational exposure to uranium changes morphometric parameters and global DNA |
|----|--|
| 2 | methylation in rat sperm. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | Legendre A., Elmhiri G., Gloaguen C., Magneron V., Kereselidze D., Saci N., Elie C., Vaysset |
| 5 | E., Benadjaoud M., Tack K., Grison S., Souidi M.* |
| 6 | |
| 7 | *Corresponding author: |
| 8 | Phone: +33158359194, |
| 9 | Fax: +33158358467, |
| 10 | E-mail: maamar.souidi@irsn.fr |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire (IRSN), PSE-SANTE, Fontenay-aux |
| 13 | Roses, France. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Running title: |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Effects of uranium on sperm after multigenerational exposure. |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |

32

Abstract

33 There is increasing evidence that environmental exposures early in foetal development 34 influence phenotype and give rise to disease risk in the next generations. We previously found 35 that lifelong exposure to uranium, an environmental contaminant, induced subtle testicular and 36 hormonal defects, however its impact on reproductive system in multiple subsequent generations 37 was unexplored. Herein, rats were exposed to a supra-environmental and non-nephrotoxic concentration of natural uranium (U, 40 mg.L⁻¹ drinking water) from postnatal life to adulthood 38 (F0), during-foetal life (F1) and only as the germ cells from the F1 generation (F2). General 39 40 parameters (reproductive indices, epididymal weight) and sperm morphology were assessed in 41 the three generations. In order to identify the epigenetic effects of U, we analyzed also the global 42 DNA methylation profile and described for the first time the mRNA expression levels of markers 43 involved in the (de)methylation system in rat epididymal spermatozoa. Our results showed that the F1 generation had a reduced pregnancy rate. Despite the sperm number being unmodified, 44 sperm morphology was affected in F0, F1 and F2 generations. Morphometric analysis for ten 45 46 parameters was detailed for each generation. No common parameter was detected between the 47 three generations, but the head and the middle-piece were always modified in the abnormal 48 sperms. In the F1 U-exposed generation, the total number of abnormal sperm was significantly 49 higher than in the F0 and F2 generations, suggesting that foetal exposure to uranium was more 50 deleterious. This effect could be associated with the pregnancy rate to produce the F2 generation. 51 Interestingly, global DNA methylation analysis showed also hypomethylation in the sperm DNA 52 of the last F2 generation. In conclusion, our study demonstrates that uranium can induce morphological sperm defects and change in the DNA methylation level after multigenerational 53 54 exposure. The epigenetic transgenerational inheritance of U-induced reproductive defects should 55 be assessed in further experiments. 56

- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

61 Keywords: morphology, morphometry, methylation, sperm, fertility, multigenerational, 62 uranium.

63 Introduction

64

Environmental pollution is largely blamed for having a negative influence on human health, particularly male infertility and for the increasing incidence of marital infertility in some parts of the world (Agarwal et al., 2015; Bonde et al., 2016). It is therefore important to identify the environmental factors that could alter the male reproductive function to understand why male human reproductive disorders like cryptorchidism, hypospadias, low sperm counts and testicular cancer may be increasing in incidence in many countries in the last few decades (Virtanen et al., 2017).

72 Male infertility can be induced by multiple mechanisms and in addition, many different 73 factors play a role, for example, in the alteration of sperm parameters (Le Moal et al., 2014; 74 Virtanen et al., 2017). Sperm morphology has long been considered an important factor for 75 evaluating semen and sperm quality. Unfortunately, manual assessments remain problematic 76 because there can be large variations among technicians and laboratories owing to subjective 77 evaluation of sperm morphology. Thanks to computer-aided sperm morphometry analysis 78 (CASMA), automated analysis of rat sperm morphology and morphometry may be useful tools 79 for quantification of the effects of reprotoxicants on sperm morphology (Van der Horst et al., 80 2018).

Epidemiological, clinical and experimental arguments suggest that idiopathic male 81 82 infertility results from harmful effects caused by pollutants and they may act by disrupting the 83 epigenetic mechanisms (Gunes et al., 2016; Strazzullo and Matarazzo, 2017). Indeed, the role of 84 epigenetic modifications, like DNA methylation, is involved in the regulation of spermatogenesis 85 in testis and in sperm function (Gunes and Kulac, 2013; Laurentino et al., 2016). Other environmental factors such as ionizing radiation could alter the DNA methylation in sperm 86 87 modifying the gene expression and inducing male infertility (Merrifield and Kovalchuk, 2013). 88 In addition, an important question may be raised in regard to whether such modifications in 89 methylome and gene expression are transmitted to the next generation. An increasing numbers of 90 environmental pollutants (like endocrine disruptors compounds) are involved in epigenetic 91 transgenerational reproductive disorders confirming the need to perform multi and 92 transgenerational experimental studies (Skinner, 2016).

| 93 | In previous experimental studies, chronic low-dose exposures to uranium, a water |
|-----|---|
| 94 | environmental pollutant (Souidi et al., 2009), were associated with male reproductive defects |
| 95 | (Grignard et al., 2008; Legendre et al., 2016) and modifications of DNA methylation in gonads |
| 96 | (Elmhiri et al., 2017). Elmhiri et al. demonstrated that chronic low-dose exposure of uranium |
| 97 | affects the global methylation profile in the gonads and this profile has been conserved across |
| 98 | generations. The aim of the current investigation was to establish for the first time whether |
| 99 | chronic exposure to Natural Uranium (NU) could (i) modify the morphometric sperm parameters, |
| 100 | (ii) induce epigenetic effects (DNA methylation) in spermatozoa of rats after multiexposure of |
| 101 | F0, F1 and F2 generations. |
| 102 | |
| 103 | |
| 104 | |
| 105 | |
| 106 | |
| 107 | |
| 108 | |
| 109 | |
| 110 | |
| 111 | |
| 112 | |
| 113 | |
| 114 | |
| 115 | |
| 116 | |
| 117 | |
| 118 | |
| 119 | |

120

121 Material & Methods

122

123

Experimental design and uranium contamination

All experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Care Committee of the Institute of Radioprotection and Nuclear Safety (IRSN) and complied with French regulations for animal experimentation (Ministry of Agriculture Act No.87-848, October 19, 1987, modified May 20, 2001).

NU (Mc Arthur) was obtained from CERCA (Pierrelatte, France). Uranyl nitrate 128 129 hexahydrate (UO2(NO3)2,6H2O). The drinking solution was prepared to obtain a final uranium concentration of 40 mg.L⁻¹ in mineral water (daily uranium intake dose: 1 mg/rat/day) (Paquet et 130 al., 2006). The specific activity of the NU was $2.42 \times 10+4$ Bq.g⁻¹, and its isotopic composition 131 was 238U~99.307%, 235U~0.688%, and 234U~1/40.005%. This concentration of NU is not 132 nephrotoxic (Souidi et al., 2009) and three times higher than the highest uranium concentration of 133 12.4 mg. L⁻¹ naturally, found in well water in Finland (Salonen, 1994), to half of the WHO 2011 134 drinking-water guideline for uranium, defined as equal to 0.030 mg L^{-1} (Frisbie et al., 2013). All 135 control groups of rats received ad libitum uncontaminated mineral drinking water Evian® 136 137 (Evian-les-Bains, France).

Each experimental group was composed by a number of 20 rats. This number has been
calculated to highlight low biological effects associated with low-dose exposure with a sufficient
statistical power.

Outbred Sprague-Dawley female rats, 12-weeks old and 16-days pregnant, were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (L'Arbresle, France). They were housed individually and maintained in a 12 h light/12 h dark cycle (regular cycle) at 21 °C and 50% humidity, with access *ad libitum* to a standard rodent pellet diet and water until birth (Figure 1).

The first generation (F0) of the treated group was chronically exposed to NU through drinking water from their birth to 9 months old. During weaning (three first weeks of life), rats (F0) were mostly exposed to NU through lactation (human offspring receives approximately 5% of the mother's daily uranium dose (Wappelhorst et al., 2002)) and through the contaminated drinking water. At weaning, male and female (F0) of different bearing were sorted and randomly housed together. Each experimental group (control *vs* contaminated) was itself divided to obtain breeding and non-breeding subgroups. Finally, four F0 experimental groups were thus created. F0 male and female rats were contaminated *via* drinking water supplemented with NU. Rats of the breeding group were mated after 6 months of exposure (a sufficiently long time to chronically expose rats to NU). To compose the next generation F1, one male was housed and mated with two females during 5 days as recommended (Bohacek and Mansuy, 2017). Rats of the nonbreeding group were exposed to NU until 9 months old (Figure 1).

157 The next generation (F1), was exposed to NU in utero (sensitive foetal-developmental 158 windows (St-Cyr and McGowan, 2018)) and after birth through the mother F0 milk. At weaning 159 the protocol of contamination was stopped and all rats received ad libitum uncontaminated 160 mineral drinking water. Males and females F1 of different bearing were sorted and randomly 161 housed (control vs contaminated and themselves divided to obtain breeding and non-breeding 162 subgroups.). Breeding groups F1 were mated following the same conditions as previously done 163 for F0 and non-breeding groups housed until 9 months old. Indeed, the last generation F2 was 164 contaminated only from the parental germ cells F1. All animals were euthanized at 9 months of 165 age (Figure 1).

166

At 9-months, rats were anaesthetized by inhalation of 5 % isoflurane (Abbot France, Rungis, France) and euthanazied by an intracardiac puncture. The paired epididymis were removed and weighed after releasing adipose tissues. Relative organ weight was estimated by calculating the ratio between organ weight and body weight. The organs were deep-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C.

172

- 173
- 174 Sperm isolation and staining

Directly after sacrifice, the epididymis was dissected free from blood vessels, fatty tissue and other connective tissue and they were placed in a petri dish containing a 5 mL solution of M199 medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louise, MO, USA) at 37°C. The proximal caudal portion of each epididymis was isolated and placed in 5ml of fresh medium in 6 well plates at 37°C. A short length of "cleaned" epididymal tubule was removed by using either scalpel.

Sperm flowed freely from the ends of the transected tubule into the medium and a cloud of sperm quickly formed. Media containing sperm were collected from the edges of the sperm 'cloud' using a positive displacement pipette (15 µL). For staining sperm, the sperm suspension

183 was placed on a slide and a sperm smear was made by dragging the fluid behind another slide 184 while spreading it at a 45° angle across the slide. After air drying at room temperature, slides 185 were fixed by immersing them horizontally into SpermBlue® fixative (Microptic SL, Barcelona, 186 Spain) for 10 minutes, and stained thereafter with the SpermBlue® stain for 20 minutes, 187 following a similar immersion technique (Van der Horst, 2009). Stained slides were then gently 188 submerged in distilled water for 3 seconds to remove excess stain, and allowed to air dry at a 60-189 80° angle. Slides were finally mounted using Eukitt (O. Kindler GmbH, VWR, France).

- 190
- 191

Sperm morphology and morphometric analysis

192 Sperm morphology and morphometry were performed using the CASMA Sperm Class 193 Analyser system (SCA®; Microptic SL) version 5.4 software and using methods previously 194 described (Van der Horst et al., 2018). Analysis used bright field optics employing a 60 x 195 objective, i.e., 600 x magnification, and blue filter on a Nikon E200 microscope. One hundred 196 sperm/animal were analyzed (N= 10 / per group). All spermatozoa were measured randomly, to 197 exclude any bias in favour of a specific morphological form. Images were captured digitally using 198 a Basler 780-75 gigaethernet camera (Basler Microscopy Camera, Germany). The SCA system's 199 morphology module RatTox (Microptic S.L., Barcelona, Spain) automatically analyzes 200 morphometric dimensions of the sperm head and middle-piece (MP). For head morphometry, 201 head length (ARC), width, surface area, perimeter, angle, and roughness (which varies with a 202 range between 0 and 1, and expresses the degree of resemblance of the sperm head to a circle) 203 were measured. Measurements of the MP included width and angle of insertion of the flagellum 204 to the head. The chord length, *i.e.*, distance from the anterior tip of acrosome to the posterior part 205 of head, was measured. Linearity is a derivative expressed as a percentage and refers to 206 ARC/chord x 100 (Table 1).

To determine morphologically normal *vs* morphologically abnormal sperm in animal species, we used the new approach to determine cut-off points for normal *vs* abnormal rat sperm previously described (Van der Horst et al., 2018). Our method was based on grouping the different morphometric measurements for each percentile, *e.g.*, for each morphometric parameter. The minimum and maximum values for each specific morphometric parameter were derived from the percentile intervals (5–95%) (**Table 2**). Total morphologically abnormal sperm indicating the sum of abnormal head and MP was also determined. The percentage of abnormal sperms was also calculated if sperm has at least one, only one or more than two abnormalities in the head, inMP or in both compartment (head and MP).

To determine sperm concentration, epididymis was entirely minced and homogenized. For each animal, sperm concentration was determined in triplicate using Malassez. Counting results are expressed as the total number of sperm cells per mL of epididymis. Sperms were stored at -80°C for subsequent experiments.

220

222

221 Epigenetics analysis

DNA extraction

223 Isolation of genomic DNA from sperm was optimized according to QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, France): 100 μ L of semen (5.10⁶ cells) was transferred into a new 1.5 mL tube 224 and then 100 µL of buffer X2 (20 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0; 200 mM NaCl; 20 mM EDTA; 4% SDS), 225 226 80 mM DTT, 250 µg/mL proteinase K were added and gently mixed. The samples were 227 incubated at 55 °C for 1h. Thereafter 200 µL of Buffer AL and 200 µL ethanol (100%) were added and mixed. The elution of DNA in 50 µL was finally obtained following the tissue 228 229 protocol (steps 5 to 8) in the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, France). The samples were 230 stored at -20 °C until further analysis.

- 231
- 232

Global DNA methylation

233 Global DNA methylation of epididymal sperm was detected using an ELISA reaction 234 with a monoclonal antibody sensitive and specific for 5-methylcytosine (5-mC) and a horseradish 235 peroxidase conjugate as secondary antibody (5-mC DNA ELISA Kit, Zymo Research, Irvine, 236 CA, USA). The level of 5-mC in DNA is reported as the amount of methylated cytosine relative 237 to the cytosine genomic content (%). An aliquot of the sample containing 100 ng DNA was added 238 to the 5-mC coating buffer and brought to a final volume of 100 μ L. All samples and controls 239 were denaturated at 98 °C for 5 min in a thermocycler and immediately cooled on ice for 10 min. 240 Controls and samples were added to the ELISA plate and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. After 241 discarding the coating buffer, the wells were washed three times with the 5-mC ELISA buffer 242 and incubated again with 200 µL of 5-mC ELISA Buffer at 37 °C for 30 min. The buffer was discarded from the wells and the samples were incubated with the antibody mix at 37 °C for 1 h. 243 244 The antibody mix consisted of the 5-mC ELISA Buffer, anti-5-methylcytosine and the secondary

antibody in a ratio 1:2000:1000. After incubation the antibody mix was discarded and 100 µL
HRP was added to each well. The absorbance was measured in duplicate at 405 nm using
TECAN reader (Infinite® 200 PRO NanoQuant Plate Reader). The results are expressed as % 5mC per Total C.

- 249
- 250

RNA extraction and qPCR

251 Total RNA was extracted from sperm, using mirVana[™] miRNA Isolation Kit (Ambion, 252 cat.no.1560). The NanoDrop apparatus (ThermoFisher Scientific, Cergy Pontoise, France) was 253 used for determining the concentration of RNA $ng/\mu L$. 1 μg of total RNA was reversely 254 transcribed using High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, 255 Courtaboeuf, France) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Real-time qPCR was 256 performed with QuantStudio 12K Flex Real-Time PCR System (ThermoFisher Scientific, Cergy 257 Pontoise, France) using TAQMAN (Applied biosystems), to analyse the mRNA levels of 258 demethyls genes TET2 (Rn01522037_m1), TET3 (Rn01425643_m1), TDG (Rn00821513_m1), 259 with three internal controls (GAPDH (Rn01775763_g1), Ywaz (Rn00755072_m1) and $\beta 2M$ 260 (Rn00560865_m1)) and using SYBR Green green® (Applied biosystems) to analyse the mRNA 261 levels of methyltransferases: DNMT1, DNMT3a, DNMT3b, DNMT3l with three internal controls 262 *HPRT*, $\beta 2M$, and *ACTB* (**Table 3**), relative changes in genes mRNA expression in sperm were calculated using e- Δ CT method and three internal controls (Pfaffl, 2001; Vandesompele et al., 263 264 2002). All RTqPCR results are expressed as mean standard deviation, and compared with 265 expression levels of the control group.

266

267 Statistical Analysis

Results are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD), Student's t-test was routinely performed for statistical analysis of data, and was replaced by Mann-Whitney Rank Sum Test when the equal variance test failed (determined by Sigmaplot Stat software). The Fisher exact test was used to compare fertility index and a quasi-poisson regression was conducted for the anomaly rates modeling.

273 Since the epididymis data consist of a paired observation within the same rat, a reasonable 274 assumption is that these observations are correlated and therefore, statistical methods that 275 recognize and account for the correlation of observations within a subject are appropriate. Thus, a

| 276 | generalized estimating equations (GEE) regression (Zeger and Liang, 1986) was conducted using |
|-----|---|
| 277 | using the Zelig Package of the R software (R Software, 2016). |
| 278 | Differences were considered statistically significant when $p \le 0.05$. |
| 279 | |
| 280 | |
| 281 | |
| 282 | |
| 283 | |
| 284 | |
| 285 | |
| 286 | |
| 287 | |
| 288 | |
| 289 | |
| 290 | |
| 291 | |
| 292 | |
| 293 | |
| 294 | |
| 295 | |
| 296 | |
| 297 | |
| 298 | |
| 299 | |
| 300 | |
| 301 | |
| 302 | |
| 303 | |
| 304 | |
| 305 | |
| 306 | |
| | |

- 307 **Results:**
- 308
- 309

Fertility index & Epididymis relative weights

310 The multigenerational exposure to uranium had no effect on the number of offspring born, 311 male/female sex ratio, or pup mortality in any of the F0, F1 and F2 generations. The average litter 312 size was constant between the control and U-exposed generations (data not shown). However, the 313 pregnancy rate was significantly decreased (55%) in F1 U-exposed generation (35%) in 314 comparison to the pregnancy rate of F0 U-exposed generation (70%) (Table 4). The neonatal 315 mortality rate was less than 5% for both control and U generations (data not shown).The 316 epididymis / body weight ratio between the control and U generations was statistically different 317 only in the F1 generation, decreased to -15.4 ± 0.6 % in comparison to the control group (Table 318 **4**).

- 319
- 320

Morphometric parameters and sperm morphology

321 Chronic uranium exposure didn't alter sperm concentration in F0, F1 and F2 generations 322 as compared to control (data not shown). However, morphometric analysis of sperms showed that 323 uranium affected sperm morphology from F0 generation. The angle of the MP was reduced from 14.85 ± 2.84 ° to 12.02 ± 3.33 ° in F0 U-generation. The area of the head was statically increased 324 325 in F1 U-generation (Table 5). Using the cut-off values, we determined the percentage of 326 abnormal sperm for each morphometric parameters (Table 2, Figure 2 & 3). Furthermore, it was 327 found that uranium significantly induced sperm abnormalities for four parameters in F0 U-328 exposed generations as ARC, area and perimeter of the sperm head, and angle (for the MP) 329 (Figure 2A). Percentage of abnormal sperms head (angle, linearity), and the MP width from the 330 F0 U-generations were significantly different between control and U-exposed groups (Figure 331 **2B**). In F2-U generation, there is only an increase in abnormal sperm for the area of the sperm 332 head and the angle of the MP (Figure 2C). The percentage of sperm with at least one 333 abnormality in the head, and at least one or only one abnormality in the MP was also increased in 334 the F1 and F2 generations, but no difference was observed in F0 (Figure 3D to F). The 335 percentage of sperm with one abnormality in the head and in the MP was modified in the last 336 generations (F1 and F2) (Figure 3D & 3E). In addition, we have detected that the percentage of 337 total abnormal sperm was significantly higher on the F1 U-exposed generations, and there was a

338 significant higher percentage of sperms with one abnormality both in the head and in the MP339 (Figure 3E).

340

Global DNA methylation & gene expression of DNA methyltransferases and demethylation enzymes

The level of global semen DNA methylation was not significantly modified in F0 and F1 generations (**Figure 4A & 4B**). Nevertheless, DNA hypomethylation was observed (-25%) in sperm from F2 generations (p < 0.005) (**Figure 4C**).

mRNA analysis showed that there were no significant difference in transcripts levels of methylation enzymes, *DNMT1*, *DNMT3a*, and *DNMT3b*, between control and U-exposed groups in F0, F1 and F2 generations (**Figure 4D to F**). However, *DNMT3L* was down-regulated (p<0.05) in comparison with control in F0 generation (**Figure 4D**).

The analysis of demethyls genes (*TET2*, *TET3* and *TDG*) in F0 and F1 generations showed that there was no significant difference between control and U-exposed groups (**Figure 4D to F**). But, the expression rate of *TET2* and TET3 in F2 generation decreased significantly (p<0.05) (**Figure 4F**).

354

355

356

357 **Discussion**

358

Currently, it is well accepted that change in some epigenetic mechanisms marks such as DNA methylation after exposure to certain pollutants could be transmitted through generations with the appearance of deleterious effects such as infertility, reproductive dysfunctions (Gunes et al., 2016; Skinner, 2016; Strazzullo and Matarazzo, 2017). In the field of chronic exposure to radionuclides, very little scientific data are available. Our experimental model allows to study the effects of uranium exposure on morphometric parameters and epigenetics in rat sperms after multigenerational exposure.

366 First, we detected that the pregnancy rate was affected in F1 U-generation. This result 367 could be explained by the exposure period of animals, *i.e* from the foetal life to their weaning, 368 and which includes the time of male sex determination and the development of the male reproductive organs. To study the effects of U on rat sperm, we explored the rat sperm 369 370 morphometry and morphology in rats in F0, F1 and F2 generations. Using the automated sperm 371 morphology analysis, we compared ten sperm morphometric parameters between control and U-372 exposed groups in each generation. Interestingly, the analysis shows firstly that the determination 373 of the cut-off values was reproducible with those obtained in Sprague-Dawley rats in the previous 374 study using the CASMA (Van der Horst et al., 2018). We detected that U exposure could affect 375 two compartments of the sperm morphology, *i.e* the head and the middle-piece in F0, F1 and F2 376 generations, but without common parameters between each generation. The percentage of total 377 abnormal sperms was significantly higher only in the F1 U-exposed sperms, when the animals 378 were exposed during their foetal life from the weaning, contrasting with F0 (from birth until 9 379 months of age) and F2 (as germ cell lines of F1) generations. These results confirm the higher 380 susceptibility of rats to U during the foetal and postnatal exposure and the effects of 381 multigenerational exposure to U, which was previously suggested (Angenard et al., 2010; Grison 382 et al., 2018; Legendre et al., 2016). Regarding the Developmental Origins of Health and Disease 383 (DOHaD) hypothesis (Barker et al., 2002), the effects of the exposure to U may be involved in 384 reproductive disorders. Further experiments should be performed in our multigenerational 385 exposure to identify the adverse effects on sperm motility and testicular functions, where the 386 spermatogenesis takes place.

No epidemiological studies showed sperm defects in a U-exposed population (McDiarmid et al., 2007; McDiarmid et al., 2006). Only modification in the sex ratio of offspring or in testosterone level was reported (Muller et al., 1967; Zaire et al., 1997). Experimental studies with chronic exposure showed abnormalities of sperm head in adult male rats exposed to 160 mg.L⁻¹ and in two-generation study in mice exposed to 64 mg.L⁻¹ (Hao et al., 2009; Linares et al., 2005). Until recent years, little attention had been paid to the potential adverse effects of uranium (including natural and depleted uranium) exposure on human reproduction.

394 In recent years, numerous studies have described the impact of environmental exposures 395 on the reproductive system associated with epigenetic modifications (Gunes et al., 2016; Skinner, 396 2016; Strazzullo and Matarazzo, 2017). In our study, we have also observed epigenetic 397 modifications induced by chronic exposure to uranium. Indeed, contrary to a recent study which 398 showed that DNA was hypermethylated in testis (Elmhiri et al., 2017), in a very surprising and 399 interesting way we observed an overall hypomethylation in sperm of rats exposed to NU and 400 particularly observed from the last generation (F2). As already described in mouse and human 401 (Marques et al., 2011; Ni et al., 2016; Uysal et al., 2016), we analyzed for the first time the 402 mRNA expression of DNA methyltransferase and demethylase enzymes (DNMT, TET and TDG) 403 in rat sperm. We observed only a decrease in DNMT3L expression for the F0 generation. 404 DNMT3L has not catalytic activity but it interacts with DNMT3A and DNMT3B to stimulate 405 their activity (Uysal et al., 2016). Interestingly, DNMT3L knockout phenotype is related to 406 abnormal maternal imprinting and male infertility (Bourc'his and Bestor, 2004). In the F2 407 generation, only the gene expression of the enzymes of the TET family (TET2 and TET3) was 408 ejaculated spermatozoa from with oligozoospermia and/or modified. In humans 409 asthenozoospermia, the expression of these TETs is reduced suggesting their pivotal role in male 410 fertility (Ni et al., 2016). Moreover, together, the mRNA profiles are insufficient to explain the 411 hypomethylation of the global sperm DNA observed from F2 generation. The identification of 412 differential methylated regions needs to be realized using targeted methylation tools as already 413 used (Skinner, 2016; Strazzullo and Matarazzo, 2017).

414 Our study focused on male reproductive disorders, but females could also be involved in 415 the low pregnancy rate observed in F1. Previous study has already demonstrated the modification 416 of the DNA global methylation rate in the ovary of the female exposed in the same 417 multigenerational model (Elmhiri et al., 2017). Moreover in order to explore the pregnancy rate in the F1 U-exposed generation, females which were not pregnant were analyzed regarding their estrus cycle. We detected that the estrus phase was reduced and the diestrus phase was significantly longer in the U-exposed females (data not shown). These results suggest the involvement of both sexes in the multigenerational effects of U exposure. Female reproductive function should be more explored in subsequent studies.

423

424

425

- 426
- 427
- 428

429 Conclusion

430 Thanks to our multigenerational exposure, we showed that uranium induced abnormalities 431 in sperm morphometry and morphology occur, both in the head and the middle piece. These 432 abnormalities were observed in each generation and were more deleterious in the F1 U-exposed 433 generation, suggesting that U exposure during foetal life could have so more adverse effects on 434 reproductive parameters. Consequently, the decrease in the pregnancy rate to generate F2 435 offspring could be the consequences of these sperm morphological defects. Epigenetic change 436 may be one possible consequence of U effects on sperm. Indeed, our results shown epigenetic 437 effects as DNA global methylation (overall sperm hypomethylation) observed in F2. These 438 results raise the question of the biological significance of the epigenetic germinal fingerprints to 439 subsequent generations. There is an increasing proof about a strong link between errors in sperm 440 DNA methylation and male hypofertility (Gunes et al., 2016; Kobayashi et al., 2007), and this 441 study provides also new insights into the sperm morphometry and the epigenetic change induced 442 after uranium exposure and their persistence over generations. 443 444 445 **Conflict of interest** 446 None declared. 447 448 **Funding information** 449 This study was part of the ENVIRHOM research program supported by the Institute for 450 Radioprotection and Nuclear Safety (IRSN). 451 452 Acknowledgement 453 The authors thank M Voyer, A. Sache, M Loiseau and M Guischet who took good care of 454 the animals. The authors would like to acknowledge Souad Nebchi of proofreading of the 455 manuscript. 456 457 458 459

460 **References**

- Agarwal, A., Mulgund, A., Hamada, A., Chyatte, M.R., 2015. A unique view on male infertility
 around the globe. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 13, 37.
- 463 Angenard, G., Muczynski, V., Coffigny, H., Pairault, C., Duquenne, C., Frydman, R., Habert, R.,
- 464 Rouiller-Fabre, V., Livera, G., 2010. Cadmium increases human fetal germ cell apoptosis.
 465 Environ Health Perspect 118, 331-337.
- 466 Barker, D.J., Eriksson, J.G., Forsen, T., Osmond, C., 2002. Fetal origins of adult disease: strength
- 467 of effects and biological basis. International journal of epidemiology 31, 1235-1239.
- Bohacek, J., Mansuy, I.M., 2017. A guide to designing germline-dependent epigenetic
 inheritance experiments in mammals. Nature methods 14, 243-249.
- 470 Bonde, J.P., Flachs, E.M., Rimborg, S., Glazer, C.H., Giwercman, A., Ramlau-Hansen, C.H.,
- 471 Hougaard, K.S., Hoyer, B.B., Haervig, K.K., Petersen, S.B., Rylander, L., Specht, I.O., Toft, G.,
- 472 Brauner, E.V., 2016. The epidemiologic evidence linking prenatal and postnatal exposure to
- 473 endocrine disrupting chemicals with male reproductive disorders: a systematic review and meta-
- 474 analysis. Hum Reprod Update 23, 104-125.
- Bourc'his, D., Bestor, T.H., 2004. Meiotic catastrophe and retrotransposon reactivation in male
 germ cells lacking Dnmt3L. Nature 431, 96-99.
- 477 Elmhiri, G., Gloaguen, C., Grison, S., Kereselidze, D., Elie, C., Tack, K., Benderitter, M.,
- 478 Lestaevel, P., Legendre, A., Souidi, M., 2017. DNA methylation and potential multigenerational
- 479 epigenetic effects linked to uranium chronic low-dose exposure in gonads of males and females
- 480 rats. Toxicol Lett 282, 64-70.
- 481 Frisbie, S.H., Mitchell, E.J., Sarkar, B., 2013. World Health Organization increases its drinking-
- 482 water guideline for uranium. Environmental science Processes & impacts 15, 1817-1823.
- 483 Grignard, E., Gueguen, Y., Grison, S., Lobaccaro, J.M., Gourmelon, P., Souidi, M., 2008.
- 484 Contamination with depleted or enriched uranium differently affects steroidogenesis metabolism
- 485 in rat. Int J Toxicol 27, 323-328.
- 486 Grison, S., Elmhiri, G., Gloaguen, C., Elie, C., Kereselidze, D., Tack, K., Lestaevel, P.,
- Legendre, A., Manens, L., Benadjaoud, M.A., Lobaccaro, J.M., Souidi, M., 2018. Low dose of
 uranium induces multigenerational epigenetic effects in rat kidney. Int J Radiat Biol, 1-25.
- 489 Gunes, S., Arslan, M.A., Hekim, G.N.T., Asci, R., 2016. The role of epigenetics in idiopathic
- 490 male infertility. Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics 33, 553-569.

- 491 Gunes, S., Kulac, T., 2013. The role of epigenetics in spermatogenesis. Turkish journal of 492 urology 39, 181-187.
- 493 Hao, Y., Li, R., Leng, Y., Ren, J., Liu, J., Ai, G., Xu, H., Su, Y., Cheng, T., 2009. A study
- 494 assessing the genotoxicity in rats after chronic oral exposure to a low dose of depleted uranium.
- 495 Journal of radiation research 50, 521-528.
- 496 Kobayashi, H., Sato, A., Otsu, E., Hiura, H., Tomatsu, C., Utsunomiya, T., Sasaki, H., Yaegashi,
- N., Arima, T., 2007. Aberrant DNA methylation of imprinted loci in sperm from oligospermic
 patients. Hum Mol Genet 16, 2542-2551.
- 499 Laurentino, S., Borgmann, J., Gromoll, J., 2016. On the origin of sperm epigenetic heterogeneity.
- 500 Reproduction 151, R71-78.
- 501 Le Moal, J., Rolland, M., Goria, S., Wagner, V., De Crouy-Chanel, P., Rigou, A., De Mouzon, J.,
- 502 Royere, D., 2014. Semen quality trends in French regions are consistent with a global change in
- 503 environmental exposure. Reproduction 147, 567-574.
- 504 Legendre, A., Elie, C., Ramambason, C., Manens, L., Souidi, M., Froment, P., Tack, K., 2016.
- 505 Endocrine effects of lifelong exposure to low-dose depleted uranium on testicular functions in
- 506 adult rat. Toxicology 368-369, 58-68.
- 507 Linares, V., Albina, M.L., Bellés, M., Mayayo, E., Sánchez, D.J., Domingo, J.L., 2005.
- 508 Combined action of uranium and stress in the rat: II. Effects on male reproduction. Toxicology509 Letters 158, 186-195.
- 510 Marques, C.J., Joao Pinho, M., Carvalho, F., Bieche, I., Barros, A., Sousa, M., 2011. DNA
- 511 methylation imprinting marks and DNA methyltransferase expression in human spermatogenic512 cell stages. Epigenetics 6, 1354-1361.
- 513 McDiarmid, M.A., Engelhardt, S.M., Oliver, M., Gucer, P., Wilson, P.D., Kane, R., Cernich, A.,
- 514 Kaup, B., Anderson, L., Hoover, D., Brown, L., Albertini, R., Gudi, R., Jacobson-Kram, D.,
- 515 Squibb, K.S., 2007. Health surveillance of Gulf War I veterans exposed to depleted uranium:
- 516 updating the cohort. Health Phys 93, 60-73.
- 517 McDiarmid, M.A., Engelhardt, S.M., Oliver, M., Gucer, P., Wilson, P.D., Kane, R., Kabat, M.,
- 518 Kaup, B., Anderson, L., Hoover, D., Brown, L., Albertini, R.J., Gudi, R., Jacobson-Kram, D.,
- 519 Thorne, C.D., Squibb, K.S., 2006. Biological monitoring and surveillance results of Gulf War I
- 520 veterans exposed to depleted uranium. International archives of occupational and environmental
- 521 health 79, 11-21.

- 522 Merrifield, M., Kovalchuk, O., 2013. Epigenetics in radiation biology: a new research frontier.
- 523 Frontiers in genetics 4, 40.
- 524 Muller, C., Ruzicka, L., Bakstein, J., 1967. The sex ratio in the offsprings of uranium miners.
- 525 Acta Universitatis Carolinae Medica 13, 599-603.
- 526 Ni, K., Dansranjavin, T., Rogenhofer, N., Oeztuerk, N., Deuker, J., Bergmann, M., Schuppe,
- 527 H.C., Wagenlehner, F., Weidner, W., Steger, K., Schagdarsurengin, U., 2016. TET enzymes are
- 528 successively expressed during human spermatogenesis and their expression level is pivotal for
- 529 male fertility. Hum Reprod 31, 1411-1424.
- 530 Paquet, F., Houpert, P., Blanchardon, E., Delissen, O., Maubert, C., Dhieux, B., Moreels, A.M.,
- 531 Frelon, S., Gourmelon, P., 2006. Accumulation and distribution of uranium in rats after chronic
- 532 exposure by ingestion. Health Phys 90, 139-147.
- 533 Pfaffl, M.W., 2001. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR.
- 534 Nucleic acids research 29, e45.
- R Software, 2016. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for
 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 555 Suustieur Computing, Freiniu, Fustilui
- 537 Salonen, L., 1994. 238U series radionuclides as a source of increased radioactivity in 538 groundwater originating from Finnish bedrock. IAHS Publ 222, 71.
- 539 Skinner, M.K., 2016. Endocrine disruptors in 2015: Epigenetic transgenerational inheritance.
- 540 Nature reviews Endocrinology 12, 68-70.
- 541 Souidi, M., Tissandie, E., Racine, R., Ben Soussan, H., Rouas, C., Grignard, E., Dublineau, I.,
- 542 Gourmelon, P., Lestaevel, P., Gueguen, Y., 2009. [Uranium: properties and biological effects 543 after internal contamination]. Ann Biol Clin (Paris) 67, 23-38.
- 544 St-Cyr, S., McGowan, P.O., 2018. Adaptation or pathology? The role of prenatal stressor type
- and intensity in the developmental programing of adult phenotype. Neurotoxicol Teratol 66, 113-124.
- 547 Strazzullo, M., Matarazzo, M.R., 2017. Epigenetic Effects of Environmental Chemicals on
- 548 Reproductive Biology. Curr Drug Targets 18, 1116-1124.
- 549 Uysal, F., Akkoyunlu, G., Ozturk, S., 2016. DNA methyltransferases exhibit dynamic expression
- 550 during spermatogenesis. Reproductive biomedicine online 33, 690-702.

- 551 Van der Horst, G., Skosana, B., Legendre, A., Oyeyipo, P., Du Plessis, S.S., 2018. Cut-off values
- 552 for normal sperm morphology and toxicology for automated analysis of rat sperm morphology
- and morphometry. Biotech Histochem, 1-10.
- 554 Van der Horst, G.a.M.L., 2009. SpermBlue: a new universal stain for human and animal sperm
- which is also amenable to automated sperm morphology analysis. Biotech Histochem 84(6), 299-308.
- 557 Vandesompele, J., De Preter, K., Pattyn, F., Poppe, B., Van Roy, N., De Paepe, A., Speleman, F.,
- 558 2002. Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of
- 559 multiple internal control genes. Genome Biol 3, 1-12.
- 560 Virtanen, H.E., Jorgensen, N., Toppari, J., 2017. Semen quality in the 21(st) century. Nature
- 561 reviews Urology 14, 120-130.
- 562 Wappelhorst, O., Kuhn, I., Heidenreich, H., Markert, B., 2002. Transfer of selected elements
- from food into human milk. Nutrition 18, 316-322.
- Zaire, R., Notter, M., Riedel, W., Thiel, E., 1997. Unexpected rates of chromosomal instabilities
 and alterations of hormone levels in Namibian uranium miners. Radiat Res 147, 579-584.
- 566 Zeger, S.L., Liang, K.Y., 1986. Longitudinal data analysis for discrete and continuous outcomes.
- 567 Biometrics 42, 121-130.
- 568
- 569
- 570

Titre long :

Une exposition multigénérationnelle à l'uranium induit des modifications des paramètres morphométriques et de la méthylation globale de l'ADN dans les spermatozoïdes de rats.

Titre court :

Effet de l'uranium sur les spermatozoïdes après exposition multigénérationnelle

Il y a de plus de preuves que les expositions environnementales qui se produisent pendant le développement influencent le phénotype et le risque de développer des maladies chez les générations futures. Nous avons précédemment constaté que l'uranium, un contaminant environnemental, induisait après une exposition durant la vie entière de subtiles anomalies testiculaires et hormonales, mais son impact sur le système reproducteur des générations suivantes restait inexploré. Dans cette étude, des rats ont été exposés à une concentration supraenvironnementale et non néphrotoxique d'uranium (U, 40 mg.L⁻¹ dans l'eau de boisson) de la vie postnatale à l'âge adulte (F0), pendant la vie fœtale (F1) et seulement en tant que cellules germinales de la génération F1 (F2). Les paramètres généraux (indices reproductifs, poids) et la morphologie des spermatozoïdes ont été évalués sur les trois générations. Afin d'identifier les effets épigénétiques de l'U, nous avons également analysé le profil global de méthylation de l'ADN et décrit pour la première fois les niveaux d'expression d'ARNm des marqueurs impliqués dans le système de (dé)méthylation des spermatozoïdes épididymaires de rats. Nos résultats ont montré que la génération F1 avait un taux de naissance réduit. Bien que le nombre de spermatozoïdes n'ait pas été modifié, la morphologie des spermatozoïdes a été affectée chez les générations F0, F1 et F2. L'analyse morphométrique de dix paramètres a été détaillée pour chaque génération. Aucun paramètre commun n'a été détecté entre les trois générations, mais la tête et la pièce intermédiaire ont toujours été modifiées dans ces spermatozoïdes anormaux. Chez les générations F1 exposées à l'U, le nombre total de spermatozoïdes anormaux était significativement plus élevé que chez les générations F0 et F2, ce qui suggère que l'exposition fœtale à l'uranium était plus nocive et pouvait affecter le taux de naissance pour produire la génération F2. Il est intéressant de noter aussi que l'analyse globale de méthylation de l'ADN a montré une hypométhylation dans l'ADN des spermatozoïdes de la dernière génération F2. En conclusion, notre étude démontre que l'uranium peut induire des défauts morphologiques des spermatozoïdes et un changement de méthylation de l'ADN après une exposition multigénérationnelle. La transmission transgénérationnelle épigénétique des anomalies du système reproducteur induites par l'U devra être évaluée dans de futures expériences.

Mots-clés : morphologie, morphométrie, méthylation, spermatozoïdes, fertilité, multigénérationnel, uranium.

Figure 1:

A multi-generational protocol of exposure *i.e.* three generations of rats (F0, F1 and F2) males and females (n=20). F0 were exposed with NU from birth through mother's milk and then through from drinking water for 9 months (control animals drank uncontaminated mineral water). F1 were contaminated in utero and through the mother's milk (F0) until weaning. After weaning they drank uncontaminated mineral water. The last F2 generation received only mineral water. The last generation was exposed to uranium only from parental (F1) germ cells.

Figure 2:

The percentage of abnormal sperm for each morphometric parameters in F0, F1 and F2 generations (A, B and C, respectively) (mean \pm SD). There was a significant difference between the control group and the NU40 group (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.005; *** p< 0.001). N=8-10 per group

Figure 3:

The percentage of abnormal sperm for each compartment (head and MP) in F0, F1 and F2 generations (A, B and C, respectively) (mean \pm SD). Total morphologically abnormal sperm indicating the sum of abnormal head and MP was determined. The percentage of abnormal sperms was also calculated if sperm has at least one, only one or more than two abnormalities in the head, in MP or in both compartments (head and MP) for F0, F1 and F2 generations. There was a significant difference between the control group and the NU40 group (*p < 0.05; *** p < 0.005; *** p < 0.001). N=8-10 per group

Figure 4:

Examples of abnormal stained rat sperm, automatically analyzed for morphology and morphometric parameters. A: Control Sperm (F1 generation), B : F0 U-exposed sperm with abnormal head parameters in the angle, chord and linearity - (low values), C : F1 U-exposed sperm with abnormal head parameters in the angle, chord and linearity - (upper values) and D : F2 U-exposed sperm with abnormal head parameters in the angle, chord and linearity - (low values) (low values)

Figure 5:

The percentage of global DNA methylation assessed with ELISA (mean \pm SD) in the sperm of F0, F1 and F2 generations (A, B and C respectively). mRNA expressions of DNA methyltransferase specific genes (*DNMT1*, *DNMT3a* and *DNMT3b*) and DNA demethyltransferase specific genes (*TET2*, *TET3* and *TDG*) in the sperm of F0, F1 and F2 generations (D, E and F respectively). All results are expressed as mean \pm SD. There was a significant difference between the control group and the NU40 group (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.005). N=8-10 per group.







^{Figure} Fig 2







Fig 3





БŪ















| Parameters | Formula |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Arc (µm) | L |
| Area (µm ²) | А |
| Perimeter (µm) | Р |
| Chord (µm) | С |
| Linearity (%) | L / C |
| Roughness | $4 \pi (A/P^2)$ |

Table 1 : Morphometric variables of the sperm head measured automatically by the SCA®.

| Sperm head and middle-piece parameters | Minimum value | Maximum value |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| H Length = Arc (μm) | 18.48 | 23.62 |
| H Width (µm) | 0.84 | 1.51 |
| H Area (µm ²) | 14.12 | 21.64 |
| H Perimeter (µm) | 38.95 | 49.13 |
| H Angle (degrees) | 40.63 | 84.16 |
| H Linearity (%) | 49.06 | 66.67 |
| Η Chord (μm) | 10.44 | 13.53 |
| H Roughness | 0.09 | 0.14 |
| MP Width (µm) | 0.28 | 0.79 |
| MP Angle (degrees) | 1.44 | 29.54 |

H, head; MP, mid-piece.

Table 2 : Minimal and maximal value for normal head and MP properties selected to determine normal vs. abnormal sperm under the configuration set-up of the SCA 5.4 system using 5-95% confidence interval.

| Genes | Sequences |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|
| DNMT1 | 5'-CGGCGGAGGTGTCCTAACTTGGC-3' |
| | 5'-GGGTGACGGCAACTCTGGTA-3' |
| DNIMT2a | 5'-CGGTAGCGCCTCTTCTTTGAGTTCTAC-3' |
| DINIVITSa | 5'-GCGATCATCTCCCTCCTTGG-3' |
| DNIMT26 | 5'-GGGCCGCTACCACGTTCAGG-3' |
| DINIVITSD | 5'-AGGGCCGTCCTGGCTCAAGT-3' |
| DNIMT21 | 5'-GCTTTGACGGTGGCGAGAA-3' |
| DINMISI | 5'-TCTGCAAGAACTCGACCACAATC -3' |
| иррт | 5'-GCTCGAGATGTCATGAAGGAGA-3' |
| nr K I | 5'-TCAGCGCTTTAATGTAATCCAGC-3' |
| 62N4 | 5'-ACATCCTGGCTCACACTGAA-3' |
| μεινι | 5'-ATGTCTCGGTCCCAGGTG-3' |
| ACTP | 5'-TTCAACACCCCAGCCATGT-3' |
| ACIB | 5'-TGGTACGACCAGAGGCATACAG-3' |
| | |

 Table 3: Sequence of primers set for gene expression studies in sperm.

| Parameters | FO | | E | | F2 |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Control | U | Control | U | Control U |
| Pregnancy rate | 20% | 70% | 67% | 30% * | no reproduction |
| Epididymes Relative weight g / 100 g body weight | 0.115 ± 0.012 (| 0.113 ± 0.023 | 0.118 ± 0.011 | $0.108 \pm 0.027 *$ | $0.115\pm0.020\ \ 0.122\pm0.029$ |

Table 4: Pregnancy rate and epididymis relative weight were compared in F0, F1 and F2 generations. * : p < 0.05. N=17-20 per group.

| Speri | m head and | | m F0 | | | F1 | | | $\mathbf{F2}$ | |
|----------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| middle-p | viece parameters | Control | U | P value | Control | U | P value | Control | U | P value |
| | Lenght = Arc (µm) | 21.232 ± 0.273 | 21.457 ± 0.538 | 0.310 | 20.972 ± 0.641 | 21.381 ± 0.416 | 0.122 | 21.392 ± 0.349 | 21.315 ± 0.572 | 0.736 |
| | Width (µm) | 1.062 ± 0.093 | 1.021 ± 0.075 | 0.345 | 1.143 ± 0.045 | 1.174 ± 0.035 | 0.115 | 1.223 ± 0.131 | 1.165 ± 0.139 | 0.381 |
| | Area (µm ²) | 16.834 ± 1.248 | 16.961 ± 0.858 | 0.817 | 16.640 ± 0.979 | 17.946 ± 1.209 | 0.019 * | 18.214 ± 1.516 | 17.286 ± 1.253 | 0.176 |
| Head | Perimeter (µm) | 44.353 ± 0.597 | 44.796 ± 1.114 | 0.339 | 43.841 ± 1.243 | 44.707 ± 0.823 | 0.095 | 44.912 ± 0.896 | 44.595 ± 1.086 | 0.509 |
| | Angle (degrees) | 64.710 ± 2.025 | 64.731 ± 2.912 | 0.987 | 64.006 ± 1.987 | 64.007 ± 2.707 | 0.948 | 64.663 ± 2.490 | 65.763 ± 2.124 | 0.328 |
| | Linearity (%) | 57.449 ± 1.337 | 57.447 ± 2.151 | 0.998 | 57.263 ± 0.15 | 56.722 ± 1.586 | 0.355 | 56.486 ± 1.756 | 56.764 ± 1.482 | 0.722 |
| | Chord (µm) | 12.210 ± 0.211 | 12.339 ± 0.247 | 0.281 | 12.051 ± 0.391 | 12.128 ± 0.265 | 0.626 | 12.096 ± 0.353 | 12.101 ± 0.333 | 0.974 |
| | Roughness | 0.108 ± 0.006 | 0.107 ± 0.003 | 0.587 | 0.110 ± 0.002 | 0.114 ± 0.005 | 0.111 | 0.114 ± 0.006 | 0.110 ± 0.008 | 0.247 |
| Middle | Width (µm) | 0.568 ± 0.061 | 0.590 ± 0.078 | 0.555 | 0.540 ± 0.050 | 0.579 ± 0.088 | 0.153 | 0.554 ± 0.046 | 0.533 ± 0.036 | 0.305 |
| Piece | Angle (degrees) | 14.855 ± 2.837 | 12.025 ± 2.337 | 0.047 * | 12.880 ± 1.926 | 13.852 ± 2.486 | 0.352 | 12.695 ± 2.153 | 11.088 ± 2.043 | 0.127 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 5: Mean value for head and MP properties selected to determine abnormal parameters in each generation . * : p < 0.05. N=8-10 per group.