

# Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture of red drum (Sciaenops ocellatus) and sea cucumber (Holothuria scabra): Assessing bioremediation and life-cycle impacts

Killian Chary, Joël Aubin, Bastien Sadoul, A. Fiandrino, D. Covès, M. D.

Callier

# ▶ To cite this version:

Killian Chary, Joël Aubin, Bastien Sadoul, A. Fiandrino, D. Covès, et al.. Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture of red drum (Sciaenops ocellatus) and sea cucumber (Holothuria scabra): Assessing bioremediation and life-cycle impacts. Aquaculture, 2020, 516, pp.1-17. 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.734621. hal-02437650

# HAL Id: hal-02437650 https://hal.science/hal-02437650

Submitted on 21 Dec 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0044848619317995 Manuscript\_0c4c043cf62649cab38b9be44ad3b5ba

- 1 Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture of red drum (Sciaenops ocellatus) and sea cucumber (Holothuria
- 2 *scabra*): assessing bioremediation and life-cycle impacts
- 3 Killian Chary<sup>a\*</sup>, Joël Aubin<sup>b</sup>, Bastien Sadoul<sup>c</sup>, Annie Fiandrino<sup>d</sup>, Denis Covès<sup>a</sup>, Myriam D. Callier<sup>a</sup>

#### 4

- <sup>5</sup> <sup>a</sup>MARBEC, Ifremer, IRD, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, Palavas-les-Flots, France
- 6 <sup>b</sup>UMR SAS, INRA, AGROCAMPUS OUEST, Rennes, France
- 7 <sup>c</sup>UR1037 Fish physiology and Genomics, INRA, Rennes, France
- <sup>d</sup>MARBEC, Ifremer, IRD, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, Sète, France
- 9

# 10 Declarations of interest: none

11

# 12 Abstract

- 13 Environmental sustainability of aquaculture is a complex issue involving effects at local (e.g. benthic
- 14 deterioration), regional (e.g. eutrophication) and global (e.g. catches for feed production) scales as a consequence of
- 15 farming operations (e.g. waste emissions) and industrial processes involved in the product value chain. Integrating
- 16 these effects using a holistic and multi-scale framework is essential to assess the environmental sustainability of
- 17 innovative production systems such as Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA), in which organisms of different

\* Corresponding author.

#### **Abbreviations:**

AC: Acidification CC: Climate change CED: Cumulative energy demand DEB: Dynamic energy budget **EU:** Eutrophication FCR: Feed conversion ratio FU: Functional unit IFF: Ingested fish feces IMTA: Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture LCA: Life cycle assessment LCI: Life cycle inventory LCIA: Life cycle impact assessment LU: Land use N and P: Nitrogen and Phosphorus NPPU: Net primary production use ThOD: Theoretical oxygen demand UFF: Undigested finfish feces

 $\bigcirc$  2019 published by Elsevier. This manuscript is made available under the CC BY NC user license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Ifremer, Station expérimentale de Palavas, Chemin de Maguelone, 34 250 Palavas-les-Flots, France. Tel.: +33 4 67 13 04 08.

 $E\text{-mail address: Killian.Chary@ifremer.fr\ or\ Killian.Chary@gmail.com}$ 

trophic levels are co-cultured on the same farm to minimize aquaculture waste. The environmental performances of 18 theoretical production scenarios of red drum (Sciaenops ocellatus) sea cage monoculture and an open-water IMTA co-19 culturing of red drum and sea cucumber (Holothuria scabra) were assessed with mathematical models at local and 20 global scales. First, the particulate waste bioremediation potential of sea cucumber production was estimated using an 21 individual-based bioenergetic model. Second, environmental impacts of the monoculture and the IMTA systems were 22 estimated and compared using life cycle assessment (LCA), calculated per kg of edible protein and t of product, 23 including uncertainty analysis. Given the current limits to stocking density observed for sea cucumbers, its co-culture 24 in sea cages suspended beneath finfish nets may decrease slightly (by 0.73%) farm net particulate waste load and 25 benthic impact. The monoculture and IMTA showed little difference in impact because of the large difference in 26 production scales of finfish and sea cucumber species. Removing 100% of finfish feces particulate waste requires 27 cultivating sea cucumber at scale similar to that of finfish (1.3 kg of sea cucumber per kg of finfish). Nonetheless, 28 LCA showed trends in IMTA performance: lower eutrophication impact and net primary production use but higher 29 30 cumulative energy demand and climate change impacts, generating an impact transfer between categories. Intensification of sea cucumber culture could increase local and global environmental benefits, but further research is 31 necessary to design rearing units that can optimize production and/or bioremediation and that can be practically 32 integrated into existing finfish monoculture units. The methodology defined here can be a powerful tool to predict the 33 magnitude of environmental benefits that can be expected from new and complex production systems and to show 34 35 potential impact transfer between spatial scales. We recommend applying it to other IMTA systems and species associations and including socio-economic criteria to fully assess the sustainability of future seafood production 36 37 systems.

38

Keywords: Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA); Life cycle assessment (LCA); Bioremediation; Culture
 scenario; Sea cucumber

41

#### 43 1 Introduction

Environmental sustainability of aquaculture is a complex and multi-scale issue involving both direct and indirect 44 interactions with the environment (Edwards, 2015). Among the main concerns regarding sustainability of the sector, 45 one can cite its dependence on wild-caught resources and agricultural products for the production of formulated feed, 46 use of natural resources, discharge of chemical contaminants (e.g. medicines, heavy metals), conversion of sensitive 47 areas (e.g. mangroves and wetlands), parasite and disease transfer between farmed and wild species, benthos 48 deterioration and water body eutrophication (Hargrave, 2005; Holmer et al., 2008). From these examples, we can 49 distinguish environmental impacts that are directly related to farm operations (e.g. benthic impact, water 50 eutrophication) and that generally affect the environment at local (farm vicinity) or regional scales (bay, watershed) 51 from those that can be indirectly caused by the successive industrial processes involved in the product value chain 52 (e.g. catches for feed production). Exploring these interactions within a holistic framework is essential to properly 53 54 address aquaculture sustainability issues and to develop new solutions for minimizing impacts on the environment. Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) is perceived to be a suitable approach to decrease negative effects of 55 aquaculture waste (Neori et al., 2004; Troell et al., 2003). The main principle of IMTA is to co-culture organisms from 56 57 different trophic levels, including fed species (e.g. finfish or shrimp) and extractive species that can feed on the solid 58 organic (e.g. bivalves, sea cucumbers, sea urchins) and dissolved inorganic (e.g. macroalgae) waste generated by the fed species. The biomitigation service thus depends on the choice of the extractive organisms, the trophic niche 59 targeted and the associated extractive feeding behavior (e.g. filter feeding, deposit feeding, autotrophic) and its 60 61 ecological functions.

IMTA can provide both environmental and socio-economic benefits by converting excess nutrients into 62 commercial products. In an open-water IMTA, Reid et al. (2013) estimated that 2.3-4.4 kg of dissolved nitrogen (N) 63 could be removed per kg of kelps (Alaria esculenta and Saccharina latissima) co-cultured in the proximity of Atlantic 64 salmon (Salmo salar) cages. While other aquaculture waste management methods have involved mainly high 65 technology and large operating costs (e.g. water filtering, sediment pumping) (Buschmann et al., 2008), IMTA is a 66 practical bioremediation approach that offers the possibility to generate additional farm revenue (Troell et al., 2009), 67 given that the added species has a market value. A recent study compared the financial performance of an Atlantic 68 salmon monoculture and an IMTA system adding blue mussel (Mytilus edulis) and sugar kelp (Saccharina latissima) 69 70 and showed that the IMTA operation was more profitable, with a net present value (NPV) 5.7-38.6% higher (Carras et al., 2019). Additionally, IMTA may also decrease farm economic risk through product diversification, increase the 71 social acceptability of aquaculture due to its better environmental image and may provide differentiation pathways 72

through labeling programs (Alexander et al., 2016; Barrington et al., 2009; Chopin et al., 2012). For example,

Barrington et al. (2010) have demonstrated in a survey work, that participants perceived seafood produced in IMTA
systems as safe products to eat and showed that 50% of them were willing to pay 10% more for these products if

76 labelled as such.

Sea cucumbers (class Holothuroidea) are an interesting candidate as deposit feeder species for IMTA systems due 77 78 to their ability to consume particulate waste excreted by other cultured organisms (Slater and Carton, 2009; Yokovama, 2013). This is particularly relevant for fed finfish open-water aquaculture systems, for which reducing the 79 benthic impact is a major ecological challenge (Strain and Hargrave, 2005). The most concentrated sources of 80 81 nutrients generated by finfish production systems are released as large organic particles (Filgueira et al., 2017), including finfish feces and uneaten finfish feed. Accumulation of this waste on the seabed depends on multiple factors 82 such as farm arrangement (cage layout), production scale (Borja et al., 2009; Giles, 2008), physical characteristics of 83 particles (settling velocity) (Magill et al., 2006; Reid et al., 2009) and hydrodynamics (currents and bathymetry) 84 (Boria et al., 2009: Bravo and Grant, 2018: Keelev et al., 2013). High rates of deposition of organic matter on the 85 86 sediment can cause organic enrichment, change sediment geochemistry and change benthic community structure near finfish cages (Borja et al., 2009; Karakassis, 2000; Pearson and Rosenberg, 1977). The co-culture of deposit-feeding 87 organisms such as sea cucumber, which can process enriched benthic sediments, thus assimilating bacterial, fungal 88 and detrital organic matter, seems a suitable approach to decrease nutrient enrichment in the sediment and respect the 89 90 carrying capacity of the ecosystem.

Experimental studies have demonstrated sea cucumbers' ability to consume and assimilate aquaculture waste and 91 to reduce its organic and nutrient content, confirming its potential for bioremediation in IMTA (MacDonald et al., 92 2013; Nelson et al., 2012; Robinson et al., 2019). Previous pilot-scale open water experiments showed overall good 93 94 growth and survival of sea cucumbers cultured in suspended cages or benthic bottom culture beneath finfish cages under adequate management (Hannah et al., 2013; Yokovama, 2013; Yokovama et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2014, 2012), 95 although serious mortality episodes were observed in benthic cultures due to anoxia in the sediment (Yu et al., 2012). 96 Previous studies using mathematical models to examine uptake of solid organic matter or nutrients by sea 97 cucumber species in IMTA systems with finfish resulted in contrasting conclusions (Cubillo et al., 2016; J. S. Ren et 98 al., 2012; Watanabe et al., 2015; Zhang and Kitazawa, 2016). According to MacDonald et al. (2013), three to four 99 Holothuria forskali (ca. 400–500 g m<sup>-2</sup>) would process all solid waste produced by a commercial seabass 100 (Dicentrachus labrax) sea-cage production unit. However, the authors assumed a mean solid deposition rate of 8.67 g 101 m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> citing Magill et al. (2006), while the latter authors actually reported a mean flux under the cage layout of 102

5000-12 000 g m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. Model simulations by Cubillo et al. (2016) and Ren et al. (2012) predicted that bottom culture 103 of sea cucumbers could remove more than 70% of the benthic particulate organic carbon (C) from Atlantic salmon 104 (Salmo salar) farm units. These two studies were mainly exploratory, aiming to maximize production and optimize 105 species combinations to reduce environmental impacts, ignoring technological and rearing constraints for the 106 extractive species. Including such considerations in models can help predict more realistic production design and 107 108 bioremediation potentials from extractive species and thus better scale and design future IMTA systems. In contrast, Watanabe et al. (2015), who calculated that 4.3% of total particulate nitrogen from milkfish (*Chanos chanos*) culture 109 could be removed by detritivore species, concluded that sea cucumber may not be an effective bioremediator, since an 110 impractical stocking density (ca. 200 times current practices) would be necessary to completely remove particulate N. 111 Overall, two main points limit the ability to compare results of studies. First, the main differences are expected to be 112 due to three factors: i) the sea cucumber species cultured, ii) the production ratio between the main species (i.e. 113 finfish) and the added species (i.e. sea cucumber) and iii) the duration of production cycles. These factors drive system 114 performances and should be clearly stated to improve understanding of system feasibility at pilot and commercial 115 scales. Second, bioremediation potentials are often expressed in relative terms, which are by definition ratios and 116 therefore not directly comparable, and for different fluxes (e.g. C, N, total solids) in the seabed or coming directly 117 from the cages, depending on research objectives. Providing intermediate results and standardizing them using generic 118 performance metrics will facilitate robust comparison of studies and help assess IMTA system performances (Reid et 119 al., 2018). 120

Several indirect environmental impacts caused by producing the additional inputs associated with the added 121 detritivore species and its integration into the finfish monoculture unit are ignored when focusing only on waste 122 123 bioremediation issues. For instance, environmental impacts of juvenile production, energy use and cage construction 124 should be included in the analysis since they may offset local benefits. To be a sustainable option, IMTA systems should perform environmentally as well as or better than monoculture, considering both direct and indirect impacts. 125 Combined assessment of local impacts and broader global impacts with a life cycle perspective is therefore crucial to 126 properly understand advantages of IMTA over monoculture in terms of environmental sustainability. 127 Life cycle assessment (LCA) is a standardized method (i.e. International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 128 14040) developed to assess environmental impacts of a product by compiling resource use and emissions to the 129 environment at all stages of its life cycle. Each resource used and substance emitted is attributed to one or more impact 130 categories and converted by characterization models into potential environmental impacts (Guinée et al., 2002). The 131

LCA framework is divided into four steps: goal, scope and system definition; life cycle inventory (LCI) of resourceuse and emissions; environmental impact assessment and interpretation.

LCA has been extensively applied to aquaculture systems, with 65 studies and 179 aquaculture systems reviewed 134 in a recent meta-analysis (Bohnes et al., 2018). Most studies focused on fed species of high economic value such as 135 salmonids or shrimp (Cao et al., 2013), but a few focused on extractive species, such as two studies of sea cucumber 136 137 culture (Marín et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2015). LCA has been used mostly to identify problematic stages or components of systems and to compare alternatives such as intensive vs. extensive systems, monoculture vs. 138 polyculture and open water vs. closed recirculating systems. To date, only a few LCA studies have examined the 139 140 potential of IMTA systems to mitigate aquaculture impacts (Mendoza Beltran et al., 2018; Mendoza Beltrán and Guinée, 2014). In this context, the present study examined environmental benefits and trade-offs for finfish 141 monoculture of shifting to an open-water IMTA system co-culturing suspended sea cucumber culture beneath finfish 142 143 cages, by assessing the latter's mitigation potential at local and global scales.

#### 144 **2** Materials and methods

#### 145 **2.1 Goal and scope**

146 The main goal of this study was to compare environmental performances of red drum (Sciaenops ocellatus) sea 147 cage monoculture to an open-water IMTA co-culturing red drum and sea cucumber (Holothuria scabra). Specifically, the objectives were (1) to estimate the net particulate removal of the sea cucumber system and its bioremediation 148 efficiency and (2) to perform an environmental LCA of the monoculture and the IMTA system. This study was an ex-149 150 ante analysis of aquaculture farming scenarios in the remote French island of Mayotte, Indian Ocean. The monoculture system was a 299 t farming scenario based on existing red drum farms surveyed on Mayotte and in 151 French Caribbean regions (Guadeloupe and Martinique). Detailed description of the red drum monoculture can be 152 found in Chary et al. (2019). This study focused on describing the extractive sea cucumber system and its integration 153 into the red drum monoculture. H. scabra was chosen for co-culture with red drum since it is the most commonly 154 cultured tropical sea cucumber species (Robinson and Lovatelli, 2015) and one of the edible sea cucumbers with a 155 high commercial value (Purcell et al., 2012). It is considered for aquaculture diversification on Mayotte (Cabinet 156 Gressard consultants et al., 2013) and already cultured in the Indian Ocean (Madagascar). 157 The LCA was performed from the cradle to the farm gate and included multiple stages of finfish monoculture: 158

159 fingerling production and transport to the farm, feed production and transport to the farm, chemical production, energy

160 production, equipment and infrastructure production, and farm operation (Fig. 1a). Production stages were the same in

the monoculture and IMTA systems except for juvenile sea cucumber production, which was added to the IMTA 161 system (Fig. 1b). In the IMTA configuration considered in the present study, sea cucumber production was closely 162 integrated into the monoculture production system (Mendoza Beltrán and Guinée, 2014), i.e. benefitted from the 163 existing infrastructure (e.g. rope lines), equipment (e.g. boats) and operating processes, and required only a few 164 supplementary processes. We assumed that addition of sea cucumbers did not change the productivity of the finfish 165 166 farm. The IMTA system boundaries included the unchanged monoculture system (same inputs and outputs), juvenile sea cucumber production and transport to the farm and on-farm processes. Farm products from both the monoculture 167 and IMTA were fresh ungutted aquatic products (Fig. 1b). 168

Life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) was expressed simultaneously per kg of edible protein in aquatic products 169 (both finfish and sea cucumbers) (functional unit 1,  $FU_1$ ) and per t of fresh aquatic product ( $FU_2$ ). This choice 170 considered the IMTA system as a whole (i.e. no differentiation in the origin of protein or biomass). It assumed that sea 171 cucumber production was an explicit objective of the farm, motivated by finfish waste biomitigation as well as 172 production and revenue diversification. This approach did not require allocation of impacts between co-products as 173 recommended in the ISO guidelines (ISO, 2006a, 2006b) and allowed comparison of farm-level environmental 174 performances of the monoculture and IMTA systems. The primary functional unit was kg of edible protein because 175 protein production is the main function of animal aquaculture production systems. The second functional unit (1 t of 176 177 product), which is commonly used, allowed for rapid comparison with other studies (Samuel-Fitwi et al., 2012). To maintain homogeneity between products (finfish sold raw and sea cucumber sold processed), and given the unchanged 178 ranking between monoculture and IMTA in sensitivity analyses when adding supplementary life cycle stages, post-179 harvest processes (i.e. commercialization, transport, use, disposal) were not included in the study. 180

- 181
- 182

< Insert Figure 1 around here >

183

# 184 **2.2 Inventories**

The LCIs of both systems were developed and their environmental impacts were estimated using SimaPro 8.5 software and its databases (PRé Consultants, Amersfoot, Netherlands). The ecoinvent 3.0 database was used for all background data except feed ingredients, which were taken from the French EcoAlim v.1.3 database. See the Supplementary Material for detailed LCIs.

The finfish monoculture system described a scenario of a semi-industrial red-drum farm with floating sea cages 190 located on Mayotte Island, Indian Ocean (see Charv et al., 2019). In routine operations, the farm produces 299 t of 191 fresh finfish per year at a low stocking density (max. 20 kg/m<sup>3</sup>). Culture cycles are 20 months long with progressive 192 193 harvests from month 13. Harvested products range from portion-size to 3000 g per individual. No chemotherapeutants (e.g. antibiotics) are used during finfish production. Fingerlings (individual weight of 6 g) are produced and 194 transported by truck in plastic bags from a hatchery on Mayotte. Five cohorts of 34 500 fingerlings (950 kg yr<sup>-1</sup>) are 195 introduced per year. Farm cages are composed of rectangular polyethylene netting arranged in 6 units of 2500 m<sup>3</sup> and 196 4 units of 500 m<sup>3</sup>, yielding a total cage area of 1372 m<sup>2</sup>. Farm productivity per cage area is therefore ca. 218 kg finfish 197 m<sup>-2</sup> vr<sup>-1</sup>. Land-based facilities consist of one main building for finfish processing and several shipping containers used 198 199 to stock feed and materials. Feed consists of commercial pressed pellets produced on La Reunion Island and imported to the farm by sea shipping. The feed-conversion ratio (FCR), i.e. the quantity of feed (kg DW) needed per kg of 200 animal weight gain (kg WW), was estimated as 1.91 in the farm scenario, according to the values reported for this 201 species on tropical sea-cage farms (Falguière, 2011). 202

### 203 2.2.2 Assumptions and data sources for the monoculture

LCI data for the finfish system were obtained from data provided by a previous study (Chary et al., 2019) and surveys conducted on Mayotte and La Reunion Islands with managers of existing finfish farms, a hatchery and a feed mill company. LCI data for the finfish hatchery were collected in 2016 through surveys at Eclosia, a hatchery producing red drum fingerlings on La Reunion, since the hatchery on Mayotte closed for economic reasons. The technologies used at Eclosia and their associated yields were representative of those at the hatchery on Mayotte; therefore, we assumed that fingerlings were produced on Mayotte.

Data on farm infrastructure and equipment, energy and input consumption were obtained from finfish farm managers in 2016. We had access to the finfish farm' historical datasets, from which detailed data were obtained, allowing the variation in consumption (e.g. fuel, electricity) relative to farm production to be estimated.

Annual production data (i.e. feed inputs and finfish harvest volumes) were taken from farm simulations under routine conditions with the FINS farm-scale model (Chary et al., 2019). FINS is a simple model combining farm production and waste emission modules to simulate farm production, feed requirements and waste discharge for

- 216 finfish sea-cage systems. FINS includes several submodels (e.g. individual growth model, mass balance model),
- 217 which were parametrized for red drum. The edible protein content in fresh red drum biomass was set to 10.2% based

on a filet yield of 45% and a protein content in filet of 22.6% (Falguière, 2011). Feed intake was calculated for 5 pellet
types with relatively similar proximal composition (~50% protein, ~14% lipids, 16% carbohydrate, 10% fiber, 1.5%
phosphorus (P)) but differing in diameter (2.2, 3.2, 4.5, 6.0 and 9.0 mm) and ingredient mix. Data on the ingredient
mix were provided by a commercial feed-mill manager in La Reunion (data not shown due to confidentiality).

#### 222 2.2.3 Sea cucumber system assumptions and data sources

LCI data for sea cucumbers were taken from model simulations, literature reviews and expert knowledge. LCI data 223 224 for the sea cucumber hatchery were collected from literature on the H. scabra hatchery in southwestern Madagascar (Eeckhaut et al., 2008; Lavitra et al., 2010) and from expert reports of a project for a commercial-scale hatchery on 225 Mayotte (Cabinet Gressard consultants et al., 2013), supplemented with hatchery experience in New Caledonia 226 (Agudo, 2006). Design of the sea cucumber rearing structure is still relatively unknown for suspended co-culture with 227 finfish, particularly for systems at large commercial scales (Zamora et al., 2018). Therefore, data on the rearing 228 structure were based on the current technology used at the pilot scale in previous finfish-sea cucumber IMTA studies 229 (Hannah et al., 2013; Yokoyama, 2013). The sea cucumber rearing system consists of cylindrical cage nets (diameter 2 230 m, height 40 cm) suspended ~3 m directly below the bottom of the finfish net pens and attached to the existing finfish 231 cage mooring system with ropes (see Fig. 1b in Yokoyama 2013). Sea cucumber cages were composed of metal 232 frames and covered with nylon mesh net. Similarly to the design used by Hannah et al. (2013) and Yokoyama (2013) 233 we did not considered sand substrate in the cages. However, sand can be a necessary material for feeding (Robinson et 234 al., 2013; Watanabe et al., 2012), burrowing, and wellbeing of sea cucumbers (Battaglene and Bell, 2004; Mercier et 235 al., 1999). Due to its direct proximity to the particulate source, we assume that the sea cucumber system retains 100% 236 237 of finfish feces loads and that they are homogenously available to the sea cucumbers. The areas of sea cucumber culture and the finfish farm (i.e. total bottom cage area) are identical, i.e. 1372 m<sup>2</sup>, corresponding to 437 cage units 238 adjacent to each other. During the grow-out period, sea cucumbers are assumed to feed exclusively on finfish feces 239 coming from the finfish cages, so no extra feed was considered. Management of sea cucumber culture consists of one 240 cohort of individuals released in cages at a weight of 10 g (Battaglene et al., 1999; Lavitra et al., 2010; Purcell and 241 Simutoga, 2008) and harvested at one time, after a 12 month culture cycle. Juvenile sea cucumbers were assumed to 242 be produced on Mayotte and transported to the farm by truck. A single 12 month culture cycle was chosen instead of 243 several shorter cycles in order to maximize profits, because the retail price of *H. scabra* increases exponentially with 244 its size (Purcell, 2014; Purcell et al., 2018). Annual production and waste emissions data were estimated for sea 245 cucumbers by integrating an individual bioenergetic model into a population dynamics framework (see section 2.2.4). 246

Sea cucumbers must be processed to obtain a dry cooked commercial product called "bêche-de-mer". The processing yields from fresh animal to bêche-de-mer are assumed to be 7.5% (Lavitra et al., 2008). The protein content in the final product is 51.2% (Average from Ozer et al., 2004) giving an edible protein content in fresh sea cucumbers of 3.8%. Processing stages into bêche-de-mer were not included in the LCA system boundaries.

251

# 252 2.2.4 Individual bioenergetic model and population model for the sea cucumber

The ecophysiology of sea cucumbers was simulated from seeding to harvest at a daily time step with the Dynamic 253 Energy Budget (DEB) model (Kooijman, 2000). The model's differential equations were solved with a Runge-Kutta 254 integration method using the deSolve (Soetaert et al., 2010) package in R (R Core Team, 2018). DEB models quantify 255 the rates of energy ingestion, assimilation and use as a function of the organism, temperature and food availability 256 (van der Meer, 2006). DEB parameters for H. scabra (version 2017/09/15) were obtained from the "Add my Pet" 257 database (Marques et al., 2018) except for assimilation efficiency, which was calculated specifically for animals fed 258 on finfish feces. The food availability index (f) ranges from 0-1, representing respectively an absence of food and 259 saturated feeding (i.e. *ad libitum*) conditions. We set f = 1 and verified that food supply at the cohort level remained 260 non-limiting during sea cucumber grow-out. Maintaining food availability at its maximum causes the model to predict 261 maximum theoretical growth for the farmed animals at a given temperature. This is not likely in practice, due to the 262 many environmental pressures affecting animals' life cycles (biology), but it allows the maximum mitigation capacity 263 to be predicted, which accords with the goal of this study. Energy from ingested finfish feces (IFF) was converted into 264 mass using gross energy density coefficients of red drum feces (Table A.1). 265

Uptake of solid particulate matter from sea cucumber ingestion and the associated solid and dissolved emissions 266 were estimated using DEB. Sea cucumber fecal emissions (i.e. undigested finfish feces (UFF)) were estimated by 267 DEB using an assimilation efficiency parameter ( $\kappa_x$ ), which is the ratio of assimilated energy to ingested energy. In 268 this study, we estimated  $\kappa_X$  for *H. scabra* feeding on finfish feces as 43.65% (Table A.2), since the value of 80% in the 269 "Add my Pet" database was a default value used for a generalized animal and obtained from estimates for a wide 270 variety of species (Kooijman, 2010). See the Appendix A for the method used to estimate  $\kappa_x$ . Dissolved emissions are 271 derived from two distinct mechanisms in DEB. The N:P stoichiometry in the animal is assumed to remain constant 272 273 over time. Assimilated nutrients that are not retained in biomass gain are therefore excreted to maintain this constant stoichiometry. Thus, N or P are excreted depending on the balance between the stoichiometry of the assimilated feed 274 and that of the animal. The energy used for maintenance, growth and gonad formation can be translated into N-NH4<sup>+</sup> 275

and  $P-PO_4^{3-}$  fluxes using conversion factors. See the Appendix A for full details of the equations and parameters used in DEB to estimate dissolved emissions.

On-farm sea cucumber biomass dynamics were calculated by multiplying the number of individuals by the 278 279 individual weight predicted by DEB. The population dynamics model of sea cucumber represents (i) initial seeding 280 (initial condition), (ii) culture-harvesting strategies, (iii) natural mortality and (iv) culture losses (e.g. poaching, 281 predation). Natural mortality and culture losses were respectively set linearly at 0.055%  $d^{-1}$  (20% per year) and 0.014% d<sup>-1</sup> (5% per year) (Robinson and Pascal, 2011; Watanabe et al., 2015), and the harvesting rate equaled 0, 282 except on day 365, when all biomass was harvested. An initial seeding density of 36 g m<sup>-2</sup> (5000 individuals, i.e. 3.6 283 284 ind  $m^{-2}$ ) was calculated to achieve a maximum critical stocking density of 2000 g  $m^{-2}$  during the culture cycle. Since maximum stocking density is reached at the end of the culture cycle, it also corresponds to the system's productivity. 285

# 286 2.2.5 Grow-out emissions from monoculture and IMTA

There is direct interaction between finfish and sea cucumber systems in the IMTA system, since sea cucumbers are 287 assumed to feed on finfish feces for growth and thus to retain solid nutrients that would be otherwise released into the 288 marine environment. In this study, on-farm metabolic emissions due to finfish and sea cucumber growth focused on N 289 and P because of their accountability in LCA impact categories such as eutrophication (EU) and their potential to 290 cause environmental damage in aquatic environments. In this case, P emissions are of particular importance because 291 they usually limit primary productivity in tropical oligotrophic environments such as the Mayotte lagoon (Howarth, 292 1988; Jessen et al., 2015). We also estimated theoretical oxygen demand (ThOD), i.e. the amount of oxygen required 293 to oxidize solid organic waste, since it is also accountable in the EU impact category (Papatryphon et al., 2004). ThOD 294 295 was calculated based on the chemical oxygen demand of each macronutrient (i.e. protein, carbohydrates, lipids, fiber and ash) in uneaten feed, finfish feces and sea cucumber feces (Kim et al., 2000). 296

In the finfish monoculture, solid organic particulate waste (i.e. uneaten feed and feces) and dissolved inorganic emissions to the sea were estimated previously for a routine year of production (Chary et al., 2019). The annual solid waste load from the red drum farm was 120 454 kg of feces (including 2867 kg N and 2240 kg P) and 29 474 kg of uneaten feed (including 2428 kg N and 383 kg P). Annual dissolved emissions equaled 33 198 kg N-NH<sub>4</sub> and 2266 kg P-PO<sub>4</sub>. ThOD coefficients for uneaten feed and finfish feces were respectively 1.249 and 0.854 kg O<sub>2</sub> per kg.

302 In the sea cucumber LCI, net N emissions, net P solid and dissolved emissions and net ThOD were calculated as

solid and dissolved emissions from sea cucumber growth minus avoided emissions associated with IFF. ThOD

304 coefficients for sea cucumber feces were estimated as 0.764 kg O<sub>2</sub> per kg.

306 On Mayotte, most economic inputs used on the farm are imported from La Reunion or France. Therefore, most 307 processes were adapted to include sea transport (1700 km from La Reunion and 9800 km from France) by 308 transoceanic ship from the closest trading ports, and land transport (30 km) by truck from the port to the farm 309 facilities. Fuels were assumed to be imported from Singapore (7000 km).

310

#### 311 **2.3** Environmental performance assessment

# 312 2.3.1 Bioremediation performances

Bioremediation performances of sea cucumber culture were estimated using five indices (Table 1). The solid 313 processing rate (kg solid m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) represents the ability of sea cucumbers to ingest and process fish feces in time and 314 space. The net solid uptake rate (kg solid m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) represents the mass balance of net solids of sea cucumbers and 315 equals IFF minus UFF. These two indices assume that finfish and sea cucumber feces have the same impact. Waste 316 extraction efficiency (in %) is the net reduction in solid waste (feces only) of the IMTA system compared to the 317 annual solid waste load of finfish monoculture. The biomass culture ratio (kg:kg) (Reid et al., 2018) is the biomass of 318 co-cultured species required to reach a waste extraction efficiency of 100% (i.e. to retain the annual solid waste load 319 of the finfish unit in sea cucumber biomass). It is important to note that a waste extraction efficiency of 100% does not 320 mean that the IMTA system has zero waste, since the sea cucumber culture also generates solid waste. The culture 321 area ratio (m<sup>2</sup>:m<sup>2</sup>) is the sea cucumber culture area necessary to extract 100% of feces released per unit of finfish 322 culture area. 323

324

Table 1. Indices used to quantify bioremediation performances of sea cucumbers co-cultured with finfish in an open water integrated multitrophic aquaculture system. IFF and UFF are respectively cumulative ingested finfish feces and undigested finfish feces by the sea cucumber cohort over the 12-month culture-cycle simulation. WW and DW refer respectively to wet and dry weight.

Index	Equation	Variable description and units
Solid processing rate (SP)	IFF Sea cucumber culture area	SM: kg solid m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> IFF: kg DW yr <sup>-1</sup>
Net solid uptake rate (NSU)	IFF – UFF Sea cucumber culture area	NSU: kg solid m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> UFF: kg DW yr <sup>-1</sup>
Waste extraction efficiency (WEE)	$\frac{IFF - UFF}{Annual fish feces load} \times 100$	WEE: % Annual finfish feces load: kg DW yr <sup>-1</sup>
Biomass culture ratio (BC)	$\frac{Annual\ fish\ feces\ load\ \times\ Sea\ cucumber\ production}{(IFF-UFF)\ \times\ Fish\ production}$	BC: kg:kg Finfish production: kg WW yr <sup>-1</sup> Sea cucumber production: kg WW yr <sup>-1</sup>

Culture area ratio (CS)

 $BC \times \frac{Fish \ cage \ productivity}{Sea \ cucumber \ cage \ productivity}$ 

CS: m<sup>2</sup>:m<sup>2</sup> Finfish cage productivity: kg m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> Sea cucumber cage productivity: kg m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>

328

# 329 2.3.2 Life cycle impact assessment and uncertainties

330 Six impact categories were selected for the LCIA: climate change potential (CC), acidification potential (AC), eutrophication potential (EU), cumulative energy demand (CED), land use (LU) and net primary production use 331 (NPPU). These categories were chosen for their relevance to the known principal impacts of aquaculture systems and 332 to enable comparison with previous seafood LCA studies (Bohnes and Laurent, 2019; Pelletier et al., 2007). CC (kg 333 CO<sub>2</sub> eq.) quantifies impact of the production of GHG emissions. AC (g SO<sub>2</sub> eq.) represents damage to ecosystems 334 caused by changes in the acidity of water and soil environments that receive pollutants. EU (g PO<sub>4</sub> eq.) represents 335 impacts on aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems due to over-enrichment in nutrients, resulting in an increase in primary 336 and secondary production, the potential for algal blooms and oxygen depletion in the environment. CED (MJ) includes 337 all energy resources used (e.g. fuel, heating, electricity, gas) in the system and was calculated using the Cumulative 338 Energy Demand method v.1.09 (Frischknecht et al., 2004). LU (m<sup>2</sup>y) represents the temporary terrestrial ground area 339 used. NPPU (kg C) represents the trophic level estimated from the amount of C from primary production (obtained by 340 photosynthesis) used by the cultured species. Higher NPPU means a higher trophic level. NPPU was quantified 341 according to Papatryphon et al., (2004). For crop-based feed ingredients, NPPU was calculated according to the C 342 343 content in the harvested part of the crop using its proximate composition and stoichiometric conversion factors for 344 carbohydrate, protein and lipid fractions (Papatryphon et al., 2004). Proximate compositions of crop-based ingredients 345 were taken from Sauvant et al. (2004). For fishery-derived feed ingredients, we used the values calculated by Papatryphon et al. (2004) for Peruvian fisheries products. CC, AP, EP, and LU were calculated according to the CML-346 2 Baseline 2000 V2.0 method. 347

It is important to include uncertainty analysis in comparative LCAs, since deterministic results that do not include significance information can lead to oversimplified conclusions (Mendoza Beltran et al., 2018), especially in ex-ante analysis. Uncertainties due to unrepresentativeness (i.e. degree of reliability, completeness, temporal correlation, geographical correlation, technological correlation and sample size) of foreground processes were estimated with the Numerical Unit Spread Assessment Pedigree following the method of Henriksson et al. (2014) and included in the LCI of the monoculture and the IMTA. We simulated 1000 Monte Carlo runs to propagate these uncertainties to the LCIA results per impact category, as commonly done in LCA uncertainty analysis (Avadí and Fréon, 2013). A paired t-test was used to determine statistical significance of the systems' difference in environmental impacts. The null
hypothesis in the t-test was that IMTA and monoculture systems have equal environmental impacts per functional
unit.

#### 358 **3 Results**

# 359 **3.1** Sea cucumber: model predictions at individual and system levels

360 The *H. scabra* DEB model with the fixed f-value of 1 predicted that 10 g juveniles (length = 8 cm) cultured at a water temperature of  $25.2-29.6^{\circ}$ C (mean =  $27.8^{\circ}$ C) with ad libitum feeding would grow into 709 g wet weight (WW) 361 (i.e. 103 g DW, 33 cm long) market-size individuals over 365 days. Cumulative ingestion was estimated as 879 g, of 362 which 671 g were not assimilated and egested as feces. FCR was high (8.64). Individual dissolved inorganic 363 364 excretions were 10.0 g of N-NH<sub>4</sub> and 25.9 g of P-PO<sub>4</sub>. These individual results were extrapolated at cohort level to estimate sea cucumber biomass production and emissions in the IMTA system. 365 Figure 2 shows biomass and waste fluxes in the sea cucumber system and Table 2 summarizes biomass and 366 emission outputs in the monoculture and IMTA. The number of sea cucumber decreased from 5000 to 3894 367 individuals, providing a potential harvest of ca. 2.8 t (i.e. 106 kg of edible protein) per 12-month cycle for a culture 368 area of 1372 m<sup>2</sup>. The addition of sea cucumber to create the IMTA increased total aquatic production by 0.92% and 369 edible protein production by 0.34% (Table 2). Net on-farm nutrient emissions of sea cucumbers were -30.5 kg N (-370 72.8 kg solid and 42.3 kg dissolved), -2.8 kg P (-38.8 kg solid and 36.0 kg dissolved). 371 Figure 2 also presents sea cucumber bioremediation performances when co-cultured with finfish in the IMTA 372 system. IFF by sea cucumbers (3743 kg solid yr<sup>-1</sup>), represented 3.1% of the annual finfish feces load in monoculture 373  $(120 454 \text{ kg solid yr}^{-1})$  and a SP of 2728 kg solid m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. When including sea cucumber fecal egestion (UFF = 2858) 374 kg solid yr<sup>-1</sup>), NSU was 0.645 kg solid m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, and the WEE of the sea cucumber in IMTA was 0.73%. In the IMTA 375 system, the farm solid waste load was reduced by 885 kg solid yr<sup>-1</sup> (IFF-UFF), i.e. 320.6 kg solid per t of sea 376 cucumber produced. As a consequence, a 376 t production of sea cucumber is necessary to extract 120 454 kg solid yr 377 <sup>1</sup>, i.e. 1.26 times (BC = 1.3:1) the finfish production (298.6 t yr<sup>-1</sup>). Considering the productivity of sea cucumber (2 kg 378 m<sup>-2</sup> cages yr<sup>-1</sup>, see section 2.2.4), this sea cucumber production requires a culture surface of ca. 187 860 m<sup>2</sup> to reach 379 380 100% extraction, i.e. 137 times the culture area of the finfish (CS = 137:1).

381

382

< Insert Figure 2 around here >

			-
	Unit	Monoculture	IMTA
Production			
Finfish production	t yr-1	298.578	298.578
Sea cucumber production	t yr-1	0	2.761
Total production	t yr-1	298.578	301.339
Total edible protein	t yr-1	30.455	30.560
On-farm emissions			
Finfish feces	kg yr <sup>-1</sup>	120 454	116 711
Sea cucumber feces	kg yr <sup>-1</sup>	0	2 858
Uneaten feed	kg yr <sup>-1</sup>	29 474	29 474
Net N, solid	kg yr <sup>-1</sup>	5 295	5 222
Net N, dissolved	kg yr <sup>-1</sup>	33 198	33 240
Net P, solid	kg yr <sup>-1</sup>	2 623	2 584
Net P, dissolved	kg yr <sup>-1</sup>	2 666	2 702
Theoretical oxygen demand	kg yr <sup>-1</sup>	139 721	138 708

384

#### 385 3.2 LCIA results

The monoculture and IMTA systems ranged 863-871 m<sup>2</sup>y, 9533-9599 kg C, 64 693-64967 MJ, 122.7-124.2 kg PO<sub>4</sub> 386 eq., 17.65 kg SO<sub>2</sub> eq., 2 332 kg CO<sub>2</sub> eq. per t of fresh aquatic product respectively for LU, NPPU, CED, EU, AC, CC 387 (Fig. 3). The contribution of the production components to the monoculture and IMTA environmental impacts per kg 388 of edible protein  $(FU_1)$  is summarized in Table 3. The same trends were observed in contributions per t of fresh 389 aquatic product (FU<sub>2</sub>) (data not shown). The contribution analysis showed few differences in the distribution of 390 impacts between both systems. Feed production was the main contributor, with 73-99% of the impact for all categories 391 except EU. Animal by-products (fish meal and fish oil) contributed most to CC and NPPU, while crop-based products 392 contributed most to AC, CED and LU. Farm operation contributed most (92%) to EU of the monoculture and IMTA 393 394 systems due to on-farm N and P emissions. In the IMTA system, net emissions from sea cucumbers reduced EU by 0.12% due to the emissions avoided by ingesting finfish feces. The ThOD from solid emissions contributed to ca. 9% 395 of EU in both systems. Energy production was the second largest contributor (ca. 12-17%) to CC and AC due mainly 396 397 to emissions of greenhouse gases (GHGs) and other gases (NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>) from petroleum-based electricity production on Mayotte. Fingerling production and juvenile sea cucumber production contributed little (< 4% and < 398 399 0.5% of total impacts, respectively), regardless of impact category. The contribution of fingerling production to CC, AC and CED were related mainly to energy use in the hatcheries, while its contribution to NPPU and LU were due to 400 the feed used to maintain breeders. 401

Table 3. Life cycle impact assessment results per 1 kg of edible protein in a red drum monoculture scenario (Mono) and in an Integrated Multi Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA) scenario co-culturing red drum and the sea cucumber *H. scabra*. Contribution to the total impact per production
 component is given in percentage, while mean total impact, calculated from 1000 Monte Carlo runs, is given in absolute value.

Impact	Scenari o	Finfish- feed production	Fingerling production	Juvenile sea cucumber production	Equipment and infrastructure	Energy	Chemicals	Farm operatio n	Mean total per kg of edible protein
Climate change (kg	Mono	76.2	3.2	-	7.4	12.2	0.0	1.1	22.8
$CO_2$ eq.)	IMTA	75.4	3.1	0.3	8.3	12.1	0.0	1.1	23.0
Acidification (g SO2	Mono	74.2	3.2	-	5.2	16.6	0.0	0.9	173.2
eq.)	IMTA	73.5	3.2	0.3	5.9	16.5	0.0	0.9	173.9
Eutrophication (g PO4	Mono	7.0	0.2	-	0.2	0.2	0.0	92.4	1218.5
eq.)	IMTA	7.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	92.4	1211.2
Cumulative energy	Mono	97.2	1.5	-	0.9	0.3	0.0	0.1	280.1
demand (MJ)	IMTA	97.1	1.5	0.0	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.1	278.8
Net primary production	Mono	98.7	1.3	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	93.9
use (kg C)	IMTA	98.7	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	93.7
Land use (m <sup>2</sup> y)	Mono	94.4	2.9	-	2.3	0.2	0.0	0.1	8.5
	IMTA	94.3	2.9	0.3	2.5	0.2	0.0	0.1	8.5

406

Overall, the uncertainties in the LCIA results were largely higher (3-9% of the mean value, depending on impact 407 category, Fig. 3) than the differences in impact observed between monoculture and IMTA. The IMTA system 408 performed better than the monoculture system for EU, NPPU and LU for both functional units. Differences in impact 409 of aquatic products per kg of edible protein were largest for CC and EU, with a 0.8% increase and 0.6% decrease in 410 IMTA compared to the monoculture system, respectively, while per t of fresh aquatic product, EU decreased by 1.2% 411 in IMTA (Table 4). Despite the high uncertainties, LCA results differed significantly (p < 0.05) between the two 412 scenarios, except for LU per kg of edible protein and AC per t of fresh aquatic product. 413 414 < Insert Figure 3 around here > 415 416

Table 4. Life Cycle Impact Assessment results of a red drum monoculture (Mono) scenario and an Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture
(IMTA) scenario co-culturing red drum and the sea cucumber *H. scabra*, with uncertainty assessed from 1000 Monte Carlo runs. The null
hypothesis in the t-test is that both systems have equal environmental impacts per functional unit.

	Impact per kg of edible protein			Impact per t of harvested product		
Impact category	Ranking	Percentage	Paired	Ranking	Percentage	Paired
		difference	t-test		difference	t test
Climate change	IMTA > Mono	0.8	p < 0.05	IMTA > Mono	0.4	p < 0.05
Acidification	IMTA > Mono	0.4	p < 0.05	IMTA > Mono	0.0	p > 0.05
Eutrophication	Mono > IMTA	0.6	p < 0.05	Mono > IMTA	1.2	p < 0.05
Cumulative energy demand	IMTA > Mono	0.5	p < 0.05	IMTA > Mono	0.4	p < 0.05
Net primary production use	Mono > IMTA	0.3	p < 0.05	Mono > IMTA	0.7	p < 0.05
Land use	Mono > IMTA	0.5	p > 0.05	Mono > IMTA	0.9	p < 0.05
Climate change Acidification Eutrophication Cumulative energy demand Net primary production use Land use	IMTA > Mono IMTA > Mono Mono > IMTA IMTA > Mono Mono > IMTA Mono > IMTA	0.8         0.4           0.6         0.5           0.3         0.5	p < 0.05 $p < 0.05$ $p > 0.05$	IMTA > Mono IMTA > Mono Mono > IMTA IMTA > Mono Mono > IMTA Mono > IMTA	0.4 0.0 1.2 0.4 0.7 0.9	p < 0.05 p > 0.05 p < 0.05 p < 0.05 p < 0.05 p < 0.05

#### 421 **4 Discussion**

We discuss the mitigation potential of the IMTA system in terms of i) the bioremediation efficiency of sea
cucumber system co-cultured with finfish and ii) comparison of the impacts of the finfish monoculture and IMTA
systems estimated by LCA. Perspectives are then discussed for decreasing the IMTA's benthic impact and overall lifecycle impacts.

#### 426 4.1 Sea cucumber bioremediation potential

The first important steps to estimate the mitigation potential of organic extractive culture in an IMTA are to 427 quantify its ingestion capacities and estimate the balance between solid uptake and particulate emissions of the 428 extractive species. The individual annual feed energy requirement for *H. scabra* at its maximum growth potential (f-429 value = 1 in DEB) was equivalent to the energy contained in 0.879 kg of finfish feces, which is its theoretical 430 ingestion capacity. This ingestion rate is much lower than the range of 9-82 kg sediment yr<sup>-1</sup> observed for sea 431 cucumbers feeding in the wild (Purcell et al., 2016) because of the much higher energy content in fish feces. However, 432 our estimates lie within the range of values obtained for sea cucumbers fed with finfish waste, i.e. about 1 kg solids 433 vr<sup>-1</sup> for *Holothuria forskalli* and 5 kg solids vr<sup>-1</sup> for *Parastichopus californicus* (Cubillo et al., 2016: MacDonald et al., 434 2013). At the farm scale, the solid processing rate of sea cucumbers appears negligible compared to that of other 435 organic extractive species. For instance, we back-estimated fish feces ingestion rates from mussel lines based on 436 pseudo-feces egestion rates (maximum at 6735 g  $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ ), digestibility of fish feces and seston (respectively 86% and 437 46%) and their respective percentage in the material ingested by the mussels (maximum 30% of fish feces, and thus 438 70% of seston) provided by Cranford et al. (2013). The value estimated (793 kg fish feces  $m^{-2} yr^{-1}$ ) is ca. 290 times as 439 high as that calculated for sea cucumbers (Fig. 2). When compared to the net solid uptake, however, conclusions are 440 less straightforward. Mussels also capture suspended ambient seston (non-settling particles) and transform it into 441 pseudo-feces (settling particles), which can lead to no gain in net organic loading (Filgueira et al., 2017). To this 442 extent, sea cucumber showed a low but positive net solid uptake, demonstrating its interest for bioremediation. 443

444

The waste extraction efficiency of sea cucumbers was low, and expecting high removal of fish feces may be impractical at a commercial scale. In the conditions simulated, sea cucumber culture can remove 0.73% of the annual finfish farm feces load. Significant change in the production system would be necessary to reach 100% extraction, starting with more balanced production between sea cucumbers and finfish (1.3:1). In such a system, the frontier between primary and secondary species is less clear and would require large changes in the farmer's practices and skill sets, but also in the overall farm design. Given the culture area ratio (137:1) needed to reduce fish feces emissions

to zero, the licensed surface area would have to be increased greatly because of limits to stocking density of sea 451 cucumber culture. Stocking density has been mentioned as a limitation of IMTA systems that add sea cucumber 452 (Purcell et al., 2012; Watanabe et al., 2015), mussels (Cranford et al., 2013) and seaweed to existing finfish 453 monoculture for bioremediation. For seaweed, previous studies suggested that 0.07-0.28 ha t<sup>-1</sup> of finfish standing stock 454 455 were necessary to remove all excess dissolved N associated with a commercial finfish farm (see Table 2 in Reid et al., 456 2013) because of its need to access large amounts of solar radiation at the ocean surface. For the finfish monoculture assessed here (mean = 218 t of finfish biomass stock in routine production), it would represent a culture area ratio of 457 111:1 to 444:1. Thus, sea cucumber may require less area than seaweed to recover nutrients, but since they do not 458 occupy the same trophic niche, comparisons are debatable. Aiming to achieve 100% bioremediation seems unrealistic 459 in open-water IMTA systems regardless of the tropic niche considered and it is not necessarily a relevant goal for the 460 farm; nonetheless, estimating the biomass and culture area of extractive organisms required for this purpose is a way 461 to better design and scale future IMTA systems. 462

463

Compared to previous modeling studies combining finfish and sea cucumber species in an IMTA system, we 464 included the limit to stocking density of sea cucumber when assessing the IMTA's biomitigation potential. Maximum 465 stocking density was limited to 2000 g m<sup>-2</sup>, according to many experiments that showed a large effect of stocking 466 density on sea cucumber growth (Battaglene et al., 1999; Hannah et al., 2013; T Lavitra et al., 2010; Li and Li, 2010; 467 Pitt and Duy, 2004) and better growth performances at low densities (Slater and Carton, 2007; Yokoyama, 2013; Yu 468 et al., 2014). Setting the maximum stocking density as a function of an organism's characteristics and its rearing 469 constraints offers realistic insight into the bioremediation potential of sea cucumber in co-culture. Studies have 470 demonstrated that beyond a critical density, sea cucumber growth decreased or stopped because of increased 471 competition for resources, such as food and space. Critical density ranged from 200-400 g m<sup>-2</sup> for *H. scabra* culture in 472 sea cages or pens under natural conditions, i.e. without any added food source (Juinio-Meñez et al., 2014; Namukose 473 et al., 2016; Purcell and Simutoga, 2008). In contrast, H. scabra juveniles fed on particulate waste from a commercial 474 land-based abalone aquaculture system grew well at a density of 1000 g m<sup>-2</sup> with starch-amended effluent (Robinson 475 et al., 2019), confirming that food availability and quality are critical factors regulating sea cucumber growth (Ren et 476 al., 2010; Y. Ren et al., 2012). The higher stocking densities that did not inhibit sea cucumber growth were reported 477 for Parastichopous californicus cultivated in suspended cages under sable fish (Anoplopoma fimbria), with optimal 478 densities of 1400-2300 g m<sup>-2</sup> (Hannah et al., 2013). Some authors argued that, in IMTA, optimal densities for sea 479 cucumber growth may not correspond to those that maximize bioremediation (Hannah et al., 2013; Namukose et al., 480

2016; Zamora et al., 2018), and that the latter could be higher if particulate bioremediation is the primary aim of the co-culture. This may be true to some extent; nonetheless, given the current state of knowledge, it is difficult to believe that sea cucumber culture can exceed greatly the density limit of a few kg per m<sup>2</sup>. Finding the densities that optimize sea cucumber production or remediation potential is an important area of research for the future. Such practical limits to culture must be acknowledged to consider the degree to which sea cucumber and other species can extract solid waste from commercial finfish aquaculture.

487

The waste mitigation potential of sea cucumbers may not be sufficient to significantly reduce environmental effects 488 of solid waste deposition on the seabed, and additional analyses are necessary to fully assess local ecological effects of 489 IMTA systems. Compared to the deposition rates usually observed under finfish farms, the net solid uptake of sea 490 cucumber  $(0.645 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1})$  is too low to change the ecological status of the sediment in the seabed. On red drum 491 farms (including the monoculture assessed here; see Chary et al. (in preparation)), like on other finfish farms (N. 492 Keelev et al., 2013; Riera et al., 2017), peak deposition rates can range from 15-50 kg solid m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at sites of 493 concentration. At these sites, adding sea cucumber under fish nets may not reduce waste fluxes significantly, and the 494 impact, as a detectable change in sediment status, may occur from 0.5 kg solid  $m^{-2} yr^{-1}$  (Chamberlain and Stucchi, 495 2007: Cromey et al., 2012, 2002; Findlay and Watling, 1997; Hargrave, 1994). However, we measured solid uptake of 496 sea cucumbers below finfish cages and not in the seabed. Therefore, several important factors not included in this 497 study will influence dispersion of finfish and sea cucumber solid waste in the water column and the degree of benthic 498 impact. For instance, the spatial arrangement and design of suspended sea cucumber culture may influence local 499 hydrodynamics (Zamora et al., 2018) by decreasing current velocities and reducing waste dispersion. Also, the 500 chemical composition of sea cucumber feces will differ from those of finfish feces by having lower organic content 501 502 (MacTavish et al., 2012; Neofitou et al., 2019; Paltzat et al., 2008), as will their physical characteristics (density, settling velocities), which are vet to be determined. Although necessary to assess local impacts comprehensively, 503 modeling the benthic effect of the IMTA system, however, lay outside the scope of this study and is a perspective for 504 further research. Also, we did not consider effects of dissolved nutrient waste, which will be higher for farms with sea 505 cucumbers (Purcell, 2004) by ca. 0.1 and 1.4% for dissolved N and P, respectively, according to our results. Upscaling 506 the analysis from local to larger spatial scales is necessary to properly represent their potential eutrophication impact. 507 This is also true to account for other emissions (e.g. GHGs) occurring at the farm and other life-cycle stages and that 508 can have impacts at the global scale. Finally, ending the assessment here would ignore that the IMTA system also 509 510 produced additional biomass, which is another aim of IMTA systems. Therefore, to compare environmental

- 511 performances of monoculture and IMTA systems fully, the analysis must be supplemented with more holistic impact
- assessment and related to the main functions of both systems, as performed in the LCA.

### 513 4.2 LCIA: comparison of monoculture and IMTA

In general, environmental impacts per t of fresh aquatic product were similar to the ranges and main trends of those 514 found in literature. Contribution analysis revealed that feed production was the main driver of environmental impact in 515 all impact categories of both systems, except for EU, for which farm operation (specifically finfish emissions) was the 516 main source of impact, as commonly reported in aquaculture LCA reviews (Aubin, 2013; Bohnes et al., 2018; Parker, 517 2012). For all impact categories except EU, impacts of the monoculture and IMTA systems lay within the interquartile 518 ranges of the results reviewed from 179 aquaculture systems (Bohnes et al., 2018). Our estimate of 123-124 kg PO4<sup>3-</sup> 519 eq. (Fig. 3) largely exceeds the interquartile range of 32-74 kg  $PO_4^{3-}$  eq., suggesting that the red drum monoculture 520 and IMTA systems assessed in this study have a higher EU impact than many other seafood systems. Differences in 521 EU were smaller (14-36% higher), however, when compared to other sea-cage systems in Tunisia and Greece (Abdou 522 et al., 2017; Aubin et al., 2009), suggesting that most differences were likely due to the wide variety of systems 523 analyzed and different methodological choices when performing the LCA (Bohnes and Laurent, 2019; Henriksson et 524 al., 2012). Integrating seaweed culture into the IMTA system could address the dissolved nutrient niche (Troell et al., 525 2003) and reduce the EU impact (Jaeger et al., 2019). Given the domination of feed production in most impact 526 categories, however, most improvement in the environmental performance of both systems studied is expected to 527 come from decreasing the FCR of the finfish culture. Multiple factors influence the FCR, including feed composition 528 and digestibility, rearing technology and practices (e.g. computerized feed-management systems) and the species 529 cultured (Pelletier et al., 2009). These factors offer possibilities for improvements that should be considered to 530 decrease the FCR. 531

532

The small differences observed between the monoculture and IMTA were due mainly to the unbalanced scales of 533 the main species (i.e. finfish) and the added species (i.e. sea cucumber). In the IMTA system, the additional 3 t of sea 534 cucumbers (and 109 kg of edible protein) represented a minor increase in annual farm production; therefore, finfish 535 536 production still drove the impacts. Mendoza Beltran et al. (2018) reported and discussed this issue when comparing impacts of a finfish monoculture (240 t) to those of the same farm in an IMTA system with ovsters (244 t of aquatic 537 products). They estimated similar differences between the two systems (0.4-1.8% depending on the impact category) 538 and reported that interpretation of their significance depended on the statistical test used, due to the high uncertainty, 539 540 mainly in the LCI data. We also observed high uncertainties in our LCIA results. The estimated uncertainties were ca.

10 times as high as the differences observed between impacts of the systems, meaning that they cannot be 541 differentiated; thus, both systems had similar impacts. In a recent study, a threshold of 10-30% of difference, based on 542 uncertainties quantified for multiple impact categories (Jolliet et al., 2010) was used to differentiate scenarios in LCA 543 (Guérin-Schneider et al., 2018). According to the statistical analysis, some differences were still significant in our 544 study, but they were probably artifacts caused by the large number of runs performed in the Monte Carlo analysis. 545 546 Nevertheless, the ranking of IMTA compared to monoculture for each impact category can considered as a general trend and was confirmed for simulations with higher sea cucumber stocking densities (results not shown). In any case, 547 these results confirmed the importance of performing uncertainty analysis in comparative LCAs to avoid overly 548 simplistic conclusions. 549

550

Compared to the monoculture, the IMTA system tended to decrease EU and NPPU impacts but increase CC and 551 CED. Reducing farm nutrient emissions through solid waste extraction by sea cucumbers was one aim of the IMTA; 552 therefore, the decrease in EU was expected. On-farm production of organisms from a lower trophic level that ingested 553 finfish waste for growth increased system productivity without an additional feed cost. This eco-intensification 554 reduced the overall amount of feed used per unit of biomass produced, which explained the decrease in NPPU. IMTA 555 is therefore an interesting way to use feed nutrients better and to mitigate some of the associated environmental 556 impacts. However, ecological intensification of aquaculture (Aubin et al., 2019), through IMTA, shifted 557 environmental burdens to energy-related global impact categories such as CC and CED. Energy use usually increases 558 with system intensity in aquaculture (Aubin et al., 2006; Ayer and Tyedmers, 2009; Dekamin et al., 2015; Samuel-559 Fitwi et al., 2012) and is associated with increase in GHG emissions when energy originates from fossil sources 560 (Pelletier et al., 2009). Finding such similarities with "classic" intensification is not surprising since finfish and sea 561 562 cucumbers have similar life cycles, involving a hatchery stage followed by a grow-out stage in sea cages. Therefore, the increase in CC and CED in the IMTA system can be explained by the addition of new energy-demanding 563 components (e.g. juvenile sea cucumber production) and energy inputs (e.g. on-farm fuel and electricity) related to sea 564 cucumber culture. These components were not visible in the contribution analysis because of the large difference in 565 production scales. Further intensification of this IMTA system by increasing sea cucumber production would therefore 566 probably increase energy dependence of the system and the associated GHG emissions, unless it comes with a 567 "greening" of the global energy system at all stages for both species, including hatchery, juvenile transport and farm 568 activities. Close integration of farm activities and infrastructure becomes less likely in IMTA farms with more 569 balanced production between primary and secondary species; therefore, environmental impacts will likely increase if 570

571 sea cucumber production increases. Impacts will not necessarily transfer from local to global scales for other IMTA 572 systems, particularly for those with species with less similar life cycles (e.g. finfish and seaweed). The same kind of 573 local and global environmental assessment should be encouraged for these systems to select the most sustainable 574 options for future aquaculture development.

#### 575 **4.3** Other perspectives to improve environmental performances

Local and global environmental benefits of the IMTA system were generally low because of the low productivity 576 of sea cucumbers; increasing them will require finding practical methods to intensify sea cucumber production. One 577 option is to investigate the choice and design of rearing structures that can increase the culture surface area and thus 578 579 the bioremediation potential of the system. An initial approach could be to consider three-dimensional (3D) rearing structures to increase the biomass that can be grown per unit area (Robinson et al., 2011). For example, with a three-580 level structures, the CS could be 'virtually' divided by three, i.e. 45:1 and WEE could increase to 2.20%. However, 581 food availability for sea cucumbers in a 3D structure will be affected by characteristics of the rearing system. The 582 mesh sizes required to contain the sea cucumbers would greatly reduce the amount of farm particles entering the cages 583 (Fortune, 2013; Zamora et al., 2018), with probably a gradual decrease in food availability from the top to the bottom 584 of the rearing structure. Furthermore, accumulation of solids on top of the structure can also be problematic since it 585 may deoxygenate water, which can kill sea cucumbers. Another option is benthic sea ranching of sea cucumbers, 586 which consists of releasing juvenile animals on the seabed, often with minimal or no containment. Local 587 environmental benefits may be increased by sea ranching, since the entire benthic area of the farm becomes available 588 for culture, which means that more biomass can be produced. Chary et al. (in prep) estimated for the monoculture 589 farm assessed here, that the largest benthic area receiving solid deposition rates higher or equal to 2.7 kg m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> 590 (corresponding to the SP of sea cucumbers) can extend up to 15 000 m<sup>2</sup>. For this culture area, and assuming all other 591 hypothesis being equal (except seeding, which becomes 54 500 individuals), 30 t of sea cucumbers could be produced 592 593 annually, increasing WEE to 8.01%. Moreover, in sea ranching culture sea cucumber feeding and burrowing on the seabed have a bioturbation effect, which can facilitate microbial organic degradation and enhance regeneration and 594 mineralization of surface sediments (MacTavish et al., 2012; Purcell et al., 2016; Slater and Carton, 2009; Yuan et al., 595 596 2016). Sea ranching has been suggested to be more practical in IMTA than suspended culture at a large commercial scale because the latter may disrupt normal farming operations (Zamora et al., 2018). Sea ranching has potential major 597 598 drawbacks, however: little monitoring of cultured animals and difficulties in harvesting, little distinction between cultured and wild animals, risks of benthic predator attacks (Hannah et al., 2013; Robinson and Pascal, 2011; Zamora 599 600 et al., 2018), the physical characteristics of each site (e.g. depth and bathymetry profile, sediment type) that influence

601 the ability of benthic species to settle, and the need to adapt the location of the animals on the seabed to the farm's 602 organic footprint (Zhang and Kitazawa, 2016). Finding practical farming methods for sea cucumbers to be added to a 603 pre-existing monoculture system thus remains a challenge. Farming structures will have to contain and secure the 604 cultured stocks effectively while optimizing bioremediation, not compromising the normal farm-routine cycle and 605 making the IMTA system at least as profitable as monoculture.

#### 606 5 Conclusion

We assessed environmental performances of finfish monoculture and sea cucumber-finfish IMTA scenarios by i) 607 focusing on the particulate waste bioremediation potential of sea cucumbers and ii) estimating environmental impacts 608 of both systems with LCA per kg of edible protein and t of product. At its maximum ingestion capacity and in tropical 609 water conditions, the sea cucumber *H. scabra* thus has good potential for aquaculture waste bioremediation if 610 cultivated at high densities. However, given the current limits to stocking density observed for this species, its co-611 culture in sea cages beneath finfish nets may decrease farm net particulate waste load and benthic impact only slightly. 612 Intensification of sea cucumber culture seems possible to increase local environmental benefits, but further research is 613 necessary to design rearing units that can optimize production and/or bioremediation and be practically integrated into 614 existing finfish monoculture units. LCA impacts of the monoculture and IMTA systems differed little because of the 615 large difference in production scales between finfish and sea cucumbers. IMTA showed better performance trends for 616 EU and NPPU but larger impacts for CED and CC, generating an impact transfer between categories. These trends 617 should be confirmed for large commercial IMTA farms with more balanced production scales between co-cultured 618 species when the technology for sea cucumber culture becomes more advanced. Several other important 619 environmental sustainability issues were not addressed in this study, such as potential disease transfer between 620 cultured species or impacts of escaped animals on the local environment; they would need additional research to draw 621 conclusions about broader environmental effects of the studied systems. 622

The use of generic metrics for comparing IMTA waste reduction efficiency and their use along with LCA resulted 623 in a more holistic environmental assessment of the studied systems, addressing impact categories at both local and 624 global scales. Such integrated model-based environmental analysis can be a powerful tool to predict the magnitude of 625 environmental benefits that can be expected from new and complex production systems such as IMTA and to select 626 the best co-culture options from an environmental viewpoint by maximizing resource use and minimizing 627 environmental impacts. Its application to the case study of red drum and sea cucumber co-culture on Mayotte is one of 628 many possibilities combining two or more organisms of different trophic levels and addressing other nutrient niches. 629 Finally, given the differing statuses (trophic level, ecological role and culinary interest) and economic values of the 630

- 631 species produced, and the multiple objectives of IMTA systems (biomitigation, production and revenue
- diversification), we recommend including socio-economic criteria to fully assess the sustainability of future seafood

633 production systems.

634

# 635 Acknowledgement

050 This Th.D. study was undertaken whill the CAI AWA FOTTE project, Thase 2 (2013-2010), funded b	ken within the CAPAMAYOTTE project, Phase 2 (2015-2)	018), funded by the
--	--	---------------------

- 637 Natural Marine Park of Mayotte and the Mayotte County Council. The authors gratefully acknowledge Dr. S. Purcell
- 638 for his technical advice on *H. scabra* culture. We also thank Dr. M.S. Corson for careful revision of the English.
- 639

### 641 Appendix A

# 642 DEB model parameters and assumptions for sea cucumber

Parametrization of the Dynamic Energy Budget (DEB) model integrates data on life-history traits (e.g. age, weight
and length at first feeding or puberty) and longitudinal data on weight, length and reproductive data over time
(Kooijman, 2010). The full list of DEB parameters for *Holothuria scabra* can be retrieved freely from the "Add my
Pet" database (Marques et al., 2018), but this appendix (Table A.1) shows only core parameters used to predict solid
and dissolved waste emissions (Cf. section – "Conversion of DEB energy outputs to N and P fluxes").

Table A.1. Dynamic Energy Budget (DEB) parameters used in the present study for the tropical sea cucumber H.

650	scabra for the reference temperature of	$\Gamma = 20$ °C. X corresponds to sea	a cucumber food resources (fish feces).
-----	---	--	---

Parameter description	Symbol	Value	Unit	Source			
Core parameters							
Allocation fraction to soma	κ	0.98	-	(AmP, 2019)			
Assimilation efficiency	$\kappa_X$	0.44	-	This study			
Growth efficiency	$\kappa_G$	0.80		(AmP, 2019)			
Reproduction efficiency	$\kappa_{Go}$	0.95	-	(AmP, 2019)			
Temperature effect							
Arrhenius temperature	$T_A$	8000	Κ	(AmP, 2019)			
Reference temperature	$T_{I}$	293	K	(AmP, 2019)			
Supplementary parameters used to estimate dissolved emissions from DEB outputs							
Feed							
Energy density of feed (fish feces)	$ED_{feed}$	10 464	J g DW <sup>-1</sup>	This study			
N to P stoichiometry of feed	$NP_X$	2.42	mol:mol	This study			
Sea cucumber							
N to P stoichiometry of sea cucumber	$NP_{SC}$	30.05	mol:mol	(Clarke, 2008)			
N content in sea cucumber	NSC	6.95	% DW	(Ozer et al., 2004)			
P content in sea cucumber	$P_{SC}$	0.64	% DW	This study			
Energy yield of reserve	$\mu_{EN}$	3667	J mmol N <sup>-1</sup>	This study			
Feces							
Conversion factor of unassimilated food	$\mu_{\it NAfeed}$	6166	J mmol N <sup>-1</sup>	This study			
Energy density of sea cucumber feces	$ED_{feces}$	7 717	J g DW <sup>-1</sup>	This study			
N content in sea cucumber feces	$N_{feces}$	0.57	% DW	This study			
P content in sea cucumber feces	$P_{feces}$	1.89	% DW	This study			

<sup>651</sup> 

This parameter set does not include lower and upper temperature limits, because of the lack of temperature-

dependent data for this species. The default temperature correction factor was therefore used in this study (Marques et

al. 2009) and complied with previous growth data up to 31°C (Thierry Lavitra et al., 2010) and 33°C (Kühnhold et al.,

- 655 2017). In the present study, the annual sea surface temperature time series in Miangani Bay, Longoni village, for
- 2016-2017 were used as reference for Mayotte lagoon. Water temperature ranged from 25.2 °C on 18 October 2016 to
- 657 29.6 °C on 6 April 2017.
- The DEB model used in this study does not include the weight of gonads in total animal weight, because of
- 659 uncertainties in the reproductive cycle of *H. scabra*. It is known that sexual maturity appears at ca. 180 g (Juinio-
- 660 Meñez et al., 2013), and depending on the population, an annual, bi-annual or continuous reproductive cycle can be
- observed (Conand, 1990; Morgan, 2000; Purwati, 2006; Rasolofonirina et al., 2005). In addition, Purwati (2006) and
- 662 Rasolofonirina et al. (2005) reported gonad indices for *H. scabra* in the Indian Ocean from 0-11% of total body
- weight, while Penina Tua Rahantoknam (2017) reported values up to 16%.

# 665 Approach to estimate assimilation efficiency for the DEB model

The DEB model, as well as energy or mass balance approaches, can be used to estimate fluxes of feces and their 666 composition (Bureau et al., 2003; Cho and Bureau, 1998; Papatryphon et al., 2005). In DEB theory, assimilation 667 efficiency ( $\kappa_x$ ), which is the ratio of assimilated energy to ingested energy, is used to estimate the percentage of 668 energy from food that is stored in the reserve of the animal. Unassimilated components are assumed to be excreted as 669 feces by the animal. This coefficient is analogous to absorption efficiency in bioenergetics approaches or to apparent 670 digestibility coefficients (ADC) in nutrient mass-balance approaches. In this study,  $\kappa_x$  was estimated with a mass-671 balance approach using ADC literature values obtained for *H. scabra* or other sea cucumber species (Table A.2). The 672 amount of digested material was estimated by multiplying ADCs for primary nutritional fractions (i.e., protein, lipids, 673 carbohydrates, fiber and ash) by their proximate amounts in sea cucumber feed (finfish feces), by assuming that total 674 dry weight (DW) is the sum of nutritional fractions. Each nutritional fraction has a known gross energy density: 675 respectively 23.6, 39.5, 17.2 and 7.8 kJ g<sup>-1</sup> for protein, lipids, carbohydrates (Reid et al., 2018) and fiber (Kraisid 676 Tontisirin. et al., 2003). Gross energy density in feed (ED<sub>feed</sub>) was estimated by summing the energy in its nutritional 677 fraction:  $ED_{feed} = 10464 \text{ Jg } DW^{-1}$  (Table A.2). Similarly, gross energy density of sea cucumber feces ( $ED_{feces}$ ) was 678 subtracted from the sum of energy in indigestible dietary material (5897 kJ for 0.76 g of feces), yielding  $ED_{feces}$  = 679 7717 J g DW<sup>-1</sup> (Table A.2). The energy assimilation efficiency was obtained from the ratio  $\kappa_X = \frac{Digested \, energy}{ED_{feed}} =$ 680 43.65%, with digested energy = 4567 J (Table A.2). 681

Table A.2. Nutritional mass balance approach for the sea cucumber *H. scabra* feeding on finfish feces in an integrated
multi-trophic aquaculture system. Total dry weight (DW) was assumed to be the sum of protein, lipid, carbohydrates,
fiber and ash fractions. The N fraction in finfish feces was subtracted from protein content using an N:protein ratio of
16%.

	Content in feed (finfish feces <sup>1</sup> )		Sea cucumber digestibility	Digested material and energy per g of feed		Undigested material and energy per g of feed	
Nutritional	(1111101		coefficients	inge	ested	ingeste	d
fraction	% DW	J	%	g g <sup>-1</sup>	J g <sup>-1</sup>	g g <sup>-1</sup> (%)	J g <sup>-1</sup>
Protein	14.85	3 505	86.8 <sup>2</sup>	0.12	2 862	0.03 (3.57)	643
from N	2.38	-	-	0.02	-	0.00 (0.57)	-
Lipids	3.75	1 481	$23.0^{3}$	0.01	341	0.03 (3.78)	1 141
Carbohydrates	13.27	2 282	$43.2^{2}$	0.06	985	0.08 (9.87)	1 298
Fiber	27.16	3 196	11.94	0.05	380	0.36 (47.24)	2 815
Ash	40.97	0	0.0	0.00	0	0.27 (35.54)	0.00
Phosphorus	1.86	-	55.7 <sup>5</sup>	0.01	-	0.01 (1.08)	-
Total	100	10 464	_	0.24	4 567	0.76 (100)	5 897

<sup>1</sup>Proximate composition of red drum feces calculated for animals fed with commercial Nutrima® diets (Chary et al. 2019)

<sup>2</sup>Mean coefficient obtained for an animal-ingredient-based diet for *H. scabra* (Orozco et al., 2014)

<sup>3</sup>Coefficient obtained for a sediment-based diet in the holothurian *Molpadia musculus* (Amaro et al., 2010)

<sup>4</sup>Coefficient obtained for red drum fed commercial diets (Chary et al. 2019). The low fiber digestion observed in this omnivorous finfish species was probably due to intestinal microbiota activity. In the absence of any relevant data on dietary fiber digestibility in holothurian species, we assumed the same partial digestibility for *H. scabra*. <sup>5</sup>Calculated in this study

#### 688 Conversion of DEB energy outputs to N and P fluxes

689 Several equations are used in the DEB model to estimate N and P emissions in feces and dissolved inorganic

690 emissions of sea cucumber (Table A.3). As suggested in "Add my Pet", the life cycle of *H. scabra* was modeled using

a DEB model with metabolic acceleration between birth and metamorphosis (Kooijman, 2014). Description of a

standard DEB model, the full list of equations and DEB nomenclature can be found elsewhere (Kooijman, 2010);

- therefore, we present only the methods used to estimate N and P fluxes into food, feces and dissolved emissions from
- 694 DEB outputs.
- 695 Dissolved N and P emissions from sea cucumber were estimated in the DEB model based on the equations of Pete 696 et al. (2018) (see also Pete et al. in prep) (Table A.3). The conversion factor  $\mu_{UFF}$  is used to convert the energy from

697 undigested (unassimilated) finfish feces into N. We calculated  $\mu_{\text{feces}}$  from the equation  $\mu_{\text{feces}} = \frac{ED_{\text{feed}} \cdot M_N}{N_{\text{feed}}} = 6\ 166\ \text{J}$ 

698 mmol N<sup>-1</sup>, with  $M_N = 0.014$  g mmol<sup>-1</sup>. The N:P stoichiometry in the animal and the feed was respectively taken from 699 Clarke (2008) for the holothurian *Heterocucumis steineni* and from Chary et al. (2019) for red drum feces. The energy 700 assimilated from feed is incorporated into reserves and used for maintenance, growth and maturity in juveniles or 701 reproduction in adults. The energy used for maintenance, growth, and gonad formation can be converted into NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> 702 and PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> fluxes (in mmol day<sup>-1</sup>) using the conversion factor  $\mu_{EN}$  (in J mmol N<sup>-1</sup>). This conversion factor can be 703 obtained by dividing the chemical potential in sea cucumber reserves of 550 000 J mol C<sup>-1</sup> (Marques et al., 2018) by

the N:C ratio in the organic matter of the reserves, which is 0.15 mol N mol C<sup>-1</sup> (Marques et al., 2018).

705

Table A.3. Equations used in the Dynamic Energy Budget (DEB) model to estimate N and P in food, feces and from
solid and dissolved waste emissions of sea cucumber, modified from Pete et al. (2018) (see also Pete et al. in prep).
Equations describing energy fluxes and variable differential equations in DEB theory can be found elsewhere
(Kooijman, 2010).

Equation	Variable and parameters description	Unit
	IFF: Ingested finfish feces	g DW d <sup>-1</sup>
$IFF = \dot{p}_X \cdot ED_{feed}$	$\dot{p}_X$ : Ingestion rate	J d <sup>-1</sup>
	ED <sub>feces</sub> : Energy density in feed	J g DW <sup>-1</sup>
	UFF: Undigested finfish feces	g DW d <sup>-1</sup>
$UFF = (1 - \kappa_X) \cdot \dot{p}_X \cdot ED_{feces}$	$\kappa_X$ : Assimilation efficiency	%
	ED <sub>feces</sub> : Energy density in feces	J g DW <sup>-1</sup>
$E - E \cdot N$	F <sub>N</sub> : N solid emission from feces	g N d <sup>-1</sup>
$\Gamma_N = \Gamma$ infeces	N <sub>feces</sub> : N content in feces	%
$E = E \cdot D$	F <sub>P</sub> : P solid emission from feces	g P d <sup>-1</sup>
$r_P = r \cdot r_{feces}$	P <sub>feces</sub> : P content in feces	%

$N_{regen} = 0$ because $NP_X < NP_{SC}$ $N_{regen}$ : Regeneration of excess N		mmol N-NH4 <sup>+</sup> d <sup>-1</sup>
$P_{regen} = \frac{\dot{p}_A}{\mu_{UFF}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{NP_{SC}} - \frac{1}{NP_O}\right)$	$P_{regen}$ : Regeneration of excess N $\dot{p}_A$ : Assimilation rate $\mu_{UFF}$ : Conversion factor of undigested finfish feces	mmol P-PO4 <sup>3-</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> J J mmol N <sup>-1</sup>
$CMC = \frac{\dot{p}_{M1} + \dot{p}_J}{\mu_{EN}}$	<i>CMC</i> : Conversion of maintenance cost $\dot{p}_{M1}$ : Structural maintenance rate $\dot{p}_{J}$ : Maturity maintenance rate $\mu_{EN}$ : Energy yield of reserve	mmol N-NH4 <sup>+</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> J J J
$CGC = \frac{\dot{p}_G \cdot (1 - \kappa_G)}{\mu_{EN}}$	<i>CGC</i> : Conversion of growth cost $\dot{p}_G$ : Structural growth rate $\kappa_G$ : Growth efficiency	mmol N-NH4 <sup>+</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> J J
$CCGP = \frac{\dot{p}_{Go} \cdot (1 - \kappa_{Go})}{\mu_{EN}}$	<i>CCGP</i> : Conversion of gamete production cost $\dot{p}_{Go}$ : Gonad allocation rate $\kappa_{Go}$ : Reproduction efficiency	mmol N-NH4 <sup>+</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> J J
$\frac{dN.NH_4}{dt} = (CMC + CGC + CCGP) \cdot M_{N.NH_4}$	$\frac{dN.NH_4}{dt}$ : Total emissions of N-NH <sub>4</sub> $M_{N.NH_4}$ : Molar mass of N	kg N-NH4 <sup>+</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> kg mmol <sup>-1</sup>
$\frac{dP.PO_4}{dt} = \left(P_{regen} + \frac{CMC + CGC + CCGP}{NP_{SC}}\right) \cdot M_{P.PO_4}$	$\frac{dP.PO_4}{dt}$ : Total emissions of P-PO <sub>4</sub> $M_{P.PO_4}$ : Molar mass of P	kg P-PO4 <sup>3-</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> kg mmol <sup>-1</sup>

#### 711 Approach to estimate P digestibility in sea cucumber

No data were found for P digestibility in H. scabra or other sea cucumbers; therefore, the fraction of P assimilated 712 from feed (Asp) was back-estimated using a mass-balance approach and was used to calculate P emissions in sea 713 cucumber feces. In the mass-balance approach, the digested nutrient fraction equals the nutrients excreted in dissolved 714 emissions and those retained in biomass gain (Cho and Kaushik, 1990). Dissolved P emissions  $\left(\frac{dP.PO_4}{dt}\right)$  from a 10 g 715 juvenile (age = 88 days) to a final weight of 709 g (age = 453 days) were estimated with the DEB model as 8.46 g in 716 the temperature time series (Cf. section "DEB model parameters and assumptions for sea cucumber") and using an f-717 value set to 1. P content (Psc) in sea cucumber, required to calculate the P retained in biomass, was calculated as 718  $P_{SC} = \frac{N_{SC} \cdot DW_{SC}}{M_N} \cdot \frac{M_P}{NP_{SC}} = 0.64\% \text{ DW}^{-1}$ , with N content in sea cucumber, N<sub>SC</sub> = 6.95% DW<sup>-1</sup> (subtracted from protein 719 content in Ozer et al. 2004); DW ratio in sea cucumber ( $DW_{SC}$ ) = 14.51% (mean value in Ozer et al. 2004) and P 720 molar mass (M<sub>P</sub>) = 31 g mol<sup>-1</sup>. Using P<sub>SC</sub> and DW<sub>SC</sub>, the P retained in biomass was estimated as 0.65 g DW for a 721 biomass gain of 709-10 = 699 g. Following mass-balance principles, the P in feed assimilated by sea cucumbers is 722 therefore the sum of P retained in biomass and P dissolved over the simulated period (i.e. 9.11 g in 365 days). DEB 723 predicted cumulative food ingestion of 879 g finfish feces DW yr<sup>-1</sup> per individual in the culture cycle. With a P 724 content in feed (P<sub>feed</sub>) of 1.86% (Table A.2), cumulative P inputs in feed over the period were thus 16.36 g. Finally, we 725 estimated the percentage of P assimilated from feed (i.e. the ratio of assimilated P to total P input in feed) as  $As_P =$ 726 55.7%. This value was used in the mass-balance calculation to calculate the P content in sea cucumber feces (P<sub>feces</sub>). 727

#### References 728

- 729 Abdou, K., Aubin, J., Romdhane, M.S., Le Loc'h, F., Lasram, F.B.R., 2017. Environmental assessment of seabass (Dicentrarchus 730 *labrax*) and seabream (*Sparus aurata*) farming from a life cycle perspective: A case study of a Tunisian aquaculture farm. Aquaculture 471, 204-212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.01.019 731
- Agudo, N., 2006. Sandfish Hatchery Techniques. Nouméa, New Caledonia. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4659 732
- Alexander, K.A., Freeman, S., Potts, T., 2016. Navigating uncertain waters: European public perceptions of integrated multi 733 734 trophic aquaculture (IMTA). Environ. Sci. Policy 61, 230-237. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVSCI.2016.04.020
- Amaro, T., Bianchelli, S., Billett, D.S.M., Cunha, M.R., Pusceddu, A., Danovaro, R., 2010. The trophic biology of the holothurian 735 736 Molpadia musculus: implications for organic matter cycling and ecosystem functioning in a deep submarine canyon. Biogeosciences 7, 2419-2432. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-2419-2010 737
- AmP, 2019. Add-my-Pet Collection, Online Database of DEB parameters, Implied Properties and Referenced Underlying Data. 738 739 [WWW Document]. URL https://www.bio.vu.nl/thb/deb/deblab/add\_my\_pet/ (accessed 1.16.19).
- 740 Aubin, J., 2013. Life Cycle Assessment as applied to environmental choices regarding farmed or wild-caught fish. CAB Rev. 741 Perspect. Agric. Vet. Sci. Nutr. Nat. Resour. 8. https://doi.org/10.1079/PAVSNNR20138011
- 742 Aubin, J., Callier, M., Rey-Valette, H., Mathé, S., Wilfart, A., Legendre, M., Slembrouck, J., Caruso, D., Chia, E., Masson, G., Blancheton, J.P., Ediwarman, Haryadi, J., Prihadi, T.H., de Matos Casaca, J., Tamassia, S.T.J., Tocqueville, A., Fontaine, 743 P., 2019. Implementing ecological intensification in fish farming: definition and principles from contrasting experiences. 744 745 Rev. Aquac. 11, 149-167. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12231
- Aubin, J., Papatryphon, E., van der Werf, H.M.G., Chatzifotis, S., 2009. Assessment of the environmental impact of carnivorous 746 finfish production systems using life cycle assessment. J. Clean. Prod. 17, 354–361. 747 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.08.008 748
- 749 Aubin, J., Papatryphon, E., Van der Werf, H.M.G., Petit, J., Morvan, Y.M., 2006. Characterisation of the environmental impact of 750 a turbot (Scophthalmus maximus) re-circulating production system using Life Cycle Assessment. Aquaculture 261, 1259– 751 1268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aguaculture.2006.09.008
- 752 Avadí, A., Fréon, P., 2013. Life cycle assessment of fisheries: A review for fisheries scientists and managers. Fish. Res. 143, 21-753 38. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FISHRES.2013.01.006
- 754 Aver, N.W., Tyedmers, P.H., 2009. Assessing alternative aquaculture technologies: life cycle assessment of salmonid culture 755 systems in Canada. J. Clean. Prod. 17, 362-373. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2008.08.002
- Barrington, K., Chopin, T., Robinson, S., 2009. Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) in marine temperate waters, in: Soto, 756 757 D. (Ed.), Integrated Mariculture: A Global Review. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper. Rome, FAO, pp. 7-46. 758 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00469-1
- 759 Barrington, K., Ridler, N., Chopin, T., Robinson, S., Robinson, B., 2010. Social aspects of the sustainability of integrated multitrophic aquaculture. Aquac. Int. 18, 201-211. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-008-9236-0 760
- Battaglene, S.C., Bell, J.D., 2004. The restocking of sea cucumbers in the Pacific Islands, in: Bartley, D.M., Leber, K.M. (Eds.), 761 762 Marine Ranching. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, pp. 109–132.
- Battaglene, S.C., Seymour, J.E., Ramofafia, C., 1999. Survival and growth of cultured juvenile sea cucumbers, Holothuria scabra. 763 764 Aquaculture 178, 293-322. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(99)00130-1
- Bohnes, F.A., Hauschild, M.Z., Schlundt, J., Laurent, A., 2018. Life cycle assessments of aquaculture systems: a critical review of 765 766 reported findings with recommendations for policy and system development. Rev. Aquac. 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12280 767
- 768 Bohnes, F.A., Laurent, A., 2019. LCA of aquaculture systems: methodological issues and potential improvements. Int. J. Life Cycle Assess. 24, 324-337. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-018-1517-x 769
- Borja, Á., Rodríguez, J.G., Black, K., Bodoy, A., Emblow, C., Fernandes, T.F., Forte, J., Karakassis, I., Muxika, I., Nickell, T.D., 770 Papageorgiou, N., Pranovi, F., Sevastou, K., Tomassetti, P., Angel, D., 2009. Assessing the suitability of a range of benthic 771 indices in the evaluation of environmental impact of fin and shellfish aquaculture located in sites across Europe. 772 Aquaculture 293, 231-240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.04.037 773
- 774 Bravo, F., Grant, J., 2018. Modelling sediment assimilative capacity and organic carbon degradation efficiency at marine fish farms. Aquac. Environ. Interact. 10, 309-328. https://doi.org/10.3354/aei00267 775
- Bureau, D.P., Gunther, S.J., Cho, C.Y., 2003. Chemical Composition and Preliminary Theoretical Estimates of Waste Outputs of 776 777 Rainbow Trout Reared in Commercial Cage Culture Operations in Ontario. N. Am. J. Aquac. 65, 33–38.
- 778 https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8454(2003)065<0033:CCAPTE>2.0.CO;2

- Buschmann, A.H., Hernández-González, M.C., Aranda, C., Chopin, T., Neori, A., Halling, C., Troell, M., 2008. Mariculture
   Waste Management, in: Jørgensen, S.E., Fath, B.D. (Eds.), Encyclopedia of Ecology. Elsevier, Oxford, pp. 2211–2217.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-008045405-4.00045-8
- 782 Cabinet Gressard consultants, Monfort, M., SAFIDY, 2013. Schéma régional de développement de l'aquaculture de Mayotte
   783 (SRDAM) Tome 3: rapports des experts.
- Cao, L., Diana, J.S., Keoleian, G.A., 2013. Role of life cycle assessment in sustainable aquaculture. Rev. Aquac. 5, 61–71.
   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-5131.2012.01080.x
- Carras, M.A., Knowler, D., Pearce, C.M., Hamer, A., Chopin, T., Weaire, T., 2019. A discounted cash-flow analysis of salmon monoculture and Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture in eastern Canada. Aquac. Econ. Manag. 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1080/13657305.2019.1641572
- 789 Chamberlain, J., Stucchi, D., 2007. Simulating the effects of parameter uncertainty on waste model predictions of marine finfish
   790 aquaculture. Aquaculture 272, 296–311. https://doi.org/DOI 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.08.051
- Chary, K., Fiandrino, A., Covès, D., Aubin, J., Falguière, J.C., Callier, M.D., 2019. Modeling sea cage outputs for data-scarce areas: application to red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*) aquaculture in Mayotte, Indian Ocean. Aquac. Int.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-019-00351-z
- Cho, C.Y., Bureau, D.P., 1998. Development of bioenergetic models and the fish-PrFEQ software to estimate production, feeding
   ration and waste output in aquaculture. Aquat. Living Resour. 11, 199–210. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0990-7440(98)89002-5
- Cho, C.Y., Kaushik, S.J., 1990. Nutritional energetics in fish: energy and protein utilization in rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*), in:
   World Reviews in Nutrition and Dietetics. Karger Publishers, pp. 132–172. https://doi.org/10.1159/000417529
- Chopin, T., Cooper, J.A., Reid, G., Cross, S., Moore, C., 2012. Open-water integrated multi-trophic aquaculture: environmental biomitigation and economic diversification of fed aquaculture by extractive aquaculture. Rev. Aquac. 4, 209–220.
   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-5131.2012.01074.x
- Clarke, A., 2008. Ecological stoichiometry in six species of Antarctic marine benthos. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 369, 25–37.
   https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07670
- 803 Conand, C., 1990. The fishery resources of Pacific island countries. Part 2: Holothurians, FAO Fish. Tech. Paper 272.
- Cranford, P., Reid, G., Robinson, S., 2013. Open water integrated multi-trophic aquaculture: constraints on the effectiveness of mussels as an organic extractive component. Aquac. Environ. Interact. 4, 163–173. https://doi.org/10.3354/aei00081
- Cromey, C.J., Nickell, T.D., Black, K.D., 2002. DEPOMOD—modelling the deposition and biological effects of waste solids
   from marine cage farms. Aquaculture 214, 211–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(02)00368-X
- Cromey, C.J., Thetmeyer, H., Lampadariou, N., Black, K.D., Kögeler, J., Karakassis, I., 2012. MERAMOD: Predicting the deposition and benthic impact of aquaculture in the eastern Mediterranean Sea. Aquac. Environ. Interact. 2, 157–176.
   https://doi.org/10.3354/aei00034
- Cubillo, A.M., Ferreira, J.G., Robinson, S.M.C., Pearce, C.M., Corner, R.A., Johansen, J., 2016. Role of deposit feeders in integrated multi-trophic aquaculture A model analysis. Aquaculture 453, 54–66.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.11.031
- Dekamin, M., Veisi, H., Safari, E., Liaghati, H., Khoshbakht, K., Dekamin, M.G., 2015. Life cycle assessment for rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) production systems: a case study for Iran. J. Clean. Prod. 91, 43–55.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2014.12.006
- Edwards, P., 2015. Aquaculture environment interactions: Past, present and likely future trends. Aquaculture 447, 2–14.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.02.001
- Eeckhaut, I., Lavitra, T., Rasoforinina, R., Rabenevanana, M.W., Gildas, P., Jangoux, M., 2008. Madagascar Holothurie SA: The
   first trade company based on sea cucumber aquaculture in Madagascar. SPC Beche-de-mer Inf. Bull. 28, 22–23.
- 821 Falguière, J.-C., 2011. L'ombrine ocellée, Sciaenops ocellatus : biologie, pêche, aquaculture et marché, Quae. ed. Savoir faire.
- Filgueira, R., Guyondet, T., Reid, G.K., Grant, J., Cranford, P.J., 2017. Vertical particle fluxes dominate integrated multi-trophic
   aquaculture (IMTA) sites: Implications for shellfish-finfish synergy. Aquac. Environ. Interact. 9, 127–143.
   https://doi.org/10.3354/aei00218
- Findlay, R., Watling, L., 1997. Prediction of benthic impact for salmon net-pens based on the balance of benthic oxygen supply
   and demand. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 155, 147–157. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps155147
- Fortune, A.C., 2013. Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture with the California Sea Cucumber (*Parastichopus californicus*):
   Investigating Grow-out Cage Design for Juvenile Sea Cucumbers Co-cultured with Pacific Oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*).
- 829 Simon Fraser University.

- Frischknecht, R., Jungbluth, N., Althaus, H.J., Doka, G., Dones, R., Hirschier, R., Hellweg, S., Humbert, S., Margni, M.,
   Nemecek, T., Speilmann, M., 2004. Implementation of Life Cycle Impact Assessment Methods (Version 1.1). Eco-Invent
   Report No. 3. Dübendorf.
- Giles, H., 2008. Using Bayesian networks to examine consistent trends in fish farm benthic impact studies. Aquaculture 274, 181–
   195. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AQUACULTURE.2007.11.020
- Granada, L., Sousa, N., Lopes, S., Lemos, M.F.L., 2016. Is integrated multitrophic aquaculture the solution to the sectors' major
   challenges? a review. Rev. Aquac. 8, 283–300. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12093
- Guérin-Schneider, L., Tsanga-Tabi, M., Roux, P., Catel, L., Biard, Y., 2018. How to better include environmental assessment in
   public decision-making: Lessons from the use of an LCA-calculator for wastewater systems. J. Clean. Prod. 187, 1057–
   1068. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2018.03.168
- Guinée, J.B., Heijungs, R., Huppes, G., Kleijn, R., de Koning, A., van Oers, L., Wegener Sleeswijk, A., Suh, S., Udo de Haes,
  H.A., de Bruijn, H., van Duin, R., Huijbregts, M.A.J., Gorrée, M., 2002. Handbook on Life Cycle Assessment. An
  Operational Guide to the ISO Standards. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherland.
- Hannah, L., Pearce, C.M., Cross, S.F., 2013. Growth and survival of California sea cucumbers (*Parastichopus californicus*)
   cultivated with sablefish (*Anoplopoma fimbria*) at an integrated multi-trophic aquaculture site. Aquaculture 406–407, 34–
   https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AQUACULTURE.2013.04.022
- Hargrave, B.T. (Ed.), 2005. Environmental Effects of Marine Finfish Aquaculture, Handbook of Environmental Chemistry.
   Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/b12227
- Hargrave, B.T., 1994. A benthic enrichment index, in: Modeling Benthic Impacts of Organic Enrichment from Marine
   Aquaculture. Canadian Technical Report. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1949. pp. 79–91.
- Henriksson, P.J.G., Guinée, J.B., Heijungs, R., De Koning, A., Green, D.M., 2014. A protocol for horizontal averaging of unit
   process data Including estimates for uncertainty. Int. J. Life Cycle Assess. 19, 429–436. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367 013-0647-4
- Henriksson, P.J.G., Guinée, J.B., Kleijn, R., de Snoo, G.R., 2012. Life cycle assessment of aquaculture systems—a review of methodologies. Int. J. Life Cycle Assess. 17, 304–313. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-011-0369-4
- Holmer, M., Black, K., Duarte, C.M., Marbà, N., Karakassis, I. (Eds.), 2008. Aquaculture in the Ecosystem. Springer, Dordrecht,
   The Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004
- Howarth, R.W., 1988. Nutrient Limitation of Net Primary Production in Marine Ecosystems. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 19, 89–110.
   https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.19.110188.000513
- ISO, 2006a. Environmental management life cycle assessment requirements and guidelines. ISO 14044.
- 860 ISO, 2006b. Environmental management life cycle assessment principles and framework. ISO 14044.
- Jaeger, C., Foucard, P., Tocqueville, A., Nahon, S., Aubin, J., 2019. Mass balanced based LCA of a common carp-lettuce aquaponics system. Aquac. Eng. 84, 29–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AQUAENG.2018.11.003
- Jessen, C., Bednarz, V.N., Rix, L., Teichberg, M., Wild, C., 2015. Marine Eutrophication, in: Environmental Indicators. Springer
   Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 177–203. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-9499-2\_11
- Jolliet, O., Saadé, M., Crettaz, P., Shaked, S., Soucy, G., Houillon, G., 2010. Analyse du Cycle de Vie, Comprendre et réaliser un écobilan, 2ème édition, Presses Po. ed, Science & Ingénierie de l'Environnement.
- Juinio-Meñez, M.A., Evangelio, J.C., Miralao, S.J.A., 2014. Trial grow-out culture of sea cucumber *Holothuria scabra* in sea cages and pens. Aquac. Res. 45, 1332–1340. https://doi.org/10.1111/are.12078
- Juinio-Meñez, M.A., Evangelio, J.C., Olavides, R.D., Paña, M.A.S., De Peralta, G.M., Edullantes, C.M.A., Rodriguez, B.D.R.,
   Casilagan, I.L.N., 2013. Population Dynamics of Cultured *Holothuria scabra* in a Sea Ranch: Implications for Stock
   Restoration. Rev. Fish. Sci. 21, 424–432. https://doi.org/10.1080/10641262.2013.837282
- Karakassis, I., 2000. Impact of cage farming of fish on the seabed in three Mediterranean coastal areas. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 57, 1462–1471. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.2000.0925
- Keeley, N., Cromey, C., Goodwin, E., Gibbs, M., Macleod, C., 2013. Predictive depositional modelling (DEPOMOD) of the
   interactive effect of current flow and resuspension on ecological impacts beneath salmon farms. Aquac. Environ. Interact. 3,
   275–291. https://doi.org/10.3354/aei00068
- Keeley, N.B., Forrest, B.M., Macleod, C.K., 2013. Novel observations of benthic enrichment in contrasting flow regimes with
   implications for marine farm monitoring and management. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 66, 105–116.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.10.024
- 880 Kim, Y.C., Sasaki, S., Yano, K., Ikebukuro, K., Hashimoto, K., Karube, I., 2000. Relationship between theoretical oxygen

- demand and photocatalytic chemical oxygen demand for specific classes of organic chemicals. Analyst 125, 1915–1918.
   https://doi.org/10.1039/b007005j
- Kooijman, S.A.L.M., 2014. Metabolic acceleration in animal ontogeny: An evolutionary perspective. J. Sea Res. 94, 128–137.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SEARES.2014.06.005
- Kooijman, S.A.L.M., 2010. Dynamic energy budget theory for metabolic organisation, third edition, Dynamic Energy Budget
   Theory for Metabolic Organisation, Third Edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
   https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511805400
- Kooijman, S.A.L.M., 2000. Dynamic Energy and Mass Budgets in Biological Systems. Cambridge University Press.
   https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511565403
- Kraisid Tontisirin., MacLean, W.C., Warwick, P., 2003. Food energy : methods of analysis and conversion factors. Food and
   Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- Kühnhold, H., Kamyab, E., Novais, S., Indriana, L., Kunzmann, A., Slater, M., Lemos, M., 2017. Thermal stress effects on energy resource allocation and oxygen consumption rate in the juvenile sea cucumber, *Holothuria scabra* (Jaeger, 1833).
   Aquaculture 467, 109–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AQUACULTURE.2016.03.018
- Lavitra, T., Fohy, N., Gestin, P.-G., Rasolofonirina, R., Eeckhaut, I., 2010. Effect of water temperature on the survival and growth of endobenthic *Holothuria scabra* (Echinodermata: Holothuroidea) juveniles reared in outdoor ponds. SPC Beche-de-mer
   Inf. Bull. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cct.2017.01.003
- Lavitra, T., Rachelle, D., Rasolofonirina, R., Jangoux, M., Eeckhaut, I., 2008. Processing and marketing of holothurians in the
   Toliara region, southwestern Madagascar. Beche-de-Mer Bull. 28, 24–33.
- Lavitra, T., Rasolofonirina, R., Eeckhaut, I., 2010. The Effect of Sediment Quality and Stocking Density on Survival and Growth
   of the Sea Cucumber *Holothuria scabra* Reared in Nursery Ponds and Sea Pens. West. Indian Ocean J. Mar. Sci.
   https://doi.org/10.4314/wiojms.v8i1.56678
- Li, L., Li, Q., 2010. Effects of stocking density, temperature, and salinity on larval survival and growth of the red race of the sea cucumber *Apostichopus japonicus* (Selenka). Aquac. Int. 18, 447–460. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-009-9256-4
- MacDonald, C.L.E., Stead, S.M., Slater, M.J., 2013. Consumption and remediation of European Seabass (Dicentrarchus labrax)
   waste by the sea cucumber *Holothuria forskali*. Aquac. Int. 21, 1279–1290. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-013-9629-6
- MacTavish, T., Stenton-Dozey, J., Vopel, K., Savage, C., 2012. Deposit-Feeding Sea Cucumbers Enhance Mineralization and Nutrient Cycling in Organically-Enriched Coastal Sediments. PLoS One 7, e50031.
   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0050031
- Magill, S.H., Thetmeyer, H., Cromey, C.J., 2006. Settling velocity of faecal pellets of gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata L.*) and sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax L.*) and sensitivity analysis using measured data in a deposition model. Aquaculture 251, 295–305. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.06.005
- Marín, T., Wu, J., Wu, X., Ying, Z., Lu, Q., Hong, Y., Wang, X., Yang, W., 2019. Resource use in mariculture: A case study in
   Southeastern China. Sustainability 11, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11051396
- Marques, G.M., Augustine, S., Lika, K., Pecquerie, L., Domingos, T., Kooijman, S.A.L.M., 2018. The AmP project: Comparing
   species on the basis of dynamic energy budget parameters. PLOS Comput. Biol. 14, e1006100.
   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006100
- Mendoza Beltran, A., Chiantore, M., Pecorino, D., Corner, R.A., Ferreira, J.G., Cò, R., Fanciulli, L., Guinée, J.B., 2018.
   Accounting for inventory data and methodological choice uncertainty in a comparative life cycle assessment: the case of integrated multi-trophic aquaculture in an offshore Mediterranean enterprise. Int. J. Life Cycle Assess. 23, 1063–1077.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-017-1363-2
- Mendoza Beltrán, A., Guinée, J., 2014. Goal and Scope Definition for Life Cycle Assessment of Integrated Multi-Trophic Marine
   Aquaculture Systems, in: Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Life Cycle Assessment in the Agri-Food
   Sector (2014). pp. 817–822.
- Mercier, A., Battaglene, S.C., Hamel, J.-F., 1999. Daily burrowing cycle and feeding activity of juvenile sea cucumbers
   *Holothuria scabra* in response to environmental factors. J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 239, 125–156.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(99)00034-9
- Morgan, A.D., 2000. Aspects of the reproductive cycle of the sea cucumber *Holothuria scabra* (Echinodermata: Holothuroidea).
   Bull. Mar. Sci. 66, 47–57.
- 930 Namukose, M., Msuya, F., Ferse, S., Slater, M., Kunzmann, A., 2016. Growth performance of the sea cucumber *Holothuria* 931 *scabra* and the seaweed *Eucheuma denticulatum*: integrated mariculture and effects on sediment organic characteristics.
   932 Aquac. Environ. Interact. 8, 179–189. https://doi.org/10.3354/aei00172
- 933 Nelson, E.J., MacDonald, B.A., Robinson, S.M.C., 2012. The absorption efficiency of the suspension-feeding sea cucumber,

- 934 Cucumaria frondosa, and its potential as an extractive integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) species. Aquaculture
   935 370–371, 19–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.09.029
- 936 Neofitou, N., Lolas, A., Ballios, I., Skordas, K., Tziantziou, L., Vafidis, D., 2019. Contribution of sea cucumber *Holothuria* 937 *tubulosa* on organic load reduction from fish farming operation. Aquaculture 501, 97–103.
   938 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AQUACULTURE.2018.10.071
- 939 Neori, A., Chopin, T., Troell, M., Buschmann, A.H., Kraemer, G.P., Halling, C., Shpigel, M., Yarish, C., 2004. Integrated
   940 aquaculture: rationale, evolution and state of the art emphasizing seaweed biofiltration in modern mariculture. Aquaculture
   941 231, 361–391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2003.11.015
- 942 Orozco, Z.G.A., Sumbing, J.G., Lebata-Ramos, M.J.H., Watanabe, S., 2014. Apparent digestibility coefficient of nutrients from
   943 shrimp, mussel, diatom and seaweed by juvenile *Holothuria scabra* Jaeger. Aquac. Res. 45, 1153–1163.
   944 https://doi.org/10.1111/are.12058
- Ozer, P.N., Mol, S., Varhk, C., 2004. Effect of the Handling Procedures on the Chemical Composition of Sea Cucumber. Turkish
   J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 4, 71–74.
- Paltzat, D.L., Pearce, C.M., Barnes, P.A., McKinley, R.S., 2008. Growth and production of California sea cucumbers
   (*Parastichopus californicus* Stimpson) co-cultured with suspended Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas* Thunberg).
   Aquaculture 275, 124–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AQUACULTURE.2007.12.014
- Papatryphon, E., Petit, J., Kaushik, S.J., van der Werf, H.M.G., 2004. Environmental Impact Assessment of Salmonid Feeds
   Using Life Cycle Assessment (LCA). AMBIO A J. Hum. Environ. 33, 316–323. https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447 33.6.316
- Papatryphon, E., Petit, J., Van Der Werf, H.M.G., Sadasivam, K.J., Claver, K., 2005. Nutrient-balance modeling as a tool for environmental management in aquaculture: The case of trout farming in France. Environ. Manage. 35, 161–174.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-004-4020-z
- Parker, R., 2012. Review of life cycle assessment research on products derived from fisheries and aquaculture : A report for
   Seafish as part of the collective action to address greenhouse gas emissions in seafood. Final Rep. 24.
- Pearson, T.H., Rosenberg, R., 1977. Pearson TH, Rosenberg R.. Macrobenthic succession in relation to organic enrichment and
   pollution of the marine environment. Oceanogr Mar Biol Ann Rev 16: 229-311. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. 16.
- Pelletier, N., Tyedmers, P., Sonesson, U.L.F., Scholz, A., Ziegler, F., Flysjo, A., Kruse, S., Cancino, B., Silverman, H., 2009. Not
   All Salmon Are Created Equal: Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) of Global Salmon Fanning Systems. Environ. Sci. Technol.
   43, 8730–8736.
- Pelletier, N.L., Ayer, N.W., Tyedmers, P.H., Kruse, S. a., Flysjo, A., Robillard, G., Ziegler, F., Scholz, A.J., Sonesson, U., 2007.
   Impact categories for life cycle assessment research of seafood production systems: Review and prospectus. Int. J. Life
   Cycle Assess. 12, 414–421. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-006-0275-3
- Penina Tua Rahantoknam, S., 2017. Maturity Gonad Sea Cucumber *Holothuria scabra* Under The Month Cycle. IOP Conf. Ser.
   Earth Environ. Sci. 89, 12015. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/89/1/012015
- Pete, R., Guyondet, T., Cesmat, L., Fiandrino, A., Bec, B., Richard, M., 2018. Projet CAPATHAU : CAPAcité trophique de la lagune de THAU. Livrable II. Description et évaluation du modèle GAMELag-Conch : modèle d'écosystème lagunaire exploité par la conchyliculture, adapté à la lagune de Thau.
- 971 Pitt, R., Duy, N., 2004. Breeding and rearing of the sea cucumber Holothuria scabra in Vietnam. In: Lovatelli A, Conand C,
  972 Purcell S, Uthicke S, Hamel JF, Mercier A (eds) Advances in sea cucumber aquaculture and management. FAO Fish Tech
  973 Pap 463. Rome.
- Purcell, S., Conand, C., Uthicke, S., Byrne, M., 2016. Ecological roles of exploited sea cucumbers. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. An
   Annu. Rev.
- Purcell, S.W., 2014. Value, Market Preferences and Trade of Beche-De-Mer from Pacific Island Sea Cucumbers. PLoS One 9, e95075. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0095075
- Purcell, S.W., 2004. Criteria for release strategies and evaluating the restocking of sea cucumbers, in: FAO (Ed.), A Lovatelli, C
   Conand, SW Purcell, S Uthicke, JF Hamel & A Mercier (Eds), Advances in Sea Cucumber Aquaculture and Management,
   FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper No. 463. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome,
   pp. 181–191.
- Purcell, S.W., Hair, C.A., Mills, D.J., 2012. Sea cucumber culture, farming and sea ranching in the tropics: Progress, problems and opportunities. Aquaculture 368–369, 68–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.08.053
- Purcell, S.W., Simutoga, M., 2008. Spatio-Temporal and Size-Dependent Variation in the Success of Releasing Cultured Sea
   Cucumbers in the Wild. Rev. Fish. Sci. 16, 204–214. https://doi.org/10.1080/10641260701686895
- 986 Purcell, S.W., Williamson, D.H., Ngaluafe, P., 2018. Chinese market prices of beche-de-mer : Implications for fisheries and

- 987 aquaculture. Mar. Policy 91, 58–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2018.02.005
- 988 Purwati, P., 2006. Reproductive Patterns of *Holothuria Scabra* (Echinodermata: Holothuroidea) in Indonesian Waters. Mar. Res.
   989 Indones. 30, 47–55. https://doi.org/10.14203/mri.v30i0.423
- 990 R Core Team, 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Rasolofonirina, R., Vaitilington, D., Eeckhaut, I., Jangoux, M., 2005. Reproductive cycle of edible echinoderms from the South-Western Indian Ocean. II. The sandfish *Holothuria scabra* (Jaeger, 1833). West. Indian Ocean J. Mar. Sci. 4, 61–75.
   https://doi.org/10.4314/wiojms.v4i1.28474
- Reid, G.K., Chopin, T., Robinson, S.M.C., Azevedo, P., Quinton, M., Belyea, E., 2013. Weight ratios of the kelps, *Alaria esculenta* and *Saccharina latissima*, required to sequester dissolved inorganic nutrients and supply oxygen for Atlantic
   salmon, *Salmo salar*, in Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture systems. Aquaculture 408–409, 34–46.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.05.004
- Reid, G.K., Lefebvre, S., Filgueira, R., Robinson, S.M.C., Broch, O.J., Dumas, A., Chopin, T.B.R., 2018. Performance measures and models for open-water integrated multi-trophic aquaculture. Rev. Aquac. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12304
- Reid, G.K., Liutkus, M., Robinson, S.M.C., Chopin, T.R., Blair, T., Lander, T., Mullen, J., Page, F., Moccia, R.D., 2009. A
   review of the biophysical properties of salmonid faeces: implications for aquaculture waste dispersal models and integrated
   multi-trophic aquaculture. Aquac. Res. 40, 257–273. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2008.02065.x
- Ren, J.S., Stenton-Dozey, J., Plew, D.R., Fang, J., Gall, M., 2012. An ecosystem model for optimising production in integrated multitrophic aquaculture systems. Ecol. Modell. 246, 34–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2012.07.020
- Ren, Y., Dong, S., Qin, C., Wang, F., Tian, X., Gao, Q., 2012. Ecological effects of co-culturing sea cucumber *Apostichopus japonicus* (Selenka) with scallop *Chlamys farreri* in earthen ponds. Chinese J. Oceanol. Limnol. 30, 71–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00343-012-1038-6
- Ren, Y., Dong, S., Wang, F., Gao, Q., Tian, X., Liu, F., 2010. Sedimentation and sediment characteristics in sea cucumber
   *Apostichopus japonicus* (Selenka) culture ponds. Aquac. Res. 42, 14–21. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2010.02483.x
- Riera, R., Pérez, Ó., Cromey, C., Rodríguez, M., Ramos, E., Álvarez, O., Domínguez, J., Monterroso, Ó., Tuya, F., 2017.
   MACAROMOD: A tool to model particulate waste dispersion and benthic impact from offshore sea-cage aquaculture in the Macaronesian region. Ecol. Modell. 361, 122–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.08.006
- Robinson, G., Caldwell, G.S., Jones, C.L.W., Stead, S.M., 2019. The effect of resource quality on the growth of *Holothuria* scabra during aquaculture waste bioremediation. Aquaculture 499, 101–108.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AQUACULTURE.2018.09.024
- Robinson, G., Lovatelli, A., 2015. Global sea cucumber fisheries and aquaculture FAO's inputs over the past few years, FAO
   Aquaculture Newsletter 53. Rome.
- 018 Robinson, G., Pascal, B., 2011. Sea cucumber farming experiences in south-western Madagascar. ACIAR Proc. Ser.
- Robinson, G., Slater, M.J., Jones, C.L.W., Stead, S.M., 2013. Role of sand as substrate and dietary component for juvenile sea
   cucumber *Holothuria scabra*. Aquaculture 392–395, 23–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AQUACULTURE.2013.01.036
- Robinson, S.M.C., Martin, J.D., Cooper, J.A., Lander, T.R., Reid, G.K., Powell, F., Griffin, R., 2011. The Role of Three
   Dimensional Habitats in the Establishment of Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA) Systems. Bull. Aquac. Assoc.
   Canada 109, 23–29.
- Samuel-Fitwi, B., Wuertz, S., Schroeder, J.P., Schulz, C., 2012. Sustainability assessment tools to support aquaculture development. J. Clean. Prod. 32, 183–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2012.03.037
- Sauvant, D., Ponter, A., Institut national de la recherche agronomique, Association Française de zootechnie, Institut National
   Agronomique, 2004. Tables of composition and nutritional value of feed materials: pigs, poultry, cattle, sheep, goats,
   rabbits, horses and fish. Wageningen Academic Publishers, The Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.3920/978-90-8686-668-7
- Slater, M.J., Carton, A.G., 2009. Effect of sea cucumber (*Australostichopus mollis*) grazing on coastal sediments impacted by mussel farm deposition. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 58, 1123–1129. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MARPOLBUL.2009.04.008
- Slater, M.J., Carton, A.G., 2007. Survivorship and growth of the sea cucumber *Australostichopus (Stichopus) mollis* (Hutton 1872) in polyculture trials with green-lipped mussel farms. Aquaculture 272, 389–398.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AQUACULTURE.2007.07.230
- Soetaert, K., Petzoldt, T., Setzer, R.W., 2010. Solving Differential Equations in R: Package deSolve. J. Stat. Softw. 33, 1–25.
   https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v033.i09
- Strain, P.M., Hargrave, B.T., 2005. Salmon Aquaculture, Nutrient Fluxes and Ecosystem Processes in Southwestern New
   Brunswick, in: Hargrave, B. (Ed.), Environmental Effects of Marine Finfish Aquaculture, Handbook Environmental
   Chemistry. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, New York, pp. 29–57. https://doi.org/10.1007/b136003

- Troell, M., Halling, C., Neori, A., Chopin, T., Buschmann, A., Kautsky, N., Yarish, C., 2003. Integrated mariculture: asking the right questions. Aquaculture 226, 69–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00469-1
- Troell, M., Joyce, A., Chopin, T., Neori, A., Buschmann, A.H., Fang, J.-G., 2009. Ecological engineering in aquaculture —
   Potential for integrated multi-trophic aquaculture (IMTA) in marine offshore systems. Aquaculture 297, 1–9.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.09.010
- van der Meer, J., 2006. An introduction to Dynamic Energy Budget (DEB) models with special emphasis on parameter estimation.
   J. Sea Res. 56, 85–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2006.03.001
- Wang, G., Dong, S., Tian, X., Gao, Q., Wang, F., Xu, K., 2015. Life cycle assessment of different sea cucumber (Apostichopus japonicus Selenka) farming systems. J. Ocean Univ. China 14, 1068–1074. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11802-015-2640-y
- Watanabe, S., Kodama, M., Orozco, Z.G.A., Sumbing, J.G., Novilla, S.R.M., Lebata-Ramos, M.J.H., 2015. Estimation of energy
  budget of sea cucumber, Holothuria scabra, in integrated multi-trophic aquaculture., in: M. R. R. Romana-Eguia, F. D.
  Parado-Estepa, N. D. Salayo, & M. J. H. Lebata-Ramos (Eds.), Resource Enhancement and Sustainable Aquaculture
  Practices in Southeast Asia: Challenges in Responsible Production of Aquatic Species: Proceedings of the Internation.
  Tigbauan, Iloilo, Philippines Aquaculture Department, Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center, pp. 307–308.
- Watanabe, S., Kodama, M., Zarate, J.M., Lebata-Ramos, M.J.H., Nievales, M.F.J., 2012. Ability of sandfish (Holothuria scabra)
  to utilise organic matter in black tiger shrimp ponds, in: C. A. Hair, T. D. Pickering, & D. J. Mills (Eds.), Asia-Pacific
  Tropical Sea Cucumber Aquaculture. Proceedings of an International Symposium Held in Noumea, New Caledonia, 15-17
  February 2011 (ACIAR Proceedings No. 136) (Pp. 113-120). ACT: Australian Centre for International Agricultural
  Research, Canberra.
- Vokoyama, H., 2013. Growth and food source of the sea cucumber *Apostichopus japonicus* cultured below fish cages Potential for integrated multi-trophic aquaculture. Aquaculture 372–375, 28–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.10.022
- Vokoyama, H., Tadokoro, D., Miura, M., 2013. Quantification of waste feed and fish faeces in sediments beneath yellowtail pens
   and possibility to reduce waste loading by co-culturing with sea cucumbers: an isotopic study.
   https://doi.org/10.1111/are.12247
- Yu, Z., Hu, C., Zhou, Y., Li, H., Peng, P., 2012. Survival and growth of the sea cucumber *Holothuria leucospilota* Brandt: A comparison between suspended and bottom cultures in a subtropical fish farm during summer. Aquac. Res. 44, 114–124. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2011.03016.x
- Vu, Z., Zhou, Y., Yang, H., Ma, Y., Hu, C., 2014. Survival, growth, food availability and assimilation efficiency of the sea
   cucumber *Apostichopus japonicus* bottom-cultured under a fish farm in southern China. Aquaculture 426–427, 238–248.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.02.013
- Yuan, X., Meng, L., Wang, L., Zhao, S., Li, H., 2016. Responses of scallop biodeposits to bioturbation by a deposit-feeder
   *Apostichopus japonicus* (Echinodermata: Holothuroidea): does the holothurian density matter? Aquac. Res. 47, 512–523.
   https://doi.org/10.1111/are.12511
- Zamora, L.N., Yuan, X., Carton, A.G., Slater, M.J., 2018. Role of deposit-feeding sea cucumbers in integrated multitrophic
   aquaculture: progress, problems, potential and future challenges. Rev. Aquac. 10, 57–74. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12147
- Zhang, J., Kitazawa, D., 2016. Assessing the bio-mitigation effect of integrated multi-trophic aquaculture on marine environment by a numerical approach. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 110, 484–492. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MARPOLBUL.2016.06.005
- 076







Impact per kg of edible protein



Impact per t of fresh aquatic product

