

# **Coral Li/Mg thermometry: Caveats and constraints**

Kristan Cuny-Guirriec, Éric Douville, Stéphanie Reynaud, Denis Allemand, Louise Bordier, Marine Canesi, Claudio Mazzoli, Marco Taviani, Simonepietro Canese, Malcolm Mcculloch, et al.

# **To cite this version:**

Kristan Cuny-Guirriec, Éric Douville, Stéphanie Reynaud, Denis Allemand, Louise Bordier, et al.. Coral Li/Mg thermometry: Caveats and constraints. Chemical Geology, 2019, 523, pp.162-178. 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2019.03.038 hal-02434889

# **HAL Id: hal-02434889 <https://hal.science/hal-02434889>**

Submitted on 15 Jan 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# **Coral Li/Mg thermometry: caveats and constraints**

Kristan Cuny-Guirriec<sup>1\*</sup>, Eric Douville<sup>1</sup>, Stéphanie Reynaud<sup>2</sup>, Denis Allemand<sup>2</sup>, Louise Bordier<sup>1</sup>, Marine Canesi<sup>1</sup>, Claudio Mazzoli<sup>3</sup>, Marco Taviani<sup>4,5,6</sup>, Simonepietro Canese<sup>7</sup>, Malcolm McCulloch<sup>8</sup>, Julie Trotter<sup>9</sup>, Serguei Damián Rico-Esenaro<sup>10</sup>, Joan-Albert Sanchez-Cabeza<sup>11</sup>, Ana Carolina Ruiz-Fernández<sup>12</sup>, Juan P. Carricart-Ganivet<sup>13</sup>, Pete M. Scott<sup>8</sup>, Aleksey Sadekov<sup>8</sup>, Paolo Montagna<sup>4,14</sup>

- **1** Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, LSCE/IPSL, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France.\*kristan.cuny@lsce.ipsl.fr
- **2** Centre Scientifique de Monaco, 8 quai Antoine Ier, 98000 Monaco, Monaco
- **3** Dipartimento di Geoscienze, Universita` degli Studi di Padova, Via G. Gradenigo 6, 35131 Padova, Italy
- **4** Institute of Marine Sciences (ISMAR), CNR, Via Gobetti 101, Bologna, Italy
- **5** Biology Department, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, 266 Woods Hole Road, Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA
- **6** Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, Villa Comunale, 80121 Naples, Italy
- **7** ISPRA, Via Brancati 48, 00144 Rome, Italy
- **8** Oceans Graduate School, the UWA Oceans Institute, and the ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, Australia
- **9** School of Earth Sciences and the UWA Oceans Institute, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, Australia
- **10** Posgrado en Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Av. Ciudad Universitaria 3000, C.P. 04510 Coyoacán, Ciudad de México, Mexico
- **11** Unidad Académica Procesos Oceánicos y Costeros, Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad Universitaria, 04510 México, Mexico
- **12** Unidad Académica Mazatlán, Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Av. Joel Montes Camarena S/N, 82040 Mazatlán, Mexico
- **13** Laboratorio de Esclerocronología de Corales Arrecifales, Unidad Académica de Sistemas Arrecifales en Puerto Morelos, Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Prol. Av. Niños Héroes S/N, Puerto Morelos, Q. Roo 77580, Mexico
- **14** Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, 61 Route 9W, Palisades, NY 10964, USA

**Published in:** *Chemical Geology* **(2019)**

#### **Abstract**

The coral Li/Mg temperature proxy is revisited through an in-depth trace element analysis of scleractinians collected live from tropical to polar environments. The dataset consists of Li/Ca, Mg/Ca, Sr/Ca and Li/Mg ratios from 64 coral specimens belonging to 8 different taxa, including both reefbuilding zooxanthellate and coldwater non-zooxanthellate species, from a wide range of water temperature (−1 to 29.5 °C), salinity (34.71 to 38.61), and depth (3 to 670 m). Our results showed that the reliability of the Li/Mg temperature proxy is strongly limited by the organic matter associated with the coral skeleton, which is most evident within the green bands observed in tropical corals. Organicrich bands can double the Mg content otherwise present in the skeleton, which may ultimately lead to a temperature overestimation exceeding 15 °C. We found that this bias can be overcome by the treatment of coral skeletons with a specific oxidizing cleaning protocol. We also detected the presence of calcite deposits within the aragonite skeleton of some Antarctic living coral specimens, which strongly affects the robustness of the Li/Mg proxy given its temperature sensitivity of  $\sim$ 1.5 °C/1% calcite. Therefore, to obtain reliable reconstructions a correction needs to be applied when organic matter and/or calcite contamination is present, which requires the scrupulous assessment of the integrity of the aragonite prior to geochemical analyses. Given that some species entrap more organic matter than others, and that some are more prone to calcite contamination, a taxon-related effect is apparent. Here we show that the tropical species *Porites* spp., *Pseudodiploria strigosa* and *Orbicella annularis*, and the cold-water species *Madrepora oculata*, *Caryophyllia antarctica* and *Flabellum impensum*, are all suitable candidates for reconstructing seawater temperatures. The integrated results across a wide temperature range, from extreme cold to tropical shallow waters, yield an overall precision for the Li/Mg-temperature proxy of  $\pm$  1.0 °C, as quantified by the standard error of estimates. If calculated from the 95% prediction intervals, the uncertainty of the temperature estimates is  $\pm$  0.9 °C at 1 °C, ± 1.5 °C at 12 °C and ± 2.6 °C at 25 °C. However, the uncertainty for the tropical corals (e.g. *Porites*) can be reduced to ± 0.6 °C if a Li/Mg and Sr/Ca multi-regression approach is applied.

# 1. Introduction

Earth's ecosystems are increasingly threatened by multiple stressors related to human activities (Ripple et al., 2017). The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change has recently warned about the specific threat of CO2 release and consequent increasing temperatures (Allen et al., in press). This acceleration in global warming has highlighted the need for improved climate projections by examining historical instrument data and reconstructing paleoclimate records from natural archives. Paleoclimate reconstructions can help to overcome the absence of long and continuous instrumental climate records that are needed to evaluate the likely impacts and consequences of climate change and global warming on the environment and ecosystem. It is therefore crucial to develop effective climate proxies to obtain better paleoclimate reconstructions. A number of proxies have been developed to evaluate temperatures in the upper ocean system, which include faunal assemblages (e.g. Mix et al., 1999), alkenones in sediments (e.g. Muller et al., 1998), Mg/Ca (e.g. Dekens et al., 2002) and  $\delta^{18}$ O (e.g. Bemis et al., 1998) in planktonic foraminifera, Sr/Ca and Mg/Ca in tropical corals (e.g. Correge, 2006; Mitsuguchi et al., 1996), and more recently clumped isotopes (Ghosh et al., 2006). However, relatively few proxies have been developed for deep/cold water environments (Montagna et al., 2014). In general, the effectiveness of geochemical proxies can be compromised by complications induced mainly by the physiology of the organism, and diagenetic processes. The Li/Mg ratio in aragonite foraminifera and corals was established as a proxy to reconstruct seawater temperatures (Bryan and Marchitto, 2008; Case et al., 2010; Raddatz et al., 2013; Montagna et al., 2014). Its use gained support as it was considered to be only moderately affected by coral physiology or by fine-scale skeletal variations (e.g. centres of calcification vs. fibrous aragonite), and hence largely independent of species controls, so it could be applied across a wide range of environments and species. A general exponential calibration curve showed a direct relationship between coral Li/Mg ratios and ambient seawater temperature, for both cold-water and tropical corals across a temperature range of 0.75–28 °C, with an uncertainty in the Li/Mg-derived temperature of  $\pm$  0.9 °C (Hathorne et al., 2013; Montagna et al., 2014). However, some uncertainties remain, such as the mechanisms of Li and Mg incorporation in the skeleton during the bio-mineralization process, the microstructure-related Li/Mg variations, and the mechanisms explaining the ultimate link with temperature (Montagna et al., 2014; Rollion-Bard and Blamart, 2015; Marchitto et al., 2018). More recently, advantages of a multi-proxy approach combining Li/Mg and Sr/Ca have provided more accurate temperature reconstructions by reducing apparent species effects (Fowell et al., 2016; D'Olivo et al., 2018; Zinke et al., 2019). The present study aims to resolve some of the uncertainties that can affect the reliability of Li/Mg as a temperature proxy in corals. In particular, we discuss the effects of organic matter in the coral skeleton, which is known to alter the coral geochemistry and hence bias climate reconstructions (Boiseau and Juillet-Leclerc, 1997; Mitsuguchi et al., 2001; Watanabe et al., 2001; Barker et al., 2003; Holcomb et al., 2015). Organic matter found in corals may have various origins, including the polyp-derived skeletal organic matrix that is believed to act as a template for initial crystal nucleation (e.g. Cuif et al., 2008; Mass et al., 2013; Takeuchi et al., 2016), the organic membranes of the living polyps, the deposition of bacteria or algal symbionts rich in chlorophyll, and seawater-sourced organic matter entrapped in the coral skeleton (DeCarlo et al., 2018). Although the effect of organic matter on geochemical proxies, such as Mg/Ca, Sr/Ca,  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{11}$ B, is relatively well-documented (Mitsuguchi et al., 2001; Watanabe et al., 2001), little is known about its effect on the Li/Ca and Li/Mg ratios (Holcomb et al., 2015). Finally, we discuss the purity of the skeletal aragonite mineralogy, including calcite contamination as a factor influencing the fidelity of the Li/Mg proxy. The calibration curve of Montagna et al. (2014) is expanded here to include extreme temperatures using new Li/Mg data, from both laser and solution-based ICP-MS analyses of corals from sub-zero temperature waters in Antarctica. We also further evaluate the general link existing between coral Li/Mg and Sr/Ca ratios and the ambient seawater temperature, and the validity of using Li/Mg and Sr/Ca multiregressions to reduce uncertainties on reconstructed temperatures (Fowell et al., 2016; D'Olivo et al., 2018).

# 2. Samples and Methods

# 2.1. Coral samples

We investigated 64 scleractinian corals, both zooxanthellate (*n*=47) and non-zooxanthellate (*n*=17), collected live from the Mediterranean Sea, Caribbean Sea, Pacific Ocean, and Ross Sea, from waters spanning a wide range in temperature (−1 to 29.5 °C), salinity (34.71 to 38.61), and depth (3 to 670 m) (Table 1, Fig. 1). We examined 11 different species of 8 different genera: *Porites* spp., *Orbicella faveolata*, *Orbicella annularis*, *Pseudodiploria strigosa*, *Madrepora oculata*, *Javania antarctica*, *Flabellum impensum*, *Flabellum gardineri*, *Caryophyllia antarctica*, and *Paraconotrochus antarcticus*  (Table 1). Seawater temperature data at the sampling locations were obtained from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer Optimum Interpolation Sea Surface Temperature version 2 (AVHRR-OISSTv2, 0.25° grid; Banzon et al., 2016; Reynolds et al., 2007) for shallow-water corals, and fromNOAA WOA13v2 (0.25° grid) for specimens living below 10m depth (Boyer et al., 2013). When available, we used in situ temperature data that had been acquired using CTD (conductivity, temperature, depth) profiles near the coral sampling site (Table 1). Salinity data for all the sampling locations were obtained from the NOAA WOA13v2 dataset. Seawater temperature data from the AVHRR-OISSTv2 database cover the same period corresponding to the coral portion sub-sampled for geochemistry (i.e. the last 4–6 years, see below).





#### *2.1.1. Reef-building zooxanthellate corals*

Cores were collected from 10 living *Porites* sp. coral colonies along the intertropical zone of the Pacific Ocean using an underwater hydraulic drill, during the TARA Pacific expedition (2016–2018). These colonies were sampled at various depths, from 3m at Moorea Island down to 20m at Wallis Islands, and mean seawater temperatures, from 22.4 °C near Easter Island to 29.0 °C near Wallis Island. In November 2015, 25 short cores were extracted from *Porites lobata* colonies living in the lagoonal Uitoe and Dumbea Fausse passe reefs, New Caledonia, between 3 and 51 m, within a temperature range of 22.4–26.6 °C. These *P*. *lobata* colonies were exposed to different levels of sunlight irradiance, with 3 colonies (06, 08 and 28) having portions growing under conditions of variable intensity, from shady to direct sunlight, and two colonies (11.5 and 15.5) growing entirely under shady conditions. Short cores (~10 cm long) were collected in 2017 with a Nemo underwater drill system from 12 living *Orbicella* spp. (*n*=9) and *P*.*strigosa* (*n*=3) coral colonies growing at 5m water depth, within a fewmeters long transect, in Puerto Morelos Reef, northeast coast of the Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico (Fig. 1). The average SST at the sampling site was 27.9 °C, and temperatures ranged between 26.4 °C in winter and 29.3 °C in summer (Table 1). The *Porites* spp., *Orbicella* spp., and *P*. *strigosa* cores were imaged using a DISCOVERY CT750 HD computerized tomography scanner with 0.62mm resolution, to identify high and low density growth bands. Scans were conducted at Platform DOSEO, CEA-Paris-Saclay. The cores

were then cut along the major growth axis with a rock saw and the skeletal portion corresponding to the last 4–6 years (2010–2016), based on density banding, was sub-sampled for trace element analysis using a fine diamond saw. In addition, skeletal aragonite was collected along the thecal wall of four *O. faveolata* and two *P*. *strigosa* cores using a small diamond bur, carefully avoiding extrathecal material (Table 1).

# *2.1.2. Cold-water non-zooxanthellate corals*

A small living colony of the framework-forming cold-water coral, *Madrepora oculata*, was collected from the Sardinia Channel (Mediterranean Sea) at 432m water depth using a Remotely Operated Vehicle on the R/V *Urania* during the RECORD 2014 cruise (Taviani et al., 2017). The seawater temperature was obtained from a CTD profile acquired close to the sampling location and was compared to the value sourced from the WOA13v2 dataset to obtain the long-term temperature variability (13.8  $\pm$  0.1 °C). The topmost portion of one of the branches, corresponding to ~1 year of skeletal growth (Orejas et al., 2011), was carefully cleaned using a fine diamond saw to remove any organic material residues, and sub-sampled in 6 different aliquots of ~50 mg each. The other living non-zooxanthellate corals investigated in this study were collected from the Ross Sea (Antarctica) at 389 and 670m water depth during the XVII (2002) and XXXII (2017) Antarctic campaigns aboard the R/V *Italica* and include 5 different species: *Javania antarctica*, *Caryophyllia antarctica*, *Flabellum impensum*, *Flabellum gardineri* and *Paraconotruchus antarcticus*. The temperatures at the sampling sites are −0.76 ± 0.05 °C and 0.93 ± 0.05 °C. The topmost portion of the skeleton of each specimen, corresponding to ~5–10 years of growth based on growth estimates (Henry and Torres, 2013; Fallon et al., 2014), was sub-sampled and carefully cleaned using a diamond dental disc.

Each of the skeletal portions extracted from the zooxanthellate and non-zooxanthellate coral samples was finely crushed in an agate mortar, thoroughly mixed, and separated into two aliquots of ~50–100 mg that were transferred to acid-cleaned vials. An aliquot of each sample was cleaned through an oxidative cleaning step (Section 2.2.) before being dissolved and analysed for trace elements. The remaining aliquots of the Caribbean Sea and New Caledonia samples were rinsed with MilliQ water (18Ω) and dissolved in 0.5 N HNO<sub>3</sub>. The comparison between "cleaned" and "uncleaned" aliquots allowed us to evaluate the potential effects of organic contamination on the trace element compositions, and specifically on the Li/Mg temperature proxy.



**Table 1.** Geographic coordinates and depth of the coral sampling locations, with corresponding seawater temperature and salinity values.





#### 2.2. Chemical treatment

Most trace elements measured in coral skeletons commonly used as proxies for climate reconstructions are biased by the presence of organic matter embedded in the carbonate matrix, or adsorbed on the skeletal surface (Amiel et al., 1973a, 1973b; Allison, 1996). In addition, high concentrations of chlorophyll, associated with the endolithic algae of the genus *Ostreobium* (Le Campion-Alsumard et al., 1995; Masse et al., 2018), may also affect geochemical signals. However, the impact of chlorophyll on the Li/Mg temperature proxy has not yet been quantified. In this study, different cleaning protocols were tested in order to remove Mg and Li associated with organic contamination and surface adsorption, without removing the fraction incorporated into the coral aragonite matrix (Mitsuguchi et al., 2001; Watanabe et al., 2001). The cleaning protocols were tested on two samples of *O*. *faveolata* collected live from Puerto Morelos, one characterized by green bands (2–5mm thick, mainly developed at ~1.5 cm from the surface) indicating the presence of *Ostreobium* algae ("contaminated" sample), and the other "clean" sample with no apparent green band was used as a control. In parallel, we applied the same cleaning protocols on an inhouse *Porites* standard (M1Pp) to assess the possible alteration of the initial elemental composition of the skeleton. Samples were finely crushed and split into 5 groups, each consisting of 3 subsamples of ~60 mg transferred to acidcleaned vials. All groups were cleaned with MilliQ water in an ultrasonic bath for 30s, repeated twice (protocol 1).

Subsequently, groups 2, 3, 4 and 5 underwent specific chemical treatments: group 2 was cleaned with a mixture of 1% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> buffered with 0.1M NH<sub>4</sub>OH (protocol 2a); group 3 with a mixture of 5%  $H_2O_2$  buffered with 0.2M NH<sub>4</sub>OH (protocol 2b); group 4 with a mixture of 15% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> buffered with 0.5M NH4OH (protocol 2c); and group 5 was treated with 5% NaOCl solution in an ultrasonic bath for 5 min, then rinsed with MilliQ water several times (protocol 3). During treatments, groups 2, 3 and 4 were placed in a water bath at 60 °C for 20 min and then rinsed with MilliQ several times. Between each step, vials containing the powder and the cleaning solution were centrifuged at 2320 G for 3 min and the supernatant discarded. After cleaning treatments, samples were dried at 40 °C and dissolved in 0.5 N HNO<sub>3</sub> to obtain 100 ppm Ca solutions that were analysed by ICP-MS (Section 2.3). Based on the Li/Ca, Mg/Ca and Li/Mg results (Section 3), we decided to apply the cleaning protocol 2c to all the other samples.

#### 2.3. Analytical methods

# *2.3.1. Solution quadrupole ICP-MS*

Cleaned coral samples were dissolved in  $0.5$  N HNO<sub>3</sub> (Optima Fisher Ultra Trace Element Analysis, 67%) and analysed using a Quadrupole ICP-MS X-Series II at LSCE (Gif-sur-Yvette, France). <sup>7L</sup>i, <sup>24</sup>Mg,  $43,44$ Ca,  $86,87,88$ Sr, and  $238$ U isotopes were selected to quantify their elemental concentration in the skeleton following Montagna et al. (2014). Briefly, elemental analysis was performed through a standard addition protocol, using commercial SCP Sciences mono- or multi-standards Inorganic Venture solutions; all standard and sample solutions were diluted to obtain 100 ppm Ca concentration. Data quality was controlled by the analysis of five external carbonate standards (Aragonite AK, RS3, coral JCp1, clam JCt-1 and M1P-p). Replicate analyses of the standards were made after 5 or 10 samples to correct for instrumental drift. Typical mean values and external reproducibility (1σ RSD) obtained by multiple analyses (*n*=13) of M1P-p during several analytical sequences were: Li/Ca=6.05 μmol/mol (±1.17%), Mg/ Ca=4.35 mmol/mol (±0.52%), Sr/Ca=9.02 mmol/mol (±0.44%), U/Ca=1.10 μmol/mol (±1.00%) and Li/Mg=1.39 mmol/mol (±0.68%). The reproducibility was improved by a factor of 2 compared to the protocol described by Montagna et al. (2014), thus reducing the uncertainties from quadrupole ICP-MS measurements in the Li/Mg temperature calculations. This improvement resulted from a better control of the memory effect and blank contribution by limiting the Li concentration to 100 ppb for the most enriched standard solution. In addition, the acquisition statistic and the reading time of the Li and Mg signals were substantially increased by doubling the number of sweeps and limiting the number of isotopes analysed. Finally, sample solutions were systematically bracketed by the analysis of blanks every five or ten samples (not every sample), increasing the stability of the analytical sequences.

#### *2.3.2. Laser ablation ICP-MS*

Four cold-water coral samples from the Ross Sea were also analysed by laser ablation ICP-MS to investigate micro-scale geochemical variations related to coral microstructures (centres of calcification *vs.* fibrous aragonite) or the presence of mineralogical phases other than aragonite. The topmost portion of the samples was embedded in epoxy resin, glued to a glass slide and lapped to a final thickness between 50 and 100 μm. The thin sections were analysed using a 193 nm ArF excimer laser system (Teledyne® G2) connected to a SF-ICP-MS (Thermo Scientific™ Element XR) at the University of Western Australia. The isotopes 7Li, 25Mg, 43Ca, 86Sr and 238U were selected for analysis and calibrated with the standard JCp-1, which was analysed as a recrystallized powder pellet showing identical values to those from solution-based analysis (Sadekov et al., 2019). External reproducibility (1σ RSD) assessed by analysing the JCp-1 standard was 14.6% for Li/Ca, 5.7% for Mg/Ca and 14.4% for Li/Mg. Analyses were conducted on different skeletal portions using a 80 μm spot at 8 Hz with a laser fluence of 4 J/cm2, and a dwell time of 30 ms. Data processing and outlier rejection follows Langer et al. (2015).

# *2.3.3. XRD and mRaman spectroscopy*

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) analyses were performed on five Antarctic samples at the Center of Analyses and Services for Certification (CEASC) of the University of Padova using a PANalytical X'Pert 3 Powder diffractometer (Bragg Brentano θ-θ geometry) equipped with Cu X-ray tube, operating at 40 kV and 40 mA, sample spinner, BBHD mirror and solid-state detector (PixCel). Powdered samples were evenly spread on a silicon zero background sample holder. Scans were performed over the range 3 to 80° 2θ with a virtual step size of 0.017° 2θ and counting times of 100 s per step. The software program High Score Plus 4 (PANalytical) was used for phase identification and quantitative phase analysis with Rietveld refinement. Refined parameters were: (i) scale factors; (ii) zero-shift; (iii) background; (iv) lattice constant; (v) profile parameters (Gaussian and Lorentzian coefficients). The detection limit for the majority of the mineral phases is around 1%. Samples GRC-02-014 (*P*. *antarcticus*) and GRC-02-056 (*F*. *gardineri*) were also analysed using mRaman spectroscopy. Aragonite and calcite were discriminated, and their spatial distribution mapped for the different L (libration lattice mode) peak in their Raman spectra, which is located at about 206 cm−1 and 282 cm−1, respectively. Spectra were recorded in the frequency range 100–3500 cm−1 using a Thermo Scientific™ DXR™ Raman Microscope with a 780 nm, a 50× long working distance (LWD) objective, at ~5mW of power and a 25 μm pinhole, obtaining ~2.5 cm−1 spectral resolution and 1.1 μm spatial resolution. To optimize the signal to noise ratio, 6 scans of 30 s were acquired per spectrum.

# 3. Results

# 3.1. Chemical treatment

Fig. 2 and Table S1 show the results of the different cleaning protocols applied to the two *O*. *faveolata* samples from Puerto Morelos, one "contaminated" by organic matter rich bands (Fig. 3), and another "clean" without a green band used as control sample. The average Mg/ Ca ratio of the subsamples that were treated only with MilliQ water is particularly high for the sample with the green band (7.32  $\pm$  0.14 mmol/mol) compared to the control sample (4.26  $\pm$  0.01 mmol/mol), and significantly affects the Li/Mg ratio.

The application of the four treatments (2a, 2b, 2c and 3) effectively reduced the Mg/Ca ratio by 20 to 35% in the "contaminated" sample but did not alter the skeletal composition of the M1P-p *Porites* standard (< 1%) and only slightly that of the "clean" *O*. *faveolata* specimen (< 4%) (Table S1). The Li/Ca ratio decreased by ~7%, ~6% and < 1% in the "contaminated", "clean" and M1P-p standard, respectively.



**Figure 2.** Li/Ca, Mg/Ca, Li/Mg and Sr/Ca ratios obtained from *O. faveolata* samples as a function of different cleaning protocol tests (1: MilliQ water rinse; 2a: 1% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> + 0.1M NH<sub>4</sub>OH ; 2b: 5% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> + 0.2M NH<sub>4</sub>OH; 2c: 15% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> + 0.5M NH<sub>4</sub>OH; 3: 5% NaOCl.). The contaminated sample (black dots) was characterized by a distinct green band indicating the presence of *Ostreobium* algae, whereas the control sample (grey dots) did not show any visible green band. Each value is the average ( $\pm$  $1\sigma$  SD) of 3 subsamples of coral powder (see table S1).

The decrease in Mg/Ca and Li/Ca ratios in the "clean" sample might be due to the presence of organic material residues that are not visible. The most appropriate cleaning protocol was selected based on the largest decrease in Mg/Ca ratio and the lowest inter-samples variability in the "contaminated" sample. Accordingly, protocol 2c, with samples treated with  $15\%$  H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> buffered with 0.5M NH4OH, seems the most efficient and was systematically adopted to clean all the other coral samples (Fig. 2).



**Figure 3.** Picture of the MEX 05 *Orbicella faveolata* sample with well-evident greenish bands on the skeleton surface, associated with organic matter incorporation.

# 3.2. Zooxanthellate corals

#### *3.2.1. Porites sp.*

The 25 samples of *P*. *lobata* from New Caledonia lagoon yielded Li/Ca, Mg/Ca and Li/Mg ratios ranging from 6.07 to 7.43 μmol/mol, 3.52 to 4.33 mmol/mol, and 1.57 to 1.84 mmol/mol, respectively (Table 2). The oxidative cleaning did not significantly affect Me/Ca ratios for those samples, resulting in a mean Li/Ca, Mg/Ca and Li/Mg decrease of 1.5, 0.7 and 0.9%, respectively. The Li/Mg-derived temperatures are consistent with surface seawater temperature, but underestimate deeper waters temperatures (up to −2°C at 44 m) (Fig. 4). Nevertheless, the application of the cleaning protocol 2c led to slight reductions in the reconstructed temperatures between uncleaned (ΔT=−2.4 to 0.5 °C) and cleaned (ΔT=−2.0 to 0.6 °C) samples, where ΔT represents the shift between ambient seawater temperature and Li/Mg-reconstructed temperature, using exponential equation described by Montagna et al. (2014).



Table 2. Li/Ca, Mg/Ca, Li/Mg and Sr/Ca values of zooxanthellate and non-zooxanthellate corals based on solution ICP-MS analysis. Li/Mg-derived temperature are calculated using Montagna et al. (2014) equation. Trec-T<sub>in-situ</sub> is the difference between the reconstructed and the instrumental temperature.







**Figure 4.** Depth profile of the ambient mean annual seawater temperature in New Caledonia from WOA13, plotted together with reconstructed temperatures from the Li/Mg exponential equation described by Montagna et al. (2014), and the multiproxy equation calculated using the Li/Mg and Sr/Ca values from the TARA *Porites* sp. samples (this study). Light and dark blue envelopes represent the seasonal and interannual WOA13 temperature variability, respectively.

The cleaned *Porites* spp. samples from the TARA Pacific expedition show a larger geochemical variability compared to cleaned specimens from New Caledonia, with Li/Ca, Mg/Ca and Li/Mg ranging from 5.58 to 6.92 μmol/mol, 3.60 to 5.30 mmol/mol, and 1.18 to 1.92 mmol/mol respectively. These ranges are similar to those found in *Porites* from Ogasawara Island in Japan and Tahiti (Hathorne et al., 2013), and in the tropical Pacific (Montagna et al., 2014). However, they differ from the *Porites* samples analysed by Rollion-Bard and Blamart (2015) that are characterized by higher Li/Ca (6.1 to 21.6 μmol/mol) and Mg/Ca (3.05 to 8.10 mmol/mol), which they relate to the possible influence of centres of calcification (COC) enriched in both ratios. The Li/Mg-derived temperatures from the TARA samples generally agree with ambient seawater values, with most of the reconstructed temperatures deviating by<1–1.5 °C (Table 2). However, three samples display larger variations (≥2 °C).

#### *3.2.2. Orbicella sp. and P. strigosa*

The cleaned *Orbicella* spp. samples from the Mexican Caribbean show smaller Li/Mg variability (0.53 mmol/mol) than *Porites* spp. (0.75 mmol/mol). Variability is largely controlled by the Mg/Ca contents(4.04 to 5.89 mmol/mol), as Li/Ca ratios are rather stable (6.28 to 6.72 μmol/mol). The Mg/Ca and Li/Ca ratios of the *P*. *strigosa* samples (Mg/Ca: 3.68 to 4.55 mmol/mol; Li/Ca: 5.91 to 6.44 μmol/mol) are lower than values in *Orbicella* spp., and the Li/Mg ratios show lower variability (0.19 mmol/mol).

The oxidative cleaning of the Mexican coral species had a strong impact on trace elements. The mean loss of Mg/Ca for *Orbicella* spp. Was up to 25%, while Li was less affected by cleaning (< 1% of loss), resulting in a mean Li/Mg enrichment of ~26%. For *P*. *strigosa*, the mean Mg/Ca loss was<4%, leading to a Li/Mg enrichment of ~3% (Table 2, Table S2). The influence of organic matter related to the green band on the Li/Mg-derived temperatures is clearly detectable. The cleaning protocol induces a strong decrease in Mg/Ca that leads to more realistic temperature estimates, and reduces the difference between the reconstructed and in situ temperatures (Table 2).

# 3.3. Non-zooxanthellate corals

#### *3.3.1. Javania antarctica*

The *J*. *antarctica* samples show Li/Ca, Mg/Ca and Li/Mg ratios ranging from 15.11 to 16.22 μmol/mol, 2.66 to 3.62 mmol/mol, and 4.48 to 5.95 mmol/mol, respectively. As for *Orbicella* spp., the larger variability is observed for Mg/Ca (11%, 1σ RSD), while Li/Ca is relatively stable (2%, 1σ RSD). The difference between Li/Mg-derived and ambient temperatures varies from −2.9 to 2.9 °C (Table 2). XRD analyses of *J*. *antarctica* sample GRC-02-001 revealed it has a pristine aragonite skeleton without any detectable calcite (Fig. 5).

### *3.3.2. Paraconotrochus antarcticus*

The *P*. *antarcticus* samples show quite different Li/Ca, Mg/Ca and Li/Mg values, with sample GRC-02-014 showing the highest Li/Ca (19.40  $\pm$  0.05  $\mu$ mol/mol) and Mg/Ca (7.49  $\pm$  0.02 mmol/mol) and the lowest Li/Mg value (2.59  $\pm$  0.08 mmol/mol), leading to an excessively warm estimated temperature (15  $\pm$  0.9 °C) (Table 2). XRD analysis of this sample revealed the presence of 5.3  $\pm$  1.0% of Mg-calcite (Fig. 5). 2D mRaman analysis was used to locate the Mg-calcite within the coral skeleton and showed the presence of diagenetic calcite filling a former cavity created by a microborer (Fig. 6). This cavity is 100–150 μm wide and can be few mm long, meandering within the living portion of the

coral aragonite (Fig. 6). The LA-ICP-MS analysis of the calcite portion is consistent with high values of Li/Ca (70.83  $\pm$  39.15 µmol/mol) and Mg/Ca (67.08  $\pm$  42.47 mmol/mol), resulting in low Li/Mg (1.06  $\pm$ 0.24 mmol/mol) and anomalously high Li/Mg-derived temperature (> 33 °C) (Table S3). The adjacent fibrous aragonite shows much lower values of Li/Ca (13.19  $\pm$  3.05  $\mu$ mol/mol) and Mg/Ca (2.68  $\pm$  0.51 mmol/mol) and higher Li/Mg (4.92 ± 0.39 mmol/mol) that corresponds to a Li/Mg-derived temperature of  $1.9 \pm 1.8$  °C, closer to the ambient temperature value (0.93  $\pm$  0.05 °C).



**Figure 5.** XRD spectra of the Antarctic samples GRC-02-001, GRC-02-002, GRC-02-014, GRC-02-015 and GRC-02-056. Large high-Mg calcite peaks are observed for samples GRC-02-014, GRC 02-015 and GRC-02-056.

Two other *P*. *antarcticus* samples show lower Mg/Ca values, leading to Li/Mg-derived temperatures of 3.6 and 3.8 (±0.9 °C), which are closer to the ambient seawater value, but still overestimated by ~3 °C. XRD analysis of the GRC-02-015 sample revealed the presence of ~0.9% of Mgcalcite.

#### Position (micrometers) -2650 -2600 -2550 -2500 -2450 -2400 -2350 -2300 -2250 -2200 -2150 -210



**Figure 6.** (left) Cross-section of the thecal wall of the sample GRC-02-014 (*P. antarcticus*), seen under the plane-polarized light. The thecal wall is composed of aragonite fibres radiating in different directions. The micro-structure in the center of the image seems a former cavity created by a microborer that was subsequently filled by diagenetic calcite. (right) 2-D mRaman map of the area delimited by the rectangle. Red and green colours identify pure calcite and aragonite, respectively.

# *3.3.3. F. impensum and F. gardineri*

The *F*. *gardineri* sample GRC-02-056 has anomalously high Li/Ca (25.04 ± 0.05 μmol/mol) and Mg/Ca (17.45  $\pm$  0.02 mmol/mol) ratios, resulting in a very low Li/Mg value (1.43  $\pm$  0.01 mmol/mol) for cold-water corals from the polar regions (cf. Case et al., 2010; Montagna et al., 2014). The Li/Mgderived temperature appears to be the most biased, due to the high-Mg/Ca content, resulting in reconstructed temperatures of 27.1  $\pm$  0.9 °C, which over-estimates the in situ temperature (0.93  $\pm$ 0.05°C) by >26 °C. Conversely, the *F*. *impensum* samples GRC-02-002 and CARBONANT34 have Li/Ca values of 12.95 ± 0.07 and 12.70±0.07 μmol/mol, and Mg/Ca of 2.59 ± 0.02 and 2.29 ± 0.02 mmol/mol, resulting in Li/Mg ratios of 5.00 ± 0.01 and 5.55 ±0.02 mmol/mol, respectively, which are similar to the value reported by Montagna et al. (2014) (5.22 ± 0.06 mmol/mol) for a *F*. *impensum* specimen collected live from the Balleny islands and growing at 0.75 °C. Sample GRC-02-056 contains 16.5 ± 1% of Mgcalcite (Fig. 5), whereas no calcite was detected in the sample GRC-02-002. Similar to the *P*. *antarcticus*  sample GRC-02-014, 2D mRaman analysis showed the presence of diagenetic calcite filling a former cavity. This calcite, analysed by LA-ICP-MS, contains very high values of Li/Ca (92.23 ± 13.90 μmol/mol) and Mg/Ca (107.86  $\pm$  5.30 mmol/mol), and very low Li/Mg (0.85  $\pm$  0.09 mmol/mol), leading to a high Li/Mg-derived temperature (> 37 °C) (Table S3). The values of Li/Ca (18.85 ± 1.90 μmol/mol), Mg/Ca  $(3.70 \pm 0.36 \text{ mmol/mol})$ , and Li/Mg  $(5.09 \pm 0.61 \text{ mmol/mol})$  of the adjacent fibrous aragonite, are similar to those obtained from samples of *J*. *antarctica* and *P*. *antarcticus*. The Li/Mg-derived temperature of the fibrous aragonite is  $1.2 \pm 2.5$  °C.

#### *3.3.4. Caryophyllia antarctica*

The *C*. *antarctica* sample GRC-02-024 shows Li/Ca and Mg/Ca values (12.98 ± 0.07 μmol/mol,  $2.65 \pm 0.02$  mmol/mol) that are higher than those obtained from sample GRC-02-003 of the same species(9.95 ± 0.07 μmol/mol; 2.00 ± 0.02 mmol/mol). The Li/Mg ratio is similar in both samples (4.89 and  $4.98 \pm 0.02$  mmol/mol) yielding reconstructed temperatures that are very close to the ambient seawater value.

#### *3.3.5. Madrepora oculata*

The element/Ca ratios of the *M*. *oculata* sample from the Mediterranean Sea are reported as the average ± 1σ SD of 6 different aliquots sub-sampled from the same polyp (Table 2). The Li/Ca, Mg/Ca and Li/Mg values are  $10.72 \pm 0.10$  µmol/mol,  $3.81 \pm 0.09$  mmol/mol and  $2.82 \pm 0.05$  mmol/mol, respectively, with a Li/Mg-derived temperature being only 0.4 °C different from the in situ value.

# 4. Discussion

# 4.1. Effects of the organic matrix on the coral geochemistry

Most of the *Orbicella* samples collected live from the Mexican Caribbean revealed distinct 2– 5mm thick green bands (Fig. 3), related to the presence of the endolithic green algae *Ostreobium*  (Tribollet, 2008; Quiroga Garcia, 2014; Masse et al., 2018). The analyses of coral sub-samples containing the green bands show high levels of Mg/Ca, associated with relatively stable Li/Ca, leading to unusually low Li/Mg values for tropical corals (e.g. 0.59 mmol/mol for MEX 13 compared to ~1.5 mmol/mol for corals living at similar temperatures; Montagna et al., 2014), and temperatures overestimated by >15 °C (Table S2).

The difference between the Li/Mg-derived temperature and the ambient seawater is mostly attributed to the Mg/Ca ratio (Fig. 7). Conversely, specimens of *P. strigosa* from the same environment are not affected by green bands, and the levels of Mg/Ca and Li/Mg are similarto those for *Porites* spp. (this study; Hathorne et al., 2013; Montagna et al., 2014; D'Olivo et al., 2018; Zinke et al., 2019) and *Siderastrea siderea* (Fowell et al., 2016). Once treated with the oxidative protocol, the *Orbicella* spp. and *P*. *strigosa* samples show a reduction in Mg/Ca by >25% and ~4%, respectively, suggesting that Mg is strongly linked to the presence of the green band. In particular, for the *O*. *faveolata* samples MEX 05 and MEX 13, which are characterized by a very distinct green band (Fig. 3), the Mg/Ca reduction was up to 36%. This value is similar to that reported for *Montastrea faveolata* (now *O*. *faveolata*) by Watanabe et al. (2001) who suggested that 40% of Mg can be absorbed in organic matter at the surface of the crystals.

Conversely, the chemical treatment did not affect the Li/Ca content, being reduced by only 1% for both genera. This is indicative that the green band is due to the presence of chlorophyll, as the photosynthetic pigment in *Ostreobium* (Jeffrey, 1968) incorporates Mg in its molecular structure. In contrast, the band is not enriched in Li, which is not a chlorophyll component, leading to a significant modification of the initial skeletal Li/Mg ratio. Those results differ from Fowell et al. (2016), who reported a decrease of 25% in Li/Ca due to the removal of organic matter after cleaning with  $1\%$  H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> buffered in 0.1M NH4OH. This difference may be explained by a different origin of the organic matter, which can be attributed to skeletal tissue in Fowell et al. (2016), and chlorophyll from the *Ostreobium*  algae in our study.

Other studies focused on Li/Ca and Li/Mg ratios in corals applied very different cleaning protocols. Case et al. (2010) cleaned the samples (only cold-water corals) using the oxidative and reductive steps of Cheng et al. (2000); Montagna et al. (2014) applied a sequence of oxidative and reductive steps following Lomitschka and Mangini (1999); Hathorne et al. (2013) dissolved samples without any precleaning treatment; Raddatz et al. (2013) used a small quantity of 30% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to clean the skeleton of the cold-water coral *Lophelia pertusa*; and D'Olivo et al. (2018) and Zinke et al. (2019) bleached *Porites* coral slabs in 6% reagent-grade NaClO solution for 24 h.

Overall, our cleaning protocol significantly improved the reconstructed temperatures, reducing the difference with ambient seawater values to <3 °C, except for samples MEX 05 and MEX 13 (~5 °C) (Table 2). The incomplete removal of organic matter in those samples strongly marked by green bands could explain this larger shift. Our protocol thus appears to be the most efficient in removing Mg associated with green bands, giving Li/Mg ratios closer to published values for tropical corals. However, reconstructed temperatures can still be up to 3 °C lower than expected for some samples (e.g. MEX 06 and MEX 15) (Table 2), which cannot be easily explained by organic-bound Mg. Part of this difference could be related to the uncertainty of the Li/Mg vs. temperature calibration (Montagna et al., 2014) at the high (tropical) temperatures, but it also reveals a potential speciesspecific effect regarding the amount of COC-like structures, which is known to be enriched in Mg and can also alter the Li/Mg ratio (Montagna et al., 2014; Rollion-Bard and Blamart, 2015).

The cleaning treatment did not affect the Li/Ca, Mg/Ca and Li/Mg ratios of the *P*. *lobata*  samples from New Caledonia, which is consistent with results from the cleaning test of the M1P-p *Porites* standard (Table S1), suggesting either that the amount of organic matter entrapped in the skeleton of this genus is much less than that present in *O*. *faveolata*, or the organic component in *Porites* contains little (or no) chlorophyll.

Moreover, similar pre- and post-cleaning geochemical values for the New Caledonia samples confirm that our cleaning protocol did not alter the skeletal composition. These results differ somehow from those reported by Montagna et al. (2014) that showed a systematic and comparable % decrease in Li/Ca and Mg/Ca ratios after applying different cleaning steps to the JCp-1 *Porites* standard, all involving a weak acid treatment (i.e. 0.01 and 0.05 N HNO<sub>3</sub>). However, the Li/Mg ratio did not change between treated and untreated samples. We speculate that the decrease in Li/Ca and Mg/Ca ratios in Montagna et al. (2014) was due to the weak acid treatment that might have selectively attacked COC and/or fibres.

Overall, our results show a possible species-specific effect. Indeed, despite being collected from the same site, thus implying similar environmental conditions, *Orbicella* spp. and *P*. *strigosa* differ in relation to the organic matter incorporated, or the nature of this organic component. The genus *Orbicella* seems particularly affected by the organicbound Mg content, confirming the results of Jones et al. (2015) who obtained high Mg/Ca values (~4.6 mmol/mol) for *O*. *annularis* from the Southern Gulf of Mexico. Conversely, *P*. *strigosa* and *Porites* appear to be more suitable for paleo-temperature reconstructions based on the Li/ Mg proxy.



**Figure 7.** Li/Ca, Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca values for chemically-treated (black dots) and untreated (grey dots) *Orbicella* sp. samples, plotted against the difference between the reconstructed and instrumental temperature ( $T_{rec} - T_{in-situ}$ ). Li/Mg-reconstructed temperatures were calculated using Montagna et al. (2014) equation.

# 4.2. Effect of diagenetic calcite on the Li/Mg proxy

Antarctic samples GRC-02-014 (*P*. *antarcticus*), and GRC-02-056 (*F*. *gardineri*) show high Mg/Ca values despite being treated with the oxidative cleaning (Table 2). This suggests that the anomalous Mg in these corals is not bound to the organic component oxidized by hydrogen peroxide, and therefore may be associated with calcite. Furthermore, high Mg/Ca values correspond to higher Li/Ca ratios (and relatively lower Sr/Ca), with both ratios showing a strong correlation (*R*=0.85, *p*-*value* < 0.001). A selection of five Antarctic cold-water corals was therefore analysed by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) and mRaman spectroscopy to identify the presence of carbonate phases other than aragonite. XRD revealed variable amounts of calcite, from 0.9 to 16.5% (Fig. 5), while mRaman allowed precise location of the calcite at micrometer scale within the coral skeleton (Fig. 6). The presence of diagenetic calcite was previously observed in live corals, notably in *Porites* sp. (Lazareth et al., 2016), and is known to bias paleoclimate reconstructions from Sr/Ca or Mg/Ca ratios (McCulloch et al., 1996; McGregor and Gagan, 2003; Nothdurft et al., 2007). However, only a few studies have evaluated the impact of calcite on the Li/Mg ratio.

Lazareth et al. (2016) showed that Li/Mg in a *Porites* in Vanuatu has a higher sensitivity to calcite compared to Sr/Ca. These biases are notably due to a considerable enrichment of Mg content in skeleton infilled by diagenetic calcite (Berner, 1975; Allison et al., 2007; Nothdurft et al., 2007; Bryan and Marchitto, 2008; Hathorne et al., 2011; Lazareth et al., 2016). XRD analysis revealed the presence of high-Mg calcite in the skeleton of samples GRC-02-015 (0.9%), GRC-02-014 (5.3%) and GRC-02-056 (16.5%), whereas this carbonate phase was below the detection limit for samples GRC-02-001 and GRC-02-002. Consequently, the Mg/ Ca in high-Mg calcite-contaminated samples is much higher than those of pristine samples, which reduces the Li/Mg ratio as previously observed for *Porites* sp. (Lazareth et al., 2016). Li/Mg decreases linearly with the amount of calcite (R<sup>2</sup>=0.89, p-value < 0.001) (Fig. 8c) in response to a steeper increase of Mg/Ca compared to Li/Ca (Fig. 8a, b). Sr/Ca shows a weak decrease with the amount of calcite (Fig. 8d).



**Figure 8.** Variation of Li/Ca, Mg/Ca, Sr/Ca and Li/Mg ratios as a function of high-Mg calcite percentage for the Antarctic samples GRC-02-001, GRC-02-002, GRC-02-014, GRC-02-015 and GRC-02-056. Data from *Porites sp.* Me/Ca vs %Calcite from Lazareth et al. (2016) are shown for comparison.

We can estimate the effect of the Mg-calcite on the different Me/Ca ratios based on the following equations:



Mg/Ca and Li/Ca increase by 0.89 mmol/mol and 0.67 μmol/mol/% calcite respectively, leading to a decrease in Li/Mg of 0.21 mmol/mol/% calcite, which corresponds to a Li/Mg-derived temperature sensitivity of ~+1.5 °C/% calcite for the Antarctic cold-water coral samples (Fig. 9). This is much higher than the temperature sensitivity estimated for the *Porites* sp. in Lazareth et al. (2016) (+0.27 °C/% calcite; Fig. 9).



**Figure 9.** Difference between the reconstructed and instrumental temperature ( $T_{rec} - T_{in-situ}$ ) as a function of the percentage of high-Mg calcite in Antarctic corals (this study) and *Porites* sp. (Lazareth et al., 2016). Li/Mg-reconstructed temperatures were calculated using Montagna et al. (2014) equation.

This difference is likely related to a different composition of the calcite at both sites (e.g. high-Mg vs. low-Mg calcite), precipitated under very different environmental conditions, but further analyses are needed to support this hypothesis. The Sr/Ca ratio is less impacted by the presence of diagenetic calcite, as observed in Lazareth et al. (2016), showing a slight decrease of 0.03 mmol/mol/% calcite. Taking advantage of such strong linear correlations between Li/Ca and Mg/Ca with % of calcite  $(R^2$  =0.95 and 0.99, respectively) (Fig. 8), corrections were applied to Li/Mg ratios based on the presence of calcite.

This correction is based on the assumption that calcite is the only source of anomalous Mg and Li. By normalizing the two intercepts of Li/Ca and Mg/Ca at 0% of calcite (14.51 ± 0.70 μmol/mol and  $2.74 \pm 0.13$  mmol/mol, respectively), a Li/Mg (0% calcite) ratio of 5.30  $\pm$  0.51 mmol/mol is obtained. This corresponds to a reconstructed temperature of 0.4  $\pm$  2.1 °C, which is similar to the ambient seawater temperature of 0.9 °C. The difference between the Li/Mg-derived temperature and the ambient seawater temperature for the sample showing the highest calcite value (GRC-02-056; 16.5%) is thus significantly reduced, from 26.2 ± 2.4 °C to 0.5 ± 2.1 °C. Similar calculations for *Porites* sp. from Vanuatu provide average corrected temperatures of 27.8 ± 2.1 °C (1σ SD, *n*=7), which is consistent with in situ annual mean value of  $27.9 \pm 0.5$  °C (Lazareth et al., 2016; Fig. 10).

Although the calcite contribution on the Li/Mg proxy can be reasonably corrected based on a linear regression model, it is important to note that regression coefficients might depend on a series of different factors, including the Mg content of the infilling calcite (i.e. high-Mg vs. low-Mg calcite),

and specific regression lines need to be derived for each case study, making this approach problematic. Here, we emphasise the limitations of the Li/Mg-temperature proxy when utilising both live and fossil coral samples that contain traces of diagenetic calcite and suggest possible solutions rather than attempt to provide robust 'universal' equations. This approach might be employed when working with particularly valuable samples, such as the Antarctic aragonite cold-water corals, rather than discarding samples with detectable calcite.

As calcite within the aragonite skeleton can be located using both mRaman and optical microscopy (Fig. 6), high resolution analysis using laser ablation ICP-MS can be adopted to target pristine aragonite and hence avoid calcite contamination. We focused the laser beam on both the fibrous aragonite and the calcite vein of two Antarctic corals (*F*. *gardineri* GRC-02-056 and *P*. *antarcticus* GRC-02-014) (Fig. 6). The Li/Ca and Mg/Ca values of the calcite vein are much higher than those in the fibrous aragonite (Table S3), and are consistent with values obtained by extrapolating the linear regressions for Li/Ca (Eq. (1)) and Mg/Ca (Eq. (2)) to 100% calcite (81.51  $\pm$  9.7 µmol/mol and 91.74 ± 2.13 mmol/mol, respectively). The Li/Mg-derived temperature is ~1.5 °C for the aragonite portion and ~35 °C for the calcite vein (Fig. 10, Table S3).

This value is close to the Li/Mg-derived temperature using the linear regression extrapolated to 100% of calcite (~37 °C). Although, laser ablation micro-scale analysis of corals contaminated by calcite appears as a promising method to reconstruct reliable temperature by targeting only pristine aragonite portions, it would not necessarily avoid the issue of organic contaminants. An effective strategy might be to chemically clean coral slabs before analysing the pristine aragonite portions by laser ablation (see D'Olivo et al., 2018). This approach should be tested in the future to assess whether the organic-bound Mg content is totally removed before laser analysis.



**Figure 10.** Ambient and reconstructed temperatures from the Antarctic cold-water corals and *Porites* sp. (Lazareth et al., 2016) affected by diagenetic calcite. Temperatures values were derived from the Li/Mg-T exponential equation reported in Montagna et al. (2014), using the Li/Mg data obtained from the solution-based ICP-MS analysis and the laser ablation ICP-MS analysis of the aragonite and calcite portions, as well as the extrapolated Li/Ca and Mg/Ca values at 0% of calcite ("Linear regression"; see text).

#### 4.3. Depth and light conditions

The 25 *P. lobata* samples collected in New Caledonia along a depth gradient (from 3 to 51 m) were studied in order to investigate the potential effect of depth and light exposure on proxies as recently proposed (e.g. Reynaud et al., 2007; Dissard et al., 2012; Thil et al., 2016).

The Li/Mg ratios of these samples do not appear to be affected neither by organic matter nor by the presence of calcite. The Li/Mg-derived temperature, based on the general equation of Montagna et al. (2014), shows an average value of 23.7 ± 0.9 °C (1σ SD, *n*=25) that matches well the ambient seawater temperature (24.4 ± 0.2 °C, average ± 1SD of the first 51m of the water column) (Fig. 4), with a coherent signal of decreasing temperature with depth. Although the Li/Mg-derived temperature shows accurate values compared to ambient seawater for the upper 28 m, with a moderate offset of −0.4 ± 0.6 °C relative to WOA13 v2 temperatures, reconstructed temperatures differ below 35 m, with a greater temperature offset of −1.6 ± 0.6 °C between 35 and 51m (Table 2, Fig. 4). This underestimation of reconstructed temperature with depth may be attributed to the decrease of light availability along the water column. Impact of light on coral proxies (e.g. Sr/Ca, B/Ca,  $δ<sup>18</sup>O, δ<sup>11</sup>B)$  has been highlighted previously (e.g. Cohen et al., 2001; Reynaud et al., 2007; Dissard et al., 2012; Juillet-Leclerc et al., 2014; Thil et al., 2016; Juillet-Leclerc et al., 2018), but its effect on Li/Mg proxy is still to be documented.

To confirm the potential effect of light on Li/Mg accuracy, some *Porites* colonies were collected at various depths (6, 8, 11.5, 15.5 and 28 m; Table 2) under permanent shady conditions. Reconstructed

temperatures from these colonies tend to slightly underestimate the ambient values, with a mean shift of −0.8 ± 0.5 °C (*n*=4) for the upper water column (3–15.5 m) and larger underestimation observed for *Porites* at 15.5m (−1.5 ± 0.6 °C). Such temperature difference is comparable to the values calculated from samples growing in deeper water (35–51 m). Shallow-water samples (3–15.5 m) exposed to direct sunlight show negligible temperature difference (0.0 ± 0.7 °C) (*n*=6).

These observations confirm the possible influence of light on the Li/Mg ratio as already observed for other geochemical proxies, thus underestimating temperatures with increasing depth/shady conditions, and may represent a source of uncertainty for paleo-reconstructions from shallow water corals. This needs to be corroborated further with other field data (*e.g.* corals collected along a depth profile), and/or through culture experiments at different light conditions.

#### 4.4. Refining the Li/Mg calibration and the use of a multi-proxy approach

Most of the existing exponential and linear Li/Mg-temperature calibrations are not well constrained for the very low polar temperatures (< 1 °C), especially owing to the paucity of coral living in extreme subfreezing conditions (Case et al., 2010; Hathorne et al., 2013; Raddatz et al., 2013; Montagna et al., 2014; Fowell et al., 2016; D'Olivo et al., 2018; Zinke et al., 2019), with the only notable exception being the *F*. *impensum* specimen analysed by Montagna et al. (2014). New Antarctic samples investigated in the present study represent a unique opportunity to extend the general Li/Mgtemperature equation described by Montagna et al. (2014) to temperatures lower than 1 °C.

A revised exponential regression was obtained by combining the Li/ Mg values of all the corals reported in Montagna et al. (2014) with those from the samples analysed in the present study, excluding the ones showing detectable traces of calcite and green bands to avoid integrating anomalous values in the calculation (Fig. 11). The regression analysis was also limited to the two datasets and excluded other less well constrained literature data to minimize differences in the analytical techniques and uncertainties associated with different sample treatments applied before the analysis (e.g. different cleaning protocols or coral sub-sampling).



**Figure 11.** Li/Mg ratios vs. ambient seawater temperature for the chemically-cleaned zooxanthellate and non-zooxanthellate corals analysed in the present study (red symbols), excluding the samples showing detectable traces of calcite and green bands, plotted together with literature data (Case et al., 2010; Raddatz et al., 2013; Hathorne et al., 2013; Montagna et al., 2014; Rollion-Bard and Blamart, 2015 and Fowell et al., 2016). The grey and red dashed lines show the 95% confidence and prediction intervals, respectively. Local SST values from the OGA and Tahiti coral sites were obtained from the Tokyo Metropolitan Ogasawara Fisheries Center and Gerard (1992), respectively. For further details, refer to Hathorne et al. (2013).

The new multi-species exponential regression is:

Li/Mg (mmol/mol) = 5.38 (±0.04) exp (-0.048±0.001 x T) (5) (R² = 0.99, *p-value* < 0.001)

The slope and intercept of the exponential relationship and their respective errors were calculated using a weighted linear regression between seawater temperature and the natural logarithm of Li/Mg values, considering both Li/Mg and temperature uncertainties (Thirumalai et al., 2011).

The revised general exponential regression is identical, within the error, to the equation calculated by Montagna et al. (2014). The overall precision, based on the standard error of estimates, is  $\pm$  1.0 °C. If derived from the 95% prediction intervals, the uncertainty of the temperature estimates is  $\pm$  0.9 °C at 1 °C,  $\pm$  1.5 °C at 12 °C and  $\pm$  2.6 °C at 25 °C. Due to the exponential relationship in Eq. (5), the uncertainty becomes larger when the function becomes asymptotic at higher tropical temperatures. Therefore, the application of a general multi-species exponential equation to tropical corals appears to have strong limitations, and linear regression models restricted to the narrow SST range of the tropical species (22–30 °C) maybe more appropriate (Hathorne et al., 2013; Fowell et al., 2016; D'Olivo et al., 2018; Zinke et al., 2019).

Previous studies have highlighted a possible effect of the coral microstructures (COC and fibrous aragonite) on the Li/Mg paleothermometer (Case et al., 2010; Montagna et al., 2014; Rollion-Bard and Blamart, 2015; Marchitto et al., 2018). In particular, COC and fibres have different Li/Mg content, with the COC being generally depleted in Li/Mg compared to the fibres due to a stronger enrichment in Mg compared to Li (Case et al., 2010; Montagna et al., 2014; Marchitto et al., 2018). Similarly, it has been shown that coral Sr/Ca has a microstructure-related pattern, with some studies reporting a preferential Sr/Ca enrichment in COC (e.g. Cohen et al., 2001; Allison et al., 2005) and others showing depleted values (e.g. Shirai et al., 2005) or not much variability between COC and fibres (e.g. Allison et al., 2001; Gagnon et al., 2007). Although these studies used different analytical techniques and investigated different coral species (zooxanthellate and non-zooxanthellate), making results difficult to compare, there do not seem to be a systematic pattern for Sr/Ca in COC vs. fibres. Several hypotheses have been put forward to explain the mechanisms of incorporation of trace elements in COC and fibres and are reviewed in other recent studies (e.g. Rollion-Bard and Blamart, 2015).

Given that Li/Mg and Sr/Ca ratios depend on both temperature and the amount of COC-fibres within the skeleton, they can be combined as a multi-proxy approach to minimize the microstructure effect. Three recent studies have applied a similar approach using Li/Mg and Sr/Ca, or a combination of Sr/Ca, Mg/Ca and Li/Ca to evaluate potential colony specific offsets in SST sensitivity for the tropical corals *Siderastrea siderea* (Fowell et al., 2016) and *Porites* spp. (D'Olivo et al., 2018; Zinke et al., 2019), which showed a slight improvement and a greater confidence in the SST reconstructions compared to individual proxies. Here we have combined Li/Mg and Sr/Ca using the values from the TARA *Porites* sp. samples that span a large temperature range (from ~22 to 29 °C) to obtain the following equation:

$$
SST("C) = 48.437 \left( \pm 1.321 \right) - 8.291 \left( \pm 0.376 \right) \times Li/Mg - 1.092 \left( \pm 0.106 \right) \times Sr/Ca
$$
 (6)  
(R<sup>2</sup> = 0.82, *p*-value < 0.001)

which has a root mean square error of 1.03 °C, which is slightly better than the value of 1.4 °C calculated using the exponential equation by Montagna et al. (2014) on the same samples (Fig. 12). Once applied to the New Caledonia *P*. *lobata* samples, the multi-proxy equation provides reconstructed temperatures that are closer to the ambient seawater annual mean values, with a root mean square error of 0.6 °C, compared to 1.0 °C using the exponential equation by Montagna et al. (2014) (Fig. 13). This implies that using the combination of Li/Mg and Sr/Ca in *Porites* can effectively reduce the uncertainty of temperature reconstructions and yield values closer to ambient seawater temperatures.

However, we did not extend the multi-proxy approach to the entire dataset given the poor sensitivity of Sr/Ca to temperature in coldwater scleractinian corals, with the Sr/Ca ratio being mainly controlled by Rayleigh fractionation processes (Cohen et al., 2006).



**Figure 12.** SST ranges from AVHRR-OISST v2, and reconstructed from the *Porites*sp. samples from the TARA Pacific expedition using the Li/Mg-T exponential equation reported in Montagna et al. (2014), and the Li/Mg – Sr/Ca multi-proxy approach (see text, Eq. 6). Uncertainties on regressions are  $\pm 1.4^{\circ}$ C and  $\pm 1.03^{\circ}$ C for the Li/Mg and multi-proxy derived temperatures, respectively.



**Figure 13.** Ambient and reconstructed temperatures from the *Porites lobata* samples from New Caledonia. Temperature values were derived from the Li/Mg-T exponential equation reported in Montagna et al. (2014), and from the Li/Mg – Sr/Ca multi-proxy approach (see text). Uncertainties on regressions are  $\pm 1.0^{\circ}$ C and  $\pm 0.6^{\circ}$ C for Li/Mg and multi-proxy derived temperatures, respectively.

# 4.5. Quality criteria for the Li/Mg proxy

Although we confirm the strong relation of the Li/Mg ratio with seawater temperature based on multi-species exponential calibration from Montagna et al. (2014) (Fig. 11), this study clearly shows that the presence of organic matter, especially that related to endolithic green algae, has a strong effect on the Mg/Ca ratio and hence on the Li/Mg proxy. Thus, organics need to be removed using an oxidative cleaning method as described herein. Furthermore, intra-skeletal calcite deposition in live and fossil corals, even in low concentrations (< 1%), can bias the Li/Mg-reconstructed temperature and needs to be quantified and accounted for. However, given that the error associated with corrections can be large, it is more effective to screen the samples for the presence of calcite by XRD or mRaman. Samples with intra-skeletal calcite can nevertheless be useful to reconstruct paleo-temperatures if the Li/Mg ratios are obtained by laser ablation ICP-MS on pristine fibrous aragonite identified by mRaman.

We have determined criteria that can be useful to assess the quality of the Li/Mg data for paleotemperature reconstructions: as the Sr/Ca and Li/Mg are both temperature-dependant in shallowwater zooxanthellate corals, they should display a very good correlation (*R*=0.88, *p*-*value* < 0.001; using data from D'Olivo et al. (2018), Fowell et al. (2016), Hathorne et al. (2013), Raddatz et al. (2013) and Montagna et al. (2014), and the cleaned and calcite-free samples data from this study) (Fig. 14). To first order, the quality of the samples can be evaluated using plots of Sr/Ca versus ln(Li/Mg) values as shown in Fig. 14. Samples with values outside the 99% prediction bands should be discarded as they would induce a difference between reconstructed and ambient temperatures >3 °C.



Figure 14. Paired Sr/Ca vs. In (Li/Mg) values for all the chemically-cleaned samples analysed in the present study (red squares), plotted together with data from Hathorne et al. (2013) (Sr/Ca values are from Felis et al., 2009), Raddatz et al. (2013), Montagna et al. (2014), Fowell et al. (2016) and D'Olivo et al. (2018). Linear regression and 99% prediction intervals were calculated using all the available data, excluding the samples with detectable calcite.

# 5. Conclusions

This study confirms the strong relationship of aragonite coral Li/Mg with ambient seawater temperature for samples collected live from shallow tropical waters to sub-zero temperatures Antarctic water.

However, the reliability of Li/Mg ratios is also affected by the contamination of organic matter within green bands linked to *Ostreobium* algae, and diagenetic calcite within the coral skeleton. Both contaminants are enriched in Mg (and to a lesser degree in Li), leading to unusually low Li/Mg values and hence, to an overestimation of the reconstructed temperature that can be as large as 15–30 °C. We tested different cleaning protocols to remove the green algal band material, with the most effective chemical treatment being a mix of 15%  $H_2O_2$  and 0.5M NH<sub>4</sub>OH. Calcite contamination quantified by XRD can be corrected using the strong linear relationships between Mg/Ca and Li/Ca with the percentage of calcite. However, this requires that XRD must be undertaken on the same powdered samples used for geochemistry, which limits this approach. In contrast, the use of laser ablation ICP-MS together with careful fine-scale observations by optical microscopy or mRaman, can potentially overcome the problem of diagenetic calcite by targeting only pristine aragonite portions.

The revised Li/Mg vs. temperature calibration across contrasting environments, from Antarctic to tropical, yields a regression standard error of ± 1.0 °C. However, owing to the exponential nature of the calibration, large uncertainties are obtained for tropical corals. For these, a multi-linear regression calibration of Li/Mg and Sr/Ca vs. SST shows an identical regression standard error (± 1.0 °C) for *Porites* sp. or better (± 0.6 °C) for *P*. *lobata* samples from New Caledonia.