



HAL
open science

Transfer matrix of a truncated cone with viscothermal losses: application of the WKB method

Augustin Ernoult, Jean Kergomard

► **To cite this version:**

Augustin Ernoult, Jean Kergomard. Transfer matrix of a truncated cone with viscothermal losses: application of the WKB method. 2020. hal-02428009v1

HAL Id: hal-02428009

<https://hal.science/hal-02428009v1>

Preprint submitted on 4 Jan 2020 (v1), last revised 12 May 2020 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Transfer matrix of a truncated cone with viscothermal losses: application of the WKB method

Augustin Ernoult¹⁾, Jean Kergomard²⁾

¹⁾ Magique 3D TEAM, Inria Bordeaux Sud Ouest, 200 avenue de la vieille tour, 33405 Talence Cedex, France

²⁾ Corresponding author, Aix Marseille Univ., CNRS, Centrale Marseille, LMA UMR 7031, Marseille, France

Summary

The propagation equation in truncated cones with visco-thermal effects is a classical problem of the acoustics of wind instruments. It is derived in the frequency domain, at the second order of the asymptotic expansion with respect to the Stokes number. Using the generalization of the Euler equation due to Zwikker and Kosten, the transfer matrix of a truncated cone is computed.

The canonical expression of the propagation equation (without term with the derivative of the first order) is shown to be extremely simple. It allows using the WKB method, which is applied at both the zeroth and the second orders. The accuracy of the results is compared to that of a numerical method based upon the division in short conical frustums with uniform visco-thermal effects. As a result, the WKB second order is very good at higher frequencies, and even the zeroth order. Conversely, at low frequencies, the errors are not negligible. The instrument considered is a simplified bassoon, because of the large amount of visco-thermal losses for very small radii and low frequencies. For this instrument, the WKB zeroth order seems to be better than the second. This is due to a slow convergence of the WKB expansion for this particular case. The zeroth order can be kept if the length of the missing cone is large compared to the wavelength. Finally, a simplified version of the zeroth order is based on the weak influence of visco-thermal effects on the value of the characteristic impedance. Its simplicity seems to be a satisfactory compromise.

1 Introduction

The calculation of the transfer matrix of wind instrument resonators is an ancient problem. The most general model is one dimensional, based on the horn equation, written either in plane or in spherical waves. A classical difficulty is the effect of boundary layers in tubes with variable cross section area, which depends on the radius. For this reason, no analytical expression for the transfer matrix of a truncated cone with thermo-viscous losses was established yet. The general idea is to divide the resonator into frustums of cylinders (see [1]). Another method is to use conical frustums with boundary layer effects independent of the radius [2, 3]. The aim of the present paper is to use the well known WKB (Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin) method, in order to reduce the computing time by limiting the division of the resonator to one segment. For this purpose, it is necessary to state the wave equation with viscothermal effects without term of first-order (space) derivative. Reducing the computing time is not useful for a single input impedance computation, but this becomes useful for applications such as optimization processes, when numerous input impedance computations are requested.

All calculations are done in the frequency domain. In Section 2, the classical derivation of the transfer matrix of a lossless, truncated cone is briefly reminded, for spherical and plane waves. In Section 3 the result of the Zwikker and Kosten (ZK) theory [4] is used. The second order with respect to the Stokes number is considered. The Stokes number is the ratio of the radius to the boundary layer thickness, which is inversely proportional to the square root of the frequency.

In Section 4 reference numerical results are sought by studying their convergence when dividing the cone with an increasing number of conical frustums. Because losses (and dispersion) are particularly strong in instruments with low frequencies and narrow radii, the input impedance of a simplified bassoon is the main example considered.

Then, in Section 5, the WKB method is used in order to find approximate solutions of the Helmholtz equation with losses. In Section 6, these solutions lead to an original expression of the transfer matrix, which allows the number of segments to be reduced to one. In Section 7 the different formulas are compared and discussed. Section 8 proposes simplified, approximate formulas for both the spherical and the plane wave approximation, and Section 9 presents a conclusion.

2 Helmholtz equation and transfer matrix for truncated cones

2.1 Geometry

Consider a truncated cone of length L , input radius R_1 , output radius R_2 . If a spherical surface (wavefront) is assumed, the curvilinear abscissa r is preferred. The radius is $R = r \sin \vartheta$, where ϑ is the half-angle at the apex. The length $L = r_2 - r_1$ is equal to $(R_2 - R_1) / \sin \vartheta$. The area of the spherical wavefront is $\Sigma = 2\pi r^2 [1 - \cos \vartheta] = 2\pi R^2 / [1 + \cos \vartheta]$.

If a planar wavefront is assumed, the longitudinal coordinate denoted x is used, with $R = x \tan \vartheta$. The length $\ell = x_2 - x_1$ is equal to $L / \cos \vartheta$. The area of the planar wavefront is simply $S = \pi R^2$. For small angles, the two expressions of the area are equivalent, at the second order of ϑ .




Figure 1: Sketch of a conical tube. $L = r_2 - r_1 = \ell / \cos \vartheta$.

2.2 Spherical waves

In the frequency domain, $k = \omega/c$ is the wavenumber (c is the speed of sound, ω the angular frequency). For spherical wavefront, the Helmholtz equation for the acoustic pressure $P(r)$ is exactly derived from the 3D Helmholtz (lossless) equation. It is written as:

$$\frac{d^2(rP)}{dr^2} + k^2(rP) = 0 \quad (1)$$

and the general solution is:

$$Pr = Q^+ e^{-jkr} + Q^- e^{jkr} \quad (2)$$

Using the Euler equation, $\partial_r P = -jk\rho cV$, where ρ is the air density, the velocity V is given by

$$V\rho cr = Q^+ e^{-jkr} - Q^- e^{jkr} + \frac{P}{jk}, \quad (3)$$

The flow rate $U = \Sigma V$ is deduced. Two intermediate variables are defined:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P} &= Q^+ e^{-jkr} + Q^- e^{jkr} \\ \hat{U} &= Q^+ e^{-jkr} - Q^- e^{jkr} \end{aligned}$$

with the following relationships:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{P} \\ \hat{U} \end{pmatrix}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos kL & j \sin kL \\ j \sin kL & \cos kL \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{P} \\ \hat{U} \end{pmatrix}_2 \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{P} \\ \hat{U} \end{pmatrix}_{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{jk} & r \frac{\rho c}{\Sigma} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P \\ U \end{pmatrix}_{1,2} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} P \\ U \end{pmatrix}_{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{r} & 0 \\ \frac{\Sigma}{\rho c} \frac{1}{jkr^2} & \frac{\Sigma}{\rho c} \frac{1}{r} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{P} \\ \hat{U} \end{pmatrix}_{1,2} \quad (6)$$

The product of the 3 matrices lead to the following expression of the transfer matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} P \\ U \end{pmatrix}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P \\ U \end{pmatrix}_2; \quad (7)$$

$$A = \frac{r_2}{r_1} \cos(kL) - \frac{\sin(kL)}{kr_1}; \quad (8)$$

$$D = \frac{r_1}{r_2} \cos(kL) + \frac{\sin(kL)}{kr_2}; \quad (9)$$

$$B = \frac{\rho c}{\Sigma_2} \frac{r_2}{r_1} j \sin(kL); \quad (10)$$

$$C = (AD - 1)/B.$$

$$A = \sqrt{\frac{Z_{c1}}{Z_{c2}}} \left[\cos X + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{kq_2} \frac{Z'_{c2}}{Z_{c2}} \sin X \right]; \quad (11)$$

$$D = \sqrt{\frac{Z_{c2}}{Z_{c1}}} \left[\cos X - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{kq_1} \frac{Z'_{c1}}{Z_{c1}} \sin X \right]; \quad (12)$$

$$B = \frac{1}{k} \sin X \sqrt{\frac{Z_{v1} Z_{v2}}{u_1 u_2}} \quad (13)$$

The value of C is obtained by using reciprocity. It can be rewritten in order to use directly for the two kinds of cones (either diverging or converging):

$$A = \frac{R_2}{R_1} \cos(kL) - \frac{\sin(kL)}{kL} \frac{R_2 - R_1}{R_1}; \quad (14)$$

$$D = \frac{R_1}{R_2} \cos(kL) + \frac{\sin(kL)}{kL} \frac{R_2 - R_1}{R_2}; \quad (15)$$

$$B = \frac{\rho c}{\pi R_1 R_2} \frac{1 + \cos\vartheta}{2} j \sin(kL); \quad (16)$$

The denominator tends to $\pi R_1 R_2$ for cylinders (R/r tends to zero). In what follows, it is replaced by this limits in the expression of the transfer matrices.

2.3 Plane waves

If plane wavefronts are assumed, the previous derivation remains valid if r is replaced by x , L is replaced by ℓ , and Σ is replaced by S . This yields:

$$A = \frac{R_2}{R_1} \cos(k\ell) - \frac{\sin(k\ell)}{k\ell} \frac{R_2 - R_1}{R_1}; \quad (17)$$

$$D = \frac{R_1}{R_2} \cos(k\ell) + \frac{\sin(k\ell)}{k\ell} \frac{R_2 - R_1}{R_2}; \quad (18)$$

$$B = \frac{\rho c}{\pi R_1 R_2} j \sin(k\ell); \quad (19)$$

This expression was given in [7], and in another form, in [8].

3 ZK theory and Helmholtz equation with losses

3.1 Equations of the ZK theory for cylindrical tubes; extension to plane waves in conical tubes

In this paper, we use the Zwicker and Kosten theory [4], written in the form of a telegraphist equation, such as:

$$dP/dx = -Z_v(x)U; \quad (20)$$

$$dU/dx = -Y_t(x)P. \quad (21)$$

For a cylinder, the parameters by unit length are Z_v and Y_t the series impedance and shunt admittance, respectively. They are constant. The well known expression of the transfer matrix is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= D = \cos K\ell \\ B &= jZ_c \sin K\ell. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The propagation constant K and the characteristic impedance Z_c are:

$$K = -j(Z_v Y_t)^{1/2} \text{ and } Z_c = (Z_v/Y_t)^{1/2} \quad (23)$$

The theory is based upon the (excellent) approximation that the pressure is planar, independent of the abscissa x . The parameters are:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_v &= j\omega\rho z_v/S \\ Y_t &= j\omega y_t S/(\rho c^2) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

with:

$$z_v = \left[1 - \frac{2}{k_v R} \frac{J_1(k_v R)}{J_0(k_v R)} \right]^{-1}; \quad (25)$$

$$y_t = 1 + (\gamma_h - 1) \frac{2}{k_v R} \frac{J_1(k_t R)}{J_0(k_t R)}. \quad (26)$$

γ_h is the ratio of the specific heats. k_v and k_t are the viscous and thermal wavenumbers, respectively:

$$k_v = \sqrt{-\frac{j\omega\rho}{\mu}}; \quad k_t = \sqrt{-\frac{j\omega\rho C_p}{\kappa}}. \quad (27)$$

μ is the viscosity, κ the thermal conductivity, and C_p is the specific heat at constant pressure. The Stokes number is denoted $S_t = |k_v| R$.

At the second order of S_t , the asymptotic expression of Eq. (25) to the viscous parameter is:

$$z_v = 1 + 2\sqrt{-j} S_t^{-1} - 3j S_t^{-2}. \quad (28)$$

We need also the value of y_t , or that of the propagation constant, which is denoted $K = kq$. The asymptotic expansion is well known (see e.g. [9, 7]). At the same order of S_t

$$q = \sqrt{z_v y_t} = 1 + \gamma S_t^{-1} + \delta S_t^{-2}. \quad (29)$$

where

$$\gamma = 1.044\sqrt{-2j}; \quad \delta = -1.08j. \quad (30)$$

For a cone, we assume that at each abscissa of a cone, the pressure is plane and the ZK theory is valid. The parameters Z_v and Y_t depend of the abscissa x , and the transmission line is non-uniform. The theory is assumed to be valid for tubes of variable cross section $S(x)$, with transmission lines parameters Z_v and Y_t . It is possible to write:

$$\begin{aligned} z_v &= 1 + 2\sqrt{-j} \frac{\alpha}{x} - 3j \frac{\alpha^2}{x^2}. \\ q &= \sqrt{z_v y_t} = 1 + \gamma \frac{\alpha}{x} + \delta \frac{\alpha^2}{x^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where, because $R = x \tan \vartheta$,

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{S_t} = \frac{1}{\tan \vartheta} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\omega\rho}}. \quad (32)$$

In the literature on musical acoustics, the Euler equation is often kept unchanged (i.e., $z_v = 1$, see e.g. [5, 6]). Another approximation is often proposed: the characteristic impedance is assumed to be constant, i.e. $z_v = y_t = q$ [7, 8]. Moreover the expressions are in general limited to the first order of the Stokes number.

3.2 Differential equation for the pressure in a tube of variable cross section

We are searching for a generalization of Eqs.(17 to 19). For a tube with variable cross section, if a planar wavefront is assumed, the pressure equation can be written, from Eqs.(20, 21), as:

$$\frac{P''}{P} = \frac{Z'_v}{Z_v} \frac{P'}{P} + Z_v Y_t, \quad (33)$$

where the prime symbol $'$ indicates the derivative with respect to the abscissa x . By changing the variable, this Sturm-Liouville equation can be rewritten into a canonical form (without the first derivative of P), as follows:

$$P = \psi \sqrt{Z_v} \quad (34)$$

$$\frac{P''}{P} = \frac{\psi'}{\psi} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z'_v}{Z_v} \quad (35)$$

Using the second derivative of Eq. (34), it is obtained:

$$\frac{P''}{P} - \frac{P'^2}{P^2} = \frac{\psi''}{\psi} - \frac{\psi'^2}{\psi^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z''_v}{Z_v} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{Z'_v}{Z_v} \right)^2. \quad (36)$$

Together with Eq. (35), this leads to :

$$\psi'' + K^2(x)\psi = 0 \quad (37)$$

$$K^2 = -Z_v Y_t + \eta \quad (38)$$

where

$$\eta = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z''_v}{Z_v} - \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{Z'_v}{Z_v} \right)^2.$$

Writing

$$\frac{Z'_v}{Z_v} = -2 \frac{R'}{R} + \frac{z'_v}{z_v}, \quad (39)$$

the coefficient η is expressed as follows:

$$\eta = -\frac{R''}{R} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{z''_v}{z_v} - \frac{3}{4} \frac{z'^2_v}{z_v^2} + \frac{R'}{R} \frac{z'_v}{z_v} \quad (40)$$

For a cone, $R'' = 0$, $R = x \tan \vartheta$, and $R'/R = 1/x$. Thus:

$$\eta = \frac{1}{2} \frac{z''_v}{z_v} - \frac{3}{4} \frac{z'^2_v}{z_v^2} + \frac{1}{x} \frac{z'_v}{z_v}. \quad (41)$$

$$z'_v = -2\sqrt{-j} \frac{\alpha}{x^2} + 6j \frac{\alpha^2}{x^3}. \quad (42)$$

As a result, for a cone,

$$\eta = \frac{\alpha^2}{x^4} (-3j + 3j) = 0. \quad (43)$$

This simple result is a particularity of the axisymmetrical geometry. Furthermore, at the first order of α/x , the vanishing of η is valid for any shape of circular tube.

3.3 Differential equation for plane waves in a cone

Finally, for a cone, the differential equation to be solved is, at the second order of α/x :

$$k^{-2}\psi'' + q(x)^2\psi = 0, \quad (44)$$

where

$$\psi = P/\sqrt{Z_v}. \quad (45)$$

and q is given by Eq. (29). This original equation is extremely simple. In the literature concerning the WKB solution of the Schrödinger equation the term k^{-2} is the analogous to the square of the Planck constant \hbar .

4 Computation of a reference solution.

4.1 Numerical, “exact” results with division into conical frustums

In order to evaluate the errors made using the WKB solution, a numerical, “exact” solution is sought. The only geometry for which there is an analytical solution for the Helmholtz equation with losses is the cylinder. It is possible to approach the cone by cylindrical frustums of very short length and constant radius R_n . Eqs. (22) give the transfer matrix of a cylindrical tube of length ℓ .

However the convergence with the number of frustums is more rapid if conical frustums with constant losses are used. For that purpose the cone is divided in several short conical frustums with a small radius variation. The losses are approximated by the losses of a cylinder with an equivalent radius R^{eq} . In order to correctly

estimate the magnitude of the impedance peaks, Ref. [7] suggests, for the frustum between x_1 and x_2 , to choose this radius as follows:

$$\frac{\ell}{R^{eq}} = \frac{x_1}{R_1} \log \left(1 + \frac{\ell}{x_1} \right), \quad (46)$$

where $\ell = x_2 - x_1$ is the length of the considered frustum. Losses are computed by using Eqs. (28 and 29) with the equivalent radius R^{eq} . The transfer matrix of a conical frustums is given by Eqs.(17 to 19), K and Z_c being calculated for the the equivalent radius.

$$A = \frac{R_2}{R_1} \cos(K\ell) - \frac{\sin(K\ell)}{K\ell} \frac{R_2 - R_1}{R_1}; \quad (47)$$

$$B = Z_c j \sin(K\ell); \quad (48)$$

$$D = \frac{R_1}{R_2} \cos(K\ell) + \frac{\sin(K\ell)}{K\ell} \frac{R_2 - R_1}{R_2}; \quad (49)$$

$$C = (AD - 1)/B \quad (50)$$




Figure 2: Reference input impedance of the simplified bassoon computed by a division in 10^5 conical frustums with ZK-losses computed with Eqs.(25) and (26) for the equivalent radius R_n^{eq} of each conical frustum (Eq.(46)).

The example of a simplified bassoon ($R_1 = 0.002$ m; $R_2 = 0.02$ m; $L = 2.43$ m) is chosen for the low values of the Stokes number at low frequencies: for the first resonance frequency, it is close to 10. The input impedance is computed by multiplying the transfer matrices of each slice and by assuming a zero impedance at the wide end (non-radiating open pipe) (Fig.2). The computation is carried out for the frequency range $[20, 10^4]$ Hz, with a logarithmic step of 1 cent. The cent is a musical logarithmic scale (100 cents = 1 semitone) defined as $\delta f(\text{cents}) = 1200 * \log_2(f_2/f_1)$.

In order to determine the frustum length necessary to converge to the “exact” solution, the evolution of the norm of the difference to the finest slicing is observed (Fig.3)

$$\frac{\|Z - Z_{ref}\|}{\|Z_{ref}\|} = \frac{\sum_{f=20}^{f=10^4} |Z(f) - Z_{ref}(f)|}{\sum_{f=20}^{f=10^4} |Z_{ref}(f)|}. \quad (51)$$

The norm converges for slices of 0.1mm corresponding to about $2 \cdot 10^4$ frustums for the considered tube. With this slicing the variation of the radius for one frustum is about $7 \cdot 10^{-7}$ m. Throughout this paper, the reference impedance Z_{ref} corresponds to that computed with the finest slicing (10^5 conical frustums of $2.43 \cdot 10^{-5}$ m).

Conversely, Fig.3 shows that the model with cylindrical frustums seems to converge also toward the reference impedance (Fig.3), but the convergence is slow and a too large number of cylinder would be necessary to converge (at least 10^{10}). Even if the convergence is not reached, the norm of the difference between this theoretical results and the reference impedance for the finest slicing is very small (10^{-4}).

The norm of the impedance difference is not the more convenient way to observe the difference between impedance curves. The difference between the resonance peak characteristics is a more significant observation,




Figure 3: Evolution of the norm of the relative difference with the reference impedance Z_{ref} (10^5 conical frustums with ZK-losses) versus the length of each frustum for different approximations (Cylinders with ZK-losses, conical frustums with ZK-losses, 1st or 2nd order approximation of losses).




Figure 4: Deviation of the resonance parameters to those of the reference impedance Z_{ref} (Conical frustums with ZK-losses for equivalent radius) for different approximations (Cylinders with ZK-losses, conical frustums with 1st or 2nd order approximation of losses): *a*) resonance frequencies and *b*) resonance magnitudes.

especially for musical instruments. The resonance frequencies $f^{(i)}$ and magnitudes $a^{(i)}$ of the impedance peaks are computed with the finest cylindrical slicing and compared to those of the reference impedance in Figure 4. They are estimated by applying a second order polynomial fit on the modulus in decibel over the three samples around the peaks to be more precise than the frequency step. The resonance frequency deviations, given in cents, are under 0.01 cents for all peaks which is negligible for musical application (it is generally assumed that the human ears can not detect frequency difference smaller than 3 cents) (Fig.4, *a*). The peaks magnitude is very well estimated with a difference within $1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ dB (Fig.4, *b*).

4.2 Computation using asymptotic expressions with respect to the Stokes number

The impedance is also computed for conical frustums with the asymptotic expression of z_v and y_t at the first and second order of the Stokes number (sec. 3.3). The norm of the difference with the reference impedance decreases with the slice length to reach 10^{-2} for the first order and $3 \cdot 10^{-4}$ for the second order (Fig.3). These values seem high but it is important to notice that the norm of the error is very sensitive and particularly to a small frequency decay. Figs. 3 and 4 show that the resonance frequency deviations are within 0.1 cents for all approximations (Fig.4, *a*). This is acceptable in a musical context. The magnitudes also are well estimated by the different approximations, even if the first order approximation has a significantly lower accuracy (the deviation is 30 times higher in dB) than the second order approximation (Fig.4, *b*).

As a conclusion, the asymptotic expression of the viscothermal losses at the second order of the Stokes number is sufficient to achieve a satisfactory accuracy.

5 WKB solution of the second order equation

We present the classical derivation of the WKB method (see [11]). The solution ψ of Eq. (44) is sought in the following form:

$$\psi = g \left[e^{jk \int u dx} \right]. \quad (52)$$

The first derivative is:

$$\psi' = g' e^{jk \int u dx} + g j k u e^{jk \int u dx}.$$

The unknowns are the functions g and u . Calculating the second derivative ψ'' and separating the real and imaginary parts, the following equations are obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} g'' + g (j k u)^2 + k^2 q^2 &= 0; \\ 2g' u + g u' &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

The second equation leads to:

$$g = \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{u}}, \quad (54)$$

where Λ is a constant. The first equation yields:

$$\frac{g''}{g} = k^2(u^2 - q^2). \quad (55)$$

If u is a solution, $-u$ is also a solution. Therefore ψ is the superposition of two solutions, as follows:

$$\psi = u^{-1/2} \left[\psi^+ \exp \left(-j k \int u dx \right) + \psi^- \exp \left(j k \int u dx \right) \right], \quad (56)$$

where ψ^\pm are two constants. u is the unique unknown function. It is solution of the following equation, which is derived from Eqs.(54) and (55):

$$k^2 u^2 (u^2 - q^2) = \frac{3}{4} u'^2 - \frac{1}{2} u'' u. \quad (57)$$

For infinite k , a trivial solution is $u = q$. For large k , the solution is sought in the form of an asymptotic expansion:

$$u = q + v/k^2 + w/k^4 + \dots \quad (58)$$

Simplifying by the factor $1/k^2$, the term v can be obtained:

$$(v + w/k^2)(u + q)u^2 = \frac{3}{4} u'^2 - \frac{1}{2} u'' u. \quad (59)$$

therefore, at the second order of $1/k$:

$$v = 3 \frac{q'^2}{8q^3} - \frac{q''}{4q^2}. \quad (60)$$

Another useful expression for the function v is:

$$v = -\frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{q'}{q^2} \right]' - \frac{q'^2}{8q^3}. \quad (61)$$

At the fourth order of $1/k$, w is obtained:

$$w = -\frac{5}{2} \frac{v^2}{q} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{q' v'}{q^3} - \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{q'' v}{q^3} + \frac{v''}{q^2} \right]. \quad (62)$$

The expression of the fourth order coefficient leads to complicated results and it is not studied in the present paper.

6 Transfer matrix for the WKB solution

6.1 Expression of the transfer matrix

The derivation of the transfer matrix is similar to that for the non dissipative case (Section 2)

$$\hat{P} = \psi^+ \exp\left(-jk \int u dx\right) + \psi^- \exp\left(jk \int u dx\right). \quad (63)$$

The function u is dimensionless. Using Eqs. (34) and (56), the relationship between the pressure P and \hat{P} is found to be:

$$P = \hat{P} \sqrt{\frac{Z_v}{u}} \quad (64)$$

thus

$$\frac{P'}{P} = \frac{\hat{P}'}{\hat{P}} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{Z'_v}{Z_v} - \frac{u'}{u} \right]. \quad (65)$$

The variable \hat{U} is defined as:

$$\hat{U} = \psi^+ \exp\left(-jk \int u dx\right) - \psi^- \exp\left(jk \int u dx\right). \quad (66)$$

Therefore

$$\hat{U} = j\hat{P}'/(ku). \quad (67)$$

For the variables \hat{P} and \hat{U} , the transfer matrix is written as :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{P} \\ \hat{U} \end{pmatrix}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos X & j \sin X \\ j \sin X & \cos X \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{P} \\ \hat{U} \end{pmatrix}_2 \quad (68)$$

with

$$X = k \int_{x_1}^{x_2} u dx. \quad (69)$$

This integration can be interpreted as an average of the visco-thermal effects over the conical frustum. The development of the expression of u makes appears the integration of $1/R$ (Eqs. (31, 58)), which is coherent with the equivalent radius R^{eq} chosen in Eq. 46. The relationship between (P, U) and (\hat{P}, \hat{U}) is given by Eq. (20):

$$U = -\frac{P'}{Z_v} = -\frac{P'}{P} \frac{\hat{P}}{\sqrt{Z_v u}} \quad (70)$$

Thus, with Eq. (65):

$$U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_v u}} \left[jku\hat{U} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{Z'_v}{Z_v} - \frac{u'}{u} \right) \hat{P} \right]. \quad (71)$$

The transition matrix at each extremity of the truncated cone is derived as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} P \\ U \end{pmatrix}_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & 0 \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{P} \\ \hat{U} \end{pmatrix}_i \quad (72)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= \sqrt{Z_{vi}/u_i} \\ d_i &= jk\sqrt{u_i/Z_{vi}} \\ c_i &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_{vi}u_i}} \left(\frac{Z'_{vi}}{Z_{vi}} - \frac{u'_i}{u_i} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The determinant of the matrix is $a_i d_i = jk$ and is independent of the extremity (1 or 2). The inverse matrix is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{P} \\ \hat{U} \end{pmatrix}_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1/a_i & 0 \\ -c_i/(a_i d_i) & 1/d_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P \\ U \end{pmatrix}_i \quad (73)$$

The product of the 3 matrices between abscissae 1 and 2 gives the final transfer matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} P \\ U \end{pmatrix}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P \\ U \end{pmatrix}_2; \quad (74)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{a_1}{a_2} \left[\cos X - \frac{c_2}{d_2} j \sin X \right] \\ D &= \frac{d_1}{d_2} \left[\cos X + \frac{c_1}{d_1} j \sin X \right] \\ B &= \frac{a_1}{d_2} j \sin(X) \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

The determinants of the transition matrix and its inverse matrix are inverse. Therefore the determinant of the transfer matrix is unity, and the coefficient C can be derived from the other coefficients. The final result is:

$$A = \sqrt{\frac{Z_{v1}}{Z_{v2}}} \sqrt{\frac{u_2}{u_1}} \left[\cos X + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{k u_2} \left(\frac{Z'_{v2}}{Z_{v2}} - \frac{u'_2}{u_2} \right) \sin X \right]; \quad (76)$$

$$D = \sqrt{\frac{Z_{v2}}{Z_{v1}}} \sqrt{\frac{u_1}{u_2}} \left[\cos X - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{k u_1} \left(\frac{Z'_{v1}}{Z_{v1}} - \frac{u'_1}{u_1} \right) \sin X \right]; \quad (77)$$

$$B = \frac{1}{k} \sin X \sqrt{\frac{Z_{v1} Z_{v2}}{u_1 u_2}} \quad (78)$$

As a summary, the calculation of the transfer matrix requires the values of the definite integral of the function u , of the function u itself and of its derivative. Consequently, according to Eq. (58), we need the equivalent expressions for the functions q and v , their integral and their derivative.

6.2 Expansions of q and v with respect to the Stokes number

The starting point is the expansion of the quantity q , as written in Eq. (29), at the second order of the Stokes number. The indefinite integral I_q and the derivative q' are given by:

$$I_q = x + \gamma \alpha \ln(x) - \delta \frac{\alpha^2}{x}; \quad (79)$$

$$q' = -\gamma \frac{\alpha}{x^2} - 2\delta \frac{\alpha^2}{x^3}. \quad (80)$$

Notice that x is a variable with dimension, thus the neperian logarithm $\ln(x)$ has no sense, but, in the transfer matrix, the quantity $\ln(x_2/x_1)$ intervenes in X . The function v could be also expanded with respect to α/x , but for the integral I_v it is simpler to use directly Eq. (61). The integral of the second term of the expression (61) is complicated, but a numerical evaluation is found to be very small, therefore

$$I_v \simeq -\frac{1}{4} \frac{q'}{q^2}; \quad (81)$$

In order to calculate v' , we need the calculation of the second and third derivatives of q' (Eq. (60):

$$\begin{aligned} q'' &= \frac{2\gamma\alpha}{x^3} + \frac{6\delta\alpha^2}{x^4} \\ q''' &= -\frac{6\gamma\alpha}{x^4} - \frac{24\delta\alpha^2}{x^5} \\ v' &= \frac{1}{q^3} \left[\frac{5}{4} q' q'' - \frac{9}{8} \frac{q'^3}{q} - \frac{q q'''}{4} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

Finally, we need to successively compute the following expressions:

- compute α, γ, δ with eqs.(32, 30) then $z_v, z'_v, q, I_q, q', q''$ and q''' with eqs.(31, 42, 29, 79, 80, 82)
- compute Z_v and Z'_v/Z_v with eqs.(24, 39)
- compute v' and I_v then v with eqs.(81, 82) and eq.(60)
- compute u and u' with eq.(58)
- compute I_u and X with eq.(58) and eq.(69)
- finally compute A, B, C, D with eqs.(76, 77, 78).

7 Comparison of the zeroth and second orders of the WKB solution

7.1 Discussion

We wish to compare the “exact” results with the WKB solutions at zeroth and second order in k^{-1} . The zeroth order is obtained by written $u = q$. The comparison is done with the reference result obtained in Sect. 4.1.

We first notice that the obtained transfer matrix given by Eqs.(76 to 78) is invariant by slicing: the product of the matrix of two successive conical frustums with the same angle equals the transfer matrix of the total cone. This invariance can be shown by a rather long calculation for both the orders of approximation. It is not difficult, and is not developed here.

The analytical limit of validity of the WKB solution can be given by the comparison between the terms due to the visco-thermal effects and their variations with the radius. At the first order of the Stokes number and at the second order of the WKB solution, the function u is written as:

$$u = 1 + \frac{\gamma\alpha}{x} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2k^2x^2} \right]. \quad (83)$$

The second term in the bracket can be very high at low frequencies for the smaller radius of the cone. For the first resonance of the bassoon, it is close to 5, and it appears that the convergence to a correct limit value at higher WKB order is problematic. Similar behaviour can be found for the integral and the derivative:

$$I_u = x + \gamma\alpha \left[\ln(x) - \frac{1}{4k^2x^2} \right]. \quad (84)$$

and

$$u' = -\frac{\gamma\alpha}{x^2} \left[1 - \frac{3}{2k^2x^2} \right]. \quad (85)$$

Using Eq. (84) the quantity X (Eq. (69)) can be calculated. The result is:

$$X = k\ell + \frac{\gamma}{\tan\vartheta} \sqrt{\frac{c\ell_v}{\omega}} \left[\ln \left\{ 1 + \frac{\ell}{x_1} \right\} - \frac{1}{4k^2x_2^2} + \frac{1}{4k^2x_1^2} \right] \quad (86)$$

Therefore, an approximate condition of validity can be estimated: $kx_1 > 1$. For the first peak of a divergent cone, $k \approx \pi/x_2$, thus the condition can be written as:

$$\pi \frac{x_1}{x_2} = kx_1 > 1$$

This is not satisfied for the first peak of a bassoon. However, above the third or fourth peak, this becomes acceptable.

7.2 Numerical comparison

The impedances are computed with the zeroth order ($u = q$) and 2nd order ($u = q + v/k^2$) of the WKB transfer matrix for a truncated cone. They are compared to the reference impedance (average ZK losses for 10^5 conical frustums) in Figure 5,a.

As expected, the WKB approximations are better at higher frequencies. It is particularly true for the second order approximation, for which the first peak is underestimated and the second peak largely overestimated. Both correspond to frequencies for which $kx_1 < 1$. However, the zeroth order approximation seems better at this peaks. This observation can be quantified by applying the error norm of Eq.(51) of different frequency ranges. If the norm is computed on the entire range, the second order result has poorer accuracy than the zeroth order one (the error norm are respectively 0.3 and 0.1). Conversely, if the range is limited to higher frequencies ($kx_1 > 2$), the second order is better than the zeroth order (respectively 0.004 and 0.01).

These observations appear more clearly on the modal parameters of the impedance peaks, which are represented versus both the frequency and the normalized wavenumber kx_1 in Figure 6. At low frequencies ($kx_1 < 1.5$, Fig.6,a) the zeroth order is better. It induces a deviation about -30 cents and -4 dB on the first peak, while the second order induces a deviation about 140 cents and -14 dB. As expected, at higher frequencies ($kx_1 > 2$, Fig.6, b), the second order is slightly better, even if both orders show acceptable deviations to the reference impedance (< 1 cents and < 0.5 dB).

Consequently the gain of the second order of WKB on the zeroth order is questionable for this kind of resonators. The zeroth order approximation seems more much simpler and more appropriate for musical applications, when the first peaks predominant in the sound production.




Figure 5: Input impedance of the simplified bassoon (reference impedance) compared with the WKB formulation on one conical frustums at the second and zeroth order (in both WKB solutions, the second order order is used for the Stokes number).




Figure 6: Difference between the resonance parameters of the WKB simulations (second and zeroth order) to those of the reference impedance: *top*: frequencies and *bottom*: magnitudes. *a*): low frequencies, *b*) high frequencies (the vertical scales are different). In both WKB solutions, the second order approximation is used for the visco-thermal effects.

8 A simplified, general formula

8.1 Plane waves

It can be useful to search for a further simplification of the zeroth order solution. We start from Eqs. (76 to 78) with $q_1 = u_1$ and $q_2 = u_2$. First of all, we notice the following relationship: $Z_v/q = jkZ_c$. The transfer matrix can be written as follows:

$$A = \sqrt{\frac{Z_{c1}}{Z_{c2}}} \left[\cos X + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{kq_2} \frac{Z'_{c2}}{Z_{c2}} \sin X \right]; \quad (87)$$

$$D = \sqrt{\frac{Z_{c2}}{Z_{c1}}} \left[\cos X - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{kq_1} \frac{Z'_{c1}}{Z_{c1}} \sin X \right]; \quad (88)$$

$$B = \frac{1}{k} \sin X \sqrt{\frac{Z_{v1}Z_{v2}}{u_1u_2}} \quad (89)$$

At the second order of the Stokes number, the characteristic impedance is written as:

$$Z_c = \frac{\rho c}{S} \left[1 + 0.37\sqrt{-2j}S_t^{-1} - 1.147jS_t^{-2} \right] \quad (90)$$

Therefore, by comparing with the expression of the propagation constant $K = kq$ (see Eq. (31)), the visco-thermal effects intervene significantly more in K than in $\sqrt{Z_c}$ (1.044 and 0.18, respectively). For this reason, we

can ignore the influence of these effects in Z_c (This is due to the difference in sign of viscous and thermal effects. Moreover, in the transfer matrix expression, the characteristic impedance intervenes through its variation in the cone, between x_1 and x_2). As a consequence, the following simplified formula can be useful:

$$A = \frac{R_2}{R_1} \cos X - \frac{1}{kq_2x_1} \sin X; \quad (91)$$

$$D = \frac{R_1}{R_2} \cos X + \frac{1}{kq_1x_2} \sin X; \quad (92)$$

$$B = \frac{\rho c}{\pi R_1 R_2} j \sin X. \quad (93)$$

Figure 7 shows that the simplification leads to satisfactory results, when compared to the complete zeroth order formula.




Figure 7: Difference between the resonance parameters of the WKB simulations at the zeroth order (complete and simplified) to those of the reference impedance: *top*: frequencies and *bottom*: magnitudes. In both simulations, the second order approximation is used for the visco-thermal effects.

This approximation differs from other approximations published by some authors. The result of Ref. [10] adds the approximation that $q_1 = q_2 = 1$, and does not justify the starting point. Other approaches were given for the calculation of the impedance peaks [7, 12] or of the resonance frequencies [13], the results being also consistent with the equation (69), which is based upon the calculation of a mean value of the inverse of the radius.

8.2 Spherical waves

The previous analysis assumes plane waves. Nevertheless, for very open cones, it is known that spherical waves are more accurate. This is especially important for the division of bells into truncated cones [6]. For such cones, visco-thermal effects are weak, and the WKB solution of zeroth order is probably satisfactory. We think that it is not necessary to do the complete analysis. We propose the following formula, which is a modification of Eqs. (8 to 10):

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{r_2}{r_1} \cos X_s - \frac{\sin X_s}{kq_2r_1}; \\ D &= \frac{r_1}{r_2} \cos X_s + \frac{\sin X_s}{kq_1r_2}; \\ B &= \frac{\rho c}{\Sigma_2} \frac{r_2}{r_1} j \sin X_s; \\ C &= (AD - 1)/B. \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

with

$$X_s = I_{q_2} - I_{q_1} \quad (95)$$

and

$$I_q = r + \gamma \alpha \ln(r) - \delta \frac{\alpha^2}{r}. \quad (96)$$

9 Conclusion

To our knowledge the propagation equation in cones with visco-thermal effects was not derived in previous papers. In the frequency domain, at the second order of the asymptotic expansion with respect to the Stokes number, the canonical form (without term with the derivative of the first order) is extremely simple. With this starting point, the WKB method leads to the possibility to compute the transfer matrix of a truncated cone without division of its length. The result of the zeroth order is satisfactory under the condition that the length of the missing cone x_1 is large compared to the wavelength. Under this condition, the WKB second order is even better.

However, the good accuracy of these approximations at higher frequencies is not actually necessary in practice. Conversely, for the first resonances, the accuracy is not excellent. Paradoxically the second order seems less accurate than the first one. This is due to the slow convergence of the series expansion of the WKB function denoted u in this paper. It should be necessary to extend the WKB method to further orders, but this should lead to complicated expressions. Therefore, we propose to limit the expansion to the zeroth order WKB formula, which is very well known. Moreover, from an analysis of the dependence of the characteristic impedance with respect to the Stokes number, a significant simplification of the zeroth order can be obtained. It slightly differs from formulas found in the literature, but a numerical analysis of the analytic formulas shows that the errors of the various formulas are of the same order of magnitude.

The values of the transfer matrix coefficients can be empirically improved at low frequencies. This is true in particular for the examined case of the input impedance of the simplified bassoon: ignoring the visco-thermal effects in the coefficients q_1 and q_2 (i.e., writing $q_1 = q_2 = 1$) diminishes the errors by a factor 2 for the two first peaks. However, this do not seem to be general for all coefficients of the matrix. We prefer to keep the formulas analytically derived with a clear expression of the conditions. We emphasize that this paper considers an extreme case, the bassoon. Fig. 8 shows that for a wider instrument, such as a simplified baritone saxophone ($R_1 = 6.75\text{mm}$, $R_2 = 60\text{mm}$, $\ell = 2.38\text{m}$), the final results are much better for the first peaks. Furthermore the convergence study to the reference result justifies a classical approximation of visco-thermal effects in cones: the second order of the asymptotic expansion with respect to the Stokes number does not significantly improve the accuracy of the computation. This was not clear in [12].




Figure 8: Difference between the resonance parameters of the WKB simulations at the zeroth order (complete and simplified) to those of a reference impedance for a simplified baritone saxophone: *top*: frequencies and *bottom*: magnitudes. In both simulations, the second order approximation is used for the viscothermal effects.

Finally, it is important for impedance computation software to use a unified formula for narrow and wide cones. This is particularly useful for the application to instruments with bells, when the plane wave approximation is not applicable. An extension of the present work could be taken for other shapes of horns, as it was done in [6], but the corresponding work should be heavy.

Acknowledgements

This work has been partly supported by the french Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR16-LCV2-0007-01 Liamfi project).

References

- [1] G. R. Plitnik, W. J. Strong, “Numerical method for calculating input impedances of the oboe”. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 65(1979) 816-825.
- [2] D.H. Keefe (1981). “Woodwind tonehole acoustics and the spectrum transformation function”, Ph.D. thesis, CaseWestern Reserve University, Cleveland, OH (1981)
- [3] R. Caussé, J. Kergomard, X. Lurton (1984). “Input impedance of brass musical instruments, *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 75 (1984), 241-254.
- [4] C. Zwikker, C.W. Kosten, “Sound Absorbing Materials”, Elsevier, New York, 1949.
- [5] T. Hélie, “Unidimensional models of acoustic propagation in axisymmetric waveguides”. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 114 (2003), 2633-2647.
- [6] T. Hélie, T. Hézard, R. Mignot, D. Matignon, “One-dimensional acoustic models of horns and comparison with measurements”, *Acta Acustica united with Acustica*, 99 (2013) 960-974.
- [7] A. Chaigne, J. Kergomard, “Acoustics of musical instruments”. Springer (2016)
- [8] N. Fletcher, T. Rossing, “Physics of musical instruments”, 2nd edition, Springer (1998)
- [9] D. H. Keefe, “Acoustical wave propagation in cylindrical ducts: Transmission line parameter approximations for isothermal and nonisothermal boundary conditions”, *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 75 (1984), 58-62.
- [10] Y. Kulik, “Transfer matrix of conical waveguides with any geometric parameters for increased precision in computer modeling”, *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 122 (2007) EL 179 - EL 184
- [11] A. Voros, “The return of the quartic oscillator. The complex WKB method”, *Annales de l’I.H.P. Physique théorique*, 39 (1983) 211-338.
- [12] J. Kergomard, “Quasi-standing waves in horns with visco-thermal losses at the walls: computation of the impedance” (in French). *Acustica* 48 (1981) 31-43.
- [13] C.J. Nederveen, “Acoustical Aspects Woodwind Instruments”. 2nd edition, Northern Illinois University Press (1998).