Day 3: Quarkonium equilibrium limits The Nantes Schrödinger-Langevin approach



Roland Katz and Pol-Bernard Gossiaux

EMMI Rapid Reaction Task Force – GSI Darmstadt 18/12/2019

Schrödinger-Langevin approach

Main ideas:

Langevin-like Schrödinger equation to mock open quantum systems
 Real time dynamics of correlations, dissociation, recombination & transitions

Most quantum features ok – Allows quantum initial/final states

- Mixed state from statistics Easy to implement
- Leads to local « thermal » distributions: Boltzmann behaviour for

at least the low lying states

> A priori not related to a quantum master equation

Equilibrium with SL equation

Harmonic potential 1/2 x²



R.K. and P.B. Gossiaux Annals Phys. 368 (2016) 267-295

Equilibrium with SL equation

Other potentials: linear example $\frac{1}{2}|x|$



No Boltzmann behaviour for higher states

Disagreements between subsystem $\rm T_{sub}$ and bath $\rm T_{bath}$

=> Correct Boltzmann distribution obtained for the lower states if noise is rescaled such as to get T_{sub}=T_{bath}

SLE equation and equilibrium: ok in phenomenology if only the lower states are considered (=> ok for quarkonia)

Dynamics of QQ with SL equation simplified 1D <u>singlet model => insights on the dynamics</u>



Typical evolution at constant T



Long transient phase: reequilibration of the population

Continuous leakage out of the potential well => no stable equilibrium but only local equilibriums at each t >> τ_{relax}

Typical evolution at constant T

Reminder: here populations = projection on vacuum states



Both the screening and the stochastic/dissipative effects are important

Local equilibriums in stationary QGP

Densities at some large time



- Part of the QQ subsystem remains trapped inside the potential "well" and populates the eigenstate weights

- Different regimes depending if screening and/or thermal forces are included

Local equilibriums in stationary QGP

Populations at some large time

No screening



Correct thermal equilibrium for the relative population of the bound states
 [E_i ≤ V_{lin}(x → ∞, T=0)] despite the presence of the saturation (string breaking)
 Effect of time : global shift of the distribution toward smaller values

Local equilibriums in stationary QGP



 Correct thermal equilibrium for the relative population of the bound states or "almost bound" [E_i ≤ or ~ V_{lin}(x → ∞, T)]
 For the unbound states [E_i > V_{lin}(x → ∞, T)]: flatish distributions

=> reflect the density of the free QQ component in the vicinity of the potential well that overlap with the unbound states

Thank you



Hard probes

To study the medium properties beyond the freeze out «horizon»...

- ✓ Nature ? Weakly or strongly interacting ?
 ✓ Density and temperature ?
- ✓ Transport properties (viscosity, spatial diffusion...) ?

... one can analysed the « tomography » of the medium realised by the hard probes (high p^T or massive partons)



Jet quenching



Open heavy flavours and quarkonia propagations

Hard probes

Why hard probes are interesting ?

Produced in pQCD processes,
 only at the very beginning of the collision
 Do not flow hydrodynamically
 but propagate/interact through other processes
 that are sensitive to the medium properties

And quarkonia specifically ?

- \checkmark Known QQ initial production (CNM ?)
- ✓ Simple 2 particles systems
- \checkmark Binding described by potentials
- \checkmark Some bound states may survive above T_c
- \checkmark Weakly affected by the final hadronic phase

✓ However: the state formation times are subject to debate
 => states form before, in-medium or at the freeze out ?

They can propagate inside the QGP all along its evolution to probe it

Can be mastered to probe the QGP temperature and deconfinement

Common models of quarkonia suppression in QGP

Sequential suppression (Matsui and Satz)

- Based on states T_{diss}
- If T_{QGP} > T_{diss} the state is dissociated forever
- If T_{QGP} < T_{diss} the state evolves adiabatically

=> Quarkonia as early QGP thermometer Statistical hadronisation (Braun-Munzinger, Stachel...)

- All QQ pairs are dissociated
- Statistical recombination at freeze-out

=> Quarkonia as thermometer of T_c

Transport models (Zhao, Rapp, Zhuang...)

- Sequential suppression like but
- Quarkonia ↔ Q+Q possible during the evolution
- => Quarkonia as continuous QGP thermometer

X. Zhao and R. Rapp, Nucl. Phys. A 859 (2011) 114; L. Yan, P. Zhuang, and N. Xu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 232301

Description of the data

RHIC

LHC run 1



\checkmark Hints for some recombination into low pT J/ ψ



(Alternative idea: partial thermalisation of color octet states ?)

At forward-y: ordering with s_{NN}

\checkmark Hints for some recombination into low pT J/ ψ

At mid-y: ordering with s_{NN}

¥ لا ∉ ⊈ 1 Inclusive J/ψ $e^+ e^-, p_- > 0 \text{ GeV}/c$ Inclusive J/w ALICE, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$, |y| < 0.8, (Preliminary) ALICE, Pb-Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV, 2.5 < y < 4, p_{τ} < 8 GeV/c 1.2 ALICE, Pb-Pb $\sqrt{s_{_{ m NN}}}$ = 2.76 TeV, 2.5 < y < 4, $p_{_{-}}$ < 8 GeV/c ALICE, VS_{NN}= 2.76 TeV, |y| < 0.8, (PLB 734 (2014) 314-327 1.2 PHENIX, $\sqrt{s_{_{NIN}}}$ = 0.2 TeV, |y| < 0.35, (PRC 84 (2011) 054912) D PHENIX, Au-Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 0.2 \text{ TeV}, 1.2 < |y| < 2.2, p_{-} > 0 \text{ GeV}/c$ forward y 0.8 0.8 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.4 ġ 白 臣 0.2 0.2 350 400 50 200 250300 n n 100 150 350 400 300 50 100 150 200 250 $\langle N_{_{\rm part}} \rangle$ $\langle N_{\rm part} \rangle$ ALI-PREL-133694

while not observed for the bottomonia (more suppression as s_{NN} increases)

-> Considering some recombination of uncorrelated charm/anticharm quarks is most probably necessary to any model aiming to describe the data

✓ Relative sequential-like suppression between the quarkonium states



Charmonia

Bottomonia

But: smooth evolution with centrality (not really « steps-like » behaviour)

H_A

But a bit puzzling...



LHC run 1: « unexpected » ratio >1 no more observed at LHC run 2





Similar RAA even at higher pT: - cannot be recombination - troubling that sequential-like (J/ψ) and Eloss/diffusion+fragmentation (D⁰) dynamics give the same behaviour...

Common model assumptions

s¹(1S)

 $\chi_{_{\rm H2}}$

χ, 11

Y(2S)

(Bra

E

а

Sequential suppression (Matsui and Satz)

- Everything happens at the **BEGINING** in a quasi-stationnary medium
- Questionable T_{diss}
- Adiabatic evolution
- No recombinations

no effects from non-dissociative i

:h r(3S) J/ψ X Problem: very dynamic me tates... W A screening + recombination scenario is conceptually simple and attractive but more realistic treatment are required melting T/T -> real-time dynamics of the QQ pair (and not only of its bound states)

s

Lattice QCD

AdS/QCD

QCD Sum rules

Potential Models

=200GeV

ng...)

land

iss

'OSS-

Our motto

Consider: color sreening, thermal effects and QGP dynamics

INNER DYNAMICS OF EACH $Q\bar{Q}$ PAIR

A dynamical and continuous picture of the dissociation, recombination, transitions to other bound states and energy exchanges with the QGP.

+

$Q\bar{Q}$ PAIRS EVOLUTION IN QGP

Realistic t-dependent background:

Monte-Carlo event generator with initial fluctuations

Inner dynamics ? -> back to concepts



Treatment within the open quantum system framework !

Other frameworks: cross sections, imaginary potentials from QFT at finite T, EFT and IQCD...

Dating back to Blaizot & Ollitrault, Thews, Cugnon and Gossiaux; early 90's

Inner dynamics: open quantum systems

QGP = thermal bath

The common open quantum system approach

➤ Idea: density matrix and {quarkonia + bath} => bath integrated out
⇒ non unitary evolution + decoherence effects
⇒ At equilibrium: Boltzmann distributions $\propto \exp\left(\frac{-E_n}{k_PT}\right)$

But defining the bath/interaction is complex and application entangled

Borghini and Gombeaud* simple model of bath and Einstein rate equation But: unable to thermalise the inner dynamics

Akamatsu, Rothkopf et al.**
 closed-time path integral formalism + LO thermal QCD
 ⇒ complex potential / master equations
 ⇒ stochastic potential equation in the recoilless limit
 But: rising energy problem.

See also Young and Dusling (2013), Blaizot (2016), De Boni (2017)...

Will a pQCD + open quantum system formalism describe the data ?

* N. Borghini et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 2000;

** Y. Akamatsu Phys.Rev. D87 (2013) 045016; Phys. Rev. D 91, 056002 (2015); Kajimoto, Akamatsu, Asakawa & Rothkopf (2017) 1705.03365

Inner dynamics: open quantum systems

Langevin-like approaches

Idea: Effective equations (possibly not from first QCD principles) with few parameters to unravel/mock the open quantum approach while keeping most of the quantum features

<u>Heavy quarks are Brownian particles (Mq >> T) + Drag A(T)</u> => need for Langevin-like equation

(A(T) from single heavy quark observables or IQCD calculations)



Inner dynamics: semi-classical model

In few words: Evolution of Wigner distributions with a classical Langevin dynamic

=> Interesting suppression patterns: smooth evolutions, more suppression at LHC than at RHIC...

BUT

1) Without fluctuation-dissipation: discrepancies with pure quantum results

2) Uncorrect thermalisation+ violation of Heisenberg principle at low T



=> Need for a fluctuation-dissipation mechanism compatible with quantum mechanics !!

Inner dynamics: Schrödinger-Langevin (SL) equation

Derived from the Heisenberg-Langevin equation*, in Bohmian mechanics** ...

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi_{Q\bar{Q}}(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} = \left(\widehat{H}_{\mathrm{MF}}(\mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{R}}(t) \cdot \mathbf{r} + A \left(S(\mathbf{r},t) - \langle S(\mathbf{r},t) \rangle_{\mathbf{r}} \right) \right) \Psi_{Q\bar{Q}}(\mathbf{r},t)$$

Hamiltonian: Mean Field: T-dependent color screened potential Generally taken from lattice-QCD

<u>Static IQCD calculations (maximum heat exchange with the medium):</u>



- "Weak potential" F<Vweak<U => some heat exchange
- "Strong potential" V=U => adiabatic evolution

* Kostin The J. of Chem. Phys. 57(9):3589–3590, (1972) ** Garashchuk et al. J. of Chem. Phys. 138, 054107 (2013) Mócsy & Petreczky Phys.Rev.D77:014501,2008 ; Kaczmarek & Zantow arXiv:hep-lat/0512031v1

Inner dynamics: Schrödinger-Langevin (SL) equation

Derived from the Heisenberg-Langevin equation, in Bohmian mechanics ...

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi_{Q\bar{Q}}(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} = \left(\widehat{H}_{\mathrm{MF}}(\mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{R}}(t) \cdot \mathbf{r} + A \left(S(\mathbf{r},t) - \langle S(\mathbf{r},t) \rangle_{\mathbf{r}} \right) \right) \Psi_{Q\bar{Q}}(\mathbf{r},t)$$

Hamiltonian: Mean Field: T-dependent color screened potential Generally taken from lattice-QCD. Only singlet for now.

3D not easy to implement => 1D simplified model

not aim to reproduce the data but rather gives insights on the dynamics.



Inner dynamics: SL equation

Derived from the Heisenberg-Langevin equation, in Bohmian mechanics ...

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi_{Q\bar{Q}}(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} = \left(\widehat{H}_{\mathrm{MF}}(\mathbf{r}) \left(-\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{R}}(t) \cdot \mathbf{r} + A\left(S(\mathbf{r},t) - \langle S(\mathbf{r},t) \rangle_{\mathbf{r}}\right) \right) \Psi_{Q\bar{Q}}(\mathbf{r},t)$$

Fluctuations: thermal excitation Taken as a « classical » white stochastic force/noise scaled such as to obtain $T_{Q\overline{Q}} = T_{QGP}$ at equilibrium

The noise operator is assumed here to be a commutating c-number whereas it is a non-commutating q-number within the Heisenberg-Langevin framework.

I. R. Senitzky, Phys. Rev. 119, 670 (1960); 124}, 642 (1961).
G. W. Ford, M. Kac, and P. Mazur, J. Math. Phys. 6, 504 (1965).
R. Katz and P.B. Gossiaux, Annals Phys. 368 (2016) 267-295

Inner dynamics: SL equation

Derived from the Heisenberg-Langevin equation, in Bohmian mechanics ...

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi_{Q\bar{Q}}(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} = \left(\widehat{H}_{\mathrm{MF}}(\mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{R}}(t).\mathbf{r} + A(S(\mathbf{r},t) - \langle S(\mathbf{r},t) \rangle_{\mathbf{r}})\right) \Psi_{Q\bar{Q}}(\mathbf{r},t)$$

Dissipation: thermal de-excitation

$$S(\mathbf{r},t) = \arg(\Psi_{Q\bar{Q}}(\mathbf{r},t))$$

✓ non-linearly dependent on Ψ_{QQ̄}
 ✓ real and ohmic
 ✓ brings the system to the lowest state
 ✓ with A(T) α T^2 the Drag coefficient from a microscopic model (pQCD - HTL) by Gossiaux and Aichelin

P.B. Gossiaux and J. Aichelin, Phys.Rev. C78 (2008) 014904 R. Katz and P.B. Gossiaux, Annals Phys. 368 (2016) 267-295

Properties of the SL equation

- > 2 parameters: A (Drag) and T (temperature)
- Unitarity and Heisenberg principle satisfied at any T
- > Non linear => Violation of the superposition principle (=> decoherence)

➤ A priori not related to a quantum master equation: the decoherence effects are probably not rigorous. We might be missing some physics.

Mixed state observables from statistics:

$$\left\langle \langle \psi(t) | \hat{O} | \psi(t) \rangle \right\rangle_{\text{stat}} = \lim_{n_{\text{stat}} \to \infty} \frac{1}{n_{\text{stat}}} \sum_{r=1}^{n_{\text{stat}}} \langle \psi^{(r)}(t) | \hat{O} | \psi^{(r)}(t) \rangle$$

Easy to implement numerically (especially in Monte-Carlo generator)

R. Katz and P.B. Gossiaux, Annals Phys. 368 (2016) 267-295

Properties of the SL equation

Leads to local « thermal » distributions: Boltzmann behaviour for at least the low lying states



(weak coupling limit: no shift and broadening of the energy levels assumed)

=> Fluctuation-dissipation mechanism compatible with quantum mechanics and effective !!

R. Katz and P.B. Gossiaux, Annals Phys. 368 (2016) 267-295

Initial QQ pair wavefunction ?

The QQ pairs are produced at the very beginning BUT state formation times are subject to debate => we test the two extrem behaviours:

or

The QQ pair is not decoupled: $\psi_{QQ}(t=0)=$ "a mixture of Gaussian S and P components" tuned to obtain correct feed-downs and production ratios.

e.g.: contribution to Y(1S) from feed downs:



Evolution of the QQ pairs on EPOS2 background

- Very good model for heavy ion collisions with initial fluctuations and ideal 3D hydrodynamics
- > QQ pairs initial positions: given by Glauber model
- > No Cold Nuclear Matter effects (no shadowing and no hadronic scatterings)
- QQ pair center-of-masse motion: along straight lines with no E_{loss} (assumed to be color singlet)
- Focus on bottomonia for now (CNM and statistical recombination small)



K. Werner, I. Karpenko, T. Pierog, M. Bleicher and K. Mikhailov, Phys. Rev. C 82 (2010) 044904. K. Werner, I. Karpenko, M. Bleicher, T. Pierog and S. Porteboeuf-Houssais, Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 064907

Observables

« weight » (population) W_i :

$$W_i(t) = |\left\langle \Psi_i(T=0) | \Psi_{Q\bar{Q}(t)} \right\rangle|^2$$

Normed weights S_i:

$$S_i(t) = W_i(t) / W_i(t=0)$$

The only « physical » values are at the freeze out. $S_i(t)$ at freeze out convoluted with p_T -y spectra in pp collisions => R_{AA}

Example of evolution



Observations -

Smooth evolutions (especially for higher excited states) No strong p_T dependence Important transitions between bound states Not everything is about thermal decay widths

Influence of initial state



1P component feeds the Y(1S) at small times Y(2S) found at the end of QGP evolution are mostly the ones regenerated from the 1S & 1P

LHC (2.76 TeV): R_{AA}(p_T)

With initial Gaussian "S+P" and feed-downs



Flatish !

(helped by approximations: drag A and feed downs independent of momentum)

LHC (2.76 TeV): R_{AA}(p_T)



Same idea for P states



Y(1S) CMS 0.2 Y(2S) theo 0.0 200 300 100 400 Npart

lack of suppression in most central events (CNM ?)

P.B. Gossiaux and R. Katz, J.Phys.Conf.Ser. 779 (2017) no.1, 012041

RHIC (200 GeV): R_{AA}(N_{part})

With Kolb-Heinz QGP background



Roughly good agreement with the data (with F<V_{weak}<U)

RHIC (200 GeV): R_{AA}(N_{part})



With potential U : stronger dependence on initial state



With potential U : less good results as compared to data