

High-Resolution Observations and Tracking of a Dual-Core Anticyclonic Eddy in the Algerian Basin

P. Garreau, F. Dumas, S. Louazel, A. Stegner, B. Le Vu

▶ To cite this version:

P. Garreau, F. Dumas, S. Louazel, A. Stegner, B. Le Vu. High-Resolution Observations and Tracking of a Dual-Core Anticyclonic Eddy in the Algerian Basin. Journal of Geophysical Research. Oceans, 2018, 123 (12), pp.9320-9339. 10.1029/2017JC013667 . hal-02411309

HAL Id: hal-02411309 https://hal.science/hal-02411309

Submitted on 14 Dec 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	
2	High-resolution observations and tracking of a dual-core anticyclonic eddy in the Algerian Basin
3	
4	
5	P. Garreau ¹ , F. Dumas ² , S. Louazel ² , A. Stegner ³ , B. Le Vu ³
6	
7	¹ IFREMER, Univ. Brest, CNRS UMR 6523, IRD, Laboratoire d'Océanographie Physique et
8	Spatiale (LOPS), IUEM, 29280, Plouzané, France
9	² SHOM, Service Hydrographique et Océanographique de la Marine, 13 rue de Chatellier,
10	CS592803, 29228 Brest CEDEX2, France
11	³ Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique (LMD), CNRS UMR 8539, Ecole Polytechnique,
12	91128 Palaiseau, France
13	
14	
15	Corresponding author: Pierre Garreau (pierre.garreau@ifremer.fr)
16	
17	
18	Key Points:
19	
20	• An Algerian eddy was tracked for 8 months in the Mediterranean Sea revealing
21	interactions and mass exchanges between individual structures.
22	• The dual-core eddy was composed of a surface water mass (Atlantic Water) and a modal
23	water mass (Western Intermediate Water).
24	II in an about in a new all a subman and a subman

• High-resolution sampling revealed submesoscale structures.

25 Abstract

26

27 Mesoscale dynamics in the Mediterranean Sea have been investigated for years and anticyclonic eddies are regularly observed features in the Algerian Basin. Here, we used the AMEDA eddy 28 29 detection algorithm to track and monitor a particular anticyclonic eddy from its birth to its death. The analysis of remote-sensing datasets (AVISO and SST) revealed that this anticyclone split 30 sep from an Algerian eddy in October 2015, interacted with the North Balearic Front and merged 31 seven months later, in May 2016, with a similar Algerian eddy. In early spring 2016, a field 32 experiment during the ProtevsMed 2016 cruise thoroughly investigated this eddy, when it was 33 located near the North Balearic Front, taking high-resolution (Seasoar) hydrological transects, 34 several CTD casts and LADCP measurements. In addition, four drifting buoys were released in 35 the eddy core. These in situ measurements revealed that the vertical structure of this anticyclone 36 was made of two water lenses of very different origins (Atlantic Water above and Western 37 Intermediate Water below) spinning together. In the vicinity of the North Balearic Front, which 38 may act as a dynamical barrier to such oceanic structures, the eddy interacted with a subsurface 39 anticyclonic eddy made of modal water, which fostered cross-front exchanges generating 40 filaments by stirring. The high-resolution sampling revealed fine-scale structures both adjacent to 41 42 the eddy and within its core

43 44

45 **1 Introduction**

46

Eddies are oceanic structures, transporting and trapping water masses and biochemical 47 properties, shielding their core from strong mixing with the surrounding environment. 48 Conversely, when mesoscale dynamics are intense, interactions between structures foster at 49 small-scale exchanges between water masses at their periphery (Robinson, 1983). A major 50 51 oceanographic challenge is to understand the role played by mesoscale eddies in the distribution of temperature, salinity and biological productivity in the ocean and how they participate in the 52 vertical exchange between the ocean interior and the surface layer (McWilliams, 2013; 53 McGillicuddy, 2016). In the Algerian Basin, anticyclonic eddies spread Atlantic Water (AW) 54 55 northwards, feed the West Corsica Current and provide potential energy to the cyclonic circulation in the northern part of the western Mediterranean Basin (Millot, 1999). 56

57

Described nearly 30 years ago by Millot et al. (1990) using infrared sea surface temperature 58 (SST) images, Algerian Eddies (AEs) are generated by the unstable meanders of the Algerian 59 Current (Taupier-Letage et al. 2003; Salas et al. 2003; Font et al., 2004).. A large number of AEs 60 detach from the Algerian coast upstream of the Sardinian Channel (8°E) and then move in a 61 counterclockwise manner northwestwards up to the North Balearic Front (NBF), the Balearic 62 Islands, reaching even the Almeria-Oran Front (Perez, 2003). A deep cyclonic circulation within 63 the Algerian Basin has been demonstrated using RAFOS drifters and two subsurface gyres were 64 identified, centered at around 37°30'N-2°30'E and 38°30'N-6°00'E (Testor et al., 2005). 65

Revisiting 20 years of altimetric data with several eddy detection algorithms, Escudier et al. 66 (2016a) confirmed the two counterclockwise circulations of the eddies in the Algerian Basin and 67 identified two preferential areas of detachment from the Algerian Current within the intervals of 68 2°E-4°E and 6°E-8°E. The dynamics of the Algerian Basin are dominated by numerous 69 70 anticyclonic eddies, filaments and meandering. Despite intense interactions between these structures leading to merging, squeezing or squashing processes, individual eddies can be 71 followed for a few months and sometimes for a few years (Puillat et al., 2002). Although they 72 may extend over a wide part of the water column (up to 1000 m), the eddies intensify in the first 73 300-400 m, near the surface. The maximal azimuthal velocity of such anticyclones is about 0.4-74 0.6 m/s (Ruiz et al., 2002) 75

76

The anticyclonic eddies of the Algerian Basin are mainly composed of AW coming from the 77 Algerian Current, which is a major source of eddy formation. Nevertheless, other identified 78 79 water masses of the Mediterranean Sea are involved and redistributed by these mesoscale stuctures. Previous hydrographic surveys (Benzohra & Millot 1995a, 1995b; Fuda et al., 2000) 80 revealed relatively unmixed Western Intermediate Water (WIW; formerly called Winter 81 Intermediate Water) and Levantine Intermediate Water (LIW) associated with AEs. Benzohra et 82 83 al. (1995a) discussing the origin of the WIW found under the core of a large open-sea eddy of 120-140 km in diameter just after its detachment. He suggested that the WIW is formed locally 84 in winter by AW cooling or advected from its formation areas in the northern part of the basin. 85 After winter, some lenses of the WIW may survive for months as modal waters called "weddies" 86 - winter intermediate eddies - in the Balearic Basin (Pinot & Ganachaud, 1999; Juza et al., 87 2013). This water mass is characterized by a subsurface minimum of temperature between the 88 AW and the LIW, deflecting the later to a deeper zone. These isolated water masses may also 89 persist, as smaller structures, called Submesocale Coherent Vortices (SCVs) (Bosse et al., 2016). 90 91 They are able to move to the Algerian Basin. The exact process that associate secondary water masses (WIW, LIW) with the AEs has not yet been clearly identified. 92

93

The mesoscale patterns of eddies has been extensively observed, but the non-linear dynamics and 94 the interactions with the atmosphere generating finer scale motions have mainly been explored 95 96 only numerically and theoretically. Recent high resolution numerical modelling of eddies have described submesocale processes (Brannigan, 2016; Brannigan et al. 2017; Zhong et al. 2017). 97 Likewise, observed eddies appear often more complex than expected. Although satellites 98 imagery reveals spiraling in eddy cores as a surface signature of ageostrophic dynamics, the 99 100 complete observation of the 3-D dynamics and associated tracer fields are incomplete. Obtained during the OMEGA-2 field experiment in November 1996 (Allen et al., 2008), high-resolution 101 observations of a weddy in the Algerian Basin, near the Almeria-Oran frontal area, show an 102 oblate lens of 20 km radius, 150 m thick, centered at 250 m depth, caused anticyclonic 103 circulation near the front, with associated currents on the order of 0.20 m/s. However, no clear 104 105 connection with a surface signature of an associated AE was demonstrated. A few years later, a

more obvious patch of WIW was described in the same area and the effect of this WIW core on 106 vertical turbulence was explored (Forryan et al., 2012). Combining gliders and remote sensing, 107 Ruiz et al. (2009) investigated a 100 km wide AE in the eastern Alboran Sea. They captured 108 fine-scale structures, sub-ducting to 120 m depth, on its edge and assessed the structure and the 109 110 order of magnitude of the vertical velocity. Further to the east, Cotroneo et al. (2016) studied a 60 km wide AE near 4°W-38°N and described submesoscale features mainly using chlorophyll 111 and dissolved oxygen tracers. Although the lack of horizontal resolution prevents a thorough 112 interpretation of the results, the effects on biochemistry tracers suggested the occurrence of some 113 submesoscale processes. Despite these recent developments in glider technology, synoptic 114 observations of "secondary" circulation in the eddy core or periphery remains under-sampled and 115 more thorough high-resolution surveys of mesoscale eddies are sorely needed. 116

117

The Protevs-Med 2016 cruise aimed to describe and understand the origin of the freshwater 118 119 involved in the North Current and the associated large cyclonic circulation in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea, sometimes called Northern Gyre. In this context, AW bodies close to the 120 NBF were of primary interest. Applying a very high-resolution survey, we expected to find fine-121 scale structures in the NBF. Serendipitously, a very singular anticyclonic eddy was present near 122 the front, providing a unique opportunity to observe submesoscale structures revealing secondary 123 circulations, vertical motion in the core, intense stirring in the periphery and strong interaction 124 with adjacent dynamical structures. This is the first dual-core eddy to be described in the western 125 Mediterranean Sea. It was tracked from its birth by eddy splitting until its death by merging, and 126 intensively surveyed during a field experiment (Section 2). Eddy tracking revealed that eddy-127 eddy interactions play a role in north-south mass exchanges (Section 3). The high-resolution in 128 situ observations highlight small-scale processes embedded in mesoscale eddy dynamics; the 129 interaction of the eddy with NBF is described (Section 4). An interpretation of the process 130 131 leading to this dual-core body is discussed (Section 5). Finally, we summarize our results in a conclusion (Section 6). 132

133 134

135 2 Materials and Methods

136

137 2.1 Eddy detection and tracking using remote-sensing products

138

To investigate the temporal behavior of the mesoscale eddies in the Algerian Basin, we used the geostrophic velocity fields, produced by Salto/Duacs, distributed by AVISO, and derived from absolute dynamical topography (ADT). The "all-sat-merged" series combines, for the years 1993-2016, up-to-date datasets with up to four satellites at a given time (Pujol et al., 2016), using all missions available at a given time (Topex/Poseidon, ERS-1 and -2, Jason-1 and -2, Saral, Cryosat-2 and Envisat missions). This specific product for the Mediterranean Sea is projected on a 1/8° Mercator grid with time intervals of 24 h. The horizontal resolution of the gridded velocity

146 fields (dX \approx 12 km), based on track frames, along-track filtering and optimal interpolation, can

hardly resolve the internal deformation radius Rd, which is approximately 8–15 km in the
western Mediterranean Sea (Escudier et al., 2016b). The surface signature of large mesoscale
eddies with a characteristic radius that exceed the deformation radius can generally be detected
(Isern-Fontanet et al., 2006; Pascual et al., 2007; Rio et al., 2007; Amitai et al., 2010; Mkhinini
et al., 2014; Ioannou et al., 2017). Nevertheless, the spatio-temporal heterogeneity of the
altimetric tracks of satellites may also miss eddies or induce systematic bias on eddy intensity.

153

To follow the complete trajectory of the surveyed anticyclone and its interaction with 154 155 neighboring eddies, we used the AMEDA algorithm (Le Vu et al., 2018). This automatic eddy detection and tracking algorithm uses a hybrid methodology based on dynamical physical 156 parameters (local normalized angular momentum) and geometrical properties of the velocity 157 field (closed streamlines). It quantifies the dynamical characteristics of the detected eddies and 158 especially the mean velocity profile. When the distance to the center increases, the mean 159 azimuthal velocity increases until a maximum value (Vmax) is reached. A characteristic 160 contour, i.e. the closed streamline corresponding to the maximum azimuthal velocity, is obtained 161 for each eddy. A typical eddy radius R_{max}, also called the speed radius in previous studies 162 (Chelton et al., 2011), is then computed from the area $A = \pi R_{max}^2$ surrounded by the characteristic 163 contour. However, most importantly, the tracking procedure identifies merging and splitting 164 165 events. Therefore, it can better track the origin of the water masses inside the detected eddy.

166

167 2.2 In situ sampling strategy

168

Given that the eddy was detected in mid-March, before the field experiment (Figures 2 e-f) south
of the NBF, it was targeted from 27 March to 1 April 2016 taking advantage of a
meteorologically favorable period. It was sampled with

- a towed undulating vehicle, the SeaSoar designed and built by Chelsea Instruments;
 mounted on either side were two Sea-Bird SBE-9 (with SBE 3 temperature and SBE 4
 conductivity sensors) instruments and a chlorophyll *a* WetStar WET Labs fluorometer;
- CTD casts performed with the Sea-Bird SBE-9 instrument and a LADCP (Lowered Acoustic Doppler Profiler, RDI 150 kHz) mounted in a General Oceanics 12-place rosette frame fitted with 12 Niskin bottles;
- drifters with holey sock positioned at 50 m, below the expected Ekman layer. After
 removing spikes, raw positions were resampled hourly using a B-spline interpolation,
 keeping the inertial oscillations.
- 181

Unfortunately the hull mounted ADCPs were out of order. Salinity was measured using the practical salinity scale. The towed undulating SeaSoar platform was operated with a horizontal velocity ranging from 8 to 9 knots. At this speed, the vehicle can descend to 400 m depth, allowing quasisynoptic sampling of the eddy with a horizontal resolution of about 1 nautical mile. The duration of a typical transect of 150km took half a day, which is less than the inertial period. The

sampling strategy is summarized in Figure 1. The first SeaSoar zonal transect (GT1) crossed the 187 eddy center estimated at 4°40' E 39°50' N. The second transect (GT2, not shown) is a transit 188 transect outside the eddy up to its northern boundary. The GT3 transect is a meridional transect 189 sampling the eddy from its northern edge, near the North Balearic Front, to the eddy center. 190 191 Unfortunately, the vessel was not allowed to extend further south (unauthorized area). The last 192 transect (GT5, not shown) crossed the eddy center again from the southwest to the northeast and confirmed the position of the eddy center and some cylindrical symmetry of the eddy pattern. On 193 29 March, nine CTD casts (numbered CTD013 to CTD021 from eddy center towards the NBF) 194 195 with LADCP profiles were taken along the GT3 transect to obtain additional information on deeper layers (at least down to 1500m). In the early morning, four drifters (with holey socks 196 immersed at 50 m depth) were dropped successively near the estimated eddy center during the 197 transit between CTD0013 and CDT0014. Lastly, four parallel meridional Seasoar transects 198 (RDT1 to RDT4) were performed along the northern edge to investigate the interactions with the 199 200 NBF and the possible presence of fine-scale structures. A typical profile of the northern area was then sampled by a final CTD cast (CDT022) and LADCP measurements before leaving the area 201 at the very end of the meteorologically favorable period. The total duration of this in situ 202 sampling was about four days but the drifters remained trapped in the eddy core for 203 204 approximately one month.

205

206 **3 Eddy detection and tracking**

207

208 3.1 The past trajectory of the anticyclone: from its birth to the North Balearic Front

209

The use of the automatic eddy detection and tracking algorithm AMEDA made it possible to 210 trace the anticyclonic eddy back in time. Besides we also used high-resolution SST images 211 (VIIRS/Suomi-NPP) and the trajectories of the few surface drifters located in the area to validate 212 the eddy trajecrory. The combination of these different datasets and the characteristic eddy 213 214 contours computed using AMEDA are shown in Figure 2. We found that the surveyed 215 anticyclone arose from the splitting of an AE that occurred in late October 2015. On 2 October 2015 (Figure 2a, b) the AMEDA algorithm clearly identified a large-scale anticyclone centered 216 on 38.5°N 5.7°E, associated with a 25 cm ADT dome. At that time, one surface drifter (red 217 trajectory in Figure 2a) looped around the characteristic contours of the eddy, i.e. the streamline 218 corresponding to the maximum of the mean azimuthal velocity (thick blue contour in Figure 2a, 219 220 b). From this specific drifter trajectory, we estimated the eddy velocity V_{max} to be 30–35 cm/s and its characteristic radius R_{max} to be 50 km. These in situ measurements are in good agreement 221 with the analysis of the AVISO geostrophic velocity field given by the AMEDA algorithm, 222 which estimated a mean radius of R_{max} of 50 km and a maximal azimuthal velocity V_{max} of 32 223 224 cm/s for the first week of October 2015. The small Rossby number (Ro= $V_{max}/(f R_{max})$; ~0.07) corresponds to a large mesoscale eddy satisfying the geostrophic balance. The past trajectory of 225 this anticyclone (black solid line in Figure 2) shows that it emerged from a meander of the 226 Algerian Current, which detached from the coast at 8°E and traveled up to the Sardinian coast. 227

This dynamical history shows that it can be classified as a typical AE. At this stage (Figure 2a), a smaller anticyclonic structure seemed to appear at its northeast edge (39.5°N 6.8°E), but the AMEDA algorithm did not identify it as a coherent eddy having closed streamlines.

Later, on 23 October, closed streamlines began to be detected around the small anticyclone and 231 232 on 27-28 October a characteristic shared contour (the green contour in Figure 2c) was identified by the AMEDA algorithm. The formation of a new eddy simultaneously with the appearance of a 233 characteristic shared contour provided evidence for a splitting event (Le Vu et al., 2018). In 234 addition, the trajectory of the drifter, which passed from the initial AE to the new anticyclone 235 (red line in Figure 2c), confirmed the transfer of water mass between the two structures. The 236 dynamical core of the large AE fits well with the SST patterns (Figure 2b,d) even if the SST 237 signature of the small anticyclone was not as clear at this stage. However, analysis of the AVISO 238 geostrophic currents shows that the radius of this small anticyclone grew rapidly from ~ 20 km on 239 28 October to ~40 km on 8 November. Moreover, the surface drifter made several anticyclonic 240 241 loops around the new eddy center during the same period, thereby confirming the presence of the anticyclonic structure. 242

243

Four months later, a few days before the survey, this mesoscale anticyclone shifted further to the northwest following a clockwise path (Figure 2e,f). Its typical speed radius R_{max} was still around 40 km and associated with ADT doming reaching 15 cm. The characteristic contour of the eddy (blue line in Figure 2f) is in good agreement with the standard SST pattern of anticyclonic eddies

- in winter, showing a warm SST anomaly (Puillat et al., 2002). At that time, the AE had moved
- back to the Algerian coast following a cyclonic path in accordance with a two-gyre circulation of
- the eddies depicted by Testor et al. (2005) and Escudier et al. (2016a). This eddy remained
- 251 coherent (it kept an anticyclonic core with closed streamlines) and interacted with the Algerian
- 252 Current inducing a very large meander along the coast that propagate eastward (Figure 2e).
- 253
- 254 3.2 Merging of the surveyed anticyclone with the Algerian eddy
- 255

256 The anticyclone surveyed at the end of March during the ProtevsMed 2016 cruise was detected and tracked on the AVISO datasets until 4 May 2016. On 25 April, the structure was captured by 257 the drifters, which looped in its core (Figure 3a), and by the SST pattern (Figure 3 b), which 258 showed a cold circular anomaly with spiraling structures and stirred warm filaments especially 259 on its north side. However, despite its relatively large size, with a diameter being larger than 50 260 261 km (according to the drifter loops), the AVISO products combined with the AMEDA algorithm did not detect the structure for one week. For instance, no closed streamlines were found at the 262 eddy location on 25 April (Figure 3a). This error in detection is mainly due to the lack of 263 altimetric tracks crossing the eddy area for almost 10 days. 264

265

The larger AE identified more southward (39°N 7°E) is a remnant of an AE persisting since the previous November (year 2015), it interacted with the Algerian Current and partially detached from the coast during the first two weeks of April with similar characteristics: a 25 cm ADT
dome and a characteristic radius Rmax of around 45 km.

270

The monitored anticyclone (A) was detected again one week later, on 3 May 2016, with the altimetric analysis. The characteristic contour (blue line in Figure 3c) given by the AMEDA algorithm was slightly shifted compared with the drifter loops (Figure 3c) and the cold SST anomaly (Figure 3d). A shared characteristic contour was also identified (closed green contour in Figure 3c) on the geostrophic surface currents that encompassed both anticyclones. The subsequent disappearance of the small anticyclone indicates that the latter merged back with the AE.

278

This merging occurred rapidly (less than 10 days) and on 30 May (Figure 3e,f), the fusion was complete and resulted in a wide anticyclonic structure that captured all the drifters. This fusion confirms that the mass transfer from the small eddy to the large eddy was comprehensive. Hence, the anticyclonic eddy (A) that split from an AE in October 2015 merged back with it seven months later.

284

285 3.3 From warm core to cold core

286

In winter, AEs are characterized by warm surface cores of AW in a surrounding of colder water masses. The present eddy was easily revealed before the field experiment as a warm patch on remote-sensed data on 25 March (Figure 2f). This feature persisted during the cruise experiment and the CTD casts did not exhibit any notable surface stratification in the eddy core.

291

In early spring, when the downwards atmosphere-ocean heat flux becomes positive again, the 292 upper seasonal thermocline tends to reduce the horizontal gradients of the sea surface 293 temperature induced by deep mesoscale eddies. On a contrary, eddy-wind interactions lead to a 294 total wind stress curl that favors upwelling (McGillicuddy et al., 2007). Gaube et al. (2014) 295 showed that this upwelling is associated with weaker downwelling, forming an antisymmetric 296 dipole in the core. Hence, both processes induce Ekman pumping inside the anticyclone and 297 298 therefore reduce (or delay) surface warming. This may explain why the anticyclones A (Fig 3a, e) and AE (Figure 3e, f) appeared now as relative cold cores. Moreover, both the Rossby number 299 (Ro=0.13) and the relative core vorticity ($\zeta/f\sim-0.4$) of the anticyclone A reach non-negligible 300 301 values (see below paragraphs 4.3 and 4.5) and ageostrophic dynamics (Mahedevan et al., 2008) may induces vertical mixing. The numerical simulation of Brannigan et al. (2016) and Zhong et 302 al. (2017), performed at very high resolution, also suggested that the surface wind-stress induces 303 ageostrophic instabilities in the mixed layer and initiate vertical mixing in anticyclonic eddies. 304 These ageostrophic and small scale process could also explain the relative cooling of surface 305 water inside the anticyclone. 306

308 4 In situ observations and the dual-core vertical eddy structure

309

310 4.1 Hydrographic transects

311

The first transect (GT1) crossed the eddy at its center and then revealed the main properties of 312 the eddy. It was composed of two very distinct water masses (A and A' in Figure 4) leading to a 313 negative anomaly of potential density. In the surface layer, the eddy was formed by a lens of AW 314 having a salinity of less than 37.75 and a potential temperature greater than 15°C. Near the core, 315 the thickness of this layer reached 150 m and the outer diameter of the eddy, estimated where 316 317 isopycnals intersect the surface, was in the range of 100-125 km. Next to the eddy center, under this surface layer, a smaller hemispheric lens of cold water (less than 13.2°C) seemed trapped 318 under the surface structure. This water mass was also relatively fresh (salinity in the range of 319 37.90-38.2); it fell within the scope of WIW characteristics. The transition between the two 320 water masses was very thin and located at a depth of 180 m. On the GT1 transect, isopycnals 321 322 separating the two water masses were, nearly horizontal, indicating that under geostrophic approximation, they spin together more or less at the same azimuthal velocity. Nevertheless, the 323 GT3 transect (central panels in Figure 4) showed a small slope for the isopycnals, suggesting 324 slight differences in azimuthal velocities. 325

326 Although this water mass did not have the typical characteristics of the WIW core (i.e. salinity between 38.1 and 38.3 and temperature less than 13.0°C, according to Vargas-Yáñez et al., 327 2012), this lens was obviously the result of a similar generating process. The winter cooling of 328 surface water in the northern part of the western Mediterranean Basin can isolate patches of 329 fresher and colder water from air-sea exchanges, WIW, allowing them to persist below the 330 surface layer for several months. The temperature and salinity of such patches depend on the 331 generation area and on the intensity of winter cooling. WIW formed on the shelf of the Gulf of 332 Lion or around the Ebro plume are fresher and colder than those formed along the offshore limit 333 of Northern Current or in the NBF. 334

335

In the western part of GT1 (5°E), small patches of WIW were located at 200 m depth with a temperature similar to the eddy one, but with higher salinity (between 38.3-38.4).

The first half of the transect from the eddy boundary southwards (GT3) was very similar to the 338 339 GT1 transect. In the northern part of the GT3 transect, the eddy was in contact with a subsurface cold water structure generated during the winter in the northern part of the western 340 Mediterranean Basin. This modal structure (weddy (W) shown in Figure 4) has been repeatedly 341 described in this area (Fuda et al., 2000; Goncharov et al., 2003) and appeared more clearly a 342 343 little bit later and a more eastwards in the RDT4 transect. The perturbation of the isopycnal characterizes the anticyclonic behavior of the weddy (Bosse et al 2016). Northwards, near 344 40.9°N, an SCV induced a density perturbation down to at least 350 m. This SCV was a thin 345 anticyclone (diameter in the range of 20 km if the transect had crossed the center). Strikingly, 346

347 LIW ribbons were located on its flank with temperatures warmer than 13.5°C and salinity in the

range of 38.6 at a depth of 250-300 m. Around the eddy at 300 m depth, the top of the LIW layer 348 displayed increasing salinity around 38.5 and temperatures in the range of 13.4-13.5°C. Crossing 349

the NBF northwards, we therefore revealed a succession of anticyclones: an AE-like eddy 350

- (diameter of about 80 km), a subsurface weddy (diameter of about 45 km) and an SCV (diameter 351
- 352 about 20 km), all interacting with each other.
- 353
- 4.2 Identification of water masses 354
- 355

The water masses present in this area were easily identifiable on the T/S diagram derived from 356 357 CTD casts (Figure 5). Unsurprisingly, there were four of them, namely:

- 358 • LIW characterized by an absolute maximum of salinity associated with a relative 359 maximum of temperature;
- 360 • Western Mediterranean Deep Water (WMDW);
- 361 • AW;
- WIWs of different characteristics. 362

The core LIW was present in the whole area between 400 and 500 m depth, even under the eddy 363 core where the maximum of salinity was deeper (600 m), in agreement with downwards convex 364 isopycnals frequently observed under anticyclonic structures. The characteristics of the LIW in 365 this area were a temperature around 13.5°C and salinity of the order of 38.6 for all CTD cast 366 profiles. Under the eddy, the LIW layer was saltier and thicker. Because the isopycnals 367 368 corresponding to the LIW were convex, the rotation induced Potential Vorticity patterns that preserved the rotating water bodies from surrounding environment where LIW were less marked 369 and more mixed. 370

371

372 At a depth of 800 m, the eddy no longer affected potential density, although temperature and salinity remained affected down to 1200 m. The WMDW characteristics showed temperatures of 373 less than 12.9°C and salinity of less than 38.5 in the deeper part of the profile. In the last 500 m 374 above the seafloor this water mass exhibited a slight increase in salinity and temperature (not 375 shown) due to the southward migration of deep-convected water in the Gulf of Lion. 376

377

The WIW present in the eddy core appeared very clearly in the TS diagram (Figure 5), with a 378 near-core profile showing a very marked water mass with a temperature of 13.15°C and salinity 379 380 of 38.05°C. This isolated water mass was present only in the eddy core, but WIWs with other 381 characteristics were present around the eddy and also appeared on the TS diagram as denser, with less marked minima of temperature and salinity in the range of 38.25-38.45. 382

383

384 4.3 Velocity measurements inside the anticyclone

385

Using the high-resolution SeaSoar transect (a mean distance of 1200 m between the rising and 386 387 descending oscillation), we derived geostrophic currents from the density profiles. To do so, we assumed that the SeaSoar oscillations were vertical. The measured density profiles were then 388

- used to derive, according to the thermal wind equation, the transverse geostrophic velocity, 389 considering the deepest level at 325 m as a zero velocity level. The geostrophic velocity section 390 along the GT1 transect (Figure 6b) shows a surface-intensified eddy with a maximal intensity in 391 the range of 40 cm/s in the surface layer. The eddy center, where the azimuthal velocity 392 393 vanished, was estimated to be near 5.75°E and the maximal values were reached at 5.35°E and 6.1°E. The estimated speed radius R_{max} was then around 32 km and the corresponding Rossby 394 number (Ro= $V_{max}/(f R_{max})$) where f is the Coriolis parameter at the eddy latitude; at 40°N, f = 395 $0.937 \ 10^{-4} \text{s}^{-1}$ ~ 0.13. The comparison with the AVISO geostrophic velocities along the same 396 transect is plotted in Figure 6a and shows that the meridional component of the surface velocity, 397 398 derived from altimetry, corroborates the geostrophic velocity (at 50 m), derived from the thermal wind equation. However, as discussed in section 2.1, the characteristic speed radius R_{max} appears 399 400 to be overestimated in the AVISO dataset.
- On the GT3 transect (half the north-south diameter), two independent velocity estimations were 401
- performed (Figure 7). First, the zonal (azimuthal) current was interpolated from 10 LADCP 402
- measurements taken during the CTD casts. Second, the zonal geostrophic velocities were 403 estimated from the GT3 SeaSoar transect with a reference level of 360 m. The zonal geostrophic
- current was also derived from the CTD profiles with a more reliable reference level of 1500 m 405
- (not shown). All velocity estimations exhibited the same pattern and roughly the same amplitude 406
- (up to 40 cm/s), thereby corroborating these independent velocity evaluations. The center of the 407 anticyclone was estimated at around 39.9°N and the eddy extended up to 40.5°N with surface-408
- intensified velocities. 409
- 410 A secondary intensified region corresponded to the WIW lens between 160 and 300 m depth. On the LADCP data, the rotation axis (A') of the WIW lens was not aligned with the rotation axis 411 412 (A) of the AW lens and appeared to be shifted southward. This feature was confirmed by the
- SeaSoar-estimated velocities that showed the same behavior near the core as in the GT1 transect. 413
- 414 Under the surface eddy boundary, the zonal current revealed a secondary circulation pattern at the subsurface, at a depth greater than 100-150 m. At 40.45°N, the current flowed westwards 415
- driven by the anticyclone of modal water (W), centered at 40.55°N described above (Figure. 416
- 417 4b.c).
- 418

404

- 419 4.4 Interaction with the North Balearic Front
- 420

In March, the eddy moved northwestwards until it reached the Balearic Front and interacted with 421 the colder water located behind the front. The two anticyclonic structures A and W likely 422 423 interacted with each other, as revealed by the vertical shear located at 40.4°N and at a depth of 75 m on the LADPC recorded velocities (Figure 7a). Using kriging techniques, an approximation 424 of the horizontal temperature field at 75 m depth was deduced from all the Seasoar transects 425 (Figure 8a). The anticyclonic eddy (A) was identified at 75 m deep by a patch of warm water 426 centered near 5°40'W 39°55'N; the second anticyclone (W) appeared as a cold (and smaller) 427 patch centered near 5°40'W 40°30'N and was a modal structure composed of WIW. The 10 direct 428

LADCP velocity measurements at 75 m depth were interpolated along the 5°40'N (GT3) transect 429 and indicated that some of the subsurface eddy water mass was caught by the weddy-induced 430 circulation. Above the modal water vortex W, an anticyclonic wrap of surface AW was trapped 431 by the weddy and detached from eddy A (Figure 8b). Evidence for this process was also clear on 432 433 the RDT4 transect (Figure 4); the AW wrapped over the weddy appeared on the surface near 41°N as warm and fresh water filaments. Weddies are ubiquitous, at least during winter and 434 spring in the NBF, and often appear as cold-core anticyclones on remote-sensed SST data, e.g. 435 during the cruise for the month of March 2016 (x in Figure 8b). This eddy-weddy interaction 436 leads to a net transport of AW across the NBF, giving some buoyancy to the Northern Gyre and 437 feeding, with the West and East Corsica Currents, the density dynamics of the northwestern 438 Mediterranean Basin. 439

440

441 4.5 Lagrangian velocities and drifting buoys

442

443 To estimate the rotation within the eddy core and the drifting speed of the anticyclone, four SVPtype drifters, with a 50 m depth holey sock, were dropped near the eddy center on 29 March. The 444 four drifters remained trapped in the eddy for almost one month with very similar trajectories 445 (Figure 9a). The average rotation period deduced from the latitudinal or longitudinal 446 displacement (Figure 9c,d) was in the range of 4 days. The Lagrangian velocities varied from 0.1 447 to 0.7 m/s with a mean value of around 40 cm/s after 4 April (Figure 9b). Early May, the drifters 448 exited from the surveyed anticyclone and were caught by the larger AE located south as shown 449 in the eddy tracking (Figure 3). 450

451

Given that the tracks were elliptic and the horizontal flux was assumed almost non-divergent, the drifter velocities increased when crossing the semi-minor axis (northernmost or southernmost position) and decreased when crossing the semi-major axis (westernmost or easternmost position).Moreover, at the beginning of the track, drifters were closer to the eddy center and obviously moved slower (0.1-0.2 m/s). All drifters decelerated on 9 April when the eddy rapidly drifted southwards and then eastwards.

Assuming the eddies are ellipses that vary continuously in size, shape and position, it is possible to extract geometrical properties of the track as instantaneous virtual streamlines from the x-y displacements of drifters (Lilly & Gascard, 2006; Brassington, 2006; Paillet et al., 2002). Therefore, the mean (averaged over one rotation period) position and velocity of the eddy center are available as the mean velocity or mean distance of the drifter from the idealized eddy center.

The four buoys drifted away from the center during the five first days. The mean radius increased, indicating a divergence in the surface layer (Figure 9e) and the radial velocity was approximately 0.04 m/s The drifters remained trapped inside the eddy for one month at a radius of 24 km, corresponding to the size of the homogeneous AW layer at 50 m depth (the mean depth of the holey sock). The ratio of the mean azimuthal velocity with respect to the mean radius was nearly constant for drifting buoys in the eddy (Figure 9f). For all drifters, the slopes

469 of V_{mean} plotted against R_{mean} remained almost constant in the eddy core, with a value of around

 $0.18 \ 10^{-4} \ s^{-1}$ (e.g. a mean azimuthal velocity of 0.40 m/s for a mean radius of 22 km). The eddy 470 ellipticity ε was deduced from the drifter trajectories and remained weak $\varepsilon \sim 0.2$. Hence, 471 assuming a quasi-circular shape, we estimated the relative core vorticity at $\zeta_0/f = 2 V_{\text{mean}}/(f R_{\text{mean}})$ 472 ~ -0.42, with $f = 0.937 \ 10^{-4} \text{s}^{-1}$. According to this value (greater than the critical value $\zeta_0/f = -1$). 473 the potential vorticity remained positive and this mesoscale anticyclone cannot be affected by 474 475 unstable inertial perturbations (Kloosterziel, et al., 2007; Lazar et al., 2013a, 2013b). It may therefore remain stable and coherent for several months. Nevertheless, the negative core vorticity 476 was not negligible and the eddy was at the limit of validity for the geostrophic balance. The 477 centrifugal terms were no longer negligible and some secondary ageostrophic dynamics 478 479 (Mahedevan et al., 2008) may occur.

The azimuthal velocity profile derived by the AMEDA algorithm from the AVISO geostrophic 480 velocities (gray curve on Figure 9f) underestimated the in situ drifter velocities. Similar 481 underestimation of surface currents derived from gridded altimetric maps in comparison with in 482 483 situ measurements have been reported for the North Atlantic (Fratantoni, 2001), the Alboran Gyre (Juza et al., 2016) and the Ierapetra anticyclone (Ioannou et al., 2017), due to filtering, 484 smoothing and optimal interpolation of altimetric data. The position of the eddy center inferred 485 from the AMEDA tracking tools corresponds to that deduced from the drifting buoy analysis 486 (Figure 9a,c,d). When the eddy moved eastward (between 15 and 25 April), it escaped for 10 487 days the altimeter tracks, and thus optimal interpolation and no positions were calculated. 488

489

490 4.6 Observation of submesoscale and fine-scale structures

drawing the non-dimensional spice variable defined as:

491

SeaSoar transects and vertical profiles showed fine-scale structures inside or around the eddy exhibiting secondary motions at small scales, below the deformation radius. Already observable in hydrological transects (Figure 4), some small vertical-scale features can be highlighted by

495

498

$$s = \alpha(T - T_0) + \beta(S - S_0),$$

where α, β, T, S are respectively the thermal expansion coefficient, the haline contraction coefficient, the potential temperature and the salinity of seawater. S₀ and T₀ are reference temperature and salinity. Spice behaves as a passive tracer and can reveal secondary circulation patterns not directly driven by the mean density field. This variable highlights the submesoscale structures inside and around eddies (L'Hégaret et al., 2016; Pietri & Karstensen, 2018).

504

Inside the eddy core, in the AW layer just below the surface Ekman layer, three or four cells of less spicy water were detected between 50 m and 120 m deep (blue dots in Figure 10a). These cells corresponded also to patch of chlorophyll a (chl *a*) (Figure 10b). They are separated by vertical plumes of more spicy water and of lower biological productivity. This particular pattern, already revealed on the temperature field and less obviously on the salinity field (Figure 4), was

robust. These cells were similarly present on all other transects, suggesting a horizontal annular 510 or spiraling shape. Vertical exchange between the top and the bottom of the eddy core is obvious, 511 but the spice isopleths did not follow the isopycnals, and there is no evidence for intense 512 convection. Assuming the eddy cores were not exactly in solid rotation, stirring of the 513 514 upwelling/downwelling dipole may lead to this pattern. Alternatively, these observations are consistent with a study that showed, based on measurements and modeling, small-scale 515 variations in strain, divergence and vorticity in the eddy core (Zhong et al., 2017). A numerical 516 study (Brannigan, 2017) showed similar vertical structure of a passive tracer in the eddy core 517 with a decrease in grid size to 250 m. Both studies attributed the small-scale vertical structures to 518 mixed layer instability and ageostrophic dynamics. 519

The second striking small-scale observations involve the "arms" encompassing the WIW part of 520 the eddy and more noticeable at its western boundary. These structures were also present in other 521 eddy transects. The arms (plumes of more spicy water denoted 'a-a', b-b', c-c', d-d', e-e', Figure 522 523 10) clearly crossed the isopycnals and were roughly aligned on an azimuthal velocity gradient (see Figure 6). Therefore, passive stirring by the geostrophic velocity field may be involved. Due 524 to the horizontal gradients of seawater properties (the NBF) or in the presence of patches of 525 different water masses, the shear of azimuthal velocity can generate layering aligned on a 526 velocity gradient. A study on the layering formation in a modal structure suggests that the 527 variability due to vortex instabilities is able to initiate the stirring process, leading to the 528 prediction that layering under surface-intensified vortices occurs (Meunier et al. (2014). 529

- Patchiness of phytoplankton also revealed submesoscale processes in the surface and subsurface 530 layers. Fluorescence is a proxy for the estimation of chl a concentrations and phytoplankton 531 abundance (Figure 10b). Inside the eddy core, the phytoplankton was strictly confined to the first 532 150 m, corresponding to mixed and ventilated AW layer, with a subsurface maximum located at 533 around 75 m depth. Patches of phytoplankton corresponded to spice structures. Dynamically 534 isolated from the surface and located under the euphotic layer, the core of WIW is logically not 535 involved in primary production. In contrast, the eddy boundaries, where isopycnals outcrop, were 536 in an area of stronger primary production generated by vertical fluxes of nutrients and 537 phytoplankton. Among the processes involved, the interaction of the eddy velocity and structures 538 with wind stress and air-sea exchanges may generate symmetric instabilities leading to a spatial 539 540 succession of upwelling and downwelling along the frontal area (Brannigan, 2016). The 541 observations in the present eddy are in agreement with these hypotheses, because the plumes of phytoplankton were found along isopycnals near the eddy boundaries. 542
- 543

The CTD casts 14 and 15 were both located in the core, along the GT3 transect where the two components of the eddy (AW and WIW) lenses were superimposed (figure 11). As expected, the Brunt-Väisälä frequency was very high (greater than f) between the two water masses, thereby inhibiting vertical exchange of salt, heat and momentum and preserving the two-layer structure of the anticyclone from diapycnal mixing. The thickness of this layer was roughly 50 m (yellowshaded area in Figure. 11). Vertical profiles of current were recorded with the LADCP. The data

collected during the raising and lowering of the current profiler show striking features in this 550 transition area. The zonal velocity (here, the azimuthal velocity in the eddy frame) was weaker 551 than that of the two adjacent water masses. On CTD 14, the azimuthal velocity even vanished in 552 this zone. This azimuthal velocity was not exactly identical in the AW and WIW lenses, as 553 554 already suggested by the -velocity estimations (Figures 6 and 7). The current in the deeper structure was slightly stronger. The meridional velocity (here, the radial velocity in the eddy 555 frame) remained weak or nil as expected in classical eddy models, but reached a maximum in the 556 area of increased Brunt-Väisälä frequency. The current veering suggests that this layer acts as an 557 Ekman layer between the two water masses spinning together in the same way but with different 558 velocities and probably misaligned rotation axes. The two parts of the eddy were dynamically 559 uncoupled in terms of heat, salt and momentum exchange. 560

561

562 5 Discussion: where does the cold subsurface water inside the anticyclone come from?563

As shown above, the surface layer of eddy AA' was clearly formed from AW, which regularly stays in the Algerian Basin, south of the NBF. The WIW found below the AW was formed in the northern part of the Algerian Basin, north of the NBF. This unexpected cold patch embedded under a relatively typical eddy required further investigation.

568 We explored all Argo float data (35,529 profiles), glider data (72,007 profiles), CTD casts (3621

569 profiles) and thermosalinograph (TSG) transects available for the western Mediterranean Sea in

570 the Coriolis database (<u>http://www.coriolis.eu.org</u>). Looking for the water mass encompassing all

571 types of WIW (potential temperature less than 13.2°C; salinity less than 38.2) showed that WIW

572 masses were found at various depths (mainly at 20-50 m) in the Gulf of Lion, in the North

573 Current, north of the Balearic Islands and along the NBF (Figure 12). In the southern part of the

basin, WIW was sporadically found deeper, between 120 m and 250 m, as modal waters. Two paths of southward migration of WIW appeared in the data: the first path goes through the Ibiza

575 paths of southward migration of WIW appeared in the data: the first path goes through the Ibiza 576 Channel as already discussed by Pinot & Ganachaud. (1999); the second path crosses the NBF

- 577 east from Minorca as modeled by Juza (2013).
- 578

579 There are at least three processes that may be involved in the formation of this singular structure. 580

The first process assumes that WIW is already present under the Algerian Current, as sporadically observed (Benzohra et al., 1995a;1995b), and is caught by the eddy during its formation. Thereafter, this double eddy follows a typical path until it reaches the NBF, east of Minorca Island. However, despite one unique report, WIW is generally not found close to the Algerian coast.

586

587 Second, the formation of WIW during winter is not the result of classical convection generated 588 by static instability leading to vertical motion in convective plumes, thereby enhancing strong 589 vertical and horizontal mixing. Instead, WIW appears to plunge along the isopycnal surfaces and

590 preserve the characteristics of its water mass, involving a symmetric instability process. The

main part of the WIW is known to be formed by frontal dynamics along the North Current and 591 the Balearic Fronts. Nevertheless, in this context, anticyclonic eddies in the NBF are particularly 592 predisposed to subduction processes as isopycnals outcrop. The WIW may plunge under an 593 anticyclonic eddy in the Balearic Front during strong convection events (mistral gusts in 594 595 February or March), as observed during last HYMEX experiment, in glider tracks in 2013 (see Figure 6 in Estournel et al., 2016). However, winter 2015-2016 was too mild to generate such 596 WIW characteristics (no sufficiently low temperatures were recorded by infrared images). 597 Therefore, although this process deserves mention, it cannot have occurred for this eddy. 598

599

The third process assumes the vertical alignment of an Algerian surface eddy formed from AW with a subsurface weddy, formed from WIW. Weddies are frequently observed north of the NBF and are found to move towards the Algerian Basin across the Balearic Straits. Although a few recent eddy tracking algorithms have detected merging events between surface eddies (Yi et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014; Le Vu et al., 2018), the merging or alignment between a surface eddy and a subsurface lens cannot usually be detected with remote-sensing observations. Hence, such vertical alignment can happen even if we have no evidence of its creation.

The coalescence of two anticyclonic structures has rarely been observed in situ. Cresswell (1982) 607 demonstrated the coalescence of two anticyclonic eddies having different thicknesses and depths 608 along the southwestern coast of Australia. The water mass of each structure was characterized 609 during the first cruise leg and retrieved in the final structure during the second leg. The CTD cast 610 in the final anticyclone exhibited a dual-core structure similar to the one we observed here. In the 611 same area, Baird et al. (2012) described dual-core eddies formed on the surface by water from 612 the unstable East Australian Current and in subsurface by denser water from Bass Strait. In a 613 stratified rotating flow, anticyclonic vortices of different densities tend to align vertically (Nof & 614 Dewar, 1994), further supporting this assumption of vertical alignment of two separate 615 structures. 616

Interestingly, the careful examination of all profiles available in the northwestern Mediterranean 617 Sea in the Coriolis database revealed only one series of TS profiles from an SCV that is 618 619 consistent with the WIW characteristics present in the lower layer of the eddy (Figure 12b). It was found in the Algerian Basin (5°W 38.5°N) in July 2015, eight months before our observation 620 in March 2016, in an area affected by the dynamics of the larger southern AE (Figure 2). 621 Unfortunately, no surface signature in sea surface height nor in SST was associated with this 622 internal structure and the profiles did not show any surface density anomaly related to surface 623 structure. This SCV is nevertheless a good candidate for an alignment with a surface AE in the 624 625 Algerian Basin, providing further support for the assumption of a coalescence process.

626

627 6 Conclusions

A high-resolution survey of an Algerian Eddy revealed an unexpected, dual-core eddy. Under the AE surface composed of AW, we found a coherent WIW water mass. These two water masses that rotate together in the same dynamical structure are not coupled by friction.

Tracking this surface eddy from its birth – upon splitting from a bigger AE – to its death – upon merging –, and examining all Argo drifters available in the western Mediterranean Sea, revealed an SCV in the Algerian basin, close to the past eddy trajectory, with the appropriate WIW characteristics. Our investigations suggest that an alignment of this SCV with an AE is the origin of this dual core eddy.

637

AEs composed of AW have been also associated with westward transportation of LIW in the
Algerian basin. Because LIW exists as modal structures in the western Mediterranean Sea, the
same vertical alignment with LIW can be expected.

641

642 Using high-resolution sampling, submesoscale features have been pointed out in observations, related to stirring when aligned on geostrophic velocity gradients or related to symmetric 643 instability when aligned on outcropped isopycnals. The processes leading to the submesoscale 644 observations described in this study are still under debate. Unfortunately, the lack of fine-scale 645 azimuthal and radial velocity measurements hinders any further data interpretation. We 646 illustrated that it is possible to carry out synoptic and in situ measurements of the submesoscale 647 structure. More simultaneous measurements at both mesoscale and submesoscale should be 648 generalized in future campaigns in order to validate and tune numerical simulations of the 649 internal eddy dynamics at very high resolution. 650

651

652 The NBF is not only a surface and seasonal front, but also it clearly separates the Algerian Basin from the Northern Gyre dynamics. Nevertheless, this front is not hermetic and mesoscale 653 processes can enhance cross-front exchanges. Due to partial convection and surface water 654 subduction, anticyclones also stay in the northern and denser part of the front as modal water 655 structures. Called weddies, or SCVs when smaller, such winter-formed water migrates towards 656 the NBF and sometimes penetrates the Algerian Basin. Close to the front, they are able to catch 657 surface AW and then bring buoyancy to the general cyclonic dynamics encompassing the 658 659 northwestern Mediterranean Basin. Due to the occurrence of strong mesoscale and submesocale dynamics in a context of contrasted and well-defined water masses, the NBF provides the perfect 660 setting for investigating small-scale interactions, associated ageostrophic motion and energy 661 cascades. 662

663

664

665 666

667 Figure captions

Figure 1. Eddy signatures on the SST scene from a Metop/AVHRR/3 radiometer (29 March 669 2016). The eddy center (blue star) and the contour of maximum velocity (solid blue line) were 670 both inferred from the AVISO datasets, according to the AMEDA algorithm. The SeaSoar 671 transects are indicated with black lines. Drifter release positions are shown (light blue circles). 672 673 The crosses (x) indicate CTD cast positions and the red lines show the ship track. The situation box represents the position of the eddy in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea (blue square). The 674 mean position of North Balearic Front (NBF) is drawn (red dotted line). All in situ data were 675 recorded from 27 March to 1 April. 676

677

Figure 2. Eddy behavior before the field experiment.. Left column: Absolute dynamical topography (color), geostrophic currents (black arrows) and characteristic contours (blue and red lines) of the eddies detected by AMEDA plotted together. The trajectories of the Algerian eddy (AE) and the surveyed anticyclone (A) are depicted by a thick black line and the positions of drifting buoys (red circles) during the previous 15 days are shown (from dark red at on day 0 to white at on day -15). Rigth column: Sea surface temperature (VIIRS/ Suomi-NPP) and the characteristic contours (blue: anticyclones, red: cyclones) of the eddies detected by AMEDA.

685

Figure 3. Eddy behavior after the field experiment.. Right column: Absolute dynamical topography (color), geostrophic currents (black arrows) and characteristic contours (blue and red lines) of the eddies detected by AMEDA plotted together. The trajectories of the Algerian eddy (AE) and the surveyed anticyclone (A) are depicted by a thick black line and the positions of drifting buoys (red circles) during the previous 15 days are shown (from dark red at day 0 to white at -15 days). Left column: Sea surface temperature (VIIRS/ Suomi-NPP) and the characteristic contours (blue: anticyclones, red: cyclones) of the eddies detected by AMEDA.

693

Figure 4. Temperature (left panels) and salinity (right panels) vertical cross-sections along transects GT1 (28 March), GT3 (28 March 28) and RDT4 (30 March) from top to bottom respectively. White lines indicate density anomalies plotted every 1 kg/m³ (thick) or 0.25 kg/m³ (thin). CTD and LADCP measurements along GT3 are reported (13 to 22) but not used for interpolation. AA' indicates the surveyed eddy, W the adjacent weddy and SCV the northernmost sub-coherent vortex.

700

Figure 5. CTD casts along a north-south transect in the eddy. The light blue profile is located in the eddy core, the dark blue profile (CTD 14) near the eddy boundary (CTD 16) and the red profile in surrounding waters (CTD 21). (See Figures 1 and 4 for CTD positions). (a) Salinity and potential temperature profiles, (b) potential density, (c) TS diagram. The green-shaded area corresponds to the WIW layer near the eddy center. The grey curves on TS-diagram correspond to all others CTD cast of the transect.

Figure 6. (a) Geostrophic velocity along the GT1 transect deduced from AVISO field derived surface slope (dots) compared with geostrophic velocity at 50 m depth deduced from density field with a reference level at 325 m) (b) Geostrophic velocity along the GT1 transect.

711

Figure 7. Velocities along the north-south transect (GT3) deduced from direct measurement by LADCP (a), from the thermal wind equation using the SeaSoar transect with a reference depth of

714 360 m (b). CTD and LADCP stations are designated from 0013 to 0022.

715

Figure 8. (a) Temperature at 75 m. Gray lines are positions of data taken into account for kriging.

- Currents at 75 m were interpolated from LADCP measurements. (b) Sea surface temperature on
 30 March 2016. The positions of suspected weddies, associated with clockwise surface AW
- 719 advection are indicated (x and dashed arrows).
- 720

Figure 9. (a) Trajectories of the drifters dropped near the eddy core; dashed lines represent the track of the eddy center. (b) Lagrangian velocity experienced by the drifters from 29 March to 5 May; black dashed lines represent the velocity averaged over a rotation period. (c) Longitudinal and (d) latitudinal displacement of the drifters; the dashed line represents the motion of the eddy

725 center. (e) Mean radius averaged over a rotation period. (f) Mean azimuthal velocity against

mean radius. Colored lines denote the four dropped drifters; gray curve in (d) is the characteristic

727 of the eddy deduced from AMEDA for 31 March. Gray stars on (a)(c)(d) denote the eddy center

728 inferred from AMEDA tracking tool.

729

Figure 10. Non-dimensional spice variable (a) and decimal log of chlorophyll a (b) along the GT1 transect.

732

Figure 11. Brunt-Vaïsälä frequency (N/f) and velocity profile from LADCP measurements in the eddy core for CTD 14 and CTD 15 (see Figures 4 and 7 for position). The yellow-shaded area corresponds to the transition layer between the AW and the WIW parts of the eddy.

736

Figure 12. (a) Argo drifters, CTD, glider profiles and surface thermosalinograph positions in the
western Mediterranean Sea from 1995 to 2016 (gray dots) in the Coriolis database. When WIW
(potential temperature < 13.2°C and salinity < 38.2) is present, the mean depth of this water mass
layer is shown. (b) Temperature-salinity (TS) diagram from an ARGO profiler at 38.2°N 5°E in
July 2015 (white circle), gray dots are the TS diagrams of the CTD casts in the eddy recorded in
March 2016.

744 Acknowledgments

- 745 The authors acknowledge the financial support of the French Government Defense procurement
- and technology agency (DGA) through the PROTEVS project and the French National Research
- 747 Agency (ANR)-Astrid project DYNED-Atlas (ANR-15-ASMA-0003-01). They thank the
- technical team at the French Naval Hydrologic and Oceanographic Service (SHOM), the crew of

- the French Navy ship *Beautemps-Beaupré* for their contribution to the field experiment and the
- AVISO center for providing the surface geostrophic currents. Raw data are available on Seanoe data repository at: http://doi.org/10.17882/56028
- 752
- 753 References
- Allen, J. T., Painter, S. C., & Rixen, M. (2008). Eddy transport of Western Mediterranean
- 755 Intermediate Water to the Alboran Sea. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 113(C4),
- 756 C04024. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JC004649
- 757 Amitai, Y., Lehahn, Y., Lazar, A., & Heifetz, E. (2010). Surface circulation of the eastern
- 758 Mediterranean Levantine basin: Insights from analyzing 14 years of satellite altimetry data.
- *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *115*(C10), C10058.
- 760 https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JC006147
- 761 Baird, M. E., & Ridgway, K. R. (2012). The southward transport of sub-mesoscale lenses of
- 762 Bass Strait Water in the centre of anti-cyclonic mesoscale eddies. *Geophysical Research Letters*,
- 763 39(2), L02603. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL050643
- 764 Benzohra, M., & Millot, C. (1995a). Characteristics and circulation of the surface and
- 765 intermediate water masses off Algeria. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research
- 766 Papers, 42(10), 1803–1830. https://doi.org/10.1016/0967-0637(95)00043-6
- 767 Benzohra, M., & Millot, C. (1995b). Hydrodynamics of an open sea Algerian eddy. Deep Sea
- *Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 42(10), 1831–1847.
- 769 https://doi.org/10.1016/0967-0637(95)00046-9
- 770 Bosse, A., Testor, P., Houpert, L., Damien, P., Prieur, L., Hayes, D., ... Mortier, L. (2016).
- 771 Scales and dynamics of Submesoscale Coherent Vortices formed by deep convection in the
- northwestern Mediterranean Sea. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 121(10), 7716-
- 773 7742. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JC012144
- 774 Brannigan, L. (2016). Intense submesoscale upwelling in anticyclonic eddies. *Geophysical*
- 775 *Research Letters*, *43*(7), 2016GL067926. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL067926
- Brannigan, L., Marshall, D.P., Naveira Garabato, A.C., Nurser, A.J.G., Kaiser, J., 2017.
- Submesoscale Instabilities in Mesoscale Eddies. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 47, 3061–3085.
- 778 <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-16-0178.1</u>
- 779 Brassington, G. B. (2009). Estimating Surface Divergence of Ocean Eddies Using Observed
- 780 Trajectories from a Surface Drifting Buoy. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology,
- 781 27(4), 705–720. https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JTECHO651.1
- 782 Chelton, D. B., Schlax, M. G., & Samelson, R. M. (2011). Global observations of nonlinear
- mesoscale eddies. *Progress in Oceanography*, 91(2), 167–216.
- 784 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2011.01.002
- 785 Cotroneo, Y., Aulicino, G., Ruiz, S., Pascual, A., Budillon, G., Fusco, G., & Tintoré, J. (2016).
- 786 Glider and satellite high resolution monitoring of a mesoscale eddy in the algerian basin: Effects

- 787 on the mixed layer depth and biochemistry. Journal of Marine Systems.
- 788 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2015.12.004
- 789 Cresswell, G. R. (1982). The Coalescence of Two East Australian Current Warm-Core Eddies.
- 790 Science, 215(4529), 161–164. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.215.4529.161
- 791 Escudier, R., Mourre, B., Juza, M., & Tintoré, J. (2016a). Subsurface circulation and mesoscale
- variability in the Algerian subbasin from altimeter-derived eddy trajectories. *Journal of*
- 793 Geophysical Research: Oceans, 121(8), 6310–6322. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JC011760
- Escudier, R., Renault, L., Pascual, A., Brasseur, P., Chelton, D., Beuvier, J., 2016b. Eddy
- properties in the Western Mediterranean Sea from satellite altimetry and a numerical simulation.
- 796 Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 121, 3990–4006. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC011371</u>
- 797 Estournel, C., Testor, P., Taupier-Letage, I., Bouin, M.-N., Coppola, L., ... Somot, S. (2016).
- 798 HyMeX-SOP2: The Field Campaign Dedicated to Dense Water Formation in the Northwestern
- 799 Mediterranean. Oceanography, 29(4), 196–206. https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2016.94
- 800 Font, J., Isern-Fontanet, J., & Salas, J. D. J. (2004). Tracking a big anticyclonic eddy in the
- 801 western Mediterranean Sea. *Scientia Marina*, 68(3), 331–342.
- 802 Forryan, A., Allen, J. T., Edhouse, E., Silburn, B., Reeve, K., & Tesi, E. (2012). Turbulent
- 803 mixing in the eddy transport of Western Mediterranean Intermediate Water to the Alboran Sea.
- 804 Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 117(C9), C09008.
- 805 https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JC008284
- 806 Fratantoni, D. M. (2001). North Atlantic surface circulation during the 1990's observed with
- satellite-tracked drifters. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 106(C10), 22067–22093.
- 808 https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JC000730
- 809 Fuda, J. L., Millot, C., Taupier-Letage, I., Send, U., & Bocognano, J. M. (2000). XBT
- 810 monitoring of a meridian section across the western Mediterranean Sea. Deep Sea Research Part
- 811 *I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 47(11), 2191–2218. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967 812 0637(00)00018-2
- Gaube, P., Chelton, D. B., Samelson, R. M., Schlax, M. G., & O'Neill, L. W. (2014). Satellite
- 814 Observations of Mesoscale Eddy-Induced Ekman Pumping. Journal of Physical Oceanography,
- 815 45(1), 104–132. https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-14-0032.1
- 816 Goncharov, V. V., Yu, A., Chepurin, A., & Aleinik, D. L. (2003). Experimental Study of an
- 817 Intrathermocline Mediterranean Water Lens and Possibilities of Its Remote Detection. Isvestya,
- 818 Atmospheric and Oceanic Physics, 39(5), 614–623.
- 819 Ioannou, A., Stegner, A., Le Vu, B., Taupier-Letage, I., & Speich, S. (2017). Dynamical
- 820 Evolution of Intense Ierapetra Eddies on a 22 Year Long Period. Journal of Geophysical
- 821 Research: Oceans. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JC013158
- 822

- 823 Isern-Fontanet, J., García-Ladona, E., & Font, J. (2006). Vortices of the Mediterranean Sea: An
- Altimetric Perspective. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 36(1), 87–103.
- 825 https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO2826.1
- Juza, M., Renault, L., Ruiz, S., & Tintoré, J. (2013). Origin and pathways of Winter Intermediate
- 827 Water in the Northwestern Mediterranean Sea using observations and numerical simulation.
- *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *118*(12), 6621–6633.
- 829 https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009231
- 330 Juza, M., Mourre, B., Renault, L., Gómara, S., Sebastián, K., Lora, S., ... Tintoré, J. (2016).
- 831 SOCIB operational ocean forecasting system and multi-platform validation in the Western
- 832 Mediterranean Sea. Journal of Operational Oceanography, 9(sup1), s155–s166.
- 833 https://doi.org/10.1080/1755876X.2015.1117764
- 834 Kloosterziel, R. C., Carnevale, G. F., & Orlandi, P. (2007). Inertial instability in rotating and
- stratified fluids: barotropic vortices. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 583, 379–412.
- 836 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112007006325
- 837 Lazar, A., Stegner, A., & Heifetz, E. (2013a). Inertial instability of intense stratified
- anticyclones. Part 1. Generalized stability criterion. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 732, 457-484.
- 839 https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2013.412
- 840 Lazar, A., Stegner, A., & Heifetz, E. (2013b). Inertial instability of intense stratified
- anticyclones. Part 1. Generalized stability criterion. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 732, 457-484.
- 842 https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2013.412
- Le Vu, B., A. Stegner, and T. Arsouze, 2018: Angular Momentum Eddy Detection and Tracking
- Algorithm (AMEDA) and Its Application to Coastal Eddy Formation. J. Atmos. Oceanic
- 845 *Technol.*, **35**, 739–762, https://doi.org/10.1175/JTECH-D-17-0010.1
- 846 L'Hégaret, P., Carton, X., Louazel, S., & Boutin, G. (2016). Mesoscale eddies and submesoscale
- structures of Persian Gulf Water off the Omani coast in spring 2011. Ocean Sci., 12(3), 687–701.
- 848 https://doi.org/10.5194/os-12-687-2016
- Li, Q.-Y., Sun, L., & Lin, S.-F. (2016). GEM: a dynamic tracking model for mesoscale eddies in
- 850 the ocean. Ocean Sci., 12(6), 1249–1267. https://doi.org/10.5194/os-12-1249-2016
- Lilly, J. M., & Gascard, J.-C. (2006). Wavelet ridge diagnosis of time-varying elliptical signals
- with application to an oceanic eddy. *Nonlin. Processes Geophys.*, 13(5), 467–483.
- 853 https://doi.org/10.5194/npg-13-467-2006
- 854 Mahadevan, A., Thomas, L. N., & Tandon, A. (2008). Comment on 'Eddy/Wind Interactions
- 855 Stimulate Extraordinary Mid-Ocean Plankton Blooms'. Science, 320(5875), 448–448.
- 856 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1152111
- McGillicuddy, D. J., Anderson, L. A., Bates, N. R., Bibby, T., Buesseler, K. O., Carlson, C. A.,
- 858 ... Steinberg, D. K. (2007). Eddy/Wind Interactions Stimulate Extraordinary Mid-Ocean
- 859 Plankton Blooms. Science, 316(5827), 1021–1026. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1136256

- 860 McGillicuddy, D.J., 2016. Mechanisms of Physical-Biological-Biogeochemical Interaction at the
- 861 Oceanic Mesoscale. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 8, 125–159. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-</u>
 862 010814-015606
- 863 Mcwilliams, J.C., 2013. The Nature and Consequences of Oceanic Eddies, in: Ocean Modeling
- in an Eddying Regime. American Geophysical Union (AGU), pp. 5–15.
- 865 https://doi.org/10.1029/177GM03
- 866 Meunier, T., Ménesguen, C., Schopp, R., & Le Gentil, S. (2014). Tracer Stirring around a
- 867 Meddy: The Formation of Layering. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 45(2), 407–423.
- 868 https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-14-0061.1
- 869 Millot, C., Taupierletage, I., & Benzohra, M. (1990). The Algerian Eddies. Earth-Science
- 870 Reviews, 27(3), 203–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-8252(90)90003-E
- 871 Millot, C., 1999. Circulation in the Western Mediterranean Sea. Journal of Marine Systems 20, 423-
- 872 442.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-7963(98)00078-5</u>
- 873 Mkhinini, N., Coimbra, A. L. S., Stegner, A., Arsouze, T., Taupier-Letage, I., & Béranger, K.
- 874 (2014). Long-lived mesoscale eddies in the eastern Mediterranean Sea: Analysis of 20 years of
- AVISO geostrophic velocities. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 119(12), 8603–8626.
- 876 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010176
- Nof, D., & Dewar, W. K. (1994). Alignment of lenses: laboratory and numerical experiments.
- 878 Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers, 41(8), 1207–1229.
- 879 https://doi.org/10.1016/0967-0637(94)90041-8
- Paillet, J., Le Cann, B., Carton, X., Morel, Y., & Serpette, A. (2002). Dynamics and Evolution of
- a Northern Meddy. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 32(1), 55–79.
- 882 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(2002)032<0055:DAEOAN>2.0.CO;2
- Pascual, A., Pujol, M.-I., Larnicol, G., Le Traon, P.-Y., & Rio, M.-H. (2007). Mesoscale
- mapping capabilities of multisatellite altimeter missions: First results with real data in the
- 885 Mediterranean Sea. Journal of Marine Systems, 65(1), 190–211.
- 886 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2004.12.004
- 887 Perez, J. D. S. (2003). Evolution of the open-sea eddy ALGERS'98 in the Algerian Basin with
- Lagrangian trajectories and remote sensing observations. Journal of Marine Systems, 43(3-4),
- 889 105-131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2003.08.001
- 890 Pietri, A., Karstensen, J., 2018. Dynamical Characterization of a Low Oxygen Submesoscale
- 891 Coherent Vortex in the Eastern North Atlantic Ocean. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans
- 892 123, 2049–2065. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JC013177</u>
- 893 Pinot, J.-M., & Ganachaud, A. (1999). The role of winter intermediate waters in the spring-
- summer circulation of the Balearic Sea: 1. Hydrography and inverse box modeling. *Journal of*
- 895 Geophysical Research: Oceans, 104(C12), 29843–29864. https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JC900202
- 896 Puillat, I., Taupier-Letage, I., & Millot, C. (2002). Algerian Eddies lifetime can near 3 years.
- 897 Journal of Marine Systems, 31(4), 245–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-7963(01)00056-2

- 898 Pujol, M.-I., Faugère, Y., Taburet, G., Dupuy, S., Pelloquin, C., Ablain, M., & Picot, N. (2016).
- 899 DUACS DT2014: the new multi-mission altimeter data set reprocessed over 20 years. *Ocean*
- 900 Sci., 12(5), 1067–1090. https://doi.org/10.5194/os-12-1067-2016
- 901 Rio, M.-H., Poulain, P.-M., Pascual, A., Mauri, E., Larnicol, G., & Santoleri, R. (2007). A Mean
- 902 Dynamic Topography of the Mediterranean Sea computed from altimetric data, in-situ
- measurements and a general circulation model. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 65(1), 484–508.
- 904 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2005.02.006
- Robinson, A. R. (1983). *Eddies in Marine Science* (609 pp.). Berlin: Springer Verlag.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-69003-7
- 907 Ruiz, S., Font, J., Emelianov, M., Isern-Fontanet, J., Millot, C., Salas, J., & Taupier-Letage, I.
- 908 (2002). Deep structure of an open sea eddy in the Algerian Basin. Journal of Marine Systems,
- 909 33-34, 179-195. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-7963(02)00058-1
- 910 Ruiz, S., Pascual, A., Garau, B., Pujol, I., & Tintoré, J. (2009). Vertical motion in the upper
- ocean from glider and altimetry data. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *36*(14), L14607.
- 912 https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL038569
- 913 Salas J., 2003. Evolution of the open-sea eddy ALGERS'98 in the Algerian Basin with
- 914 Lagrangian trajectories and remote sensing observations. Journal of Marine Systems 43, 105-
- 915 131. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2003.08.001</u>
- 916 Testor, P., Send, U., Gascard, J.-C., Millot, C., Taupier-Letage, I., & Béranger, K. (2005). The
- 917 mean circulation of the southwestern Mediterranean Sea: Algerian Gyres. *Journal of*
- 918 Geophysical Research: Oceans, 110(C11), C11017. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JC002861
- 919 Taupier-Letage, I., Puillat, I., Millot, C., Raimbault, P., 2003. Biological response to mesoscale
- 920 eddies in the Algerian Basin. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 108.
- 921 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JC000117</u>
- 922 Vargas-Yáñez, M., Zunino, P., Schroeder, K., López-Jurado, J. L., Plaza, F., Serra, M., ... Salat,
- 923 J. (2012). Extreme Western Intermediate Water formation in winter 2010. Journal of Marine
- 924 Systems, 105–108, 52–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2012.05.010
- 925 Yi, J., Du, Y., He, Z., & Zhou, C. (2014). Enhancing the accuracy of automatic eddy detection
- and the capability of recognizing the multi-core structures from maps of sea level anomaly.
- 927 Ocean Sci., 10(1), 39–48. https://doi.org/10.5194/os-10-39-2014
- 228 Zhong, Y., Bracco, A., Tian, J., Dong, J., Zhao, W., & Zhang, Z. (2017). Observed and simulated
- submesoscale vertical pump of an anticyclonic eddy in the South China Sea. *Scientific Reports*,
- 930 7. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44011

figure 1.



figure 2.



figure 3.



figure 4.



figure 5.



figure 6.



figure 7.



figure 8.



figure 9.



figure 10.





figure 11.



figure 12.

