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# Photoinduced Electron-Transfer (PET) Mediated Fragmentation of Picolinium-Derived Redox Probes 

Petra Dunkel, ${ }^{[a][b]}$ Anna Barosi, ${ }^{[\mathrm{ad}[\mathrm{b}]}$ Hamid Dhimane,,${ }^{[\mathrm{a}]}$ François Maurel, $*\left[\mathrm{cc]}\right.$ and Peter I. Dalko* ${ }^{[a]}$


#### Abstract

The photolysis of covalently linked N -alkyl picolinium phenylacetate - carbazole dyads was analyzed experimentally and by using density functional theory (DFT) and time dependent-DFT (TD-DFT) calculations. In contrast to earlier observations efficient one and two-photon fragmentations conditions were found for 15c ( $\delta_{\mathrm{u}}=0.16 \mathrm{GM}$ at 730 nm ) opening the way for the design of a novel class of "caged" compounds.


## Introduction

Light-sensitive probes ("caged compounds") became popular tools in cellular biology, physiology and neurosciences and are used for the controlled release of a variety of biologically relevant substrates. ${ }^{1}$ Although sensitized probes, in which the light-harvesting and working elements are functionally disconnected, allow considerably larger flexibility in the optimization process, somewhat surprisingly only modest interest has been paid for the development of such probes. ${ }^{2}$ Redox-gated systems are among those, developed essentially around the 4-picolyl family. ${ }^{3}$ The reduction potentials of the parent phenacyl ( $E_{\text {red }}=-2.20 \mathrm{~V}$ vs SCE) and 4-picolyl esters ( $E_{\text {red }}=-2.62 \mathrm{~V}$ vs SCE) were improved by $N$-alkylation of the heterocycle ( $N$-methyl-4-picolinium: $E_{\text {red }}=-1.10 \mathrm{~V}$ vs SCE), and also by adding electron-withdrawing groups to the pyridinium ring (2-cyano-picolinium esters $E_{\text {red }} \approx-0.50 \mathrm{~V}$ vs SCE). ${ }^{4}$ In parallel, $N$-alkylpicolinium $(N A P)^{+}$mediator systems with ketocoumarin dyes, ${ }^{5}$ gold nanoparticles ${ }^{6}$ and tris(bipyridyl)ruthenium(II) (Ru(bpy)) dyes ${ }^{4}$ were developed for the liberation of carboxylic acids, amino acids, phosphates, primary aliphatic and aromatic amines, under redox conditions. ${ }^{7}$ Liu et al. described a release system composed by an electron donor, typically L-cysteine or DTT, a semiconductor quantum dot electron shuttle and NAP ester prodrug as acceptor. ${ }^{8}$ Most of the biological applications require water-soluble probes with controlled, covalently linked donor-acceptor structure, however. Surprisingly the use of the tethered $N$-alkyl picolinium phenylacetate - carbazole dyad turned inefficient. ${ }^{9,10,11}$ Although the unlinked analogues released the carboxylate ligands, in the presence of an appropriate sensitizer with high efficiency, the tethered probe was inert even under prolonged irradiation. ${ }^{9,12}$ As no charge-transfer intermediates were detected in laser flash
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photolysis experiments, neither significant fluorescence was observed, authors suggested that the competing back electron transfer was the main deactivation path that occurred faster than the bond cleavage. ${ }^{9}$ Also, a fluorene-sensitized picolinium derivative sensitive to two-photon activation has been prepared by the Anderson group. ${ }^{13,14}$ The construct suffered, however, hydrolytic instability and low uncaging efficiency, presumably due to the fast back electron transfer, as was hypothesized in the earlier experiment.

In the wake of our program on the development of lightsensitive probes, ${ }^{15}$ we were interested in examining the perspectives and pertinence of picolinium derivatives as redoxsensitive elements in covalently linked electron donor-acceptor dyads under PET conditions. Here we wish to disclose a first systematic study on the NAP platform.

## Results and Discussion

N-Methyl picolinium phenylacetates 7, 9 and 11 (Scheme 1, path A) were prepared by reduction of the corresponding pyridine carboxaldehydes followed by acylation using phenylacetyl chloride, and $N$-methylation of the resulting picolines (compounds 1, 3 and 5) in the presence of methyl triflate (for further details see the Experimental section). In turn, compounds 8,10 and 12 were prepared by addition of methylmagnesium bromide to the corresponding aldehydes followed by acylation, using phenylacetyl chloride and quaternarization in the presence of methyl triflate (Scheme 1, path B).


Scheme 1. Synthesis of N -methyl picolinium phenylacetates 7-12.

Redox potentials of the prepared phenylacetates were measured by cyclic voltammetry (Figure 1). Electrochemical experiments were performed at $c=1.0 \mathrm{mM}$ concentration of the picoliniums, in dry ACN with $\left[\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ as the supporting electrolyte (scan rate: $100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$ ). Glassy carbon working and platinum auxiliary electrodes were used and the potential was
measured relative to ferrocene / ferrocenium reference. Cyclic voltammograms (see SI) showed irreversible redox processes for all six substrates, 7-12. The first reduction potential was observed between $E_{\text {red }}=-1.09 \mathrm{~V}$ and $E_{\text {red }}=-1.37 \mathrm{~V}$, with $E_{\text {red }}=$ -1.11 V for 7 which is very close to the reported $E_{\text {red }}=-1.08 \mathrm{~V}$ value measured for the corresponding perchlorate salt. ${ }^{3}$ Compounds 7, 8 and 11, 12 (i.e. the $C(2)$ and $C(4)$ regioisomers) showed invariably smaller reduction potential than $C(3)$ derivatives, 9 and 10. The nature of the side-chains i.e. primary vs secondary esters affected only marginally the reduction potentials.


Figure 1. Experimental and calculated (in parenthesis) reduction potentials of N -methyl picolinium phenylacetates.

The ET ability of compounds $\mathbf{7 - 1 2}$ was analysed by DFT calculations as well. ${ }^{16,17}$ Redox potentials were calculated at the most stable conformations according to the thermodynamic cycle depicted in Scheme 2.

Scheme 2. The thermodynamic cycle of the one-electron reduction of picolinium probes in acetonitrile (ACN) solution.

The standard Gibbs free energy of the overall reaction in solution can be expressed as follows:

$$
\Delta G_{r e d o x}^{A C N}=\Delta G_{r e d o x}^{g a s}-\Delta G^{A C N}\left(M^{+}\right)+\Delta G^{A C N}(M)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta G_{\text {redox }}^{A C N}=\Delta G_{\text {redox }}^{g a s}+\Delta \Delta G_{s o l} \\
\Delta G_{\text {redox }}^{\text {gas }}=G^{g a s}(M)-G^{g a s}\left(M^{+}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\Delta \Delta G_{s o l}=\Delta G^{A C N}(M)-\Delta G^{A C N}\left(M^{+}\right)
$$

and the redox potential is related to $\Delta G_{\text {redox }}^{A C N}$ as

$$
\Delta G_{\text {redox }}^{A C N}=-F E_{\text {redox }}^{0}
$$

where $F$ is the Faraday constant, $23.06 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~V}^{-1}$. The standard hydrogen electrode potential, $V_{\text {SHE }}$ was taken as
$4.43 \mathrm{eV} .{ }^{18}$ Experimental values were compared in Figure 1. Noteworthy, calculated values correlate well with the experimental data (Figure 1).

The UV-Vis spectra of 7 (c = 0.1 mM ) did not show appreciable solvatochromism as was deduced by comparing spectra in dichloromethane, acetonitrile, methanol and in a mixture of acetonitrile / TRIS buffer (1/1) solution at 293 K (see SI-Figure 1). Absorption spectra of compounds 7-12 in acetonitrile / TRIS buffer (1/1) showed maxima around 257-264 nm with a shoulder corresponding to a $\pi-\pi^{\star}$ transition and a very weak absorbance above 300 nm . Upon irradiation at 255 nm , the solution of compounds 7-12 exhibited a blue photoluminescence (PL) with a single peak at the 259-266 nm region, respectively (Table 1).

Table 1. Photophysical properties of compounds 7-12.

|  | $\lambda_{\text {abs }}{ }^{\text {max }}$ <br> $(\mathrm{nm})^{[a]}$ | $\varepsilon^{\text {max }}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\lambda_{\text {em }}{ }^{\text {max }}$ <br> $(\mathrm{nm})$ | Stokes shift <br> $\left(10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $Q_{\mathrm{u}}$ <br> $(\%)^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 257 | 4250 | 260 | 0.45 | 0.36 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 257 | 4200 | 259 | 0.30 | 0.45 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 263 | 4300 | 265 | 0.30 | nd |
| 10 | 263 | 4500 | 266 | 0.40 | nd |
| 11 | 264 | 6650 | 266 | 0.30 | 0.21 |
| 12 | 264 | 5450 | 266 | 0.30 | 0.25 |

[a] Stokes shift $=1 / \lambda_{\text {abs }}-1 / \lambda_{\text {em }}$. [b] See SI for details.
Among various sensitizers, 9-methylcarbazole (9-MC) was selected for the PET study. $9-\mathrm{MC}$ is a well characterized chromophore, with a relatively high wavelength absorption maximum ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{abs}}{ }^{\text {max }}=345 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and it is a strong reducing agent at the excited state with an $E_{o x}{ }^{*}=-2.51 \mathrm{~V}$ vs SCE. ${ }^{19}$ Tethered dyads 14-19 were prepared from picolyl phenylacetic esters 1-6, by using carbazoles 13a-c, having propyl-, hexyl-, or, dodecyl triflate side chains, respectively (Scheme 3). Similar to the nontethered analogues, no appreciable solvatochromic effect was found for 14a in dichloromethane, acetonitrile, methanol and in a mixture of ACN / TRIS buffer ( $1 / 1$ ) solution, at a concentration of $c=0.1 \mathrm{mM}$ at 293 K between $250-500 \mathrm{~nm}$ (SI-Figure 3). UV spectra of 14-19 were recorded in ACN / TRIS buffer 1 / 1 (SIFigures 4-9). All spectra appeared qualitatively similar, showing essentially the strong absorption of the tethered carbazole due to the larger molar extinction coefficient in the $300-450 \mathrm{~nm}$ region compared to picoliniums. First absorption maxima located around $\lambda_{\text {abs }}{ }^{\max }=341-346 \mathrm{~nm}$ with molar extinction at the absorption maxima between $\varepsilon^{\max }=2250$ and $3600 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (SIFigures 4-9). Differences were observed, however, in the UV absorption spectra between regioisomers and compounds having different spacer lengths. Longer spacers resulted invariably in bathochromic shifts: 14a-19a having propyl spacer $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ were slightly blue-shifted $\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{abs}}{ }^{\max }=341\right.$ and 342 nm$)$ compared to the free 9-MC, while for 14c-19c ( $\mathrm{n}=12$ ) maxima were slightly red-shifted $\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{abs}}{ }^{\text {max }}=346 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ respectively (Table 2 ).

Noteworthy, propyl tethered analogs 14a-17a showed a broad weak absorption shoulder between 350 and $410 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{C}(3)$ and $C(4)$ isomers).


Scheme 3. Synthesis of tethered picoline phenylacetate-carbazole dyads 14-19.

Table 2. Photophysical properties of compounds 14-19.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{\mathrm{abs}}{ }^{\text {max }} \\ (\mathrm{nm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \varepsilon^{\max } \\ \left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{\text {max }}^{\text {max }} \\ (\mathrm{nm}) \end{gathered}$ | Stokes shift $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\begin{gathered} Q_{u} \\ (\%)^{b} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14a | 342 | 3300 | 354 | 1.00 | 0.02 |
| 14b | 345 | 2950 | 354 | 0.75 | 0.11 |
| 14c | 346 | 2450 | 350 | 0.35 | 0.91 |
| 15a | 342 | 2600 | 354 | 1.00 | 0.06 |
| 15b | 345 | 2600 | 354 | 0.75 | 0.12 |
| 15c | 346 | 2300 | 350 | 0.35 | 2.06 |
| 16a | 342 | 3200 | 354 | 1.00 | nd |
| 16b | 345 | 3150 | 354 | 0.75 | nd |
| 16c | 346 | 2900 | 351 | 0.40 | nd |
| 17a | 342 | 3550 | 354 | 1.00 | nd |
| 17b | 345 | 2850 | 354 | 0.75 | nd |
| 17c | 346 | 2900 | 351 | 0.40 | nd |
| 18a | 342 | 3600 | 354 | 1.00 | 0.01 |
| 18b | 345 | 3050 | 354 | 0.75 | 0.07 |
| 18c | 346 | 2850 | 352 | 0.50 | 0.46 |
| 19a | 341 | 3050 | 354 | 1.10 | 0.12 |
| 19b | 345 | 2750 | 354 | 0.75 | 0.06 |
| 19c | 346 | 2700 | 352 | 0.50 | 0.69 |

The low-lying LUMOs of 7-12 suggest that photoactivated $N$-methyl carbazole may easily reduce these substrates. ${ }^{20}$ Noteworthy, the energy of the charge-transfer (CT) states of N methyl picoliniums, and also dyads, were estimated beneath of the lowest optically strongest allowed excited states.

The fragmentation of compounds $7-12$ was studied in the absence and in the presence of 9-MC sensitizer, as well as for the tethered analogs 14-19 in TRIS / ACN (1/1) mixture under modified Falvey's conditions at 366 nm , using a 8 W Carl Roth lamp (Scheme 4; for details see Experimental part). Esters 7-12 having only very weak transitions at 366 nm showed no fragmentation upon photolysis under prolonged irradiation (2 h), thus compounds 7-12 can be considered as photochemically inert under the examined conditions. The sensitized PET study of 7-12 was performed in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of 9-MC in TRIS / ACN $(1 / 1)$ mixture at $c=0.1 \mathrm{mM}$, and the kinetic course of the photolysis is depicted in Figure 2. Noteworthy, efficient fragmentation was observed for all $\mathrm{C}(2)$ and $C(4)$ isomers respectively (7, 8, 11 and 12 ), $C(3)$ derivatives were inert in the presence, or, absence of $9-\mathrm{MC}$.

The time course of the PET reaction was followed by HPLC and the consumption of the starting materials against the time is plotted in SI-Figures 18 and 19.


$7 \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
$8 \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$
$11 \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
$12 \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$

Scheme 4. Photolysis of picoline phenylacetates 7, 8 and 11, 12 in the presence of $N$-methylcarbazole sensitizer.


Figure 2: Relative time course of the photolysis of compounds 7, 8 and 11, 12 in the presence of 1 equivalent of $9-M C$ sensitizer at 366 nm as determined by HPLC.

[^0]Monitoring the photolysis of esters 7 and 8 by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR confirmed the release of phenylacetic acid and the formation of the corresponding picolyl alcohols as major products (Table 3; for details see Experimental part). The photolysis was run in a mixture of $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 / 1)$, and the conversion of the reaction was analysed after 2 h irradiation at 254 nm . Although the formation of the phenylacetic acid was observed in $89 \%$ ( $\pm$ $5 \%$ ) and $86 \% ~( \pm 5 \%$ ) yields (not isolated) at $75 \%$ and $59 \%$ conversions, respectively, important photobleaching of the picolinium was showed also as merely $36 \%$ and $46 \% ~( \pm 5 \%$ ) of the expected hydroxymethylene derivatives were found at the same conversions. Noteworthy, no trace of decarboxylated product was observed within the detection limit. This observation is in agreement with the mechanistic rational proposed by Falvey et al. (Scheme 5) that suggests heterolytic rather than homolytic bond cleavage as the major photolysis path. ${ }^{9,11}$

Table 3. Photolysis of picolinium esters 7 and 8.

|  | Conditions | Ester consumed <br> $(\%)^{[a]}$ | Acid formed <br> $(\%)^{[a]}$ | Alcohol formed <br> $(\%)^{[a]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 2 h <br> $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 75 | 67 | 27 |
| 8 | 2 h <br> $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 59 | 51 | 27 |

${ }^{[a]}$ Calculated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR using hexamethyldisiloxane as internal standard.



Scheme 5. The mechanism of the photofragmentation according to Falvey. ${ }^{9,11}$

Noteworthy, the photolysis of the tethered dyads showed important concentration dependency in acetonitrile / TRIS mixture (1 / 1) (See SI-Figure 11). This dependency was attributed to the intermolecular $\pi-\pi$ stacking interaction, and to the formation of aggregates between the carbazole units, rather than to inner filtering. In order to avoid aggregate formations a $c$ $=0.1 \mathrm{mM}$ solution was used in a TRIS $(20 \mathrm{mM}) /$ acetonitrile (1 / 1) $(\mathrm{pH}=7.4)$ mixture, where no more dependency of the photolysis on the concentration was observed.

When tethered dyads 14a and 18a having a propyl linker were irradiated ( 366 nm ), modest conversions were observed after 2 h , whereas $\alpha$-methyl substituted analogs, 15a and 19a, offered clean and fast conversions (Figures 3 and 4). No
appreciable fragmentation was observed for $C(3)$ derivatives 16a and 17a. Dyads having hexyl or dodecyl linkers (14-19b and c) showed more efficient fragmentations, and $\alpha$-methyl substituted derivatives offered systematically cleaner and faster conversions.


Scheme 6. Photolysis of tethered $N$-carbazole picoline phenylacetates 14a-c, $15 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}, 18 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ and 18a-c.


Figure 3: Relative time course of $\mathrm{C}(2)$ regioisomers photolysis at 366 nm determined by HPLC.


Figure 4: Relative time course of $C$ (4) regioisomers photolysis at 366 nm determined by HPLC.

Finally, the most efficient dyad 15c was examined under two-photon (TP) irradiation conditions. Two-photon excitation
possesses several advantages over more conventional singlephoton activation conditions, such as higher spatial resolution, and also the fact, that scattered IR photons do not produce out of focus activation. ${ }^{1,21}$ The uncaging cross section $\left(\delta_{u}\right)$ of phenylacetate 15c was determined directly from the consumption of the starting material. A $45 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sample of a 0.1 mM ACN/TRIS $1 / 1$ solution was irradiated using mode-locked TiSapphire laser source at 730 nm wavelength ( 50 fs pulses at 80 MHz ). The expanded beam was focused with a 32 mm lens so that the whole of the excitation volume was contained in the cuvette. Sample was irradiated for 4.5 h at 156 mW average power. The loss of the cage was quantified by HPLC, and the photolysis cross section calculated from the rate of reduction of the fractional cage concentration, giving a high, experimentally measured uncaging cross-section of $\delta_{u}=0.16 \mathrm{GM}$, a remarkably high value for a non-optimized structure.

## Conformational analysis

In all calculated structures the electron-transfer impacted significantly the geometry of picolinium acceptor resulting generally in increased bond lengths and in the formation of more quinoidal structures. The TD-DFT optimized geometry of 7 in the $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ state is depicted in Figure 9 (see also SI-Table 2). The most significant changes are the rotation of the phenyl group (of the phenylacetic ester) and the elongation of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ bond from $1.419\left(\mathrm{~S}_{0}\right)$ to $1.502 \AA\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)$ with an important diminution of the torsion angle from $171^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{S}_{0}\right)$ to $94.7^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$. These changes are controlled by the energy of the LUMO (see SI-Figure 14) and result in a $\pi$-stacked face-to-face arrangement of the picolinium and the phenyl rings, and also, a weakening of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ bond. Similar geometric changes were found between the calculated structures for the $S_{0}$ to $S_{1}$ transitions of $14 a$ and 14 c , respectively (Figure 9).

Conformational analysis of compounds 7-12 was performed by semi-empirical calculations using AM1 Hamiltonian allowing the generation of the potential energy surfaces (SI-Figures 12 and 13). All conformation energy minima were optimized at the highest DFT level. In the following, results obtained for compound 7 are discussed, while qualitatively similar results were obtained for compounds 8-12 as well.

Two conformations with energy minima on the potential energy surfaces have been found for compound 7, hereafter named as extended and folded (SI-Figure 13). In the extended conformation dihedral angles $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $\delta$ are close to $180^{\circ}$. In the folded conformation $\beta$ is $-89.4^{\circ}$, resulting in a more compact arrangement. Noteworthy, the phenyl group is orthogonal to the plan of the ester in both conformations ( $\varepsilon$ is close to $90^{\circ}$ ). Remarkably both conformations have similar stability in acetonitrile suggesting that they coexist in the medium.

The absorption spectra of compounds 7-12 were calculated from the extended and folded conformations, respectively, using TD-DFT method (Figure 5). The calculated spectra show similar characteristics to the experimental ones, and the calculated lowest-energy electronic transitions correlate well with experimental values. The absorption spectrum of 7 in the folded conformation is dominated by several very weak bands around 300 nm that originate from electronic monoexcitations between orbitals localized on both aromatic rings and thus can be characterized as a $\pi-\pi^{\star}$ charge transfer.


Figure 5. Calculated absorption spectrum of compound 7 representing main orbitals implied in the electronic excitations and $S_{n}-S_{0}$ density plots.


Figure 6. The $S_{1}$ optimized geometries of 7, 14a and $\mathbf{1 4 c}$

Therefore all these weak transitions show a charge transfer character between picolinium and phenyl rings. Two transitions are calculated at $225\left(\mathrm{~S}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{7}\right)$ and $221 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{8}\right)$ and can be assigned to the observed 255 and 258 nm transitions in the experimental spectrum. The $\mathrm{S}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{7}$ transition involves HOMO-3 and LUMO+1 orbitals and corresponds to a picolinium-localized excitation while the $\mathrm{S}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{8}$ transition $(\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}+2)$ is localized on the phenyl group. The character of the lowest excited states of 7, as charge transfer or localized states, can be determined using the $\mathrm{S}_{0}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$ differences density plot as presented in Figure 2. The spatial arrangement of the tethered donor-acceptor dyads were considered next and is illustrated by the analysis of compounds 14a-c. Noteworthy, the aliphatic linker allows high degree of conformational flexibility: a systematic conformational study of such element is beyond of the scope of this study. The conformation of compound 14a was generated by the addition of the $N$-propyl-carbazole fragment to the optimized folded geometry of 7. As was seen with the untethered picolinium probes, two conformation minima were found, denoted as extended and folded (SI-Figure 14). The propyl chain adopts a trans-trans conformation in the extended and syn-pentane conformation in the folded conformation, respectively. Noteworthy, the carbazole and picolinium rings adopt face-toface orientation in the folded geometry (the $N-N$ distance is estimated $3.09 \AA$ ). The energy difference between the extended and folded structures is relatively small $\left(0.4 \mathrm{~kJ}_{\mathrm{kJol}}{ }^{-1}\right)$ suggesting that the energetic penalty associated to the staggered conformation of the propyl chain in the folded geometry is compensated by a $\pi-\pi$ interaction between the carbazole and picolinium units.


Figure 7. Calculated absorption spectra of compound 14a (black) and 14c-b (red), main orbitals involved in the first electronic excitations of 14a.

Several conformational minima of 14c were found on the potential energy surface, and labelled as a-d (SI-Figure 14). In the most stable conformation, 14c-a, the dodecyl chain adopts an all-trans arrangement. Others, such as 14c-b, 14c-c and 14c-d display a more folded structure. Noteworthy, the
carbazole is in the close proximity of the phenyl group in the second most stable conformation, 14c-b.

The calculated absorption spectrum of 14a (Figure 7) is in agreement with the experimental data (SI-Figure 4) and presents two regions. In the 200-350 nm window the spectrum shows three bands at $241 \mathrm{~nm}, 271 \mathrm{~nm}$ and around 300 nm . These bands reproduce nicely the spectrum of $9-\mathrm{MC}^{19}$ and originate from the localized excitation of the carbazole. The second region shows two weakly allowed charge transfer bands at 385 nm and 436 nm . These transitions are dominated by the HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO and HOMO- $1 \rightarrow$ LUMO contributions. From the localization of these orbitals it is obvious that both transitions involve charge transfers from the carbazole to the picolinium (Figure 5 and SI-Table 4).
The absorption spectrum of 14 c is similar to that of $14 a$ in the region of 200-350 nm . Unlike the calculated absorption spectrum of $\mathbf{1 4 a}$, the calculated absorption spectrum of $\mathbf{1 4 c}$ shows no charge transfer bands in the low energy region. This is probably due to the large spatial separation between the carbazole and picolinium units in the optimized geometries.

## Conclusion

The single- and two-photon photolysis of 9-MC tethered picolinium probes were examined by experimental and theoretical approaches. These probes were considered photochemically inert earlier under similar conditions. Probes undergo tether length-dependent fragmentation: dyads with $n=$ 12 carbon tether were photolyzed 30-40 times more efficiently at 366 nm than that of $\mathrm{n}=3$ derivatives. $\alpha$-Methyl substituted derivatives offered systematically cleaner and faster conversions. Communication between the picolinium and carbazole chromophores was observed experimentally and analyzed by density functional theory (DFT) and time dependent-DFT (TDDFT) calculations. The most efficient dyad, 15c, was photolyzed under TP irradiation conditions at 730 nm showing promisingly high $\delta_{u}=0.16 \mathrm{GM}$ uncaging cross-section.

9-MC tethered picolinium probes can be considered as new "caging" group leads in which the absorption and fragmenting elements are spatially separated, allowing much easier optimization than that of more conventional probes in which this two functions are intimately linked in the same heterocycle. Further applications in neurophysiology are underway.

## Experimental Section

The UV irradiation experiments were accomplished by irradiation of a solution in roughly 0.1 mM concentration in an acetonitrile/TRIS buffer $1 / 1$ solvent mixture ( pH 7.4 ). The plot of $\ln A$ versus irradiation time showed a linear correlation for the disappearance of the starting material, which suggested a first order reaction, obtained by the linear least squares methodology for a straight line. For the UV irradiation, an aliquot ( 1 mL ) of the solution was irradiated at approximately 366 nm by using 8W Carl Roth lamp. Between each irradiation a small aliquot ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) of the solution was removed for analysis by reverse-phase HPLC using dual absorbance detection at 260 and 360 nm . Optical densities at 366 nm
were kept around 0.1 so that aggregate formation /inner-filtering of the irradiation and spatial gradients of concentrations could be neglected, and the progress curves were simple decaying exponentials. Dark hydrolysis rates were measured similarly except without illumination. One-photon quantum yields were determined by using Eq 1:

$$
\left.Q_{\mathrm{u}}=\left[\sigma_{(\lambda \mathrm{exc})} I_{0(\lambda \mathrm{exc})} t_{90 \%}\right]^{-1} \quad \text { (Eq } 1\right)
$$

where $\sigma_{(\lambda \text { exc })}$ is the decadic extinction coefficient of the compound at the excitation wavelength ( $10^{3}$ times $\varepsilon$ ), $\mathrm{t}_{90 \%}$ is the time at which $90 \%$ of the product was converted, as determined by HPLC, and $\mathrm{I}_{\left(\mathrm{O}_{\text {exc })} \text { is the light }\right.}$ intensity at the excitation wavelength and is measured in einstein. $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. ${ }^{22}$ The light intensity $l_{0}$ value was determined by potassium ferrioxalate actinometry. ${ }^{22,23}$ Briefly, a 6.0 mM potassium ferrioxalate solution ( 3 mL ) was irradiated for 60 s . An aliquot of the irradiated solution ( 2 mL ) was added to NaOAc buffer ( 3 mL ), $0.1 \%$ phenanthroline solution ( 3 mL ) and 2 M KF solution ( 1 mL ) and the volume was completed to 25 mL by distilled water. A blank sample was prepared the same way, except irradiation. Samples were kept 1 h in the dark for complexation then the absorbance was measured at 510 nm . The light intensity was calculated by using Eq 2 :

$$
I=\frac{V_{3} \Delta D_{510}}{1000 \varepsilon_{510} V_{2} \phi_{\mathrm{Ft}}} \quad \quad(\mathrm{Eq} 2)
$$

where $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ is the final volume after dilution ( 25 ml ), $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ is the volume of the aliquot taken for analysis $(2 \mathrm{ml}), \Delta \mathrm{D}_{510}$ is the difference of absorption of the irradiated sample and the blank at $510 \mathrm{~nm}, \varepsilon_{510}$ is the extinction coefficient of the $\left(\mathrm{Fe}(\text { phen })_{3}{ }^{2+}\right)$ complex at $510 \mathrm{~nm}\left(11100 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right), \phi_{\text {Fe }}$ is the quantum yield for ferrous ion formation at the irradiation wavelength and t is the irradiation time ( s ).

Electrochemical experiments were done on a voltammetry analyzer (Autolab PGSTAT101) with $\left[\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ as the supporting electrolyte (one chamber three electrode cell). The electrodes used were a glassy-carbon working, a platinum auxiliary, and the potential was measured relative to ferrocene/ferrocenium reference. The solution ( 1 mM for compound studied) was purged with nitrogen for 10 min prior to recording. Values obtained were converted to SCE by adding 0.40 V to the measured potentials.

HPLC analyses were carried out on Water 515 device with a normal inverse phase column X-Terra® MS C18 (length: 75 mm , diameter: 4.6 mm , stationary phase: $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) using a Waters 2487 Dual Absorbance Detector (260-360 nm) and an isocratic system of elution (MeOH-MeCN$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 7-2-1 / $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH}_{4}(10 \mathrm{mM}) \mathrm{pH} 4.6\right)$. The volume of injection was $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$.

Thin-layer chromatography was performed on aluminium-backed Merck Kieselgel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ pre-coatedplates. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) spectra and carbon nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker 250 spectrometer ( 250 MHz and 63 MHz ) and on a Bruker AV-500 spectrometer ( 500 MHz and 125 MHz ). Chemical shifts for proton sare reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane (TMS) and are referenced to residual proton in the NMR solvent ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta 7.26, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: \delta 1.94\right)$. Chemical shifts for carbon are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to the carbon resonance softhe solvent $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta 77.16\right.$, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ : $\delta$ 118.26). Data are represented as follows: chemical shift, integration, multiplicity ( $s=$ singlet, $d=$ doublet, $d d=$ double doublet, $t=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quadruplet, $\mathrm{qn}=$ quintuplet, $\mathrm{b}=$ broad, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet), coupling constants in Hertz (Hz). All solvents and inorganic reagents were from commercial sources and used without purification unless otherwise noted. The mass analyser was an Agilent from ThermoFisher. The capillary
tension was 3.5 kV . The cone tension was 24 V . The temperature of the source was $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the temperature of desolvatation was $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Data were treated on ThermoQuest.

Characterization of the photolysis products by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR A solution of $4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ of picolinium esters 7 and 8 , respectively, with an equal amount of 9-MC sensitizer was dissolved in about 0.5 mL of $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 / 1)$ solvent mixture. Hexamethyl-disiloxane was added as internal standard. NMR spectra were recorded before irradiation, then the samples were transferred to a quartz cuvette for the irradiation. The solutions were irradiated at 366 nm for 2 h by using a 8 W Carl Roth lamp, then retransferred to an NMR tube for analysis following the irradiation. Yields were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR integration of the compound characteristic peaks relative to the internal standard.

TP photolysis NIR photolysis were performed by irradiation of a sample solution ( 0.1 mM ) in acetonitrile/TRIS buffer $1 / 1$ solvent mixture $(\mathrm{pH} 7.4)$ for 1-4 hours. Two photon uncaging cross-sections $\left(\delta_{u}\right)$ were calculated from the fractional conversion of the cage with exposures of approx. 4 hours in a 45 microliters cuvette of 3 mm path length. The expanded output of a MaiTai BB (Spectra-Physics) pulsed laser was focused with a 30 mm focal length lens into the cuvette. The two-photon excitation volume was entirely contained within the cuvette volume to obviate the need to measure the beam waist. Beam parameters were 730 nm with 150 fs pulse width at 80 MHz and 100 mW average power after the cuvette. Samples were centrifuged if necessary to remove particles if apparent in the transmitted beam. For reference the two-photon uncaging cross-section for L-glutamate release from the widely used MNI-caged glutamate determined in this way was $0.05 \mathrm{GM}\left(10^{-50} \mathrm{~cm}^{4} \mathrm{~s} /\right.$ photon $)$. The conversion of the product was assayed by HPLC by monitoring the remaining caged compound. The two-photon uncaging cross section $\delta_{\mathrm{u}}$ was calculated as follows (Eq 3):
where $\delta_{\mathrm{u}}=$ TP uncaging cross-section ( $\mathrm{cm}^{4} . \mathrm{s} /$ photon); $V=$ sample volume $\left(45 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right) ; \quad \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathrm{P}}=$ pulse width; $f=80 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~Hz} ; n=1.3$; $\lambda=$ wavelength (cm); $t=$ exposure time (s); $h=6.6 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} . \mathrm{sec}$; $c=3 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~cm} . \mathrm{s}^{-1} ; P=$ average power (W).

## Synthesis of esters 1-6

To a solution of the appropriate pyridylcarbinol ( 3.0 mmol ) and triethylamine ( $1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 627 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in 10 mL acetonitrile, phenylacetyl chloride ( $1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 595 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 16 h . Dichloromethane and water were added, the organic phase was washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by column cromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 4/1).

## Pyridin-4-ylmethyl 2-phenylacetate (1)

Yellow oil (498 mg, 73\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.60$ (d, J=4.7 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.68 (td, J= 7.5 , $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.36-7.29 (m, 5H), 7.26-7.21 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 171.0$, 149.8, 145.2, 133.6, 129.4, 128.9, 127.5, 122.0, 64.6, 41.4. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=228.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$ 228.1019, found 228.1012.

## 1-(Pyridin-4-yl)ethyl 2-phenylacetate (2)

Yellow oil ( $565 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 8.52$ (d, J=6.0 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{dm}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
5.83 (q, J=6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (s, 2H), 1.50 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 170.6,150.7,149.9,133.7,129.3,128.7,127.4$, 120.7, 71.8, 41.6, 22.0. MS (ESI): m/z = $242.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$242.1176, found 242.1169.

## Pyridin-3-ylmethyl 2-phenylacetate (3)

Yellow oil ( $586 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 8.58-8.56(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 7.61 ( $\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.34-7.31 (m, 2H), 7.28-7.25 (m, 4H), 5.14 (s, 2H), 3.67 (s, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 171.3,149.6$, 149.6, 136.1, 133.7, 131.7, 129.4, 128.8, 127.4, 123.6, 64.1, 41.4. MS (ESI): m/z = $228.1[M+H]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$ 228.1019, found 228.1012.

## 1-(Pyridin-3-yl)ethyl 2-phenylacetate (4)

Yellow oil ( $623 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.56$ (d, J=2.0 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-$ 7.28 (m, 4H), 7.25-7.23 (m, 2H), 5.91 (q, J=7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (bs, 2H), 1.55 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 170.8,149.3$, 147.9, 137.1, 133.9, 133.8, 129.4, 128.8, 127.3, 123.5, 70.7, 41.7, 22.1. MS (ESI): m/z = $242.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$242.1176, found 242.1171 .

## Pyridin-2-ylmethyl 2-phenylacetate (5)

Yellow oil ( $566 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.58$ (bd, J=4.5 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.64 (td, J=7.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.34-7.29 (m, 4H), 7.28-7.25 (m, 1 H ), 7.21 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.18-7.20 (m, 1H), 5.25 (s, 2H), 3.72 (s, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 171.2,155.7,149.3,137.0,133.8,129.4$, 128.7, 127.2, 122.9, 121.7, 67.0, 41.3. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=228.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$228.1019, found 228.1013.

## 1-(Pyridin-2-yl)ethyl 2-phenylacetate (6)

Yellow oil ( $543 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.58$ (d, J=4.0 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.92 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69$ (d, J=2.5 Hz, 2H), 1.58 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{C}}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 170.9,160.3,149.2,137.1,134.0,129.4$, 128.7, 127.2, 122.8, 120.4, 73.4, 41.6, 20.8. MS (ESI): m/z = 242.1 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$242.1176, found 242.1169.

## $N$-Methylation of esters 1-6

To a solution of compounds 1-6 ( 0.20 mmol , for $\mathbf{1 , 3 , 5}$ : 45 mg , for $\mathbf{2 , 4 , 6}$ : $48 \mathrm{mg})$ in dry dichloromethane ( 1 mL ) is added methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 23 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). The mixture is allowed to stir at rt for 1 h (TLC shows no sign of starting material), under argon and protected from light. The solvent is evaporated and the product obtained is used without further purification. CAUTION: methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate is a powerful methylating agent, handle with extreme care in a well ventilated hood.

## 1-Methyl-4-((2-phenylacetoxy)methyl)pyridinium <br> trifluoromethanesulfonate (7)

Pale yellow oil (quant.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 170.9$, 156.2, 145.4, 133.2, 129.5, 129.0, 127.8, 125.4, 63.3, 48.5, 41.0. MS
(ESI): m/z = 242.1 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]{ }^{+} \mathrm{HRMS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$ 242.1176, found 242.1170.HPLC: MeOH-MeCN- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 7-2-1 / $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $\mathrm{AcONH}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM} 4 / 6$, flow rate: $0.45 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{RT}: 5.57 \mathrm{~min}$.

1-Methyl-4-(1-(2-phenylacetoxy)ethyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate (8)

Pale yellow oil (quant.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.40 (s, 3H), 3.72 (s, 2H), 1.57 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125$ MHz ): $\delta 170.7,161.0,145.9,133.3,129.5,128.9,127.6,124.7,70.5$, 48.3, 41.1, 21.4. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=256.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+} 256.1332$, found 256.1331. HPLC: MeOH-MeCN- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 7$ -2-1 / $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH} 410 \mathrm{mM} 4 / 6$, flow rate: $0.45 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, RT: 5.05 min .

1-Methyl-3-((2-phenylacetoxy)methyl)pyridinium
trifluoromethanesulfonate (9)
Pale yellow oil (quant.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22$ (d, J=8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (dd, J=8, $6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.33$7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 125 MHz ): $\delta 171.2,144.9,144.5,143.9,138.1,133.4,129.6,128.9$, 128.1, 127.6, 61.9, 49.0, 40.9. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=242.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$242.1176, found 242.1169.HPLC: $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad 7-2-1 / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM} 4 / 6$, flow rate: 0.45 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{RT}: 4.80 \mathrm{~min}$.

1-Methyl-3-(1-(2-phenylacetoxy)ethyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate (10)

Pale yellow oil (quant.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 8.72$ (d, $\mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17$ (d, J=8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (dd, J=8.0, $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.32-7.23 (m, 5H), $5.94(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.58$ (d, J=6.5 Hz, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 170.7,144.9,143.3$, 143.2, 142.3, 133.5, 129.5, 128.9, 128.3, 127.6, 69.1, 49.0, 41.2, 21.5. MS (ESI): m/z = $256.2[M+H]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$256.1332, found 256.1323. HPLC: MeOH-MeCN- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 7$ -2-1/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH} 4 \mathrm{~A}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM} 4 / 6$, flow rate: $0.45 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, RT: 4.19 min .

1-Methyl-2-((2-phenylacetoxy)methyl)pyridinium
trifluoromethanesulfonate (11)

Pale yellow oil (quant.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 8.27 (td, J=8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (d, J=8 Hz, 2H), 7.32-7.22 (m, 5H), $5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : 170.8, 151.7, 147.5, 145.7, 133.0, 129.5, 128.9, 128.1, 127.7, 127.5, 61.2, 45.9, 40.7. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=242.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 242.1176$, found 242.1170 .HPLC: MeOH-MeCN- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 7-$ 2-1 / $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM} 4 / 6$, flow rate: $0.45 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, RT: 4.03 min .

## 1-Methyl-2-(1-(2-phenylacetoxy)ethyl)pyridinium

trifluoromethanesulfonate (12)
Pale yellow oil (quant.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.83$ (d, J=5.5 Hz, 1 H ), 8.31 (td, J=8.0, $1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.87-7.84 (m, 1H), 7.72 (dd, J=8.0, 1.5 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.29 (s, 3H), 3.67 (s, 2H), 1.63 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125$ MHz ): $\delta 171.1,157.3,147.5,146.2,132.7,129.4,129.0,127.8,127.4$, 125.4, 67.3, 46.4, 40.9, 19.7. MS (ESI): $m / z=256.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HPLC: $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 7-2-1 / $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM} 4 / 6$, flow rate: 0.45 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{RT}: 4.55 \mathrm{~min}$.

## N-Alkylation of carbazole

To a solution of carbazole ( $10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.67 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in DMF ( 25 mL ), NaH ( $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, $1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 600 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 min stirring, 1.5 eq of alkyl bromide was added ( 15.00 mmol , 3-bromopropanol: 1.34 mL ; 6-bromohexanol: $2.72 \mathrm{~g} ; 12-$ bromododecanol: 3.98 g ) and the mixture was stirred at rt for 24 h . The mixture was poured onto water and extracted by DCM. The organic phase was washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by column cromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 4/1).

## 3-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)propanol

White solid ( $1.36 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.16$ (d, J=7.3 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.56-7.46 (m, 4H), 7.30 (ddd, J=7.3, 5.3, 2.2 Hz, 2H), 4.46 (t, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.57 (t, J=6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.10 (qn, J=6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.80 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 140.5,125.8,122.9,120.4,119.0$, 108.7, 59.6, 39.2, 31.4 .

## 6-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)hexanol

White solid ( $1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 8.08$ (d, J=7.5 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.44 (td, J=8.0, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (td, $\mathrm{J}=8.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86$ (qn, $\mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.49 ( $\mathrm{qn}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.39-1.33 (m, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 140.5,125.7,122.9,120.5,118.9,108.7,62.8,43.1$, 32.7, 29.0, 27.2, 25.6.

## 12-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)dodecanol

White solid ( $2.53 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.07$ (d, J=8.0 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42$ (td, J=8.0, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (td, $J=8.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81$ (qn, $\mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(q n, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 140.5,125.6,122.9,120.4,118.7,108.7,63.0,43.1$, 32.8, 29.7-29.5 (6С), 29.0, 27.4, 25.8.

## Synthesis of compounds 13a-c

To a solution of the appropriate alcohol ( $1.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$-( 9 H -carbazol-9yl)propanol: $406 \mathrm{mg}, 6-(9 \mathrm{H}$-carbazol-9-yl)hexanol: $481 \mathrm{mg}, 12-(9 \mathrm{H}-$ carbazol-9-yl)dodecanol: 633 mg ) and pyridine ( $1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 146$ $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) in DCM ( 10 mL ), trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride ( $1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 1.80$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 303 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h , then was poured onto water. The organic phase was washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to dryness. The crude product obtained was used directly for the next reaction step.

## 3-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)propyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (13a)

Colourless oil ( 643 mg , quant). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.15$ (d, $\mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.53 (ddd, J=8.4, 7.5, $1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.39 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (ddd, J=7.5, 7.5, $0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.46 (d, J=6.2 Hz, 2H), 4.44 (d, J=6.5 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.36 (qn, J=6.4 Hz, 2H).

6-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)hexyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (13b)

Colourless oil ( 719 mg , quant). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.13$ (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50$ (ddd, J=7.5, 7.2, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (d, J=7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.27 (ddd, J=7.9, $7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.47 (t, J=6.2 Hz, 2H), 4.32 (t, J=7.0 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.96-1.83 (m, 2H), 1.80-1.69 (m, 2H), 1.49-1.30(m, 4H).

## 12-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)dodecyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (13c)

Colourless oil ( 870 mg , quant). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.11$ (d, $\mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.47 (ddd, J=9.5, 7.0, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.41 (d, J=8 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (ddd, 7.5, 7.0, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 4.53 (t, J=6.5 Hz, 2H), 4.31 (t, J=7 Hz, 2 H ), 1.91-1.79 (m, 4H), 1.44-1.25 (m, 16H).

## Synthesis of carbazole-linked esters 14-19

To a solution of the ester (1-6) ( 0.25 mmol$)$ in 1.0 mL DCM, a solution of the triflate $(13 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c})(1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h under argon, while protected from light. After evaporation to dryness, if necessary, the crude product was purified by column cromatography on silica gel (DCM/MeOH 95/5). During work-up, purification and upon storing the products were carefully protected from light.

## 1-(3-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)propyl)-4-((2-

phenylacetoxy)methyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate (14a)

Yellow oil ( $121 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.25$ (d, J=7.0 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.01 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (ddd, J=8.0, $7.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.43 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 2H), 7.39-7.35 (m, 2H), 7.34-7.30 (m, 3 H ), 7.21 (ddd, J=8.0, $7.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.18 (s, 2H), 4.52 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 2 H ), 4.51 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (s, 2H), 2.56 (qn, J=7.0 Hz, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $C D_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 171.7,157.1,144.2,140.8,134.9,130.4$, 129.5, 128.2, 127.0, 125.4, 123.6, 121.2, 120.3, 110.0, 63.9, 60.1, 41.1, 40.7, 30.0. MS (ESI): m/z = $435.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 435.2067$, found 435.2078. HPLC: MeOH-MeCN- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 7-2-1 / $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH} 410 \mathrm{mM} 7 / 3$, flow rate: $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{RT}: 3.34 \mathrm{~min}$.

1-(6-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)hexyl)-4-((2-phenylacetoxy)methyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate (14b)

Yellow oil ( $136 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.50$ (d, J=6.5 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.99$ (d, J=8 Hz, 2H), 7.53 (d, J=7 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (ddd, J=8.0, 7.0, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.32-7.22 (m, 7H), 7.15 (ddd, J=8.0, 7.0, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 5.07 (s, 2 H ), 4.27 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 2H), 4.19 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.69 (s, 2H), 1.75$1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 125 MHz ): $\delta$ 170.7, 156.0, 144.2, 140.3, 133.1, 129.4, 128.9, 127.7, 125.9, 125.3, 122.7, 120.4, 119.0, 108.9, 63.1, 61.5, 42.7, 40.9, 31.2, 28.5, 26.4, 25.4. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=477.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 477.2537$, found 477.2529. HPLC: MeOH-MeCN$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 7-2-1 / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH} 410 \mathrm{mM} 7 / 3$, flow rate: $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{RT}: 5.66 \mathrm{~min}$.

## 1-(12-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)dodecyl)-4-((2-

phenylacetoxy)methyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate (14c)
Yellow oil ( $142 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 8.69$ (d, J=6.5 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.06$ (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.72 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (ddd, J=8.0, $7.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.38 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.34-7.30 (m, 2H), 7.29-7.25 (m, 3H), 7.19 (ddd, J=8.0, $7.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.22 (s, 2H), 4.46 (t, J=7.5 Hz, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 170.8,156.3$, $144.4,140.5,133.1,129.5,128.9,127.7,125.7,125.6,122.8,120.4$, 118.8, 108.8, 63.2, 62.0, 43.1, 40.9, 31.5, 29.4-28.9 (8C), 27.3, 26.0. MS (ESI): m/z = 561.4 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$ 561.3476, found 561.3466. HPLC: MeOH-MeCN- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 7-2-1 / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $\mathrm{AcONH}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM} 9 / 1$, flow rate: $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{RT}: 5.71 \mathrm{~min}$.

1-(3-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)propyl)-4-(1-(2-
phenylacetoxy)ethyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate(15a)

Yellow oil ( $137 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.18$ (d, J=7 Hz, 2 H ), 7.82 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.43-7.39 (m, 4H), 7.32-7.25 (m, 2H), 7.217.19 (m, 2H), 7.12 (ddd, J=8.0, 6.5, $2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.01 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 2H), $5.60(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62$ (s, 2H), 2.58 (qn, J=6.5 Hz, 2H), 1.31 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, 125 MHz ): $\delta 170.3,160.3,143.2,139.6,133.1,129.4,128.9,127.7$, 126.5, 123.6, 122.7, 120.3, 119.7, 109.1, 69.8, 59.6, 41.1, 40.2, 29.0, 21.3. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=449.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 449.2224$, found 449.2211. HPLC: $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $7-2-1 / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM} 7 / 3$, flow rate: $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, RT: 3.78 min .

1-(6-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)hexyl)-4-((2-phenylacetoxy)ethyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate (15b)

Yellow oil ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 77$ \%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.56$ (d, J=6.5 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59$ (d, J=6 Hz, 2H), 7.45-7.28 (m, 8 H$)$, 7.24-7.18 (m, 3H), 5.82 (q, J=7 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 2H), 4.27 (t, $\mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.20$ (m, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): 170.4, 161.0, 144.5, 140.4, 133.0, 129.3, 128.9, 127.7, 125.8, 124.8, 122.8, 120.3, 118.9, 108.9, 61.5, 42.7, 41.1, 31.1, 28.5, 26.4, 25.5, 21.3. MS (ESI): m/z = $491.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 491.2693$, found 491.2674. HPLC: $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 7-2-1 / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM} 7 / 3$, flow rate: $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, RT: 6.62 min.

## 1-(12-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)dodecyl)-4-((2-phenylacetoxy)ethyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate (15c)

Yellow oil ( $121 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.72$ (d, J=7 Hz, 2H), 8.08 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 2H), 7.47-7.25 (m, 9H), 7.21 (td, J=8.0, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 5.88 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.54 (t, J=7.5, 2H), 4.28 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 2H), 3.71 (s, 2H), 1.90-1.82 (m, 4H), 1.53 (d, J=7, 3H), $1.38-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 170.6,161.2,144.8$, $140.6,133.2,129.4,129.0,127.8,125.7,125.0,122.9,120.4,118.8$, 108.8, 70.4, 62.1, 43.2, 41.3, 31.6, 29.5-29.0 (7C), 27.4, 26.1, 21.5. MS (ESI): m/z = 575.4 [M+H] . HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$ 575.3632, found 575.3610. HPLC: MeOH-MeCN- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 7-2-1 / $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $\mathrm{AcONH}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM} 9 / 1$, flow rate: $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{RT}$ : 6.07 min .

1-(3-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)propyl)-3-((2-phenylacetoxy)methyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate(16a)

Yellow oil (121 mg, 83\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.17$ (d, J=6.0 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07$ (s, 1H), 7.80 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.61 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.41-7.35 (m, 4H), 7.25-7.20 (m, 2H), 7.20-7.15 (m, 3H), 7.13-7.09 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H), 2.56 (qn, J=6.0 Hz, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 170.8$, 142.33, 142.31, 141.3, 139.5, 137.1, 133.4, 129.5, 128.8, 127.5, 126.8, 126.4, 122.6, 120.2, 119.7, 109.1, 61.4, 60.1, 40.7, 40.1, 28.8. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=435.2449 .2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$. HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 435.2067$, found 435.2048. HPLC: MeOH-MeCN-H ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O} 7$ -2-1 / $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH} 410 \mathrm{mM} 7 / 3$, flow rate: $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, RT: 3.00 min .

1-(6-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)hexyl)-3-((2-phenylacetoxy)methyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate (16b)

Yellow oil ( $118 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.52 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.98 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (dd, J=8.0, $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.39 (ddd, J=8.0, $7.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.33 (d, $\mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 5.1(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20$
(t, J=7 Hz, 2H), $3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 171.0,143.8,143.7,143.0,140.4,138.1$, 133.4, 129.5, 128.8, 128.1, 127.5, 125.9, 122.7, 120.4, 119.0, 108.9, 62.1, 61.8, 42.7, 40.9, 31.2, 28.6, 26.5, 25.6. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=477.2$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 477.2537$, found 477.2521. HPLC: MeOH-MeCN-H2O 7-2-1 / $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM} 7 / 3$, flow rate: $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{RT}: 5.50 \mathrm{~min}$.

1-(12-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)dodecyl)-3-((2-
phenylacetoxy)methyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate (16c)
Yellow oil ( $126 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.70(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16$ (dm, J=7.5 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.84 (dd, J=8.0, $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.43 (td, J=8.0, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.37 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.30-7.22 (m, 5H), 7.19 (td, J=8.0, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.22 (s, 2H), 4.46 (t, $\mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 1.38-1.30 (m, 2H), 1.30-1.16 (m, 14H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ 171.0, 144.0, 143.8, 143.2, 140.4, 138.2, 133.4, 129.4, 128.8, 128.3, 127.4, 125.7, 122.8 120.3, 118.8, 108.8, 62.5, 61.9, 43.1, 40.9, 31.6,29.6-28.8 (7C), 27.3, 26.0. MS (ESI): $m / z=575.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 561.3476$, found 561.3481 . HPLC: $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 7-2-1 / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH} 410 \mathrm{mM} 9 / 1$, flow rate: $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, RT: 6.85 min .

1-(3-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)propyl)-3-(1-(2-
phenylacetoxy)ethyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate(17a)

Yellow oil ( $107 \mathrm{mg}, 71$ \%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.30$ (d, J=6.0 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.22 (s, 1H), 7.86 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.42-7.37 (m, 4H), 7.27-7.19 (m, 3H), 7.18-7.12 (m, 5H), 5.54 (q, J=6.5 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62$ (td, J=7.0, $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.45 (td, J=6.5, $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.60 (bs, 2H), 2.56 (qn, J=7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.31 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 170.3,142.9,142.6,141.5,140.9,139.7,133.4$, 129.4, 128.8, 127.5, 127.4, 126.4, 122.7, 120.3, 119.7, 109.1, 68.6, 60.3, 41.2, 40.1, 29.5, 21.0. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=449.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} . \mathrm{HRMS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 449.2224$, found 449.2210. HPLC: $\mathrm{MeOH}-$ $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 7-2-1 / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM} 7 / 3$, flow rate: $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{RT}$ : 3.36 min .

1-(6-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)hexyl)-3-((2-phenylacetoxy)ethyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate (17b)

Yellow oil ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.60$ (d, J=6.0 Hz, 1H), 8.51 (s, 1H), 8.04 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 2H), 8.01 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (dd, J=8.5, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.36 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.26-7.15 (m, 7H), $5.84(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 170.5,143.9,143.4,142.3,142.1$, $140.5,133.4,129.4,128.8,128.4,127.5,125.9,122.8,120.4,119.0$, 109.0, 69.0, 62.3, 42.8, 41.3, 31.4, 28.6, 26.5, 25.6, 21.4. MS (ESI): m/z $=491.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$491.2693, found 491.2672. HPLC: MeOH-MeCN- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 7-2-1 / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM}$ $7 / 3$, flow rate: $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{RT}: 7.29 \mathrm{~min}$.

1-(12-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)dodecyl)-3-((2-
phenylacetoxy)ethyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate (17c)

Yellow oil (125 mg, 69\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.81$ (d, J=6.0 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01$ (d, J=7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.81 (ddd, J=7.5, $6.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.57 (dd, J=8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.42-7.30 (m, 4H), 7.25$7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{bq}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.32(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21$ (t, J=7.3 Hz, 2H), 3.61 (s, 2H), 2.04-1.70 (m, 4H), 1.61 (d, $\mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 63 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 170.8$,
156.7, 146.6, 146.3, 140.5, 132.5, 129.4, 129.0, 127.8, 127.7, 125.7, $125.3,122.8,120.4,118.8,108.8,67.0,59.0,43.2,41.0,30.9,29.5^{*}(2 \mathrm{C})$, 29.3* (2C), 29.0, 27.4, 26.4, 20.7. MS (ESI): m/z = $575.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{+}$. HPLC: MeOH-MeCN- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 7-2-1 / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM}$ 9/1, flow rate: $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{RT}: 7.68 \mathrm{~min}$.

1-(3-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)propyl)-2-((2-
phenylacetoxy)methyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate (18a)
Yellow oil ( $124 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): ठ 8.74 (dd, J=6.0, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.96 (td, J=7.5, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.95 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (ddd, J=8.0, 6.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (dd, J=8.0, 1.3 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.39 (ddd, J=8.0, $7.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.21-7.17 (m, 3H), 7.15 (ddd, $\mathrm{J}=8.0,7.0,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50-4.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $170.3,150.5,146.1,145.4,140.0,132.7,129.3,128.8,128.4,127.7$, 127.6, 126.4, 122.9, 120.4, 119.7, 109.1, 60.2, 55.6, 40.3, 39.4, 29.9. MS (ESI): m/z = $435.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$ 435.2067, found 435.2048. HPLC: MeOH-MeCN- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 7-2-1 / $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $\mathrm{AcONH}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM} 7 / 3$, flow rate: $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{RT}: 3.31 \mathrm{~min}$.

1-(6-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)hexyl)-2-((2-phenylacetoxy)methyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate (18b)

Yellow oil ( $109 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 8.74$ (dd, J=6.0, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.11 (td, J=8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.74 (ddd, J=8.0, 6.0, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.60 (dd, J=7.5, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.41 (ddd, J=8.0, 7.0, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.36 (d, J=8 Hz, 2H), 7.28-7.23 (m, 3H), 7.197.16 (m, 4H), 5.26 (s, 2H), $4.34(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.64 (s, 2H), 1.80 (qn, J=7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.65 (qn, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.32-1.25 $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 170.5,151.0,146.5,145.5,140.4$, 132.7, 129.4, 129.0, 128.1, 127.8, 127.7, 125.9, 122.7, 120.4, 119.0, 108.9, 60.5, 58.3, 42.8, 40.8, 30.6, 28.6, 26.6, 25.9. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ $477.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 477.2537$, found 477.2528. HPLC: MeOH-MeCN-H2O 7-2-1/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM}$ $7 / 3$, flow rate: $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{RT}: 5.95 \mathrm{~min}$.

## 1-(12-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)dodecyl)-2-((2-

phenylacetoxy)methyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate (18c)
Yellow oil ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.83$ (dd, J=6.0, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.24 (td, J=8.0, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.07 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.87 (td, J=6.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (dd, J=8.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (td, J=7.0, 1.0 Hz, 2 H ), 7.38 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.33-7.23 (m, 5H), 7.20 (td, J=8.0, 1.0 Hz , 2 H ), $5.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{tm}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), 1.84 (qn, J=9.5 Hz, 4H), 1.40-1.20 (m, 16H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 170.6,151.1,146.6,145.7,140.5,132.7,129.4,129.0,128.3$, 127.9, 127.8, 125.7, 122.9, 120.4, 118.8, 108.8, 60.7, 58.6, 43.2, 40.8, 31.0, 29-5-28.9 (7C), 27.4, 26.4. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=561.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 561.3476$, found 561.3454 . HPLC: $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 7-2-1 / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH} 410 \mathrm{mM} 9 / 1$, flow rate: $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, RT: 6.12 min .

## 1-(3-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)propyl)-2-(1-(2-

phenylacetoxy)ethyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate (19a)
Yellow oil ( 149 mg , quant). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ ): $\delta 8.87$ (dd, J=7.5, $6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.03 (td, J=8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.60 ( m , $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-$ $7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.79-4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.61-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ $3.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 170.4,157.2,146.2,140.1,132.5,129.4$, 128.9, 128.6, 127.7, 127.4, 126.4, 124.6, 122.9, 120.4, 119.7, 109.4,
66.8, 55.7, 40.5, 39.3, 29.9, 19.5. MS (ESI): m/z = $449.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 449.2224$, found 449.2210. HPLC: MeOH-MeCN- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 7-2-1 / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM} 7 / 3$, flow rate: $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, RT: 3.95 min.

1-(6-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)hexyl)-2-(1-(2-phenylacetoxy)ethyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate (19b)

Yellow oil ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 250 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.74$ (d, J=5.3 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.14 (td, J=8.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 8.03 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (ddd, $\mathrm{J}=7.3,6.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 7.30-7.23 (m, 3H), 7.21-7.14 (m, 4H), $5.81(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.48$ (m, 1H), 4.40-4.28 (m, 1H), 4.24 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.62 (s, 2H), 1.96-1.72 $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (CDCl, 63 $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 170.8,156.8,146.2,140.4,132.6,129.4,128.9,127.8,127.5$, $125.8,125.2,122.8,120.3,118.9,108.9,66.9,58.5,42.7,40.9,30.5$, 28.6, 26.5, 25.8, 20.5. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=491.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} . \mathrm{HRMS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$491.2693, found 491.2683. HPLC: MeOH-$\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 7-2-1 / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM} 7 / 3$, flow rate: $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, RT: 7.03 min .

1-(12-(9H-Carbazol-9-yl)dodecyl)-2-((2phenylacetoxy)ethyl)pyridinium trifluoromethanesulfonate (19c)

Yellow oil ( 181 mg , quant.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ ): $\delta 8.94$ (dd, $J=6.0$, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.24 (td, J=8.0, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.09 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.92 (ddd, J=6.0, 3.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (dd, $J=8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.48-7.44 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40$ (d, J=8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.36-7.29 (m, 3H), 7.24-7.20 (m, 4H), 5.99 (q, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78-4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52-4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86$ (qn, J=7.5 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 125 MHz ): $\delta 170.8,156.8,146.9,146.1,140.6,132.5,129.4,129.1$, 128.0, 127.7, 125.7, 125.1, 122.9, 120.4, 118.8, 108.8, 67.0, 59.2, 43.2, 41.1, 30.9, 29.5-29.1 (7C), 27.4, 26.4, 20.7. MS (ESI): m/z = 575.3 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 575.3632$, found 575.3608. HPLC: MeOH-MeCN-H2O 7-2-1 / $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{AcONH}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM} 9 / 1$, flow rate: $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{RT}$ : 6.55 min .

## Theoretical calculations

The calculations have been performed with Gaussian $09^{16 a}$ using Density Functional Theory (DFT) and the $6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ Pople basis sets and the PBEO functional. The first low-lying electronic singlet excited states have been calculated using the time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) method ${ }^{16 \mathrm{~b}}$ to obtain theoretical absorption spectra. The calculations (geometry optimizations, TD-DFT calculations) have been performed in acetonitrile as in the experiment and solvent have been included in the calculations by means of the polarizable continuum model by the integral equation formalism (IEFPCM). ${ }^{16 c}$ All the geometry optimizations were led without symmetry constraints and followed by a vibrational frequency computation to ensure that they correspond to true energetic minima.
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## FULL PAPER

Covalently linked carbazole- N alkyl picolinium dyads undergo fragmentation under UV (366 nm ), or, two-photon photolysis conditions ( 730 nm ) opening the way for the design of a novel class of "caged" compounds.
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[^0]:    [a] Stokes shift $=1 / \lambda_{\text {abs }}-1 / \lambda_{\text {em. }}$. [b] See SI for details.

