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# ON THE BETTI MAP ASSOCIATED TO ABELIAN LOGARITHMS 

Y. ANDRÉ, P. CORVAJA, U. ZANNIER

Abstract. (draft 5)

## 1. Problem and main results.

1.1. Let $A$ be a complex abelian variety of dimension $g$. We write $\Lambda$ for the its period lattice $H_{1}(A(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$, identified with the kernel of the exponential map $\exp _{A}$ : Lie $A \rightarrow A$.

We fix a complex point $\sigma$ of $A$, and let $\Lambda_{\sigma}$ be the lattice formed by the pairs $(\ell \in \operatorname{Lie} A, m \in \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\exp _{A}(\ell)=m \sigma$. It sits in an extension

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{\sigma} \xrightarrow{(\ell, m) \mapsto m} \mathbb{Z} \sigma \rightarrow 0, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we fix a splitting $\lambda: \mathbb{Z} \sigma \rightarrow \Lambda_{\sigma}$ (i.e. a branch of the "abelian logarithm"). On the other hand, let us consider the first projection $\Lambda_{\sigma} \xrightarrow{(\ell, m) \mapsto \ell}$ Lie $A$ and its $\mathbb{R}$-linear extension $\Lambda_{\sigma} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$ Lie $A$. Since the composed map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\sigma} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text { Lie } A \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an isomorphism, one has a canonical projection $\Lambda_{\sigma} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, and the image of $\lambda(\sigma)$ in $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ can be expressed, in terms of a basis $\underline{\ell}$ of $\Lambda$, by $2 g$ real coordinates: the Betti coordinates ${ }^{1}$ of $\sigma$.
1.2. We are actually interested in the relative setting. Let $S$ be a smooth connected complex algebraic variety.

Let $A \xrightarrow{f} S$ be an abelian scheme of relative dimension $g$. Its Lie algebra Lie $A$ is a rank $g$ vector bundle on $S$. For our purpose, there will be no

[^0]loss of generality ${ }^{2}$ in assuming, as we shall do, that $S$ is affine and that this vector bundle is trivial, i.e. $\Gamma$ Lie $A$ is a free $\Gamma \mathcal{O}_{S}$-module of rank $g$.

Let $\sigma: S \rightarrow A$ be a section of $f$. The above constructions extend to this relative setting as follows. Let $\tilde{S}$ be a universal covering of $S(\mathbb{C})$, with its canonical structure of complex analytic manifold. The kernel of $\exp _{A}$ is a locally constant sheaf on $S(\mathbb{C})$, which can be viewed as a constant lattice $\Lambda$ on $\tilde{S}$. Similarly, one constructs an exact sequence of lattices on $\tilde{S}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{\sigma} \xrightarrow{(\ell, m) \mapsto m} \mathbb{Z} \sigma \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and fixes a splitting $\lambda: \mathbb{Z} \sigma \rightarrow \Lambda_{\sigma}$.
Let $\tilde{S}^{\text {real }}$ be $\tilde{S}$ viewed as a real-analytic manifold and let $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{R}}\left(\tilde{S}^{\text {real }}\right)$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}\left(\tilde{S}^{\text {real }}\right)$ ) be the ring of real-valued (resp. complex valued) real-analytic functions on $\tilde{S}$. The composed map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{R}}\left(\tilde{S}^{\text {real }}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda_{\sigma} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{R}}\left(\tilde{S}^{\text {real }}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma \text { Lie } A \otimes_{\Gamma O_{S}} \mathcal{O}\left(\tilde{S}^{\text {real }}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an isomorphism of free $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{R}}\left(\tilde{S}^{\text {real }}\right)$-modules. One thus has a canonical projection $\Lambda_{\sigma} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{R}}\left(\tilde{S}^{\text {real }}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{R}}\left(\tilde{S}^{\text {real }}\right)$, and the image of $\lambda(\sigma)$ in $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{R}}\left(\tilde{S}^{\text {real }}\right)$ can be expressed, in terms of a basis $\underline{\ell}$ of the lattice $\Lambda$, by a real-analytic map (the Betti map)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\beta(\sigma, \lambda, \underline{\ell}): \quad \tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 g} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

1.3. We assume for convenience that $A \rightarrow S$ is principally polarized, which allows to consider to the moduli map $\mu: S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g}$. We denote by $A_{\bar{\eta}}$ the geometric generic fiber, and we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\operatorname{dim} \mu(S) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are interested in the range of $\beta$. It is easy to see that the its dimension is $\leq \min (2 d, 2 g)$. Under what condition is the range of $\beta$ maximal, i.e. of dimension $\min (2 d, 2 g)$ ?

This may not be the case for various trivial reasons (e.g. when the $\sigma$ is a torsion section, or when $A \xrightarrow{f} S$ is the pull-back of an abelian scheme defined over a base of dimension $<d \leq g$ ).
1.4. Here is a sample of concrete results [not our final results, hopefully!]:
1.4.1. Theorem. Assume that $g \leq \min (3, d)$ and that the section $\sigma$ is not contained in a proper subgroup scheme of $A$. Then the range of $\beta$ has real dimension $2 g$. More precisely, the restriction of $\beta$ to the inverse image of a dense Zariski-open subset of $S$ is a submersion.

[^1]The proof relies on an analysis of the Kodaira-Spencer map.
1.4.2. Theorem. Assume that $\sigma$ is not contained in a proper subgroup scheme of $A$. Assume moreover that $d \leq \min (2, g)$. Then $\beta$ has real image $2 d$. More precisely, the restriction of $\beta$ to the inverse image of a dense Zariski-open subset of $S$ is an immersion.
1.5. [Motivation for the problem, and application of the results (if any)... General conjecture ....]

## 2. AN INFINITESIMAL APPROACH, AND ITS HOLOMORPHIC TRANSLATION.

2.1. Our approach is infinitesimal. In fact, the problem we address is the following:
under what condition is $\beta$ almost everywhere an immersion, resp. a submersion (according to whether $d \leq g$ or $g \leq d$ )?
2.1.1. Proposition. For every $s \in \tilde{S}$, $\operatorname{Ker} \beta(s)$ is a complex vector subspace of Lie $A_{s} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2 g}$. Moreover the fibers of $\beta$ are complex-analytic subspaces of $\tilde{S}$, and all non-empty fibers have dimension $\geq 2 d-r$, where $r$ is the generic rank of $\beta$.
Proof. - [cf. your prop 2.1+2.2].
2.2. We may first replace $S$ by a finite etale covering and assume that for some $n \geq 3$, the $n$-torsion sections are defined over $S$, then replace it by its image in the moduli space $\mathcal{A}_{g, n}$ of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension $g$ with level $n$-structure. We thus consider the rank of a jacobian matrix of size $(2 d, 2 g)$, and for this purpose, we introduce the following notation:
$\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{g}, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{g}\right)$ is a symplectic basis of $\mathcal{H}_{d R}^{1}(A / S)$ with $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{g} \in \Omega_{A}$,
$\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{g}\right)$ is a symplectic basis of $\Lambda$,
$\Omega_{1}:=\left(\int_{\gamma_{i}} \omega_{j}\right)_{i, j=1, \ldots g}, \Omega_{2}:=\left(\int_{\gamma_{i+g}} \omega_{j}\right)_{i, j=1, \ldots g}, Z:=\Omega_{1} \cdot \Omega_{2}^{-1}={ }^{t} Z$ (which takes values in $\mathfrak{H}_{g}$ ), $L:=\left(\int^{\sigma} \omega_{j}\right)_{j=1, \ldots g} \cdot \Omega_{2}^{-1}$.

Let $r$ be $\min (d, g)$. In the next step, we transform the vanishing of a $2 r$ $2 r$-minor involving real-analytic functions by the vanishing of a $r$ - $r$-minor of a related matrix involving only complex-analytic functions on $\tilde{S}$. In order to do this, we take advantage of the monodromy, using a theorem of linear independence of abelian logarithms with respect to periods [A1, th. 2] (cf. also [A2, §1]).

At the end, we come along with the following translation of the problem (under extra assumptions):
2.2.1. Proposition. (with $S \subset \mathcal{A}_{g, n}$ ). Assume that $\sigma$ is not contained in a proper sub-group scheme. Then for any $s \in \tilde{S}, \beta$ is an immersion, resp. $a$ submersion (according to whether $d \leq g$ or $g \leq d$ ) at $s$ if and only if for some $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{g}$, the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(\partial_{i} L\right)(s)+\sum_{k=1, \ldots, g} \lambda_{k}\left(\partial_{i} Z_{k j}\right)(s)\right)_{i=1, \ldots, d, j=1, \ldots g} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has maximal rank (where the $\partial_{i}$ form a basis of the tangent space $T_{\tilde{S}}(s)$ ).
This is already the case if for some $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{g}$, the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{j=1, \ldots, g} \lambda_{k}\left(\partial_{i} Z_{k j}\right)(s)\right)_{i=1, \ldots, d, j=1, \ldots g} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has maximal rank.
Proof. ... [your §3.5].
The second assertion follows from the first, putting an arbitrary coefficient $\lambda_{0}$ in front of $\left(\partial_{i} L\right)(s)$ and letting $\lambda_{0}$ tend to 0 .

Remark. For $d=g$, the negation of the conclusion leads to a special case of "Dieudonné's problem", which is notoriously difficult: describe vector spaces of singular matrices $Z$, resp. singular symmetric matrices, cf. e.g. $[L]^{3}$.

## 3. Role of the Kodaira-Spencer map.

### 3.1. We know consider the following condition:

For every s in an dense open affine subset of $S$, the matrix (2.2) has maximal rank.
We shall translate it in terms of the Kodaira-Spencer map

$$
\theta: T_{S} \otimes \Omega_{A} \rightarrow \Omega_{A}^{\vee}=\operatorname{Lie} A
$$

where $\Omega_{A}$ denotes the locally free $\mathcal{O}_{S}$-module of invariant 1-forms on $A$. We refer to [A3, 1.4, 2.1] for background about the Kodaira-Spencer map of a polarized abelian scheme $A$ of relative dimension $g$ over a smooth $\mathbb{C}$ scheme $S$. For any $\partial \in \Gamma T_{S}$, we denote by $\theta_{\partial}$ the corresponding map $\Omega_{A} \rightarrow \Omega_{A}^{\vee}$, which belongs to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\text {sym }}\left(\Omega_{A}, \Omega_{A}^{\vee}\right)$. Let us recall that in terms of the symplectic basis $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{g}, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{g}$, the matrix of $\theta_{\partial}$ is given by the lower left quadrant $T_{\partial}$ of the Gauss-Manin connection written in the form

$$
\partial\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Omega_{1} & \mathrm{~N}_{1} \\
\Omega_{2} & \mathrm{~N}_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Omega_{1} & \mathrm{~N}_{1} \\
\Omega_{2} & \mathrm{~N}_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
R_{\partial} & S_{\partial} \\
T_{\partial} & U_{\partial}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $R_{\partial}, S_{\partial}, T_{\partial}, U_{\partial} \in M_{g}(\mathcal{O}(S))$. Moreover $T_{\partial}$ is a symmetric matrix.

[^2]3.1.1. Lemma. Assume that $d=g$. Then condition (3.1) is equivalent to:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists \omega \in \Gamma \Omega_{A}, \forall \partial \in \Gamma T_{S} \backslash 0, \theta_{\partial} \cdot \omega \neq 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Proof. One has
$\partial Z=\left(\partial \Omega_{1}-\Omega_{1} \Omega_{2}^{-1} \partial \Omega_{2}\right) \Omega_{2}^{-1}=\left(\left(\Omega_{1} R_{\partial}+N_{1} T_{\partial}\right)-\Omega_{1} \Omega_{2}^{-1}\left(\Omega_{2} R_{\partial}+N_{2} T_{\partial}\right)\right) \Omega_{2}^{-1}$
$=\left(N_{1}-\Omega_{1} \Omega_{2}^{-1} N_{2}\right) T_{\partial} \Omega_{2}^{-1}=-\Omega_{2}^{-1}\left({ }^{t} \Omega_{1} N_{2}-^{t} \Omega_{2} N_{1}\right) T_{\partial} \Omega_{2}^{-1}=-2 \pi i \Omega_{2}^{-1} T_{\partial} \Omega_{2}^{-1}$.
If $\vec{\mu}=-2 \pi i \vec{\lambda} \Omega_{2}^{-1}$, one has

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\sum_{k=1, \ldots, g} \lambda_{k}\left(\partial_{i} Z_{k j}\right)(s)\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\sum_{k=1, \ldots, g} \mu_{k}\left(T_{\partial_{i}}\right)_{k j}(s)\right) \cdot\left(\operatorname{det} \Omega_{2}\right)^{-1} .
$$

Setting $\omega=\sum \mu_{k} \omega_{k}$, one has $\sum \mu_{k}\left(T_{\partial_{i}}\right)_{k j}=\theta_{\partial_{i}}(\omega) \cdot \eta_{j}$. Thus (3.1) is equivalent to: there is a dense open affine subset $S^{\prime} \subset S$ such that

$$
\forall s \in S^{\prime}(\mathbb{C}), \exists \omega_{s} \in \Omega^{1}\left(A_{s}\right), \forall \partial_{s} \in T_{S, s} \backslash 0,\left(\theta(s)_{\partial_{s}}\right)\left(\omega_{s}\right) \neq 0
$$

It is easy to see that this condition is equivalent in turn to (3.2) (for the direct implication, any $\omega$ lifting $\omega_{s}$ for some $s$ will do).
3.2. In [A3], a condition similar to (3.2) is studied (cf. [A3, lemma 1.4.5]), where the quantifiers are interchanged:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \partial \in \Gamma T_{S} \backslash 0, \exists \omega \in \Gamma \Omega_{A}, \quad \theta_{\partial} \cdot \omega \neq 0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.2.1. Theorem. [A3, def. 4.2.1, th. 4.2.2] In the restricted PE case, condition (3.3) is satisfied.
3.2.2. Lemma. If $d=g$, (3.2) $\Rightarrow$ (3.3).

Proof. Let $\theta^{\omega}: T_{S} \rightarrow \Omega_{A}^{\vee}$ the contraction of $\theta$ by $\omega \in \Omega_{A}$. Condition (3.2) is equivalent to the injectivity of $\theta^{\omega}$ for some $\omega$. Since $d=g$, this is equivalent to the generic surjectivity of $\theta^{\omega}$ for some $\omega$, which implies the generic surjectivity of $\theta$, which is in turn equivalent to (3.3).
3.2.3. Question. Does the converse of the lemma holds?
3.2.4. Remark. Let $\eta$ be the generic point of $S$ and $P\left(\Omega_{A_{\eta}}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $P\left(T_{\eta}\right)$ ) be the projective space of lines on $\Omega_{A_{\eta}}$ ) (resp. $T_{S}$ ). We denote by $\bar{\omega}$ (resp. $\bar{\partial}$ ) the class of $\omega$ in $P\left(\Omega_{A_{\eta}}\right)$ (resp. $\partial$ in $P\left(T_{\eta}\right)$ ).

One could try to answer the question in general by explaining the "logical seesaw" between quantifiers from (3.3) to (3.2) through a "geometric seesaw" provided by the incidence variety $\Sigma \subset P\left(\Omega_{A_{\eta}}\right) \times P\left(T_{\eta}\right)$ formed by pairs $(\bar{\omega}, \bar{\partial})$ such that $\theta_{\partial} \cdot \omega=0$, and let $p_{1}, p_{2}$ be the projections on the
factors. Let further $\breve{P}_{h} \subset P\left(T_{\eta}\right)$ be the locally closed subvariety formed by elements $\bar{\partial}$ such that $\theta_{\partial, \eta}$ has rank $h$. One has

$$
p_{2}(\Sigma) \subset \coprod_{h=1}^{h=g-1} \breve{P}_{h} \subset P\left(T_{\eta}\right)=\coprod_{h=1}^{h=g} \breve{P}_{h},
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{dim} p_{2}^{-1}\{\partial\}=g-1-h \text { if } \partial \in \breve{P}_{h} .
$$

By (3.3), $\breve{P}_{g}$ is non empty and in fact dense (the condition is open in $\partial$ ), hence $\operatorname{dim} p_{2}(\Sigma) \leq g-2$.

The key problem is whether one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \breve{P}_{h-1}<\operatorname{dim} \breve{P}_{h} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(which is equivalent to $\operatorname{dim} \breve{P}_{h} \neq \emptyset$ since $\breve{P}_{h} \supset \breve{P}_{h-1}$ ) for $e<h<g$, where $e$ is the first index such that $\breve{P}_{e} \neq \emptyset$.

If so, then $\operatorname{dim} \breve{P}_{h} \leq h-1$ and $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma \leq g-2$, so $p_{1}$ is not dominant, and any $\bar{\omega}$ outside the image of $p_{1}$ will do.

We have only partial answers to 3.2.3.
3.2.5. Proposition. If $d=g \leq 3$, (3.3) $\Rightarrow$ (3.2).

Proof. We use the previous remark: the case $g \leq 2$ is trivial ((3.4) is satisfied). For $g=3$, $\operatorname{dim} \breve{\breve{P}}_{2}=1$, and it suffices to show that $\breve{P}_{1}$ is of dimension 0 or empty. Let us identify $P\left(T_{\eta}\right)$ with a plane in the projective space of $S^{2}$ Lie $A_{\eta}$. Let $\Delta_{h} \subset P\left(S^{2}\right.$ Lie $\left.A_{\eta}\right)$ be the locus of matrices of rank $h$. Then $\Delta_{1}$ may be identified with the Veronese surface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{5}$, since its elements are the symmetric matrices of the form $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}x_{0}^{2} & x_{0} x_{1} & x_{0} x_{2} \\ x_{0} x_{1} & x_{1}^{2} & x_{1} x_{2} \\ x_{0} x_{2} & x_{1} x_{2} & x_{2}^{2}\end{array}\right)$, and $P\left(T_{\eta}\right) \cap V$ may be identified with $\bar{P}_{1}$. It is enough to show that there any plane $P \subset \mathbb{P}^{5}$ such that $P \cap V$ is a curve is contained in $\overline{\Delta_{2}}$. Such a plane would be cut by three hyperplanes, which would correspond to three non collinear quadratic forms $q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}$ in $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}$. If $P \cap V$ is a curve, then one could choose the $q_{i}$ 's of the form $\ell . x_{i}$, where $\ell$ is a linear form in $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}$; by change of coordinates, $\ell$ may be taken to be $x_{0}$, and it is then clear that $P \subset \overline{\Delta_{2}}$.
3.2.6. Proposition. If $\mu(S)$ is a sufficiently general subvariety of dimension $g$ of a special subvariety $S_{1}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{g}$ of restricted PEL type (cf. [A3, 4.2.1]), then (3.3) $\Rightarrow$ (3.2).

Proof. Let $D:=$ End $A_{\eta}$ (assumed to be a division algebra), $E$ a maximal subfield of $D$ containing $F$ (and chosen to be CM except if $D$ is a totally real field) and $E^{+}$the maximal totally real subfield of $E$. One has a decomposition $\Omega_{A}=\oplus_{\nu: E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}} \Omega_{A} \otimes_{E \otimes \mathbb{C}, \nu} \mathbb{C}$. Fix $\omega \in \Omega_{A}$ with non-zero components $\omega_{\nu}$. Fix $s \in S(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\omega_{\nu, s}$ remains non-zero. With the notation of [A3, rem. 4.2.3 i)], the tangent space $T_{S_{1}, s}$ decomposes as $\oplus_{\nu: E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}} T_{S_{1}, s, \nu}$, and the universal Kodaira-Spencer map on the family of PEL type induces an isomorphism $T_{S_{1}, s, \nu} \cong S^{2} \Omega_{A, s, \nu}^{\vee}$ or $T_{S_{1}, s, \nu} \cong \otimes^{2} \Omega_{A, s, \nu}^{\vee}$ (according to whether $D$ is of type I or II, or of type III or IV). In particular the contraction $\left(\theta_{1}^{\omega_{\nu}}\right)_{s}: T_{S_{1}, s, \nu} \rightarrow \Omega_{A, s, \nu}^{\vee}$ is surjective, hence also $\left(\theta_{1}^{\omega}\right)_{s}: T_{S_{1}, s} \rightarrow \Omega_{A, s}^{\vee}$. Since $S$ is sufficiently general in $S_{1}$ of dimension $g$, then $T_{S}$ is transverse to the kernel of $\theta_{1}^{\omega}$ on a suitable dense open subset of $S$ [details should be checked].
3.3. A counterexample. We indicate here what seems to be an example with smallest $g>0$ of a subvariety (resp. a special subvariety) of dimension $d$ of $\mathcal{A}_{g, n}$ with $d=g$, such that the restriction of the universal abelian scheme does not satisfy condition (3.3) (hence not (3.1); in this example the Betti map is nowhere a submersion). In fact $d=g=5$ (resp. 6).

Let us first treat the modular example. Let $E^{+}$be a real quadratic field and $E$ a totally imaginary quadratic extension fo $E^{+}$. Let $A \rightarrow S$ be a principally polarized abelian scheme of relative dimension 6 , with level $n \geq$ 3 structure, such that $\operatorname{End}_{S} A=\mathcal{O}_{E}$, and with Shimura type $\left(r_{\nu}, s_{\nu}\right)=$ $(1,2),(1,2)$ (for the two embeddings $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}$ of $E^{+}$in $\mathbb{C}$ ). According to [ S$]$, there exists such a family, with base $S$ a special subvariety of dimension $\sum r_{\nu} \cdot s_{\nu}=6$ of $\mathcal{A}_{6, n}$. By functoriality, $\theta$ commutes with the $\mathcal{O}_{L}$-action, hence respects the decomposition $\mathcal{H}_{d R}^{1}(A / S)=\oplus_{\rho: E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{H}_{\rho}$. For every $\rho$, and general $\partial$, the restriction of the Kodaira-Spencer map $\theta_{\partial}$ to $\left(\Omega_{A}\right)_{\rho}$ has rank 1 (either the source or the target is of rank 1 ), hence $\theta_{\partial}$ has rank $4<6$.

The non modular example consists in taking a general hypersuface section in a modular example with $d=6, g=5$. Here $E$ is a quadratic imaginary field, and $\operatorname{End}_{S} A=\mathcal{O}_{E}$ with Shimura type $(r, s)=(2,3)$. For each complex embedding $\rho$ of $E$, the restriction of the Kodaira-Spencer map $\theta_{\partial}$ to $\left(\Omega_{A}\right)_{\rho}$ has rank 2 (either the source or the target is of rank 2), hence $\theta_{\partial}$ has rank $4<5$.
3.3.1. Remark. It would be interesting to go beyond, and settle (3.2) fro instance in the case of maximal monodromy $S p_{2 g}$. Let $A \rightarrow S$ be the jacobian of the universal hyperelliptic curve of genus $g>0$. Here $S$ is the affine space $\mathcal{M}_{0,2 g+2} \cong\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash\{0,1, \infty\}\right)^{2 g-1}$. By Torelli's theorem, one has $\operatorname{dim} \mu(S)=2 g-1$. On the other hand, according to [M2, th. 5.6], End $A_{\bar{\eta}}=\mathbb{Z}$ (in fact, it is knwon that the monodromy representation,
which is a specialization of the Burau representation of the braid group, has Zariski dense image in $S p_{2 g}, c f$. [aC]). Hence (3.2) whould imply that, after replacing $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash\{0,1, \infty\}\right)^{2 g-1}$ by a suitable dense Zariski-open affine subset, $\beta$ is a submersion. [There are explicit calculations (without detail) by Bryant of $\theta$ in this case on MathOverflow (tag: hyperelliptic) which should settle the matter]
3.4. Let us turn to the proof of th. 1.4.1. [sketch] One may replace $S$ by a finite etale covering and assume that $A / S$ has a level $n$ structure for some $n \geq 3$, then replace $S$ by a smooth dense affine open subset of its image in $\mathcal{A}_{g, n}$. By assumption, $d=\operatorname{dim} \mu(S) \geq g$. Taking a sufficiently general locally closed affine subvariety $S^{\prime} \subset S$ of dimension $g$, the restriction to $S^{\prime}$ of the abelian scheme and of the section satisfy the same assumption. Moreover, one checks that the condition $d \geq g$ implies that we are in the restricted PE case ${ }^{4}$. Remplacing $S$ by $S^{\prime}$, one is in the situation $d=g$. One can then combine prop. 2.2.1, 3.2.5 and th. 3.2.1. [A similar deduction holds with prop. 3.2.6 in place of 3.2.5].

## 4. Proof of theorem 1.5.1.

[your §4 and 5].
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