Benthic macrofaunal bioturbation activities from shelf to deep basin in spring to summer transition in the Arctic Ocean

Barbara Oleszczuk, Emma Michaud, Nathalie Morata, Paul Renaud, Monika Kędra

Marine Environmental Research
September 2019, Volume 150, Pages 104746 (16p.)
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2019.06.008
https://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00502/61329/

Benthic macrofaunal bioturbation activities from shelf to deep basin in spring to summer transition in the Arctic Ocean

Oleszczuk Barbara [1, *], Michaud Emma [2], Morata Nathalie [2, 3], Renaud Paul E. [3, 4], Kędra Monika [1]

[1] Institute of Oceanology, Polish Academy of Science (IOPAN), Powstańców Warszawy 55, 81-712, Sopot, Poland
[2] Laboratoire des Sciences de L'environnement Marin Sciences (LEMAR), UMR 6539 (CNRS/UBO/ IRD/Ifremer), Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, rue Dumont d'Urville, 29280, Plouzané, France
[3] Akvaplan-niva, Fram Centre for Climate and the Environment, Tromsø, Norway
[4] The University Centre in Svalbard, Longyearbyen, Norway

* Corresponding author : Barbara Oleszczuk, email address : oleszczuk@iopan.gda.pl

Abstract :

The aim of this study was to assess bioturbation rates in relation to macrozoobenthos and environmental variables in the Svalbard fjords, Barents Sea and Nansen Basin during spring to summer transition. The results showed differences in benthic community structure across sampled area in relation to sediment type and phytopigment content. Fjords, Barents Sea and the shallow parts of Nansen Basin (<400 m) were characterized by high functional groups diversity, and by biodiffusive and non-local rates ranging from 0.05 to 1.75 cm−2 y−1 and from 0.2 to 3.2 y−1, respectively. The deeper parts of Nansen Basin, dominated by conveyors species, showed only non-local transport rates (0.1–1 y−1). Both coefficients intensity varied with benthic biomass. Non-local transport increased with species richness and density and at stations with mud enriched by fresh phytopigments, whereas biodiffusion varied with sediment type and organic matter quantity. This study quantified for the first time the two modes of sediment mixing in the Arctic, each of which being driven by different environmental and biological situations.

Highlights

► This is the first complex report on bioturbation in spring to summer transition conducted over a large depth gradient in the Arctic Ocean. ► Benthic community structure and related biodiffusion and non-local transport varied in Svalbard fjords, Barents Sea and Nansen Basin. ► Changes in environmental conditions, and related changes in quality and quantity of available organic matter, had impact on benthic communities and bioturbation. ► Large inputs of fresh OM to the seabed can trigger bioturbation activities.

Keywords : non-local transport, biodiffusive transport, macrozoobenthos, spring season, sea ice cover, Arctic Ocean

1. Introduction

The structure and functioning of benthic communities depend on the quality and quantity of organic matter (OM) export fluxes to the sea floor and this dependence increases with increasing depth. Shallow Arctic shelves benthos is often fueled by high OM fluxes to the sea floor due to tight pelagic-benthic coupling (e.g. Grebmeier et al., 2006; Tamelander et al., 2008), while deep-sea communities become food-limited due to low amount of OM reaching

sea floor (Maiti et al., 2010). The seasons strongly shape the OM fluxes to the sea floor in the Arctic marine ecosystems. Phytoplankton and ice algae are two principal sources of primary production (PP) in the Arctic Ocean with ice algae being the first food source available after polar night (Søreide et al., 2006, 2008; Leu et al., 2010). Although phytoplankton is quantitatively dominant, ice algal blooms tend to occur earlier in the seasonally ice-covered Arctic seas and may contribute up to 50–60% of total PP (Gosselin et al., 1997; McMinn et al., 2010; Fernandez-Mendez et al., 2015; Van Leeuwe et al., 2018). During the spring, PP is typically greater than zooplankton consumption and thus highest vertical carbon fluxes are recorded (Andreassen and Wassmann, 1998; Tamelander et al., 2006). Later in the season, the zooplankton grazing reduces the OM flux but also adds to it by producing fecal pellets, which helps phytoplankton sink rapidly to the sea bottom (Olli et al., 2002). In fjords and on the shelf, benthic communities can also be fueled by terrestrial OM carried by rivers and/or glaciers, mainly during summer (Bourgeois et al., 2016). Benthic organisms act as temporal couplers in the seasonal systems, since they can consume variable carbon sources over the different seasons (McMeans et al., 2015), therefore benthic communities reflect rather long term (months to years) water column production, while the benthic activities reflect short term (days to weeks) environmental conditions (Morata and Renaud, 2008).

Bioturbation occurs when an organism moves through the sediment, constructs and maintains burrows, and ingests and defecates. This process results in mixing of particles and solutes within the substratum (Kristensen et al., 2012), and alters sediment structure (e.g., grain size distribution; Montserrat et al., 2009), and production, mineralization and redistribution of OM (Kure and Forbes, 1997). Life habit, motility, and manner of feeding of infaunal species induce either random particle movement over a short distance (biodiffusion (Db) hereafter) (Gérino et al., 2007; Meysman et al., 2003) or biologically induced discontinuous particle transfer between the sediment surface and deeper sediment layers, for

example via burrowing or feeding behavior (non-local transport (r) hereafter) (Boudreau, 1986; Meysman et al., 2003; Duport et al., 2007; Gogina et al., 2017). According to the mode of particle mixing, benthic organisms can be classified into five functional groups of sediment reworking which may include biodiffusion and/or non-local transport: biodiffusors, gallery-diffusors, upward- and downward-conveyors, and regenerators (François et al., 1997). The presence and intensity of these bioturbation modes are therefore mediated by fauna characteristics like biomass, density, burrowing depth or feeding behavior (François et al., 1999; Gérino et al., 1998; Sandnes et al., 2000; Gilbert et al., 2007; Michaud et al., 2005, 2006; Duport et al., 2007; Aschenbroich et al., 2017). In turn, species composition, nature and intensity of their effects on sediment mixing depends on temperature (Ouelette et al., 2004; Duport et al., 2007; Maire et al., 2007), food inputs (Nogaro et al., 2008) and sediment characteristics (Needham et al., 2011). Changes in species composition and activities, and therefore in bioturbation mode and/or intensity, are expected to influence biochemical processes near the sediment-water interface, including carbon cycling. Bioturbation rate can therefore be influenced by seasonal changes in PP in the above water column and deposited OM in the seafloor (food bank; Morata et al., 2015).

Only a few studies of bioturbation exist in the Arctic Ocean. Teal et al. (2008) created the database with global bioturbation intensity coefficient (Db) and layer depth (L), where they showed that the Arctic, Central Pacific and most tropical regions are missing bioturbation data. In polar regions, it has been shown that sediment mixing rates were higher through biological transports in the shallow sediments directly impacted by the OM input along the marginal ice covered area of the Barents Sea (Maiti et al., 2010) and in the Svalbard fjords (Konovalov et al., 2010). On the contrary, the deep sediments of the Arctic Ocean were marked by lower sediment mixing rates in relation to a lower benthic biomass correlated with lower OM inputs (Clough et al., 1997). Soltwedel et al. (2019), however, did not confirm a

higher bioturbation activity in the high productive Marginal Ice Zone (MIZ) in Fram Strait compared to the less productive ice zone. Seasonal aspects of bioturbation in the Arctic were preliminarily studied by Morata et al. (2015), whose experiments showed that the bioturbation activity was positively correlated with fresh food input during the polar night. McClintic et al. (2008) found no seasonal variation in bioturbation intensity during June and October in West Antarctic continental shelf which suggests that deposit feeders are able to access food particles accumulated during high PP periods. Still, our knowledge on benthic communities responsible for bioturbation processes and their relation to OM inputs in the Arctic Ocean and adjacent shelves remains limited, particularly during the spring bloom.

The main aim of this study was to understand the impacts of differences in environmental conditions on benthic communities and their bioturbation function during the spring to summer transition. We focused on the Svalbard area where fjords, shelf and deep Nansen Basin differ considerably in terms of physical forcing affecting the quality and quantity of the OM inputs to the seafloor. Sediment reworking rates were quantified in relation to taxonomic and functional composition of the benthic macrofaunal communities, and in relation to the environmental variables. This work is the first study on bioturbation processes conducted in the Arctic Ocean during spring to summer transition time over a large depth gradient. It will contribute to our understanding of response of macrofauna and their activity to the quality and quantity of OM in the Arctic seabed.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study area

Sampling was conducted in the Svalbard Archipelago, the Barents Sea and deep Nansen Basin north of Svalbard (Fig. 1, Table 1). This area is highly influenced by cold Arctic Water coming from the north and warm Atlantic Waters coming from the south, and the relative influence of those two water masses varies largely in the study area.



Fig. 1. Geographical location of the study region (A) and (B) sampling locations during two cruises (AX – ARCEx, PS – TRANSSIZ) with two major currents surrounding Svalbard:

133 WSC - West Spitsbergen Current, warm Atlantic waters (black) and the ESC – East
134 Spitsbergen Current, cold Arctic waters (gray) (after Svendsen et al., 2002).

135 Table 1. Main characteristics of the sampling stations.

Station	Date	Cruise name	No of cores	Area	Latitude (°N)	Longitude (°E)	Main current	Depth [m]	Bottom Water Salinity	Bottom Water Temperature (°C)
AX/1	19.05.2016	ARCEx	5	Van Mijenfjorden	77.83°	16.47°	ESC	59	34.5	-0.8
AX/2	20.05.2016	ARCEx	5	Hornsund	77.02°	16.45°	ESC	121	34.5	-0.8
AX/3	21.05.2016	ARCEx	5	Storfjorden	77.94°	20.22°	ESC	96	34.5	-0.8
ST/8	15.07.2016	SteP	4	Storfjorden	77.98°	20.28°	ESC	99	34.1	4.5
AX/4	24.05.2016	ARCEx	5	Erik Eriksen Strait	79.21°	26.00°	ESC	217	34.7	0.5
AX/6	25.05.2016	ARCEx	5	Southern Barents Sea	76.60°	30.01°	ESC	278	35.0	2.5
PS/20	30.05.2015	TRANSSIZ	3	Northern Barents Sea	81.04°	19.32°	WSC	170	34.9	0.9
PS/32	06.06.2015	TRANSSIZ	4	Northern Barents Sea	81.16°	20.01°	WSC	312	34.9	2.1
PS/19	29.05.2015	TRANSSIZ	5	Northern Barents Sea	81.23°	18.51°	WSC	471	35.1	1.4
PS/27	01.06.2015	TRANSSIZ	5	Northern Barents Sea	81.31°	17.15°	WSC	842	34.9	0.2
PS/31	04.06.2015	TRANSSIZ	5	Nansen Basin	81.47°	18.17°	WSC	1656	34.9	2.5

136

137

138

139

Van Mijenfjorden and Hornsund are located on the west coast of Spitsbergen, Svalbard. Van Mijenfjorden is a small fjord, nearly closed by an island at its mouth. It is separated into two basins: the outer (115 m depth) and inner (74 m depth), and by 45 m deep sill that restricts exchange of water between the fjord and the coastal waters (Skarđhamar and Svendsen, 2010). Hornsund is a large open glacial fjord with eight major tidal glaciers located in the central and inner parts and large terrestrial inflow (Błaszczyk et al., 2013; Drewnik et al., 2016). The average depth is 90 m with a maximum of 260 m (Kędra et al., 2013). Strong gradients in sedimentation, PP and benthic fauna occur along the increasing distance to the glaciers (Włodarska-Kowalczuk et al., 2013). These high latitude fjords are productive systems, where PP starts in early spring and continue to late autumn (Fetzer et al., 2002). The annual PP reaches up to 216 g C m^{-2} y^{-1} in Hornsund (Smoła et al., 2017). The Barents Sea is a shelf sea with water depths ranging from 35 m in the Svalbard Bank to up to 400 m or more in deep depressions and proximal canyon boundaries (Cochrane et al., 2012). The southern part of the Barents Sea is relatively warm and ice free while its northern parts are seasonally ice covered, with maximum ice coverage from March to April and minimum ice coverage generally occurring in September (Vinje, 2009; Ozhigin et al., 2011; Jørgensen et al., 2015). It is one of the most productive areas in the Arctic Ocean with average PP about 100 g C m^{-2} y^{-1} and maximum PP reaching over 300 g C m^{-2} y^{-1} on shallow banks (Sakshaug, 2004). Storfjorden is located east of Spitsbergen and has a maximum depth of 190 m (Skogseth et al., 2005). A polynya appears regularly in Storfjorden. It is a very productive area of the Barents Sea, and its productivity is correlated with the duration of the seasonal sea cover (Winkelmann and Knies, 2005). In Storfjorden the production of marine organic carbon may exceed 300 mg C cm^{-2} kyr^{-1}, while the production of total organic carbon (TOC) may exceed 500 mg C cm^{-2} kyr^{-1} (Pathirana et al., 2013; Rasmussen and Thomsen, 2014). Nansen Basin,

with a maximum depth of 4000 m, is part of the Eurasian basin of the Arctic Ocean. In general, annual gross PP is within the range of 5–30 g C m^{-2} (Codispoti et al., 2013).

2.2. Sampling

Benthic sampling was conducted during spring cruises of R/V Polarstern PS92 – TRANSSIZ in May and June 2015, and R/V Helmer Hanssen – ARCEx in May 2016 (Table 1). Samples were collected at 10 stations located along the depth gradient, from Svalbard fjord (depth: 59 – 121 m), through the Barents shelf and slope (from 170 to 842 m) to the deep Nansen Basin (max. depth: 1656 m) (Fig. 1). Almost all stations north of Svalbard (P32, PS/19, PS/27 and PS/31) were sea ice covered during sampling, except PS/20 station. One station in Storfjorden (AX/3) was revisited in July 2016 during the cruise of R/V L'Atalante – STeP 2016 (ST/8).

At each station the bottom water temperature and salinity were determined by the shipboard Conductivity Temperature Density (CTD) rosette. Bottom-water samples were collected using Niskin bottles attached to a CTD and were filtered on pre-combusted Whatman GF/F glass microfiber filters in triplicate and frozen at -20 °C for later analyses of bottom water organic carbon (BW C_{org}), total nitrogen (BW N_{tot}), $\delta^{13}C$ (BW $\delta^{13}C$), $\delta^{15}N$ (BW $\delta^{15}N$), and C/N ratio (BW C/N).

Sediment samples were collected with a box corer of 0.25 m^2 sampling area. The overlying water from box corer was gently removed from sediment surface and push-cores samples (12 cm Ø and 20 cm deep, 113.0940 cm^2 surface layer) were collected. The top 2 cm sediment of the core was sampled for biogeochemical variables (grain size, chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*) and phaeopigments (Phaeo), organic matter (SOM), organic carbon (Sed C_{org}) and total

188 nitrogen (Sed N_{tot})). Samples were frozen in -20 °C and transported to the laboratory for
189 analysis.

190 Additional sediment cores were taken from the box corer for bioturbation experiments
191 following procedures described by Morata et al. (2015). Sediment cores (3 to 5 per station,
192 Table 1) were kept in dark cold room on board (i.e., temperature at 2 °C, the average between
193 -0.8°C and 4.5°C being the range of temperatures observed in the bottom waters, Table 1).

194 Fluorescent luminophores (5 g; 90–120 μm diameter) were homogeneously added to
195 the overlying water and gradually spread on the sediment surface of each core without
196 disturbing the resident infauna. Cores were then filled with bottom water and aerated by
197 bubbling to keep the overlying water saturated with oxygen. Overlying water was renewed
198 every four days. Sediment cores were incubated in those conditions for 10 days which is the
199 minimum time to enable the characterization of the different transport modes. Incubation time
200 that exceeds 15 days increases the probability of complete homogenization of the sedimentary
201 column, and may thus prevent the differentiation of transport modes (François et al., 1997).
202 This choice of 10 days for duration of experiment was a compromise between the response
203 that we were expecting from the benthic communities and the available time on board to
204 process the experiments.

205 After this time of incubation in stable conditions the surface water was carefully
206 removed and cores were sliced horizontally in 0.5 cm layers from 0 to 2 cm depth, and in 1
207 cm layers between 2 and 10 cm depth. In total, 12 samples were taken, and each sediment
208 layer was homogenized. A subsample of each sediment layer was directly frozen (-20 °C) and
209 used for bioturbation analyses. The remaining sediment of each core samples were sieved
210 onboard through 0.5 mm sieve for benthic community structure analysis, and fixed with 10 %
211 buffered formaldehyde.

212

213 *2.3. Biogeochemical environmental analyses*

214

215 Sediments for grain size analysis were freeze-dried at -70 °C, homogenized and dry
216 sieved into coarse-grained fractions (>0.250 mm) and fine-grained (<0.250 mm). For the fine
217 fraction, analyses were performed using a Malvern Mastersizer 2000 laser particle analyzer
218 and presented as volume percent. Mean grain size parameters were calculated using the
219 geometric method of moments in the program GRADISTAT 8.0 (Blott and Pye, 2001).

220 Pigment concentrations were analyzed fluorometrically following methods described
221 in Holm-Hansen et al. (1965) to determine Chl *a* and Phaeo concentrations. About 1 g of dried
222 sediment was extracted with 10 ml of 90 % acetone at 4 °C in the dark. After 24 h, sediment
223 was then centrifuged (3000 rpm for 2 min), and analysed using a Turner Designs AU-10
224 fluorometer before and after acidification with 100 µl 0.3 M HCl.

225 For sediment and bottom water biogeochemical parameters analyses, sediments and
226 filters were dried, homogenized and weighed into silver capsules. For sediment and bottom
227 water $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$, C_{org} and N_{tot} analyses, samples were acidified with 2 M HCl to remove
228 inorganic carbon and dried at 60 °C for 24 h. The analyses were performed on an Elemental
229 Analyzer Flash EA 1112 Series combined with an Isotopic Ratio Mass Spectrometer IRMS
230 Delta V Advantage (Thermo Electron Corp., Germany). SOM content was measured as loss
231 on ignition at 450°C for 4 h (Zaborska et al., 2006). Sed C_{org} content was measured following
232 the method of Kennedy et al. (2005). About 10 mg of dried sediment was acidified with 50 µl
233 of 1 N HCl three times. Analyses were run on a Thermo Quest Flash EA 1112 CHN analyzer.

234

235 *2.4. Benthic community analysis*

236

In the laboratory, macrofaunal organisms were picked from sediments under a binocular microscope and identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level. Each taxon was counted, weighed (g wet weight) and transferred to 70 % ethanol. Mobility and feeding (WoRMS Editorial Board, 2019), and burrowing behavior (for references see Table 4) were attributed to each taxon. Benthic fauna was classified into five bioturbation functional groups based on the type of the sediment mixing: biodiffusors, gallery-diffusors, upward- or downward-conveyors, and regenerators. Biodiffusors move particles in a random manner in short distances (Gérino, 1992). Gallery-diffusors transport material from the surface sediment layer to deeper by constructing tubes or tunnels system (François et al., 2002). Upward-conveyors transport material from depth to the sediment surface and downward-conveyors transport sediment non-locally to deeper layers (Fisher et al., 1980; Knaust and Bromley, 2012). Regenerators create a biodiffusion-like process, with large amounts of sediment transported out of the reworked zone with a strong input to the overlying water column, as well as passive downward transport of surface sediment to the bottom of the burrow after burrow abandonment (Gardner et al., 1987; Knaust and Bromley, 2012). Organism density and biomass were evaluated per taxon, trophic and bioturbation functional group, and in total for each sediment core, and subsequently converted per 1 m^{-2} (area) in order to provide relevant surface values. The biomass to density (B/D) ratio was calculated per core as a proxy of the mean organism size.

2.5. Bioturbation analyses

After the sediment cores were sliced, part of the sediment from each sediment layer was freeze-dried at -70 °C, and homogenized with a mortar and pestle. Three replicates of 0.2 g sediment from each layer were taken and placed on a black box (9.5 cm x 7 cm) under a constant UV light source (350 ± 370 nm, Tube UV BLB G5T5 6 W). Images were taken with

a digital camera (Nikon digital captor 2.342.016 pixels) with 28 µm per pixel resolution from a constant 12 cm from the sediment sample to assure identical acquisition conditions for all images (aperture time 1 s; diaphragm aperture f/13, ISO 200). Images were saved in red-green-blue (RGB) colour in jpeg format. The images were analysed using an image processing toolbox (@mathworks) in order to differentiate luminophores from the background sediment by using an appropriate set of RGB threshold levels (Michaud, 2006). Finally, the particle size appropriate for each luminophore was selected (6 pixels × 6 pixels for the smallest luminophores), and the pictures were corrected (cleaned) by removing the particle sizes smaller and larger than the actual size of the specific luminophore (90–120 µm). The sum of areas (in pixels) of the remaining objects and the number of objects (i.e., luminophores) were calculated for each picture and averaged between the three pictures from each sediment layer. Finally, with these abundances for all sediment depths for each core, the results were computed as the percentage of detected pixels per depth according to the total number of pixels detected per core thus representing the luminophores distribution over depth for each sediment core.

The reaction diffusion type model used in this paper to describe luminophore redistribution following macrofaunal reworking is based on the general diagenetic equation (Berner,1980):

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(Db\frac{\partial Q}{\partial z}\right) + r\,(Q) \qquad (1)$$

where Q is the quantity of the tracer (e.g., luminophores), t is the time, z is the depth, Db is the apparent biodiffusion coefficient, and r(Q) is the non-continuous displacement of tracer. The term r(Q) is defined as follows:

$$r\big(Q(z,t)\big) = \begin{cases} \frac{r}{z_2 - z_1}\int_0^{x1} Q(x,t)dx \; if \; z \in [z_1; z_2] \\ -rQ(z,t) \; if \; z \in [0; z_1[\\ 0 \; if \; z > z_2 \end{cases} \qquad (2a\text{-}c)$$

where z_1 and z_2 define the upper and lower limits of the tracer redistribution, x and z are depth variables and r is the biotransport coefficient that is the percentage of tracer that left the $[0; x_1]$ deposit and was redistributed in the $[z_1; z_2]$ layer. The redistribution of tracer between z_1 and z_2 and the disappearance of tracer from the 0-z_1 layer are, respectively, described by Eqs. (2a) and (2b). Eq. (2c) indicates that no tracer movement occurs below z_2.

This displacement term was originally exemplified in a model describing gallery-diffusion of macrofaunal reworking (François et al., 2002). This biological reworking process describes the diffusive-like mixing of particles in the region of intense burrowing activity and the rapid transport of organic and inorganic material from the upper sediment layers to the lower regions of reworking (i.e. 'biotransport' or "non-local transport").

According to the experimental conditions, the following initial conditions were used:

$$Q\,(z, O) = \begin{cases} Q_0 \; if \; z \in [x_1; x_2[\\ O \; else \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $[x_1;x_2]$ is the tracer deposit layer. Finally, a zero-flux Neuman boundary condition was considered:

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial z}(0, t) = \lim_{z \to \infty} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z}(z, t) = 0 \quad (4)$$

The application of this bioturbation model to tracer redistributions, initially started by François et al. (1997, 2001) and later revised by Duport al., (2007), allowed the quantification of two particle mixing coefficients: an apparent biodiffusion coefficient Db and a biotransport coefficient r. The biodiffusion coefficient Db takes into account the diffusion-like transport due to the activity of the organisms. We assume that the actual concentration dependent diffusion of tracers is negligible. The biotransport coefficient (r) represents a non-local mixing pattern associated with a biologically induced transfer of particles from one place to another in a discontinuous pattern (i.e. a non-continuous transport; Boudreau, 1986; Meysman et al., 2003). Estimates of the parameters Db and r were finally obtained by minimizing a weighted sum of squared differences between observed and calculated tracer concentrations (François

et al., 1999, 2002). For each core, many adjustments between the observed and modelled profiles are necessary in order to find the minimum weighted sum of squared differences.

This model was used with MatLab (@mathworks), thus it gives qualitative data (i.e., kind of sediment mixing) and quantitative data (intensity of the sediment mixing) on the sediment mixing function for the entire benthic community at the sediment-water interface.

2.6. Statistical analysis

Bray-Curtis similarity matrix, based on square-root transformed data was used for the multivariate analysis of the macrobenthic community. Principal coordinate analysis (PCO) was conducted to explore multivariate variability among different sampling stations based on the (B/D) ratio community composition data matrix. Pearson rank correlation (>0.5) vectors of species B/D with axes were overlaid on the PCO plots to visualize the relationships between ordination axes and the directions and degrees of variability in the biological variables. Differences in species composition in samples among the groups of stations were explored using non-parametric multivariate methods applied to Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix calculated from biomass/density ratio (B/D) (one-way PERMANOVA). Whenever the significant effect of factor was detected by the main PERMANOVA test, pair-wise tests for differences between levels of each significant factor was performed. SIMPER procedure (similarity percentage species contribution) was used to discriminate species responsible for the differences between sites. In all models, a forward-selection procedure was used to determine the best combination of predictor variables for explaining the variations in macrofauna assemblages. The selection criteria chosen for the best-fitting relationship were based on R^2 values (Anderson et al. 2008). A distance-based linear model (DistLM) was used to analyse and model the relationships between the macrofaunal community structure and the

environmental factors. A distance-based redundancy analysis (dbRDA) was used to visualize the variability along the two axes that best discriminated groups of samples defined by a priori assigned groups. Superimposed vectors corresponded to Pearson's correlations (>0.5) of environmental factors with the dbRDA axes. Calculations of the pseudo-F and p values were based on 999 permutations of the residuals under a reduced model. The significance level for all the statistical tests was $p = 0.05$.

The normality of environmental factors and biological factors (non-local and biodiffusion coefficients, benthic density and biomass) was verified with use of Shapiro-Wilk test ($p<0.05$). Since data did not have a normal distribution, Spearman correlations were calculated to estimate the relationships between faunal community characteristics (Table 8) and environment (Appendix 1). Differences in benthic density, biomass, non-local and biodiffusion coefficient were evaluated with the use of the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test, and the Dunn's post-hoc multiple comparison test was applied to identify the differences among stations groups. Station ST/8, sampled in July, was excluded from those analyses due to lack of environmental information and because it was sampled during a different season than the other stations. Additionally, a non-parametric pairwise Mann-Whitney U-test was performed to compare differences between the spring and summer season in Storfjorden (AX/3 vs ST/8). All analyses were performed using the PRIMER package v. 7 Clarke and Gorley, 2006; Anderson et al., 2008) and the Statsoft software STATISTICA v. 9.

3. Results

3.1. Environmental patterns

359 Bottom water salinity ranged from 34.5 to 35.1 and bottom water temperature ranged
360 from -0.8 °C to 2.5 °C during our sampling. The lowest BW C_{org} concentrations were
361 measured in Erik Eriksen Strait (AX/4; 0.1 ± 0.1 %) and the highest in Storfjorden (AX/3; 0.6
362 ± 0.0 %). The BW $\delta^{13}C$ values ranged from -27.7‰ on the slope north of Svalbard (PS/32) to
363 -22.2 ‰ in Storfjorden. The lowest BW C/N ratio values were found at the deepest station
364 (PS/31: 6.1 ± 0.0) and the highest values were measured in the southern Barents Sea (AX/6:
365 10.3 ± 1.2) (Table 2). Sandy and muddy sediments dominated in the study area. The lowest
366 SOM concentrations were measured at station PS/32, on slope (2.6% ± 0.1) and the highest in
367 Storfjorden (AX/3; 6.5% ± 0.3). The most depleted sediment $\delta^{13}C$ values occurred in fjords
368 (AX/1: -24.2‰ and AX/2: -25.4‰) while the most enriched values were found on southern
369 Barents Sea shelf (AX/6: -22.2‰). The lowest Sed C/N ratio values were found in deep basin
370 (PS/27: 7.8 ± 0.4) and the highest value occurred in Van Mijenfjorden (AX/1: 18.7 ± 0.5)
371 (Table 3).

372 Table 2. Bottom water (BW) characteristics for each sampling station: C_{org}, N_{tot}, $\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{15}N$ (in %) and C/N values (mean ± SD, n=3).

Station	BW C_{org} (%)	BW N_{tot} (%)	BW $\delta^{13}C$ (‰)	BW $\delta^{15}N$ (‰)	BW C/N
AX/1	0.204±0.017	0.023±0.002	-24.7±0.1	5.7±0.7	10.3±1.1
AX/2	0.187±0.005	0.024±0.001	-24.0±0.1	3.9±0.5	8.9±0.2
AX/3	0.630±0.037	0.097±0.005	-22.2±0.2	4.8±0.3	7.6±0.2
ST/8	-	-	-	-	-
AX/4	0.137±0.047	0.015±0.003	-24.0±0.1	5.1±0.2	10.2±1.7
AX/6	0.268±0.013	0.031±0.005	-24.5±0.9	5.9±1.7	10.3±1.2
PS/20	0.354±0.017	0.063±0.001	-23.5±0.0	1.4±0.0	6.6±0.2
PS/32	0.180±0.002	0.030±0.001	-27.7±0.1	1.9±0.6	7.0±0.2
PS/19	0.188±0.014	0.032±0.001	-24.6±0.2	2.1±0.2	6.8±0.2
PS/27	0.226±0.007	0.040±0.001	-22.9±0.2	2.0±0.7	6.6±0.1
PS/31	0.258±0.013	0.050±0.002	-23.8±0.1	2.3±0.7	6.1±0.0

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

Table 3. Sediment variables for each sampling station: sediment type, C_{org}, N_{tot}, $\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{15}N$, OM (in %), C/N, Chl *a* (µg DW g^{-1}) and Chl *a*/Phaeo values (mean ± SD, n=no of cores).

Station	No of cores	Sediment type	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Mud (%)	Sed C_{org} (%)	Sed N_{tot} (%)	Sed $\delta^{13}C$ (‰)	Sed $\delta^{15}N$ (‰)	Sed C/N	SOM (%)	Chl *a* (µg/g)	Chl *a*/ Phaeo
AX/1	3	Sand	0.0	78.1	21.9	1.9 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.0	-24.2	4.0	18.7 ± 0.5	5.1 ± 0.4	2.3 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.1
AX/2	3	Sand	1.3	86.8	12.0	1.8 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.0	-25.4	4.8	18.1 ± 0.2	4.4 ±0.3	1.4 ±0.1	0.4 ±0.0
AX/3	3	Mud	0.0	40.8	59.2	2.1 ±0.0	0.2 ±0.0	-22.9	3.6	10.8 ±0.1	6.5 ±0.3	19.4 ±2.6	1.9 ±0.4
ST/8	2	-	-	-	-	2.1 ±0.0	0.2 ±0.0	-	-	11.0 ±0.4	7.3 ±0.6	21.8 ±1.3	51.8 ±34.2
AX/4	3	Sand	2.3	66.4	31.2	1.0 ±0.0	0.1 ±0.0	-23.6	5.3	8.2 ±0.2	5.1 ±0.6	2.2 ±0.3	0.3 ±0.0
AX/6	3	Mud	1.3	42.6	56.1	2.1 ±0.0	0.3 ±0.0	-22.2	4.6	9.9 ±0.2	5.7 ±0.6	2.6 ±0.5	0.4 ±0.0
PS/20	2	Sand	7.5	51.3	41.2	0.9 ±0.0	0.1 ±0.0	-22.8	2.8	8.5 ±0.1	4.6 ±0.3	7.6 ±1.7	1.1 ±0.2
PS/32	2	Sand	1.9	76.6	21.5	0.5 ±0.1	0.1 ±0.0	-22.8	4.0	8.1 ±0.2	2.6 ± 0.1	12.8 ± 1.6	1.9 ± 0.4
PS/19	3	Sand	0.1	74.5	25.3	1.6 ±0.0	0.2 ±0.0	-22.7	4.6	9.5 ±0.3	8.3 ±0.8	2.9 ±0.5	0.4 ±0.0
PS/27	3	Sand	6.3	62.3	31.5	0.8 ±0.0	0.1 ±0.0	-22.9	3.0	7.8 ±0.4	3.7 ±0.3	2.6 ±0.5	0.5 ±0.0
PS/31	3	Sand	30.1	46.0	23.9	0.8 ±0.1	0.1 ±0.0	-23.0	3.9	16.7 ±3.8	4.4 ±0.1	1.1 ±0.4	0.3 ±0.0

389 *3.2. Macrobenthic community structure*

390

391 In total, 186 taxa were identified. The number of taxa per station ranged from 9 (AX/2)
392 to 68 (PS/32) (Table 4). Four burrowing and four sediment-mixing types were recorded. Sub-
393 surface burrowing, Cirratulidae (biodiffusor) and *Lumbrineris* sp. (gallery diffusor) dominated
394 in Svalbard fjords in biomass and density, and in Storfjorden in density. The deep burrowing
395 *Yoldia hyperborea* (conveyor) dominated in biomass at AX/3. Two biodiffusors, the tube
396 building polychaete *Myriochele heeri* and the deep burrowing bivalve *Astarte borealis*
397 dominated in Erik Eriksen station (AX/4) in density and biomass respectively. The tube
398 building *Spiochaetopterus typicus* (conveyor) dominated in terms of density and was second
399 dominant in biomass in the Southern Barents Sea (AX/6). The sea star *Ctenodiscus* sp.
400 dominated in biomass at this station. The tube building polychaete, *Maldane glebifex*,
401 dominated in both density and biomass at the shelf station PS/20. Deep burrowing bivalves
402 (*Yoldiella lenticula, Yoldia hyperborea*) dominated in density at PS/32 while the tube building
403 polychaete *Galathowenia oculata* dominated in biomass. Burrow-building taxa were mostly
404 biodiffusors and dominated at all shallow stations. Deep burrowing and tube building taxa
405 were mostly conveyor bioturbators and dominated at deeper stations (Table 4). Fourteen
406 mobility-feeding groups were recorded, and sessile and mobile macrofauna dominated at all
407 stations except from the deepest one (PS/31) where discretely mobile fauna dominated. The
408 lowest number of functional groups was found in Hornsund (AX/2) where 4 groups (sessile
409 surface feeders, discretely subsurface feeders, mobile omnivore and mobile subsurface
410 feeders) occurred. Sessile subsurface feeders dominated at PS/20 (30%) and PS/27 (33%).
411 Sessile surface feeders were predominant in fjords (AX/1: 52% ; AX/2: 80%), Storfjorden
412 (AX/3: 35%), in the southern Barents Sea (AX/6: 45%) and on slope (PS/19: 18%). The share
413 of discretely mobile fauna increased with depth, and discretely mobile surface feeders

dominated in the Nansen Basin (PS/31: 44%). The highest number of mobile subsurface feeders was found on the shelf (PS/32: 23%). The number of mobile taxa was similar for all stations. The mobile surface fauna dominated in Erik Eriksen Strait (AX/4: 25%) (Fig. 2).

Table 4. Functional traits, relative density and biomass of the three dominant taxa for each sampling station. Class: P – Polychaeta, B – Bivalvia, An – Anthozoa, As – Asteroidea, O – Ophiuroidea, S – Sipunculidea. Mobility and feeding groups (M/F) are marked by codes: mobility type (D - Discretely mobile, M – Mobile, S – Sessile) and feeding type (car - carnivore, omn - omnivore, sub - subsurface feeder, sur - surface feeder, sus - suspension feeder). Burrowing depth (BT): 1 – surface burrowing, 2 – subsurface burrowing, 3 – deep burrowing. Tubes (T): "+" – I-shaped tube, "-" – no tube. Sediment mixing types (SMix): biodiffusor (B), upward conveyor (UC), gallery diffusor (GD), downward conveyor (DC).

Station	No of taxa	Taxa	Class	M/F	BT	T	SMix	Density %	Taxa	Class	M/F	BT	T	SMix	Biomass %
AX/1	20	Cirratulidae [2]	P	Ssur	2	-	B	41.4	*Lumbrineris* sp. [6]	P	Momn	2	-	GD	72.1
		Polycirrus arcticus [4,5]	P	Ssur	3	+	DC	7.1	*Polycirrus arcticus* [4,5]	P	Ssur	3	+	DC	11.5
		Lumbrineris sp. [6]	P	Momn	2	-	GD	6.4	*Aglaophamus malmgreni* [4]	P	Mcar	2	-	B	10.6
AX/2	9	Cirratulidae [2]	P	Ssur	2	-	B	66.7	Cirratulidae [2]	P	Ssur	2	-	B	49.3
		Polycirrus arcticus [4,5]	P	Ssur	3	+	DC	13.1	*Polycirrus arcticus* [4,5]	P	Ssur	3	+	DC	36.6
		Lumbrineris sp. [6]	P	Momn	2	-	GD	8.3	*Lumbrineris* sp. [6]	P	Momn	2	-	GD	8.7
AX/3	34	Cirratulidae [2]	P	Ssur	2	-	B	31.2	*Yoldia hyperborea* [7]	B	Msub	3	-	C	57.4
		Lumbrineris sp. [6]	P	Momn	2	-	GD	14.1	*Maldane sarsi* [8]	P	Ssub	3	+	C	12
		Yoldia hyperborea [7]	B	Msub	3	-	C	6.3	*Nuculana radiata* [4]	B	Msub	3	-	B	11.5
ST/8	29	*Lumbrineris* sp. [6]	P	Momn	2	-	GD	18.3	*Yoldia hyperborea* [7]	B	Msub	3	-	C	30.5
		Cirratulidae [2]	P	Ssur	2	-	B	11	*Nuculana radiata* [4]	B	Msub	3	-	B	27.8
		Eteone longa [9, 10]	P	Msub	1	-	GD	7.3	*Macoma calcarea* [11]	B	Ssur	3	-	B	13.7
AX/4	63	*Myriochele heeri* [17]	P	Msur	3	+	B	12.1	*Astarte borealis* [4]	B	Msus	3	-	B	90

		Macoma sp. [1, 11]	B	Ssur	3	-	B	11.6	Actinaria [4]	An	Scar	1	-	B	1.8
		Maldane sarsi [8]	P	Ssub	3	+	C	8.2	*Yoldiella lenticula* [7]	B	Msur	3	-	C	1.5
AX/6	36	*Spiochaetopterus typicus* [8]	P	Ssur	3	+	C	34.9	*Ctenodiscus* sp. [20]	As	Msur	1	-	B	47.3
		Macoma sp. [1, 11]	B	Ssur	3	-	B	6.4	*Spiochaetopterus typicus* [8]	P	Ssur	3	+	C	27.3
		Heteromastus sp. [12, 13, 14]	P	Msub	3	-	C	6.4	*Aglaophamus malmgreni* [4]	P	Mcar	2	-	B	6.4
PS/20	58	*Maldane glebifex* [8]	P	Ssub	3	+	C	22.4	*Maldane glebifex* [8]	P	Ssub	3	+	C	24.5
		Yoldiella lenticula [7]	B	Msur	3	-	C	8.7	*Chirimia biceps* [8]	P	Ssub	3	+	C	9.4
		Macoma calcarea [11]	B	Ssur	3	-	B	7.1	*Nicomache lumbricalis* [8]	P	Ssub	3	+	C	9.4
PS/32	68	*Yoldiella lenticula* [7]	B	Msur	3	-	C	13.8	*Galathowenia oculata* [3]	P	Msur	2	+	C	7.7
		Yoldia hyperborea [7]	B	Msub	3	-	C	8.7	*Ctenodiscus* sp. [20]	As	Msur	1	-	B	7.5
		Axinopsida orbiculata [15]	B	Dsub	3	-	C	5.9	*Yoldiella lenticula* [7]	B	Msur	3	-	C	6.3
PS/19	38	Cirratulidae [2]	P	Ssur	2	-	B	12	*Amphiura sundevalli* [4]	O	Msus	1	-	B	25.5
		Notoproctus oculatus [8]	P	Ssub	3	+	C	10.1	Lumbrineridae [6]	P	Somn	2	-	GD	9.3
		Yoldia hyperborea [7]	B	Msub	3	-	C	8.9	Nemertea [4]	N	Momn	1	-	B	7.1
PS/27	35	*Prionospio cirrifera* [16]	P	Dsur	2	+	C	13.2	*Streblosoma intestinale* [4]	P	Dsur	3	+	C	43
		Notoproctus oculatus [8]	P	Ssub	3	+	C	13.2	*Chone fauveli* [3]	P	Ssur	2	+	C	32.6
		Lumbriclymene minor [8]	P	Ssub	3	+	C	8.8	*Notoproctus oculatus* [8]	P	Ssub	3	+	C	4.2
PS/31	19	*Levinsenia gracilis* [18]	P	Dsur	2	-	C	33.6	*Nephasoma lilljeborgi* [19]	S	Dsur	3	-	C	28
		Paraonidae [2]	P	Msub	2	-	B	19.3	*Levinsenia gracilis* [18]	P	Dsur	2	-	C	14.2
		Cirrophorus sp. [2]	P	Dsub	2	-	B	16.8	Paraonidae [2]	P	Msub	2	-	B	11

446

447 References in superscripts: [1] Gilbert at al. (2007); [2] Gérino at al. (1992, 2007); [3] Fauchald and Jumars (1979); [4] Queirós at al. (2013); [5] Gingras et
448 al. (2008); [6] Petch (1986); [7] Stead and Thompson (2006); [8] Smith and Shafer (1984); [9] Mazik and Elliott (2000); [10] Mermillod-Blondin et al.
449 (2003); [11] Michaud et al. (2006); [12] D'Andrea et al. (2004); [13] Mulsow et al. (2002); [14] Quintana et al. (2007); [15] Zanzerl and Dufour (2017); [16]
450 Bouchet et al. (2009); [17] Duchêne and Rosenberg (2001); [18] Venturini et al. (2011); [19] Shields and Kędra (2009); [20] Shick (1976).



Fig. 2. Percentages of mobility and feeding groups at different sampling stations. Station ST/8 marked with * was sampled in summer season. Functional traits codes: mobility type (D - Discretely mobile (yellow), M – Mobile (green), S – Sessile (blue)) and feeding type (car - carnivore, omn - omnivore, sub - subsurface feeder, sur - surface feeder, sus - suspension feeder).

Stations were separated into 4 groups, based on the PCO analysis: A - fjords (Van Mijenfjorden: AX/1, Hornsund: AX/2), B - Storfjorden (AX/3), C - Barents Sea shelf (Erik Eriksen Strait: AX/4, southern Barents Sea: AX/6, and northern Barents Sea: PS/20, PS/32), D - northern Barents Sea, stations deeper than 400m on continental stock: PS/19, PS/27 and Nansen Basin: PS/31. PCO explained 22.5% of the variability among sampling stations: the first axis explained 13.6% and the second axis 8.9% (Fig. 3). Fjords' communities were correlated with presence of polychaete *Polycirrus arcticus* and cumacean *Diastylis lucifera* while benthic patterns in Storfjorden were correlated with presence of polychaetes *Maldane*

466 *sarsi* and *Apistobranchus tullbergi*, and bivalves *Musculus discors, Ennucula tenuis* and
467 *Yoldia hyperborea.* Those correlations were negative for deeper stations where benthic
468 communities were mainly correlated with presence of polychaetes *Notoproctus oculatus* and
469 *Prionospio cirrifera.* The shelf stations varied the most with less clear patterns for benthic
470 communities.



471

472 Fig. 3. PCO analysis for macrobenthic communities based on species biomass to density ratio,
473 and the Bray-Curtis similarity among four sampling areas: A (Hornsund, Van Mijenfjorden);
474 B (Storfjorden); C (Barents Sea shelf); D (northern Barents Sea and Nansen Basin).
475 Significantly correlated species with the PCO coordinates (r>0. 5) are shown on the plot.

476

Polychaeta dominated at all stations. There were significant differences in density (Kruskal-Wallis test; $p<0.05$; significant differences (post hoc test) between group A:B, A:C and C:D) (Fig. 4A). Benthic density ranged from 1485.5 ind./m^2 ± 168.7 standard error (SE) (station AX/2) to 2475.8 ind./m^2 ± 369.9 SE (station AX/1) in group A. In group B benthic density was 3625.3 ind./m^2 ± 83.9 SE (station AX/3). In group C density ranged from 1927.6 ind./m^2 ± 196.5 SE (station AX/6) to 6388.5 ind./m^2 ± 399.3 SE (station PS/32). In group D density ranged from 1609.3 ind./m^2 ± 295.1 SE (station PS/27) to 2794.1 ind./m^2 ± 404.8 SE (station PS/19). There were significant differences in biomass among areas (Kruskal-Wallis test; $p<0.05$; significant differences (post hoc test) between group A:B, B:D and C:D) (Fig. 4B). Benthic biomass ranged from 2.6 g wet weight/m^2 ± 0.5 SE (station AX/2) to 37 g wet weight/m^2 ± 18.4 SE (station AX/1) in group A. In group B benthic biomass was 172.3 g wet weight/m^2 ± 37.3 SE (station AX/3). In group C biomass ranged from 7.8 g wet weight/m^2 ± 1.1 SE (station PS/32) to 112.9 g wet weight/m^2 ± 61.3 SE (station AX/4). In group D biomass ranged from 2.2 g wet weight/m^2 ± 0.5 SE (station PS/31) to 11.2 g wet weight/m^2 ± 5.7 SE (station PS/27).



Fig. 4. Mean density (ind./m^{-2}) (A) and biomass (g/m^{-2}) (B); ± SE, n= no of cores (Table 1) at stations sampled in Van Mijenfjorden, Hornsund (group A); Storfjorden (group B); Barents Sea shelf (group C); northern Barents Sea and Nansen Basin (group D). Station ST/8 marked

with * was sampled in summer season. Kruskal – Wallis results for differences between sampling sites are given; significant test results are marked with ** ($p<0.05$).

There were significant differences in the benthic communities structure (biomass/density ratio) among different locations (PERMANOVA test Pseudo-F : 5.07, p=0.001). Significant differences were found for each group (significant pairwise comparisons p=0.001); see Table 5 for details.

Table 5. PERMANOVA results for the multivariate descriptors of benthic communities with significant pair-wise comparisons results for different groups.

Benthic parameter	**Source of variation**	**Df**	**MS**	**Pseudo-F**	**P (perm)**
Biomass/Density ratio	Gr	3	16606.0	5.07	0.001
	Res	43	3272.8		
	Total	46			

Benthic parameter	**Regime**	**Site**	**t**	**Df**	**P(MC)**	**P (perm)**
Biomass/Density ratio	Groups	A:B	2.886	13	0.001	0.001
		A:C	2.469	25	0.001	0.001
		A:D	2.715	23	0.001	0.001
		B:C	1.874	20	0.001	0.001
		B:D	2.151	18	0.001	0.001
		C:D	1.852	30	0.001	0.001

Benthic taxa that contributed mostly to the groups similarities were: *Polycirrus arcticus* (44.7 %) in fjords (A), *Yoldia hyperborea* (31.7 %) in Storfjorden (B), *Spiochaetopterus typicus* (16.8 %) in the Barents Sea shelf (C) and *Nephasoma diaphanes diaphanes* (16 %) in the northern Barents Sea and Nansen Basin (D) as revealed by SIMPER analysis (Table 6).

Table 6. SIMPER analysis results based on B/D ratio. Species that contributed more than 5% of the average similarity for different sampling stations groups are listed.

Group	Average similarity	Species	Contribution %
A	39.7	*Polycirrus arcticus*	44.7
		Cirratulidae	28.5
		Lumbrineris sp.	18.7
B	35.9	*Yoldia hyperborea*	31.7
		Maldane sarsi	31
		Nuculana radiata	9
		Lumbrineris sp.	8.1
		Cirratulidae	5.8
C	12	*Spiochaetopterus typicus*	16.8
		Lumbrineris sp.	10.7
		Yoldiella lenticula	7.3
		Maldane sarsi	5.6
D	14.8	*Nephasoma diaphanes diaphanes*	16
		Maldane glebifex	11.7
		Prionospio cirrifera	10.1
		Notoproctus oculatus	9.8
		Nemertea	9.5
		Lumbrineris sp.	6.3
		Byblis minuticornis	5.9

The results of DistLM analyses showed that salinity explained 10.1% of the variation observed in the macrofauna community while Sed $\delta^{13}C$ (10%) and Sed C/N (9.3%) were next main contributors. Nine variables were included by the DistLM procedure to construct the best fitting model, together explaining 46.8% of total variation. However, one of the variables was not statistically significant (gravel) (Table 7). The most important parameter contributing to the first axis of the dbRDA plot was Sed C/N and explained 17.2% of fitted variation. It also positively correlated with fjords' group (A). The most important parameter contributing to the second axis was sediment Chl *a* and explained 25.7% of fitted flux variation. It was positively correlated with Storfjords group (B) and most stations in group C (shelf) (Fig. 5).

Table 7. Results of DistLM procedure for fitting environmental variables to the macofauna community data. %Var - percentage of explained variance; %Cum - cumulative percentage explained by the added variable. Significance level $p < 0.05$. Environmental factors: D – depth, S – salinity, T – temperature, types of sediment (mud, sand, gravel), BW C_{org} – bottom water C_{org}, BW N_{tot} – bottom water N_{tot}, BW $\delta^{13}C$ – bottom water $\delta^{13}C$ BW, BW $\delta^{15}N$ –

bottom water $\delta^{15}N$, BW C/N – bottom water C/N, Sed C_{org} – C_{org} concentration in sediment, Sed N_{tot} – sediment N_{tot}, Sed $\delta^{13}C$ – sediment $\delta^{13}C$, Sed $\delta^{15}N$ – sediment $\delta^{15}N$, Sed C/N – sediment C/N, SOM – sediment organic matter, Chl *a* – sediment Chlorophyll *a* and Chl *a*/Phaeo – sediment Phaeopigments.

MARGINAL TESTS			
Variable	Pseudo-F	Var%	P
S	5.06	10.1	0.001
Sed $\delta^{13}C$	5.01	10.0	0.001
Sed C/N	4.59	9.3	0.001
BW C/N	4.31	8.7	0.001
BW $\delta^{15}N$	4.25	8.6	0.001
Sed C_{org}	4.11	8.4	0.001
D	3.99	8.1	0.001
T	3.96	8.1	0.001
Chl *a*	3.66	7.5	0.001
Sand	3.62	7.4	0.001
Mud	3.46	7.1	0.001
Chl *a*/ Phaeo	3.34	6.9	0.001
BW C_{org}	3.26	6.8	0.001
BW N_{tot}	3.16	6.6	0.002
Gravel	3.11	6.5	0.001
Sed N_{tot}	2.43	5.1	0.001
Sed $\delta^{15}N$	2.09	4.4	0.004
BW $\delta^{13}C$	2.04	4.3	0.001
SOM	1.56	3.4	0.032

SEQUENTIAL TESTS					
Variable	R^2	Pseudo-F	Var%	Cum%	P
D	0.08	3.99	8.1	8.1	0.001
S	0.16	3.98	7.6	15.7	0.001
Sand	0.30	4.06	7.0	22.7	0.001
BW $\delta^{15}N$	0.44	3.71	5.5	28.2	0.001
BW C/N	0.49	3.70	5.1	33.3	0.001
BW $\delta^{13}C$	0.38	3.06	4.9	38.1	0.001
T	0.20	2.44	4.5	42.7	0.001
Mud	0.33	2.26	3.8	46.4	0.001
Gravel	0.23	1.29	2.4	48.8	0.127



536

537 Fig. 5. Distance-based Redundancy Analysis (dbRDA) plot of the DistLM model visualizing
538 the relationships between the environmental parameters and the biomass/density ratio of
539 species between four sampling areas: A (Hornsund, Van Mijenfjorden); B (Storfjorden); C
540 (Barents Sea shelf); D (northern Barents Sea and Nansen Basin). Environmental variables
541 with Pearson rank correlations with dbRDA axes > 0.5 are shown. Environmental factors: D –
542 depth, S – salinity, T – temperature, types of sediment (mud, sand, gravel), BW C_{org} – bottom
543 water C_{org}, BW N_{tot} – bottom water N_{tot}, BW $\delta^{15}N$ – bottom water $\delta^{15}N$, BW C/N – bottom
544 water C/N, Sed C_{org} – C_{org} concentration in sediment, Sed $\delta^{13}C$ – sediment $\delta^{13}C$, Sed C/N –
545 sediment C/N, Chl *a* – sediment Chlorophyll *a* and Chl *a*/Phaeo – sediment Phaeopigments.

546

547 *3.3.Bioturbation*

548

549 After 10 days, almost all luminophores (~95%) remained on sediment core surface at all
550 sampling stations meaning that about 5% of luminophores were transported into sediments.
551 The fastest decrease was noted at the B group (Storfjorden : AX/3 and ST/8), and at the C
552 group (Southern Barents Sea station (AX/6) ; Nansen Basin < 400 m (PS/20, PS/32)) where
553 ~15 to 25% of surface luminophores were buried. While luminophores were still present all
554 along the sedimentary column in the Storfjorden station, some subsurface peaks of
555 luminophores were clearly measured below 3 cm in the C group. The lowest decrease of the
556 luminophores over depth was noted in the A group (Svalbard Fjords AX1/1, AX/2) and in the
557 D group at deepest station (PS/31) in the Nansen Basin where 92 to 98% of luminophores
558 remained at surface with slight subsurface peaks of tracers (about: only 0.91 %) between 1 to
559 3 cm deep .

560 Biodiffusion rates ranged from 0.04 cm^{-2} y^{-1} ± 0.01 standard error (SE) (station AX/2)
561 to 0.07 cm^{-2} y^{-1} ± 0.03 SE (station AX/1) in group A. In group B biodiffusion rates was 0.06
562 cm^{-2} y^{-1} ± 0.04 SE (station AX/3). In group C biodiffusion ranged from 0 (station PS/32) to
563 0.76 cm^{-2} y^{-1} ± 0.71 SE (station AX/6). There was no biodiffusive transport in group D. There
564 were significant differences in biodiffusion among areas (Kruskal-Wallis test; $p<0.05$;
565 significant differences (post hoc test) between group A:D and C:D) (Fig. 6A). Non-local
566 transport rates ranged from 0.21 y^{-1} ± 0.20 SE (station AX/2) to 0.60 y^{-1} ± 0.23 SE (station
567 AX/1) in group A. In group B non-local transport rates was 2.12 y^{-1} ± 1 SE (station AX/3). In
568 group C non-local transport rates ranged from 0.75 y^{-1} ± 0.25 SE (station PS/32) to 2.08 y^{-1} ±
569 0.58 SE (station AX/6). In group D non-local transport rates ranged from 0.28 y^{-1} ± 0.04 SE
570 (station PS/31) to 0.68 y^{-1} ± 0.31 SE (station PS/19). There were significant differences in
571 non-local transport (Kruskal-Wallis test; $p<0.05$; significant differences (post hoc test)
572 between group A:C and C:D) (Fig. 6B). Biodiffusive transport values were significantly

573 related with depth, Sed C_{org} and BW C/N ratio Spearman correlation: -0.6, 0.6 and 0.6, $p<0.05$
574 respectively). Non-local transport values were significantly related to benthic taxa richness,
575 biomass, mud and Sed N_{tot} (Spearman correlation: 0.5, 0.5, 0.5 and 0.5 $p<0.05$ respectively)
576 (Table 8).



577

578 Fig. 6. Mean bioturbation coefficients: Db - biodiffusion (cm^{-2} y^{-1}) (A) and r – non-local (y^{-1})
579 (B); ± SE, n=no of cores (Table 1) at stations sampled in Van Mijenfjorden, Hornsund (group
580 A); Storfjorden (group B); Barents Sea shelf (group C); northern Barents Sea and Nansen
581 Basin (group D). Station ST/8 marked with * was sampled in summer season. Kruskal –
582 Wallis results for differences between sampling sites are given; significant test results are
583 marked with ** ($p<0.05$).

584 Table 8. Spearman's rank correlation analyses among biological and physical parameters. Significant values are marked in bold ($p<0.05$).

	No of taxa	**Density**	**Biomass**	**Non-local (r)**	**Biodiffusion (Db)**	**Depth**	**Salinity**	**Temperature**	**Gravel**	**Sand**	**Mud**	**BW C_{org}**	**BW N_{tot}**	**BW $\delta^{13}C$**	**BW $\delta^{15}N$**	**BW C/N**	**Sed C_{org}**	**Sed N_{tot}**	**Sed $\delta^{13}C$**	**Sed $\delta^{15}N$**	**Sed C/N**	**SOM**	**Chl *a***	**Chl *a*/Phaeo**
No of taxa	-	**0.9**	**0.5**	**0.5**	0.0	-0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	-0.1	**0.3**	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.2	0.0	-0.2	**0.3**	**0.5**	-0.1	**-0.6**	0.1	**0.6**	0.2
Density	**0.9**	-	**0.5**	**0.4**	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.2	-0.1	0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.2	0.1	0.3	-0.1	**-0.3**	0.1	**0.5**	**0.3**
Biomass	**0.5**	**0.5**	-	**0.5**	**0.4**	**-0.5**	-0.2	**-0.3**	-0.3	**-0.4**	**0.6**	**0.3**	0.0	0.2	**0.4**	**0.4**	**0.4**	**0.6**	0.2	-0.1	-0.1	**0.3**	**0.4**	0.3
Non-local (r)	**0.5**	**0.4**	**0.5**	-	0.3	-0.2	0.1	0.0	-0.1	**-0.4**	**0.5**	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	**0.5**	**0.4**	-0.1	**-0.3**	0.3	**0.4**	0.1
Biodiffusion (Db)	0.0	-0.1	**0.4**	0.3	-	**-0.6**	**-0.4**	**-0.3**	**-0.3**	0.0	0.2	0.2	-0.2	0.0	**0.5**	**0.6**	**0.6**	**0.4**	-0.2	0.2	**0.4**	**0.3**	0.0	0.0

585

586 *3.4. Storfjorden – seasonal changes*

587

588 Bottom water salinity was similar in spring and summer in Storfjorden, respectively
589 34.5 and 34.1. Bottom water temperature in spring season was -0.8 °C and increased to 4.5 °C
590 (Table 1). Benthic density decreased from 3625.3 ind./m^2 ± 83.9 SE in spring (AX/3) to
591 1812.7 ind./m^2 ± 229.7 SE in summer (ST/8). Biomass was similar in both seasons (172.3
592 g/m^2 ± 37.3 SE (spring, AX/3) and 152.1 g/m^2 ± 67.5 SE (summer, ST/8). Non-local transport
593 rates were similar in spring and summer (2.12 ± 1 and 2.09 ± 0.72 y^{-1} respectively) but
594 biodiffusion rates increased in summer (0.06 ± 0.04 in spring and 0.90 ± 0.90 cm^2 y^{-1} in
595 summer). Significant differences were found for the number of taxa and macrofauna density
596 between spring and summer seasons (Mann-Whitney U-test; $Z= 2.3$; $p<0.05$ and $Z=2.4$;
597 $p<0.05$, respectively).

598

599 **4. Discussion**

600

601 This is the first complex report on bioturbation activities in spring to summer
602 transition time conducted over the large area from Svalbard fjords and Barents Sea to deep
603 basin north off Svalbard. In our study, benthic community variables differentiated four
604 groups of stations, and this separation was to some extent echoed by the environmental
605 factors. The benthic community properties further affected the measured benthic activities i.e.
606 bioturbation rates.

607

608 4.1. *Benthic community characteristics across the sampled area*

609

The structure and composition of the benthic communities seemed to be grouped in four groups of stations, however, the primary variables of the benthic community structure (e.g., density, biomass) were highly variable within each station. One of the reasons could be the high variability among replicates that covered only small area of sampled sediment. Benthic species richness of the region was not well represented in the cores taken for the experiments at each station due to their small sampling unit (~113 cm^2). This may have implications for the results generalization to the sampled areas due to high variability of benthic density, biomass and consequently on the effects of these on the measured bioturbation coefficients. However, we assume that we collected the most abundant taxa, which would likely have the dominant role in mediating bioturbation effect. We have sampled and identified the benthic organisms in each sediment core where the bioturbation experiments were processed meaning that we know the organisms responsible of the measured bioturbation activities.

The variability of the quality and quantity of sedimentary OM within each station impacted benthic community structure. For instance, the lowest quality of SOM (C/N~18) was found in sandy sediments of Van Mijenfjorden and Hornsund fjords, highlighting dominance of refractory organic material within the group A. This probably explains the lowest benthic densities and biomass at these stations. This can be the result of geographical locations (e.g., water circulation restrictions) and glacial activity in Hornsund, related high sedimentation rates and high terrestrial OM inputs disturbing benthic fauna (Drewnik et al., 2016; Włodarska-Kowalczuk and Pearson, 2004). These two stations' communities were mainly shaped by presence of polychaete *Polycirrus arcticus* and cumacean *Diastylis lucifera*, and opportunistic cirratulids were among dominants.

A high, but variable, B/D ratio was found in Storfjorden (group B), which is the site of a recurring polynya and has some of the highest productivity in the Barents Sea. The low

635 temperature, presence of a sea ice-edge bloom, very fine grained sediments (muds) indicating
636 low hydrodynamism, and high C_{org} and Chl *a* contents in sediments and in bottom waters
637 promote diverse and high biomass benthic communities with larger individuals burrowing and
638 feeding deeper (Winkelmann and Knies, 2005). High Chl *a*/Phaeo ratio and large quantities of
639 Chl *a* within sediments show also a more frequent input of fresh OM because of the presence
640 of the polynya (Haarpaintner et al., 2001; Vinje, 2001; Winkelman and Knies, 2005). This
641 community was also diverse, with high dominance of polychaetes (cirratulids, carnivore
642 *Lumbrineris* sp, and subsurface tube-building conveyor *Maldane sarsi*) and bivalves like
643 *Yoldia hyperborea* and *Nuculana radiata*. PCO indicated that samples collected in Storfjorden
644 were correlated with presence of bivalve *Ennucula tenuis* and polychaete *Apistobanchus*
645 *tulbergi*.

646 The group C, covering stations sampled over the Barents Sea shelf, was highly
647 variable in terms of community structure and various environmental factors. The southern
648 Barents Sea station (AX/6) was characterized by similar environmental conditions to the
649 Storfjorden resulting in the occurrence of fauna with similar characteristics but with a lower
650 biomass to density ratio. This station was dominated by tube-building conveyor polychaete
651 *Spiochaetopterus typicus* and *Heteromastus* sp. and bivalve *Macoma* sp. It was characterized
652 by high amount of SOM but low Chl *a* in the sediments, indicating late bloom/post bloom
653 conditions, where most of the fresh OM was already utilized by benthic organisms. Lower
654 Chl *a*/Phaeo ratio also indicates more degraded OM, possibly a result of intensive pelagic
655 grazing (Morata and Renaud, 2008). This is likely since this station is in the southernmost
656 location, therefore it was under the strong influence of Atlantic waters and was already in the
657 late-phase of the phytoplankton bloom (Krause et al., 2018). The other stations from this
658 group, located in the northern part of Barents Sea e.g. Erik Eriksen Strait, were characterized
659 by high share of sand but relatively fresh and abundant SOM, though low Chl *a* levels. This is

660 quite similar to stations north of Svalbard (PS/20 and PS/32), although the later was
661 characterized by higher amounts of Chl *a* present in the sediment related to the bloom in
662 progress at the time of sampling (Peeken, 2016). This group was characterized by highly
663 diverse communities (from 36 (AX/6) to 68 (PS/32) number of taxa), however most of them
664 belonged to either biodiffusors or conveyors.

665 The northern Barents Sea and Nansen Basin (group D) were differentiated from the
666 other groups by salinity, temperature, depth and occurrence of gravel. The species shaping
667 communities included polychaetes *Prionospio cirrifera* and *Notoproctus oculatus*. Stations in
668 group D were seasonally ice covered (including during sampling), and deeper than 400 m.
669 They were characterized by low amount of Sed C_{org} but presence of high OM quality in
670 bottom water layer, perhaps indicating recent sedimentation. They were characterized by
671 much lower benthic biomass and higher benthic density which were both decreasing with
672 increasing depth regardless the bloom stage. Decreasing quality and quantity of OM with
673 increasing depth, as it was observed in Nansen Basin, are often reflected in spatial variations
674 in benthic community structure (Carroll et al., 2008) and result in severe energy limitation for
675 deep-seafloor communities, dominated by small sized-individuals in high densities (Gage and
676 Tyler, 1991; Bergmann et al., 2009; Grebmeier et al., 1988; Renaud et al., 2008).

677 Long living Arctic benthic fauna reflects carbon export fluxes to the sea bottom but
678 changes are observed after several years or decades at deep stations (Grebmeier, 2012; Link et
679 al., 2013). Therefore, it is likely that the bloom stage and current OM delivery to the sea floor
680 did not directly influence the benthic community structure which is mainly dependent on the
681 integrated carbon input to the sediments and OM pool available in the sediment. However,
682 fresh OM arriving to the sea floor can trigger fast benthic response in terms of feeding and
683 related movement (Morata et al., 2015; Boetius et al., 2013), thus influence the community
684 functioning.

685

686 *4.2. Bioturbation processes (sediment mixing)*

687

688 Conducting experiments at the large depth gradient posts a challenge of working in
689 hyperbaric conditions. Although, Glud et al. (1994) showed that in situ measurements of
690 sediment oxygen demand/oxygen penetration depth were uniformly higher than deck
691 incubations, the relative differences among stations did not change with depth. Since other
692 published studies have also not incorporated hyperbaric chambers when estimating sediment
693 oxygen demand (Boetius et al., 2013), metabolism (Linke et al., 1995), and bioturbation
694 (Clough et al., 1997), our results, as the relative rates, remain comparable.

695 Both coefficients of bioturbation (non-local transport (r) and biodiffusion (Db) were
696 quantified in the stations of groups A, B and C where a higher diversity of bioturbation groups
697 was recorded among the dominant taxa (Table 4). Stations of the group D, on the contrary,
698 exhibited lower diversity of functional traits among the dominant taxa with the simultaneous
699 presence of two groups of sediment mixing (conveyors, biodiffusers) but where only non-
700 local transport was observed.

701 Species identity and differences in species characteristics, such as feeding mode and
702 typical burrowing depth, have been previously shown to influence the intensity of
703 bioturbation (Viitasalo-Frösén et al., 2009; Josefson et al., 2012; Näkki et al., 2017) and
704 functional diversity can be considered to have more impacts than taxonomic richness (Harvey
705 et al., 2012; Link et al., 2013). However, interpreting the bioturbation processes and the
706 assignment of the macrofaunal species to the correct functional group can be challenging.
707 Short-term experimental studies of sediment mixing provide just essential data for only few
708 species and results are hard to compare with longer time-scale processes in natural
709 ecosystems. Also, the same species can have different behavior and belong to another

sediment mixing groups across their ranges since species are known to be able to feed in several different ways and change their feeding and mobility to exploit the food resources available (Biles et al., 2002). Change in organism feeding behavior will also mean a change in bioturbation which is reduced as a result of decreasing species diversity and community biomass, as well as diversity of feeding and bioturbation groups (Mazik and Elliott, 2000). In general, in our study, the high number of sub-surface deposit feeders, which feed at depth and transport material to the surface can explain dominance of non-local mixing (Boudreau, 1997; Gérino et al., 1998). Conveyors were actually omnipresent and the intensity of non-local transport was variable between stations, and was increasing with increasing species richness, density and biomass, but also with increasing percentage of mud presence and Chl *a* contents (Table 8). Since non-local transport is non-continuous, it is usually difficult to link it directly to benthic biomass (or biovolume) since some movements of particles do not depend only on animal movements, but also on animal-independent effects (e.g., particles falling down into the burrows, initial burrows construction). In our study, increasing benthic biomass generated by high labile OM inputs, seems to have positive effects on the conveying activities and consequently on the non-local transport rates.

In shallow fjords (Van Mijenfjorden and Hornsund, Group A), the benthic communities were characterized by low bioturbation rates (non-local transport from 0.21 ± 0.20 to 0.60 ± 0. 23 y^{-1} and biodiffusion from 0.04 ± 0.01 to 0.07 ± 0.03 cm^{-2} y^{-1}). This similarity between the AX/1 and AX/2 stations of the group A can be explained by the occurrence of a similar benthic community whose the species have the same functional traits combination (i.e., feeding, mobility, burrowing depth, burrowing mode and sediment mixing mode; Table 4) but present in low density and biomass. Biodiffusors (Cirratulidae; Gérino et al., 1992, 2007), conveyors (*P. arcticus*) and gallery diffusors (*Lumbrineris* sp.; Petch, 1986),

734 both present in those fjords, were probably limited by the lack of fresh OM in the sediments at
735 the time of sampling.

736 Storfjorden (AX/3, group B), Erik Eriksen Strait (AX/4) and PS/20 (group C) had
737 similar measured bioturbation rates with high non-local transport and low biodiffusion, and
738 shared a high biomass contribution of bivalves and maldanid polychaetes. Yoldiids and
739 maldanids, burrowing deeper into the sediment, are known to be effective conveyors which
740 can either actively transfer sediment directly into deep layers from the surface, or into surface
741 layers from deeper layers of the sediments (Bender and Davis, 1984; Smith and Schafer,
742 1984), respectively. This can explain high non-local transport in these areas.

743 The southern Barents Sea, AX/6, group C, had higher but strongly spatially variable
744 Db and r by station within the group, with a relatively higher biomass and density of the
745 organisms (*Spiochaetopterus typicus* (conveyor, Smith and Shafer, 1984) dominating in the
746 density and *Astarte* sp. (biodiffusor, Queirós at al., 2013) dominating in the biomass. The
747 reason for that was most likely earlier occurrence of the phytoplankton bloom due to the lack
748 of sea ice, so at the time of the cruise, late spring/post-bloom conditions and abundant OM
749 activated rapidly benthic organisms.

750 All deeper and sea ice covered stations in group D, including sea ice covered PS/32
751 (group C), were dominated by biodiffusors and conveyors (Table 4). Those stations were
752 characterized by significant non-local transport and unmeasurable biodiffusion. This suggests
753 that the sediment transport mode by conveyors dominated in the sampled deeper areas
754 adapted to scarce fresh food availability despite the presence of biodiffusers. This implies a
755 very low activity by biodiffusers undetectable at the time scale of 10 days of experiment, or
756 an interfering of such activities with the conveyors species. Typically for communities living
757 in OM limited environments, these benthic communities were characterized by a low number
758 of species with low biomass. Giving the low bioturbation rates in the deeper stations, we

759 could actually suppose that time incubation with luminophores superior to 10 days could be
760 tested in the future experiments in order to insure a more complete transport of tracers
761 towards deep layers where the benthic community is less active. Since the bioturbation model
762 takes into account in its calculation this time duration, the final bioturbation coefficient is
763 however normalized to this time scale, signifying the similar relative comparison between
764 stations for our experiment remains valid. If we were able to detect measurable biodiffusive
765 coefficient for the deeper stations for a longer time scale, normalized to the same time unit,
766 the biodiffusion would be still low because of weak benthic infauna dynamic in such
767 environments. Our results are, however, similar to the patterns showed by Clough et al.
768 (1997) who noted low biodiffusive transport (0.01 to 0.11 cm^{-2} y^{-1}) by using radioactive tracer
769 for its deep portions in relationships to the lower benthic biomass due to lower fresh OM
770 inputs. They found, however, higher surficial sediment biological mixing rates than the
771 natural sedimentation rates in the Arctic deep sediments, highlighting the importance of
772 quantifying bioturbation in the Arctic Ocean taking into account its spatial variability. Also,
773 Soltwedel et al. (2019), using luminophores for experiments that lasted 2 and 4 years, found
774 low biodiffusion mixing rates at the Long-Term Ecological Research (LTER) observatory
775 HAUSGARTEN in Fram Strait (~ 0.2 cm^{-2} y^{-1}). They concluded that the meiofauna, and to a
776 certain extent megafauna, were the main bioturbators in the deep sea environments. Although,
777 only macrofauna (>500 µm) was identified and was presented as the main responsible of the
778 bioturbation activities in our study, meiofaunal activities may also explain some of the
779 patterns that could not be attributed to the changes in the environment or macrofaunal
780 communities in the deep sea stations. Since the model quantifies bioturbation coefficients of
781 the entire benthic community, it includes here also the meiofauna activities. Meiofauna is
782 actually known as the most abundant infauna (Heip et al., 1985; Vanreusel et al., 2010; Rosli
783 et al., 2016; own data) and as having impact on the bioturbation activities in the first few

784 centimeters of the sediment (Aller and Aller, 1992; Piot el al., 2014; Aschenbroich et al.,
785 2017; Mäkelä et al., 2018). Therefore, we suggest that also the smaller benthic fractions
786 should be identified in future studies on Arctic deep infaunal activity in order to find better
787 infauna variables for explaining the bioturbation measurements.
788
789 *4.3. Seasonal changes (Storfjorden station)*
790
791 The highest values of non-local transport were reported for Storfjorden and remained
792 stable during both spring and summer time. The biodiffusion coefficient measured in
793 Storfjorden during summer was about fifteen times higher compared to measurements
794 conducted during spring, probably because of the changes in species and functional groups
795 between the two seasons associated with the more labile OM reaching the seafloor in summer
796 (Chl *a*/Phaeo~52, Table 3). The dominant taxa in density were Cirratullidae (biodiffusor)
797 both during spring and summer (31.2 % and 11 %, respectively) and Lumbrineris sp. (gallery
798 diffusor) (14.1 % and 18.3 %, respectively) while in biomass, Yoldia hyperborea (convoyer)
799 dominated during both seasons (57.4 % and 30.5 %, respectively) (Table 4). Such changes,
800 i.e. replacement of one large specimen by another large specimen of different species, should
801 be rather accounted to spatial variability of benthic communities than due to seasonal change
802 in sampled communities. Also, species such as *Nereis diversicolor* or *M. balthica* are able to
803 change their feeding mode (suspensive-feeder versus deposit feeder) depending on
804 environmental conditions (De Goej and Luttikhuizen, 1998; Christensen et al., 2000). It is
805 also possible that taxa such as Cirratulidae, *Lumbrinereis*, *Yoldia* and *Nuculana* change their
806 feeding mode with increased OM input from the polynya during the summer just after the
807 spring bloom, as was observed in other ecosystems or experiments (e.g., Bender and Davis,
808 1984 for *Yoldia* spp; Rouse and Pleijel, 2001; Kędra et al., 2012 for Cirratulidae).

809 Benthic activities are related to the supply of OM to the seabed (Grassle and Grassle,
810 1994; Levin and Gooday, 2003; Blake et al., 2009) and biodiffusion intensity can be strongly
811 dependent on flux of fresh food from overlying waters (Gérino et al., 1998). In shallow
812 sediments of temperate areas, Duport et al. (2007) found the highest intensity of sediment
813 mixing (non-local and biodiffusion) during summer in the Thau Lagoon. Also Gérino et al.
814 (2007) found more rapid non-local transport in spring than in autumn in the Venice Lagoon.
815 Organic carbon supply generally increases when the PP starts in spring, and peaks with the
816 bloom and afterwards, over the summer. In the polar areas, Morata et al. (2015) found
817 minimum biodiffusive activity during the polar night, and high non-local transport after a
818 pulse of fresh food in experiments conducted in high Arctic fjord in Svalbard. This result
819 suggests that behavior of benthic species change with the OM input. Also, laboratory
820 experiments showed that macrofauna can react quickly to food input by increasing their
821 bioturbation activities (Nogaro et al., 2008). Furthermore, Dauwe et al. (1998) reported
822 maximum sediment mixing with medium food quality in the study comparing macrofaunal
823 benthic activity with contrasting food supply in the North Sea. They also showed that the
824 minimal mixing was observed at the station with high quality OM, and no mixing when low
825 quality OM was present. This can result from combination of physical and biochemical
826 factors influencing bioturbation, or changes in species behavior. The other possible
827 explanation is related to the oxygen conditions in different areas. Both North and Baltic Sea
828 are areas with high PP and eutrophication. Higher amounts of new OM reaching the sea floor
829 often result in low oxygen levels leading to anoxic conditions affecting faunal behavior or
830 even survival (Carstensen et al., 2014). Svalbard fjords and adjacent areas are largely oligo-
831 and meso- trophic, and well oxygenated regardless the time of the year and intensity of the
832 spring bloom deposition. We, thus, expect minimal negative impacts of OM deposition on

benthic communities. Our results from this limited seasonal comparison suggest that large inputs of fresh OM to the seabed can trigger bioturbation activities.

Acknowledgements

This work is a contribution to the Polish National Science Centre (project number 2015/19/B/NZ8/03945 (SeaIceFun) to MK) and the Norwegian Research Council (ARCEx project #228107). We would like to thank the crew and scientific team for support and assistance at the sea during the Arctic in Rapid Transition (ART) Network cruise TRANSSIZ (ARK XXIX/1; PS92) on R/V Polarstern, ARCEx cruise on R/V Helmer Hanssen and SteP cruise on R/V L'Atalante, especially to Maeve McGovern. We would like to thank Leading National Research Centre (KNOW) received by the Centre for Polar Studies for the financial support. Thank you to Aleksandra Winogradow (IOPAN) and Jeremy Devesa (LEMAR) for their help during CHN analyses. Mobility grants of BO, NM and EM were supported by the French National Agency under the program "Investissements d'Avenir" (LabexMER: ANR-10-LABX-19) and by the scientific committee of IUEM to EM. We thank three anonymous reviewers for their comments, which significantly improved the paper. This paper is a Nereis Park number 41.

References:

Aller, R.C., Aller, J.Y., 1992. Meiofauna and solute transport in marine muds. Limnology and Oceanography 37, 1018–1033. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1992.37.5.1018

Anderson, M.J., Gorley, R.N., Clarke, K.R., 2008. PERMANOVA for PRIMER: guide to software and statistical methods. PRIMER-E Ltd., Plymouth, United Kingdom p. 214.

Andreassen, I., Wassmann, P., 1998. Vertical flux of phytoplankton and particulate biogenic matter in the marginal ice zone of the Barents Sea in May 1993. Marine Ecology

858 Progress Series 170, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps170001
859 Aschenbroich, A., Michaud, E., Gilbert, F., Fromard, F., Alt, A., Le Garrec, V., Bihannic, I.,
860 De Coninck, A., Thouzeau, G., 2017. Bioturbation functional roles associated with
861 mangrove development in French Guiana, South America. Hydrobiologia 794, 179–
862 202. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-017-3093-7
863 Bender, K., Davis, W.R., 1984. The effect of feeding by Yoldia limatula on bioturbation.
864 Ophelia 23, 91–100. https://doi.org/10.1080/00785236.1984.10426606
865 Bergmann, M., Dannheim, J., Bauerfeind, E., Klages, M., 2009. Trophic relationships along a
866 bathymetric gradient at the deep-sea observatory HAUSGARTEN. Deep Sea Research
867 Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 56, 408–424.
868 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2008.10.004
869 Berner, R.A., 1980. Early diagenesis. A theoretical approach. Princeton University Press,
870 Princeton, NJ.
871 Biles, C.L., Paterson, D.M., Ford, R.B., Solan, M., Raffaelli, D.G., 2002. Bioturbation,
872 ecosystem functioning and community structure. Hydrology and Earth System
873 Sciences 6, 999–1005. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-6-999-2002
874 Blake, J.A., Maciolek, N.J., Ota, A.Y., Williams, I.P., 2009. Long-term benthic infaunal
875 monitoring at a deep-ocean dredged material disposal site off Northern California.
876 Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 56, 1775–1803.
877 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2009.05.021
878 Błaszczyk, M., Jania, J.A., Kolondra, L., 2013. Fluctuations of tidewater glaciers in Hornsund
879 Fjord (Southern Svalbard) since the beginning of the 20th century. Polish Polar
880 Research 34, 327–352. https://doi.org/10.2478/popore-2013-0024
881 Blott, S.J., Pye, K., 2001. GRADISTAT: a grain size distribution and statistics package for the
882 analysis of unconsolidated sediments. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 26,

1237–1248. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.261

Boetius, A., Albrecht, S., Bakker, K., Bienhold, C., Felden, J., Fernandez-Mendez, M., Hendricks, S., Katlein, C., Lalande, C., Krumpen, T., Nicolaus, M., Peeken, I., Rabe, B., Rogacheva, A., Rybakova, E., Somavilla, R., Wenzhofer, F., RV Polarstern ARK27-3-Shipboard Science Party, 2013. Export of Algal Biomass from the Melting Arctic Sea Ice. Science 339, 1430–1432. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1231346

Bouchet, V.M.P., Sauriau, P.-G., Debenay, J.-P., Mermillod-Blondin, F., Schmidt, S., Amiard, J.-C., Dupas, B., 2009. Influence of the mode of macrofauna-mediated bioturbation on the vertical distribution of living benthic foraminifera: First insight from axial tomodensitometry. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 371, 20–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2008.12.012

Boudreau, B.P., 1986. Mathematics of tracer mixing in sediments. 2. Nonlocal mixing and biological conveyor-belt phenomena. American Journal of Science 286, 199-238.

Boudreau, B.P., 1997. Diagenetic models and their implementation. Springer Verlag, 414 pp.

Bourgeois, T., Orr, J.C., Resplandy, L., Terhaar, J., Ethé, C., Gehlen, M., Bopp, L., 2016. Coastal-ocean uptake of anthropogenic carbon. Biogeosciences 13, 4167–4185. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-4167-2016

Carroll, J., Zaborska, A., Papucci, C., Schirone, A., Carroll, M.L., Pempkowiak, J., 2008. Accumulation of organic carbon in western Barents Sea sediments. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 55, 2361–2371. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.05.005

Carstensen, J., Andersen, J.H., Gustafsson, B.G., Conley, D.J., 2014. Deoxygenation of the Baltic Sea during the last century. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111, 5628–5633. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1323156111

Christensen, B., Vedel, A., Kristensen, E., 2000. Carbon and nitrogen fluxes in sediment

908 inhabited by suspension-feeding (Nereis diversicolor) and non-suspension-feeding (N.
909 virens) polychaetes. Marine Ecology Progress Series 192, 203–217.
910 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps192203
911 Clarke, K.R., Gorley, R.N., 2006. PRIMER v6: User manual/tutorial. PRIMER-E, Plymouth
912 UK.
913 Clough, L.M., Ambrose, W.G., Kirk Cochran, J., Barnes, C., Renaud, P.E., Aller, R.C., 1997.
914 Infaunal density, biomass and bioturbation in the sediments of the Arctic Ocean. Deep
915 Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 44, 1683–1704.
916 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(97)00052-0
917 Cochrane, S.K.J., Pearson, T.H., Greenacre, M., Costelloe, J., Ellingsen, I.H., Dahle, S.,
918 Gulliksen, B., 2012. Benthic fauna and functional traits along a Polar Front transect in
919 the Barents Sea – Advancing tools for ecosystem-scale assessments. Journal of Marine
920 Systems 94, 204–217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2011.12.001
921 Codispoti, L.A., Kelly, V., Thessen, A., Matrai, P., Suttles, S., Hill, V., Steele, M., Light, B.,
922 2013. Synthesis of primary production in the Arctic Ocean: III. Nitrate and phosphate
923 based estimates of net community production. Progress in Oceanography 110, 126–
924 150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2012.11.006
925 D'Andrea, A.F., Lopez, G.R., Aller, R.C., 2004. Rapid physical and biological particle mixing
926 on an intertidal sandflat. Journal of Marine Research 62, 67–92.
927 https://doi.org/10.1357/00222400460744627
928 Dauwe, B., Herman, P.M.J., Heip, C.H.R., 1998. Communitv structure and bioturbation
929 potential of macrofauna at four North Sea stations with contrasting food supply. Mar
930 Ecol Prog Ser 173, 67–8317. https://doi:10.3354/meps173067
931 De Goeij, P., Luttikhuizen, P., 1998. Deep-burying reduces growth in intertidal bivalves: field
932 and mesocosm experiments with Macoma balthica. Journal of Experimental Marine

933 Biology and Ecology 228, 327–337. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(98)00062-8
934 Drewnik, A., Węsławski, J.M., Włodarska-Kowalczuk, M., Łącka, M., Promińska, A.,
935 Zaborska, A., Gluchowska, M., 2016. From the worm's point of view. I: Environmental
936 settings of benthic ecosystems in Arctic fjord (Hornsund, Spitsbergen). Polar Biology
937 39, 1411–1424. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-015-1867-9
938 Duchêne, J., Rosenberg, R., 2001. Marine benthic faunal activity patterns on a sediment
939 surface assessed by video numerical tracking. Marine Ecology Progress Series 223,
940 113–119. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps223113
941 Duport, E., Gilbert, F., Poggiale, J.-C., Dedieu, K., Rabouille, C., Stora, G., 2007. Benthic
942 macrofauna and sediment reworking quantification in contrasted environments in the
943 Thau Lagoon. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 72, 522–533.
944 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2006.11.018
945 Fauchald, K., Jumars, P.A., 1979. The diet of worms: a study of polychaete feeding guilds.
946 Oceanogr Mar Biol Annu Rev 17, 193–284.
947 Fernández-Méndez, M., Katlein, C., Rabe, B., Nicolaus, M., Peeken, I., Bakker, K., Flores,
948 H., Boetius, A., 2015. Photosynthetic production in the central Arctic Ocean during the
949 record sea-ice minimum in 2012. Biogeosciences 12, 3525–3549.
950 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-3525-2015
951 Fetzer, I., Lønne, O.J., Pearson, T., 2002. The distribution of juvenile benthic invertebrates
952 in an Arctic glacial fjord. Polar Biol 25, 303–315.
953 Fisher, J.B., Lick, W.J., McCall, P.L., Robbins, J.A., 1980. Vertical mixing of lake sediments
954 by tubificid oligochaetes. Journal of Geophysical Research 85 (C7), 3997–4006.
955 https://doi.org/10.1029/JC085iC07p03997
956 François, F., Delegre, K., Gilbert, F., Stora G., 1999. Specific variability within functional
957 groups. Study of the sediment reworking of two Veneridae bivalves, Ruditapes

958 decussatus and Venerupis aurea. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 322, 339-345.
959 François, F., Gérino, M., Stora, G., Durbec, J., Poggiale, J., 2002. Functional approach to
960 sediment reworking by gallery-forming macrobenthic organisms: modeling and
961 application with the polychaete Nereis diversicolor. Marine Ecology Progress Series
962 229, 127–136. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps229127
963 François, F., Poggiale, J.-C., Durbec, J.-P., Stora, G., 2001. A newmodel of bioturbation for a
964 functional approach to sedi-ment reworking resulting from macrobenthic communi-
965 ties. In: Aller JY, Woodin SA, Aller RC (eds) Organism–sediment interactions.
966 University of South Carolina Press,Columbia, p 73–86.
967 François, F., Poggiale, J.-C., Durbec, J.-P., Stora, G., 1997. A new approach for the modelling
968 of sediment reworking induced by a macrobenthic community. Acta Biotheor. 45, 295–
969 319.
970 Gage, J., Tyler, P., 1991. Deep-Sea Biology: A Natural History of Organisms at the Deep-Sea
971 Floor. Cambridge University Press, New York.
972 Gardner, L.R., Sharma, P., Moore, W.S., 1987. A regeneration model for the effect of
973 bioturbation by fiddler crabs on 210Pb profiles in salt marsh sediments. Journal of
974 Environmental Radioactivity 5, 25–36.
975 Gérino, M., 1992. Étude expérimentale de la bioturbation en milieu littoral et profond.
976 Doctoral dissertation, Université Aix-Marseille II. 196 pp.
977 Gérino, M., Aller, R.C., Lee, C., Cochran, J.K., Aller, J.Y., Green, M.A., Hirschberg, D., 1998.
978 Comparison of Different Tracers and Methods Used to Quantify Bioturbation During a
979 Spring Bloom: 234-Thorium, Luminophores and Chlorophylla. Estuarine, Coastal and
980 Shelf Science 46, 531–547. https://doi.org/10.1006/ecss.1997.0298
981 Gérino, M., Frignani, M., Mugnai, C., Bellucci, L.G., Prevedelli, D., Valentini, A., Castelli,
982 A., Delmotte, S., Sauvage, S., 2007. Bioturbation in the Venice Lagoon: Rates and

relationship to organisms. Acta Oecologica 32, 14–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2007.02.003

Gilbert, F., Hulth, S., Grossi, V., Poggiale, J.-C., Desrosiers, G., Rosenberg, R., Gérino, M., François-Carcaillet, F., Michaud, E., Stora, G., 2007. Sediment reworking by marine benthic species from the Gullmar Fjord (Western Sweden): Importance of faunal biovolume. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 348, 133–144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2007.04.015

Gingras, M.K., Pemberton, S.G., Dashtgard, S., Dafoe, L., 2008. How fast do marine invertebrates burrow? Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 270, 280–286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2008.07.015

Glud, R.N., Gundersen, J.K., Barker Jørgensen, B., Revsbech, N.P., Schulz, H.D., 1994. Diffusive and total oxygen uptake of deep-sea sediments in the eastern South Atlantic Ocean:in situ and laboratory measurements. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 41, 1767–1788. https://doi.org/10.1016/0967-0637(94)90072-8

Gogina, M., Morys, C., Forster, S., Gräwe, U., Friedland, R., Zettler, M.L., 2017. Towards benthic ecosystem functioning maps: Quantifying bioturbation potential in the German part of the Baltic Sea. Ecological Indicators 73, 574–588. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.10.025

Gosselin, M., Levasseur, M., Wheeler, P.A., Horner, R.A., Booth, B.C., 1997. New measurements of phytoplankton and ice algal production in the Arctic Ocean. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 44, 1623–1644. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(97)00054-4

Grassle, J.F., Grassle, J.P., 1994. Notes from the abyss: the effects of a patchy supply of organic material and larvae on soft-sediment benthic communities. In: Giller, P.S., Hildrew, A.G., Raffacelli, D.G. (Eds.), Aquatic Ecology: Scale, Pattern and Process.

1008 Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 499–515.

1009 Grebmeier, J.M., 2012. Shifting Patterns of Life in the Pacific Arctic and Sub-Arctic Seas.
1010 Annual Review of Marine Science 4, 63–78. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-
1011 120710-100926

1012 Grebmeier, J.M., Cooper, L.W., Feder, H.M., Sirenko, B.I., 2006. Ecosystem dynamics of the
1013 Pacific-influenced Northern Bering and Chukchi Seas in the Amerasian Arctic.
1014 Progress in Oceanography 71, 331–361. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2006.10.001

1015 Grebmeier, J.M, McRoy, C., Feder, H., 1988. Pelagic-benthic coupling on the shelf of the
1016 northern Bering and Chukchi Seas. I. Food supply source and benthic bio-mass. Marine
1017 Ecology Progress Series 48, 57–67. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps048057

1018 Haarpaintner, J., Haugan, P.M., Gascard, J.-C., 2001. Interannual variability of the Storfjorden
1019 (Svalbard) ice cover and ice production observed by ERS-2 SAR. Annals of Glaciology
1020 33, 430–436. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756401781818392

1021 Harvey, E., Séguin, A., Nozais, C., Archambault, P., Gravel, D., 2013. Identity effects
1022 dominate the impacts of multiple species extinctions on the functioning of complex
1023 food webs. Ecology 94, 169–179. https://doi.org/10.1890/12-0414.1

1024 Heip C, Vincx M, Vranken G. 1985. The ecology of marine nematodes. Oceanography and
1025 Marine Biology: An Annual Review 23, 399–489.

1026 Holm-Hansen, O., Lorenzen, C.J., Holms, R.W., Strickland, J.D., 1965. Fluorometric
1027 determination of chlorophyll. J Conseil Int pour l'Exploration de la Mer 30, 3–15.

1028 Jørgensen, L.L., Ljubin, P., Skjoldal, H.R., Ingvaldsen, R.B., Anisimova, N., Manushin, I.,
1029 2015. Distribution of benthic megafauna in the Barents Sea: baseline for an ecosystem
1030 approach to management. ICES Journal of Marine Science 72, 595–613.
1031 https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsu106

1032 Josefson, A., Norkko, J., Norkko, A., 2012. Burial and decomposition of plant pigments in

surface sediments of the Baltic Sea: role of oxygen and benthic fauna. Marine Ecology Progress Series 455, 33–49. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09661

Kędra, M., Kuliński, K., Walkusz, W., Legeżyńska, J., 2012. The shallow benthic food web structure in the high Arctic does not follow seasonal changes in the surrounding environment. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 114, 183–191. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2012.08.015

Kędra, M., Pabis, K., Gromisz, S., Węsławski, J.M., 2013. Distribution patterns of polychaete fauna in an Arctic fjord (Hornsund, Spitsbergen). Polar Biology 36, 1463–1472. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-013-1366-9

Kennedy, P., Kennedy, H., Papadimitriou, S., 2005. The effect of acidification on the determination of organic carbon, total nitrogen and their stable isotopic composition in algae and marine sediment. Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry 19, 1063–1068. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.1889

Knaust, D., Bromley, R.G., 2012. Trace fossils as indicators of sedimentary environments. Developments in sedimentology, vol 64. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Konovalov, D., Renaud, P.E., Berge, J., Voronkov, A.Y., Cochrane, S.K.J., 2010. Contaminants, benthic communities, and bioturbation: potential for PAH mobilisation in Arctic sediments. Chemistry and Ecology 26, 197–208. https://doi.org/10.1080/02757541003789058

Krause, J.W., Duarte, C.M., Marquez, I.A., Assmy, P., Fernández-Méndez, M., Wiedmann, I., Wassmann, P., Kristiansen, S., Agustí, S., 2018. Biogenic silica production and diatom dynamics in the Svalbard region during spring. Biogeosciences Discussions 1–25. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-226

Kristensen, E., Penha-Lopes, G., Delefosse, M., Valdemarsen, T., Quintana, C., Banta, G., 2012. What is bioturbation? The need for a precise definition for fauna in aquatic

sciences. Marine Ecology Progress Series 446, 285–302. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09506

Kure, L.K., Forbes, T.L., 1997. Impact of bioturbation by Arenicola marina on the fate of particle-bound fluoranthene. Marine Ecology Progress Series 156, 157–166.

Leu, E., Wiktor, J., Søreide, J., Berge, J., Falk-Petersen, S., 2010. Increased irradiance reduces food quality of sea ice algae. Marine Ecology Progress Series 411, 49–60. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08647

Levin, L.A., Gooday, A.J., 2003. The Deep Atlantic Ocean Chapter 5. In: Tyler, P.A. (Ed.), Ecosystems of the Deep Oceans. Ecosystems of the World 28. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 111–178.

Link, H., Piepenburg, D., Archambault, P., 2013. Are Hotspots Always Hotspots? The Relationship between Diversity, Resource and Ecosystem Functions in the Arctic. PLoS ONE 8, e74077. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0074077

Linke, P., Altenbach, A.V., Graf, G., Heeger, T., 1995. Response of deep-sea benthic foraminifera to a simulated sedimentation event. Journal of Foraminiferal Research 25, 75-82. https://doi.org/10.2113/gsjfr.25.1.75

Maire, O., Duchêne, J.C., Grémare, A., Malyuga, V.S., Meysman, F.J.R., 2007. A comparison of sediment reworking rates by the surface deposit-feeding bivalve Abra ovata during summertime and wintertime, with a comparison between two models of sediment reworking. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 343, 21–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2006.10.052

Maiti, K., Carroll, J., Benitez-Nelson, C.R., 2010. Sedimentation and particle dynamics in the seasonal ice zone of the Barents Sea. Journal of Marine Systems 79, 185–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2009.09.001

Mäkelä, A., Witte, U., Archambault, P., 2018. Short-term processing of ice algal- and

phytoplankton-derived carbon by Arctic benthic communities revealed through isotope labelling experiments. Marine Ecology Progress Series 600, 21–39. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12663

Mazik, K., Elliott, M., 2000. The effects of chemical pollution on the bioturbation potential of estuarine intertidal mudflats. Helgoland Marine Research 54, 99–109. https://doi.org/10.1007/s101520050008

McClintic, M.A., DeMaster, D.J., Thomas, C.J., Smith, C.R., 2008. Testing the FOODBANCS hypothesis: Seasonal variations in near-bottom particle flux, bioturbation intensity, and deposit feeding based on 234Th measurements. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 55, 2425–2437. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.06.003

McMeans, B.C., McCann, K.S., Humphries, M., Rooney, N., Fisk, A.T., 2015. Food Web Structure in Temporally-Forced Ecosystems. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 30, 662–672. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2015.09.001

McMinn, A., Pankowskii, A., Ashworth, C., Bhagooli, R., Ralph, P., Ryan, K., 2010. In situ net primary productivity and photosynthesis of Antarctic sea ice algal, phytoplankton and benthic algal communities. Marine Biology 157, 1345–1356. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-010-1414-8

Mermillod-Blondin, F., Marie, S., Desrosiers, G., Long, B., de Montety, L., Michaud, E., Stora, G., 2003. Assessment of the spatial variability of intertidal benthic communities by axial tomodensitometry: importance of fine-scale heterogeneity. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 287, 193–208. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(02)00548-8

Meysman, F.J.R., Boudreau, B.P., Middelburg, J.J., 2003. New developments in the modelling of bioturbation in aquatic sediments: relations between local, non-local, discrete and

1108 continuous models. Terramare 12, 91-93.

1109 Michaud E., 2006. Effet of the Functional ecology of Macoma balthica community (St
1110 Lawrence estuary, Quebec, Canada) on biogeochemical fluxes at the sediment-water
1111 interface and on sediment mixing. PhD Thesis. University of du Québec à Rimouski et
1112 Université de la Méditerranée, Aix-Marseille II, 237p.

1113 Michaud, E., Desrosiers, G., Mermillod-Blondin, F., Sundby, B., Stora, G., 2005. The
1114 functional group approach to bioturbation: The effects of biodiffusers and gallery-
1115 diffusers of the Macoma balthica community on sediment oxygen uptake. Journal of
1116 Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 326, 77–88.
1117 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2005.05.016

1118 Michaud, E., Desrosiers, G., Mermillod-Blondin, F., Sundby, B., Stora, G., 2006. The
1119 functional group approach to bioturbation: II. The effects of the Macoma balthica
1120 community on fluxes of nutrients and dissolved organic carbon across the sediment–
1121 water interface. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 337, 178–189.
1122 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2006.06.025

1123 Montserrat, F., Van Colen, C., Provoost, P., Milla, M., Ponti, M., Van den Meersche, K.,
1124 Ysebaert, T., Herman, P.M.J., 2009. Sediment segregation by biodiffusing bivalves.
1125 Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 83, 379–391.
1126 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2009.04.010

1127 Morata, N., Michaud, E., Włodarska-Kowalczuk, M., 2015. Impact of early food input on the
1128 Arctic benthos activities during the polar night. Polar Biology 38, 99–114.
1129 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-013-1414-5

1130 Morata, N., Renaud, P.E., 2008. Sedimentary pigments in the western Barents Sea: A
1131 reflection of pelagic–benthic coupling? Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in
1132 Oceanography 55, 2381–2389. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.05.004

1133 Mulsow, S., Landrum, P., Robbins, J., 2002. Biological mixing responses to sublethal
1134 concentrations of DDT in sediments by Heteromastus filiformis using a 137Cs marker
1135 layer technique. Marine Ecology Progress Series 239, 181–191.
1136 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps239181
1137 Näkki, P., Setälä, O., Lehtiniemi, M., 2017. Bioturbation transports secondary microplastics to
1138 deeper layers in soft marine sediments of the northern Baltic Sea. Marine Pollution
1139 Bulletin 119, 255–261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.03.065
1140 Needham, H.R., Pilditch, C.A., Lohrer, A.M., Thrush, S.F., 2011. Context-Specific
1141 Bioturbation Mediates Changes to Ecosystem Functioning. Ecosystems 14, 1096–1109.
1142 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-011-9468-0
1143 Nogaro, G., Charles, F., de Mendonça, J.B., Mermillod-Blondin, F., Stora, G., François-
1144 Carcaillet, F., 2008. Food supply impacts sediment reworking by Nereis diversicolor.
1145 Hydrobiologia 598, 403–408. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-007-9135-9
1146 Olli, K., Wexels Riser, C., Wassmann, P., Ratkova, T., Arashkevich, E., Pasternak, A., 2002.
1147 Seasonal variation in vertical flux of biogenic matter in the marginal ice zone and the
1148 central Barents Sea. Journal of Marine Systems 38, 189–204.
1149 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-7963(02)00177-X
1150 Ouellette, D., Desrosiers, G., Gagne, J., Gilbert, F., Poggiale, J., Blier, P., Stora, G., 2004.
1151 Effects of temperature on in vitro sediment reworking processes by a gallery
1152 biodiffusor, the polychaete Neanthes virens. Marine Ecology Progress Series 266, 185–
1153 193. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps266185
1154 Ozhigin,V. K., Ingvaldsen, R. B., Loeng,H., Boitsov, V., Karsakov, A., 2011. Introduction to
1155 the Barents Sea. In The Barents Sea. Ecosystem, resources, management. Half a
1156 century of Russian-Norwegian cooperation, pp. 315–328. Ed. by T. Jakobsen, and V. K.
1157 Ozhigin. Tapir Academic Press, Trondheim.

1158 Pathirana, I., Knies, J., Felix, M., Mann, U., 2013. Towards an improved organic carbon
1159 budget for the Barents Sea shelf, marginal Arctic Ocean. Climate of the Past
1160 Discussions 9, 4939–4986. https://doi.org/10.5194/cpd-9-4939-2013
1161 Peeken, I., 2016. The Expedition PS92 of the Research Vessel POLARSTERN to the Arctic
1162 Ocean in 2015, Berichte zur Polar und Meeresforschung =Reports on polar and marine
1163 research, Bremerhaven, Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research 694 ,
1164 153 p. https://doi.org/10.2312/BzPM_0694_2016
1165 Petch, D.A., 1986. Selective deposit-feeding by Lumbrineris cf. latreilli (Polychaeta:
1166 Lumbrineridae), with a new method for assessing selectivity by deposit-feeding
1167 organisms. Marine Biology 93, 443-448.
1168 Piot, A., Nozais, C., Archambault, P., 2014. Meiofauna affect the macrobenthic biodiversity-
1169 ecosystem functioning relationship. Oikos 123, 203–213.
1170 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2013.00631.x
1171 Queirós, A.M., Birchenough, S.N.R., Bremner, J., Godbold, J.A., Parker, R.E., Romero-
1172 Ramirez, A., Reiss, H., Solan, M., Somerfield, P.J., Van Colen, C., Van Hoey, G.,
1173 Widdicombe, S., 2013. A bioturbation classification of European marine infaunal
1174 invertebrates. Ecology and Evolution 3, 3958–3985. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.769
1175 Quintana, C.O., Tang, M., Kristensen, E., 2007. Simultaneous study of particle reworking,
1176 irrigation transport and reaction rates in sediment bioturbated by the polychaetes
1177 Heteromastus and Marenzelleria. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology
1178 352, 392–406. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2007.08.015
1179 Rasmussen, T.L., Thomsen, E., 2014. Brine formation in relation to climate changes and ice
1180 retreat during the last 15,000 years in Storfjorden, Svalbard, 76-78°N.
1181 Paleoceanography 29, 911–929. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014PA002643
1182 Renaud, P.E., Morata, N., Carroll, M.L., Denisenko, S.G., Reigstad, M., 2008. Pelagic–

benthic coupling in the western Barents Sea: Processes and time scales. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 55, 2372–2380. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.05.017

Rosli, N., Leduc, D., Rowden, A.A., Clark, M.R., Probert, P.K., Berkenbusch, K., Neira, C., 2016. Differences in meiofauna communities with sediment depth are greater than habitat effects on the New Zealand continental margin: implications for vulnerability to anthropogenic disturbance. PeerJ 4, e2154. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2154

Rouse, G.W., Pleijel, F., 2001. Polychaetes. Oxford University Press, New York, 354 pp.

Sakshaug, E., 2004. Primary and Secondary Production in the Arctic Seas. In: Stein R., MacDonald R.W. (eds) The Organic Carbon Cycle in the Arctic Ocean. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

Sandnes, J., Forbes, T., Hansen, R., Sandnes, B., 2000. Influence of particle type and faunal activity on mixing of di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP) in natural sediments. Marine Ecology Progress Series. 197, 151–167.

Shick, J.M., 1976. Physiological and behavioral responses to hypoxia and hydrogen sulfide in the infaunal asteroid Ctenodiscus crispatus. Marine Biology 37, 279–289. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00387613

Shields, M.A., Kedra, M., 2009. A deep burrowing sipunculan of ecological and geochemical importance. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 56, 2057–2064. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2009.07.006

Skarðhamar, J., Svendsen, H., 2010. Short-term hydrographic variability in a stratified Arctic fjord. Geological Society, London, Special Publications 344, 51–60. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP344.5

Skogseth, R., Fer, I., Haugan, P.M., 2005. Dense-water production and overflow from an arctic coastal polynya in Storfjorden, in: Drange, H., Dokken, T., Furevik, T., Gerdes,

1208 R., Berger, W. (Eds.), Geophysical Monograph Series. American Geophysical Union,
1209 Washington, D. C., pp. 73–88. https://doi.org/10.1029/158GM07
1210 Smith, J.N., Schafer, C.T., 1984. Bioturbation processes in continental slope and rise
1211 sediments delineated by Pb–210, microfossil and textural indicators. Journal of Marine
1212 Research 42, 1117–1145. https://doi.org/10.1357/002224084788520738
1213 Smoła, Z.T., Tatarek, A., Wiktor, J.M., Wiktor, J.M.W., Kubiszyn, A., Węsławski, J.M., 2017.
1214 Primary producers and production in Hornsund and Kongsfjorden – comparison of two
1215 fjord systems. Polish Polar Research 38, 351–373. https://doi.org/10.1515/popore-
1216 2017-0013
1217 Soltwedel, T., Hasemann, C., Vedenin, A., Bergmann, M., Taylor, J., Krauß, F., 2019.
1218 Bioturbation rates in the deep Fram Strait: Results from in situ experiments at the arctic
1219 LTER observatory HAUSGARTEN. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and
1220 Ecology 511, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2018.11.001
1221 Søreide, J.E., Falk-Petersen, S., Hegseth, E.N., Hop, H., Carroll, M.L., Hobson, K.A.,
1222 Blachowiak-Samolyk, K., 2008. Seasonal feeding strategies of Calanus in the high-
1223 Arctic Svalbard region. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography
1224 55, 2225–2244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.05.024
1225 Søreide, J.E., Hop, H., Falk-Petersen, S., Hegseth, E.N., Carroll, M.L., 2006. Seasonal food
1226 web structures and sympagic-pelagic coupling in the European Arctic revealed by
1227 stable isotopes and a two-source food web model. Progress in Oceanography 71, 59–
1228 87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2006.06.001
1229 Stead, R.A., Thompson, R.J., 2006. The influence of an intermittent food supply on the
1230 feeding behaviour of Yoldia hyperborea (Bivalvia: Nuculanidae). Journal of
1231 Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 332, 37–48.
1232 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2005.11.001

1233 Svendsen, H., Beszczynska-Møller, A., Hagen, J.O., Lefauconnier, B., Tverberg, V., Gerland,
1234 S., Ørb, J.B., Zajaczkowski, M., Azzolini, R., Bruland, O., Wiencke, C., Winther, J.-G.,
1235 Dallmann, W., 2002. The physical environment of Kongsfjorden–Krossfjorden, an
1236 Arctic fjord system in Svalbard. Polar Research 21, 133-166.
1237 Tamelander, T., Reigstad, M., Hop, H., Carroll, M.L., Wassmann, P., 2008. Pelagic and
1238 sympagic contribution of organic matter to zooplankton and vertical export in the
1239 Barents Sea marginal ice zone. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in
1240 Oceanography 55, 2330–2339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.05.019
1241 Tamelander, T., Renaud, P.E., Hop, H., Carroll, M.L., Ambrose Jr., W.G., Hobson, K.A., 2006.
1242 Trophic relationships and pelagic–benthic coupling during summer in the Barents Sea
1243 Marginal Ice Zone revealed by stable carbon and nitrogen isotope measurements.
1244 Marine Ecology Progress Series 310, 33–46.
1245 Teal, L., Bulling, M., Parker, E., Solan, M., 2008. Global patterns of bioturbation intensity
1246 and mixed depth of marine soft sediments. Aquatic Biology 2, 207–218.
1247 https://doi.org/10.3354/ab00052
1248 Van Leeuwe, M.A., Tedesco, L., Arrigo, K.R., Assmy, P., Campbell, K., Meiners, K.M.,
1249 Rintala, J.-M., Selz, V., Thomas, D.N., Stefels, J., Deming, J.W., 2018. Microalgal
1250 community structure and primary production in Arctic and Antarctic sea ice: A
1251 synthesis. Elem Sci Anth 6. https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.267
1252 Vanreusel, A., Fonseca, G., Danovaro, R., Da Silva, M.C., Esteves, A.M., Ferrero, T., Gad, G.,
1253 Galtsova, V., Gambi, C., Da Fonsêca Genevois, V., Ingels, J., Ingole, B., Lampadariou,
1254 N., Merckx, B., Miljutin, D., Miljutina, M., Muthumbi, A., Netto, S., Portnova, D.,
1255 Radziejewska, T., Raes, M., Tchesunov, A., Vanaverbeke, J., Van Gaever, S., Venekey,
1256 V., Bezerra, T.N., Flint, H., Copley, J., Pape, E., Zeppilli, D., Martinez, P.A., Galeron,
1257 J., 2010. The contribution of deep-sea macrohabitat heterogeneity to global nematode

1258 diversity: Nematode diversity and habitat heterogeneity. Marine Ecology 31, 6–20.
1259 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0485.2009.00352.x

1260 Venturini, N., Pires-Vanin, A.M.S., Salhi, M., Bessonart, M., Muniz, P., 2011. Polychaete
1261 response to fresh food supply at organically enriched coastal sites: Repercussion on
1262 bioturbation potential and trophic structure. Journal of Marine Systems 88, 526–541.
1263 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2011.07.002

1264 Viitasalo-Frösén, S., Laine, A., Lehtiniemi, M., 2009. Habitat modification mediated by
1265 motile surface stirrers versus semi-motile burrowers: potential for a positive feedback
1266 mechanism in a eutrophied ecosystem. Marine Ecology Progress Series 376, 21–32.
1267 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07788

1268 Vinje, T., 2001. Anomalies and Trends of Sea-Ice Extent and Atmospheric Circulation in the
1269 Nordic Seas during the Period 1864–1998. Journal of Climate 14, 255–267.
1270 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2001)014<0255:AATOSI>2.0.CO;2

1271 Vinje, T., 2009. Sea-ice. In Ecosystem Barents Sea, pp. 65–82. Ed. by E. Sakshaug, K.
1272 Kovacs, and G. Johnsen. Tapir Academic Press, Trondheim, Norway. 62-82 p.

1273 Winkelmann, D., Knies, J., 2005. Recent distribution and accumulation of organic carbon on
1274 the continental margin west off Spitsbergen. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems 6,
1275 1-22. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GC000916

1276 Włodarska-Kowalczuk, M., Pawłowska, J., Zajączkowski, M., 2013. Do foraminifera mirror
1277 diversity and distribution patterns of macrobenthic fauna in an Arctic glacial fjord?
1278 Marine Micropaleontology 103, 30–39.
1279 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marmicro.2013.07.002

1280 Włodarska-Kowalczuk, M., Pearson, T.H., 2004. Soft-bottom macrobenthic faunal
1281 associations and factors affecting species distributions in an Arctic glacial fjord
1282 (Kongsfjord, Spitsbergen). Polar Biology 27, 155–167. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-

003-0568-y

WoRMS Editorial Board, 2019. World Register of Marine Species. Available from http://www.marinespecies.org at VLIZ. Accessed 2019-05-08. https://doi.org/10.14284/170

Zaborska, A., Pempkowiak, J., Papucci, C., 2006. Some Sediment Characteristics and Sedimentation Rates in an Arctic Fjord (Kongsfjorden, Svalbard). Ann. Environ. Prot., 8, 79-96.

Zanzerl, H., Dufour, S.C., 2017. The burrowing behavior of symbiotic and asymbiotic thyasirid bivalves. Journal of Conchology 42, 299–308.

Figures and tables:

List of tables:

Table 1. Main characteristics of the sampling stations.

Table 2. Bottom water (BW) characteristics for each sampling station: C_{org}, N_{tot}, $\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{15}N$ (in %) and C/N values (mean ± SD, n=3).

Table 3. Sediment variables for each sampling station: sediment type, C_{org}, N_{tot}, $\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{15}N$, OM (in %), C/N, Chl *a* (µg DW g^{-1}) and Chl *a*/Phaeo values (mean ± SD, n=no of cores).

Table 4. Functional traits, relative density and biomass of the three dominant taxa for each sampling station. Class: P – Polychaeta, B – Bivalvia, An – Anthozoa, As – Asteroidea, O – Ophiuroidea, S – Sipunculidea. Mobility and feeding groups (M/F) are marked by codes: mobility type (D - Discretely mobile, M – Mobile, S – Sessile) and feeding type (car - carnivore, omn - omnivore, sub - subsurface feeder, sur - surface feeder, sus - suspension feeder). Burrowing depth (BT): 1 – surface burrowing, 2 – subsurface burrowing, 3 – deep burrowing. Tubes (T): "+" – I-shaped tube, "-" – no tube. Sediment mixing types (SMix): biodiffusor (B), upward conveyor (UC), gallery diffusor (GD), downward conveyor (DC).

1308 Table 5. PERMANOVA results for the multivariate descriptors of benthic communities with
1309 significant pair-wise comparisons results for different groups.
1310 Table 6. SIMPER analysis B/D ratio faunal percentage contribution to the average similarity
1311 for different sampling stations groups. Species that contributed more than 5% are listed.
1312 Table 7. Results of DistLM procedure for fitting environmental variables to the macofauna
1313 community data. %Var - percentage of explained variance; %Cum - cumulative percentage
1314 explained by the added variable. Significance level $p < 0.05$. Environmental factors: D –
1315 depth, S – salinity, T – temperature, types of sediment (mud, sand, gravel), BW C_{org} – bottom
1316 water C_{org}, BW N_{tot} – bottom water N_{tot}, BW $\delta^{13}C$ – bottom water $\delta^{13}C$ BW, BW $\delta^{15}N$ –
1317 bottom water $\delta^{15}N$, BW C/N – bottom water C/N, Sed C_{org} – C_{org} concentration in sediment,
1318 Sed N_{tot} – sediment N_{tot}, Sed $\delta^{13}C$ – sediment $\delta^{13}C$, Sed $\delta^{15}N$ – sediment $\delta^{15}N$, Sed C/N –
1319 sediment C/N, SOM – sediment organic matter, Chl *a* – sediment Chlorophyll *a* and Chl
1320 *a*/Phaeo – sediment Phaeopigments.
1321 Table 8. Spearman's rank correlation analyses among biological and physical parameters.
1322 Significant values are marked in bold ($p<0.05$).
1323
1324 List of figures:
1325 Fig. 1. Geographical location of the study region (A) and (B) sampling locations during two
1326 cruises (AX – ARCEx, PS – TRANSSIZ) with two major currents surrounding Svalbard:
1327 WSC - West Spitsbergen Current, warm Atlantic waters (black) and the ESC – East
1328 Spitsbergen Current, cold Arctic waters (gray) (after Svendsen et al., 2002).
1329 Fig. 2. Percentages of mobility and feeding groups at different sampling stations. Station ST/8
1330 marked with * was sampled in summer season. Functional traits codes: mobility type (D -
1331 Discretely mobile (yellow), M – Mobile (green), S – Sessile (blue)) and feeding type (car -

carnivore, omn - omnivore, sub - subsurface feeder, sur - surface feeder, sus - suspension feeder).

Fig. 3. PCO analysis for macrobenthic communities based on species biomass to density ratio, and the Bray-Curtis similarity among four sampling areas: A (Hornsund, Van Mijenfjorden); B (Storfjorden); C (Barents Sea shelf); D (northern Barents Sea and Nansen Basin). Significantly correlated species with the PCO coordinates (r>0. 5) are shown on the plot.

Fig. 4. Mean density (ind./m^{-2}) (A) and biomass (g/m^{-2}) (B); ± SE, n= no of cores (Table 1) at stations sampled in Van Mijenfjorden, Hornsund (group A); Storfjorden (group B); Barents Sea shelf (group C); northern Barents Sea and Nansen Basin (group D). Station ST/8 marked with * was sampled in summer season. Kruskal – Wallis results for differences between sampling sites are given; significant test results are marked with ** ($p<0.05$).

Fig. 5. Distance-based Redundancy Analysis (dbRDA) plot of the DistLM model visualizing the relationships between the environmental parameters and the biomass/density ratio of species between four sampling areas: A (Hornsund, Van Mijenfjorden); B (Storfjorden); C (Barents Sea shelf); D (northern Barents Sea and Nansen Basin). Environmental variables with Pearson rank correlations with dbRDA axes > 0.5 are shown. Environmental factors: D – depth, S – salinity, T – temperature, types of sediment (mud, sand, gravel), BW C_{org} – bottom water C_{org}, BW N_{tot} – bottom water N_{tot}, BW $\delta^{15}N$ – bottom water $\delta^{15}N$, BW C/N – bottom water C/N, Sed C_{org} – C_{org} concentration in sediment, Sed $\delta^{13}C$ – sediment $\delta^{13}C$, Sed C/N – sediment C/N, Chl *a* – sediment Chlorophyll *a* and Chl *a*/Phaeo – sediment Phaeopigments.

Fig. 6. Mean bioturbation coefficients: Db - biodiffusion (cm^{-2} y^{-1}) (A) and r – non-local (y^{-1}) (B); ± SE, n=no of cores (Table 1) at stations sampled in Van Mijenfjorden, Hornsund (group A); Storfjorden (group B); Barents Sea shelf (group C); northern Barents Sea and Nansen Basin (group D). Station ST/8 marked with * was sampled in summer season. Kruskal –

1356 Wallis results for differences between sampling sites are given; significant test results are
1357 marked with ** ($p<0.05$).

Appendix 1. Spearman's rank correlation analyses among physical and biogeochemical variables. Significant values are marked in bold ($p<0.05$).

	Depth	**Salinity**	**Temperature**	**Gravel**	**Sand**	**Mud**	**BW C_{org}**	**BW N_{tot}**	**BW $\delta^{13}C$**	**BW $\delta^{15}N$**	**BW C/N**	**Sed C_{org}**	**Sed N_{tot}**	**Sed $\delta^{13}C$**	**Sed $\delta^{15}N$**	**Sed C/N**	**SOM**	**Chl *a***	**Chl *a*/Phaeo**
Depth	-	**0.8**	**0.8**	**0.7**	-0.2	-0.0	-0.1	**0.3**	0.1	**-0.5**	**-0.6**	**-0.6**	**-0.3**	**0.4**	-0.2	**-0.5**	**-0.4**	-0.2	**-0.5**
Salinity	**0.8**	-	**0.7**	**0.3**	**-0.4**	**0.4**	0.2	**0.3**	-0.0	-0.3	**-0.3**	-0.2	0.3	**0.8**	-0.1	**-0.5**	0.1	0.2	**-0.3**
Temperature	**0.8**	**0.7**	-	**0.6**	-0.3	-0.0	-0.0	0.1	-0.2	-0.2	**-0.3**	**-0.3**	-0.2	**0.5**	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	**-0.5**
Gravel	**0.7**	**0.3**	**0.6**	-	-0.2	-0.0	-0.1	0.2	**0.3**	**-0.5**	**-0.6**	**-0.7**	**-0.5**	-0.0	-0.3	**-0.5**	**-0.7**	**-0.4**	**-0.4**
Sand	-0.2	**-0.4**	-0.3	-0.2	-	**-0.9**	**-0.8**	**-0.7**	**-0.6**	-0.1	0.2	-0.2	**-0.5**	**-0.5**	**0.5**	0.2	-0.2	**-0.3**	0.0
Mud	-0.0	**0.4**	-0.0	-0.0	**-0.9**	-	**0.7**	**0.6**	**0.6**	0.2	0.0	**0.3**	**0.7**	**0.5**	**-0.4**	**-0.3**	**0.4**	**0.5**	0.1
BW C_{org}	-0.1	0.2	-0.0	-0.1	**-0.8**	**0.7**	-	**0.8**	**0.6**	0.1	-0.2	**0.4**	**0.4**	**0.4**	**-0.7**	0.2	0.3	**0.4**	**0.3**
BW N_{tot}	**0.3**	**0.3**	0.1	0.2	**-0.7**	**0.6**	**0.8**	-	**0.7**	**-0.4**	**-0.7**	-0.1	0.1	**0.4**	**-0.8**	-0.1	0.1	**0.4**	**0.4**
BW $\delta^{13}C$	0.1	-0.0	-0.2	**0.3**	**-0.6**	**0.6**	**0.6**	**0.7**	-	-0.1	**-0.4**	-0.1	0.1	-0.1	**-0.5**	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
BW $\delta^{15}N$	**-0.5**	-0.3	-0.2	**-0.5**	-0.1	0.2	0.1	**-0.4**	-0.1	-	**0.8**	**0.8**	**0.5**	-0.2	**0.5**	**0.5**	**0.5**	-0.2	**-0.3**
BW C/N	**-0.6**	**-0.3**	**-0.3**	**-0.6**	0.2	0.0	-0.2	**-0.7**	**-0.4**	**0.8**	-	**0.7**	**0.5**	-0.1	**0.6**	**0.3**	**0.4**	-0.0	-0.1
Sed C_{org}	**-0.6**	-0.2	**-0.3**	**-0.7**	-0.2	**0.3**	**0.4**	-0.1	-0.1	**0.8**	**0.7**	-	**0.7**	0.1	**0.3**	**0.6**	**0.8**	0.1	0.0
Sed N_{tot}	**-0.3**	0.3	-0.2	**-0.5**	**-0.5**	**0.7**	**0.4**	0.1	0.1	**0.5**	**0.5**	**0.7**	-	**0.5**	0.2	-0.1	**0.8**	**0.5**	0.0
Sed $\delta^{13}C$	**0.4**	**0.8**	**0.5**	-0.0	**-0.5**	**0.5**	**0.4**	**0.4**	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	0.1	**0.5**	-	-0.2	**-0.5**	**0.3**	**0.7**	0.1
Sed $\delta^{15}N$	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	**0.5**	**-0.4**	**-0.7**	**-0.8**	**-0.5**	**0.5**	**0.6**	**0.3**	0.2	-0.2	-	0.2	**0.3**	**-0.4**	**-0.6**
Sed C/N	**-0.5**	**-0.5**	-0.3	**-0.5**	0.2	**-0.3**	0.2	-0.1	-0.2	**0.5**	**0.3**	**0.6**	-0.1	**-0.5**	0.2	-	**0.3**	**-0.4**	0.0
SOM	**-0.4**	0.1	-0.2	**-0.7**	-0.2	**0.4**	0.3	0.1	-0.1	**0.5**	**0.4**	**0.8**	**0.8**	**0.3**	**0.3**	**0.3**	-	**0.3**	-0.0
Chl *a*	-0.2	0.2	-0.1	**-0.4**	**-0.3**	**0.5**	**0.4**	**0.4**	0.0	-0.2	-0.0	0.1	**0.5**	**0.7**	**-0.4**	**-0.4**	**0.3**	-	**0.7**
Chl *a*/Phaeo	**-0.5**	**-0.3**	**-0.5**	**-0.4**	0.0	0.1	**0.3**	**0.4**	0.1	**-0.3**	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	**-0.6**	0.0	-0.0	**0.7**	-

- This is the first complex report on bioturbation in spring to summer transition conducted over a large depth gradient in the Arctic Ocean.
- Benthic community structure and related biodiffusion and non-local transport varied in Svalbard fjords, Barents Sea and Nansen Basin.
- Changes in environmental conditions, and related changes in quality and quantity of available organic matter, had impact on benthic communities and bioturbation.
- Large inputs of fresh OM to the seabed can trigger bioturbation activities.