

Characterisation of different stages of hadronic showers using the CALICE Si-W ECAL physics prototype

G. Eigen, T. Price, N.K. Watson, A. Winter, Y. Do, A. Khan, D. Kim, G.C. Blazev, A. Dyshkant, K. Francis, et al.

► To cite this version:

G. Eigen, T. Price, N.K. Watson, A. Winter, Y. Do, et al.. Characterisation of different stages of hadronic showers using the CALICE Si-W ECAL physics prototype. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, 2019, 937, pp.41-52. 10.1016/j.nima.2019.04.111 . hal-02404768

HAL Id: hal-02404768 https://hal.science/hal-02404768v1

Submitted on 25 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Characterisation of different stages of hadronic showers using the CALICE Si-W ECAL physics prototype

The CALICE Collaboration

	, , , ,
5	G. Eigen ^a , T. Price ^b , N.K. Watson ^b , A. Winter ^b , Y.Do ^c , A.Khan ^c , D.Kim ^c , G.
6	C. Blazey ^d , A. Dyshkant ^d , K. Francis ^d , V. Zutshi ^d , K. Kawagoe ^e , Y. Miura ^e , R. Mori ^e ,
7	I. Sekiya ^e , T. Suehara ^e , T. Yoshioka ^e , J. Apostolakis ^f , J. Giraud ^g , D. Grondin ^g ,
8	JY. Hostachy ^g , O. Bach ^h , V. Bocharnikov ^h , E. Brianne ^h , K. Gadow ^h , P. Göttlicher ^h ,
9	O. Hartbrich ^{h,1} , D. Heuchel ^h , F. Krivan ^h , K. Krüger ^h , J. Kvasnicka ^{h,s} , S. Lu ^h , O. Pinto ^h ,
10	A. Provenza ^h , M. Reinecke ^h , F. Sefkow ^h , S. Schuwalow ^h , Y. Sudo ^h , H.L. Tran ^h ,
11	P Buhmann ⁱ E Garutti ⁱ S Laurien ⁱ D Lomidze ⁱ M Matysek ⁱ G W Wilson ^j
10	D Belver ^k E Calvo Alamillo ^k M C Fouz ^k H García Cabrera ^k I Marín ^k
12	L Navarrata ^k I Duorta Polava ^k A Varduga ^k I Masatti ^l M Chadaova ^{m,n}
13	M Danilarmin M Cabrielly I. Embander C. Creft V. Israeli's E. Simon M. Sarlard
14	M. Dannov ^{2,2} , M. Gabriel ⁻ , L. Emberger ⁻ , C. Graf ⁻ , Y. Israell ⁻ , F. Simon ⁻ , M. Szalay ⁻ ,
15	H. Windel ^o , M.S. Amjad ^{o,*} , S. Bilokin ^{o,*,*} , J. Bonis ^b , D. Breton ^b , P. Cornebise ^b ,
16	P. Doublet ^{p,4} , A. Gallas ^p , J. Jeglot ^p , A. Irles ^p , H. Li ^{p,3} , J. Maalmi ^p , R. Poschl ^{p,**} ,
17	A. Thiebault ^p , F. Richard ^p , D. Zerwas ^p , M. Anduze ^q , V. Balagura ^q , E. Becheva ^q ,
18	V. Boudry ^q , J-C. Brient ^q , R. Cornat ^{q,6} , E. Edy ^q , G. Fayolle ^q , F. Gastaldi ^q , H. Videau ^q ,
19	S. Callier ^r , F. Dulucq ^r , Ch. de la Taille ^r , G. Martin-Chassard ^r , L. Raux ^r ,
20	N. Seguin-Moreau ^r , J. Cvach ^s , M. Janata ^s , M. Kovalcuk ^s , I. Polak ^s , J. Smolik ^s , V. Vrba ^s ,
21	J. Zalesak ^s , J. Zuklin ^s , D. Jeans ^t , N. van der Kolk ^u , T. Peitzmann ^u
22	^a University of Bergen, Inst. of Physics, Allegaten 55, N-5007 Bergen, Norway
23	^o University of Birmingham, School of Physics and Astronomy, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 21T, UK
24	^d NICADD Northern Illinois University Department of Physics, DeKalb IL 60115, USA
26	^e Department of Physics and Research Center for Advanced Particle Physics, Kyushu University, 744 Motooka,
27	Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan
28	^f CERN, 1211 Genève 23, Switzerland
29	^g Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie - Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble, France
30	ⁿ DESY, Notkestrasse 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany
31	^C Universitat Hamourg, Physics Department, Institut fur Experimentalphysik, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22701 Hamourg,
32 33	j University of Kansas Department of Physics and Astronomy Malott Hall 1951 Wescoe Hall Drive Lawrence KS
34	$\lambda = \lambda =$
	66045-7582, USA
35	^k CIEMAT, Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas, Madrid, Spain
35 36	^k CIEMAT, Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas, Madrid, Spain ^l Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Staudinger Weg 7, 55099 Mainz, Germany
35 36 37	^k CIEMAT, Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas, Madrid, Spain ^l Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Staudinger Weg 7, 55099 Mainz, Germany ^m P. N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, 117924 GSP-1 Moscow, B-333, Russian Federation
35 36 37 38	 ^kCIEMAT, Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas, Madrid, Spain ^l Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Staudinger Weg 7, 55099 Mainz, Germany ^m P. N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, 117924 GSP-1 Moscow, B-333, Russian Federation ⁿNational Research Nuclear University MEPHI (Moscow Engineering Physics Institute) 31, Kashirskoye shosse, 115/00 Mascow Purging
35 36 37 38 39	 ^kCIEMAT, Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas, Madrid, Spain ^l Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Staudinger Weg 7, 55099 Mainz, Germany ^m P. N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, 117924 GSP-1 Moscow, B-333, Russian Federation ⁿNational Research Nuclear University MEPHI (Moscow Engineering Physics Institute) 31, Kashirskoye shosse, 115409 Moscow, Russian Federation ^oMar_Planck-Institut für Physik, Eöpringer Ring 6, 80805 Munich, Germany

^{*}Corresponding author: sviatoslav.bilokin@iphc.cnrs.fr

^{**}Corresponding author: poeschl@lal.in2p3.fr

¹Now at University of Hawaii at Manoa, High Energy Physics Group, 2505 Correa Road, HI, Honolulu 96822, USA ²Now at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK ³Now at IPHC Strasbourg, 23 rue du loess, BP28, 67037 Strasbourg cedex 2

⁴Now at IUT d'Orsay (Université Paris-Sud), Plateau de Moulon, 91400 Orsay, France

 $^{^5 \}rm Now$ at South China Normal University, 55 Zhong Shan Da
 Dao Xi, Tianhe District, 510631 Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

 $^{^6 \}rm Now$ at Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies (LPNHE), UPMC, UPD, CNRS/IN2P3, 4 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France

ue d'Orsay
ance
oublic
kuba, Japan
ls
public kuba, Jap ls

48 Abstract

⁴⁹ A detailed investigation of hadronic interactions is performed using π^- -mesons with energies in the ⁵⁰ range 2–10 GeV incident on a high granularity silicon-tungsten electromagnetic calorimeter. The data ⁵¹ were recorded at FNAL in 2008. The region in which the π^- -mesons interact with the detector mate-⁵² rial and the produced secondary particles are characterised using a novel track-finding algorithm that ⁵³ reconstructs tracks within hadronic showers in a calorimeter in the absence of a magnetic field. The ⁵⁴ principle of carrying out detector monitoring and calibration using secondary tracks is also demon-⁵⁵ strated.

56 Contents

57	1	Introduction	2
58	2	The Si-W ECAL physics prototype	3
59	3	Data and Monte Carlo samples	4
60		3.1 Experimental setup at FNAL	4
61		3.2 Monte Carlo simulations	4
62		3.3 Event selection and preprocessing	5
63	4	The track-finding algorithm	6
64		4.1 Identification and removal of the interaction region	6
65		4.2 Clusterisation of energy deposits	7
66		4.3 Formation of tracks	8
67	5	Results	9
68		5.1 Energy fraction of the interaction region	9
69		5.2 Lateral radius of interaction region	11
70		5.3 Number of clusters	12
71		5.4 Number of tracks	13
72		5.5 Number of hits per track	15
73		5.6 Angular distributions	16
74		5.7 Energy deposition by secondary tracks	18
75	6	Summary and outlook	20

76 1. Introduction

The design of particle detectors at future high-energy physics experiments and, in particular, at linear colliders is oriented towards the usage of Particle Flow Algorithms (PFA) for the event reconstruction. These algorithms aim to achieve good jet energy resolution by reconstructing individual particles and hence require high granularity calorimeters [1, 2, 3]. The primary objective of the CALICE (Calorimeter for the Linear Collider Experiment) collaboration is the development, construction and testing of highly granular hadronic and electromagnetic calorimeters for future particle physics experiments.

A detailed study of the calorimeter response to particle interactions is necessary to verify existing 84 Monte Carlo simulation models and to build reliable PFA. This implies the precise simulation and 85 reconstruction of the interaction of neutral and charged hadrons using the subsequent particle cascade. 86 This article presents a detailed study of π^- -meson interactions in the CALICE Silicon-Tungsten 87 Electromagnetic Calorimeter (Si-W ECAL) physics prototype [4]. The Si-W ECAL was tested at 88 Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory (FNAL) in 2008 using a beam of π^{-} -mesons in the energy 89 range from 2 to 10 GeV. The highly granular structure of the Si-W ECAL enables both a detailed 90 measurement of hadronic showers in terms of integral observables [5, 6] as well as deeper studies 91 92 of the interactions between hadrons and the absorber material, such as the characterisation of the interaction region and the analysis of secondaries emerging from the interaction. The tracks produced 93 by these secondaries are reconstructed using a new simple track-finding algorithm. The resulting 94 observables are used to compare data with predictions from several GEANT4 simulation models [7, 8]. 95 The analysis complements studies presented in [9] and [10] for tracking in CALICE prototypes of 96 hadronic calorimeters. 97

98 2. The Si-W ECAL physics prototype

The Si-W ECAL physics prototype has a sandwich-like structure comprising 30 layers of silicon as the active material, alternating with tungsten as the absorber material. The active layers are made of Si wafers segmented in $1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$ pads. As shown in Fig. 1, each wafer consists of a square of 6×6 pads and each layer is a matrix of 3×3 of these wafers resulting in an active zone of $18 \times 18 \text{ cm}^2$.



Figure 1: A schematic view of the Si-W ECAL physics prototype.

For the analysis presented in this article it is convenient to introduce a unit grid based on the Si-W

¹⁰³ The Si-W ECAL is subdivided into three modules of ten layers. The tungsten depth per layer is ¹⁰⁴ 1.4 mm (0.4 radiation lengths, X_0) in the first module, 2.8 mm in the second and 4.2 mm in the third. ¹⁰⁵ The total thickness corresponds to 24 X_0 and about one nuclear interaction length λ_I . Therefore more ¹⁰⁶ than half of the hadrons are expected have a primary interaction within the detector volume. A more

¹⁰⁷ detailed description of the prototype can be found in Ref. [4].

¹⁰⁹ ECAL pad identifiers according to

$$\vec{x} = (x, y, z) = \begin{cases} x = 0..17 \\ y = 0..17 \\ z = 0..29, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where pad counting starts in the bottom right pad, see Fig. 1. Distances in this grid are measured in grid units, g.u.

112 3. Data and Monte Carlo samples

113 3.1. Experimental setup at FNAL

The test beam measurements were carried out at the Fermilab Test Beam Facility⁷, FTBF, at FNAL 114 in May and July 2008. A schematic overview of the beam line is given in Fig. 2. The Si-W ECAL was 115 placed in front of two other CALICE physics prototypes, the analogue hadronic calorimeter (HCAL) 116 [11] and a TailCatcher [12]. In both steel is used as absorber. Sensors are scintillator tiles (HCAL) 117 or scintillator strips read out by silicon-photomultipliers. The beam-line also included wire chambers 118 (WC1-3), drift chambers (DC1-4) and scintillator counters of different sizes, named T100(A,B), VETO, 119 T20x20 and T10x10(A,B). The latter two cover an area of 10×10 cm² each and are used for triggering 120 on beam particles analysed for this article. Finally, two Cherenkov detectors for particle identification 121 are located upstream of the Wire Chamber 1. 122



Figure 2: Plan view of the beam line at FNAL. Distances (not to scale) are in mm.

The chosen coordinate system is right-handed with the z-axis pointing along the beam direction and the y-axis being vertical. The data analysed in this article comprise runs with π^- -mesons with energies of 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 GeV.

126 3.2. Monte Carlo simulations

Monte Carlo simulations were carried out within the Mokka framework [13], which provides the geometry interface to GEANT4. There are several models of hadronic interactions available within GEANT4 that are combined into simulation models. Each hadronic interaction model has its own theoretical basis valid mainly in a specific energy range of hadrons. In this analysis, three simulation models contained in GEANT4 Version 10.1 are compared with the data:

• FTFP_BERT uses the Bertini Cascade Model [14] and the Fritiof String Model [15, 16] where the first is used for hadron energies below and the second for hadron energies above 4.5 GeV;

⁷Fermilab Test Beam Facility web page: http://www-ppd.fnal.gov/MTBF-w

QGSP_BERT uses the Bertini Cascade Model at energies below, and the Fritiof String Model for
 energies above 9.9 GeV. The Fritiof String Model replaces the LHEP parametrisation that was
 employed until Version 9.6 of GEANT4;

• QBBC uses also the Bertini Cascade Model for energies below and the Fritiof String Model for energies above 9.9 GeV but interpolates in a larger transition region (for protons and neutrons below 1.5 GeV the Binary Cascade Model [17] is used).

The validity ranges of hadronic interaction models in the three simulation models are illustrated in Fig. 3. More information about these and other simulation models can be found in Ref. [18].



Figure 3: Illustration of the validity ranges of the three tested GEANT4 hadronic interaction models as contained in GEANT4 Version 10.1.

142 3.3. Event selection and preprocessing

The FNAL π^- test beam is contaminated with μ^- and e^- , in particular at lower energies. At 2 GeV the beam contains about 5% π^- -mesons and 70% electrons. Events are triggered using the signals from the two scintillator counters T10x10A and T10x10B upstream of the Si-W ECAL and π^- -mesons are identified by using Cherenkov counters. The response of the Si-W ECAL to charged particles was calibrated with a 32 GeV μ^- beam [19] and the deposited energy is converted into units of most probable energy depositions, called MIP hereafter, by particles with behaviour that is approximately minimally ionising. The deposited energy measured in a pad is called hit.

To select π^- -meson showers, data and simulation samples are required to satisfy similar criteria to those of Refs. [6, 20], as below:

- Selection criteria are applied to reject multi-particle events caused by beam impurities or products of decays or upstream interactions of beam particles;
- A lower threshold of 0.6 MIP is chosen to remove noise hits in the Si-W ECAL;
- A hit is classified as being isolated if all the 26 pads in the surrounding cube (in g.u.) have no signal above the noise threshold. The analysis presented in this article uses the non-isolated hits that remain after this removal. The term 'hits' will continue to be used to indicate only non-isolated hits in the following.

• A total of at least 25 hits in the Si-W ECAL is required to remove particles with large incident angle;

• For the event selection the hit coordinates x_{hit} and y_{hit} are defined in the coordinate frame according to Fig. 2. The barycentres of the transverse coordinates \bar{x}_{hit} and \bar{y}_{hit} of the hits are calculated as:

$$\bar{x}_{\rm hit} = \frac{\sum_{\rm hits} x_{\rm hit} E_{\rm hit}}{\sum_{\rm hits} E_{\rm hit}} \text{ and } \bar{y}_{\rm hit} = \frac{\sum_{\rm hits} y_{\rm hit} E_{\rm hit}}{\sum_{\rm hits} E_{\rm hit}},$$
(2)

where $E_{\rm hit}$ is the energy of a hit in MIP units, and the sums run over all hits in the calorimeter. The event is accepted if $-50 \,\mathrm{mm} < \bar{x}_{\rm hit} < 50 \,\mathrm{mm}$ and $-50 \,\mathrm{mm} < \bar{y}_{\rm hit} < 50 \,\mathrm{mm}$ to reduce lateral shower leakage;

• Initially, the interaction layer i is identified as the first of three consecutive layers for which

 $E_i > E_{\text{cut}}, E_{i+1} > E_{\text{cut}} \text{ and } E_{i+2} > E_{\text{cut}}.$ (3)

with E_i being the total energy of layer i;

This simple condition is inefficient at low energies and is extended by the following relative energy increase

$$\frac{E_i + E_{i+1}}{E_{i-1} + E_{i-2}} > F_{\text{cut}} \text{ and } \frac{E_{i+1} + E_{i+2}}{E_{i-1} + E_{i-2}} > F_{\text{cut}}.$$
(4)

The variables E_{cut} and F_{cut} are free parameters with empirical values of eight MIP and six, respectively. It is argued in [6] and references therein that these values optimise the selection efficiency in the energy range relevant for the present study. The event is selected if 5 < i < 15 to suppress electron contamination and to ensure secondaries that extend over several layers after the interaction.

176 4. The track-finding algorithm

The track-finding algorithm reconstructs forward-scattered tracks from the interaction between the π^{-} -meson and the absorber material in the absence of a magnetic field.

¹⁷⁹ The algorithm consists of three stages:

- identification and removal of interaction region;
- clusterisation of energy deposits;
- formation of track-like clusters;

¹⁸³ The entire algorithm is carried out in the grid units introduced in Sec. 2.

¹⁸⁴ 4.1. Identification and removal of the interaction region

A typical inelastic hadronic interaction in the Si-W ECAL creates a shower with an interaction region and tracks of long-lived particles emerging from it. The interaction region is created by particles such as electrons, photons and low-momentum hadrons that have a short distance of flight in the absorber material of the Si-W ECAL.

In the present analysis the interaction region is defined by all hits that have at least six neighbouring pads with a signal above the noise threshold. For the minimal value of six pads a interaction region is wrongly identified in only 1% of single muon events. Muon events are used to estimate the fraction of events in which this procedure incorrectly identifies an interaction region. For the minimal value of six (five) pads, an interaction region is found in 1% (10%) of muon events. Increasing the minimal value to seven neighbouring pads with hits further reduces the fraction of events with a fake interaction region but does not alter the results presented below in Sec. 5.



(a) Before removing the interaction region.

(b) After removing the interaction region.

Figure 4: Event display of a π^- -meson interaction with an energy of 10 GeV (a) before and (b) after removal of the interaction region. Smaller cubes are pads that are part of the interaction region and are not processed by the track-finding algorithm. In this event the hits in the first ten layers are classified as hits left by the incoming π^- -meson.

Figure 4a displays an event after applying noise and isolated hits filters and Fig. 4b is the same event after removal of the interaction region, illustrating that the interaction region is the starting point for secondary tracks.

199 4.2. Clusterisation of energy deposits

During the clusterisation step the energy deposits that are not assigned to the interaction region are grouped into clusters according to topological criteria.



Figure 5: Illustration of the clusterisation step. The Si-W ECAL hits are represented by blue cubes, and the search region for adjacent hits is indicated by red cubes. The blue arrows point in the direction of the clusterisation flow.

The steps of the clusterisation algorithm are described below, with reference to Fig. 5.

- 1. The separation of tracks improves with increasing distance from the interaction layer. Therefore, the search for hits to seed a cluster begins in the layers that have largest z and continues in the direction of decreasing z. Typically, seeding hits are found in the last layer of the detector;
- 206
 2. A hit can only be attributed to one cluster. This condition excludes double counting of hits. A
 207
 208
 208
 209
 209
 209
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
 200
- 3. For the clusterisation a nearest-neighbour clustering scheme is applied where for each newly associated hit with coordinates (x_n, y_n, z_n) , the algorithm finds nearby hits with the following conditions:
- 212 213

214

- a neighbour hit should have a z coordinate within $[z_n 2, z_n]$ g. u.;
- the transverse coordinates of neighbouring hits is searched within ranges $[x_n 1, x_n + 1]$ and $[y_n - 1, y_n + 1]$.
- The search region for nearby hits is visualised in Fig. 5 as a 'red cube' with $3 \times 3 \times 3$ pads;
- 4. For each newly associated hit the steps 2 and 3 are repeated until the process reaches the first
 layer of the calorimeter or until no more neighbour hits are found.

The algorithm is motivated by a maximum correspondence between the number of clusters and the number of detected tracks after classification and merging.

220 4.3. Formation of tracks

Secondary long-lived charged particles from hadronic interactions can leave straight, MIP-like tracks in the detector. The goal of the classification of the clusters obtained in the previous step is thus to select track-like clusters.

²²⁴ The classification algorithm executes the following steps:

1. Calculate the number of hits, $N_{\rm hits}$, in a cluster and reject all clusters with only two hits as residual noise clusters

227 2. Calculate the length $l \in \mathbb{R}$ of the considered cluster as the maximal distance between any 228 pair of hits that are in the cluster. For example the lower cluster in Fig. 5 has a length of 229 $\sqrt{\Delta y_n^2 + \Delta z_n^2} = \sqrt{25 + 1} \approx 5.1 \text{ g.u.};$

3. Reject a cluster with a length of less than $l_{cut} = 2 \text{ g.u.}$. This corresponds to the minimal length of a track-like cluster with 3 hits;

4. Compute the following observable

$$\xi = \frac{l}{N_{\rm hits} - 1} + \varepsilon N_{\rm hits},\tag{5}$$

as a measure for the eccentricity of the cluster. The first term of Eq. 5 is motivated by the linear 233 dependence of $N_{\rm hits} - 1$ on the cluster length l, illustrated in Fig. 6. The second term introduces 234 a free parameter ε as an ad hoc correction to increase the efficiency for selecting clusters that do 235 not match the nominal 'pencil-like' topology, as explained below. The value $\varepsilon = 0.03$ was chosen 236 after visual inspection of a few tens of events for pion energies of 10 GeV in an event display. 237 The chosen value is a compromise between a too small value at which also muon tracks would 238 get assigned more than one track and too large values at which even for electrons the algorithm 239 would result in one single track. The choice made for 10 GeV is also adequate for the other 240 energies relevant for this analysis. For a detailed discussion see Refs. [21] and [22]; 241

5. If $\xi \ge 1$, a cluster is considered as track-like. Otherwise, the cluster is classified as two inseparable tracks.

²⁴⁴ Due to effects such as multiple scattering, residual detector-noise, δ -rays or the residual arbitrariness ²⁴⁵ in the assignment of hits to clusters, the reconstructed tracks are in general not exactly pencil-like.

- The correction term $\varepsilon N_{\rm hits}$ in the definition of ξ serves to keep a cluster as track-like even if it has
- large N_{hits} and its form is not strictly pencil-shaped, i.e. $l/(N_{\text{hits}} 1) < 1$.



Figure 6: Correlation between $N_{\text{hits}} - 1$ and cluster length l in g.u. for a sample of simulated pion interactions with an energy of 10 GeV using the QGSP_BERT simulation model. Clusters inside the red parabola are rejected by means of Eq. 5. To guide the eye a black line for $N_{\text{hits}} - 1 = l$ is also included in the figure.

A cluster is classified as being produced by the incoming π^- -meson if it starts in the first module of the Si-W ECAL and if it has a small polar angle with respect to the z-axis. An example of a cluster produced by an incoming π^- -meson is visible in Fig. 4b. Clusters assigned to the incoming π^- -meson are discarded in the following analysis. The remaining track-like clusters are merged into tracks if the relative angle θ_c between these clusters fulfils the condition $\sin \theta_c < 0.15$, optimised using the polar angle distribution of secondary particles in simulated π^- -meson interactions.

For a sample of single, isolated 6 GeV muons, the track-finding algorithm finds a single track with a 99.7% efficiency.

256 5. Results

Observables characterising the interaction region and secondary particles are measured in data and 257 are compared with predictions of the three GEANT4 simulation models introduced in Sec. 3.2. The 258 average values of observables are also used to make quantitative comparisons. After pre-selection 259 the data have a residual contamination of 8.8% (1.5%) double π^{-} -meson events at 2 GeV (10 GeV) 260 beam energy [6]. Therefore, for comparison with data all simulation samples were produced with an 261 admixture of double π^- events. For average values of observables, correction factors are extracted 262 for each Monte Carlo sample by comparing the results of contaminated samples with those from pure 263 samples. To account for residual contamination, the averages of the data are multiplied by *final* 264 correction factors. This final correction factors are given by the arithmetic mean of correction factors 265 of the three considered simulation models. The final correction factors are between 0.99 and 1.01 266 and their uncertainties are much less than one percent. The total systematic error never exceeds two 267 percent and is dominated by two other sources of systematic uncertainties that have been studied. 268 These are the lowering of the MIP threshold from the nominal 0.6 to 0.4 and the uncertainty on the 269 absolute MIP energy scale [9] that has been varied by $\pm 2\%$. 270

²⁷¹ 5.1. Energy fraction of the interaction region

The first estimator to characterise the interaction of π^- -mesons with the absorber material is the fraction

$$f_{\rm IR} = \frac{E_{\rm IR}}{E_{\rm tot}} \tag{6}$$

where E_{IR} is the energy deposited in the interaction region and E_{tot} is the total energy deposited in the Si-W ECAL .

Figure 7 compares the distribution of f_{IR} in data with the three simulation models. The lowest bin of these histograms corresponds to the fraction of events for which no interaction region is found by the algorithm. The rest of the distribution can be approximately described by a skewed normal distribution. The mean value of f_{IR} is shifted towards larger values in data with respect to simulation. Qualitatively, this observation suggests a different repartition of the deposited energy between the dense interaction region and the sparser parts of the shower in data and the simulation models.

Figure 8 shows the average value of $f_{\rm IR}$, $\langle f_{\rm IR} \rangle$, as a function of beam energy for beam energies of 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 GeV. Only events in which an interaction region has been detected are included in $\langle f_{\rm IR} \rangle$. An increase of $\langle f_{\rm IR} \rangle$ with increasing beam energy from 43% to around 64% is observed. Qualitatively, this is expected as number of particles increases with increasing energy but also the electromagnetic component of the hadronic shower becomes increasingly important for higher energies of the interacting π^- -meson. All three simulation models underestimate the energy fraction by about 10–15% while the slope is reproduced to a much better level.



Figure 7: The $f_{\rm IR}$ distribution for energies of 2 GeV (a) and 10 GeV (b) of the beam energy as observed in data (points with error bars) and for the three simulation models, QGSP_BERT, FTFP_BERT and QBBC. The double π^- -meson background for each of the three models is also shown. The first bin contains events without a detected interaction region. All histograms are normalised to unit area. Error bars represent statistical uncertainties only.



Figure 8: The average fraction $\langle f_{\rm IR} \rangle$ as a function of the beam energy for data (black points with grey shaded error band) and the three simulation models. Error bars represent statistical errors. The error band is the sum in quadrature of the systematic error and the statistical error. Only events for which an interaction region has been detected are included in $\langle f_{\rm IR} \rangle$.

289 5.2. Lateral radius of interaction region

The lateral radius $r_{\rm IR}$ of the detected interaction region is a measure of the spatial extension of the interaction region. It is defined as:

$$r_{\rm IR} = \frac{1}{N_{\rm hits}^{\rm IR}} \sum_{\rm hit\in IR} \sqrt{(\bar{x}_{\rm IR} - x_{\rm hit})^2 + (\bar{y}_{\rm IR} - y_{\rm hit})^2} , \qquad (7)$$

where the sum runs over the hits in the interaction region, here labelled by IR, and N_{hits}^{IR} is the number of hits in the interaction region. In Eq. 7 \bar{x}_{IR} and \bar{y}_{IR} are the transverse coordinates of the barycentre of the interaction region, which in analogy with Eq. 2, are defined as:

$$\bar{x}_{\rm IR} = \frac{\sum_{\rm hit\in IR} x_{\rm hit} E_{\rm hit}}{\sum_{\rm hit\in IR} E_{\rm hit}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{y}_{\rm IR} = \frac{\sum_{\rm hit\in IR} y_{\rm hit} E_{\rm hit}}{\sum_{\rm hit\in IR} E_{\rm hit}}.$$
(8)

Distributions of $r_{\rm IR}$ for data and the predictions of the three simulation models are displayed in 295 Fig. 9 for π^- -meson energies of 2 and 10 GeV. In both cases, the measured interaction region is wider 296 than the predictions by the simulation models. Figure 10 displays the dependence of the average 297 $r_{\rm IR}$, $\langle r_{\rm IR} \rangle$, on the beam energy for the data and the three simulation models. Again, only events in 298 which an interaction region has been detected are included in $\langle r_{\rm IR} \rangle$. The lateral size of the interaction 299 region increases with increasing beam energy. This trend is the same for data and for the simulation 300 models. The interaction region measured in data is about 10% wider than those predicted by the three 301 simulation models, all of which yield similar distributions. 302



Figure 9: The $r_{\rm IR}$ distribution for energies of 2 GeV (a) and 10 GeV (b) of the beam energy. Other details follow those of Fig. 7.



Figure 10: The average radius $\langle r_{\rm IR} \rangle$ as a function of the beam energy. Other details follow those of Fig. 8.

303 5.3. Number of clusters

The final tracks are composed from segments that are given by clusters, as described in Sec. 4.2. 304 This motivates studying the total number of clusters, N_{clusters} , reconstructed in each event by the 305 track-finding algorithm. This observable is independent of details of the track-finding algorithm since 306 it depends neither on the ε parameter value nor on other free parameters of the classification algorithm. 307 Note that in all following discussion, only events in which an interaction region has been detected are 308 considered. Figure 11 compares the distribution of N_{clusters} in data with the predictions of the three 309 GEANT4 simulation models for incoming π^- -mesons with energies of 2 and 10 GeV. The data are 310 described well by the simulation albeit being slightly shifted towards higher values. 311

Figure 12 shows the dependence of the average number of clusters, $\langle N_{\text{clusters}} \rangle$, on the beam energy for data and the simulation models. The predictions of the models are systematically below data at all energies. The largest deviation is about 7%. The agreement tends to improve with increasing beam energy and is best at 10 GeV.



Figure 11: Number of clusters for energies of 2 GeV (a) and 10 GeV (b) of the beam energy. Only events for which an interaction region has been detected have been included. Other details follow those of Fig. 7.



Figure 12: The average number of clusters $\langle N_{\text{clusters}} \rangle$ as a function of the beam energy. Other details follow those of Fig. 8.

316 5.4. Number of tracks

The N_{tracks} distributions are given in Fig. 13 for data and the three simulation models for energies of 2 and 10 GeV of the incoming π^- -mesons, respectively. Data and simulation are in good agreement, although at 10 GeV the simulation predicts a narrower spread in N_{tracks} than data.

Figure 14 shows the dependence of $\langle N_{\text{tracks}} \rangle$ on the beam energy for data and the simulation models. 320 With increasing beam energy the centre-of-mass energy available for the π -tungsten scattering increases 321 with the square-root of the beam energy according to fixed target kinematics. It is therefore expected 322 that the number of outgoing tracks increases correspondingly. This is indeed observed in data and 323 simulation. The approximately linear increase at smallest energies flattens out towards higher beam 324 energies. The extension of the interaction zone also increases with energy, see for example Sec. 5.2. 325 This makes it more and more difficult to reconstruct clean tracks in the finite volume of the Si-W 326 ECAL. The simulation models are in agreement with the data at beam energies of 2 GeV and 10 GeV 327 and underestimate the number of secondary tracks by up to 7% at intervening energies. 328



Figure 13: Number of secondary tracks for energies of 2 GeV (a) and 10 GeV (b) of the beam energy. Other details follow those of Fig. 11.



Figure 14: The average number of secondary tracks $\langle N_{\text{tracks}} \rangle$ as a function of the beam energy. Other details follow those of Fig. 8.

329 5.5. Number of hits per track

The number of hits per track $N_{\text{hits}}^{\text{t}}$ is an essential characteristic of the reconstructed tracks. The histograms of $N_{\text{hits}}^{\text{t}}$ for 2 and 10 GeV beam energy are shown in Fig. 15. The distributions obtained for data and Monte Carlo are in good agreement with each other.

Figure 16 shows the dependence of $\langle N_{\text{hits}}^{\text{t}} \rangle$ on the beam energy for data and the simulation models. Data and simulation agree within 5%. For energies greater than 4 GeV all simulation models are, however, systematically above the data. Note that the average number of hits slightly decreases with increasing energy. The increasing size of the interaction zone limits the space available for track

reconstruction. This observation is, therefore, consistent with the flattening of the number of tracks
 observed in Sec. 5.4.



Figure 15: Number of hits per reconstructed track for energies of 2 GeV(a) and 10 GeV(b) of the beam energy. Other details follow those of Fig. 11.



Figure 16: The average number of hits per reconstructed track $\langle N_{\rm hits}^t \rangle$ as a function of the beam energy. Other details follow those of Fig. 8.

339 5.6. Angular distributions

³⁴⁰ Due to the high granularity of the Si-W ECAL further tracking observables such as the polar ³⁴¹ (θ) and azimuthal (ϕ) angles of secondary tracks become available. Both angles are measured in the ³⁴² right-handed coordinate frame defined in Sec. 3.1 with θ measured relative to the z-axis. The track ³⁴³ direction is calculated from the position of the first and the last hit of the track along the z-axis.

Figures 17 and 18 display histograms of the ϕ and θ angles, respectively, for 2 and 10 GeV data 344 together with corresponding corresponding results from simulation models. When corrected for the 345 staggering of the detector layers in x [4], the pad coordinates of the Si-W ECAL define a grid with 346 a step width of about 1 cm in the lateral direction. This leads to a discretisation of the measured 347 track direction. In particular, in the case of the azimuthal angle ϕ , values that are a multiple of $\pi/4$ 348 are favoured. Beyond that the distribution in ϕ is isotropic as expected. The bulk of the tracks are 349 scattered in polar angles θ less than $\pi/2$ as expected for a fixed target scattering. On the other hand 350 the polar angle spectrum develops a long tail created by backward scattered particles. The simulation 351 models reproduce the data adequately, largely within the experimental uncertainties. 352

The truncated mean of the θ angle, $\langle \theta \rangle$, which can be interpreted as a measure of the collimation of the secondary particles, is shown in Fig. 19 as a function of the beam energy. Here tracks with polar angles smaller than $\pi/2$ have been selected. The observable $\langle \theta \rangle$ has only a weak dependence on the beam energy but shows the tendency to decrease with increasing energy as expected due to the increase of the boost transferred to the secondary particles. The simulation models reproduce the data within a few percent, albeit the boost is less visible.



Figure 17: Comparison of the azimuthal angle ϕ of secondary tracks for energies of 2 GeV (a) and 10 GeV (b) of the incoming π^- -mesons. Other details follow those of Fig. 11.



Figure 18: The polar angle θ of secondary tracks for energies of 2 GeV (a) and 10 GeV (b) of the incoming π^- -mesons. Other details follow those of Fig. 11.



Figure 19: The truncated mean polar angle $\langle \theta \rangle$ of secondary tracks as a function of the beam energy. Only tracks with polar angles less than $\pi/2$ have been selected. Other details follow those of Fig. 8.

³⁵⁹ 5.7. Energy deposition by secondary tracks

At energies relevant for this study, the secondaries that create sizeable tracks cross the detector volume behaving in a similar manner to minimally ionising particles. This fact may be exploited as an in situ calibration of the detector, or at least to monitor the response of individual detector regions. For this specific study the selection criteria of events and tracks are modified as follows:

- events are required to have more than one track and an interaction region to suppress soft inelastic scattering interactions at lower energies;
- reconstructed tracks must have a length $l \ge 8$ g. u. and $l/N_{\rm hits} > 0.9$ g. u. to select long 'pencil-like' tracks;
- Reconstructed tracks must have a polar angle $\theta < 0.3$ rad to reduce the angular dependence of the energy deposits.

Figure 20 displays the energy deposition per hit by secondary tracks E_{dep}^{t} for beam energies of 2 and 10 GeV. Both distributions peak at around 1 MIP as expected for straight MIP-like tracks. The overlaid fit is a Landau distribution convolved with a Gaussian resolution function, describing the data well. The tighter selection criteria reduce considerably the event sample at 2 GeV. As a consequence, the statistical uncertainty of the fit is large for the 2 GeV sample.

Figure 21 presents the dependence of the most probable value (MPV) of the energy deposition in secondary tracks on beam energy. The MPV is about 1.05, which is compatible with the fact that the selected tracks cross the detector pads at a small angle. It can be seen that the detector response is both uniform within 1-2% for the analysed energy range in data and reproduced by the simulation models within 1-2%.



Figure 20: Energy deposition by secondary tracks observed in data (points with error bars) and for the three simulation models for beam energies of 2 GeV (a) and 10 GeV (b). The spectra are fit by the convolution of a Landau distribution with a Gaussian resolution function. The double π^- -meson background for the three models is shown by the grey dashed, dotted and dash-dotted histograms, respectively. All histograms are normalised to unity. Error bars represent statistical uncertainties only.



Figure 21: MPV of the Landau fit to the E_{dep}^t distributions of the 'pencil-like' secondary tracks as a function of the beam energy for π^- data (black points with error bars) in comparison to the three simulation models. Error bars represent the statistical fit uncertainty.

The algorithm has selected particles with approximately minimally ionising behaviour. The uniform response supports the idea that the secondary tracks can be used for the in situ monitoring of the calibration.

383 6. Summary and outlook

This study reveals the large potential of the CALICE Si-W ECAL physics prototype to obtain a detailed picture of the interactions of hadrons with matter. The article describes basic ideas and the application of a new simple track-finding algorithm for the Si-W ECAL. This algorithm allows for the reconstruction of tracks produced by secondary particles created in the interaction of hadrons with the absorber material, and hence to study the interaction region of hadron showers in the Si-W ECAL. The track-finding algorithm produces a new set of observables, based on reconstructed tracks of secondary particles and the interaction region of the hadronic cascades.

³⁹¹ Data recorded in test beams at FNAL in 2008 using π^- -mesons with energies between 2 and ³⁹² 10 GeV are compared with predictions from the simulation models QGSP_BERT, FTFP_BERT and QBBC ³⁹³ as implemented in GEANT4 Version 10.1. The agreement between data and simulation varies with ³⁹⁴ beam energy and the chosen physics observable. In most cases data and simulation models agree ³⁹⁵ within 10% without revealing a clear preference for one of the chosen simulation models.

The largest discrepancy between data and the simulation models is observed for the deposited energy in and the radius of the interaction region. The measured energy deposition in the interaction region is up to 15% higher than predicted by the Monte Carlo simulation. The distributions of the number of secondary tracks and the number of hits per track for data are described well by the simulation models. The distribution of the polar angle of the reconstructed tracks in the simulation agrees with data within 3–4 % and the distribution of azimuthal angles is reproduced well by the simulation models in spite of the non-trivial detector geometry.

Future work should aim at transferring the insights about the interaction region and the secondaries emerging from it to the optimisation of Particle Flow Algorithms.

A tighter track selection leads to long tracks by particles that show approximately minimally ionising behaviour. The detector response determined using these tracks is stable to about 1–2% over the tested energy range and shows good agreement with simulation. This observation can be exploited as a starting point for a feasibility study of an in situ calibration (or at least a regular monitoring of the detector) by means of the selected tracks.

410 Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the DESY, CERN and FNAL managements for their support and hos-411 pitality, and their accelerator staff for the reliable and efficient beam operation. This work was sup-412 ported by the FWO, Belgium; by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada; 413 by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic; by the P2IO LabEx in the 414 framework 'Investissements d'Avenir' managed by the French National Research Agency (ANR) un-415 der Grant Agreements ANR-10-LABX-0038 and ANR-11-IDEX-0003-01; by the 'Quarks and Leptons' 416 Programme of CNRS/IN2P3 France; by the Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung (AvH), Germany; by 417 the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF), Germany; by the Deutsche Forschungs-418 gemeinschaft (DFG), Germany; by the Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft (HGF), Germany; by the I-CORE 419 Program of the Planning and Budgeting Committee, Israel; by the Nella and Leon Benozivo Center 420 for High Energy Physics, Israel; by the Israeli Science Foundation, Israel; by the National Research 421 Foundation of Korea; by the Korea-EU cooperation programme of National Research Foundation of 422 Korea, Grant Agreement 2014K1A3A7A03075053; by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Re-423 search (NWO); by the Science and Technology Facilities Council, UK; by the Nuclear Physics, Particle 424 Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology Initiative, a Laboratory Directed Research and Development 425 program at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, USA. 426

427 References

 ^[1] J.-C. Brient and H. Videau, "The Calorimetry at the future e+ e- linear collider", eConf C010630 (2001) E3047,
 SNOWMASS-2001-E3047, arXiv:hep-ex/0202004 [hep-ex].

- [2] V. L. Morgunov, "Calorimetry design with energy-flow concept (imaging detector for high-energy physics)", in 430 Calorimetry in particle physics. Proceedings, 10th International Conference, CALOR 2002, Pasadena, USA, 431 March 25-29, 2002, pp. 70-84. 2002. 432
- [3] M. Thomson, "Particle Flow Calorimetry and the PandoraPFA Algorithm", Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A611 (2009) 433 25-40, CU-HEP-09-11, arXiv:0907.3577 [physics.ins-det]. 434
- [4] CALICE Collaboration, J. Repond et al., "Design and Electronics Commissioning of the Physics Prototype of a 435 Si-W Electromagnetic Calorimeter for the International Linear Collider", JINST 3 (2008) P08001, 436 arXiv:0805.4833 [physics.ins-det]. 437
- [5] CALICE Collaboration Collaboration, C. Adloff, Y. Karyotakis, J. Repond, J. Yu, G. Eigen, et al., "Study of the 438 interactions of pions in the CALICE silicon-tungsten calorimeter prototype", JINST 5 (2010) P05007, 439 arXiv:1004.4996 [physics.ins-det]. 440
- [6] CALICE Collaboration, B. Bilki et al., "Testing hadronic interaction models using a highly granular 441 silicon-tungsten calorimeter", Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A794 (2015) 240-254, arXiv:1411.7215 [physics.ins-det]. 442
- [7] J. Allison et al., "Geant4 developments and applications", IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 53 (2006) 270, 443 SLAC-PUB-11870. http://geant4.web.cern.ch/geant4. 444
- [8] J. Allison et al., "Recent developments in Geant4", Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A835 (2016) 186–225, 445 FERMILAB-PUB-16-447-CD. 446
- [9] CALICE Collaboration, C. Adloff et al., "Track segments in hadronic showers in a highly granular scintillator-steel 447 hadron calorimeter", JINST 8 (2013) P09001, MPP-2013-143, arXiv:1305.7027 [physics.ins-det]. 448
- [10] CALICE Collaboration, "Tracking within Hadronic Showers in the SDHCAL prototype using Hough Transform 449 Technique", CALICE Analysis Note CAN-047. 450 https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/pub/CALICE/CaliceAnalysisNotes/CAN-047.pdf.
- 451
- [11] CALICE Collaboration, C. Adloff et al., "Construction and Commissioning of the CALICE Analog Hadron 452 Calorimeter Prototype", JINST 5 (2010) P05004, DESY-10-032, arXiv:1003.2662 [physics.ins-det]. 453
- [12] CALICE Collaboration, "Construction and performance of a silicon photomultiplier/extruded scintillator 454 tail-catcher and muon-tracker", JINST 7 (2012) P04015, arXiv:1201.1653 [physics.ins-det]. 455
- [13] P. Mora de Freitas and H. Videau, "Detector simulation with MOKKA / GEANT4: Present and future", in 456 Linear colliders. Proceedings, International Workshop on physics and experiments with future electron-positron 457 linear colliders, LCWS 2002, Seogwipo, Jeju Island, Korea, August 26-30, 2002, pp. 623–627. 2002. 458 http://flc.desy.de/lcnotes/noteslist/localfsExplorer_read?currentPath=/afs/desy.de/group/flc/ 459 lcnotes/LC-TOOL-2003-010.ps.gz. 460
- [14] D. H. Wright and M. H. Kelsey, "The Geant4 Bertini Cascade", Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A804 (2015) 175–188. 461
- B. Andersson, G. Gustafson, and B. Nilsson-Almqvist, "A Model for Low p(t) Hadronic Reactions, with [15]462 Generalizations to Hadron - Nucleus and Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions", Nucl. Phys. B281 (1987) 289-309, 463 LU-TP-86-3. 464
- [16] B. Nilsson-Almqvist and E. Stenlund, "Interactions Between Hadrons and Nuclei: The Lund Monte Carlo, Fritiof 465 Version 1.6", Comput. Phys. Commun. 43 (1987) 387, LU TP-86-14, LUIP-8604. 466
- [17] G. Folger and J. Wellisch, "The Binary Cascade". https://cds.cern.ch/record/865824. 467
- [18] GEANT4 Collaboration, "Reference Physics Lists". 468 http://geant4.cern.ch/support/proc_mod_catalog/physics_lists/referencePL.shtml. 469
- [19] H. Li, Higgs Recoil Mass and Cross-Section Analysis at ILC AND Calibration of the CALICE SiW ECAL 470 Prototype. Theses, Université Paris Sud - Paris XI, Oct., 2009. 471 https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00430432 472
- [20] P. Doublet, Hadrons in a highly granular SiW ECAL Top quark production at the ILC. Theses, Université Paris 473 Sud - Paris XI, Oct., 2011. https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00657967. 474
- [21] CALICE Collaboration, "Tracks of hadronic showers in the Si-W ECAL physics prototype". 475 https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/pub/CALICE/CaliceAnalysisNotes/can055.pdf. 476
- [22] S. Bilokin, Hadronic showers in a highly granular silicon-tungsten calorimeter and production of bottom and top 477 quarks at the ILC. Theses, Paris Saclay, July, 2017. https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-01826535. 478