

MRI-Guided Percutaneous Biopsy of Mediastinal Masses Using a Large Bore Magnet: Technical Feasibility

J. Garnon, N. Ramamurthy, J. Caudrelier J, G. Erceg, E. Breton, G.

Tsoumakidou, P. Rao, A. Gangi

► To cite this version:

J. Garnon, N. Ramamurthy, J. Caudrelier J, G. Erceg, E. Breton, et al.. MRI-Guided Percutaneous Biopsy of Mediastinal Masses Using a Large Bore Magnet: Technical Feasibility. CardioVascular and Interventional Radiology, 2016, 39 (5), pp.761-767. 10.1007/s00270-015-1246-5 . hal-02404189

HAL Id: hal-02404189 https://hal.science/hal-02404189v1

Submitted on 30 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

MRI-Guided Percutaneous Biopsy of Mediastinal Masses Using a Large Bore Magnet: Technical Feasibility

J. Garnon1, N. Ramamurthy2, J. Caudrelier1, G. Erceg3, E. Breton3, G. Tsoumakidou1, P. Rao1,3, A. Gangi1,3,

1 Department of Interventional Radiology, Nouvel Hôpital Civil, Strasbourg, France

2 Department of Radiology, Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital, Colney Lane, Norwich NR4 7UY, UK

3 ICube, University of Strasbourg, CNRS, Strasbourg, France

corresponding author: Julien Garnon juliengarnon@gmail.com

Abstract

Objective To evaluate the diagnostic accuracy and safety of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-guided percutaneous biopsy of mediastinal masses performed using a wide-bore high-field scanner.

Materials and Methods This is a retrospective study of 16 consecutive patients (8 male, 8 female; mean age 74 years) who underwent MRI-guided core needle biopsy of a mediastinal mass between February 2010 and January2014. Size and location of lesion, approach taken, time for needle placement, overall duration of procedure, and postprocedural complications were evaluated. Technical success rates and correlation with surgical pathology (where available) were assessed.

Results Target lesions were in the anterior (n = 13), middle (n = 2), and posterior mediastinum (n = 1), respectively. Mean size was 7.2 cm (range3.6–11 cm). Average time for needle placement was9.4 min (range 3–18 min); average duration of entire procedure was 42 min (range 27–62 min). 2–5 core samples were obtained from each lesion (mean 2.6). Technical success rate was 100 %, with specimens successfully obtained in all 16 patients. There were no immediate complications. Histopathology revealed malignancy in 12cases (4 of which were surgically confirmed), benign lesions in 3 cases (1 of which was false negative following surgical resection), and one inconclusive specimen (treated as inaccurate since repeat CT-guided biopsy demonstrated thymic hyperplasia). Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and accuracy in our study were 92.3, 100, 100, 66.7, and 87.5 %, respectively.

Conclusion MRI-guided mediastinal biopsy is a safe procedure with high diagnostic accuracy, which may offer a non-ionizing alternative to CT guidance.

Key words: MRI guidance – percutaneous image-guided biopsy – mediastinal masses

Key points :

- performing percutaneous biopsy of mediastinal masses with MRI-guidance is feasible, accurate and safe

- MRI-guidance offers real-time multiplanar imaging

- MRI may help to target viable parts of the tumor in case of a necrotic mass

- MRI-guidance offers a non-ionizing alternative to CT-guidance

Abbreviations:

MRI magnetic resonance imaging CT computed tomography PET positron emission tomography TP true positive TN true negative FP false positive FN false negative NPV negative predictive value PPV positive predictive value

Introduction

In recent years, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-guided intervention has emerged as a viable technique, facilitated by the development of open and wide-bore high-field scanners, MRI-compatible equipment, and MR fluoroscopy sequences which utilize rapid acquisition protocols to create a continuous one image-per-second frame-rate display, enabling "real-time" visualization of the advancing needle [1–4]. MRI offers superior soft tissue contrast, good depiction of vessels, and multiplanar imaging capabilities, which may enable relatively inconspicuous and inaccessible lesions to be targeted [1, 2, 5]. There is a growing body of literature reporting its safety and effectiveness for head and neck, abdominopelvic, and musculoskeletal interventions [1–8]. However, to our knowledge, there is only one prior report investigating the utility of MRI-guided biopsy for mediastinal masses, performed in a low-field open scanner [9]. The purpose of this study is to report the first series of MRI-guided mediastinal biopsies in a wide-bore highfield scanner, detailing its technical feasibility, diagnostic accuracy, and advantages and drawbacks over CT guidance.

Materials and methods

This single-center retrospective study was approved by the institutional review board at our hospital.

Study population

16 consecutive patients undergoing MRI-guided mediastinal biopsy between February 2010 and January 2014 were included in the study (8 male, 8 female; mean age 74 years, range 18– 82 years). Patients were referred for biopsy following consensus discussion at a multidisciplinary meeting involving thoracic surgeons and pulmonologists. Biopsies were preferentially scheduled under MRI rather than CT guidance, in order to reduce radiation dose to the patient and radiologist, since MR fluoroscopy sequences are completely radiation-free. Patients were excluded from MRI-guided procedures if they were unable to lie flat for at least 30 min, claustrophobic, had pacemakers or incompatible devices, required trans-osseous access, or were scheduled for biopsy when the MRI suite was unavailable.

Procedures

Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. Anticoagulants and antiplatelet agents were stopped prior to the procedure, and clotting profile was tested within 48 h of the procedure, as per SIR and CIRSE guidelines [10]. Minimum required prothrombin time and platelet count were 50 % and 50,000/mm3, respectively. All biopsies were performed under local anesthesia by five senior interventional radiologists (each with at least 6 months experience in MR-guided interventions) using a 1.5 Tesla MRI (MAGNETOM Aera, Siemens, Germany) with a large bore (70 cm) gantry. Initially, a non-breath hold T2-weighted (T2 W) fast spin echo sequence with radial k-space filling (T2 BLADE sequence, TE/TR 178/3420 ms, flip angle 150°, 30 slices, field-of-view 400 mm × 400 mm, reconstructed inplane resolution 2 mm \times 2 mm, slice thickness 4 mm, total acquisition time 96 s) was acquired in the axial plane using the body coil. This was used as a planning sequence to localize the mass and determine the needle trajectory. In one case, a complementary nonbreath hold T1-weighted (T1 W) fast spin echo sequence was acquired following intravenous injection of a gadolinium chelate-based agent (Dotarem®, Guerbet, France), in order to more precisely target the viable portions of a large necrotic tumor. All lesions were accessed extrapleurally, using a parasternal approach for anterior mediastinal lesions, and a paravertebral approach for posterior and middle mediastinal lesions, with the patient in supine and prone positions, respectively. Cutaneous marking of the entry point was determined by measuring the distance between the central laser and the entry point determined on the axial planning sequence. After administration of the local anesthetic, a 16G coaxial needle (MRI coaxial puncture needle[®], Somatex medical, Teltow, Germany) was percutaneously advanced using freehand technique through a small skin incision into the tumor under real-time MRfluoroscopic guidance in two orthogonal planes, using a non-breath hold interactive, multislice balanced SSFP pulse sequence (BEAT-IRTTT sequence, Siemens Corporate Research & Technology, Center for Applied Medical Imaging, Baltimore, MD, USA) (TE/TR 2.2/5.35 ms, flip angle 50°, field-of-view 400 mm \times 400 mm, reconstructed in-plane resolution 1.8 $mm \times 1.8 mm$, slice thickness 4 mm, acquisition time per slice 815 ms). Prior to obtaining a biopsy, accuracy of needle position was confirmed on a T2 W BLADE or realtime sequence in the axis of the needle. Between 2 and 5 core biopsy samples were obtained using a coaxially placed 18G semi-automatic biopsy needle (MRI biopsy handy®, Somatex medical, Teltow, Germany). Post-biopsy, a final T2 W sequence was performed to check for any immediate complications. Patients were kept under observation for 3 h in the department, and had a chest radiograph prior to discharge. Any adverse finding or event occurring between the biopsy and the time of discharge was considered an immediate complication.

Data collection and Analysis

We reviewed the size and location of mediastinal lesions, and calculated their mean diameter. We assessed patient position, approach taken, entire duration of the intervention (from planning MR-scan to final post-biopsy control), and time required to position the biopsy needle (from local anesthesia to first biopsy pass). Technical success and postprocedural complications were evaluated. Finally, Histopathology results of biopsies and surgical specimens (whenever a patient underwent surgical resection) were acquired.

Results were defined as true positive (TP), true negative (TN), false positive (FP), or false negative (FN) based on the definitions shown in Table 1.

Results

Lesions and Procedural Details

Mediastinal lesions ranged from 3.6 to 11 cm in diameter, with a mean value of 7.2 cm. Biopsies were performed in the supine position in 13 cases using a parasternal approach (anterior masses; Fig. 1), and in the prone position in 3 cases using a paravertebral approach (middle and posterior masses; Fig. 2). Average duration of the entire procedure was 42 min (range 27–62 min), with a mean time to position the biopsy needle of 9.4 min (range 3–18 min).

Between 2 and 5 core biopsies were obtained from each lesion (mean: 2.6). Whenever possible, areas of viable tumor were targeted using signal characteristics of the mass identified on real-time images (Fig. 3). In one case with a large necrotic mass, contrast-enhanced MR was obtained to target accurately the viable part of the tumor. Residual contrast enhancement seen around the needle on T1 W images confirmed accurate placement (Fig. 4).

Technical success was 100 %, with biopsy specimens successfully obtained in all 16 patients. There were no immediate complications; in particular there was no hemorrhage on postbiopsy imaging, and no pneumothorax on routine pre-discharge chest radiograph. No patient was readmitted to hospital in the week following discharge.

Histopathological Results and Follow-Up

Histopathology revealed malignancy in 12 out of 16 cases (75 %). 4 of these patients underwent surgical resection for thymoma, and there was accurate correlation between surgical pathology and pre-operative histopathology in all cases.

3 masses were reported as benign. 2 of these cases were confirmed as TN based on stability at follow-up imaging. However, one case (reported as "reactive mesothelial hyperplasia") was subsequently resected in view of an aggressive CT appearance, and proven to be a thymoma at surgical pathology; this was classified as FN.

The last case was reported as a non-representative sample (normal thymic and fatty cells) by the pathologist. Repeat biopsy performed under CT guidance (since the MR suite was unavailable) was reported as thymic hyperplasia. In view of the discrepancy, this case was excluded from the statistical analysis of sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV. However, it was included in the calculation of accuracy and considered as an inaccurate biopsy.

Diagnostic Accuracy

Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and accuracy in our study were 92.3, 100, 100, 66.7, and 87.5 %, respectively. Results are summarized in Table 2.

Discussion

There is a growing body of research examining the clinical effectiveness and safety of MRIguided biopsy for head and neck, abdominopelvic, breast, and musculoskeletal interventions [1–8]. However, its utility for thoracic biopsies has been little investigated, with just a few recent studies reporting lung nodule biopsy [11, 12], and only one prior case series of MRIguided mediastinal biopsies, performed using an open 0,23 magnet [9]. To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first reporting the feasibility and safety of MRI-guided mediastinal biopsy using a wide bore scanner.

Our study demonstrates a 100 % technical success rate and illustrates the feasibility of MRI guidance in accessing mediastinal lesions. The diagnostic accuracy of 87.5 % is comparable to that of published CT-guided case series [13–15]. Specificity, sensitivity and PPV are also similar to published reports, including the previous MR-guided study [9, 13–15]. However, Accuracy and NPV (87.5 and 66.7 %) were lower in our series than in the report of Lu[¬] et al. (94.7 and 81.2 %). This could reflect the effect of a smaller sample, heterogeneous size and histology of lesions, differences in approach, or differences in sampling technique [9]. In our early experience, MRI guidance presents several practical advantages over CT. The needle can be advanced in real-time multiplanar imaging, which is helpful for oblique or steep angles in the sagittal plane. Vessels are clearly seen as hyperintense structures on real-time sequences, without need for contrast injection. This delineation is especially useful when a mass is in contact with or encompasses the mediastinal great vessels. The high intrinsic

contrast resolution of T2 and real-time sequences help target viable portions of the tumors, usually without need for Gadolinium injection. However, contrast administration can further improve the detection of viable tumor, not only on planning sequences but also during the biopsy due to its persistence for longer periods. Finally, the major advantage of MRI guidance over CT is the complete lack of ionizing radiation to the patient and radiologist. It may therefore confer significant radiation protection compared with relatively high-dose CT fluoroscopy (approximately 296–561.6 mGy [16, 17]), particularly in young hematologic patients at risk of significant cumulative effective doses (up to 70.8 mSv [18]). Unfortunately, MRI guidance has its own set of drawbacks. Artifacts around the needle may locally degrade image quality, which may limit successful targeting of sub-centimeter lesions such as small renal tumors [5]. Moreover, visualization of the lesion can be variable from one patient to another, especially on the real-time sequence. One explanation for this could be the location of mediastinal tumors in the vicinity of large vessels. The great vessels and heart produce movement artifacts which, if transposed along the needle trajectory, can significantly decrease real-time image quality and obscure small lesions. Inverting the phase and frequency-encoding directions should theoretically shift motion artifacts away from the needle and improve lesion visualization [19], but in practice this effect is often insufficient. Procedure times are longer with MRI guidance, mostly because of patient preparation, which is time-consuming and accounts for more than 60 % of the procedure [20]. This can be improved with increasing experience of technicians and radiologists. Finally, MR-associated costs are high and may be double that of CT-guided procedures [21].

The principal limitation of this study is the small sample size. However, our results are comparable to a previous larger study [9]. We selected larger lesions early in our experience, which may result in overestimation of technical success rate.

Finally, the generalisability of this machine and operator dependent technique to other centers remains unclear. In conclusion, our study shows that MRI-guided biopsy of mediastinal masses is technically feasible, accurate, and safe. Larger studies are required to refine the technique and evaluate its role in the coordinated management of mediastinal lesions.

References

1. Stattaus J, Maderwald S, Baba HA, Gerken G, Barkhausen J, Forsting M, Ladd ME. MR-guided liver biopsy within a short, wide-bore 1.5 Tesla MR system. Eur Radiol. 2008;18(12): 2865–73.

2. Lü Y, Liu M, Li C, Wu L, Fritz J. MRI-guided biopsy and aspiration in the head and neck: evaluation of 77 patients. Eur Radiol. 2012; 22(2):404–10.

3. Genant JW, Vandevenne JE, Bergman AG, Beaulieu CF, Kee ST, Norbash AM, Lang P. Interventional musculoskeletal procedures performed by using MR imaging guidance with a vertically open MR unit: assessment of techniques and applicability. Radiology. 2002;223(1):127–36.

4. Duckwiler G, Lufkin RB, Teresi L, Spickler E, Dion J, Viñuela F, Bentson J, Hanafee W. Head and neck lesions: MR-guided aspiration biopsy. Radiology. 1989;170(2):519–22.

5. Garnon J, Schlier A, Buy X, Tsoumakidou G, de Mathelin M, Breton E, et al. Evaluation of percutaneous biopsies of renal masses under MRI-guidance: a retrospective study about 26 cases. Eur Radiol. 2015;25(3):617–23.

6. Schmidt AJ, Kee ST, Sze DY, Daniel BL, Razavi MK, Semba CP, Dake MD. Diagnostic yield of MR-guided liver biopsies compared with CT- and US-guided liver biopsies. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 1999;10(10):1323–9.

7. Kariniemi J, Blanco Sequeiros R, Ojala R, Tervonen O. MRI-guided abdominal biopsy in a 0.23-T open-configuration MRI system. Eur Radiol. 2005;15(6):1256–62.

8. Ahrar JU, Stafford RJ, Alzubaidi S, Ahrar K. Magnetic resonance imaging-guided biopsy in the musculoskeletal system using a cylindrical 1.5-T magnetic resonance imaging unit. Top Magn Reson Imaging. 2011;22(4):189–96.

9. Lü Y, Fritz J, Li C, Liu M, Lee P, Wu L, Carrino JA. Magnetic resonance imaging-guided percutaneous biopsy of mediastinal masses: diagnostic performance and safety. Invest Radiol. 2013;48(6):452–7.

10. Patel IJ, Davidson JC, Nikolic B, Salazar GM, Schwartzberg MS, Walker TG, et al. Consensus guidelines for periprocedural management of coagulation status and hemostasis risk in percutaneous image-guided interventions. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2012;23(6):727–36. 11. Liu M, Lv Y, Wu L, Song J, Sequeiros RB, Li C. MRI-guided percutaneous coaxial cutting needle biopsy of small pulmonary nodules: feasibility. Eur Radiol. 2013;23(10):2730– 8.

12. Liu S, Li C, Yu X, Liu M, Fan T, Chen D, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of MRI-guided percutaneous transthoracic needle biopsy of solitary pulmonary nodules. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2015;38(2):416–21.

13. Bressler EL, Kirkham JA. Mediastinal masses: alternative approaches to CT-guided needle biopsy. Radiology. 1994;191(2):391–6.

14. Priola AM, Priola SM, Cataldi A, Ferrero B, Garofalo G, Errico L, Marci V, Fava C. CT-guided percutaneous transthoracic biopsy in the diagnosis of mediastinal masses: evaluation of 73 procedures. Radiol Med. 2008;113(1):3–15.

15. de Margerie-Mellon C, de Bazelaire C, Amorim S, Brice P, Tazi A, Brière J, Frija J, de Kerviler E. Diagnostic yield and safety of computed tomography-guided mediastinal core needle biopsies. J Thorac Imaging. 2015;30(5):319–27.

16. Tsalafoutas IA, Tsapaki V, Triantopoulou C, Gorantonaki A, Papailiou J. CT-guided interventional procedures without CT fluoroscopy assistance: patient effective dose and absorbed dose considerations. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2007;188(6):1479–84.

17. Prosch H, Stadler A, Schilling M, Burklin S, Eisenhuber E, Schober E, Mostbeck G. CT fluoroscopy-guided vs. multislice CT biopsy mode-guided lung biopsies: accuracy, complications and radiation dose. Eur J Radiol. 2012;81(5):1029–33.

18. Guttikonda R, Herts BR, Dong F, Baker ME, Fenner KB, Pohlman B. Estimated radiation exposure and cancer risk from CT and PET/CT scans in patients with lymphoma. Eur J Radiol 2014;83(6):1011–5.

19. Schiebler ML, Listerud J. Common artifacts encountered in thoracic magnetic resonance imaging: recognition, derivation, and solutions. Top Magn Reson Imaging. 1992;4(3):1–17. 20. Hoffmann R, Thomas C, Rempp H, Schmidt D, Pereira PL, Claussen CD, Clasen S. Performing MR-guided biopsies in clinical routine: factors that influence accuracy and procedure time. Eur Radiol. 2012;22(3):663–71.

21. Maurer MH, Schreiter N, de Bucourt M, Grieser C, Renz DM, Hartwig T, Hamm B, Streitparth F. Cost comparison of nerve root infiltration of the lumbar spine under MRI and CT guidance. Eur Radiol. 2013;23(6):1487–94.

Figures

Fig.1: Biopsy of a mediastinal mass using an anterior parasternal approach. A Axial oblique and **B** sagittal oblique interactive multislice balanced SSFP pulse sequence (real-time sequence). The coaxial needle in its whole length (large arrows), with the biopsy needle within the mass (thin arrow), is seen in two orthogonal planes.



Fig.2: Biopsy of a middle mediastinal mass using a posterior paravertebral and intercostal approach. **A** Axial oblique and **B** sagittal oblique interactive multi-slice balanced SSFP pulse sequence (realtime sequence). The coaxial cannula (large arrow) is seen in its whole axis on both planes providing excellent positioning of the needle. Note that the great vessels (thin arrows) of the mediastinum are nicely seen as bright structures on this real-time sequence



Fig.3: biopsy of an anterior mediastinal mass with necrosis. The high intrinsic contrast resolution of the real-time sequence helps to target the viable part of the lesion and to avoid the necrotic area of the tumor.



Fig.4 Biopsy of an anterior mediastinal mass with necrosis. **A** Axial oblique and **B** sagittal oblique interactive multi-slice balanced SSFP pulse sequence (real-time sequence). The high intrinsic contrast resolution of the real-time sequence help target the viable part of the lesion (large arrows) and to avoid the necrotic area of the tumor (thin arrows)



Table 1 Classification of biopsy

Classification of the biopsy	Definition
True positive (TP)	Malignant histology (biopsy)
True negative (TN)	Benign histology (biopsy) and no suspicion of malignancy at follow-up imaging of at least 12 months or benign histology (surgical specimen)
False positive (FP)	Malignant histology (biopsy) but no suspicion of malignancy at follow-up imaging of at least 12 months or benign histology (surgical specimen)
False negative (FN)	Benign histology (biopsy) but suspicion of malignancy at follow-up imaging or malignant histology (2nd biopsy) or malignant histology (surgical specimen)

Table 2: Results of percutaneous biopsy of mediastinal masses

Patient	Location of the mass in the mediastinum	Histology on percutaneous samples	Final diagnosis (surgery or follow-up)	Classification of the biopsy	Classification of the biopsy
1	anterior	Lung adenocarcinoma	Lung adenocarcinoma	accurate	True positive
2	anterior	Thymoma	Thymoma	accurate	True positive
3	posterior	ganglioneuroma	ganglioneuroma	accurate	True negative
4	anterior	Small cell carcinoma	Small cell carcinoma	accurate	True positive
5	anterior	Small cell carcinoma	Small cell carcinoma	accurate	True positive
6	anterior	Reactive mesothelial hyperplasia	Thymoma	inaccurate	False negative
7	anterior	thymoma	thymoma	accurate	True positive
8	anterior	thymoma	thymoma	accurate	True positive
9	anterior	lymphoma	lymphoma	accurate	True positive
10	anterior	thymoma	thymoma	accurate	True positive
11	middle	Lung adenocarcinoma	Lung adenocarcinoma	accurate	True positive
12	anterior	No conclusion	Thymic hyperplasia	inaccurate	-
13	anterior	Small cell carcinoma	Small cell carcinoma	accurate	True positive
14	middle	Epidermoid carcinoma	Epidermoid carcinoma	accurate	True positive
15	anterior	epithelioid and gigantocellular granulomatous inflammation	Sarcoïdosis	accurate	True negative
16	anterior	lymphoma	lymphoma	accurate	True positive