

The hidden side of a major marine biogeographic boundary: a wide mosaic hybrid zone at the Atlantic-Mediterranean divide reveals the complex interaction between natural and genetic barriers in mussels

Tahani El Ayari, Najoua Trigui El Menif, Bojan Hamer, Abigail E. Cahill, Nicolas Bierne

▶ To cite this version:

Tahani El Ayari, Najoua Trigui El Menif, Bojan Hamer, Abigail E. Cahill, Nicolas Bierne. The hidden side of a major marine biogeographic boundary: a wide mosaic hybrid zone at the Atlantic-Mediterranean divide reveals the complex interaction between natural and genetic barriers in mussels. Heredity, 2019, 122 (6), pp.770-784. 10.1038/s41437-018-0174-y. hal-02403713

HAL Id: hal-02403713 https://hal.science/hal-02403713v1

Submitted on 10 Dec 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1The hidden side of a major marine biogeographic boundary: a wide 2mosaic hybrid zone at the Atlantic-Mediterranean divide reveals the 3complex interaction between natural and genetic barriers in mussels

4Tahani El Ayari^{1,2}, Najoua Trigui El Menif², Bojan Hamer³, Abigail E. Cahill⁴ and 5Nicolas Bierne¹

6¹ ISEM, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France

7² University of Carthage, Faculty of Sciences of Bizerta. Laboratory of Environment 8Bio-monitoring, 7021- Zarzouna, Bizerta, Tunisia

9³ Ruđer Bošković Institute, Center for Marine and Environmental Research, Grad 10Zagreb, Croatia

11⁴ Biology Department, Albion College, Michigan 49224, USA

12

13Name of the corresponding author: Nicolas Bierne

14Institut des Sciences de l'Évolution - Montpellier, Université de Montpellier, Place

15Eugène Bataillon

1634095 Montpellier Cedex 05 FRANCE

17Telephone: +33(0)4 67 14 36 88; nicolas.bierne@umontpellier.fr

18

19Running title: A mosaic tension zone at the Atlantic-Mediterranean divide

20

22**Abstract**

23The Almeria-Oran Front (AOF) is a recognised hotspot of genetic differentiation in 24the sea, with genetic discontinuities reported in more than 50 species. The AOF is 25a barrier to dispersal and an ecological boundary, and both facts can explain the 26position of these genetic breaks. However, the maintenance of genetic 27differentiation is likely reinforced by genetic barriers. A general drawback of 28previous studies is an insufficient density of sampling sites at the transition zone 29 with a conspicuous lack of samples from the southern coastline. We analysed the 30fine-scale genetic structure in the mussel Mytilus galloprovincialis with a few 31ancestry-informative loci previously identified from genome scans. We discovered 32a 600 km wide mosaic hybrid zone eastward of the AOF along the Algerian 33coasts. This mosaic zone provides a new twist to our understanding of the 34Atlantic-Mediterranean transition because it demonstrates the two lineages can 35 live in sympatry with ample opportunities to interbreed in a large area, but hardly 36do. This implies some form of reproductive isolation must exist to maintain the 37two genetic backgrounds locally cohesive. The mosaic zone ends with an abrupt 38genetic shift at a barrier to dispersal in the Gulf of Bejaia, Eastern Algeria. 39Simulations of endogenous or exogenous selection in models that account for the 40geography and hydrodynamic features of the region support the hypothesis that 41sister hybrid zones could have been differentially trapped at two alternative 42barriers to dispersal and/or environmental boundaries, at Almeria in the north 43and Bejaia in the south. A preponderantly unidirectional north-south gene flow 44next to the AOF can also maintain a patch of an intrinsically maintained genetic 45background in the south and the mosaic structure, even in the absence of local 46adaptation. Our results concur with the coupling hypothesis that suggests natural 47barriers mostly explain the position of genetic breaks while their maintenance 48must additionally require genetic barriers.

49

50**Keywords:** Barrier to gene flow, mosaic hybrid zone, reproductive isolation, 51marine connectivity, Almeria-Oran Front, *Mytilus galloprovincialis*.

53Introduction

54Natural populations are often subdivided into discrete patches within which 55apparent panmixia is observed or where genetic differentiation smoothly 56increases with geographic distance (Charlesworth *et al*, 2003). These roughly 57homogeneous genetic patches are bordered by abrupt genetic discontinuities 58maintained by "barriers to gene flow" (Arnold, 2006 ; Slatkin, 1987; Hewitt, 591989). Barriers to gene flow allow divergence between populations and possibly 60the evolution of reproductive isolation and speciation (Barton and Bengtsson, 611986; Palumbi, 1994; Charlesworth *et al*, 2003). They also guarantee the 62maintenance of the beta component (i.e. between-populations component) of 63genetic and species diversity. Barriers to gene flow are therefore crucial in 64structuring and maintaining biodiversity. The study of their origin, their location 65and the processes involved in their functioning, is therefore a central issue in 66evolutionary biology and ecology.

67When genetic discontinuities are spatially concordant among different species, a 68parsimonious explanation is that the same factors contribute to the barrier across 69species. For instance, species can share a common history (e.g. shared zones of 70secondary contact sometimes called suture zones; Remington, 1968; Hewitt, 711996; Avise, 2000; Hewitt, 2000; Swenson and Howard, 2005), a comparable 72mode of dispersal (e.g. planktonic dispersers should be similarly affected by 73marine connectivity; Pelc et al, 2009) or a similar ecological niche (e.g. 74adaptation to the same habitat such as brackish water in the Baltic Sea; 75Johannesson and Andre, 2006). In the marine realm, decades of research in 76 population genetics have allowed researchers to identify and describe hotspots of 77genetic differentiation (Rocha and Bowen, 2008; Hellberg, 2009; Riginos and 78Liggins, 2013; see Table 1). Probably because many marine species have large 79population sizes and high dispersal potential via the larval phase, genetic 80homogeneity is almost always the rule within patches delineated by these 81barriers and the concentration of genetic breaks at some locations is obvious in 82the sea (Hellberg, 2009; Riginos et al, 2011; Gagnaire et al, 2015). Barriers to 83gene flow in the marine environment are found at locations of strong 84 environmental gradients or oceanographic currents which prevent dispersal along 85shores (Hellberg et al, 2002; Teske et al, 2014). Many factors can be involved: 86physical (oceanic fronts, local and global oceanic currents; Patarnello et al, 2007), 87ecological (temperature, salinity, tide level; Johannesson et al, 2010; Stanley et

88al. 2018), historical (secondary contact; <u>Quesada et al, 1995</u>; Avise, 2000), or 89genetic (reproductive isolation; Bierne et al, 2011). While barriers to gene flow 90are more likely to result from a combination of factors acting synergistically 91(Barton and Hewitt, 1985; Bierne et al, 2011; Cordero et al, 2014), a single factor 92is often emphasised to explain the genetic structure in any one case. In addition, 93the origin (primary divergence vs. secondary contact), localisation (ecotone vs. 94natural barrier vs. suture zone) and maintenance (dispersal barrier vs. local 95adaptation vs. reproductive isolation) of the genetic differentiation need to be 96treated as different questions. For instance, the position of a genetic cline at a 97barrier to dispersal does not necessarily mean that the genetic differentiation is 98due to low dispersal at this location; it is equally likely to be the legacy of 99divergence during past vicariance and/or the additional effect of a genetic barrier 100trapped by the natural barrier (Barton 1979). Demographic reconstruction can 101provide insight about the origin of the differentiation that the simple description 102of the contemporary genetic structure does not reveal. However, to better 103understand the maintenance of genetic breaks, the genetic analysis of distant 104samples far from the transition zone is hardly sufficient, even with high-105throughput genomic data (Cruickshank and Hahn, 2014; Meirmans, 2015; 106Harrison and Larson, 2016). Such genome-wide analyses allow reconstructing the 107long term history of populations and identifying highly differentiated loci or 108genomic islands (Tine et al, 2014; Fraïsse et al, 2016), but tell little about how 109genetic lineages have the opportunity to interbreed at the boundary. A major 110shortcoming of many genome-wide studies is that they do not undertake detailed 111sampling at these points of geographic transition, though such sampling is critical 112 for teasing apart competing explanations. Ultimately, one also needs to conduct 113experiments in the lab and in the field to obtain direct evidence of the isolation 114mechanisms at play (Harrison, 1993).

115The Almeria-Oran Front (AOF) is a well-known marine barrier to gene flow 116between populations of the Atlantic Ocean and the Mediterranean Sea (Quesada 117*et al*, 1995b; Borsa *et al*, 1997; Borrero-Pérez *et al*, 2011; Chevolot *et al*, 2006; 118Patarnello *et al*, 2007; Palero *et al*, 2008; Schunter et al. 2011; Tine *et al*, 2014). 119The front is generated by the convergence of Mediterranean and Atlantic waters. 120Atlantic waters enter into the Alboran Sea through the strait of Gibraltar and 121produce anticyclonic gyres westward of the AOF, the Western and Eastern 122Alboran Gyres, and a north-south unidirectional current at the AOF (see Figure 1). 123In addition, part of the flow of the Eastern Alboran Gyre is trapped at the AOF to 124contribute to the Algerian current that flows eastward of Oran along the Algerian 125coastline (Viúdez and Tintoré, 1995).

126Among the examples of genetic breaks at the AOF, one of the first described was 127found in the marine mussel Mytilus galloprovincialis (Quesada et al, 1995a; 1281995b, Sanjuan et al. 1994). Since the early reports, the origin of the genetic 129break has been considered a secondary contact between two lineages isolated in 130Mediterranean and Atlantic glacial refugia (Quesada et al, 1995a), as for other 131species such as sea bass (Lemaire et al, 2005; Tine et al, 2014; Duranton et al, 1322018). The genetic differentiation between the two mussel lineages proved to be 133 highly heterogeneous among loci (Gosset and Bierne, 2013; Fraïsse et al, 2016). 134Heterogeneous differentiation is expected for a semi-permeable genetic barrier 135maintained by partial reproductive isolation (Barton and Hewitt, 1985; Harrison, 1361993) or because of heterogeneous divergence caused by linked selection 137(Cruickshank and Hahn 2014; Ravinet et al. 2017), or both (Duranton et al. 2018). 138To date only the northern coast near Almeria in Spain has been intensively 139sampled, and contains an abrupt shift in allele frequency on each side of a 290 140km wide no-mussel zone between Almeria and Alicante (Quesada et al, 1995a). 141Although some studies have identified weak genetic differentiation between 142Europe and North Africa east of the AOF (Ouagajjou and Preza 2015; Lourenço et 143al. 2015), the geographic structure of the transition zone along the southern 144coast in Algeria was not well characterised. This is an important sampling gap to 145fill, especially considering that Atlantic waters enter the Mediterranean Sea 146through the South by the Algerian current described above. The southern side of 147the transition is indeed a ubiquitous sampling lacuna in the study of the Atlantic-148Mediterranean genetic divide.

149In the present study we aimed to advance our understanding of the marine 150transition zone at the AOF by analysing four ancestry-informative loci (one 151mitochondrial and three nuclear) at a fine-grained spatial scale. These loci were 152previously identified to be among the ten most differentiated loci in two genome 153scans, one with 388 AFLP loci (Gosset and Bierne, 2013), and another with 1269 154targeted contigs (~50,000 SNPs, Fraïsse *et al*, 2016). We would like to make it 155clear that our aim in the present study was not to infer the history of gene flow 156between populations nor the genomic heterogeneity of differentiation, which 157requires the analysis of a high number of loci and has been treated elsewhere 158(Fraïsse *et al*, 2016). Our objective was to investigate the spatial structure of the

159transition zone and how the two genetic backgrounds meet and interact when in 160contact, which requires analysing an extensive geographic sample with 161informative loci (Vines et al, 2016). We accomplished this in three steps. First, as 162a precaution we re-analysed the shift in allele frequency in South-Eastern Spain 163that was reported twenty years ago. We observed the same position of the break 164in Spain as earlier studies, confirming results from Diz and Presa (2008). Second, 165we sampled a new area along the Algerian coast between Oran and Tunisia, over 166a distance of 1330 km. We report a 600 km wide mosaic hybrid zone with 167populations of mixed ancestry. Third, we explored simple stepping-stone 168simulation models which show that the mosaic structure observed can be 169explained by the local geographic and hydrodynamic characteristics of the 170coastlines. We used a Y-shaped bi-unidimensional structure of the coastlines to 171reflect the shape of the coastlines that allows north-south gene exchange west of 172the AOF but only along coastlines to the east of the AOF because the distance 173between Algerian and Spanish coasts become too large for larval dispersal. We 174also included two alternative barriers to larval dispersal and/or environmental 175boundaries (one at the AOF and one in the Gulf of Bejaia), and a preponderantly 176unidirectional north-south gene flow at the AOF.

177

179**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

180Sampling and molecular markers

181*Mytilus galloprovincialis* (Lamarck, 1819) samples were collected from 13 182locations on the southern coast of the Alboran and Mediterranean Seas from 183Nador (Morocco) to Bizerte (Tunisia) and in 7 locations on the Northern coast from 184Manilva (Spain) to Peniscola (Table S1, Figure 1). Mitochondrial DNA sequences 185proved that all the samples were *Mytilus galloprovincialis* (see below) as 186expected in the study area. We also analysed reference samples already reported 187in previous studies (Gosset and Bierne, 2013) in Faro (Portugal) and Sète 188(France). DNA was extracted from gills using the QIAGEN DNeasy Blood & Tissue 189Kit following the instructions of the manufacturer. DNA concentration was 190measured for each sample using a NanoDrop8000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo 191Scientific) and standardised to a DNA concentration of 100 ng μ L-1.

192A 350-bp fragment of the F-mtDNA cytochrome oxidase subunit III was PCR 193amplified and sequenced with primers *FOR1* (5'-TATGTACCAGGTCCAAGTCCGTG-1943') and *REV1* (5'-TGCTCTTCTTGAATATAA GCGTA-3') (Zouros *et al*, 1994). 195Sequence reactions were precipitated using a standard EDTA/ethanol protocol, 196suspended in 15µl Hi-Di formamide and sequenced on an ABI 3130XL automated 197sequencer.

198Based on previous genome scans, three nuclear markers were chosen based on 199both their strong differentiation between the Atlantic and Mediterranean 200backgrounds of *M. galloprovincialis* and for their ease of analysis. All three 201produced length-polymorphism of PCR products. EFbis is a widely used marker in 202mussels that was amplified with the following two primers: EFbis-F 5'-203ACAAGATGGACAATACCGAACCACC-3' EFbis-R and 5'-CTCAAT 204CATGTTGTCTCCATGCC-3' (Bierne et al, 2002). EF2 has been described in Gosset 205and Bierne (2013) and was amplified with the following two primers: EF2-F 5'-206GGAAATCCCATGGGTGATTTAGCGG-3' and EF2-R 5'-207GTCAAATAAATACTGAAACACAGTGACTTC-3'. A contig containing the Precollagen-D 208gene was identified from a genome scan of 1269 contigs as the most 209differentiated contig between Atlantic and Mediterranean M. galloprovincialis 210populations (Fraïsse et al, 2016). Using publicly available sequences (Qin et al, 2111997) we designed primers to amplify the fifth intron of the gene with the 3' end 212of each primer positioned at the exon-intron junction, which prevented 213amplification of paralogous sequences of the gene family. The primers used to 214amplify *Precol-D* locus were *Precol-D-F* 5'-GACAAGGACCAGCAGGTACCATATT-3' 215and *Precol-D-R* 5'-GAGTGGTCCGGCTGGTCCTAAGAA-3'. Indel polymorphisms in 216the intron produced nine length alleles in our samples with strong allele 217frequency differences between Atlantic and Mediterranean samples. Standard 218PCR protocols were used with annealing temperatures set at 54°C for *EFbis* and 219*EF2*, and 49°C for *Precol-D*. 2µl of PCR products were mixed with Hi-Di 220formamide/ROX 500 size standard (12.8µl formamide and 02 µl ROX), and the 221mixtures were then loaded on an ABI 3130XL capillary automated sequencer. 222GeneMapper® v4.5 software (Applied Biosystems) was used to read the resulting 223chromatograms.

224 Data analysis

225COIII sequences were aligned using the ClustalW multiple alignment algorithm in 226BIOEDIT V7.1.3.0 and revised manually. Aligned sequences were then used to 227construct a Neigbour-Joining tree with MEGA software v6 (Tamura et al., 2013). 228Allelic and genotyping frequencies were computed using Genetix software 229v4.0.5.2 (Belkhir *et al*, 2002). Number of haplotypes (h), nucleotide diversity (π), 230average number of nucleotide substitutions per site between populations (Dxy) 231and net number of nucleotide substitutions per site between populations (Da) 232were calculated using DnaSP V5.10.1 (Librado and Rozas, 2009). Individuals were 233assigned to the Mediterranean and Atlantic lineages with a discriminant analysis 234of principal components (DAPC) implemented in the adegenet package in R 235(Jombart and Ahmed, 2011). The individual ancestries were examined by a 236Bayesian clustering method implemented in STRUCTURE 2.3.4 (Falush et al, 2372003). Analysis with STRUCTURE was conducted for various numbers of clusters 238K under the admixture model, assuming that the allele frequencies are correlated 239across populations, with a burn-in period of 50.000 steps and a run length of 240100.000 iterations. We used NewHybrids software (Anderson and Thompson, 2412002) to determine the hybrid status of individuals in the hybrid zones. We used 242a model with six categories of genotypes corresponding to two parental lineages 243and four categories of hybrids: F1 and F2 hybrids and the two types of 244backcrosses. Note that there are many more categories of hybrid genotypes in 245natural hybrid zones. However, this analysis allows investigating the frequency of 246early generation hybrids (expected to be rare in natural bimodal hybrid zones). 247Individual posterior probabilities of belonging to each category were obtained by

248running NewHybrids with uniform priors, a burn-in period of 50 000 steps 249 followed by a run length of 100 000 iterations. Because parental lineages tend to 250be more introgressed locally within a mosaic hybrid zone than outside of the zone 251in allopatric populations (Bierne et al, 2003) we did not use allopatric populations 252as references in the analysis. In this context including allopatric samples would 253have inflated the posterior probabilities of locally introgressed parental genotype 254to be backcrosses, which is not desired. To depict allele frequency clines, all four 255loci were transformed into bi-allelic loci by pooling alleles according to their 256 frequencies in reference samples (sample 1 Faro Portugal for the Atlantic lineage 257and sample N9 Sète France for the Mediterranean lineage, two localities often 258studied in previous genetic studies of *M. galloprovincialis*). Finally, the average 259departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was measured by Fis and the average 260pairwise linkage disequilibrium across all loci, D, was estimated using the 261variance in the hybrid index as described in Barton and Gale (1993). Departure 262 from Hardy-Weinberg and linkage equilibrium was tested by permutations with 263the Genetix software combining p-values using Fisher's method.

264**Simulations**

265We used a model of evolution in a metapopulation of 2 x 60 demes arranged in 266two parallel linear stepping-stones (Bierne et al., 2011; 2013). The purpose of the 267 simulations was to account for the geographic and hydrodynamic characteristics 268of the region and to compare intrinsic reproductive isolation with local adaptation 269at two different spatial scales (fine- or coarse-grained environmental 270heterogeneity). At generation zero, two partially reproductively isolated 271backgrounds meet and start to exchange genes. The auto-recruitment rate was 1 272- 2*m*, and migration to adjacent demes was *m*. A weak barrier to dispersal was 273set between demes 20 and 21 in the northern chain to simulate the AOF, and 274another barrier to dispersal in the southern chain between demes 40 and 41 to 275simulate the Gulf of Bejaia Barrier (GBB). The migration rate at barriers to 276dispersal was set to a value *mbar*, with *mbar*<*m*. Bidirectional migration was 277possible between the northern and the southern chain for the first 20 demes (left 278of the first barrier to dispersal, i.e. in the Alboran Sea) with the same rate of 279 migration m. For a few more demes (from 1 to 10) bidirectional or unidirectional 280north-south gene flow was possible between the north and the south and then 281stopped for the remaining demes. The aim of the parameterization was to 282account for the fact that the Spanish and Algerian coasts east of the AOF become

283too distant to be connected directly by a single generation of larval dispersal, 284which is thought be roughly around 50km gen⁻¹ in mussels (McQuaid and Phillips 2852000, Gilg and Hilbish 2003). Selection acted at a local adaptation locus 286(exogenous selection) or against recombinant genotypes (intrinsic selection) at 287two freely recombining reproductive isolation loci (Bierne *et al*, 2011). Allelic 288fitnesses at the exogenous locus were W(C) = 1 and W(c) = 1 - *t* in habitat 1 and 289W(C) = 1 - *t* and W(c) = 1 in habitat 2. The two-locus fitnesses at the 290incompatible alleles were W(AB) = W(ab) = 1 and W(Ab) = W(aB) = 1 - *s*. We did 291not consider the interaction between the two types of selection here (see Bierne 292et al. 2011) but consider either exogenous or endogenous selection alone. A 293neutral marker was positioned at a recombination map distance of 1cM to a 294selected locus.

295In order to simulate the trapping of a hybrid zone by a barrier to dispersal or an 296environmental boundary, we need clines to overlap with barriers at their initial 297position (Goldberg and Lande, 2007) or to move toward the barrier (Barton and 298Turelli, 2011). We could have chosen the initial conditions and the parameter 299values such that the northern cline overlapped with the AOF (between demes 20 300and 21) and the southern cline overlapped with the GBB (between demes 40 and 30141 of the southern chain). However, we have chosen to exemplify the arguably 302more realistic situation of initially moving hybrid zones. Local adaptation clines 303move to environmental boundaries driven by local selection. However, tension 304zones maintained by selection against recombinant genotypes stay at their initial 305contact position in a purely deterministic genetic model with equal fitnesses of 306parental genotypes and isotropic migration. There are three main reasons why 307tension zones could move. First, they are expected to move down gradients of 308population density and to be trapped by density troughs (Abbott et al, 2013; 309Barton, 1979; Hewitt, 1975). This demographic effect is not accounted for in our 310purely genetic model, although the tension zone can be trapped by barriers to 311dispersal providing it moves towards the barriers for other reasons. Second, 312tension zones can move because one parental genotype is fitter. These moving 313tension zones can nonetheless easily be halted by density troughs or barriers to 314dispersal owing to their bi-stable nature (Barton and Turelli, 2011). Random drift 315is expected to ultimately free such tension zones (Barton1979, Pialek and Barton 3161997) but the trapping can last for very long time. Finally, tension zones can 317move haphazardly because of stochastic processes - genetic drift within 318populations and stochastic dispersal between populations. Here, we used the

319latter two processes to simulate tension zone movement. We used a slight fitness 320advantage a (W(AB)=1+a) of the Mediterranean parental genotype starting from 321a contact within the Mediterranean Sea (at deme 50), or a slight fitness 322advantage of the Atlantic parental genotype (W(ab)=1+a) starting from a contact 323on the Atlantic side (at deme 10). Alternatively we introduced random drift by 324using multinomial sampling of genotypes within each deme at each generation 325(N = 500 per deme). This second way of simulating tension zone movement 326results in various outcomes from the same starting position, because the 327movement is not directional. We used this alternative only to show that results 328are qualitatively similar regardless of the "engine" of the tension zone movement 329and we did not intend to complete an extensive analysis of the effect of drift on 330the outcomes, as this is far from the purpose of the present work.

332**RESULTS**

333Analysis of genetic diversity

334The analysis of 568 COIII sequences revealed 150 haplotypes and a high 335nucleotide diversity (π = 0.023). Average and net nucleotide divergence 336measures between Atlantic and Mediterranean populations were also high (Dxy = 3370.029, Da = 0.011). The NJ-tree is presented in the supplementary Figure S1. Two 338clades of haplotypes could be defined according to their phylogenetic 339 relationships and their frequency in Atlantic and Mediterranean samples (Figure 340S1). The clade nearly fixed in Atlantic samples is known to be due to introgression 341of alleles from the sister species M. edulis (Quesada et al, 1998). We used 342phylogenetic relationships to define the Mediterranean and the Atlantic 343haplogroups. Nine size-alleles were detected at the new locus Precol-D and allele 344 frequencies are presented in Table S2. EF2 was found to be bi-allelic as in Gosset 345and Bierne (2013). The use of capillary electrophoresis allowed us to distinguish 346EFbis size-alleles with 1bp differences that were not detected previously with 347acrylamide gels. We observed 22 alleles and the correspondence with previous 348allele names is given in Table S2. Clustering analysis was performed with multi-349allelic data. In order to represent allele frequency clines we transformed multi-350allelic loci into bi-allelic loci by pooling alleles according to their frequency in 351 reference samples, sample 1 (Faro, Portugal) for the Atlantic lineage and sample 352N9 (Sète, France) for the Mediterranean lineage (see Table S2).

353Spatial genetic structure

354The frequencies of the Mediterranean allele at the mitochondrial *COIII* locus and 355the three nuclear markers (*Precol-D*, *EFbis* and *EF2*) in each sample are presented 356in Figure 2A and 2B. Clustering methods did not provide meaningful results with a 357number of genetic clusters parameterized above K=2 (no improvement of the 358likelihood in the STRUCTURE analysis, low contribution of secondary axes in 359multivariate analyses, and lack of spatial structure of additional clusters) and we 360provide the results with K=2. As reported 20 years ago (Quesada *et al*, 1995b, 361Sanjuan et al. 1994) and 10 years ago (Diz and Presa 2008), the northern 362transect along the Spanish coast contained an abrupt change in allele 363frequencies in the zone of transition between Almeria and Cartagena with all four 364loci. The three samples collected in Atlantic waters (1-Faro, N2-Manilva and N3-365Almeria) have high frequencies of the Atlantic alleles (Figure 2A), uniformly high 366Atlantic cluster membership probabilities in the DAPC analysis (Figure 1, sup file 367text S1), and a high proportion of Atlantic ancestry in the STRUCTURE analysis 368(Figure 2E). Conversely, samples from Mediterranean waters (N6-Tabarca, N7-369Nules, N8-Peñíscola del Pinatar, and N9-Sète) have high frequencies of 370Mediterranean alleles (Figure 2B), uniformly high Mediterranean cluster 371membership probabilities in the DAPC analysis (Figure 1), and a high proportion 372of Mediterranean ancestry in the STRUCTURE analysis (Figure 2F). Two 373populations (N4-Cartagena and N5-San Pedro) were obtained from the region 374 reported to be devoid of mussels 20 years ago. These samples were 375predominantly of Mediterranean ancestry, but some individuals were assigned to 376the Atlantic genetic cluster with high confidence and a few mussels with a 377genome of mixed ancestry were also present (Figure 1 and 2E). Departure from 378Hardy-Weinberg and linkage equilibrium was maximal in these intermediate 379samples (Figure 2C) as expected from hybrid zone theory (Barton and Gale, 1993) 380and the departure was significantly different from zero in sample N4-Cartagena. 381These samples are crucial for our understanding of the functioning of the AOF 382barrier to gene flow as they show Atlantic mussels are found in observable 383numbers east of the AOF within the Mediterranean Sea.

384The southern transect along the Algerian coast revealed a complex structure on a 385much wider geographic scale, consisting of a mosaic pattern of alternation 386between mostly Atlantic, mostly Mediterranean, and intermediate samples 387(Figure 1 and 2). Individuals assigned to the Atlantic cluster predominated in the 388sample from S2-Nador (Morocco) situated in the Alboran Sea (Figure 1 and 2). 389The Mediterranean cluster predominated in three samples from eastern Algeria 390(S11-Zaima Mansouriah, S12-Collo and S13-Skikda) and Tunisia (S14-Bizerta) 391(Figure 1 and 2). From S3-Oran to S10-Bejaia, a mosaic hybrid zone was observed 392 with a tendency for the Mediterranean ancestry to decrease eastward along the 393zone. The zone ends with an abrupt genetic shift in the Gulf of Bejaia (Gulf of 394Bejaia Barrier, GBB), west of which are preponderantly Atlantic samples and east 395of which is populated by Mediterranean mussels. Within the mosaic zone, 396samples were found to be a mixture of individuals belonging to both clusters and 397 with mixed ancestry (Figure 1 and 2F). Again strong departures from Hardy-398Weinberg and linkage equilibrium (Figure 2D) attest to a strong deficit of hybrids 399 relative to random mating. The departure was strongly significant in S3-Oran and 400S5-Sidi Lakdher and significant in S8-Tipaza and S9-Algiers (Figure 2D). We did 401not obtain support for the existence of early generation hybrids in Algerian

402populations as none of the 183 individuals sampled in the hybrid zone obtained a 403cumulative posterior probability above 0.8 to be F1, F2 or backcross in 404NewHybrids (Supplementary Table S3). This is not surprising as early generation 405hybrids must be extremely rare in nature for the genetic differentiation to be so 406efficiently maintained between two backgrounds. Evidence for hybridization 407nonetheless comes from allele frequencies and the comparison of ancestry 408values which show Atlantic mussels from the hybrid zone have a higher fraction 409of Mediterranean ancestry and Mediterranean mussels a higher fraction of 410Atlantic ancestry than mussels from peripheral allopatric populations (Figure 2F). 411This local introgression of parental backgrounds within the mosaic hybrid zone 412also explains the lack of power to characterise hybrid genotypes despite the fact 413that our markers are strongly differentiated between reference samples well 414outside of the hybrid zone.

415

416**Modeling a Y-shape bi-unidirectional stepping stone that accounts for** 417**the seascape features of the AOF transition zone**

418Our simple model in a Y-shape bi-unidimensional stepping stone allowed us to 419obtain useful indications about how the principal features of the seascape around 420the AOF area could produce the mosaic structure observed.

421**Endogenous selection**- Let us begin with endogenous selection. It is important 422to remember that in the tension zone model, selection against hybrids maintains 423the clinal structure but, in contrast to exogenous selection, the position of the 424cline is not stabilized by selection. Theory predicts that tension zones should be 425trapped by and coincide with natural barriers to dispersal, i.e. zones of low 426population density such as mountains, rivers or oceanic fronts (Barton, 1979). 427First, we describe the results observed with deterministic simulations at a 428selected locus in the case of a tension zone moving as a consequence of a fitness 429advantage of one parental genotype. The objective is not to explore the 430conditions in which the trapping process occurs, which are well known (Barton 431and Turelli, 2011; Barton, 1979), but to illustrate how tension zone trapping can 432occur in an unusual spatial and connectivity context such as the one in the AOF 433area. Second, we will provide an example with a neutral locus introgressing 434through the barrier and incorporating genetic drift. Again, we do not treat the 435effect of linkage and random drift that are known (Barton, 1986; Polechová and 436Barton 2011); our aim is simply to exemplify that the model can retrieve a 437pattern which resembles the true data.

438Figure 3 presents the results of the deterministic model: movement of 439endogenous clines along the northern coast, characterized by a barrier to 440dispersal between demes 20 and 21 (called AOF in Figure 3), and the southern 441coast, characterized by a barrier to dispersal between demes 40 and 41 (called 442GBB in Figure 3). We obtained gualitatively similar results when we added a 443barrier to dispersal between demes 20 and 21 of the southern chain (i.e. Oran) 444and provide the results with no barrier to exemplify the trapping of the cline even 445when the barrier is restricted to the northern coast (i.e. Almeria). The most 446interesting result is a contact within the Mediterranean Sea (between demes 50 447and 51) and a westward propagation of the cline owing to a slight fitness 448advantage of the Mediterranean parental genotype. The clines propagate along 449the southern and northern coasts and a barrier to dispersal is first met by the 450southern cline between demes 40 and 41 (GBB), where it is trapped while the 451northern cline continues to propagate westward (Figure 3A). The northern cline is 452subsequently trapped by the AOF barrier between demes 20 and 21 when it 453 comes to overlap with it (blue cline). When migration is symmetrical between the 454 north and the south, we end with a pair of shifted clines, one trapped by the AOF 455in the North and one trapped by the GBB in the south (see inset in Figure 3A). In 456this case the Algerian coast is inhabited by the Atlantic lineage. However, when 457 migration is asymmetrical from the north to the south, the Mediterranean 458genotype can establish and be maintained in the south, generating a zone of 459coexistence around the AOF in the south (Figure 3A bottom panel). This 460simulation shows that a mosaic hybrid zone can be produced in the South with 461 purely intrinsic reproductive isolation when the major hydrodynamic 462characteristics of the region are taken into account. We also considered the 463 situation of a contact on the Atlantic side and an eastward propagation of clines 464owing to a slight fitness advantage of the Atlantic parental genotype. The clines 465propagate and meet the AOF barrier between demes 20 and 21 where they are 466trapped (Figure 3B). The southern cline remains trapped at the AOF, even when 467the barrier is simulated only in the northern chain (migration between demes 20 468and 21 is fixed at *mbar* in the northern chain, at Almeria, and at *m* in the 469southern chain, at Oran). The southern cline does not have the opportunity to 470meet the barrier to dispersal at the GBB. It is well established that tension zones 471are expected to be trapped by the first minor barrier encountered (Barton 1979,

472Barton and Turelli 2011). Despite this prediction, it is usually not well 473acknowledged that hotspots for hybrid zones (i.e. suture zones) are more likely to 474be localised at the barrier to dispersal that is closest to an area of frequent 475contact rather than at the strongest barrier to dispersal across the overall 476distribution area.

477The deterministic model shows that sister tension zones can be differentially 478trapped at two alternative barriers to larval dispersal, at Almeria in the North and 479Bejaia in the South and that a preponderantly asymmetrical north-south 480migration rate at the AOF can maintain a "pocket" of the Mediterranean 481background east of Oran in the South. In order to model the true data more 482closely, we considered a neutral locus linked to a selected locus, which allowed 483us to account for introgression, and introduced genetic drift. A simulation output 484is presented in Figure 4A, with the two coastlines superposed in the same figure 485and compared to true data in Figure 4B. In this simulation the two parental 486genotypes have the same fitness, which shows that the pocket of Mediterranean 487background in the South is not due to a fitness advantage but to the balance 488between migration from the North and selection against hybrids. A comparison 489 with Figure 3 shows that introgression of Mediterranean alleles onto the Atlantic 490background and of Atlantic alleles onto the Mediterranean background is stronger 491in the mosaic zone between the two barriers (AOF and GBB) than in peripheral 492populations. This is expected in mosaic hybrid zones as already explained in 493another mussel hybrid zone (Bierne et al, 2003). Small patches of parental 494populations enclosed within a mosaic hybrid zone and flanked by patches of 495populations of the alternative genetic background are expected to introgress 496 faster than large and broadly distributed peripheral populations.

497**Exogenous selection**- We now consider exogenous selection. We explored two 498kinds of seascape, a fine-grained mosaic structure in the hybrid zone, or coarse-499grained environmental variation. In the first model we have a mosaic of habitat 1 500(Atlantic-like) that alternates randomly with habitat 2 (Mediterranean-like) in the 501central area of the southern stepping stone (corresponding to the area between 502the AOF and the GBB in Algeria). This model corresponds to a fine-grained mosaic 503of habitats. As expected, notwithstanding that genotypes adapted to habitat 1 504and genotypes adapted to habitat 2 flow from peripheral populations, this kind of 505selection generates a mosaic structure at the selected locus that correlates with 506habitat variation (Figure 4A). Despite the strong selection coefficient (s=0.2) and 507 with the recombination rate we used (1 cM) introgression proceeds quickly at the 508neutral locus in the mosaic zone (Figure 4A presents the results at generation 5091000) and the neutral marker loses the association with both the selected locus 510and the habitat. We can of course increase selection and decrease recombination 511to maintain the association for longer periods, but the parameters we used are 512already strong selection and tight linkage. Our objective here was to briefly verify 513the well-established result that micro-geographic adaptation generates a very 514weak barrier to neutral gene flow (Flaxman et al. 2012; Thiebert-Plante and 515Hendry 2015). Secondly, we simulated coarse-grained environmental variation 516(Figre 3B). As for endogenous selection, we observed two clines at the two 517environmental boundaries we simulated, the AOF in the North and the GBB in the 518south, when north-south migration was symmetrical. In order to obtain the 519maintenance of genotypes adapted to habitat 2 (i.e. Mediterranean-like) in the 520south, asymmetrical north-south migration at the AOF was required. The result 521 obtained is very similar to that obtained with endogenous selection. Introgression 522proceeds much more slowly than in the fine-grained habitat model, although 523 faster than with endogenous selection (with the same recombination rate of 1 524cM). Another difference between the exogenous and endogenous models is that 525under exogenous selection, the habitat 2 genetic background (Mediterranean-526like) cannot be maintained without migration from the north, because it is 527counter-selected in the south. In the endogenous model however, we can 528 imagine that a sufficiently big patch of Mediterranean background can persist in 529the south, at least transiently, as soon as it has been established by an episode 530of north-south migration.

531

533**DISCUSSION**

534The Almeria-Oran Front (AOF) is arguably one of the most famous hotspots of 535genetic differentiation in the sea (Patarnello et al, 2007), and Mytilus 536*galloprovincialis* one of the iconic species exhibiting a pronounced genetic break 537at the AOF (Quesada et al, 1995a,b, Sanjuan et al. 1994). We already had indirect 538evidence that reproductive isolation might have evolved between Mediterranean 539and Atlantic refugia (i) in order to maintain genetic differentiation upon contact 540(Quesada et al, 1995a), (ii) in order to maintain a sharp genetic break (Quesada 541et al, 1995b), and (iii) in order to explain the semi-permeability of the barrier to 542gene flow (Fraisse et al. 2016). However, the story could not be definitively 543settled without more direct evidence that interbreeding opportunities exist at the 544transition zone, even if such opportunities are rare. Maybe due to this lack of 545evidence of reproductive isolation, the idea that the Atlantic-Mediterranean divide 546could simply be explained either by the AOF itself acting as a barrier to larval 547dispersal or by the ecological differences between Atlantic and Mediterranean 548 waters remains strongly anchored in the marine literature. In addition, knowing 549Atlantic waters enter the Mediterranean Sea through the South by the Algerian 550current, the description of the southern side of the transition zone was a 551sampling lacuna that needed to be filled. Sampling that coast revealed far more 552than one could have expected. The unexplored side of the biogeographic 553boundary was hiding a 600 km wide mosaic hybrid zone. We will argue here that 554the existence of this large mosaic hybrid zone provides evidence-based support 555 for the existence of partial reproductive isolation, which prevents gene flow when 556the two lineages live in sympatry/syntopy with the opportunity to interbreed and 557exchange genes in the absence of such reproductive isolation. We believe that 558the existence of partial reproductive isolation between heterogeneously-559differentiated genetic backgrounds should supplement the disruption of 560population connectivity and selection against maladaptive migrants to provide a 561 fuller explanation of genetic subdivision in marine (Bierne et al, 2011, Gagnaire 562et al. 2015, Riginos et al. 2016) as well as terrestrial species (Barton and Hewitt 5631985, Hewitt 1989).

564The previously-reported absence of mussels between Almeria and Alicante 565(Quesada *et al*, 1995b) on the Spanish coast suggested that there was no strong 566opportunity for sympatry and interbreeding between the Atlantic and the 567Mediterranean linages, in accordance with the AOF as an efficient barrier to

568dispersal. However, two populations were sampled south of Alicante in Cartagena 569and San Pedro (N4 and N5 in Figure 1) with a low but non-negligible proportion of 570these individuals assigned to the Atlantic genetic cluster. This is a sufficient 571 proportion of migrant mussels to refute the barrier to dispersal as strong enough 572to explain the maintenance of the genetic structure. Along with the results from 573the southern coast presented here, there remains no ambiguity that the Atlantic 574lineage not only enters the Mediterranean Sea, but co-exists with the 575Mediterranean lineage, sometimes in balanced proportions, across a widespread 576area where mussels are numerous. Described as a strong barrier to larval 577dispersal, the AOF is in fact rather a mix of Atlantic waters and Alboran surface 578waters called 'Modified Atlantic water' (MAW, Font et al, 1998) in the Eastern 579Alboran anticyclone and along the Algerian coast thanks to the Algerian current. 580There are several species without a genetic break at the AOF -e.g. the European 581 flat oyster Ostrea edulis (Launey et al, 2002), the Mediterranean rainbow wrasse 582Coris julis (Aurelle et al, 2003), or the saddled seabream Oblada melanura 583(Galarza et al, 2009). These species share similar biological and ecological 584characteristics with species that do exhibit a break and this observation was 585already a strong argument against the hypothesis of a sufficiently reduced 586dispersal rate at the AOF to explain the genetic differentiation observed in many 587 species.

588We now turn to the more complex issue of the nature of the genetic barrier 589(extrinsic, intrinsic, or both). Given the contrasting environments inhabited by the 590two lineages in the Mediterranean Sea and the Atlantic Ocean, there is little 591 doubt that differential adaptation must exist between the two lineages (including 592phenotypic plasticity and epigenetic responses). However, the large zone of co-593 existence in Algeria modifies our view of how the genetic barrier operates. We no 594longer have to explain adaptation in the two seas but have to explain that the 595two lineages are maintained genetically cohesive in sympatry along 600 km of 596Algerian coasts. Without being able to refute exogenous selection, we argue here 597that intrinsic pre- or post-zygotic isolation is equally likely, if not more likely. We 598have shown with simple simulations that the mosaic genetic structure observed 599in Algeria can indeed be obtained with intrinsic reproductive isolation alone 600(Figure 3 and 4). It only requires asymmetric north-south dispersal at the AOF, 601which seems a reasonable assumption. In addition, simulations of exogenous 602selection to a coarse-grained environment also require the assumption of 603asymmetric north-south dispersal at the AOF (Figure 5B), or a patch of 604maladapted Mediterranean mussels cannot be maintained in the south. 605Adaptation to a patchy fine-grained environment might better explain the mosaic 606structure but does not generate a strong barrier to gene flow if neutral markers 607are not tightly linked to adaptive loci. We probably have not sampled the genome 608sufficiently densely during our previous genome scans to argue for strong 609physical linkage between our markers and local adaption genes (Hoban et al. 6102016), and we therefore need a process able to generate a barrier to gene flow 611on a large proportion of the genome (Bierne et al. 2011). Selection against 612 migrants that are maladapted to the local environment is often proposed as a 613process able to generate a genome-wide barrier to gene flow (Marshall et al, 6142010; Nosil et al, 2005). However, it is not well appreciated that local selection 615needs to be extremely strong to generate a sufficiently effective barrier to gene 616flow (Barton and Bengtsson, 1986; Feder and Nosil, 2010; Slatkin, 1973), 617producing a strong segregation of each ecotype in its own favoured habitat. As 618soon as lineages coexist in balanced proportions somewhere, as observed here in 619Algeria, some sort of selection against hybrids must exist. It can still be 620exogenous selection (Kruuk et al. 1999), but hybrids must perform poorly in both 621habitats. Hybrids are the bridges used by neutral genes to flow across the barrier 622and they either need to be produced in low proportion or to be all unfit for a 623barrier to gene flow to have broad genomic effects (Barton and Bengtsson 1986). 624Here, in the Algerian hybrid zone, this is indeed the case as we observed a strong 625deficit of intermediate genotypes and strong departures from Hardy-Weinberg 626and linkage equilibrium among physically unlinked loci (Figure 2). Finally, the 627coarse-grained environment we simulated is not very likely. Although Atlantic 628 waters enter the Algerian current, Algerian waters are much more similar to other 629Mediterranean waters than to Atlantic waters. Fine-grained habitat heterogeneity 630was also far from evident between our sampling sites in Algeria as we sampled 631very similar microsites: high-shore rocks protected from wave action by artificial 632port breakwaters and with no evident influence of freshwater from nearby 633estuaries. Taken altogether, we believe these arguments suggest intrinsic 634 reproductive isolation, maybe pre-zygotic given the apparent paucity of F1 635hybrids, is a parsimonious evidence-based hypothesis that should be considered.

636Overall our results provide additional support for the coupling hypothesis, which 637suggests that genetic breaks are often secondary contact semi-permeable 638tension zones between heterogeneously differentiated genomes trapped by 639physical barriers to dispersal or environmental boundaries (Bierne *et al*, 2011). 640Physical and environmental factors mostly explain the position of the genetic 641breaks but the maintenance of genome-wide genetic differentiation is best 642explained by reproductive isolation. Our model implies the differential coupling of 643sister tension zones at two different geographic positions, at the AOF in the North 644and at the GBB in the south. The abrupt genetic shift at the GBB is a new 645 observation in an understudied area. However, it fits well with the position of a 646barrier to dispersal identified with oceanographic modelling in three recent 647analyses (Andrello et al, 2015; Berline et al, 2014; Rossi et al, 2014). Note in 648addition that these three hydrodynamic studies identified much more efficient 649barriers to larval dispersal than the AOF within the Mediterranean Sea that are 650not hotspots of genetic differentiation. Differential coupling of sister tension 651zones can occur in coastal or river species living in linear landscapes with tree-652like reticulation that are not well-described by standard 1D or 2D stepping stone 653models (Fourcade et al. 2013). For instance, some hybrid zones secondarily 654trapped at the entrance of the Baltic Sea are likely to have produced sister hybrid 655 zones which have moved further north along the Norwegian coast to be trapped 656somewhere in northern Scandinavia (e.g. in Mytilus trossulus, Macoma balthica, 657Gadus morhua, Platichthys flesus and Gammarus zaddachi; reviewed in Bierne et 658al, 2011). Recently the Baltic - North Sea contact zone between the strongly 659 divergent mussel species Mytilus trossulus and M. edulis has been investigated in 660the southern coast area and was identified to be positioned well inside the Baltic 661Sea in Germany (Stuckas et al. 2017) while it is localised in the Oresund in the 662North (Väinölä and Hvilsom 1991).

663To conclude, the mosaic hybrid zone between Oran and Bejaia observed in *M*. 664*galloprovincialis* mussels contributes to definitively refute the hypothesis that the 665AOF itself generates a sufficiently strong barrier to dispersal to maintain genetic 666differentiation in this species. It also opens the debate about the nature of the 667genetic barrier, which is not necessarily related to differential adaptation to 668Atlantic and Mediterranean waters but could imply intrinsic pre- or post-zygotic 669reproductive isolation. The next step will be to conduct lab experiments (hybrid 670crosses) and field studies (settlement and reproduction) to put the alternatives to 671the test. Our results also call for new genetic studies along Algerian coasts in 672other marine species. A few samples of sea bass from eastern Algeria proved to 673be admixed between the Atlantic and Mediterranean lineages (Duraton et al. 6742018), although we do not yet know the spatial variation of admixture 675proportions in this system. Finally our study reveals how complex the interplay 676between connectivity (hydrography), environmental variation (seascape) and 677reproductive isolation (including both extrinsic and intrinsic mechanisms) can be. 678Without minimising the importance of hydrography and local selection, we 679believe the excessive emphasis on oceanographic features in the interpretation 680of the genetic structure in the sea sometimes results in an incomplete 681understanding of the underlying processes explaining its origin and maintenance.

682

683Acknowledgments

684It is a pleasure to thank Marie-Thérèse Augé and Cathy Haag-Liautard for their 685technical help in the lab, and Marina Albentosa as well as Carlos Saavedra for 686Spanish samples. We also thank Pierre-Alexandre Gagnaire, Christelle Fraïsse, 687François Bonhomme, Nathalie Charbonnel, Sophie Arnaud-Haond and Lilia Bahri-688Sfar for discussions, as well as Cynthia Riginos, Heiko Stuckas and 6 anonymous 689referees for their insightful comments on earlier drafts. Molecular data were 690produced through the ISEM platform Génomique des Populations Marines 691(PGPM7) at the Station Marine de Sète (OSU OREME, Observatoire de Recherche 692Méditerranéen de l'Environnement) and the platform Génomique 693Environnementale of the LabEx CeMEB (Laboratoire d'Excellence Centre 694Méditerranéen de l'Environnement et de la Biodiversité). This work was funded by 695a Languedoc-Roussillon "Chercheur(se)s d'Avenir" grant (Connect7 project). This 696is article 2018-286 of Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution de Montpellier.

697

698Conflict of Interest

699The authors declare no conflict of interest.

700

701 Data Archiving

702Sequence data have deposited in GenBank: accession numbers MK259098 - 703MK259664

704Genotype data have been deposited in Dryad: doi:10.5061/dryad.m3t6p5g 705

706**References**

707Abbott R, Albach D, Ansell S, Arntzen JW, Baird SJE, Bierne N *et al* (2013). 708Hybridization and speciation. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **26**: 229–246.

709Anderson E, Thompson E (2002). A model-based method for identifying species 710hybrids using multilocus genetic data. *Genetics* **160**: 1217-1229.

711Andrello M, Jacobi MN, Manel S, Thuiller W, Mouillot D (2015). Extending networks 712of protected areas to optimize connectivity and population growth rate. 713*Ecography* **38**: 273-282.

714Arnold (2006). Evolution through genetic exchange. *Oxford, UK: Oxford* 715*University Press*.

716Aurelle D, Guillemaud T, Afonso P, Morato T, Wirtz P, Santos RS *et al* (2003). 717Genetic study of Coris julis (Osteichtyes, Perciformes, Labridae) evolutionary 718history and dispersal abilities. *Comptes Rendus Biologies* **326**: 771-785.

719Avise JC (2000). *Phylogeography: The history and formation of species*. Harvard 720University Press: Cambridge, MA.

721Barton N, Turelli M (2011). Spatial waves of advance with bistable dynamics: 722cytoplasmic and genetic analogues of Allee effects. *The American Naturalist* **178**: 723E48-E75.

724Barton NH (1979). The dynamics of hybrid zone. *Heredity* **43**: 341-359.

725Barton NH (1986). The effects of linkage and density-dependant regulation on 726gene flow. *Heredity* **57**: 415-426.

727Barton NH, Bengtsson BO (1986). The barrier to genetic exchange between 728hybridising populations. *Heredity* **56**: 357-376.

729Barton NH, Gale KS (1993). Genetic analysis of hybrid zones. In: HARRISON RG 730(ed) *Hybrid zones and the evolutionary process*. Oxford University Press: New 731York, Oxford, pp 13-45.

732Barton NH, Hewitt GM (1985). Analysis of hybrid zones. *Annual Review of Ecology* 733*and Systematics* **16**: 113-148.

734Belkhir K, Borsa P, Chikhi L, Raufaste N, Bonhomme F. (2002). GENETIX 4.05, 735logiciel sous Windows TM pour la génétique des populations. Université de 736Montpellier 2: Montpellier, France.

737Berline L, Rammou A-M, Doglioli A, Molcard A, Petrenko A (2014). A Connectivity-738Based Eco-Regionalization Method of the Mediterranean Sea. *PLOS ONE* **9**: 739e111978.

740Bialozyt R, Ziegenhagen B, Petit RJ (2006). Contrasting effects of long distance 741seed dispersal on genetic diversity during range expansion. *Journal of* 742*Evolutionary Biology* **19**: 12–20.

743Bierne N, Bonhomme F, Boudry P, Szulkin M, David P (2006). Fitness landscapes 744support the dominance theory of post-zygotic isolation in the mussels Mytilus 745edulis and M. galloprovincialis. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological* 746*Sciences* **273**: 1253-1260.

747Bierne N, Borsa P, Daguin C, Jollivet D, Viard F, Bonhomme F *et al* (2003). 748Introgression patterns in the mosaic hybrid zone between Mytilus edulis and M-749galloprovincialis. *Molecular Ecology* **12**: 447-461.

750Bierne N, David P, Boudry P, Bonhomme F (2002). Assortative fertilization and 751selection at larval stage in the mussels Mytilus edulis and M-galloprovincialis. 752*Evolution* **56**: 292-298.

753Bierne N, Gagnaire P-A, David P (2013). The geography of introgression in a 754patchy environment and the thorn in the side of ecological speciation. *Current* 755*Zoology* **59**: 72-86.

756Bierne N, Welch J, Loire E, Bonhomme F, David P (2011). The coupling hypothesis: 757why genome scans may fail to map local adaptation genes. *Molecular Ecology* 758**20**: 2044-2072.

759Borrero-Pérez GH, González-Wangüemert M, Marcos C, Pérez-Ruzafa A (2011). 760Phylogeography of the Atlanto-Mediterranean sea cucumber Holothuria 761(Holothuria) mammata: the combined effects of historical processes and current 762oceanographical pattern. *Molecular Ecology* **20**: 1964-1975.

763Borsa P, Naciri M, Bahri L, Chikhi L, Garcia de Leon FJ, Kotoulas G *et al* (1997). 764Zoogéographie infra-spécifique de la mer Méditerranée. *Vie Milieu* **47**: 295-305.

765Charlesworth B, Charlesworth D, Barton NH (2003). The effects of genetic and 766geographic structure on neutral variation. *Annual Review of Ecology and* 767*Systematics* **34**: 99-125.

768Chevolot M, Hoarau G, Rijnsdorp AD, Stam WT, Olsen JL (2006). Phylogeography 769and population structure of thornback rays (Raja clavata L., Rajidae). *Molecular* 770*Ecology* **15**: 3693–3705.

771Cordero D, Peña JB, Saavedra C (2014). Phylogeographic analysis of introns and 772mitochondrial DNA in the clam Ruditapes decussatus uncovers the effects of 773Pleistocene glaciations and endogenous barriers to gene flow. *Molecular* 774Phylogenetics and Evolution **71**: 274-287.

775Cruickshank TE, Hahn MW (2014). Reanalysis suggests that genomic islands of 776speciation are due to reduced diversity, not reduced gene flow. *Molecular Ecology* 77723(13): 3133-3157.

778Diz AP, Presa P (2008). Regional patterns of microsatellite variation in Mytilus 779galloprovincialis from the Iberian Peninsula. *Marine Biology* **154**: 277-286.

780Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK (2003). Inference of population structure using 781multilocus genotype data: linked loci and correlated allele frequencies. *Genetics* 782**164**: 1567-1587.

783Feder JL, Nosil P (2010). The efficacy of divergence hitchhiking in generating 784genomic islands during ecological speciation. *Evolution* **64**: 1729-1747.

785Flaxman, S. M., Feder, J. L., & Nosil, P. (2012). Spatially explicit models of 786divergence and genome hitchhiking. *Journal of evolutionary biology*, **25**: 2633-7872650.

788Fourcade, Y., Chaput-Bardy, A., Secondi, J., Fleurant, C., & Lemaire, C. (2013). Is 789local selection so widespread in river organisms? Fractal geometry of river

790networks leads to high bias in outlier detection. *Molecular Ecology*, **22**: 2065-7912073.

792Font J, Millot C, Salas J, Julià A, Chic O (1998). The drift of Modified Atlantic Water 793from the Alboran Sea to the eastern Mediterranean. *Scientia Marina* 62: 211-216.

794Fraïsse C, Belkhir K, Welch JJ, Bierne N (2016). Local interspecies introgression is 795the main cause of extreme levels of intraspecific differentiation in mussels. 796*Molecular Ecology* **25**: 269-286.

797Gagnaire PA, Broquet T, Aurelle D, Viard F, Souissi A, Bonhomme F *et al* (2015). 798Using neutral, selected and hitchhiker loci to assess connectivity of marine 799populations in the genomic era. *Evolutionary Applications* **8**: 769-786..

800Galarza JA, Carreras-Carbonell J, Macpherson E, Pascual M, Roques S, Turner GF *et* 801*al* (2009). The influence of oceanographic fronts and early-life-history traits on 802connectivity among littoral fish species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of* 803*Sciences* **106**: 1473-1478.

804Gilg, M. R., & Hilbish, T. J. (2003). The geography of marine larval dispersal: 805Coupling genetics with fine-scale physical oceanography. *Ecology*, **84**: 2989-8062998.

807Goldberg EE, Lande R (2007). Species' borders and dispersal barriers. *The* 808American Naturalist **170**: 297-304.

809Gosset C, Bierne N (2013). Differential introgression from a sister species explains 810high Fst outlier loci within a mussel species. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **26**: 81114-26.

812Harrison RG (1993). *Hybrid zones and the evolutionary process*. Oxford University 813Press: Oxford.

814Harrison RG, Larson EL (2016). Heterogeneous genome divergence, differential 815introgression, and the origin and structure of hybrid zones. *Molecular Ecology*: in 816press.

817Hellberg ME (2009). Gene flow and isolation among populations of marine 818animals. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **40**: 291–310.

819Hellberg ME, Burton RS, Neigel JE, Palumbi SR (2002). Genetic assessment of 820connectivity among marine populations. *Bulletin of Marine Science* **70**: 273-290.

821Hewitt GM (1975). A sex-chromosome hybrid zone in the grasshopper *Podisma* 822*pedestris* (Orthoptera: Acrididae). *Heredity* **35**: 375-387.

823Hewitt GM (1996). Some genetic consequences of ice ages, and their role in 824divergence and speciation. . *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **58**: 247-825276.

826Hewitt GM (2000). The genetic legacy of the quaternary ice ages. *Nature* **405**: 827907-913.

828Ibrahim KM, Nichols RA, Hewitt GM (1996). patial patterns of genetic variation 829generated by different forms of dispersal during range expansion. *Heredity* **77**: 830282–291.

831Johannesson K, Andre C (2006). Life on the margin: genetic isolation and diversity 832loss in a peripheral marine ecosystem, the Baltic Sea. *Molecular Ecology* **15**: 8332013-2029.

834Johannesson K, Panova M, Kemppainen P, Andre C, Rolan-Alvarez E, Butlin RK 835(2010). Repeated evolution of reproductive isolation in a marine snail: unveiling 836mechanisms of speciation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:* 837*Biological Sciences* **365**: 1735-1747.

838Jombart T, Ahmed I (2011). adegenet 1.3-1: new tools for the analysis of genome-839wide SNP data. *Bioinformatics* **27**: 3070-3071.

840Launey S, Ledu C, Boudry P, Bonhomme F, Naciri-Graven Y (2002). Geographic 841structure in the European flat oyster, *Ostrea edulis* L, as revealed by 842microsatellite polymorphism. *Journal of Heredity* **93**: 331-351.

843Le Corre V, Machon N, Petit RJ, Kremer A (1997). Colonization with long-distance 844seed dispersal and genetic structure of maternally inherited genes in forest trees: 845a simulation study. *Genetical Research* **69**: 117-125.

846Lemaire C, Versini JJ, Bonhomme F (2005). Maintenance of genetic differentiation 847across a transition zone in the sea: discordance between nuclear and cytoplasmic 848markers. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **18**: 70-80.

849Librado P, Rozas J (2009). DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of 850DNA polymorphism data. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 1451-1452.

851Lourenço, C. R., Nicastro, K. R., Serrão, E. A., Castilho, R., & Zardi, G. I. (2015). 852Behind the mask: cryptic genetic diversity of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* along 853southern European and northern African shores. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, 85481(3), 380-387.

855M'Gonigle LK, FitzJohn RG (2010). Assortative mating and spatial structure in 856hybrid zones. *Evolution* **64**: 444-455.

857Manel S, Holderegger R (2013). Ten years of landscape genetics. *Trends in* 858*Ecology and Evolution* **28**: 614-621.

859Marshall DJ, Monro K, Bode M, Keough MJ, Swearer S (2010). Phenotype-860environment mismatches reduce connectivity in the sea. *Ecology Letters* **13**: 128-861140.

862McQuaid, C. D., & Phillips, T. E. (2000). Limited wind-driven dispersal of intertidal 863mussel larvae: in situ evidence from the plankton and the spread of the invasive 864species *Mytilus galloprovincialis* in South Africa. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 865**201**: 211-220.

866Meirmans PG (2015). Seven common mistakes in population genetics and how to 867avoid them. *Molecular Ecology* **24**: 3223-3231.

868Nichols RA, Hewitt GM (1994). The genetic consequences of long distance 869dispersal during colonization. *Heredity* **72**: 312–317.

870Nosil P, Vines TH, Funk DJ (2005). Reproductive isolation caused by natural 871selection against immigrants from divergent habitats. *Evolution* **59**: 705-719.

872Ouagajjou, Y., & Presa, P. (2015). The connectivity of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* in 873northern Morocco: a gene flow crossroads between continents. *Estuarine, Coastal* 874*and Shelf Science*, *152*, 1-10.

875Palero F, Abelló P, Macpherson E, Gristina M, Pascual M (2008). Phylogeography 876of the European spiny lobster (Palinurus elephas): influence of current 877oceanographical features and historical processes. *Molecular Phylogenetics and* 878Evolution **48**: 708–717.

879Palumbi SR (1994). Genetic divergence, reproductive isolation, and marine 880speciation. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **25**: 547-572.

881Patarnello T, Volckaert FA, Castilho R (2007). Pillars of Hercules: is the Atlantic-882Mediterranean transition a phylogeographical break? *Molecular Ecology* 16: 4426-8834444.

884Pelc RA, Warner RR, Gaines SD (2009). Geographical patterns of genetic structure 885in marine species with contrasting life histories. *Journal of Biogeography* **36**: 8861881-1890.

887Piálek J, Barton NH (1997). The spread of an advantageous allele across a barrier: 888the effects of random drift and selection against heterozygotes. *Genetics* **145**: 889493-504.

890Polechová J, Barton N (2011). Genetic drift widens the expected cline but narrows 891the expected cline width. *Genetics* **189**: 227-235.

892Qin XX, Coyne KJ, Waite JH (1997). Tough Tendons Mussel Byssus Has Collagen 893with Silk-LikeDomains. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **51**: 32623–32627.

894Quesada H, Beynon CM, Skibinski DOF (1995a). A mitochondrial DNA 895discontinuity in the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* : pleistocene vicariance 896biogeography and secondary intergradation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **12**: 897521-524.

898Quesada H, Warren M, Skibinski DOF (1998). Nonneutral evolution and differential 899mutation rate of gender-associated mitochondrial DNA lineages in the marine 900mussel *Mytilus*. *Genetics* **149**: 1511-1526.

901Quesada H, Zapata C, Alvarez G (1995b). A multilocus allozyme discontinuity in 902the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*: the interaction of ecological and life-history 903factors. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **116**: 99-115.

904Rand DM, Harrison RG (1989). Ecological genetics of a mosaic hybrid zone: 905mitochondrial , nuclear, and reproductive differentiation of crickets by soil type. 906*Evolution* **43**: 432-449.

907Ravinet, M., Faria, R., Butlin, R. K., Galindo, J., Bierne, N., Rafajlović, M., ... & 908Westram, A. M. (2017). Interpreting the genomic landscape of speciation: a road 909map for finding barriers to gene flow. *Journal of evolutionary biology* **30**: 1450-9101477.

911Remington CL (1968). Suture-zones of hybrid interaction between recently joined 912biotas. In: Dobzhansky T, Hecht MK and Steere WC (eds) *Evolutionary Biology*. 913Plenum Press: New York. Vol. 2, pp 321-428. 914Riginos C, Douglas KE, Jin Y, Shanahan DF, Treml EA (2011). Effects of geography 915and life history traits on genetic differentiation in benthic marine fishes. 916*Ecography* **34**: 566-575.

917Riginos C, Liggins L (2013). Seascape genetics: populations, individuals, and 918genes marooned and adrift. *Geography Compass* **7**: 197-216.

919Rocha L, Bowen B (2008). Speciation in coral-reef fishes. *Journal of Fish Biology* 920**72**: 1101-1121.

921Rossi V, Ser-Giacomi E, López C, Hernández-García E (2014). Hydrodynamic 922provinces and oceanic connectivity from a transport network help designing 923marine reserves. *Geophysical Research Letters* **41**: 2883-2891.

924Sanjuan, A., Zapata, C., & Alvarez, G. (1994). *Mytilus galloprovincialis* and *M.* 925*edulis* on the coasts of the Iberian Peninsula. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 926131-146.

927Schunter, C., Carreras-Carbonell, J., Macpherson, E., Tintoré, J., Vidal-Vijande, E., 928Pascual, A., ... & Pascual, M. (2011). Matching genetics with oceanography: 929directional gene flow in a Mediterranean fish species. *Molecular Ecology*, **20**: 9305167-5181.

931Stanley, R. R., DiBacco, C., Lowen, B., Beiko, R. G., Jeffery, N. W., Van 932Wyngaarden, M., ... & Johnson, C. (2018). A climate-associated multispecies 933cryptic cline in the northwest Atlantic. *Science advances*, *4*(3), eaaq0929.

934Slatkin (1987). Gene flow and the geographic structure of natural populations. 935*Science* **236**: 787–792.

936Slatkin M (1973). Gene flow and selection in a cline. *Genetics* **75**: 733-756.

937Stuckas, H., Knöbel, L., Schade, H., Breusing, C., Hinrichsen, H. H., Bartel, M., ... & 938Melzner, F. (2017). Combining hydrodynamic modelling with genetics: can passive 939larval drift shape the genetic structure of Baltic *Mytilus* populations?. *Molecular* 940*Ecology*, **26**: 2765-2782.Swenson NG, Howard DJ (2005). Clustering of Contact 941Zones, Hybrid Zones, and Phylogeographic Breaks in North America. *The* 942*American Naturalist* **166**: 581-591.

943Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: Molecular 944Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 6.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**: 9452725-2729.

946Teske PR, Papadopoulos I, Barker NP, McQuaid CD, Beheregaray LB (2014). 947Mitonuclear discordance in genetic structure across the Atlantic/Indian Ocean 948biogeographical transition zone. *Journal of Biogeography* **41**: 293–401.

949Thibert-Plante X, Hendry A 2010. When can ecological speciation be detected 950with neutral loci? *Molecular Ecology* **19**: 2301-2314.

951Tine M, Kuhl H, Gagnaire P-A, Louro B, Desmarais E, Martins RST *et al* (2014). The 952European seabass genome and its variation provide insights into adaptation to 953euryhalinity and speciation. *Nature Communications* **5**.

954Väinölä, R., & Hvilsom, M. M. (1991). Genetic divergence and a hybrid zone 955between Baltic and North Sea *Mytilus* populations (Mytilidae: Mollusca). *Biological* 956*Journal of the Linnean Society* **43**: 127-148.

957Vines TH, Dalziel AC, Albert AY, Veen T, Schulte PM, Schluter D (2016). Cline 958coupling and uncoupling in a stickleback hybrid zone. *Evolution* **70**: 1023-1038.

959Viúdez Á, Tintoré J (1995). Time and space variability in the eastern Alboran Sea 960from March to May 1990. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* **100**: 8571-9618586.

962Zouros E, Oberhauser Ball A, Saavedra C, Freeman KR (1994). A unusual type of 963mtDNA inheritance in the blue mussel *Mytilus*. *Proceedings of the National* 964*Academy of Science of the United States of America* **91**: 7463-7467.

966Titles and legends to figures

967Table 1. Examples of marine hotspots of genetic differentiation.

968

969Figure 1. Sampling localities of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* in the north-eastern 970Atlantic and the Mediterranean Sea. Proportion of individuals assigned to the 971Atlantic cluster (in red), the Mediterranean cluster (in green) and unassigned (in 972black), based on a DAPC analysis with the four loci (*COIII*, *Precol-D*, *EFbis* and 973*EF2*). Sample names and their GPS positions are given in the supplementary 974Table S1.

975

976Figure 2. *Mytilus galloprovincialis* Mediterranean allele frequencies at the four 977semi-diagnostic loci analysed (*COIII*, *Precol-D*, *EFbis* and *EF2*) for (A) the 9 978samples of the northern coastline and (B) the 14 samples of the southern 979coastline. AOF: Almeria Oran Front, GBB: Gulf of Bejaïa Barrier. (C) Average 980multilocus linkage disequilibrium (D, bold line, left axis) and Hardy-Weinberg 981disequilibrium (Fis, dashed line, right axis) in samples of the northern coastline 982and (D) samples of the southern coastline. Bar plot of the estimated ancestry 983proportions (Q-values) estimated by STRUCTURE with the three nuclear markers 984(*Precol-D*, *EFbis* and *EF2*) for (E) the samples of the northern coastline and (F) the 985southern coastline.

986

987Figure 3. (A) Simulation output obtained with a bi-locus deterministic model with 988selection against recombinant genotypes (s=0.1) and a slight advantage of the 989Mediterranean background (a=0.01) in a 60 demes Y-shape stepping stone model 990(m=0.3), including two weak barriers to gene flow (mbar=0.1) between demes 99120 and 21 (AOF) in the northern chain and between demes 40 and 41 (GBB) in 992the southern chain of demes, a contact between demes 50 and 51, and 993unidirectional migration right to the AOF. Above: northern chain, below: southern 994chain. The insert shows an output when migration was bidirectional between the 995two chains. Clines are represented every 500 generations with a rainbow colour 996code from orange to dark blue. Blue clines superimposed green clines in the 997southern chain because they remain at the same position (trapping). (B) 998Simulation with a contact between demes 10 and 11 and an advantage of the 999Atlantic background (a=0.01). Clines are represented every 500 generations with 1000a rainbow colour code from orange to dark blue. Dark blue clines superimposed 1001light blue and green clines because they remain at the same position (trapping).

1002

1003Figure 4. (A) A simulation output obtained at a neutral locus at a genetic map 1004length of 1cM to one intrinsic incompatibility after 1000 generations, with the Y-1005shape bi-unidimensional stepping stone model, including two weak barriers to 1006gene flow between demes 25 and 26 (AOF) in the northern chain and between 1007demes 40 and 41 (GBB) in the southern chain of demes and unidirectional 1008migration right to the AOF. (B) Proportion of Mediterranean ancestry under an 1009admixture model (STRUCTURE Q-values). Geographic positions are rescaled so 1010that Almeria superposes to Oran.

1011

1012Figure 5. (A) Simulation output obtained with a deterministic model with 1013exogenous selection in a fine-grained environment between AOF and GBB in the 1014southern coasts (in which habitat type was assigned randomly). Other 1015parameters the same as previous figure. Thick line: selected locus, thin line: 1016neutral locus after 1000 generations (r=1cM) (B) Simulation output obtained with

1017a deterministic model with exogenous selection in a coarse-grained environment. 1018Thick line: selected locus, thin line: neutral locus after 1000 generations (r=1cM).

Barrier to gene flow	Potential processes involved Vicariance (V) Temperature (T) Salinity (S) Hydrography (H)	References
Øresund and Danish Belts	S	Johannesson and Andre (2006)
Almeria Oran Front	V, H, S, T	Paternello et al (2007), Galarza et al (2009)
Balearic Front	Н	Galarza et al (2009)
Siculo - Tunisian Strait	V, H, S, T	Bahri Sfar et al (2000), Mejri et al (2009)
Cape Cod (Massachusetts)	V, H	McGovern & Hellberg (2003), Kelly et al. (2006), (Haney et al. 2007) Beeb and Avise (1990), Avise et al.
Cape Canaveral (Florida)	V, H, T	(1992),
Nova Scotia 44-45°N	т	Stanley et al. (2018)
Cape Mendocino (California)	С, Н	Blanchette et al. 2008, Sivasundar & Palumbi (2010)
Point Conception (California)	V, Н	Burton (1998), Cassone & Boulding (2006), Dawson (2001), Pelc et al. (2009),
Cape Agulhas (South Africa)	Н	Evans et al. 2004, Teske et al (2014)
30°S Chilean biogeographic break	Н	Brante et al (2012)









