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## The Generalized Non-Linear Schrödinger Equation

x In a model of light-wave propagation in an optical fibre, the evolution of the slowly varying pulse envelope A obeys the Generalized Non-Linear Schrödinger Equation (GNLSE)
$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} A(z, t)=-\frac{\alpha}{2} A(z, t)+\left(\sum_{n=2}^{n_{\max }} \mathrm{i}^{n+1} \frac{\beta_{n}}{n!} \frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial t^{n}} A(z, t)\right)$
$+\mathrm{i} \gamma\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\omega_{0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\left[A(z, t)\left(\left(1-f_{R}\right)|A(z, t)|^{2}+f_{R} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h_{R}(s)|A(z, t-s)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s\right)\right]$
taking into account phenomena such as
linear attenuation
linear dispersion
non linear effects: non linear dispersion, instantaneous Kerr effect, delayed Raman effect
$x$ The GNLSE is solved for the initial condition at $z=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad A(0, t)=a_{0}(t) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}$ is a given function and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $z \in[0, L]$ where $L$ denotes the length of the fiber

## Theory behind the IP method : a change of unknown

$x$ We introduce the linear operator

$$
\mathcal{D}: A(z) \longmapsto \frac{\alpha}{2} A(z)-\sum_{n=2}^{n_{\text {max }}} \beta_{n} \frac{i^{n+1}}{n!} \partial_{t}^{n} A(z),
$$

the non-linear operator ( $\star$ stands for the convolution product)

$$
V: A(z) \longmapsto \mathrm{i} \gamma\left(1+\frac{1}{\omega_{0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\left[A(z)\left(\left(1-f_{r}\right)|A(z)|^{2}+f_{r}\left(h_{R} *|A(z)|^{2}\right)\right)\right]
$$

and a subdivision $z_{k}, k \in\{0, \ldots, K\}$ of $[0, L]$. We set $h_{k}=z_{k+1}-z_{k}$ and $z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}=z_{k}+\frac{h_{k}}{2}$.
$x$ Solving (1)-(2) is equivalent to solving the sequence of connected problems $\left(\mathcal{P}_{k}\right)_{k=0, \ldots, K-1}$ where (we set $A_{-1}=a_{0}$ )

$$
\left(\mathcal{P}_{k}\right)\left\{\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_{k}(z) & =\mathcal{D} A_{k}(z)+\mathcal{N}\left(A_{k}(z)\right) \quad \forall z \in\left[z_{k}, z_{k+1}\right] \\
A_{k}\left(z_{k}\right) & =A_{k-1}\left(z_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

$\mathbf{x}$ We introduce as new unknown the mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}^{\text {ip }}:(z, t) \in\left[z_{k}, z_{k+1}\right] \times \mathbb{R} \longmapsto \exp \left(-\left(z-z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right) \mathcal{D}\right) A_{k}(z, t) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\exp \left(\left(z-z_{k+1}\right) \mathcal{D}\right)$ refers to the continuous group of bounded operators on $\mathbb{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ defined by $\mathcal{D}[1]$.
x The unknown $A_{k}^{\text {ip }}$ is solution to the following ODE problem over each subinterval $\left[z_{k}, z_{k-1}\right]$ where $t$ acts as a parameter [1]

$$
\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k}\right) \quad\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_{k}^{\mathrm{ip}}(z) & =\mathcal{G}_{k}\left(z, A_{k}^{\mathrm{ip}}(z)\right.
\end{array} \quad \forall z \in\left[z_{k}, z_{k+1}\right] .\right.
$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{k}(z, \cdot)=\exp \left(-\left(z-z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right) \mathcal{D}\right) \circ \mathcal{N} \circ \exp \left(\left(z-z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right) \mathcal{D}\right)$.

## Implementation of the IP method

$\boldsymbol{x}$ Solving $\mathrm{pb}\left(\mathcal{P}_{k}\right)$ through $\mathrm{pb}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k}\right)$ is done in 3 steps

1. Compute the initial data $A_{k}^{i d}\left(z_{k}\right)=\exp \left(-\left(z_{k}-z_{k+1}\right) \mathcal{D}\right) A_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)$ corresponding to the change of unknown (3)
2. Solve problem $\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k}\right)$ for $A_{k}^{i p}\left(z_{k}\right)$
3. Compute $A_{k}\left(z_{k+1}\right)=\exp \left(\left(z_{k}-z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right) \mathcal{D}\right) A_{k}^{i p}\left(z_{k+1}\right)$ by the inverse of mapping (3)
$\mathbf{x}$ This is equivalent to solving the following three nested problems

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall z \in\left[z_{k}, z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right] \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_{k}^{+}(z)=\mathcal{D} A_{k}^{+}(z), \quad A_{k}^{+}\left(z_{k}\right)=A_{k-1}\left(z_{k}\right), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{k-1}\left(z_{k}\right)$ is the solution to $\left(\mathcal{P}_{k}\right)$ at node $z_{k}$ computed at step $k-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall z \in\left[z_{k}, z_{k+1}\right] \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_{k}^{\mathrm{ip}}(z)=\mathcal{G}_{k}\left(z, A_{k}^{\mathrm{ip}}(z)\right), \quad A_{k}^{\mathrm{ip}}\left(z_{k}, t\right)=A_{k}^{+}\left(z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{k}^{+}\left(z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ is the solution to (4) at node $z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall z \in\left[z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, z_{k+1}\right] \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_{k}^{-}(z)=\mathcal{D} A_{k}^{-}(z), \quad A_{k}^{-}\left(z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right)=A_{k}^{\mathrm{ip}}\left(z_{k+1}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{k}^{\mathrm{ip}}\left(z_{k+1}\right)$ represents the solution to (5) at node $z_{k+1}$
$\rightarrow$ The solution of (1) at grid point $z_{k+1}$ is given by $A_{k}\left(z_{k+1}\right)=A_{k}^{-}\left(z_{k+1}\right)$.
$\times$ Problems (4) and (6) are solved by Fourier Transforms whereas problem (5) is solved by the 4th order Runge-Kutta (RK4) method.


Theoretical comparison to the Symmetric Split-Step Fourier method
$\mathbf{x}$ The Symmetric Split-Step method consists in solving over each subinterval $\left[z_{k}, z_{k+1}\right]$ for $k \in\{0, \ldots, K-1\}$, the following 3 nested problems:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall z \in\left[z_{k}, z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right] \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_{k}^{+}(z)=\mathcal{D} A_{k}^{+}(z), \quad A_{k}^{+}\left(z_{k}\right)=A_{k-1}\left(z_{k}\right), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{k-1}\left(z_{k}\right)$ is the solution at node $z_{k}$ computed at step $k-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall z \in\left[z_{k}, z_{k+1}\right] \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} B_{k}(z)=\mathcal{N}\left(B_{k}\right)(z), \quad B_{k}\left(z_{k}\right)=A_{k}^{+}\left(z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{k}^{+}\left(z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, t\right)$ is the solution to problem (7) at node $z_{k+\frac{2}{2}}$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall z \in\left[z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, z_{k+1}\right] \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_{k}^{-}(z)=\mathcal{D} A_{k}^{-}(z) \quad A_{k}^{-}\left(z_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right)=B_{k}\left(z_{k+1}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{k}\left(z_{k+1}, t\right)$ is the solution to problem (8) at node $z_{k+1}$.
$\rightarrow$ The solution of (1) at grid node $z_{k+1}$ is approx. by $A_{k}\left(z_{k+1}\right)=A_{k}^{-}\left(z_{k+1}\right)$.
$x$ In the IP method are solved the nested problems (4)-(5)-(6) instead of (7)-(8)-(9). The only difference is (5) replaced by (8)
$\rightarrow$ It's very easy to modify a program implementing the S3F method to solve the GNLSE into a program implementing the IP method. It suffices to change $\mathcal{N}$ into $\mathcal{G}$ in the RK4 solver for problem (8).

## Experimental Results and Comparison

X Comparison of convergence order : we have shown in [1] that the IP-RK4 method is 4th order accurate (RK4 error) whereas the S3F-RK4 method is 2nd order accurate (due to the use of Strang splitting formula)


Figure : Experimental convergence curves for the IP-RK4 and S3F-RK4 methods, Quadratic relative error versus step size in logarithmic scale (see [1] for simulation details
$x$ Comparison of CPU time and relative quadratic error
$\left\|A(L)-A_{K-1}(L)\right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}} /\|A(L)\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}$ on a test example chosen to match with a typical case of high speed data propagation through a $L=20 \mathrm{~km}$ single mode fibre in optical telecommunication (see [1] for simulation details). Tests were achieved on a Intel Core i5-4200M with 8Go RAM.

| Method | Step-size (m) | CPU time (s) | Relative quadratic error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S3F-RK4 | 100 | 1.48 | $2.558210^{-6}$ |
| IP-RK4 | 100 | 1.42 | $1.495710^{-9}$ |
| S3F-RK4 | 2.5 | 70.17 | $1.596810^{-9}$ |
| S3F-RK4 | 10 | 14.49 | $2.55510^{-8}$ |
| IP-RK4 | 10 | 13.85 | $4.619210^{-13}$ |

## Conclusion : Main features of the method

x Accuracy : the IP-RK4 method is 4th order accurate whereas the S3F-RK4 method is second order accurate (due to the use of Strang splitting formula)
$\mathbf{x}$ With the IP method, the use of an adaptive step-size control is straightforward [2] which is not the case for the S3F-RK4 method [3]. $\mathbf{x}$ The IP-RK4 method can be easily implemented by minor changes on a S3F-RK4 program.
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