

# Impact of surface temperature biases on climate change projections of the South Pacific Convergence Zone

Cyril Dutheil, Margot Bador, Matthieu Lengaigne, Jérôme Lefèvre, Nicolas Jourdain, Jérôme Vialard, Swen Jullien, Alexandre Peltier, Christophe E. Menkès

# ▶ To cite this version:

Cyril Dutheil, Margot Bador, Matthieu Lengaigne, Jérôme Lefèvre, Nicolas Jourdain, et al.. Impact of surface temperature biases on climate change projections of the South Pacific Convergence Zone. Climate Dynamics, 2019, 53, pp.3197-3219. 10.1007/s00382-019-04692-6. hal-02401413

HAL Id: hal-02401413

https://hal.science/hal-02401413

Submitted on 12 Dec 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Impact of surface temperature biases on climate change

# projections of the South Pacific Convergence Zone

3

1

2

Cyril Marcel Dutheil<sup>1</sup>, Bador M.<sup>2</sup>, Lengaigne M.<sup>3</sup>, Lefevre J.<sup>1</sup>, Jourdain N.C.<sup>4</sup>, Vialard J.<sup>3</sup>, Jullien S.<sup>5</sup>, Peltier A.<sup>6</sup>, and Menkes C.<sup>1</sup> 4 5

6 7

- 8 <sup>1</sup> IRD (Institut de Recherche pour le Développement)-Sorbonne Universités (UPMC, Université Paris 06)-CNRS-MNHN-
- 9 IPSL, LOCEAN Laboratory, IRD Nouméa BP A5, 98848 Nouméa cedex, New Caledonia;
- 10 <sup>2</sup> Climate Change Research Centre and ARC Centre of Excellence for Climate Extremes, School of BEES, University of New South
- 11 Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.
- 12 <sup>3</sup> LOCEAN-IPSL, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC, Université Paris 06, CNRS-IRD-MNHN, Paris, France.
- 13 <sup>4</sup> Univ. Grenoble Alpes / CNRS / IRD / G-INP, IGE, Grenoble, France.
- 14 <sup>5</sup> Ifremer, Univ. Brest, CNRS, IRD, Laboratoire d'Océanographie Physique et Spatiale (LOPS), IUEM, Plouzané, France
- 15 <sup>6</sup> Météo France, Nouvelle Calédonie

16

- 17 Corresponding author:
- 18 C. Dutheil
- 19 IRD Nouméa BP A5
- 20 98848 Nouméa cedex
- 21 New Caledonia
- 22 **FRANCE**
- 23 Email: cyril.dutheil@ird.fr

24

25 Abstract

26

- 27 The South Pacific Convergence Zone (SPCZ) is poorly represented in global climate models, with trademark biases 28 such as the "double convergence zones" and an equatorial cold tongue that extends too far westward. Such biases limit 29 our confidence in climate change projections for this region. Here, we first show that simulations using a regional 30 atmospheric model capture the SPCZ mean state and interannual variability well over the 1980-2016 period. We then 31 perform climate change experiments by correcting the model surface and lateral boundary conditions on the basis of
- 32 their projected changes for 2080-2100, obtained from the RCP8.5 seasonalCMIP5 multi-model mean. We also test a
- 33 statistical method that corrects the Sea Surface Temperature (SST) projected changes on the basis of present-day biases.
- 34 This projected SST correction strategy yields a considerably larger southwestern Pacific rainfall reduction (~30%) than

in CMIP5 simulations (~7%). Sensitivity experiments indicate that the weak CMIP5 response is due to biases in the projected CMIP5 SST, which alter future SST gradients, thereby changing the circulation and mitigating the reduction in south Pacific humidity convergence. While several studies have also shown that CMIP5 models project stronger SPCZ variability, with more frequent zonal SPCZ events, our experiments do not support this increase in the number of zonal SPCZ events. Additional simulations with different physical parameterizations yield very similar results, including the southwestern significant Pacific drying and no increase in the number of zonal SPCZ events.

Keywords: Regional climate models, South Pacific Convergence Zone, Precipitation, Sea surface temperature

# 1. Introduction

The South Pacific Convergence Zone is the largest convective area of the southern hemisphere in austral summer (Kiladis et al., 1989; Fig. 1a) and plays a major role in earth's climate (Vincent, 1994; Van der Wiel et al. 2016a). The SPCZ is the main provider of rainfall for a vast majority of South Pacific island nations, where local hydrological conditions are very sensitive to its displacements (e.g. Alexander et al., 2006; Gouriou and Delcroix, 2002; Murphy et al., 2015). The location of this rain belt not only strongly constrains the regional hydrological cycle but is also the breeding ground of South Pacific tropical cyclones (Vincent et al., 2011). The SPCZ exhibits a diagonal orientation along a north-west/south-east axis, stretching from the Solomon Islands to the central subtropical Pacific (thick line in Figure 1a; Kiladis et al., 1989; Vincent, 1994), which has been attributed to the equatorward propagation and the diagonal orientation of eastward propagating Rossby wave trains (Matthews 2012; van der Kiel et al. 2015). The climatological characteristics of the SPCZ has been related to the underlying sea surface temperature (SST) gradients (Van der Wiel et al. 2016b). The SPCZ interannual variability affects the frequency of droughts, floods, and cyclones experienced by vulnerable island countries (Vincent, 1994; Alexander et al., 2006; Kirono et al., 2011; Vincent et al., 2011). These variations are strongly tied to the El Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO), the most energetic climate mode at interannual timescales. El Niño (resp. La Niña) events tend to induce a north-eastward (resp. southwestward) displacement of the SPCZ (e.g Folland, 2002; Juillet-Leclerc et al., 2006; Kidwell et al., 2016; Trenberth, 1976), see also Fig. 4). This picture is much more radical during "extreme" El Niño events such as in 1982-1983, 1997-1998 and 2015-2016, when the SPCZ undergoes an equatorward swing of up to ten degrees of latitude and collapses to a more zonally oriented structure (e.g., Vincent et al., 2011; Cai et al., 2012). The unusual SPCZ shift and re-orientation during these extreme El Niños likely arise from the non-linear rainfall response to ENSO-related sea surface temperature (SST) signals (Chung et al., 2014). These "extreme" events have outsized impacts relative to weaker El Niños, such as massive drought, food shortage (Kirono et al., 2011) and a considerable reorganisation of cyclogenesis (Vincent et al., 2011), with an unusual occurrence of cyclones in French Polynesia.

Global warming also has the potential to influence the SPCZ rainfall location, intensity or variability, which would impact the water resources of many South Pacific islands. The detection of such changes in observations remains uncertain because of the strong aliasing by natural decadal/multi-decadal variability over the past 40 years (Deser et al., 2010; McGree et al., 2014; Meng et al., 2012; Solomon and Newman, 2012; Tokinaga et al., 2012; Vecchi et al., 2008). The assessment of the tropical Pacific response to global warming hence heavily relies on climate projections from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP; Meehl et al., 2007; Taylor et al., 2012). These

projections for the late 21st century generally depict a robust tropical Pacific SST response to greenhouse warming (see Fig. 1d, and Fig. 13a in Li et al., (2016)). This response includes a larger warming rate along the equator than in the offequatorial central basin (e.g. Cai et al., 2015; Collins et al., 2010; Widlansky et al., 2013) and a robust precipitation increase in the equatorial Pacific (Fig. 1d, e.g. Watanabe et al., 2014; Li et al., 2016). This warming pattern also drives a drying of the southeast SPCZ margin in CMIP5 models, attributed to the anomalous transport of dry subtropical air into the SPCZ region (Widlansky et al., 2013), in response to increased SST meridional gradients in the southeast tropical Pacific. Within the core of the SPCZ region, west of the dateline, two mechanisms compete in driving the rainfall changes (Widlansky et al., 2013): the thermodynamical "wet gets wetter" mechanism where increased atmospheric water vapour content under global warming results in increased rainfall in the SPCZ convective region, and the dynamical "warmest gets wetter" mechanism where the comparatively weaker warming in the SPCZ region compared to that in the equatorial region results in anomalous moisture divergence and hence decreased rainfall. This results in a general tendency for the SPCZ core to dry for moderate tropical warming (~1-2°C), when increased transport of moist air toward the equatorial warming dominates the local increase of evaporation, and to moisten for stronger tropical warming (~3°C), when local evaporation, which increases the atmospheric moisture, dominates the dynamical changes (Widlansky et al., 2013). Finally, despite the absence of consensus on how ENSO-driven SST variability may change in the future (Collins et al., 2010; Power et al., 2013; Cai et al., 2015), CMIP5 model projections over the 21st century do indicate a consistent intensification of ENSO-related precipitation in the central/eastern equatorial Pacific because rainfall responds nonlinearly to absolute SST (Power et al., 2013). These climate model projections also display an increased occurrence of "extreme" El Niño and zonal SPCZ events projected over the 21st century because of a meridional SST gradient reduction in the South Pacific (Cai et al., 2012, 2014) allowing the SPCZ to shift to the north more easily. As we will see, the regional simulations in our study do not support the increased frequency of zonal SPCZ in CMIP3-5 projections, described by Cai et al. (2012).

While the representation of tropical Pacific climate has slightly improved in CMIP5 relative to CMIP3 models (Bellenger et al., 2014; Grose et al., 2014), these models still exhibit long-standing biases. They indeed generally produce an excessive cold tongue that extends too far westward (e.g., Li and Xie, 2014; Li et al., 2015; Mechoso et al., 1995; Zheng et al., 2012; Fig. 1c) and a "double ITCZ" bias (Brown et al., 2011; Grose et al., 2014; Irving et al., 2011; Niznik et al., 2015; Perkins, 2011), i.e. a tendency for the SPCZ to be too zonal and to extend too far eastward (Fig. 1 b,c). The southeast SPCZ margin drying projected by CMIP models thus occurs in a region where these models do not correctly simulate the SPCZ (Fig. 1a,b). The precipitation increase in the equatorial western Pacific (Fig. 1d) also occurs in a region where most CMIP models exhibit a cold bias (Brown et al., 2013; Grose et al., 2014; Fig. 1c). Since many aspects of regional climate change depend upon the present-day climatology (e.g., Held and Soden, 2006; Huang et al., 2013; Matsueda and Palmer, 2011; Xie et al., 2015), these model biases may impair the reliability of projected future precipitation changes in the SPCZ region. Indeed, Li et al. (2016) showed that the lower this cold bias, the largest the projected drying in the western Pacific. This clearly indicates an influence of present-day SST biases on projected precipitation in CMIP5 models (see Fig 12, from Li et al., 2016).

A possible strategy to reduce the influence of CMIP biases on climate change projections is to force an atmosphere-only model with bias-corrected SSTs (e.g. Knutson et al., 2008; Nguyen et al., 2012), i.e. to add the global warming CMIP5 SST pattern (ΔSST<sub>GW</sub>) to the present-day observed mean state. Several authors have used this strategy to assess the sensitivity of the SPCZ response to CMIP mean state biases (Brown et al., 2015; Evans et al., 2016; Widlansky et al., 2013). Using a global atmospheric model, Widlansky et al. (2013) demonstrated that the biases in

CMIP tropical mean SST strongly contribute to the uncertainty of twenty-first-century rainfall projections in the South Pacific, with a possible future drying of the western SPCZ portion when those biases are corrected. Using a biasadjusted SST strategy in a number of Regional Climate Models (RCMs), Evans et al. (2016) showed that these southwest Pacific regional climate projections were much more sensitive to the choice of the regional climate model than to the CMIP model used to specify the RCM boundary conditions. Overall, the ensemble of simulations performed in their study hardly displayed any consensus for projected SPCZ changes, although a majority of ensemble members projected a drying of the SPCZ, in line with Widlansky et al. (2013).

The above bias-correction strategy however does not account for possible biases in the CMIP-projected  $\Delta SST_{GW}$ . The present day common cold tongue bias in CMIP5 models may for instance influence the projected  $\Delta SST_{GW}$ . A way to alleviate this issue is to use a simple statistical method based on the relationship between CMIP5 present-day biases and projected changes, referred to as "observational constraint" or "emergent constraint" (e.g. Bracegirdle and Stephenson 2012). This approach reduces the impact of the present-day model biases on the projected response in the Arctic (e.g. Bracegirdle and Stephenson, 2013) and Indian Ocean (Li et al., 2016; Parvathi et al., 2017). In the tropical Pacific, Li et al. (2016) applied this "observational constraint" approach to correct CMIP5 projections for the tropical Pacific based on present-day rainfall bias in the equatorial western Pacific. This strategy yielded a stronger  $\Delta SST_{GW}$  in the eastern than in the western equatorial Pacific, and a strong reduction of the inter-model spread in the model precipitations projections. This method is further described in section 2.2. In the present paper, we will use a similar method to correct CMIP5 SST projections based on the present-day SST bias, and investigate its impact on projected SPCZ changes.

Using a similar modelling framework as in Brown et al. (2015) and Evans et al. (2016), we investigate the robustness of the SPCZ response to climate change to i) model physics, ii) present-day SST biases and iii) correcting the CMIP5-projected SST change  $\Delta$ SST<sub>GW</sub> on the basis of present-day biases. We specifically address the following questions. What are the expected robust changes in the austral summer SPCZ climatology in response to anthropogenic forcing? How will these changes alter the interannual SPCZ variability? To answer these questions, we use the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) regional climate model. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the experimental design. Section 3 provides a thorough evaluation of the SPCZ mean state and variability simulated by our present-day simulation, and we compare our simulation to a simulation where we applied the CMIP5 SST bias. Section 4 discusses the future changes in the SPCZ mean state and variability derived from our experimental strategy. We also discuss the sensitivity of our results to the CMIP5 model present-day SST biases and to correcting  $\Delta$ SST<sub>GW</sub> based on emergent constraints. Section 5 investigates the sensitivity of our results to the model physics. Finally, Section 6 provides a summary and a discussion of our results against previous studies.

147 2. Exp

# 2. Experimental design and methods

# 2.1 WRF Regional model configuration

The two different model configurations used in this study and detailed in Table 1 are based on the Weather Research and Forecasting version 3.6.1 regional atmospheric model, which solves compressible, non-hydrostatic Euler equations with the Advanced Research WRF (ARW) dynamical solver (Skamarock and Klemp,

2008). The simulated domain encompasses the tropical Pacific region [101°E-59°W; 26°N-42°S] using a 105 km horizontal grid spacing and 32 pressure levels. In the following, only a subset of this domain centered on the SPCZ region is shown (Fig. 1a).

This first configuration is based on the study of Lefèvre et al., (2016). It includes the Lin et al., (1983) microphysics scheme, the Community Atmosphere Model (Collins et al., 2004) for shortwave and longwave radiation, the UW planetary boundary layer (Bretherton and Park, 2009) with the Monin–Obukhov surface layer parameterization, and the Noah land surface model (Chen and Dudhia, 2001). The parameterization of subgrid-scale convection is taken from the Zhang-McFarlane scheme (Zhang and McFarlane, 1995). This configuration will be referred to as the "ZM" configuration in the following.

The second configuration is partly based on Jourdain et al., (2011) and Jullien et al., (2014). The set of physical parameterizations used is completely different from the ZM configuration with Betts-Miller-Janjić parameterization for convection (Janjić, 1994), the WRF single-moment three-class microphysics scheme (Hong et al., 2004), the Rapid Radiation Transfer Model for the longwave and shortwave radiation (Iacono et al., 2008) and the Yonsei University planetary boundary layer (Noh et al., 2003). This configuration will be referred to as the "BMJ" configuration in the following.

# 2.2 Present-day and climate change experiments

The surface and lateral atmospheric boundary conditions were generated from the multi-model mean (MMM) of an ensemble of 31 CMIP5 climate models (see Table; Taylor et al. 2012). For each model, we consider one simulation covering the historical period and the 21<sup>st</sup> century under the Representative Concentration Pathway 8.5 (RCP8.5) of aerosols and greenhouse gases (GHG) scenario, for which the radiative forcing reaches 8.5 W.m<sup>-2</sup> by 2100 (van Vuuren et al. 2011). When several members were available for a given CMIP5 model, only the first available ensemble member (referred to r1i1p1 in the CMIP5 naming convention, or r2i1p1 if not available) was considered, to avoid giving more weight to some models than others in the MMM. The CMIP5 fields used to build the boundary conditions and the initial state are listed in Table 2. Table 3 provides a summary of the performed simulations, which are further detailed in the following. It should be noted that the 31 models are not really independent in the sense that many of them share duplicated codes and common history (Herger et al., 2018; Knutti et al., 2013).

A pair of present-day (PD) simulations is performed for each of the two model configurations (ZM and BMJ), from January 1980 to May 2016. For each of the ZM and BMJ configurations (indicated by subscripts in the acronyms when needed), a 36-yr present-day simulation (labelled PD\_OBS hereafter) has been designed as a dynamical downscaling of the NCEP2 reanalysis (NCEP2; Kanamitsu et al., 2002). Surface and lateral boundary conditions are taken from the 6-hourly outputs of this reanalysis. A second 36-yr present-day simulation uses the same NCEP2 boundary conditions to which the present-day monthly climatological CMIP5 MMM SST bias is added to the observed SST climatology (labelled PD\_CMIP hereafter). The comparison of this simulation with CMIP5 MMM and CC\_OBS simulation allows us to test the impact of our model setup, and the impact of the historical CMIP5 SST biases, and will be discussed in Section 4. SST biases are only applied in the SPCZ region, within [130°E-290°E; 7°N- 30°S], with a smooth transition to present-day SST near the boundaries of this region. The lateral and sea surface conditions outside this box remain the same as in PD\_OBS. In all these present-day simulations, the CO<sub>2</sub> forcing is kept constant at a level representative of the recent period (379ppm).

To assess the southwest Pacific response to climate change (CC), we further conduct a set of three future experiments for each model configuration. For the first two experiments (labelled CC OBS and CC CMIP), we apply the climatology of surface and lateral boundary future changes on top of the conditions of PD OBS and PD CMIP. These future changes are calculated as the difference between the 2080-2100 and 1989-2009 of MMM monthly climatologies (Fig. 1d), following the same methodology as in Knutson et al. (2008) and Walsh (2015). We apply a constant CO<sub>2</sub> forcing representative of the RCP8.5 projections at the end of the 21<sup>st</sup> century (845ppm). The CMIP5 fields used to build the boundary conditions and the initial states are listed in Table 2. This experimental strategy intentionally assumes no change in variability between the present and future climate for two main reasons. First, the reported lack of consensus on changes in amplitude of ENSO-related SST signals in future CMIP model projections (some global climate models showing an increase in the amplitude of ENSO SST variability in the future, others showing a decrease or, no statistically significant changes; see Bellenger et al. 2014) are an incentive to test the null hypothesis, i.e. that the ENSO SST variability will not significantly change. Second, Cai et al. (2012) found a consistent increase in the frequency of zonal SPCZ events despite this lack of consensus and attributed this increase to the changes in the mean meridional SST gradient in the South Pacific. The present experimental framework that only assume a mean state change allow examining if the mechanism suggested by Cai et al. (2012) operate in a more realistic framework (i.e. without CMIP5 mean present-day biases).

We apply a constant  $CO_2$  forcing representative of the RCP8.5 projections at the end of the  $21^{st}$  century (845ppm). The CMIP5 fields used to build the boundary conditions and the initial states are listed in Table 2. The third experiment (CC\_COR), is similar to CC\_OBS experiments, except that we use the CMIP5 SST anomalous pattern (Fig. 1e) statistically corrected following the method of Li et al. (2016),  $\Delta$ SST\_COR<sub>GW</sub>. In order to produce a corrected SST projection  $\Delta$ SST\_COR<sub>GW</sub>, we first assess the statistical relationship between the historical rainfall bias and  $\Delta$ SST<sub>GW</sub> (Fig. 2) in the equatorial western Pacific (140°E-170°W; 2°S-2°N). We find a significant correlation of -0.56 (p-value = 0.001) between the mean precipitation and  $\Delta$ SST<sub>GW</sub> across 31 CMIP5 models (Fig. 2), which is consistent with Li et al. (2016) who found a -0.52 correlation across 24 CMIP5 models (their Figure 6a). This analysis demonstrates that the drier the present-day west Pacific (and the stronger the cold tongue bias, not shown), the stronger the projected SST warming in the equatorial western Pacific. We use this linear relation between the mean western equatorial Pacific present-day precipitation, and  $\Delta$ SST<sub>GW</sub> to correct  $\Delta$ SST<sub>GW</sub>, as in Li et al. (2016). The corrected CMIP5 MMM projection exhibits a weaker western Pacific warming (Fig. 1e). This will modify SST gradients quite significantly in this region, relative to  $\Delta$ SST<sub>GW</sub>.

In the rest of the manuscript we will refer to the difference between historical CMIP5 climatological SST, and observed SST as the "historical CMIP5 SST bias" and to the difference between  $\Delta SST_{GW}$  and  $\Delta SST_{GW}$  as the " $\Delta SST_{GW}$  bias". (Strictly speaking this last quantity is not bias but an estimated bias).

#### 2.3 Analysis methods

The SPCZ position at each longitude is taken as the location of the maximum precipitation between the equator and 30°S, where the precipitations are higher than 6mm.day<sup>-1</sup>. The mean SPCZ slope is calculated as a linear fit to this SPCZ position between 160°E and 140°W. As in Vincent et al. (2011), we define LatW as the SPCZ latitude average between 160°E and 180°E, and latE as the SPCZ latitude averaged between 170°W and 150°W. El Niño (La

Niña) events are defined as austral summer seasons (December-January-February; DJF) when the DJF Niño3.4 SST anomaly is greater (lower) than 0.5°C, following NOAA's index:

http://www.cpc.noaa.gov/products/analysis\_monitoring/ensostuff/ensoyears.shtml. We classify El Niño events into two classes: extreme El Niño events that encompass the three largest events (1982/1983, 1997/1998, 2015/2016) following Santoso et al. (2017) and moderate El Niño events that gather all the other events.

To investigate the relative importance of moisture changes (referred to as "thermodynamical"), and large-scale atmospheric circulation changes (referred to as "dynamical") in shaping the pattern of moisture divergence changes between future and present, we use the same method as discussed in Widlansky et al. (2013) with a simple decomposition of surface moisture divergence anomalies (the anomaly being the difference between  $CC_OBS_{ZM}$  and  $PD_OBS_{ZM}$ ) into surface divergence of:

- 241 projected moisture changes advected by the mean circulation:  $\delta TH = \nabla \cdot (\overline{u}g')$ (Eq. 1)
- mean moisture advected by the projected circulation changes:  $\delta DYN = \nabla \cdot (u'\overline{q})$  (Eq. 2)
- where u is the horizontal surface wind vector, q is the specific surface humidity, the overbar denotes the values from the present day simulation and primes denote differences between future and present day simulations. The cross terms are not discussed here as  $\delta TH$  and  $\delta DYN$  dominate the balance in the region of interest (not shown).

# 3. Results: Present-day simulations

#### 3.1 Climatological features

The observed SPCZ persists throughout the year, but reaches its maximum extension during austral summer (DJF). At this time of the year, the SPCZ extends from 2°S on its western edge to 22°S on its eastern edge and exhibits a moderate tilt in its western portion (150°E-160°W) and more southeastwardly tilted portion in its eastern portion (160°W-140°W). The surface winds converge toward the SPCZ (Fig. 3a).

The  $PD\_OBS_{ZM}$  experiment simulates the observed climatological DJF precipitation pattern reasonably well (Fig. 3b), including the southeastward SPCZ tilt. The surface wind intensity and convergence are correctly reproduced, resulting in a realistic moisture convergence (not shown). This simulation however exhibits some biases, including a ~12% underestimation of ITCZ rainfall and a ~30% underestimation of the western equatorial Pacific rainfall.  $PD\_OBS_{ZM}$  also overestimates precipitation in the core of the SPCZ. Despite these biases, this simulation reproduces the location of the observed SPCZ very accurately (yellow and red curves on Figure 3a), a major asset for the objectives of the present study. In addition, this simulation seems to better reproduce the position of SPCZ than the other studies using regional models (Evans et al., 2016).

These precipitation patterns are further compared to those of the NCEP2 reanalysis (Fig. 3c), which is used as boundary conditions in the present study. The NCEP2 reanalysis also captures the main observed climatological precipitation pattern reasonably well. In contrast with PD\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub>, it tends to overestimate ITCZ (~15%) and equatorial western Pacific (~5%) precipitation. The NCEP2 dataset also exhibits a diagonally oriented SPCZ, although this reanalysis appears to overestimate the SPCZ tilt in its western portion relative to PD\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> and CMAP and underestimate it in its eastern portion. Like PD\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub>, the NCEP2 re-analysis overestimates precipitation in the core of the SPCZ.

A more quantitative assessment of the SPCZ climatological characteristics simulated by PD\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> (red circle), NCEP2 (green circle) and the CMIP5 models (boxplot) is provided in Figure 4. Figure 4a clearly illustrates that all CMIP5 models considerably underestimate the slope of the SPCZ as already reported in past literature (e.g. Brown et al., 2012), with the historical CMIP5 MMM exhibiting a four times weaker slope than in observations (0.06°S/°E as compared to 0.26°S/°E for CMAP). This slope varies considerably among individual CMIP5 simulations, ranging from a completely zonal SPCZ to a more realistic diagonally oriented but still underestimated SPCZ slope of up to 0.14°S/°E. PD\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> captures this SPCZ slope far more accurately (0.22°S/°E). The strong underestimation of the SPCZ slope in historical CMIP simulations largely results from a large northward bias in the location of its eastern portion (Fig. 4b; 9°S for the CMIP MMM, 13.5°S for observations). This location is very well captured in PD\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> (14°S). The location of the western SPCZ portion (Fig. 4c) around 8°S is reasonably well captured by PD\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub>, NCEP2 and CMIP5 simulations. PD\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> also captures the South Pacific (0-30°S; 150°E-240°E) rainfall pattern accurately (Fig. 4d), with a pattern correlation of 0.96, compared to a correlation ranging from 0.5 to 0.9 for CMIP5 and 0.87 for NCEP2. Overall, the PD\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> simulation outperforms all CMIP5 models and behaves as accurately or slightly better than NCEP2, as far as the SPCZ climatological features are concerned.

# 283 3.2 Interannual variability

Figure 5 exhibits a composite of anomalous rainfall and surface winds during the different ENSO phases. Moderate El Niño and La Nina events generally exhibit opposite patterns. Moderate El Niño years (resp. La Nina years) are characterized by modest positive (negative) rainfall anomalies in the vicinity of the dateline, while weak negative (positive) rainfall anomalies prevail in the western equatorial Pacific and south of the climatological SPCZ position in the southwest Pacific (Fig 5a,c). During these moderate El Niño events (resp. La Nina), the SPCZ experiences a 3° northward (southward) shift between 150°E and 150°W. This picture is very different during extreme El Niño events (Fig. 5b): the equatorial rainfall increase is four times larger than during moderate El Niño events and its pattern is considerably extended and shifted eastward, with a maximum near 165°W. The South Pacific rainfall decrease is also about twice as large as during moderate events and extends further east to 140°W. Finally, the area covered by the ITCZ in the western equatorial Pacific also exhibits a large drying that is not present during moderate El Niño events. These stronger and spatially-extended rainfall anomalies associated with extreme El Niño events translate into larger SPCZ displacements: the SPCZ eastern portion shifts northward by up to ten degrees, while its western portion remains close to its climatological position, which produces a more zonally oriented SPCZ from 150°E to 150°W.

From year to year, the eastern portion of the SPCZ experiences meridional displacements, with the largest northward excursions occurring in austral summer 1982 (~+10°), 1992 (~+7°), 1997 (~+10°) and 2015 (~+8°) (Fig. 6). As discussed in Vincent et al. (2011), these years are indeed characterized by an extreme northward swing of the SPCZ, which ends up with a more zonally oriented structure. PD\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> and NCEP2 accurately reproduce these SPCZ excursions (0.71 correlation between CMAP and both datasets), despite a 20% overestimation of the variability in NCEP2 (NCEP2 STD=4.99° vs CMAP STD=4.1°). In particular, PD\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> correctly simulates the SPCZ extreme northward excursions during the 1982, 1992, 1997 and 2015 El Niño events, with a tendency for both PD\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> and NCEP2 to overestimate the northward excursion of the SPCZ during these events (Fig. 6). The variability of the western portion is also well captured by both NCEP2 and PD\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub>, with a ~0.7 correlation to CMAP (not shown). Overall, in

this section, we have demonstrated the capacity of our simulation to reproduce reasonably well the present-day SPCZ mean state (in particular: much better than in CMIP5 models) as well as its interannual variability.

# 4. Results: Response to climate change

## 4.1 Climatology

In this section, we investigate the climatological SPCZ response to anthropogenic forcing in our 3 sets of experiments,  $CMIP_{ZM}$ ,  $OBS_{ZM}$  and  $COR_{ZM}$  and compare it with that in the CMIP5 MMM. We start with a description of the changes in CMIP5 MMM, and then compare them with the  $CMIP_{ZM}$  experiments to assess the impact of our model setup. We will then compare  $CMIP_{ZM}$  and  $OBS_{ZM}$  to evaluate the impact of the historical CMIP5 SST bias. Finally,  $OBS_{ZM}$  and  $COR_{ZM}$  are used to evaluate the effect of the  $\Delta SST_{GW}$  bias.

The CMIP5 MMM precipitation response to climate change is characterized by a consistent and significant rainfall increase along the equator, reaching up to 3 mm.day<sup>-1</sup> west of the dateline (Fig. 7a). These simulations also display a consistent and statistically significant drying of up to 1 mm.day<sup>-1</sup> east of 150°W and south of 10°S. In the southwest Pacific (10°S-30°S; 160°E-160°W) the rainfall change is not statistically significant, with less than 75% of the models simulating a rainfall increase. Overall, these modest rainfall changes only result in very modest future changes in the climatological SPCZ location in the CMIP5 CC simulations (solid and doted black lines in Figure 7a). The projected wind changes depict an intensification of the trade winds in the southeastern part of the domain, converging towards the equatorial region (Fig. 7a).

Let us first explore how our model compares with the CMIP5 MMM. The CC\_CMIP5<sub>ZM</sub> simulation displays a similar significant precipitation increase in the equatorial region (Fig 7b; ~2.5 mm.day<sup>-1</sup> in CMIP5<sub>ZM</sub> experiment compared to ~2.2 mm.day<sup>-1</sup> in CMIP5 MMM, on average in the red box Fig. 7d). This significant precipitation increase is confined to the western/central Pacific or outside the 5°N-5°S band at east of 170°W. CC\_CMIP5<sub>ZM</sub> also yields a significant drying in the southeast Pacific, extending up to 175°E, and stronger than in the CMIP5 MMM (~1.0 mm.day<sup>-1</sup> in CMIP5<sub>ZM</sub> experiment compared to ~0.4 mm.day<sup>-1</sup> in CMIP5 MMM, on average in the green box Fig. 7d). These anomalies do not affect the climatological SPCZ position, which remains similar from 150°E to 160°W (solid and doted black lines on Figure 7b), but the rainfall decrease east of 170°W leads to a shrinkage of the SPCZ, defined as the region where precipitation is stronger than 6mm.day<sup>-1</sup>.

As expected, correcting the historical CMIP5 SST bias considerably impact the mean simulated rainfall pattern. CC\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> (Fig. 7c) displays a significant rainfall increase in the equatorial region between 7°S-10°N, much stronger than CMIP5<sub>ZM</sub> experiments (~3.5 mm.day<sup>-1</sup> in OBS<sub>ZM</sub> experiment compared to ~2.5 mm.day<sup>-1</sup> in CMIP5<sub>ZM</sub> experiment, on average in the red box Fig. 6d), reaching a maximum in the western/central Pacific, near 5°N (Fig. 7c). The CC\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> simulation also displays a significant rainfall decrease (~0.9 mm.day<sup>-1</sup> on average in the green box Fig. 7d), similar to the CMIP5<sub>ZM</sub> experiment, south of 7°S and north of the SPCZ climatological position extending from the eastern Pacific to 180°. This response represents a 15% rainfall decrease within the [5°S-15°S; 180°-150°W] region compared to the present-day climatology. These large-scale and intense anomalies affect the climatological SPCZ position in its eastern portion (solid and doted black lines on Figure 7c), with a southward shift of 4° of the maximum precipitation.

Let us finally examine the effect of correcting the ΔSST<sub>GW</sub> bias. CC COR<sub>ZM</sub> (Fig. 7d) yields a weaker increase

of precipitation in the western/central equatorial region compared to CC\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> (~3 mm.day<sup>-1</sup>, on average in the red box Fig. 7d). The area of significant increase located north of the equator is confined in the western Pacific in CC\_COR<sub>ZM</sub> while it extends throughout the Pacific in CC\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub>. CC\_COR<sub>ZM</sub> also yields a larger drying south of 5°S and east of 180°E (~1.9 mm.day<sup>-1</sup> on average in the green box Fig. 6d), which extends farther into the western Pacific than in CC\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> (Fig. 7d). This represents a ~20% decrease in rainfall within the [15°S-5°S; 160°E-180°E] region compared to present-day climatology. In these two experiments (Fig. 7c,d) these anomalies induce a large southward shift of the climatological SPCZ position (~4°). The trade winds in the south-central part of the domain [180°E-150°W; 15°S-5°S] increase by 40% compared to present-day climatology in CC\_COR<sub>ZM</sub>, especially in the southwestern Pacific where the anomaly of trade winds cross the equator to the north where maximum precipitation anomalies are found.

We further use simple surface moisture convergence diagnostics similar to those proposed in Widlansky et al. (2013) to provide insights on the mechanisms driving the simulated rainfall response to climate change in the CMIP5 models and our experiments (Fig. 8). The anomalous patterns in surface moisture divergence (Figure 8a,b,c,d) qualitatively match those of the precipitation changes (Fig. 7a,b,c,d) for both the CMIP5 models and our experiments, indicating that this is an appropriate way to diagnose precipitation changes. Moisture divergence changes are almost entirely driven by wind divergence changes in our experiments and in the CMIP5 models (Fig. 8e,f,g,h), with moisture changes playing a smaller role (Fig. 8i,j,k,l). The anomalous moisture convergence in the equatorial region is also largely driven by dynamical changes, with thermodynamics only damping the dynamical changes (Fig. 8e,f,g,h vs i,j,k,l). Thermodynamical effects are slightly stronger in CMIP5<sub>ZM</sub> (Fig. 8j) than in OBS<sub>ZM</sub> (Fig. 8k), and hence damp the dynamical effect more, leading to larger precipitation changes in OBS<sub>ZM</sub> in the equatorial region. In the southeast Pacific, the increased anomalous moisture divergence that dries the SPCZ eastern edge is also largely driven by circulation changes (Fig. 8e,f,g,h).

Regarding the southwest Pacific and SPCZ region, the moisture divergence anomalies and related precipitation changes are similar in the OBS and CMIP experiments (Fig. 7b,c and Fig. 8b,c), for which the tendency for dynamical processes to dry the western portion of the SPCZ is partially compensated by thermodynamical effects (Fig. 8b,c,f,g,j,k). On the other hand, the COR experiment yields a stronger drying of the SPCZ western margin, that can be related to stronger dynamical processes in COR (Fig. 8h) than in OBS (Fig. 8g). The wind changes responsible for these moisture convergence modifications can be related to the corrected projected SST pattern in the COR experiment relative to OBS (Fig. 1d,e). The SST meridional gradient between the equatorial western Pacific (160°E-180; 5°S-5°N) and the southwestern Pacific (160°E-180; 5°S-15°S), and the SST zonal gradient between the southwestern Pacific (150°E-180; 5°S-15°S) and the south central Pacific (180-210°E; 5°S-15°S) are stronger in CC\_COR (0.33°C in CC\_COR vs. 0.028°C in CC\_OBS and 0.58°C in CC\_COR vs. 0.54°C in CC\_OBS, respectively). These different SST patterns induce southeasterly wind anomalies (blowing from the southeast Pacific dry zone towards the central and western equatorial Pacific) that are stronger and extend further into the western Pacific in the COR experiment (Fig. 7c vs 7d).

To summarize, 1) our model tends to enhance the precipitation response to anthropogenic forcing compared to CMIP5 MMM, especially the southeastern Pacific drying, 2) the historical CMIP5 SST biases damp the intensity of the equatorial rainfall response compared to OBS<sub>ZM</sub>, largely through thermodynamical processes, and 3) the projected SST correction yields a larger drying of the SPCZ western portion, due to southeasterlies that penetrate further west and north in relation with SST gradient changes.

# 4.2 Interannual variability

A general assessment of the changes in precipitation interannual variability in the present and future climate is provided in Figure 9. In PD\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub>, large interannual rainfall variations (4 to 8 mm.day<sup>-1</sup>) are found in a large region extending from 150°E to 150°W and 8°N to 20°S, with a maximum in the SPCZ core region and under the ITCZ (Fig. 9a). This rainfall variability pattern is considerably affected by anthropogenic forcing (Fig. 9b,c), with a near doubling of equatorial rainfall variations. In CC\_COR<sub>ZM</sub>, anthropogenic forcing also affects the South Pacific rainfall variability, with a reduction of rainfall variability south of 5°S. This change in rainfall is likely related to drying of SPCZ in its western part. Similar results are found in the CMIP5<sub>ZM</sub> experiment (not shown). Finally, the OBS<sub>ZM</sub> also reveals an enhancement of equatorial inter-annual variability when the historical CMIP5 SST bias is also corrected. In an idealized framework where the SSTs are climatological, Chung et al., (2014) found that global warming enhances the nonlinear rainfall response to ENSO SST anomalies, with an increase of precipitation variability, especially along the equator. Our study confirms these results in a more realistic framework.

Enhanced precipitation in the equatorial region however does not appear to be robust for all ENSO phases (Fig. 10abc). The large equatorial rainfall increase under climate change is indeed clear for El Niño events (Fig. 10ab) but is considerably weaker during La Niña events, being largely confined to the far western Pacific (Fig. 10c). This is also the case in the OBS<sub>ZM</sub> and CMIP5<sub>ZM</sub> experiments (not shown). To further illustrate that, Figure 10d,e provides scatterplots of the rainfall difference between future and present climate for each of 36 simulated austral summers (black dots represent COR<sub>ZM</sub> experiment, cyan dots OBS<sub>ZM</sub> experiment, and brown dots CMIP5<sub>ZM</sub> experiment) as a function of the ENSO state in the two regions drawn on the upper panels of Figure 10: the equatorial region (Fig. 10d) and the South Pacific region (Fig. 10e). The amplitude of the equatorial precipitation increase is considerably larger for an El Niño (up to 2.5 mm/day) than for a La Niña (0mm/day). This illustrates a non-linearity of the precipitation response to climate change during ENSO phases, as also found in the idealized framework of Chung et al., (2014). The South Pacific drying in response to climate change has a weaker non-linear dependency to ENSO (-1 mm.day<sup>-1</sup> for La Niña vs. 2.5 mm.day<sup>-1</sup> for El Niño) than the equatorial region.

The changes in rainfall interannual variability near the equator are mostly driven by changes in the SPCZ response to ENSO (Fig. 11). Under present-day conditions, the zonally-oriented SPCZ during extreme El Niño events remains largely located in the Southern Hemisphere (red thick line; Fig. 11a), with the largest northward excursion of 12° occurring in PD\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> during the 1997-1998 event. In CC\_COR<sub>ZM</sub>, the SPCZ shifts right onto the equator between 165°E and 140°W (red dashed line; Fig. 11a), and between 180°E and 140°W in CC\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> (not shown), resulting in a northward excursion exceeding 15° during extreme El Niño events (1983, 1992, 1998, 2016) (red dashed lines, Fig. 11a). During moderate El Niño events, the SPCZ in both CC\_COR<sub>ZM</sub> (Fig. 11b) and CC\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> (not shown) experiences a shift of ~3° near the dateline but a far weaker southward excursion in its eastern portion. During la Niña events, the SPCZ position slightly moves southward by 2° in both present and future climates (Fig. 11c).

The SST cold bias in CMIP5 models yields weaker ENSO-related SPCZ present-day movements in  $PD\_CMIP5_{ZM}$  (black dashed line versus black thick line; Fig. 11 d,e,f) than in  $PD\_OBS_{ZM}$  (black dashed line versus black thick line; Fig. 11 a,b,c). Under climate change, this SPCZ variability increases in CC\_CMIP5<sub>ZM</sub> (STD LatE  $PD\_CMIP5_{ZM} = 3.04^\circ$ ; STD LatE  $CC\_CMIP5_{ZM} = 4.96^\circ$ ), yielding larger northward SPCZ shifts during the future conditions than in the current day, for both strong and moderate El Niños. There are thus larger northward

displacements of the SPCZ during El Niños in the future than in the present day, especially in our bias-corrected experiments. But what about the frequency of those zonal events? Cai et al. (2012) demonstrated a near-doubling of SPCZ zonal events frequency in CMIP models under climate change, and linked this increase to a decreased SST meridional gradient south of the equator. To assess the robustness of this result in our experiments, we computed Empirical Orthogonal Functions (EOFs) of precipitation from our regional simulations and identified zonal SPCZ events from the value of the first two principal components as in Cai et al. (2012) (Figure 12). There are four SPCZ zonal events (red circle, Fig. 12 a,b) in both of our present-day simulations, corresponding to 1982/1983, 1991/1992, 1997/1998 and 2015/2016 El Nino years. Contrary to what was found by Cai et al. (2012), we find the same number of SPCZ zonal events in the CC\_COR, CC\_OBS and CC\_CMIP5 climate change experiments, i.e. the doubling of zonal SPCZ events under future climate conditions is not robust to a change in model physics (CC\_CMIP5), and does not occur either when additionally correcting the historical CMIP5 SST bias or the ΔSST<sub>GW</sub> bias.

To conclude, global warming □increases the interannual rainfall variability near the equator and decreases it under the SPCZ, especially when the SST projections are corrected. The increased equatorial rainfall variability is mainly related to the non-linear precipitation response to ENSO. Finally, the doubling of SPCZ zonal events discussed by Cai et al. (2012) is not reproduced in our simulations.

# 5. Sensitivity tests

#### 5.1 Sensitivity of the response to the model physics configuration

It is crucial to assess the robustness of our findings with regards to the regional model physics, since it has a strong impact on how the SPCZ responds to climate change (e.g. Evans et al. 2016). To that end, we ran experiments with a completely different set of physical parameterizations that also yields a realistic representation of the South Pacific region climate (BMJ configuration; Jourdain et al., 2011; Jullien et al., 2014).

The climatological rainfall pattern (blue circles on Fig. 4) and those induced by ENSO variations (not shown) are also reasonably well simulated by that model configuration. PD\_OBS<sub>BMJ</sub> yields a realistic rainfall pattern (Fig. 4d) and latitude of the SPCZ eastern portion (Fig. 4b). However, it displays a 3° southward bias of the SPCZ western portion (Fig. 4c), resulting in a 25% underestimation of the SPCZ slope (Fig. 4a). In addition, this simulation exhibits a considerably reduced dry equatorial bias relative to PD\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> (not shown), but a southward bias of the SPCZ (Fig. 4b,c). Despite the differences in their present-day SPCZ climatology, the ZM and BMJ configurations yield a similar response to climate change, with a large rainfall increase in the equatorial Pacific in both COR and OBS (Fig 13a,b vs Fig 7c,d). The COR experiment also produces a large drying of the southwest and south-central Pacific (Fig. 13a vs Fig. 7d). The main difference is a larger ITCZ drying in the BMJ configuration (~20% in BMJ vs ~10% in ZM), and overall more intense future changes. These anomalous rainfall patterns result in a southward shift (~3°) of the climatological SPCZ position from present day to future (black thick line vs black dashed line; Fig 13a,b) that is similar to that in the ZM configuration. In addition, as in ZM, the variability of the SPCZ eastern portion and its northward shift also increase in the future for our BMJ experiments (not shown; STD LatE PD\_OBS<sub>BMJ</sub> = 5.3°; STD LatE CC\_OBS<sub>BMJ</sub> = 8°; and STD LatE CC\_COR<sub>BMJ</sub> = 7.8° to be compared to : STD LatE PD\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> = 4.24°; STD LatE CC\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> = 6.21°; and STD LatE CC\_COR<sub>ZM</sub> = 6.18°). Finally, as in BMJ, correcting the CMIP5 mean state cold bias yields a larger

precipitation increase in the tropical region and correcting the projected SST change yields a larger drying of the SPCZ western portion (Fig. 13). Finally, as in ZM, the number of SPCZ zonal events does not increase under climate change in any of our BMJ simulations.

Overall, the main conclusions derived from the ZM configuration remain valid under a very different set of model parameterisations.

## 5.2 Comparison with the CMIP models response

A summary of the mechanisms responsible for the response of precipitation to climate change in the equatorial and South Pacific regions in CMIP5 models and our regional experiments is finally provided in Figure 14, which shows scatterplots of the dynamic and thermodynamic components of the moisture transport vs. the total transport, in key regions.

In the equatorial region, dynamical changes (i.e. increased wind convergence) are entirely responsible for the projected increased rainfall in CMIP5 models (black and gray dots in Fig. 14a,b). The same processes control the south central Pacific drying (Fig. 14c,d). In the southwest Pacific (Fig. 14e,f), there tends to be a cancellation between dynamical processes that tend to induce a drying through increased wind divergence and thermodynamical processes that increase moisture convergence and rainfall in the CMIP5 models. Depending on the respective contributions from these two processes, CMIP5 models either display increased precipitation in the southwest Pacific, resulting in a large spread across models and a nearly-zero ensemble mean projected rainfall change.

The CMIP<sub>ZM</sub> and CMIP<sub>BMJ</sub> experiments lie at the upper end of the CMIP5 models distribution in both the western equatorial Pacific (blue symbols in Fig. 14a,b) and the south central Pacific (blue symbols in Fig. 14c,d), the dynamical component largely explaining the total changes. Correcting historical CMIP5 SST and  $\Delta$ SST<sub>GW</sub> biases generally lead to an increase of the dynamical response and hence of the rainfall anomaly, this increase being particularly prominent in the western equatorial Pacific for BMJ. In southwestern Pacific, the drying by dynamical processes is about ~20% larger in the experiment using  $\Delta$ SST\_COR<sub>GW</sub> (Fig. 14e). On the other hand, the thermodynamical effects are very similar in all our experiments (Fig. 14f), and have similar amplitudes to those in CMIP5 models. This yields a larger southwest Pacific drying in the experiments using  $\Delta$ SST\_COR<sub>GW</sub>. In other words, the cold tongue bias induces a bias in projected SST that yield an underestimated change in circulation and associated moisture divergence, and thus an underestimated southwest Pacific drying.

## 6. Summary and discussion

#### 6.1 Summary

This study investigates the influence of anthropogenic forcing on the SPCZ mean state and interannual variability. A present-day simulation (PD\_OBS) with a regional atmospheric model captures the main observed SPCZ characteristics, with a similar degree of realism to that in the NCEP2 reanalysis. This includes the SPCZ mean state but also its northward/southward displacements associated with ENSO, including the SPCZ zonal events during extreme El Niño events. This accurate present-day simulation of the SPCZ justifies the use of our regional model configuration to

assess the SPCZ response to climate change. In contrast, a twin simulation with CMIP5 climatological SST (and the trademark cold tongue bias in CMIP models) yields to a similar SPCZ bias as in the CMIP5 models, with a too zonal SPCZ.

We further performed three simulations to estimate the influence of climate change: CC\_CMIP5, CC\_OBS, and CC\_COR. In CC\_CMIP5 and CC\_OBS, the boundary conditions from the PD\_CMIP5 and PD\_OBS experiments are corrected using projected changes from CMIP5. Comparing those two experiments allows for assessing the effect of the SST bias in CMIP5 models. The CC\_COR simulation is similar to CC\_OBS, but the projected SST change from the CMIP5 ensemble mean  $\Delta$ SST<sub>GW</sub> is additionally corrected using an an "emergent constraint" method, based on Li et al. (2016). Comparing this last experiment to CC\_OBS allows estimating the effect of the  $\Delta$ SST<sub>GW</sub> bias on the SPCZ changes.

To assess the sensitivity to model physics, we compared our CC\_CMIP5<sub>ZM</sub> simulation to the CMIP5 multimodel mean. The SPCZ response to climate change is slightly more intense in CC\_CMIP5<sub>ZM</sub> than in CMIP5 MMM, with a slightly stronger increase of precipitation in the equatorial region (~2.2mm.day<sup>-1</sup> in CMIP5 MMM and 2.5mm.day<sup>-1</sup> CMIP5<sub>ZM</sub> experiment), and a stronger drying in the southeastern Pacific (-1mm.day<sup>-1</sup> compared to -0.4mm.day<sup>-1</sup>), leading to a shrinkage of the SPCZ. Our model setup hence reproduces qualitatively the rainfall changes in CMIP5 MMM, but magnifies the amplitude of the precipitation response to anthropogenic forcing.

To evaluate the impact of historical CMIP5 SST biases, we compared the  $CC\_OBS_{ZM}$  simulation to  $CC\_CMIP5_{ZM}$ . Correcting the CMIP5 historical SST bias leads to a much stronger equatorial Pacific rainfall increase in response to climate change (~3.5mm.day<sup>-1</sup> vs. 2.5mm.day<sup>-1</sup> in the CMIP5<sub>ZM</sub> experiment), mainly due to a weaker negative feedback of the thermodynamic component of moisture transport in  $CC\_OBS_{ZM}$ . The drying in the southwest Pacific is hardly influenced by the CMIP5 SST bias (0.9mm.day<sup>-1</sup> rainfall decrease in  $CC\_OBS_{ZM}$  vs. 1mm.day<sup>-1</sup> in CMIP5<sub>ZM</sub>). These changes are associated with a ~4° southward shift of the mean SPCZ location west of 170°W.

Finally, an original aspect of our study is our evaluation of the impact of plausible biases in the projected SST ΔSST<sub>GW</sub>, by comparing CC\_COR<sub>ZM</sub> with CC\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub>. In CC\_COR<sub>ZM</sub> the equatorial rainfall increase is weaker than in CC\_OBS (3mm.day<sup>-1</sup> vs. 3.5mm.day<sup>-1</sup>), while the southeastern Pacific becomes much drier (-1.9mm.day<sup>-1</sup> vs. -0.9mm.day<sup>-1</sup>), and the drying extends further west to 150°E. Correcting the projected SSTs changes the SST gradients in the southwestern Pacific, leading to southeasterlies that penetrate further west and north. This induces more moisture divergence through dynamical processes, and hence a larger drying.

All the climate change simulations display enhanced rainfall variability in the equatorial region, but this change is not the same across ENSO phases. The precipitation increase is strongest during extreme El Niño years, illustrating the non-linearity of the precipitation response to climate change during ENSO phases, as also found in the idealized framework of Chung et al., (2014). Finally, our analyses show that the number of SPCZ zonal events under climate change conditions remains similar to the present-day conditions in all our simulations, in contrast with the result of Cai et al. (2012) in CMIP3-5 models, questioning the robustness of this result.

Last but not least, additional regional simulations using a completely different set of physical parameterizations give qualitatively similar patterns for the future SPCZ mean change and how these are influenced by CMIP biases, underlining the robustness of our results.

## 6.2 Discussion

Several studies have already investigated the impact of climate change onto the mean climate within the SPCZ region, either by directly comparing global climate models (Brown et al. 2012, 2013) or by comparing atmospheric experiments forced with and without climate change boundary conditions anomalies (Widlanky et al. 2013; Brown et al. 2015; Evans et al. 2016). A direct analysis of CMIP5 models outputs reveals that the climate change warming pattern results in a robust precipitation increase in the equatorial Pacific (e.g. Watanabe et al. 2014; Li et al., 2016), a weak drying of the southeast SPCZ margin in the CMIP5 models but no consistent shift in climatological SPCZ position (Brown et al. 2012, 2013). Despite stronger rainfall change in our sets of experiments than in CMIP5 MMM, we find that the increased equatorial rainfall and dryer eastern SPCZ are robust features. On the other hand, CMIP5 MMM, our CC\_OBS<sub>ZM</sub> and CC\_CMIP5<sub>ZM</sub> simulations show an insignificant drying in the western SPCZ part, while, by contrast, our CC\_COR<sub>ZM</sub> simulation yields a strong significant drying (-20%) in this region.

Our results in the OBS experiment are in qualitative agreement with the atmospheric experiments of Widlansky et al. (2013). Indeed their climate-change bias-corrected simulations pointed toward a significant drying of the SPCZ and wetter equatorial Pacific conditions during austral summer. In contrast, results from the present-day SST bias-corrected experiments from Brown et al. (2015) still indicate a future wettening of the southwest Pacific. We however suggest our results to be more reliable than Brown et al. (2015), due to a more realistic experimental strategy. Indeed, Brown et al. (2015) used an idealized framework by forcing their atmospheric model with annually averaged SST, which may have impaired the realism of their projected rainfall response. As acknowledged by these authors, their objective was indeed not to provide a reliable projection of future rainfall but rather to demonstrate the sensitivity of the rainfall response to the underlying SST patterns. Evans et al. (2016) also used a present-day SST bias-corrected strategy, with several regional climate models forced by the same CMIP global warming anomalous pattern. While they showed that their projected rainfall response was not very sensitive to the choice of the CMIP model used to force their regional model boundaries, their projections in the southwest Pacific region were more sensitive to the parameterizations used in their regional model. Despite this sensitivity, they indicated that the majority (7 out of 9) of their configurations projected a significant drying of the southwest Pacific, in line with Widlansky et al. (2013) and the present study.

In our COR experiment, we have tested the sensitivity of the rainfall response to the characteristics of the projected SST pattern. Brown et al. (2015) suggested that the amplitude of the rainfall response could be highly sensitive to the pattern of SST warming. Huang and Ying (2015) and Li et al. (2016) confirmed that the well-known cold tongue bias in most CMIP models could lead to an underestimation in the east-minus-west gradient of the projected sea surface warming along the equator. In contrast, Widlansky et al. (2013) argued that the SST warming pattern was independent of the climatological SST bias by comparing the SST warming pattern from a climate model run with and without flux adjustment strategy. In line with the previous statistical studies (Huang and Ying, 2015; Li et al. 2016), we find that biases in the pattern of CMIP5 sea surface warming considerably influence the future changes of regional precipitations. Future precipitation changes in the western tropical Pacific are indeed driven by two main processes, which respective contributions are strongly influenced by the underlying background SSTs: a "wet gets wetter" thermodynamic response and a "warmest gets wetter" dynamical response due to the overall tropical circulation slowdown (Widlansky et al. 2013).

Despite the absence of consensus on how SST variability associated with ENSO may change in the future (e.g. Cai et al., 2015), CMIP3-5 models project a future increase in the frequency of zonal SPCZ events, which

has been attributed to mean changes in meridional SST gradient between the equator and the South Pacific (Cai et al. 2012). In line with recent studies using similar experimental strategy (Chung and Power 2016; Evans et al. 2016), our results suggest that the frequency of zonal SPCZ events may not increase in the future, in apparent contradiction with Cai et al. (2012) results. Several reasons could explain this apparent discrepancy. First, while CMIP5 mean present-day biases have been corrected in all the later studies, the common CMIP model biases in Cai et al. (2012), including the cold tongue bias, may largely responsible for the unrealistic increase of the zonal SPCZ events frequency in CMIP simulations. Second, the present and future SST variability is kept constant in our simulations and those of Chung and Power (2016): it may well be that, in contradiction to what has been initially proposed by Cai et al. (2012), the mean SST changes may not be the dominant mechanism explaining the zonal SPCZ events frequency increase in their study. Rather, consistent changes in SST interannual variability in CMIP models may be partly responsible for this increase. Other hypotheses explaining this discrepancy include as well the diversity of the  $\Delta$ SST<sub>GW</sub> patterns across the CMIP5 models, not taken into account in our multi-model mean of  $\Delta$ SST<sub>GW</sub> CMIP5 pattern or the lack of atmosphere–ocean feedbacks in these forced atmospheric simulations compared to CMIP models.

In any case, this study highlights the importance of a realistic simulation of the tropical Pacific mean state for improving regional climate projections. Tropical Pacific SST biases, including the prominent cold tongue bias, influence the projected rainfall response directly but also indirectly by altering the projected SST changes. While a long-term objective is clearly to reduce long-standing robust SST biases in the tropical Pacific in CMIP models, this study also advocates for a shorter-term strategy, by using standalone atmospheric experiments where present-day and future SST projection are bias-corrected. This study also highlights the need to perform this kind of strategy with different models: while the bias correction approach used in this bias-corrected forced atmospheric study is likely to improve the reliability of future projection, atmosphere-one present-day simulations still exhibit significant SPCZ biases (Lintner et al. 2016) that may still impair the reliability of the projected changes, as illustrated by the significant quantitative differences in the projected rainfall changes in our two model configurations (Fig. 7d vs. Fig. 13a). It is also possible that the reanalysis products used to build the boundaries conditions influence the SPCZ response to anthropogenic forcing, since the present characteristics of the SPCZ are more or less captured according to the reanalysis used (eg, Niznik et al. Lintner 2013). Therefore a sensitivty experience to this parameter will be tested. Finally, the sensitivity of the projected changes to the statistical correction method used to correct the projected SST changes should also be tested: results with the simple correction strategy proposed by Li et al. (2016) should be compared with more complex strategies, such as the one proposed by Huang and Ying (2015) which extracts the leading bias modes of historical SST CMIP5 simulations and then projecting the tropical Pacific SST changes onto these historical bias modes.

# **References:**

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

Alexander, L.V., Zhang, X., Peterson, T.C., Caesar, J., Gleason, B., Klein Tank, A.M.G., Haylock, M., Collins, D., Trewin, B., Rahimzadeh, F., et al. (2006). Global observed changes in daily climate extremes of temperature and precipitation. J. Geophys. Res. *111*.

Bellenger, H., Guilyardi, E., Leloup, J., Lengaigne, M., and Vialard, J. (2014). ENSO representation in climate models: from CMIP3 to CMIP5. Clim. Dyn. 42, 1999–2018.

Bracegirdle, T.J., and Stephenson, D.B. (2013). On the Robustness of Emergent Constraints Used in Multimodel Climate Change Projections of Arctic Warming. J. Clim. *26*, 669–678.

Bretherton, C.S., and Park, S. (2009). A New Moist Turbulence Parameterization in the Community Atmosphere Model. J. Clim. 22, 3422–3448.

Brown, J.N., Matear, R.J., Brown, J.R., and Katzfey, J. (2015). Precipitation projections in the tropical Pacific are sensitive to different types of SST bias adjustment: precipitation and SST bias adjustment. Geophys. Res. Lett. 42, 10,856-10,866.

Brown, J.R., Power, S.B., Delage, F.P., Colman, R.A., Moise, A.F., and Murphy, B.F. (2011). Evaluation of the South Pacific Convergence Zone in IPCC AR4 Climate Model Simulations of the Twentieth Century. J. Clim. *24*, 1565–1582.

Brown, J.R., Moise, A.F., and Delage, F.P. (2012). Changes in the South Pacific Convergence Zone in IPCC AR4 future climate projections. Clim. Dyn. *39*, 1–19.

Brown, J.R., Moise, A.F., and Colman, R.A. (2013). The South Pacific Convergence Zone in CMIP5 simulations of historical and future climate. Clim. Dyn. 41, 2179–2197.

Cai, W., Lengaigne, M., Borlace, S., Collins, M., Cowan, T., McPhaden, M.J., Timmermann, A., Power, S., Brown, J., Menkes, C., et al. (2012). More extreme swings of the South Pacific convergence zone due to greenhouse warming. Nature 488, 365–369.

Cai, W., Borlace, S., Lengaigne, M., van Rensch, P., Collins, M., Vecchi, G., Timmermann, A., Santoso, A., McPhaden, M.J., Wu, L., et al. (2014). Increasing frequency of extreme El Niño events due to greenhouse warming. Nat. Clim. Change *4*, 111–116.

Cai, W., Santoso, A., Wang, G., Yeh, S.-W., An, S.-I., Cobb, K.M., Collins, M., Guilyardi, E., Jin, F.-F., Kug, J.-S., et al. (2015). ENSO and greenhouse warming. Nat. Clim. Change *5*, 849–859.

Chen, F., and Dudhia, J. (2001). Coupling an Advanced Land Surface–Hydrology Model with the Penn State–NCAR MM5 Modeling System. Part I: Model Implementation and Sensitivity. Mon. Weather Rev. *129*, 569–585.

Chung, C.T.Y., and Power, S.B. (2016). Modelled impact of global warming on ENSO-driven precipitation changes in the tropical Pacific. Clim. Dyn. 47, 1303–1323.

Chung, C.T.Y., Power, S.B., Arblaster, J.M., Rashid, H.A., and Roff, G.L. (2014). Nonlinear precipitation response to El Niño and global warming in the Indo-Pacific. Clim. Dyn. 42, 1837–1856.

Collins, M., An, S.-I., Cai, W., Ganachaud, A., Guilyardi, E., Jin, F.-F., Jochum, M., Lengaigne, M., Power, S., Timmermann, A., et al. (2010). The impact of global warming on the tropical Pacific Ocean and El Niño. Nat. Geosci. *3*, 391–397.

Collins, W.D., Rasch, P.J., Boville, B.A., Hack, J.J., McCaa, J.R., Williamson, D.L., Kiehl, J.T., Briegleb, B., Bitz, C., Lin, S.-J., et al. (2004). Description of the NCAR Community Atmosphere Model (CAM 3.0). 226.

Deser, C., Phillips, A.S., and Alexander, M.A. (2010). Twentieth century tropical sea surface temperature trends revisited: twentieth century tropical SST trends. Geophys. Res. Lett. *37*, n/a-n/a.

Evans, J.P., Bormann, K., Katzfey, J., Dean, S., and Arritt, R. (2016). Regional climate model projections of the South Pacific Convergence Zone. Clim. Dyn. 47, 817–829.

Folland, C.K. (2002). Relative influences of the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation and ENSO on the South Pacific Convergence Zone. Geophys. Res. Lett. 29.

Gouriou, Y., and Delcroix, T. (2002). Seasonal and ENSO variations of sea surface salinity and temperature in the South Pacific Convergence Zone during 1976-2000: sea surface salinity and temperature in the SPCZ. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans *107*, SRF 12-1-SRF 12-14.

Grose, M.R., Brown, J.N., Narsey, S., Brown, J.R., Murphy, B.F., Langlais, C., Gupta, A.S., Moise, A.F., and Irving, D.B. (2014). Assessment of the CMIP5 global climate model simulations of the western tropical Pacific climate system

and comparison to CMIP3: assessment of CMIP5 climate models for the western tropical pacific. Int. J. Climatol. 34, 3382–3399.

Held, I.M., and Soden, B.J. (2006). Robust Responses of the Hydrological Cycle to Global Warming. J. Clim. 19, 5686–5699.

Hong, S.-Y., Dudhia, J., and Chen, S.-H. (2004). A Revised Approach to Ice Microphysical Processes for the Bulk Parameterization of Clouds and Precipitation. Mon. Weather Rev. *132*, 103–120.

Huang, P., and Ying, J. (2015). A Multimodel Ensemble Pattern Regression Method to Correct the Tropical Pacific SST Change Patterns under Global Warming. J. Clim. 28, 4706–4723.

Huang, P., Xie, S.-P., Hu, K., Huang, G., and Huang, R. (2013). Patterns of the seasonal response of tropical rainfall to global warming. Nat. Geosci. *6*, 357–361.

Iacono, M.J., Delamere, J.S., Mlawer, E.J., Shephard, M.W., Clough, S.A., and Collins, W.D. (2008). Radiative forcing by long-lived greenhouse gases: Calculations with the AER radiative transfer models. J. Geophys. Res. *113*.

Irving, D., Perkins, S., Brown, J., Sen Gupta, A., Moise, A., Murphy, B., Muir, L., Colman, R., Power, S., Delage, F., et al. (2011). Evaluating global climate models for the Pacific island region. Clim. Res. 49, 169–187.

Janjić, Z.I. (1994). The Step-Mountain Eta Coordinate Model: Further Developments of the Convection, Viscous Sublayer, and Turbulence Closure Schemes. Mon. Weather Rev. *122*, 927–945.

Jourdain, N.C., Marchesiello, P., Menkes, C.E., Lefèvre, J., Vincent, E.M., Lengaigne, M., and Chauvin, F. (2011). Mesoscale Simulation of Tropical Cyclones in the South Pacific: Climatology and Interannual Variability. J. Clim. 24, 3–25.

Juillet-Leclerc, A., Thiria, S., Naveau, P., Delcroix, T., Le Bec, N., Blamart, D., and Corrège, T. (2006). SPCZ migration and ENSO events during the 20th century as revealed by climate proxies from a Fiji coral. Geophys. Res. Lett. *33*.

Jullien, S., Marchesiello, P., Menkes, C.E., Lef?vre, J., Jourdain, N.C., Samson, G., and Lengaigne, M. (2014). Ocean feedback to tropical cyclones: climatology and processes. Clim. Dyn. 43, 2831–2854.

Kanamitsu, M., Ebisuzaki, W., Woollen, J., Yang, S.-K., Hnilo, J.J., Fiorino, M., and Potter, G.L. (2002). NCEP–DOE AMIP-II Reanalysis (R-2). Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. *83*, 1631–1643.

Kidwell, A., Lee, T., Jo, Y.-H., and Yan, X.-H. (2016). Characterization of the Variability of the South Pacific Convergence Zone Using Satellite and Reanalysis Wind Products. J. Clim. *29*, 1717–1732.

Kiladis, G.N., von Storch, H., and Loon, H. (1989). Origin of the South Pacific Convergence Zone. J. Clim. 2, 1185–1195.

Kirono, D.G.C., Kent, D.M., Hennessy, K.J., and Mpelasoka, F. (2011). Characteristics of Australian droughts under enhanced greenhouse conditions: Results from 14 global climate models. J. Arid Environ. 75, 566–575.

Knutson, T.R., Sirutis, J.J., Garner, S.T., Vecchi, G.A., and Held, I.M. (2008). Simulated reduction in Atlantic hurricane frequency under twenty-first-century warming conditions. Nat. Geosci. *1*, 359–364.

Lefèvre, J., Menkes, C., Bani, P., Marchesiello, P., Curci, G., Grell, G.A., and Frouin, R. (2016). Distribution of sulfur aerosol precursors in the SPCZ released by continuous volcanic degassing at Ambrym, Vanuatu. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res. *322*, 76–104.

Li, G., and Xie, S.-P. (2014). Tropical Biases in CMIP5 Multimodel Ensemble: The Excessive Equatorial Pacific Cold Tongue and Double ITCZ Problems\*. J. Clim. 27, 1765–1780.

Li, G., Du, Y., Xu, H., and Ren, B. (2015). An Intermodel Approach to Identify the Source of Excessive Equatorial Pacific Cold Tongue in CMIP5 Models and Uncertainty in Observational Datasets. J. Clim. 28, 7630–7640.

Li, G., Xie, S.-P., Du, Y., and Luo, Y. (2016). Effects of excessive equatorial cold tongue bias on the projections of tropical Pacific climate change. Part I: the warming pattern in CMIP5 multi-model ensemble. Clim. Dyn. 47, 3817–3831.

Lin, Y.-L., Farley, R.D., and Orville, H.D. (1983). Bulk Parameterization of the Snow Field in a Cloud Model. J. Clim. Appl. Meteorol. *22*, 1065–1092.

Matsueda, M., and Palmer, T.N. (2011). Accuracy of climate change predictions using high resolution simulations as surrogates of truth: accuracy of climate change predictions. Geophys. Res. Lett. 38, n/a-n/a.

McGree, S., Whan, K., Jones, D., Alexander, L.V., Imielska, A., Diamond, H., Ene, E., Finaulahi, S., Inape, K., Jacklick, L., et al. (2014). An updated assessment of trends and variability in total and extreme rainfall in the western Pacific: trends and variability in extreme rainfall in the western pacific. Int. J. Climatol. *34*, 2775–2791.

Mechoso, C.R., Robertson, A.W., Barth, N., Davey, M.K., Delecluse, P., Gent, P.R., Ineson, S., Kirtman, B., Latif, M., Treut, H.L., et al. (1995). The Seasonal Cycle over the Tropical Pacific in Coupled Ocean–Atmosphere General Circulation Models. Mon. Weather Rev. *123*, 2825–2838.

Meehl, G.A., Covey, C., Taylor, K.E., Delworth, T., Stouffer, R.J., Latif, M., McAvaney, B., and Mitchell, J.F.B. (2007). THE WCRP CMIP3 Multimodel Dataset: A New Era in Climate Change Research. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. 88, 1383–1394.

Meng, Q., Latif, M., Park, W., Keenlyside, N.S., Semenov, V.A., and Martin, T. (2012). Twentieth century Walker Circulation change: data analysis and model experiments. Clim. Dyn. 38, 1757–1773.

Murphy, B.F., Ye, H., and Delage, F. (2015). Impacts of variations in the strength and structure of El Niño events on Pacific rainfall in CMIP5 models. Clim. Dyn. 44, 3171–3186.

Nguyen, K.C., Katzfey, J.J., and McGregor, J.L. (2012). Global 60 km simulations with CCAM: evaluation over the tropics. Clim. Dyn. *39*, 637–654.

Niznik, M.J., Lintner, B.R., Matthews, A.J., and Widlansky, M.J. (2015). The Role of Tropical–Extratropical Interaction and Synoptic Variability in Maintaining the South Pacific Convergence Zone in CMIP5 Models. J. Clim. 28, 3353–3374.

Noh, Y., Cheon, W.G., Hong, S.Y., and Raasch, S. (2003). Improvement of the K-profile model for the planetary boundary layer based on large eddy simulation data. Bound.-Layer Meteorol. *107*, 401–427.

Parvathi, V., Suresh, I., Lengaigne, M., Izumo, T., and Vialard, J. (2017). Robust Projected Weakening of Winter Monsoon Winds Over the Arabian Sea Under Climate Change. Geophys. Res. Lett. *44*, 9833–9843.

Perkins, S.E. (2011). Biases and Model Agreement in Projections of Climate Extremes over the Tropical Pacific. Earth Interact. *15*, 1–36.

Power, S., Delage, F., Chung, C., Kociuba, G., and Keay, K. (2013). Robust twenty-first-century projections of El Niño and related precipitation variability. Nature *502*, 541–545.

Skamarock, W.C., and Klemp, J.B. (2008). A time-split nonhydrostatic atmospheric model for weather research and forecasting applications. J. Comput. Phys. 227, 3465–3485.

Solomon, A., and Newman, M. (2012). Reconciling disparate twentieth-century Indo-Pacific ocean temperature trends in the instrumental record. Nat. Clim. Change *2*, 691–699.

Taylor, K.E., Stouffer, R.J., and Meehl, G.A. (2012). An Overview of CMIP5 and the Experiment Design. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. *93*, 485–498.

Tokinaga, H., Xie, S.-P., Deser, C., Kosaka, Y., and Okumura, Y.M. (2012). Slowdown of the Walker circulation driven by tropical Indo-Pacific warming. Nature *491*, 439–443.

Trenberth, K.E. (1976). Spatial and temporal variations of the Southern Oscillation. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 102, 639–653.

van Vuuren, D. P., and Coauthors, 2011: The representative concentration pathways: An overview. Climatic Change, 109, 5–31, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0148-z.

Vecchi, G.A., Clement, A., and Soden, B.J. (2008). Examining the tropical Pacific's response to global warming. Eos Trans. Am. Geophys. Union *89*, 81–83.

Vincent, D.G. (1994). The South Pacific Convergence Zone (SPCZ): A Review. Mon. Weather Rev. 122, 1949–1970.

Vincent, E.M., Lengaigne, M., Menkes, C.E., Jourdain, N.C., Marchesiello, P., and Madec, G. (2011). Interannual variability of the South Pacific Convergence Zone and implications for tropical cyclone genesis. Clim. Dyn. *36*, 1881–1896.

Walsh, K. (2015). Fine resolution simulations of the effect of climate change on tropical cyclones in the South Pacific. Clim. Dyn. 45, 2619–2631.

Watanabe, M., Kamae, Y., and Kimoto, M. (2014). Robust increase of the equatorial Pacific rainfall and its variability in a warmed climate: increasing pacific rainfall variability. Geophys. Res. Lett. 41, 3227–3232.

Widlansky, M.J., Timmermann, A., Stein, K., McGregor, S., Schneider, N., England, M.H., Lengaigne, M., and Cai, W. (2013). Changes in South Pacific rainfall bands in a warming climate. Nat. Clim. Change *3*, 417–423.

Xie, S.-P., Deser, C., Vecchi, G.A., Collins, M., Delworth, T.L., Hall, A., Hawkins, E., Johnson, N.C., Cassou, C., Giannini, A., et al. (2015). Towards predictive understanding of regional climate change. Nat. Clim. Change *5*, 921–930.

Zhang, G.J., and McFarlane, N.A. (1995). Sensitivity of climate simulations to the parameterization of cumulus convection in the Canadian climate centre general circulation model. Atmosphere-Ocean *33*, 407–446.

608 609 Zheng, Y., Lin, J.-L., and Shinoda, T. (2012). The equatorial Pacific cold tongue simulated by IPCC AR4 coupled GCMs: Upper ocean heat budget and feedback analysis: The pacific cold tongue bias analysis. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans 117, n/a-n/a.