

# Synthesis and characterization of 8-aminoquinolines, substituted by electron donating groups, as high-affinity copper chelators for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease

Ju Huang, Michel Nguyen, Yan Liu, Anne Robert, B. Meunier

# ► To cite this version:

Ju Huang, Michel Nguyen, Yan Liu, Anne Robert, B. Meunier. Synthesis and characterization of 8-aminoquinolines, substituted by electron donating groups, as high-affinity copper chelators for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. Comptes Rendus. Chimie, 2019, 22 (5), pp.419-427. 10.1016/j.crci.2019.05.003. hal-02399289

# HAL Id: hal-02399289 https://hal.science/hal-02399289

Submitted on 10 Nov 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Revised ms CRCHIMIE-D-19-00114, 6 May 2019.

# Synthesis and characterization of 8-aminoquinolines, substituted by electron-donating groups, as high affinity copper chelators for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease

Ju Huang,<sup>[a,b]</sup> Michel Nguyen,<sup>[b]</sup> Yan Liu,<sup>[a]\*</sup> Anne Robert,<sup>[b]\*</sup> Bernard Meunier<sup>[a,b]</sup>

<sup>[a]</sup> Guangdong University of Technology (GDUT), School of Chemical Engineering and Light Industry, Number 100 Waihuan Xi road, Education Mega Center, Guangzhou, P. R. China.

<sup>[b]</sup> Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination du CNRS (LCC-CNRS), 205 route de Narbonne, BP 44099, 31077 Toulouse cedex 4, France.

\*Corresponding authors.

E-mail address: <u>15521376339@163.com</u> (J. Huang), <u>yanliu@gdut.edu.cn</u> (Y. Liu), <u>anne.robert@lcc-toulouse.fr</u> (A. Robert), <u>bernard.meunier@lcc-toulouse.fr</u> (B. Meunier)

# Abstract

The deregulation of copper homeostasis generates copper-amyloid aggregation and strongly participate to neuron damage in the brains of patients with Alzheimer's disease (AD). Therefore, copper chelators able to regulate copper homeostasis should be considered as potential therapeutic agents. Based on a bidentate amine side chain attached at the 2-position of a 8-aminoquinoline motif, a series of low-molecular-weight copper chelators have been designed to act as specific tetradentate Cu(II) chelators. The affinity of these ligands for Cu(II) and their selectivity for Cu(II) with respect to Zn(II) is reported. These ligands provide a square planar tetradentate coordination sphere that should be suitable to extract copper(II) from copper-amyloid, and are therefore expected to regulate copper homeostasis *in vivo*.

# Introduction

The progressive and devastating cognitive decline of Alzheimer's disease (AD) described by Alzheimer a century ago<sup>[1]</sup> is related to the abnormal deposition of two proteins, amyloid proteins (AB) and hyperphosphorylated tau protein. Current AD therapy is based on four acetylcholine esterase inhibitors and memantine, a weak antagonist of the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor, that are not really effective, and the pipeline of new drugs is rather unproductive.<sup>[2]</sup> Therefore, it is essential to explore new efficient drugs for AD, which can slow down or stop the AD loss of memory at the early phase. There is extensive evidence linking metal disregulation to tau protein pathology and Aβ-aggregation in AD brain.<sup>[3-5]</sup> Copper ions are concentrated in AD amyloid plaques, as high as 400 µM, close to 6 times higher than in normal brain.<sup>[6]</sup> The activation of dioxygen by copper-amyloid complexes, in the presence of endogenous reductants, induces the catalytic generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS).<sup>[7-10]</sup> The bioinorganic pharmacology of AD should therefore be developed, as a potential drug target. Few specific ligands able to restore the homeostasis of copper have been considered as potential drugs for the treatment of AD.<sup>[11-15]</sup> These copper chelators are expected to extract copper ions from copper-amyloid complex and translate them to copper-carrier proteins or copper enzymes inside the brain. Bush and coworkers developed hydroxyquinoline derivatives clioquinol (CQ) and PBT2. Unfortunately, CQ and PBT2 are unable to efficiently extract copper from Cu-A $\beta$ , and they generate ternary Cu-Aβ-CQ or Cu-Aβ-PBT2 complexes that retain a damaging redox activity.<sup>[16]</sup> Based on our previous work on bis-8-aminoquinolines,<sup>[17-18]</sup> we have recently designed a series of mono-8-aminoquinoline derivatives (named TDMQ) with a bidentate amine side chain. When the length of the side chain is suitable, TDMQs offer a N<sub>4</sub>-tetradentate square planar coordination sphere able to specifically chelate copper(II) in complexes having a TDMQ/Cu = 1/1 stoichiometry and, therefore, to extract this metal ion from copper-amyloid.<sup>[19]</sup> Copper is then released from the Cu-TDMQ complex in the presence of glutathione which is a physiological provider of copper for copper-carrier proteins,<sup>[19]</sup> without interacting with zinc which is abundant in the brain,<sup>[20]</sup> but preferred a tetrahedral coordination. In order to document the structure-selectivity relationship in the series of TDMQ ligands retaining the already characterized  $N_4$ -tetradentate square planar coordination sphere, and to enlarge the scope of these putative drugs, we report here the synthesis and characterization of new derivatives bearing electron donating groups [O-CH<sub>3</sub> or N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] at several positions of the quinoline nucleus. The chelation of copper(II) by these ligands, and their selectivity with respect to zinc, is also reported and compared with that ones of the previously reported TDMQ chelators.

#### Materials and methods

#### 1. General methods.

Chemical reagents were purchased from common suppliers and used as received. Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) performed with 0.2 mm silica gel 60F plates and visualized by ultraviolet light. Column chromatography purification of products was carried out on silica gel (300-400 mesh). <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra was recorded on a Bruker AVANCE III 400 MHz spectrometer (400.0 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H NMR, 100.6 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C NMR) and Bruker AVANCE III 500 MHz spectrometer (500.0 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H NMR, 125.7 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C NMR). Tetramethylsilane (TMS) was used as an external standard (0 ppm) for the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR were referred to the chemical shift of the solvent ( $\delta$ = 39.5 ppm for DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> and 77.0 ppm for CDCl<sub>3</sub>).

#### 2. Syntheses.

*Synthesis of TDMQ31* (Scheme 1,  $R_5 = R_7 = H$ ,  $R_6 = OCH_3$ ).

6-Methoxy-2-methyl-8-nitroquinoline (D-TDMQ31). To a solution of 4-methoxy-2-nitroaniline (C-TDMQ31, Scheme 2,  $R_6 = OCH_3$ ) in HCl (12 M, 10 mL) at 0 °C, acetaldehyde was added dropwise under stirring. The reaction medium was kept at 0 °C for 15 min, gradually raised to 80 °C, and then stirred at 80 °C for 1 h. The resulting mixture was poured into ice-cold water and neutralized with aqueous ammonium hydroxide. After extraction with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, the organic phase was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane, 1/20, v/v). After evaporation of the eluent, D-TDMQ31 was obtained as a yellow solid (41%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) :  $\delta$  = 7.99 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 2.72 ppm (s, 3H).

6-Methoxy-8-nitro-2-vinylquinoline (E-TDMQ31). To a solution of D-TDMQ31 (Scheme 2,  $R_5 = R_7 = H$ ,  $R_6 = OCH_3$ ) in *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide (5 mL) was added FeCl<sub>3</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>. The mixture was stirred at 110 °C for 30 min and quenched with water. After extraction with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, the organic phase was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (hexane/dichloromethane, 50/1, v/v). After evaporation of the eluent, compound E-TDMQ31 was obtained as a red solid (17%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) :  $\delta$  = 8.05 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H) 7.00-6.93 (m, 1H), 6.34 (d, *J* = 17.6 Hz, 1H), 5.65 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.97 ppm (s, 3H).

# $N^{l}$ -(2-(6-Methoxy-8-nitroquinolin-2-yl)ethyl)- $N^{3}$ , $N^{3}$ -dimethylpropane-1,3-diamine

(*F-TDMQ31*). To a mixture of E-TDMQ31 and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in 1,4-dioxane (10 mL) was added *N*,*N*-dimethyl-1,2-ethanediamine. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h, and quenched with water. After extraction with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, the organic phase was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/isopropanol/25% ammonium hydroxide, 5/1/0.2, v/v/v). After evaporation of the eluent, F-TDMQ31 was obtained as brown solid (21%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) :  $\delta$  = 8.01 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H, H4), 7.64 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.18-3.12 (m, 4H), 2.77 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.45 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.22 ppm (s, 6H).

 $N^{1}$ -(2-(8-Amino-6-methoxyquinolin-2-yl)ethyl)- $N^{3}$ , $N^{3}$ -dimethylpropane-1,3-diamine (G-TDMQ31). Iron (131.6 mg, 2.36 mmol) and acetic acid (3 mL) were added to a

solution of F-TDMQ31 in ethanol (9 mL). The mixture was stirred at 80 °C under reflux for 1 h. The reaction mixture was poured into an aqueous saturated NaCl solution (200 mL) and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane, 1/10, v/v). Evaporation of the solvent afforded G-TDMQ31 was obtained as yellow oil (70%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) :  $\delta$  = 7.86 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 6.55 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.45 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.02 (s, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.11 (s, 4H), 2.76 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 2.43 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 2.22 ppm (s, 6H).

TDMQ31. To a solution of G-TDMQ31 in dry dichloromethane was added excess hydrogen chloride solution (2.0 M in diethyl ether). The precipitate was filtered, washed with diethyl ether and dried under reduced pressure to give TDMQ31 that was obtained as a yellow solid (96 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ ) :  $\delta = 9.58$  (brs, 2H,  $CH_2-NH_2^+-CH_2$ , 8.26 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, H4), 7.52 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, H3), 7.14 (s, 1H, H5), 7.07 (s, 1H, H7), 3.87 (s, 3H, C6-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.62 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, C2-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.59 [m, 4H, NH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-N<sup>+</sup>H(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 3.45 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, C2-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.86 ppm [s, 6H, NH<sup>+</sup>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) :  $\delta$  = 158.3 (C6), 155.4 (C2), 144.7 (C8), 135.2 (C4), 134.7 (C8a), 128.1 (C4a), 122.4 (C3), 101.5 (C7), 94.5 (C5), 59.1 [CH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sup>+</sup>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 55.2 (C6-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 49.1 (C2-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>), 47.2  $(NH^{+}(CH_{3})_{2})$ , 45.6  $(NH_{2}^{+}-CH_{2}-CH_{2})$ , 38.1 ppm  $(C2-CH_{2})$ ; mp: 153 °C; IR (KBr): v = 804, 865, 1027, 1166, 1199, 1265, 1340, 1396, 1471, 1504, 1608, 1650, 2948, 2977, 3236, 3401, 3465 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>25</sub>ON<sub>4</sub>: 289.20235 ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>), found: 289.20229; Elemental analysis for  $C_{16}H_{24}ON_4 \cdot 3.0HCl \cdot 1.9 \cdot$  $0.4C_2H_5OC_2H_5 \cdot 0.2CH_2Cl_2$  (apparent MW = 471.5444): calculated C, 45.34; H, 7.52; N, 11.88; found C, 45.34; H, 7.40; N, 11.75.

#### Synthesis of TDMQ32.

5-Methoxy-2-methyl-8-nitroquinoline (D-TDMQ32). The procedure was similar to that described for the preparation of D-TDMQ31, but starting from 5-methoxy-2-nitroaniline (C-TDMQ32, Scheme 1,  $R_5 = OCH_3$ ). D-TDMQ32 was

obtained as yellow solid (70%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 8.48 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (s, 3H), 2.77 ppm (s, 3H).

5-Methoxy-8-nitro-2-vinylquinoline (E-TDMQ32). To a solution of D-TDMQ32 (Scheme 2,  $R_6 = R_7 = H$ ,  $R_5 = OCH_3$ ) in *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (5 mL) was added FeCl<sub>3</sub> (37.2 mg, 0.23 mmol) and TBHP (660 µL). The mixture was stirred at 140°C for 3 hours and quenched with water. After extraction with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, the organic phase was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture purified flash column chromatography was by silica gel (hexane/dichloromethane, 10/1, v/v). After evaporation of the eluent, compound E-TDMQ32 was obtained as a yellow solid (50%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 8.41$  (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, H4), 8.01 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 6.94-6.87 (m, 1H), 6.66 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 6.36 (d, J = 17.6 Hz, 1H), 5.62 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.96 ppm (s, 3H).

 $N^{l}$ -(2-(5-Methoxy-8-nitroquinolin-2-yl)ethyl)- $N^{3}$ , $N^{3}$ -dimethylpropane-1,3-diamine

(*F-TDMQ32*). The procedure was similar to that described for the preparation of F-TDMQ31, but starting from E-TDMQ32 (Scheme 2,  $R_6 = R_7 = H$ ,  $R_5 = OCH_3$ ) and the reaction time was 1 hour. F-TDMQ32 was obtained as a yellow solid (65%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 8.48$  (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.12 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (s, 3H), 3.20-3.15 (m, 4H), 2.79 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.46 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.22 ppm (s, 6H).

 $N^{1}$ -(2-(8-Amino-5-methoxyquinolin-2-yl)ethyl)- $N^{3}$ , $N^{3}$ -dimethylpropane-1,3-diamine

(*G-TDMQ32*). The procedure was similar to that described for the preparation of G-TDMQ31, but starting from F-TDMQ32 (Scheme 2,  $R_6 = R_7 = H$ ,  $R_5 = OCH_3$ ). G-TDMQ32 was obtained as a yellow solid (60%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.40 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.19-3.18 (m, 4H), 2.80 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.46 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.21 ppm (s, 6H).

TDMQ32. To a solution of G-TDMQ32 in dry dichloromethane was added an excess

hydrogen chloride solution (2.0 M in diethyl ether). The precipitate was filtered, washed with diethyl ether and dried under reduced pressure to give TDMQ32 that was obtained as a yellow solid (99 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  = 8.61 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, H4), 7.69 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, H7), 7.53 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, H3), 7.02 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, H6), 4.00 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.74 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H, C2-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>), 3.58-3.54 [m, 4H,  $NH_2^+$ -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sup>+</sup>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 3.45 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H, C2-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.93 ppm [s, 6H,  $N(CH_3)_2$ ; mp: 146 °C; IR (KBr): v = 827, 1020, 1085, 1194, 1272, 1411, 1483, 1602, 1645, 2638, 2746, 2873, 2975, 3450 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) calculated for  $C_{16}H_{25}ON_4$ : 289.20251 ([M +H]<sup>+</sup>), found: 289.20229; Elemental analysis for  $C_{16}H_{24}ON_4 \cdot 3.0HCl \cdot 2.5H_2O \cdot 0.2C_2H_5OC_2H_5 \cdot 0.15CH_2Cl_2$  (apparent MW = 470.3732): calculated C, 43.28; H, 7.35; N, 11.91; found C, 43.30; H, 7.04; N, 11.89.

# Synthesis of TDMQ33.

7-*Methoxy-2-methylquinoline (B-TDMQ33)*. The procedure was similar to that described for the preparation of D-TDMQ31, but starting from 3-methoxyaniline (A-TDMQ33, Scheme 2,  $R_3 = OCH_3$ ). B-TDMQ33 was obtained as a yellow oil (36%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 7.93$  (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (s, 1H), 7.13 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 2.70 ppm (s, 3H).

7-*Methoxy-2-methyl-8-nitroquinoline* (*D-TDMQ33*). To a stirred solution of B-TDMQ33 in neat sulfuric acid (10.0 mL) was added fuming nitric acid (5.0 mL) dropwise over a 1 h period at ambient temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred for an additional hour, and was then poured into ice. The mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature, neutralized with aqueous ammonium hydroxide. After extraction with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, the organic phase was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane, 1/30, v/v). Evaporation of the solvent afforded D-TDMQ33 as a yellow solid (32 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.90 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 2.60 ppm (s, 3H).

7-*Methoxy*-8-*nitro*-2-*vinylquinoline (E-TDMQ33)*. The procedure was similar to that described for the preparation of E-TDMQ32, but starting from D-TDMQ33 (Scheme 2,  $R_6 = R_5 = H$ ,  $R_7 = OCH_3$ ). E-TDMQ33 was obtained as yellow solid (34%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.0 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 8.45$  (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 6.97~6.91 (m, 1H), 6.43 (d, J = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 5.75 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.08 ppm (s, 3H).

 $N^{l}$ -(2-(7-Methoxy-8-nitroquinolin-2-yl)ethyl)- $N^{3}$ , $N^{3}$ -dimethylpropane-1,3-diamine

(*F-TDMQ33*). The procedure was similar to that described for the preparation of F-TDMQ31, but starting from E-TDMQ33 (Scheme 2,  $R_6 = R_5 = H$ ,  $R_7 = OCH_3$ ) and the catalyst was replaced by Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, the reaction time was 48 hours. F-TDMQ33 was obtained as a yellow oil (75%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.0 MHz, DMSO -  $d_6$ ):  $\delta = 8.40$  (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (s, 3H), 3.13 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.04 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.73 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.14 ppm (s, 6H).

 $N^{1}$ -(2-(8-Amino-7-methoxyquinolin-2-yl)ethyl)- $N^{3}$ , $N^{3}$ -dimethylpropane-1,3-diamine (*G-TDMQ33*). The procedure was similar to that described for the preparation of G-TDMQ31, but starting from F-TDMQ33 (Scheme 2, R<sub>6</sub> = R<sub>5</sub> = H, R<sub>7</sub> = OCH<sub>3</sub>). G-TDMQ33 was obtained as a yellow solid (80%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.0 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ = 7.92 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (s, 1H), 7.10 (s, 1H), 4.96 (s, 2H, C8-NH<sub>2</sub>), 3.98 (s, 3H), 3.14 (s, 4H), 2.76 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.43 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.21 ppm (s, 6H).

*TDMQ33*. To a solution of G-TDMQ33 in dry dichloromethane was added an excess of hydrogen chloride solution (2.0 M in diethyl ether). The precipitate was filtered, washed with diethyl ether and dried under reduced pressure to give TDMQ33 as a yellow solid (99 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.0 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta = 8.33$  (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, H4), 7.92 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H, H5), 7.57 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H, H6), 7.41 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, H3), 4.05 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.72 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H, C2-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.56-3.52 [m, 4H, NH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sup>+</sup>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 3.44 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H, C2-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.92 ppm [s, 6H, NH<sup>+</sup>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]; mp: 97 °C; IR (KBr):  $\nu = 786$ , 848, 1002, 1045, 1147, 1268, 1456, 1513,

1625, 1643, 2642, 2750, 2869, 2956, 3083, 3436 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) calculated for  $C_{16}H_{25}ON_4$ : 289.20249 ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>), found: 289.20229; Elemental analysis for  $C_{16}H_{24}ON_4 \cdot 3.0HCl \cdot 1.8H_2O \cdot 0.3C_2H_5OC_2H_5 \cdot 0.02CH_2Cl_2$  (apparent MW = 454.1344): calculated C, 45.54; H, 7.47; N, 12.34; found C, 45.53; H, 7.56; N, 12.63.

## Synthesis of TDMQ38.

 $N^{1}$ , $N^{1}$ -Dimethyl-2-nitrobenzene-1,3-diamine (C-TDMQ38). To a solution of 3-chloro-2-nitroaniline in dry *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide was added K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and dimethylamine. The mixture was stirred at 140 °C for 12 h and quenched with water. After extraction with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, the organic phase was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate, 10/1, v/v). After evaporation of the eluent, compound C-TDMQ38 was obtained as a yellow solid (99%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.07 (dd, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.25 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 5.0 (s, 2H), 2.84 ppm (s, 6H).

*N*,*N*,2-*Trimethyl*-8-*nitroquinolin*-7-*amine* (*D*-*TDMQ38*). The procedure was similar to that described for the preparation of D-TDMQ31, but starting from C-TDMQ38. D-TDMQ38 was obtained as a yellow solid (54%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.88 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.05 (s, 6H), 2.66 ppm (s, 3H).

*N,N-Dimethyl-8-nitro-2-vinylquinolin-7-amine* (*E-TDMQ38*). The procedure was similar to that described for the preparation of E-TDMQ32, but starting from D-TDMQ38 and the reaction time was 5 hours. E-TDMQ38 was obtained as a yellow solid (22%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.89 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H, H6), 7.60 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (dd, *J* = 10.8, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 6.27 (d, *J* = 17.6 Hz, 1H), 5.54 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.01 ppm (s, 6H).

*diamine (F-TDMQ38).* The procedure was similar to that described for the preparation of F-TDMQ33, but starting from E-TDMQ32. F-TDMQ38 was obtained as a yellow oil (70%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.91 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H),

 $N^{l}$ -(2-(7-(Dimethylamino)-8-nitroquinolin-2-yl)ethyl)- $N^{2}$ . $N^{2}$ -dimethylethane-1.2-

7.20 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.12-3.09 (m, 4H), 3.07 (s, 6H), 2.76 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.45 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.23 ppm (s, 6H).

2-(2-((2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl)amino)ethyl)- $N^7$ , $N^7$ -dimethylquinoline-7,8-diamine (*G-TDMQ38*). The procedure was similar to that described for the preparation of G-TDMQ31, but starting from F-TDMQ38. G-TDMQ38 was obtained as a yellow solid (60%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ = 7.96 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (s, 2H, C8-NH<sub>2</sub>), 3.26 (m, 4H), 2.90 (t, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.80 (s, 6H), 2.55 (t, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.26 ppm (s, 6H).

TDMQ38. To a solution of G-TDMQ38 in dry dichloromethane was added an excess of hydrogen chloride solution (2.0 M in diethyl ether). The precipitate was filtered, washed with diethyl ether and dried under reduced pressure to give TDMQ38 as a yellow solid (99 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  = 8.26 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, H6), 7.64 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H, H4), 7.54 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, H5), 7.48 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H, H3), 3.75 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, C2-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.56-3.54 [m, 4H, NH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sup>+</sup>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 3.43 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, C2-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.32 [s, 6H, C7-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 2.93 ppm [s, 6H, NH<sup>+</sup>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]; mp: 127 °C; IR (KBr): v = 728, 848, 999, 1118, 1267, 1394, 1471, 1635, 2458, 2657, 2967, 3344, 3423 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) calculated for  $C_{17}H_{28}N_5$ : 302.23392 ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>), found: 302.23392; Elemental analysis for  $C_{17}H_{27}N_5 \cdot 3.0HCl \cdot 2.2H_2O \cdot 0.25C_2H_5OC_2H_5 \cdot 0.13CH_2Cl_2$  (apparent MW = 480.0179): calculated C, 45.36; H, 7.80; N, 14.59; found C, 45.28; H, 7.85; N, 14.64.

#### Synthesis of TDMQ39.

 $N^{l}$ , $N^{l}$ -Dimethyl-4-nitrobenzene-1,3-diamine (C-TDMQ39). To a solution of 5-chloro-2-nitroaniline in dry N,N-dimethylformamide was added K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and dimethylamine. The mixture was stirred at 150 °C for 12 h and quenched with water. After extraction with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, the organic phase was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate, 10/1, v/v). After evaporation of the eluent, compound C-TDMQ39 was obtained as a yellow solid (99%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.01 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H, H3), 6.14 (dd, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 2.6 Hz, 1H, H4), 6.12

(d, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 1H, H6), 3.05 ppm [s, 6H, C5-N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>].

*N*,*N*,2-*Trimethyl*-8-*nitroquinolin*-5-*amine* (*D*-*TDMQ39*). The procedure was similar to that described for the preparation of D-TDMQ31, but starting from C-TDMQ39. D-TDMQ39 was obtained as a yellow solid (64%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.35 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H, H4), 8.01 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H, H6), 7.32 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H, H7), 6.87 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H, H3), 3.08 [s, 6H, C5-N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 2.75 ppm (s, 3H, C2-CH<sub>3</sub>).

*N,N-Dimethyl-8-nitro-2-vinylquinolin-5-amine* (*E-TDMQ39*). The procedure was similar to that described for the preparation of E-TDMQ32, but starting from D-TDMQ39. E-TDMQ39 was obtained as a yellow solid (20%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.36 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H, H6), 7.98 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H, H4), 7.49 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H, H7), 6.91 (dd, 1H, C2-CH), 6.82 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H, H3), 6.36 (d, *J* = 17.6 Hz, 1H, C2-CH-*CH*<sub>trans</sub>), 5.64 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H, C2-CH-*CH*<sub>cis</sub>), 2.93 ppm [s, 6H, C5-N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>].

 $N^{1}$ -(2-(5-(*Dimethylamino*)-8-*nitroquinolin*-2-*yl*)*ethyl*)- $N^{2}$ , $N^{2}$ -*dimethylethane*-1,2-*diam ine* (*F*-*TDMQ39*). The procedure was similar to that described for the preparation of F-TDMQ33, but starting from E-TDMQ39. F-TDMQ39 was obtained as a yellow oil (70%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.37 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.09 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 3.26-3.20 (m, 4H), 3.01 (s, 6H), 2.84 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.51 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.24 ppm (s, 6H).

(*G-TDMQ39*). The procedure was similar to that described for the preparation of G-TDMQ31, but starting from F-TDMQ39. G-TDMQ39 was obtained as a brown solid (80%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 8.48 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (s, 4H), 3.00 (t, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 2.77 (s, 6H), 2.64 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.02 ppm (s, 6H).

 $2-(2-((2-(Dimethylamino))ethyl)amino)ethyl)-N^5, N^5-dimethylquinoline-5, 8-diamine$ 

*TDMQ39*. To a solution of G-TDMQ39 in dry dichloromethane was added an excess of hydrogen chloride solution (2.0 M in diethyl ether). The precipitate was filtered, washed with diethyl ether and dried under reduced pressure to give TDMQ39 as a black solid (99 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta$  = 8.42 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H, H7), 7.71 (d, *J* = 8.8

Hz, 1H, H6), 7.65 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, H4), 7.24 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, H3), 3.76 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, C2-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.57-3.51 [m, 4H, NH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sup>+</sup>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 3.46 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, C2-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.34 [s, 6H, C5-N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 2.93 ppm [s, 6H, NH<sup>+</sup>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]; mp: 100 °C; IR (KBr): v = 806, 1051, 1124, 1186, 1259, 1480, 1633, 1664, 2892, 2954, 2973, 3440 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) calculated for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>5</sub>: 302.23376 ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>), found: 302.23392; Elemental analysis for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>5</sub>•3.0HCl•3.5H<sub>2</sub>O•0.3C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>•1.0CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (apparent MW = 581.0351): calculated C, 39.69; H, 7.29; N, 12.05; found C, 39.60; H, 7.28; N, 12.04.

#### 3. UV-visible titrations.

All solvents and commercially available reagents were purchased from Fluka and TCI, they were used without further purification. CuSO<sub>4</sub>•5H<sub>2</sub>O and ZnSO<sub>4</sub>•7H<sub>2</sub>O were used as source of metal ions. UV-visible spectra were recorded from 200 nm to 500 nm, at room temperature, on an Evolution 300 spectrophotometer equipped with a magnetic stirrer. Preparation of TDMQ ligands is described in the Synthesis section. Except otherwise noted, the stock solutions were as follows: TDMQ ligand (5 mM in H<sub>2</sub>O), CuSO<sub>4</sub>•5H<sub>2</sub>O (5 mM in H<sub>2</sub>O), ZnSO<sub>4</sub>•7H<sub>2</sub>O (5 mM in H<sub>2</sub>O) and Tris-HCl buffer 50 mM, pH 7.4. For each experiment, the solution of metal ions was added to the solution of TDMQ ligand in buffer. Final concentrations in the cuvette were: [buffer] = 50 mM, [TDMQ] = 40  $\mu$ M. Final volume was 1.5 mL.

Note that, according to the Recommendations of IUPAC for Nomenclature of inorganic chemistry,  $M^{2+}$  stands for the metal cation (salt), and M(II) stands for the oxidation state of the metal involved in a complex.

## **Results and discussion**

#### 1. Syntheses.

These new TDMQ chelators consist of an 8-aminoquinoline scaffold substituted by methoxy- or dimethylamino- groups at variable positions. The structure of these

ligands is summarized in Scheme 1. To investigate the role of the substitution position, the 5-OCH<sub>3</sub>, 6-OCH<sub>3</sub>, 7-OCH<sub>3</sub> derivatives were prepared (TDMQ31, 32, 33, respectively). To investigate the role of the different donating group, TDMQ33 (7-OCH<sub>3</sub>) was compared to TDMQ38 [7-N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], and TDMQ32 (5-OCH<sub>3</sub>) was compared to TDMQ39 [5-N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>].

$ \begin{array}{c}             R_5 \\             R_6 \\             6 \\             6 \\         $				
TDMQn	$R_5$	$R_6$	$R_7$	
n =				
31	Н	OCH <sub>3</sub>	Н	
32	OCH <sub>3</sub>	Н	Н	
33	Н	Н	OCH <sub>3</sub>	
38	Н	Н	$N(CH_3)_2$	
39	$N(CH_3)_2$	Н	Н	
20 <sup>a</sup>	Cl	Н	Cl	

<sup>a</sup> The previously reported TDMQ20 is given for comparison.

Scheme 1. Structures of TDMQ ligands having different electron withdrawing substituents on the quinoline ring. The previously published TDMQ20<sup>[11]</sup> is given for comparison.

The synthesis procedure of TDMQ31-39 is summarized in Scheme 2. Starting from the suitable aniline (Compound A) or 2-nitroaniline (C), the quinoline ring was generated by reaction with acetaldehyde in concentrated hydrochloric acid. The side chain is then introduced by vinylation at position 2 of the 8-nitroquinoline (E), and further reaction with *N*,*N*-dimethylethylenediamine (F). The 8-nitro group is then reduced by iron/acetic acid to yield the TDMQ base (G). This compound is then protonated by HCl to yield the water-soluble chloride salt (H).



Scheme 2. Synthesis of TDMQ31, TDMQ32, TDMQ33, TDMQ38 and TDMQ39. (a) CH<sub>3</sub>CHO/12 M HCl, 80 °C, 1 h; (b) HNO<sub>3</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, r.t. 1 h; (c) FeCl<sub>3</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, DMA, 110 °C, 30 min for TDMQ31; DMF, TBHP, FeCl<sub>3</sub>, 140 °C, 3 h for TDMQ32, TDMQ33 and TDMQ39, and 5 h for TDMQ38; (d) NH<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, 1,4-dioxane, 12 h for TDMQ31, and 1 h for TDMQ32; Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, 48 h for TDMQ33, TDMQ38 and TDMQ39; (e) Fe, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH, CH<sub>3</sub>COOH, 80 °C, 1 h; (f) HCl 2 M in Et<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 1 h.

### 2. Affinity of TDMQs for copper(II) and zinc(II).

The abilities of TDMQ31, 32, 33, 38, and 39 to chelate Cu(II) and Zn(II) were monitored by using UV-visible titration, in the range 200-600 nm, as previously reported.<sup>[21]</sup> Spectral modifications upon addition of aliquots of concentrated aqueous solutions of Cu<sup>2+</sup> or Zn<sup>2+</sup> sulfates in a TDMQ buffered solution were recorded and considered significant of the metal complexation by the TDMQ ligands.

Upon addition of copper sulfate, a similar behavior was observed for ligands 31, 32, 33, 38, 39. For example, titration of TDMQ33 (40  $\mu$ M in Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.4) by Cu<sup>2+</sup> is depicted in Figure 1. From 0.1 to 1.0 mole equivalent (mol equiv) of Cu<sup>2+</sup>, spectral changes were immediately observed. The absorbance at 255 nm of the free

ligand decreased in favor of bands at 241 nm and 335 nm assigned to the Cu<sup>II</sup>-TDMQ33 complex. Isosbestic point were detected at 247 nm, 274 nm and 363 nm, well defined in the range 0-1 mol equiv of Cu<sup>2+</sup> (Figure 1), in agreement with the formation of a single Cu(II) complex. The plot of absorbance at 335 nm with respect to the Cu<sup>2+</sup>/TDMQ33 mole ratio (Figure 1, Insert) clearly indicate that the metal chelation was complete in these experimental conditions (no equilibrium in solution), and that the metal/ligand stoichiometry of the complex was 1/1, as previously reported for another series of N<sub>4</sub>-tetradentate chelators, bis(8-aminoquinolines).<sup>[17,18,22]</sup> No further changes were observed when an excess of Cu<sup>2+</sup> was added (up to 2-50 mol equivalents with respect to the ligand). Results obtained with TDMQ31, 32, 38 and 39 were similar as for TDMQ33, significant of the formation of high affinity Cu(II) complexes with L/M stoichiometries = 1/1.<sup>[23]</sup>



Figure 1. Titration of TDMQ33 (40  $\mu$ M in Tris-HCl buffer 50 mM, pH 7.4) by CuSO<sub>4</sub>•5H<sub>2</sub>O. The reaction was carried out from 0 to 50 mol equiv of Cu<sup>2+</sup> with respect to TDMQ33. Insert: Absorbance at 355 nm as a function of the Cu<sup>2+</sup>/TDMQ33 mole ratio.

In these conditions, the affinity of TDMQ ligands for Cu(II) cannot be calculated from titration curves. We therefore used 1,2-cyclohexylenedinitrilotetraacetic acid

{CDTA, log  $K_{app}$  [Cu<sup>II</sup>-CDTA] at pH 7.4 = 17.1<sup>[24]</sup>} as competitive chelator, and the proportion of Cu-TDMQ at equilibrium in a mixture containing TDMQ/Cu(II)/CDTA = 1/1/1 was evaluated by UV-visible spectroscopy in Tris-HCl buffer pH 7.4.<sup>[17]</sup> In these conditions (40  $\mu$ M), the proportions of Cu(II) chelated by TDMQ31, 32, 33, 38 and 39 were 0.52, 0.53, 0.52, 0.68, and 0.26, respectively. As an example, results obtained for TDMQ33 are depicted in Figure 2. Consequently, the log  $K_{app}$  values for [Cu–L] were 17.2 for TDMQ31, 32 and 33, 17.8 for TDMQ 38, and 16.2 for TDMQ39 (Table 1).



Figure 2. Determination by UV-visible spectroscopy of log  $K_{app}$  of Cu<sup>II</sup>-TDMQ33 by competition with CDTA in Tris-HCl buffer pH 7.4. The blue trace is the spectrum of TDMQ33 alone (40  $\mu$ M), the red trace is the spectrum of TDMQ33/Cu/CDTA = 1/1/1, the green trace is the spectrum of Cu/TDMQ33 = 1/1, the yellow trace is the spectrum of Cu/CDTA = 1/1.

n =	M=Cu(II) <sup>b</sup>	M=Zn(II)	Cu(II)/Zn(II) <sup>e</sup>
31	17.2	6.0 <sup>c</sup>	11.2
32	17.2	6.3 <sup>c</sup>	10.9
33	17.2	6.2 <sup>c</sup>	11.0
38	17.8	5.6 <sup>c</sup>	12.2
39	16.2	9.9 <sup>d</sup>	6.6
$20^{a}$	16.5	4.2	12.3

Table 1. Affinity constants of TDMQ ligands for Cu(II) and Zn(II); selectivity for Cu(II) with respect to Zn(II).

<sup>a</sup> Given for comparison. Data are from Reference 19. <sup>b</sup> Measured by UV-Vis spectroscopy in the presence of CDTA as competitor. <sup>c</sup> Calculated from UV-visible titration of TDMQ ligand by  $Zn^{2+}$ . <sup>d</sup> Measured by UV-Vis spectroscopy in the presence of EGTA as competitor. <sup>e</sup> Calculated as {log  $K_{app}$  [Cu<sup>II</sup>-TDMQ]} – {log  $K_{app}$  [Zn<sup>II</sup>-TDMQ]}.

Titration of ligands TDMQ31, 32, 33, 38, and 39 (40 µM) with Zn<sup>2+</sup> was performed in a similar way as titration by  $Cu^{2+}$ , but using  $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$  (up to 100 mol equiv) as a metal source. The reactions were monitored by UV-vis spectroscopy. In all cases, chelation of Zn(II) by the TDMQ ligand was observed. For example, upon addition of  $Zn^{2+}$  into a solution of TDMQ33 (40  $\mu$ M in Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.4), the absorbance of the free ligand (255 nm) disappeared in favor of absorption bands at 244 nm and 335 nm assigned to the Zn<sup>II</sup>-TDMQ33 complex (Figure 3). Isosbestic points detected at 246 nm, 288 nm and 357 nm are in agreement with the formation of a single Zn<sup>II</sup>-TDMQ33 complex. Significantly different from titration by Cu<sup>2+</sup>, TDMQ33 was not saturated upon addition of a single  $Zn^{2+}$  mole equivalent, but an excess of metal ion was required to achieve full metallation. The plot of absorbance at 335 nm with respect to the  $Zn^{2+}/TDMQ33$  mole ratio (Figure 3, Insert) clearly indicate the formation of a Zn<sup>II</sup>-TDMQ33 complex with 1/1 stoichiometry. In the presence of equimolar concentrations of Zn<sup>2+</sup> and TDMQ33, Zn<sup>II</sup>-TDMQ, free TDMQ and Zn<sup>2+</sup> coexist in solution. The proportion of Zn-TDMQ33 (88% with respect to overall amount of TDMQ33) allowed to calculate the affinity of Zn(II) for TDMQ33:  $\log K_{app}[Zn^{II}-TDMQ33] = 6.2$ . Details of the calculation are given in Reference 25.



Figure 3. Titration of TDMQ33 (40  $\mu$ M) by ZnSO<sub>4</sub>•7H<sub>2</sub>O (0-100 mole equiv) in Tris-HCl buffer 50 mM, pH 7.4. Insert: Absorbance at 335 nm as a function of the Zn<sup>2+</sup>/TDMQ33 mole ratio.

Titration of TDMQ31, 32, and 38 by  $Zn^{2+}$  provided similar results, with metallation values of 85%, 90%, and 77%, respectively, upon addition of 1 mol equiv of  $Zn^{2+}$ . Then, the calculated values of log  $K_{app}[Zn^{II}-TDMQ]$  were 6.0, 6.3, and 5.6 (reported in Table 1).

Chelation of Zn(II) by TDMQ39 was more complicated (Figure 4). Plot of the absorbance at 259 nm with respect to the Zn/TDMQ39 mole ratio (Figure 4b) suggested the formation of a lower affinity transitory complex with stoechiometry Zn/TDMQ39 = 1/2, followed by irreversible formation of a 1/1 complex in these conditions. This feature was confirmed by the absence of any clear isosbestic point in the range 310-340 nm (Figure 4a, Insert). The affinity of TDMQ39 for Zn in the 1/1 complex measured competitive chelation ethylene was by using glycol-bis(2-aminoethylether)-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid (EGTA) as competitive chelator, and was found to be log  $K_{app}[Zn^{II}-TDMQ39] = 9.9$  at pH 7.4 (Figure 5).



Figure 4. (a) Titration of TDMQ39 (40  $\mu$ M) by ZnSO<sub>4</sub>•7H<sub>2</sub>O (0-100 mol equiv) in Tris-HCl buffer 50 mM, pH 7.4; Insert: Expansion of the region 290-390 nm. (b) Absorbance at 335 nm as a function of the Zn<sup>2+</sup>/TDMQ39 mole ratio.



Figure 5. Determination by UV-visible of log  $K_{app}$  [Zn<sup>II</sup>-TDMQ39] by competition with EGTA in Tris-HCl buffer pH 7.4. Blue trace is the spectrum of TDMQ39 alone (40  $\mu$ M), the red trace is the spectrum of TDMQ39/Zn/EGTA = 1/1/1, the green trace is the spectrum of TDMQ39/Zn = 1/1, the yellow trace is the spectrum of Zn/EGTA = 1/1. log  $K_{app}$ [Zn<sup>II</sup>-EGTA] = 9.4 at pH 7.4.<sup>[24]</sup>

This study indicate that TDMQ derivatives substituted by a methoxy group on the quinoline ring (TDMQ31-33) exhibit the same high affinity for Cu(II) (log  $K_{app}$  = 17.2), and similar lower affinities for Zn(II) (log  $K_{app}$  in the range 6.0-6.3), giving rise to high selectivity values for Cu(II) with respect to Zn(II) (close to 11 orders of magnitude), whatever the position of the substituents on the quinoline ring. Substitution by dimethylamine at C7 (TDMQ38) resulted in a slight increase of the affinity for Cu (17.8) with respect to its methoxy analogue (17.2 for TDMQ33), while the affinity for Zn slightly decreased (5.6 for TDMQ38 compared to 6.2 for TDMQ33), resulting in a higher Cu/Zn selectivity. Substitution by dimethylamine at C5 (TDMQ39) resulted in a decrease of the affinity for Cu (16.2) with respect to substitution at C7 by 1.6 log unit. More importantly, TDMQ39 exhibited a rather high affinity for Zn(II), with a log  $K_{app}$  value of 9.9. Consequently, the Cu/Zn selectivity was drastically reduced for TDMQ39 compared to other TDMQ ligands.

These data have been compared with those previously reported for TDMQ20, with the same side chain, but was based on a 5,7-dichloroquinoline nucleus<sup>[19]</sup> (Table 1). Log  $K_{app}$  [Cu<sup>II</sup>-TDMQ20] was 16.5, very similar to that of TDMQ39 (5-dimethylamino-, log  $K_{app}$  = 16.2) and not far from the affinities of the methoxy-derivatives TDMQ31-33 (17.2), consistent with a coordination of Cu(II) in a N<sub>4</sub>-square planar environment. For Zn(II), the affinity of TDMQ20 was 4.2, significantly lower than that of all TDMQ derivatives bearing electron donating substituents. This feature suggests that the substituent induced electronic effects does not clearly influence the affinity of TDMQ chelators for Cu(II), and slightly increased the affinity for Zn(II). The drastically different affinity of TDMQ38 and TDMQ39 (7-and 5-dimethylamino derivatives, respectively) for Zn(II) (5-log units of difference) should be noted. It may be due to the significantly different basicity induced by the N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> substitution at C5 or C7 on the 8-aminoquinoline nucleus. All in all, for selective chelation of Cu in the context of AD pharmacology, TDMQ38 should be preferred.

#### Conclusion

The present study reports a series of new 8-aminoquinoline chelators containing electron donating groups, and their affinities for Cu(II) and Zn(II). These compounds exhibit high affinity for Cu(II) (log  $K_{app} > 16$ ), consistent with the formation of N<sub>4</sub>-square planar complexes with a Cu/TDMQ = 1/1 stoichiometry, as already reported by X-ray crystallography for TDMQ20, another 8-aminoquinoline chelator bearing the same side chain at C2<sup>[19]</sup>. The affinity for Zn(II) is low, and associated with a very high selectivity for Cu with respect to Zn ( $\geq$  11). The single exception is that of TDMQ39 which bears a N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> substituent at C5. These results confirm that metal selectivity in the TDMQ series is more driven by the capacity of these chelators to specifically chelate Cu in a N<sub>4</sub>-square planar environment with the suitable side chain than by electronic factors induced by different substituents on the quinoline ring.

On the basis of its selectivity for Cu with respect to Zn, TDMQ38 is one of the promising chelators for regulation of copper homeostasis, with the previously reported TDMQ20, suggesting that such low-molecular-weight copper chelators should be able to regulate the homeostasis of copper in the brains of patients,<sup>[18]</sup> and should then be considered as anti-AD drug candidates. In addition, the C7-N-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> substituent of TDMQ38 might improve the pharmacological behavior of TDMQ38 with respect to TDMQ20. Further investigation on TDMQ38 is therefore in progress.

# Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the CNRS (France), the Guangdong Province [grant number 2050205], and the Guangdong University of Technology [grant number 220418037] (PR China).

### Key words

Alzheimer; 8-Aminoquinoline; Copper homeostasis; Tetradentate chelator

#### **Mots-clés**

Alzheimer; 8-Aminoquinoléine; Chélateur tétradentate; Homéostasie du cuivre

### References

- K. Maurer, S. Volk, H. Gerbaldo, Auguste D and Alzheimer's disease, Lancet, 349 (1997), 1546-1549.
- [2] (a) J.L. Cummings, M. Travis, K. Zhong, Alzheimer's disease drug-development pipeline: few candidates, frequent failures, Alzheimer's Res. Ther. 6 (2014) 37-43; (b) J. Cummings, G. Lee, A. Ritter, K. Zhong, Alzheimer's disease drug development pipeline: 2018, Alzheimer & Dementia 4 (2018) 195-214.
- [3] M. Luczkowski, "No screams and cries will convince us that white is white and black is black", an ode to the defenders of amyloid cascade hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease, Coord. Chem. Rev. 327-328 (2016) 35-42.
- [4] K.P. Kepp, Alzheimer's disease: How metal ions define β-amyloid function. Coord. Chem. Rev. 351 (2017) 127-159.
- [5] A. Prakah, G.K. Dhaliwal, P. Kumar, A.A. Majeed, Brain biometals and Alzheimer's disease – boon or bane? Int. J. Neurosci. 127 (2017) 99-108.
- [6] M.A. Lovell, J.D. Robertson, W.D. Teesdale, J.L. Campbell, W.R. Markesbery, Copper, iron and zinc in Alzheimer's disease senile plaques, J. Neurol. Sci. 158 (1998) 47-52.
- [7] L. Perrone, E. Mothes, M. Vignes, A. Mockel, C. Figueroa, M.C. Miquel, M.L. Maddelein, P. Faller, Copper transfer from Cu-Abeta to human serum albumin inhibits aggregation, radical production and reduces Abeta toxicity, ChemBioChem 11 (2010) 110-118.
- [8] R. Sanokawa-Akakura, W. Cao, K. Allan, K. Patel, A. Ganesh, G. Heiman, R.

Burke, F.W. Kemp, J.D. Bogden, J. Camakaris, R.B. Birge, M. Konsolaki, Control of Alzheimer's amyloid beta toxicity by the high molecular weight immunophilin FKBP52 and copper homeostasis in Drosophila, PLoS One 5 (2010) e8626.

- [9] G. Meloni, V. Sonois, T. Delaine, L. Guilloreau, A. Gillet, J. Teissié, P. Faller, M. Vasák, Metal swap between Zn7-metallothionein-3 and amyloid-beta-Cu protects against amyloid-beta toxicity, Nat. Chem. Biol. 4 (2008) 366-372.
- [10] C.J. Sarell, S.R. Wilkinson, J.H. Viles, Substoichiometric levels of Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions accelerate the kinetics of fiber formation and promote cell toxicity of amyloid-beta from Alzheimer disease, J. Biol. Chem. 285 (2010) 41533-41540.
- [11] A. Robert, Y. Liu, M. Nguyen, B. Meunier, Regulation of copper and iron homeostasis by metal chelators: A possible chemotherapy for Alzheimer's disease, Acc. Chem. Res. 48 (2015) 1332-1339, and references therein.
- [12] K.J. Barnham, A.I. Bush, Biological metals and metal-targeting compounds in major neurodegenerative diseases, Chem. Soc. Rev. 43 (2014) 6727-6749.
- [13] M.A. Telpoukhovskaia, C. Orvig, Werner coordination chemistry and neurodegeneration, Chem. Soc. Rev. 42 (2013) 1836-1846.
- [14] L.R. Perez, K.J. Franz, Minding metals: tailoring multifunctional chelating agents for neurodegenerative disease, Dalton Trans. 39 (2010) 2177-2187.
- [15] H. Tamano, A. Takeda, Is interaction of amyloid β-peptides with metals involved in cognitive activity? Metallomics 7 (2015) 1205-1212.
- [16] M. Nguyen, L. Vendier, J.L. Stigliani, B. Meunier, A. Robert, Structures of copper and zinc complexes of PBT2, a chelating agent evaluated as potential drug for neurodegenerative diseases, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2017) 600-608.
- [17] M. Nguyen, A. Robert, A. Sournia-Saquet, L. Vendier, B. Meunier, Characterization of new specific copper chelators as potential drugs for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease, Chem. Eur. J. 20 (2014) 6771–6785.
- [18] M. Nguyen, C. Bijani, N. Martins, B. Meunier, A. Robert, Transfer of copper from an amyloid to a natural copper-carrier peptide with a specific mediating

ligand, Chem. Eur. J. 21 (2015) 17085-17090.

- [19] W. Zhang, D. Huang, M. Huang, J. Huang. D. Wang, X. Liu, M. Nguyen, L. Vendier, S. Mazères, A. Robert, Y. Liu, B. Meunier, Preparation of Tetradentate Copper Chelators as Potential Anti-Alzheimer Agents, ChemMedChem 13 (2018) 684-704.
- [20] W. Zhang, Y. Liu, C. Hureau, A. Robert, B. Meunier, B. N<sub>4</sub>-Tetradentate chelators efficiently regulate copper homeostasis and prevent ROS production induced by copper-amyloid-β<sub>1-16</sub>, even in the presence of an excess of zinc, Chem. Eur. J. 24 (2018) 7825-7829.
- [21] C. Deraeve, A. Maraval, L. Vendier, V. Faugeroux, M. Pitié, B. Meunier, Preparation of new bis(8-aminoquinoline) ligands and comparison with bis(8-hydroxyquinoline) ligands on their ability to chelate Cu(II) and Zn(II), Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2008) 5622-5631.
- [22] M. Nguyen, L. Rechignat, A. Robert, B. Meunier, The necessity of having a tetradentate ligand to extract copper(II) ions from amyloids, ChemistryOpen 4 (2015) 27-31.
- [23] According to the IUPAC Nomenclature of Inorganic Chemistry, Recommendations 2005, the net charge of a metal ion is noted with a superscript arabic numeral ( $Cu^{2+}$ ). The oxidation state or oxidation number of a metal in the formula of a complex is indicated as roman numeral. The oxidation state should be indicated in brackets for the full name of the complex, or as a (Cu<sup>II</sup>-TDMQ). superscript in the formula (https://old.iupac.org/publications/books/rbook/Red Book 2005.pdf)
- [24] Stability Constants of Metal-Ion Complex (Ed.: A. E Martell), Chem. Soc.
   Special Publication, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. (1971).
- [25] M. Nguyen, B. Meunier, A. Robert, Catechol-based ligands as potential metal chelators inhibiting redox activity in Alzheimer's disease, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2017) 3198-3204.