

Rearrangement of the transmembrane domain interfaces associated with the activation 1 of a GPCR hetero-oligomer 2 3

Li Xue, Qian Sun, Han Zhao, Xavier Rovira, Siyu Gai, Qianwen He, Jean-Philippe Pin, Jianfeng Liu, Philippe Rondard

▶ To cite this version:

Li Xue, Qian Sun, Han Zhao, Xavier Rovira, Siyu Gai, et al.. Rearrangement of the transmembrane domain interfaces associated with the activation 1 of a GPCR hetero-oligomer 2 3. Nature Communications, 2019, 10 (1), pp.2765. 10.1038/s41467-019-10834-5 . hal-02398123

HAL Id: hal-02398123 https://hal.science/hal-02398123

Submitted on 6 Dec 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Li Xue¹, Qian Sun¹, Han Zhao¹, Xavier Rovira^{2,3}, Siyu Gai¹, Qianwen He¹, Jean-Philippe
Pin², Jianfeng Liu¹ and Philippe Rondard²

6

¹ Cellular Signaling laboratory, International Research Center for Sensory Biology and
Technology of MOST, Key Laboratory of Molecular Biophysics of MOE, and College of Life
Science and Technology, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei
430074, China⁻

² Institut de Génomique Fonctionnelle (IGF), CNRS, INSERM, Université de Montpellier,
Montpellier, France

³ Present address : Molecular Photopharmacology Research Group, The Tissue Repair and
 Regeneration Laboratory, University of Vic - Central University of Catalonia, C. de la Laura,
 13, 08500 Vic, Spain.

16 These authors contributed equally : Li Xue, Qian Sun, Han Zhao, Xavier Rovira,

17

18

19 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.-P.P (email: jean-

20 philippe.pin@igf.cnrs.fr)

21 or to J.L. (email: jfliu@mail.hust.edu.cn)

- 23 Abstract
- 24

25 G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) can integrate extracellular signals via allosteric 26 interactions within dimers and higher-order oligomers. However, the structural bases of these 27 interactions remain unclear. Here, we use the GABA_B receptor heterodimer as a model as it 28 forms large complexes in the brain. It is subjected to genetic mutations mainly affecting 29 transmembrane 6 (TM6) and involved in human diseases. By cross-linking, we identify the 30 transmembrane interfaces involved in GABA_{B1}-GABA_{B2}, as well as GABA_{B1}-GABA_{B1} 31 interactions. Our data are consistent with an oligomer made of a row of GABA_{B1}. We bring 32 evidence that agonist activation induces a concerted rearrangement of the various interfaces. 33 While the GB1-GB2 interface is proposed to involve TM5 in the inactive state, cross-linking 34 of TM6s lead to constitutive activity. These data bring insight for our understanding of the 35 allosteric interaction between GPCRs within oligomers.

37 Introduction

38 G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) form the largest family of cell surface receptors and all cells are covered with dozens of different GPCR subtypes¹. At the cellular level, 39 multiple mechanisms have been identified that integrate the various GPCR-mediated signals. 40 These mechanisms involve either cross-talk between signaling pathways², or allosteric 41 interactions between receptors associated in dimers or higher-order oligomers³⁻⁷. Although 42 largely debated^{8,9}, physical interactions between GPCRs allow either positive or negative 43 cooperativity between protomers, both in homo-^{3,7,10,11} and hetero-oligomers^{5,12-17}. Recent 44 45 studies highlight the potential role of such receptor assembly in physiopathological processes^{14,18-20}. 46

47 Numerous structural, biophysical and biochemical studies have investigated the quaternary organization of GPCRs²¹⁻²³. However, the structural bases for GPCR assembly and 48 49 allosteric interaction remain elusive. To date, the most compelling studies revealed the 50 transmembrane helices TM4 and TM5 on one hand, and TM1 and TM7 on the other hand, form possible dimerization interfaces $^{20,24-27}$. Surprisingly, the amplitude of the conformational 51 52 changes associated with ligand occupancy is limited at these proposed interfaces. This 53 limitation makes a possible allosteric control of one subunit by the other difficult. This lack of 54 a clear view of the interfaces involved in GPCR allosteric interactions may be due to the dynamic interaction between receptor molecules, as revealed by single-molecule studies^{24,28-} 55 56 ³⁰. Elucidating how oligomers assemble and how the subunits functionally interact is key for 57 our understanding of their possible physiological significance.

58 The GPCR for γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA), the GABA_B receptor, is involved in pre-59 and post-synaptic regulation of many synapses³¹. It is an excellent model to investigate the 60 structural basis of cooperativity in higher-order oligomers for several reasons. i) The 61 functional unit is a mandatory heterodimer of two homologous subunits GABA_{B1} (GB1) and

 $GABA_{B2}$ (GB2) (Fig. 1a) ³². ii) Allosteric interactions between the seven transmembrane 62 helices (7TMs) of GB1 and GB2 lead to improved coupling efficacy of GB2¹⁶. iii) GABA_B 63 64 receptors have the propensity to form stable hetero-oligomers organized through interactions between the GB1 subunits^{12,28,33-35} (Fig. 1b). iv) Allosteric interactions between the 65 66 heterodimeric units within such oligomers have been identified. These interactions allow a single heterodimer to bind ligand and activate G-proteins, within a tetrameric entity^{12,35}. 67 68 Despite this clear evidence of allosteric interactions between the subunits of the GABA_B 69 oligomer, and the known structure of the active and inactive heterodimeric extracellular domain³⁶, little is known about 7TM structure. 70

71 Clarifying the structural bases of the allosteric interaction between GABA_B subunits is 72 critical, as this receptor is an interesting target for the treatment of various diseases, including spasticity, pain and alcoholism³⁷. Moreover, recent studies revealed the GABA_B receptor can 73 be the target of auto-antibodies possibly at the origin of epilepsies and encephalitis³⁸. In 74 75 addition, mutations in the GABA_{B2} receptor gene have been recently reported to be associated with Rett syndrome and epileptic encephalopathies³⁹⁻⁴¹. Most of them correspond to residues 76 77 in the TM6 helix that could point out outside of the 7TM core (Fig. 1c), while one was found in TM3 buried of the middle of the 7TM $core^{40,41}$. 78

79 In this study, we reveal the 7TM domain interfaces in the GABA_B oligomers and we 80 also document their dynamics during receptor activation. Our data are consistent with a 81 concerted reorientation of the subunits associated with receptor activation. Altogether, these data provide important information on how GABA_B receptor oligomers are activated. Our 82 83 data are more generally applicable to understanding the structural bases of the cooperativity 84 observed in many GPCR dimers and higher-order oligomers.

85 **Results**

86 **GB1 and GB2 constructs for cross-linking experiments**

87 In this study, our aim was to identify the various interfaces involved in interaction of the 88 GABA_B receptor subunits in oligomers. For this, we decided to use cysteine cross-linking that 89 gives a rather good resolution of the possible proximity between two residues in protein-90 protein interactions since it requires a distance below 8 Å between the C β of both cysteines. 91 We was previously successfully used this approach to study the metabotropic glutamate receptor type 2 $(mGlu2)^{42}$, that belong to the class C GPCRs as the GABA_B receptor⁴³. We 92 93 used N-terminally SNAP-tagged GB1 and Halo-tagged GB2 (Fig. 2a) because they can be 94 selectively and covalently labelled with non-cell permeant fluorescent substrates. 95 Accordingly, only cell surface proteins are labelled, such that any oligomers retained in the intracellular compartment will not be detectable^{34,44}. This is especially important in the case 96 97 of the heterodimeric GABA_B receptor for which one subunit (GB2) is required for the other 98 (GB1) to reach the cell surface. Indeed, GB1 non associated with GB2 is retained in intracellular compartments⁴⁵. With this approach both subunits can easily be detected by their 99 100 fluorescence after SDS-PAGE in non-reducing conditions and protein-transfer to membranes, 101 without the need of antibody labelling. SNAP-GB1 and Halo-GB2 have very similar 102 molecular weights making distinguishing them difficult (Fig. 2a). Therefore, we shortened the 103 C-terminal end of GB1 in our constructs and enlarged the C-terminal end of GB2 by adding a 104 GFP tag. This gave easily distinguishable GB1 and GB2 subunits of 112 and 167 kDa 105 respectively (Supplementary Figure 1a-b). Accordingly, the GB1-GB2 heterodimers (279 106 kDa) can easily be separated from the GB1-GB1 dimer (224 kDa) by non-reducing SDS-107 PAGE (Supplementary Figure 1c). To prevent unwanted disulphide bridges, we mutated the cysteines of GB2 TM4 (Cys609^{4.45} and Cys613^{4.49}; see nomenclature of the class C GPCR 108 7TMs⁴⁶) to alanine (Supplementary Figure 2a). These constructs are named 'control subunits' 109

and referred to GB1^{Ctr} and GB2^{Ctr} in this study (Fig. 2a). Finally, we verified that these two
engineered subunits have similar cell surface targeting and functional properties to wild-types
(Supplementary Figure 2b-c).

113

114 Characterization of the GB1-GB2 7TM dimer interface

To characterize the GB1-GB2 interface, we examined inter-subunit cross-linking between GB1^{Ctr} and GB2^{Ctr} carrying one cysteine residue in all the TMs, except TM3 that is mainly buried into the 7TM domain (Fig. 2b-d; Supplementary Figure 3a-b, 4, 5 and 6). Only symmetric dimer interfaces were considered since the GABA_B receptor ECD is symmetric³⁶. Asymmetric interfaces have been less described in the GPCR family, and they are all computational studies²⁴. Therefore, only GB1 and GB2 with a cysteine at the same position were co-expressed.

122 One needs to be cautious in interpreting the cross-linking results with membrane 123 proteins from the blots analysis. A background for the dimer band is observed in most 124 samples and is enhanced by the introduction of cysteines in many locations. It is probably due 125 to non-specific cross-linking or non-specific association of the subunits upon denaturation. 126 Non-specific cross-linking could occur at the cell surface spontaneously or during treatment 127 with oxidative copper-phenanthrolin (CuP) before stopping the cross-linking reaction with the 128 alkylating agent N-ethylmaleimide. Alternatively, Cys-crosslinking can occur after protein denaturation due to the exposure of buried Cys. Indeed, GB1^{Ctr} and GB2^{Ctr} retain some 129 130 reactive cysteine residues that could form a spontaneous or CuP-induced disulphide bridge, 131 though with low efficiency. In addition, non-specific association is expected to occur upon 132 membrane protein denaturation, especially if the proteins are already associated in the plasma membrane, due to hydrophobic interactions between the unfolded protein chains. GB1^{Ctr} and 133 GB2^{Ctr} retain the coiled-coil domain existing in the C-terminal region of the GABA_B receptor 134

that can favour SDS-resistant dimers not necessarily covalently linked^{12,47}, although they have 135 not been observed by others⁴⁸. In agreement, under basal conditions, a high variability in the 136 137 ratio of GB1-GB2 dimer over the total of GB1 subunit is measured in the different 138 experiments (Supplementary Figure 4). This probably results from differences in expression 139 level and in sample preparation between the experiments. Of note, treatment with the reducing 140 agent dithiothreitol (DTT) just before running the blots showed that a large part of the GB1-141 GB2 heterodimer band is resistant indicating than these dimers result from a non-specific 142 protein association (Supplementary Figure 3b). Such band is most probably made of SDS-143 resistant heterodimers that are not covalently linked through a disulphide bridge between the 144 GB1 and GB2 subunits.

145 Then to analyze specific Cys cross-linking, we concentrated our effort in identifying Cys positions for which a strong CuP induced cross-linking can be observed. CuP is used to 146 promote Cys crosslinking^{42,49} because spontaneous oxidation of the Cys residues located the 147 plasma membrane is not efficient 49,56 . To determine the efficiency of cross-linking between 148 149 the two subunits induced by CuP, we have quantified the change in the rate of GB1-GB2 150 dimers to the total quantity of GB1 subunit detected on blots (Fig. 2d). The results revealed 151 efficient cross-linking of GB1 and GB2 when Cys were introduced in TM5 or TM6. No such 152 cross-linking was observed when Cys were introduced in TM1, 2, 4 or 7. No significant CuPinduced cross-linking was observed between GB1^{Ctr} and GB2^{Ctr} in which no Cys was 153 154 introduced. These data strongly suggest that TM5 and TM6 of both subunits constitute the 155 GB1-GB2 dimer interface (Fig. 2e).

Finally, we have to be aware of another possible limitation of our cysteine crosslinking strategy that is the trapping of interactions that can be transient, and some of them not being functionally relevant. It could be due to constant conformational dynamics of the proteins and their movement in the biological sample, or a cross-linking that could occur during the sample preparation and experiments. In order to relate these interactions with functional properties of the receptor, we have performed these cross-linking experiments in presence of ligands known to stabilize the active or inactive conformations of the $GABA_B$ receptor.

164

165 **GB1-GB2 interface changes upon receptor activation**

166 We have then tested the dynamics of this interface. We have quantified the agonist effects on 167 cross-linking to all the sites of the 7TM domains where Cys were introduced, including in 168 TM5 and TM6 (Fig. 3a-c and Supplementary Figure 7a). In the presence of the agonist 169 GABA, GB1-GB2 cross-linking between the two TM5s was largely decreased for two 170 positions, indicating that the two TM5s are less close in the active state. However, inter-TM6 171 cross-linking was strongly increased for several positions, indicated the two TM6s are become 172 closer during activation. Based on these data, we propose a model where the GB1-GB2 dimer 173 interface switches from TM5-6 in the absence of ligand (basal or inactive state) to mainly 174 TM6 in the active conformation (Fig. 3d).

175 We were not surprised to observe GB1-GB1 cross-linking, when using a GB1 subunit 176 carrying a Cys residue, as it was known that the GABA_B receptor can associate into larger complexes likely through GB1-GB1 interaction^{12,35} (Fig. 3a-b). However, consistent with our 177 178 proposed model, there was a strong increase in GB1-GB1 dimers cross-linked through their 179 TM5 upon agonist stimulation (Fig. 3a). In addition, the small amount of GB1-GB1 dimer 180 cross-linked through their TM6 observed in the presence of the antagonist is no longer 181 measured in the presence of the agonist (Fig. 3b). Of note, in these experiments the cross-182 linked bands were only partially decreased after DTT (Supplementary Figure 7b), suggesting 183 that even after reduction of the cross-linked disulphide bridges, none covalent SDS resistant interactions remain between GB1 and GB2, as discussed above, or between two GB1
subunits⁵⁰.

Overall, these data indicate a dynamic interaction between the subunits in the GABA_B
oligomer whereby GB1 TM6 switches from mainly contacting GB1 in the inactive state to
contacting GB2 in the active state (Fig. 3d).

189

190 Locking GB1-GB2 TM6 interface stabilizes an active state

191 As our results suggest a TM6-TM6 interaction in the active state of the heterodimer, we 192 postulated that this interface may be critical in the activation process since GB1 7TM strongly favours GB2 7TM coupling to G proteins¹⁶. We therefore cross-linked the TM6 domains in 193 the heterodimer using the mutants GB1 I824C^{6.59} and GB2 L711C^{6.59} that had an efficient 194 195 cross-linking between GB1 and GB2 at the TM6 level (Fig. 2d), but that could not be further 196 increased by the agonist (Fig. 3c). Doing so, we observed a robust constitutive activity after 197 CuP treatment in basal conditions (Fig. 4a). This constitutive activity was only slightly further stimulated by the full agonist GABA. This basal activity of the GABA_B mutant correlated 198 199 with the amount of receptor at the cell surface (Fig. 4b), and it cannot be blocked by the 200 competitive antagonist (Fig. 4c). Importantly, CuP treatment itself had no effect on the 201 $GABA_B$ receptor activity (Supplementary Figure 8a). In the absence of CuP treatment, these 202 mutated GABA_B constructs had a similar activity than the wild-type (Supplementary Figure 8b-c). Conversely, when the putative inactive interface was stabilized by cross-linking 203 GB1^{TM6} with GB2^{TM4} (Fig. 4d), using the mutants GB1 I824C^{6.59} and GB2 A616C^{4.52} that 204 205 cross-linked well (Fig. 4e), the activation of the receptor by agonist was impaired (Fig. 4f). 206 This activation is not completely suppressed likely because only a fraction of the receptors are 207 cross-linked. Of note, the activation of the receptor by agonist was not impaired by the reversed pair GB1^{4.52} with GB2^{6.59}, and the GB1^{5.42} with GB2^{5.42} cross-linking 208

(Supplementary Figure 8d-e). It is probably because in these cross-linking experiments the
oligomer is stabilized in a conformation closer to the active state by GB1-GB1 cross-linking
through two GB1^{TM4} and two GB1^{TM5}, respectively (see below). Accordingly, the GB1-GB1
dimer rate is strongly increased by the agonist in the GB1^{4.52} with GB2^{6.59} (Supplementary
Figure 8e) and GB1^{5.42} with GB2^{5.42} (Fig. 3a).

214

215 Model of the rearrangement at the 7TM heterodimer interface

216 Based on the above experimental data, we propose a 3D model for the activation of the 217 GABA_B receptor, where in the inactive state, the heterodimer interface would be formed mainly by the two TM5s, plus GB1^{TM6} and GB2^{TM4} (Fig. 5a). During activation, a 218 219 rearrangement of this interface would occur such that in the active state, the interface mainly involves the TM6s of both GB1 and GB2, as recently proposed in mGlu receptors^{42,51}. Of 220 221 note, our previous experimental data have shown a higher probability to cross-link TM4s in mGlu2 homodimers⁴⁹, than in the GABA_B heterodimer in this study. Indeed, we did not obtain 222 223 any specific cross-linking between GB1-TM4 and GB2-TM4 in the resting (Supplementary 224 Figure 3b) and active state of the receptor (Supplementary Figure 7a). We then propose that 225 the amplitude of the relative reorientation between the 7TM dimer appears smaller in GB1-226 GB2 than in the mGlu2 homodimer (Fig. 5b). Our proposal is consistent with the observation of a smaller conformational change of the GABA_B ECD compared to mGluR ECD, as 227 previously reported based on crystal structures and FRET experiments^{36,52}. 228

229

230 GB1 7TM interaction in the oligomer during activation

As observed above, GB1 mutants can be cross-linked not only with GB2 but also with themselves. It is consistent with the ability of $GABA_B$ receptors to form large complexes through GB1-GB1 interaction^{12,34}. In order to identify the GB1 interfaces involved in the

formation of oligomers, we performed GB1-GB1 crosslinking in conditions where we would not have GB1-GB2 cross-linking. Therefore, we then examined the possible cross-linking between GB1^{Ctr} subunits carrying one Cys residue in various TMs, co-expressed with GB2^{Ctr} that do not contain introduced Cys (Fig. 6a-b).

238 Under basal conditions, CuP treatment resulted in a strong increase of GB1-GB1 239 cross-linked dimers for the cysteine mutant in TM4 and TM6 (Fig. 6c), where a single Cys mutation was introduced either in GB1^{TM4} or in GB1^{TM6}. In the same conditions, CuP 240 241 treatment increased GB1-GB1 cross-linked dimers to a lower extent for TM1, TM5 and TM7 242 (Fig. 6d). These results suggest there are higher-order oligomers in the inactive state, where 243 one GB1 subunit forms two different interfaces with two other GB1s, one mediated by TM4 and the other by TM6 (Fig. 6e). This model is also consistent with the GB1^{TM5}-GB2^{TM5} 244 interface we proposed for the GABA_B heterodimer in the inactive state, where both TM5s are 245 buried in the interface of the heterodimer (Fig. 5a), then the probability of two GB1^{TM5} being 246 247 crosslinked in the inactive state is low.

248 In contrast, a strong increase of the GB1-GB1 cross-linking was induced by agonist 249 for Cys located in TM1, TM5 and TM7 (Fig. 6d), but to a lower extent for TM4 while no 250 significant change was obtained for TM6 (Fig. 6c). These results indicate that two main 251 interfaces are formed between the GB1 subunits in the higher-order oligomers during 252 activation, one being TM5 and the other TM1-TM7 interface (Fig. 6f). This active state of the oligomers is consistent with the movement of GB1^{TM6} that switches to the GB2 interface 253 during activation (Fig. 5a). Such reorientation of GB1^{TM6} should limit its exposure to form 254 cross-linking with another GB1^{TM6}, consistent with no increase in cross-linking between two 255 GB1^{TM6} upon agonist treatment (Fig. 6c). Of note, in these experiments the GB1-GB1 cross-256 linked bands were only partly sensitive to DTT (Supplementary Figure 9), suggesting that 257

even after reduction of the cross-linked disulphide bridges, none covalent but stronginteractions remain between GB1 subunits, as stated above.

260

261 Model of the two interfaces between GB1s in oligomers

262 To further support this oligomerization model and validate which GB1-GB1 interfaces are 263 made at a given time, we measured the high-molecular weight species formed by the cross-264 linked GB1 subunits. We explored which pairs of cysteines introduced in the GB1 7TM cause 265 higher-order oligomers, when co-expressed with a non-mutated GB2 (Fig. 7a; Supplementary Figure 10a). These high-molecular-weight complexes only formed for those mutants of GB1 266 that can form one interface through two GB1^{TM4} or GB1^{TM5} and another interface between 267 two GB1^{TM1}, GB1^{TM6} or GB1^{TM7} (Fig. 7b). There were no high-molecular-weight complexes 268 with GB1^{Ctr} co-expressed with GB2^{Ctr}, and also with most of GB1 double mutants co-269 expressed with GB2^{Ctr} (Fig. 7a; Supplementary Figure 10a). These oligomers are consistent 270 271 with the cross-linking of at least three GB1 subunits through two different interfaces of GB1 272 in the inactive state, one mediated by TM4s or/and TM5s and the other by TM1s, TM6s or 273 TM7s (Fig. 7c). Of note, in these experiments the oligomer cross-linked bands were sensitive 274 to DTT (Supplementary Figure 10b) although that not totally, suggesting none covalent but 275 strong interactions remain between GB1 subunits, as stated above.

Interestingly, receptor activation increased the intensity of the oligomeric band when the symmetric GB1^{TM4} interface was cross-linked together with GB1^{TM1} or GB1^{TM7} interface (Fig. 7a). In addition, the symmetric GB1^{TM5} interface was cross-linked together with GB1^{TM1}. These results are consistent with the active state of the oligomers proposed above (Fig. 6f). Of note, our data suggested that a simultaneous cross-linking of the two interfaces mediated by TM5s and TM7s within the same GB1 subunit to form oligomers is not possible. Indeed, a double mutant of GB1 carrying one cysteine in TM5 (I771C^{5.42}) and one in TM7 (L838C^{7.34}) produced no oligomer but the GB1-GB1 dimer rate that was further increased by
GABA (Fig. 7a). In contrast the simultaneous GB1 two interfaces TM5s/TM1s, TM4s/TM1s
or TM4s/TM7s are possible.

286

287 A disease-causing mutation stabilizes the active interfaces

We have introduced the genetic mutation S694I^{6.42} in our rat GB2 constructs (equivalent to 288 genetic mutation S695I^{6.42} in human GB2), that produced a strong constitutive activity of the 289 the GABA_B receptor (Supplementary Fig. 11), and as recently reported⁴⁷. In the absence of 290 agonist, this mutation stabilized the active interface of the heterodimer unit mediated by both 291 292 TM6s as measured by the increased GB1-GB2 cross-linked upon CuP treatment (Fig. 8a-b). 293 In addition, this mutation stabilized the active interface between the GB1 subunits in the 294 oligomer in the basal state, as measured by a strong crosslinking between the GB1 TM5s 295 upon CuP treatment (Fig. 8c-d). Altogether these data are consistent with a constitutive 296 activity of the receptor induced by this mutation. This later is also associated with the 297 stabilization of an oligomer organized in an active assembly.

298

299 Model of the active and inactive 7TM oligomer interfaces

Altogether, on the basis of the cysteine cross-linking results, we propose a 3D model of the 7TM oligomer using four molecules of heterodimers, named *A-D* (Fig. 9). In the resting state, one heterodimer interacts with two others through the GB1 subunits, through two symmetric interfaces mediated by GB1^{TM4} and GB1^{TM6} that are on the opposite face of GB1 (Fig. 9a). Accordingly, GB1^{TM4} of the heterodimer *B* interacts with GB1^{TM4} of the heterodimer *C*, while GB1^{TM6} of the heterodimer *B* interacts with the GB1^{TM6} of the heterodimer *A*. In the active state, two new interfaces are formed: (i) a GB1 interface TM4-TM5 made by the heterodimers

310	oligomer	allows	the	coupling	of	one	G-protein	by	dimer	(Fig.	9c).
309	GB1 and C	GB2 during	g activa	tion, as pro	posed	l above	(Fig. 5a). F	inally,	this activ	ve state o	of the
308	model is c	ompatible	with th	ne reorienta	tion o	of the T	M5s and T	M6s at	the inter	face bet	ween
307	<i>B</i> and <i>C</i> ; (ii) a GB1	interfa	ce TM1-TN	M7 be	tween	the heterodi	mers A	and B (Fig. 9b)	. Our

311 Discussion

312 The GABA_B receptor was the first clear example of a mandatory heterodimeric GPCR⁵³, and this discovery stimulated research on the putative dimerization of other GPCRs. 313 Furthermore, the GABA_B receptor was more recently shown to associate into larger 314 complexes made of two or more heterodimers^{12,28,34}, and this was confirmed in native tissues 315 in several ways^{12,54,55}. However, the structural bases of the interactions are still unclear. Here, 316 317 using Cys cross-linking experiments, we propose a model for the GABA_B 7TM assembly 318 within a GABA_B oligomer, involving dynamic and concerted movements between the 319 subunits associated with receptor activation. Interestingly, we identified TM6, the TM known to undergo major conformational change upon GPCR activation^{56,57}, to switch interfaces. 320 Furthermore, we demonstrate that a TM6-TM6 interaction between GB1 and GB2 is 321 322 sufficient for receptor activation.

323 We propose an organization of the GABA_B higher-order oligomers in rows at the 324 surface of live cells. Within these oligomers, GB1 subunits are assembled in lines via two 325 opposite sides of their 7TMs, while the GB2 subunits are on the side. This model is supported 326 by the large FRET signal previous reported between GB1 subunits, and a quasi-absence of FRET between GB2 N termini^{12,34}, even though GB2 subunits co-diffuse at the neuronal 327 328 surface indicating they are in the same receptor complex⁵⁴. This organization may also explain the observed ordered arrays of GABA_B receptors in transfected cells²⁸. In class A 329 GPCRs, similar rows have also been proposed for the organization of rhodopsin^{21,22}, a 330 331 structure that could be destabilized by genetic mutations at the TM1 and TM5 interfaces then leading to retinitis pigmentosa²⁰. Our data suggest that rows of GABA_B receptors may form 332 333 spontaneously through two distinct GB1 interfaces, TM4-5 and TM1-7. This GABA_B receptor 334 organization is consistent with the proposed interfaces involved in many class A GPCR oligomerization^{23,49,58}, for which both TM4-5 and TM1-7 were the most frequently 335

336 $proposed^{24,26}$.

In the GABA_B oligomers, we propose that a dimer of dimers can form a minimal 337 338 repeat unit. This tetramer is stabilized by interactions between the two GB1 subunits through 339 their symmetric TM1-TM7 interface in the active state (Fig. 9b). This model is supported by 340 the organization of the GABA_B ECD in a tetramer, stabilized by interactions between the lobes 2 (lower lobes) of two GB1 VFTs¹². As a consequence of this tetramer organization, the 341 higher-order oligomers would be stabilized by the symmetric GB1^{TM4} interface between two 342 tetramers. Interestingly, when active G-protein is added to the receptor in our 3D model, the 343 344 G-protein interacts with two GB1 subunits within the same tetramer. Most important, it is 345 even possible for two G-proteins to couple to one GABA_B tetramer (Fig. 9c). Thus the hypothesis that only one G-protein is activated by a tetramer¹² could not be explained by 346 347 structural steric reasons at the level of the 7TMs. Instead, it could be due to the negative 348 allosteric between two heterodimers within a tetramer, as recently reported for the GABA_B ECDs³⁵. 349

350 In our model, the GABA_B tetramer has a rhomboid shape structure. Rhomboids that has been proposed for several class A GPCRs that form spontaneous tetramers^{23,58}. In 351 352 addition, a rhomboid organization for the tetramer could explain the smaller amplitude of the 353 relative reorientation in GABA_B 7TM heterodimer compared to the 7TM of mGlu2 dimers that do not form constitutive oligomers^{34,59,60}. This small rearrangement between the two 354 355 7TMs in the GABA_B receptor heterodimer is also consistent with the limited conformational changes between the active and inactive states at the level of the ECDs³⁶, and the negative 356 allostery between the two heterodimers³⁵. 357

We demonstrate here that the GB1-GB2 heterodimer is the minimal functional unit within tetramers. This is best illustrated by the receptor full constitutive activity resulting from GB1^{TM6}-GB2^{TM6} crosslinking. A key determinant of a tetramer is the TM6 of GB1, that binds another GB1, in the inactive state, but binds GB2 in the active state. This concerted rearrangement of the various interfaces of GB1 during activation could be responsible for the positive cooperativity between the two 7TMs in the heterodimer. Indeed, we have previously demonstrated that the GB1 7TM activation is critical for stabilizing the active state for GB2 activation¹⁶.

The switching of GB1^{TM6} from one interface to another during activation could be also 366 367 responsible for the asymmetric activation of the two 7TMs in the heterodimer. Indeed, both GB1^{TM6} and the G-protein could be responsible for allowing a single 7TM domain in a 368 heterodimer to reach a conformation compatible with G-protein activation³². Similarly in the 369 370 homodimeric and heterodimeric mGluRs, one TM6 in the dimer could also be responsible for 371 the asymmetric functioning of the 7TMs, where only one subunit of the dimer couples to the G-protein¹⁵. Indeed, in the mGlu2-4 and GABA_B heterodimers, the G-protein is only activated 372 373 by one of the subunits, namely mGlu4 and GB2, respectively. In these heterodimers, 374 functional asymmetry is not due to the fact that it is only the G-protein-bound subunit that can 375 change its conformation. Indeed, the associated subunit also reaches a specific conformation that positively acts on the G-protein-activating subunit^{15,16}. The asymmetric functioning of 376 TM6, as indicated by our data on GABA_B and mGlu receptors, likely explains the allosteric 377 378 interaction within class A GPCR dimers. Indeed, in many cases, a negative allosteric 379 interaction has been reported, with one subunit only being able to reach a G-protein activating state^{3,61}. 380

The dynamic changes we observe at TM6 help to explain the many disease mutations there³⁹⁻⁴¹. Interestingly, several of these mutations in GB2^{TM6} including those localized near the extracellular part of TM6 produce a GABA_B receptor that is constitutively active, suggesting the mutations favor GB1^{TM6}-GB2^{TM6} interactions, as demonstrated by one of them in the present study. Finally, auto-antibodies against the GB1 ECD were identified in a number of patients with encephalitis leading to loss of function of $GABA_B$ receptor^{38,62}. The large and concerted movement proposed during activation of the $GABA_B$ oligomer offers multiple inroads for these antibodies to affect $GABA_B$ function.

In summary, we provide a model of dynamic interaction between 7TM protein subunits in a well-recognized oligomer, and we propose a key role for TM6 in this process. Although our model starts to explain allosteric interaction between GPCRs, these findings may be specific for the GABA_B receptor, and other class C GPCRs or all GPCRs. These data provide the steps and future studies will determine the general applicability of the structural organization and allostery to GPCR dynamics.

395

397 Methods

398 Materials

GABA (γ-aminobutyric acid) and dichloro(1,10-phenanthroline)copper(II) were purchased
from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). CGP54626 was from Tocris Bioscience
(Ellisville, MO, USA). Lipofectamine 2000 and Fluo4-AM were obtained from Life
Technologies (Carlsbad, CA, USA). SNAP-Surface[®] Alexa Fluor[®] 647 was from New
England Biolabs, whereas HaloTag[®] Alexa Fluor[®]660 was from Promega (Beijing) Biotech
Co., Ltd.

405

406 Plasmids and transfection

407 The pRK5 plasmids encodes either the wild-type rat GB1a, tagged with HA and SNAP 408 inserted just after the signal or the wild-type rat GB2 tagged with Flag and Halo inserted just after the signal peptide (Supplementary Figure 12). GB1^{Ctr} was obtained from rat GB1a wild-409 type sequence by deleting the last 32 amino acids encoding for GB1. GB2^{Ctr} was obtained 410 411 from rat GB2 wild-type sequence by adding a GFP-tag at the C-terminal end of GB2. The 412 cysteine substitutions were generated by site-directed mutagenesis using the QuikChange 413 mutagenesis protocol (Agilent Technologies) using the primers described in Supplementary 414 Figure 13 and Supplementary Figure 14 for the GB1 and GB2 mutants, respectively.

415 HEK293 cells (ATCC, CRL-1573) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium 416 (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FBS and transfected by electroporation. Unless stated 417 otherwise, 10^7 cells were transfected with plasmid DNA containing the coding sequence of 418 the receptor subunits, and completed to a total amount of 10 µg of plasmid DNA with the 419 empty vector pRK5. For the determination of intracellular calcium measurements and inositol 420 phosphate (IP) accumulation, the cells were also transfected with the chimeric G-protein Gq_{i9}, 421 which allows the coupling of the recombinant GABA_B receptor to the phospholipase C⁵². 422

423

424 Cross-linking and fluorescent-labeled blot experiments

425 48 h after electroporation, adherent HEK293 cells plated in 12-well plates were labeled with 426 100 nM SNAP-Green and 3.5 µM Halo-Red in culture medium at 37°C for 1 h. Then, cells 427 were incubated with drug (each at 100 µM) or PBS at 37°C for 30 min. Afterwards, cross-link buffer (1.5 mM Cu(II)-(o-phenanthroline), 1 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM Mg²⁺, 16.7 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 428 429 100 mM NaCl) was added at room temperature for 20 min. After incubation with 10 mM N-430 ethylmaleimide at 4°C for 15 min to stop the cross-linking reaction, cells were lysed with 431 lysis buffer (containing 50 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium 432 deoxycholate) at 4°C for 1h. After centrifugation at 12 000 g for 30 min at 4°C, supernatants 433 were mixed with loading buffer at 37°C for 10 min. In reducing conditions, samples were 434 treated with 100 mM DTT in loading buffer for 10 min before loading the samples. Equal 435 amounts of proteins were resolved by 29:1 acrylamide:bisacrylamide and 3-9 % SDS-PAGE. 436 For oligomer analysis, 59:1 acrylamide:bisacrylamide and 6 % SDS-PAGE were used. 437 Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Millipore). Membrane were imaged on 438 an Odyssey CLx imager (LI-COR Bioscience, Lincoln, NE, USA) at 600 nm and 700 nm.

439

440 Cell surface quantification

Detection of the HA- and Flag-tagged constructs at the cell surface by ELISA was performed. 24 h after transfection, the HEK293 cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, blocked with 10% FBS. HA-tagged constructs were detected with a monoclonal rat anti-HA antibody 3F10 (Roche) at 0.5 μ g/mL and goat anti-rat antibodies coupled to horseradish peroxidase (Jackson Immunoresearch, West Grove, PA) at 1.0 μ g/mL. Flag-tagged constructs were detected with the mouse monoclonal anti-Flag antibody M2 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) at 0.8 µg/mL and goat anti-mouse antibodies coupled to horseradish peroxidase
(Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden) at 0.25 µg/mL. Bound antibodies coupled to
horseradish peroxidase were detected by chemoluminescence using SuperSignal substrate
(Pierce) and a 2103 EnVision[™] Multilabel Plate Reader (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA,
USA).
The amounts of SNAP-tagged constructs at the cell surface were quantified by fluorescence.

453 Briefly, HEK293 cells expressing SNAP-tagged constructs were incubated at 37°C for 1 h

454 with 300 nM of the SNAP-Lumi4-Tb substrate, then washed three times with Tag-Lite buffer.

455 After excitation with a laser at 337 nm, the fluorescence of the Lumi4-Tb was collected at 620

456 nm for 450 μ s after a 50- μ s delay on a PHERAstar FS (BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany) 457 ⁶³.

458

459 **Inositol phosphate measurements**

460 Inositol phosphate accumulation in HEK293 cells was measured using the IP-One HTRF kit

461 (Cisbio Bioassays) according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

462

463 Intracellular calcium release measurements

464 Twenty-four hours after transfection with plasmids encoding the indicated $GABA_B$ subunits 465 and a chimeric protein Gqi9, HEK-293 cells were washed with HBSS buffer (20 mM Hepes, 466 1 mM MgSO₄, 3.3 mM Na₂CO₃, 1.3 mM CaCl₂, 0,1% BSA, 2.5 mM probenecid) and loaded with 1 uM Ca²⁺-sensitive fluorescent dye Fluo-4 AM (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) 467 468 for 1 h at 37°C. After a wash, cells were incubated with 50 µl of buffer and 50 µl of 2X-469 GABA solution at various concentrations was added after 20 s of recording. Fluorescence 470 signals (excitation 485 nm, emission 525 nm) were measured by using the fluorescence 471 microplate reader Flexstation (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) at sampling

472 intervals of 1.5 s for 60 s. Data were analyzed with the program Soft Max Pro (Molecular
473 Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Dose-response curves were fitted using Prism (GraphPad
474 software, San Diego, CA, USA).

475

476 Molecular modeling

The molecular model of GB1 and GB2 7TM were generated with Modeller 9.18^{64} based on the crystal structure of the mGluR1 receptor (PDB code $4OR2^{65}$) using the loop optimization method. The sequence of all GABA_B and mGlu subtypes for rat and human species were aligned with ClustalW2⁶⁶. Then, the sequences of mGluR1, GB1 and GB2 were extracted and used to build the model. From 100 models generated, the top ten classified by DOPE score were visually inspected, and the best scored structure with suitable loops was chosen⁶⁷.

483 The active and inactive dimeric arrangement of the GABA_B 7TMs was built by superposition to the different dimer structures of the previously reported mGlu₂ model⁴² until the position of 484 485 GB1 and GB2 was compatible with the enhanced cross-linking found in presence of the 486 agonist molecule. The intermediate states were generated from the mGluR2 7TM intermediate 487 models, which are in accordance with the dynamic transition expected from the inactive to the 488 active state. The tetrameric and oligomeric forms in active and inactive states were built by 489 translating and rotating active and inactive GABA_B dimers with PyMOL software (Palo Alto, 490 CA, USA) in a position compatible with the enhanced cross-linking between two $GABA_{B1}$ 491 protomers found in resting state and in presence of the agonist molecule. The oligomeric 492 active state of a $GABA_B$ 7TM in complex with the G-protein was built using as a template the crystal structure of the active β_2 adrenergic receptor (PDB code 3SN6⁶⁸). The sequence 493 494 alignment was based on the structural superposition of the β_2 adrenergic receptor and GB2. 495 To build the model of the active dimeric arrangement of GABA_B in complex with the G-

496 protein, the G-protein atomic coordinates (PDB code 3SN6) were transferred to the active497 GB2 7TM subunit.

Images based on the different states modeled from inactive to active, were calculated using UCSF Chimera software⁶⁹. Discovery studio visualizer (Accelrys Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) was used for protein structure visualization and PDB file editing purposes. Multiple sequence alignment visualization and analysis were performed with Jalview software⁷⁰.

503

504 **Curve fitting and data analysis**

505 Curve fitting was performed using nonlinear regression using GraphPad Prism 7 software. P-

506 values were determining using a paired or unpaired t test with Welch's correction.

507

508 Data Availability

509 Data supporting the findings of this manuscript are available from the corresponding authors 510 upon reasonable request. A reporting summary for this Article is available as a 511 Supplementary Information file.

512

513 The source data underlying Figs. 2c-d, 3a-b, 4a-c, 4e-f, 6c-d, 7a, 8a, 8c and Supplementary

514 Figs 8a, 8d, 10a, 11 are provided as a Source Data file.

515

- 516
- 517
- 518

519

521			
522			
523			
524 525			

527 References

- 529 1. Hauser, A.S., Attwood, M.M., Rask-Andersen, M., Schioth, H.B. & Gloriam, D.E. 530 Trends in GPCR drug discovery: new agents, targets and indications. Nat Rev Drug 531 Discov 16, 829-842 (2017).
- 532 Prezeau, L. et al. Functional crosstalk between GPCRs: with or without 2. 533 oligomerization. Curr Opin Pharmacol 10, 6-13 (2010).
- 534 Albizu, L. et al. Time-resolved FRET between GPCR ligands reveals oligomers in 3. 535 native tissues. Nat Chem Biol 6, 587-594 (2010).
- 536 4. Cordeaux, Y. & Hill, S.J. Mechanisms of cross-talk between G-protein-coupled 537 receptors. Neurosignals 11, 45-57 (2002).
- 538 Damian, M. et al. GHSR-D2R heteromerization modulates dopamine signaling 5. 539 through an effect on G protein conformation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115, 4501-540 4506 (2018).
- 541 Ferre, S. et al. G protein-coupled receptor oligomerization revisited: functional and 6. 542 pharmacological perspectives. Pharmacol Rev 66, 413-434 (2014).
- 543 Smith, N.J. & Milligan, G. Allostery at G protein-coupled receptor homo- and 7. 544 heteromers: uncharted pharmacological landscapes. Pharmacol Rev 62, 701-725 545 (2010).
- 546 Bouvier, M. & Hebert, T.E. CrossTalk proposal: Weighing the evidence for Class A 8. 547 GPCR dimers, the evidence favours dimers. J Physiol 592, 2439-2441 (2014).
- 548 Lambert, N.A. & Javitch, J.A. CrossTalk opposing view: Weighing the evidence for 9. 549 class A GPCR dimers, the jury is still out. J Physiol 592, 2443-2445 (2014).
- 550 10. Birdsall, N.J. Class A GPCR heterodimers: evidence from binding studies. Trends 551 Pharmacol Sci 31, 499-508 (2010).
- 552 Springael, J.Y., Urizar, E., Costagliola, S., Vassart, G. & Parmentier, M. Allosteric 11. 553 properties of G protein-coupled receptor oligomers. Pharmacol Ther 115, 410-418 554 (2007).
- 555 12. Comps-Agrar, L. et al. The oligometric state sets GABA(B) receptor signalling 556 efficacy. EMBO J 30, 2336-2349 (2011).
- 557 13. Gomes, I. et al. G Protein-Coupled Receptor Heteromers. Annu Rev Pharmacol 558 Toxicol 56, 403-425 (2016).
- 559 14. Gonzalez-Maeso, J. et al. Identification of a serotonin/glutamate receptor complex implicated in psychosis. Nature 452, 93-97 (2008). 560
- 561 15. Liu, J. et al. Allosteric control of an asymmetric transduction in a G protein-coupled 562 receptor heterodimer. Elife 6 (2017).
- 563 16. Monnier, C. et al. Trans-activation between 7TM domains: implication in 564 heterodimeric GABAB receptor activation. EMBO J 30, 32-42 (2011).
- 565 17. Moreno Delgado, D. et al. Pharmacological evidence for a metabotropic glutamate 566 receptor heterodimer in neuronal cells. *Elife* 6 (2017).
- 567 Bonaventura, J. et al. Allosteric interactions between agonists and antagonists within 18. 568 the adenosine A2A receptor-dopamine D2 receptor heterotetramer. Proc Natl Acad Sci 569 USA 112, E3609-3618 (2015).
- Kern, A., Albarran-Zeckler, R., Walsh, H.E. & Smith, R.G. Apo-ghrelin receptor 570 19. 571 forms heteromers with DRD2 in hypothalamic neurons and is essential for 572 anorexigenic effects of DRD2 agonism. Neuron 73, 317-332 (2012).
- 573 20. Ploier, B. et al. Dimerization deficiency of enigmatic retinitis pigmentosa-linked 574 rhodopsin mutants. Nat Commun 7, 12832 (2016).

575 Fotiadis, D. et al. Atomic-force microscopy: Rhodopsin dimers in native disc 21. 576 membranes. Nature 421, 127-128 (2003). 577 22. Gunkel, M. et al. Higher-order architecture of rhodopsin in intact photoreceptors and 578 its implication for phototransduction kinetics. Structure 23, 628-638 (2015). 579 23. Marsango, S., Ward, R.J., Alvarez-Curto, E. & Milligan, G. Muscarinic receptor 580 oligomerization. Neuropharmacology 136, 401-410 (2018). 581 24. Dijkman, P.M. et al. Dynamic tuneable G protein-coupled receptor monomer-dimer 582 populations. Nat Commun 9, 1710 (2018). 583 25. Manglik, A. et al. Crystal structure of the micro-opioid receptor bound to a morphinan 584 antagonist. Nature 485, 321-326 (2012). 585 26. Mondal, S. et al. Membrane driven spatial organization of GPCRs. Sci Rep 3, 2909 586 (2013).587 Tanaka, T., Nomura, W., Narumi, T., Masuda, A. & Tamamura, H. Bivalent ligands of 27. 588 CXCR4 with rigid linkers for elucidation of the dimerization state in cells. J Am Chem 589 Soc 132, 15899-15901 (2010). 590 28. Calebiro, D. et al. Single-molecule analysis of fluorescently labeled G-protein-coupled 591 receptors reveals complexes with distinct dynamics and organization. Proc Natl Acad 592 Sci U S A 110, 743-748 (2013). 593 29. Hern, J.A. et al. Formation and dissociation of M1 muscarinic receptor dimers seen by 594 total internal reflection fluorescence imaging of single molecules. Proc Natl Acad Sci 595 USA 107, 2693-2698 (2010). 596 30. Kasai, R.S. & Kusumi, A. Single-molecule imaging revealed dynamic GPCR 597 dimerization. Curr Opin Cell Biol 27, 78-86 (2014). 598 31. Gassmann, M. & Bettler, B. Regulation of neuronal GABA(B) receptor functions by 599 subunit composition. Nat Rev Neurosci 13, 380-394 (2012). 600 32. Pin, J.P. & Bettler, B. Organization and functions of mGlu and GABAB receptor 601 complexes. Nature 540, 60-68 (2016). Comps-Agrar, L., Kniazeff, J., Brock, C., Trinquet, E. & Pin, J.P. Stability of GABAB 602 33. 603 receptor oligomers revealed by dual TR-FRET and drug-induced cell surface 604 targeting. FASEB J 26, 3430-3439 (2012). 605 34. Maurel, D. et al. Cell-surface protein-protein interaction analysis with time-resolved 606 FRET and snap-tag technologies: application to GPCR oligomerization. Nat Methods 607 5, 561-567 (2008). 608 35. Stewart, G.D., Comps-Agrar, L., Norskov-Lauritsen, L.B., Pin, J.P. & Kniazeff, J. 609 Allosteric interactions between GABAB1 subunits control orthosteric binding sites 610 occupancy within GABAB oligomers. Neuropharmacology 136, 92-101 (2018). 611 36. Geng, Y., Bush, M., Mosyak, L., Wang, F. & Fan, Q.R. Structural mechanism of 612 ligand activation in human GABA(B) receptor. Nature 504, 254-259 (2013). 613 37. Bowery, N.G. GABAB receptor: a site of therapeutic benefit. Curr Opin Pharmacol 6, 614 37-43 (2006). 615 38. Dalmau, J. & Graus, F. Antibody-Mediated Encephalitis. N Engl J Med 378, 840-851 616 (2018). 617 39. Hamdan, F.F. et al. High Rate of Recurrent De Novo Mutations in Developmental and 618 Epileptic Encephalopathies. Am J Hum Genet 101, 664-685 (2017). 619 Vuillaume, M.L. et al. A novel mutation in the transmembrane 6 domain of GABBR2 40. 620 leads to a Rett-like phenotype. Ann Neurol 83, 437-439 (2018). 621 41. Yoo, Y. et al. GABBR2 mutations determine phenotype in rett syndrome and epileptic 622 encephalopathy. Ann Neurol 82, 466-478 (2017). 623 42. Xue, L. et al. Major ligand-induced rearrangement of the heptahelical domain 624 interface in a GPCR dimer. Nat Chem Biol 11, 134-140 (2015).

625 626	43.	Kniazeff, J., Prezeau, L., Rondard, P., Pin, J.P. & Goudet, C. Dimers and beyond: The
020	4.4	functional puzzles of class C GPCKs. <i>Pharmacol Ther</i> 150 , 9-25 (2011).
627	44.	Scholler, P. et al. HIS-compatible FREI-based conformational sensors clarify
628	4.5	membrane receptor activation. Nat Chem Biol 13, 3/2-380 (2017).
629	45.	Pagano, A. et al. C-terminal interaction is essential for surface trafficking but not for
630	16	heteromeric assembly of GABA _B receptors. J. Neurosci. 21, 1189–1202 (2001).
631	46.	Isberg, V. et al. Generic GPCR residue numbers - aligning topology maps while
632		minding the gaps. Trends Pharmacol Sci 36 , 22-31 (2015).
633	47.	Calver, A.R. et al. The C-terminal domains of the GABA(b) receptor subunits mediate
634		intracellular trafficking but are not required for receptor signaling. J Neurosci 21,
635		1203-1210 (2001).
636	48.	Burmakina, S., Geng, Y., Chen, Y. & Fan, Q.R. Heterodimeric coiled-coil interactions
637		of human GABAB receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111, 6958-6963 (2014).
638	49.	Guo, W. et al. Dopamine D2 receptors form higher order oligomers at physiological
639		expression levels. <i>EMBO J</i> 27, 2293-2304 (2008).
640	50.	Villemure, J.F. et al. Subcellular distribution of GABA(B) receptor homo- and hetero-
641		dimers. <i>Biochem J</i> 388, 47-55 (2005).
642	51.	Koehl, A. et al. Structural insights into the activation of metabotropic glutamate
643		receptors. Nature 566, 79-84 (2019).
644	52.	Lecat-Guillet, N. et al. FRET-Based Sensors Unravel Activation and Allosteric
645		Modulation of the GABAB Receptor. Cell Chem Biol 24, 360-370 (2017).
646	53.	Kaupmann, K. et al. GABA B-receptor subtypes assemble into functional heteromeric
647		complexes. Nature 396 , 683-687 (1998).
648	54.	Moller, T.C. et al. Oligomerization of a G protein-coupled receptor in neurons
649		controlled by its structural dynamics. Sci Rep 8, 10414 (2018).
650	55.	Schwenk, J. et al. Native GABA(B) receptors are heteromultimers with a family of
651		auxiliary subunits. <i>Nature</i> 465 , 231-235 (2010).
652	56.	Venkatakrishnan, A.J. et al. Molecular signatures of G-protein-coupled receptors.
653		<i>Nature</i> 494 , 185-194 (2013).
654	57.	Weis, W.I. & Kobilka, B.K. The Molecular Basis of G Protein-Coupled Receptor
655		Activation. Annu Rev Biochem 87, 897-919 (2018).
656	58.	Navarro, G. et al. Evidence for functional pre-coupled complexes of receptor
657		heteromers and adenylyl cyclase. Nat Commun 9, 1242 (2018).
658	59.	Doumazane, E. et al. A new approach to analyze cell surface protein complexes
659		reveals specific heterodimeric metabotropic glutamate receptors. FASEB J 25, 66-77
660		(2011).
661	60.	Levitz, J. et al. Mechanism of Assembly and Cooperativity of Homomeric and
662		Heteromeric Metabotropic Glutamate Receptors. Neuron 92, 143-159 (2016).
663	61.	Damian, M., Martin, A., Mesnier, D., Pin, J.P. & Baneres, J.L. Asymmetric
664		conformational changes in a GPCR dimer controlled by G-proteins. EMBO J 25,
665		5693-5702 (2006).
666	62.	Lancaster, E. et al. Antibodies to the GABA(B) receptor in limbic encephalitis with
667		seizures: case series and characterisation of the antigen. Lancet Neurol 9, 67-76
668		(2010).
669	63.	Doumazane, E. et al. Illuminating the activation mechanisms and allosteric properties
670		of metabotropic glutamate receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110, E1416-1425
671		(2013).
672	64.	Sali, A. & Blundell, T.L. Comparative protein modelling by satisfaction of spatial
673		restraints. J Mol Biol 234, 779-815 (1993).

- 674 65. Wu, H. et al. Structure of a class C GPCR metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 bound to 675 an allosteric modulator. *Science* **344**, 58-64 (2014).
- 676 66. Larkin, M.A. et al. Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. *Bioinformatics* 23, 2947-2948
 677 (2007).
- 678 67. Shen, M.Y. & Sali, A. Statistical potential for assessment and prediction of protein structures. *Protein Sci* 15, 2507-2524 (2006).
- 680 68. Rasmussen, S.G. et al. Crystal structure of the beta2 adrenergic receptor-Gs protein
 681 complex. *Nature* 477, 549-555 (2011).
- 682 69. Pettersen, E.F. et al. UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J Comput Chem* 25, 1605-1612 (2004).
- Waterhouse, A.M., Procter, J.B., Martin, D.M., Clamp, M. & Barton, G.J. Jalview
 Version 2--a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1189-1191 (2009).
- 687 688

689 Acknowledgments

690 We thank the Cisbio Bioassays for their support in providing reagents. J. L. was supported by 691 the Ministry of Science and Technology (grant number 2018YFA0507003), the National 692 Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (grant numbers 81720108031, 81872945, 693 31721002 and 31420103909), the Program for Introducing Talents of Discipline to the 694 Universities of the Ministry of Education (grant number B08029), and the Mérieux Research 695 Grants Program of the Institut Mérieux. P. R. and J.-P. P. were supported by the Centre 696 National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), the Institut National de la Santé et de la 697 Recherche Médicale (INSERM), and by grants from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche 698 (ANR-09-PIRI-0011), the FRM (Equipe FRM DEO20130326522 and DEO20170336747). L. 699 X. was supported by a doctoral fellowship from the French Embassy in China and X. R. by a 700 post-doctoral fellowship from the Agència de Gestió d'Ajuts Universitaris i de Recerca 701 (AGAUR) and the Spanish Ministry of Economy, Industry and Competitiveness (SAF2015-702 74132-JIN).

703

704 Author contributions

L.X., Q.S., H.Z., X.R., S.G., Q.H., J.-P.P., J.L. and P.R. designed experiments; L.X., Q.S.,
H.Z., S.G. and Q.H. performed molecular biology, cross-linking, functional assays; L.X.,
Q.S., H.Z., X.R., J.-P.P., J.L. and P.R. performed data analysis; X.R. performed molecular
modelling; L.X., J.L., J.-P.P. and P.R. wrote the manuscript.

709

710 **Competing interests**

711 The authors declare no competing interests.

713 **Figure legends**

714

715 **Figure 1**

716 Schematic representation of the GABA_B receptor

717 (a) GABA_B forms an obligatory heterodimer made of the two subunits GABA_{B1} (GB1, blue) 718 and GABA_{B2} (GB2, grey). GABA binds to the extracellular domain (ECD) of GB1, while the 719 GB2 heptahelical domain (7TM) is responsible for G-protein activation. (b) $GABA_B$ has the 720 tendency to form stable higher-order hetero-oligomers that are likely organized through 721 interactions between the GB1 subunits, while GB2 is likely not directly involved in these 722 contacts. (c) Recently reported loss-of-function genetic mutations in GB2 7TM in human diseases. Most of these mutations affect residues in GB2^{TM6} (Gly693, yellow; Ser695, red; 723 724 Ile705, orange; Ala707, cyan). These mutations produce a constitutively active receptor, 725 except the mutation of Gly693 that has not been studied in functional assays.

726

727 **Figure 2**

728 Cysteine cross-linking identifies TM5 and TM6 at the 7TM heterodimer interface

(a) Schematic representation of the GB1^{Ctr} and GB2^{Ctr} constructs used in the study. To easily 729 730 distinguish GB1-GB2 and GB1-GB1 cross-linking in SDS-PAGE experiments, the molecular 731 weight of the two subunits was modified. The SNAP-tagged full-length GB1 was truncated in 732 the C-terminal region downstream of the coil-coiled region. Halo-tagged full-length GB2 was 733 enlarged by adding a GFP tag at the C-terminal end of the subunit. To prevent the endogenous Cys producing unwanted disulfide bridges, the two indicated Cys residues in GB2^{TM4} were 734 735 changed to alanine. (b) 3D model of the 7TM of GB1 (blue) and GB2 (grey). All cysteine 736 substitutions are highlighted by a yellow ball (α carbon), and those that cross-linked well in 737 TM5 and TM6 (see panel c) by a red ball. (c) Cross-linking of the indicated cell surface 738 SNAP-GB1 subunits labeled with fluorescent SNAP substrates, after treatment (+) or without

739 treatment (-) with CuP. After SDS-PAGE in non-reducing conditions, GB1 monomers and 740 GB1-GB2 dimers were detected via the fluorophore covalently attached to the receptors. MW, 741 molecular weight. Data are representative of a typical experiment performed three times. (d) 742 Change of GB1-GB2 dimer rate induced by CuP treatment for the "Control" heterodimer (GB1^{Ctr} co-expressed with GB2^{Ctr}) and every indicated mutant (both GB1 and GB2 subunits 743 744 having a Cys residue in the same position). Positions with a significant change were 745 highlighted in red. Data are mean \pm SD from at least three independent experiments (n = 3-6). Unpaired t test with Welch's correction with **** P<0.0001 and *** P<0.001, the other data 746 747 being not significant. (e) Dimerization interface based on the results of the cross-linking 748 experiments in the absence of ligand. TMs that can cross-link between GB1 and GB2 are 749 highlighted in red.

750

751 **Figure 3**

752 The interface of the heterodimer is switched from TM5 to TM6 during activation

753 (a-b) The cell surface SNAP-GB1 containing the indicated single cysteine substitution was 754 cross-linked with the indicated GB2 cysteine mutant. The results were obtained for the 755 symmetric interface TM5 and TM6, after pre-incubation with the agonist GABA or the 756 competitive antagonist CGP54626 and with CuP. The percentage of GB1-GB2 heterodimers 757 (in red) and GB1-GB1 homodimers (in blue) relative to the total amount of GB1 subunit was 758 quantified by imaging the fluorescent blots. (c) Change of GB1-GB2 dimer rate induced by 759 the agonist and determined by GB1-GB2 dimer quantification before and after GABA 760 treatment. Data are mean \pm SD from at least three independent experiments (n = 3-5). Unpaired t test with Welch's correction with **** P<0.0001, *** P<0.001 and ** P<0.01, the 761 762 other data being not significant. GABA and CGP54626 were used at 100 μ M. (d) Model 763 highlighting the TMs involved in the dimerization of GB1-GB2 heterodimers in the inactive 764 state (TM5, yellow) and in the active state (TM6, red).

766 **Figure 4**

767 Disulfide cross-linking confirms the GB1-GB2 TM6 active interface and the resting
 768 interface

(a) Inositol phosphate (IP) production in cells that co-express the mutants $GB1^{6.59}$ and $GB2^{6.59}$ 769 770 after treatment with or without CuP, and stimulation with GABA. Results are mean \pm SD 771 from three independent experiments performed in triplicates. (b) In both the control receptor (after stimulation with GABA) and the co-expressed mutants GB1^{6.59} and GB2^{6.59}, IP 772 production is proportional to the amount of SNAP-tagged GB1 at the cell surface, as 773 774 measured by fluorescence after labeling with SNAP-Red substrate and then treatment with 775 CuP. GABA was used at 100 μ M. Data are mean \pm SD from a typical experiment performed 776 three times. (c) Treatment with the indicated competitive antagonist does not reverse the 777 constitutive activity after GB1-GB2 TM6s cross-linking. GABA and CGP54626 were used at 778 1 μ M and 10 μ M, respectively. Data are mean \pm SEM from a typical experiment performed 779 three times. Unpaired t test with Welch's correction with * P<0.1, ns, not significant. (d-f) 780 Stabilizing the inactive GB1-GB2 interface (d) by co-expressing the indicated mutants that 781 cross-link well upon CuP treatment (e), impairs IP accumulation induced by GABA (f). Data 782 are mean \pm SD from a typical experiment performed three times.

783

784 **Figure 5**

Rearrangement of the transmembrane domain interface during GABA_B heterodimer activation

3D model of the GB1-GB2 7TM heterodimer (**a**) and mGluR2 7TM homodimer (**b**) in the resting and active orientations. Based on these models, the amplitude of the relative reorientation of two 7TMs in the dimer might be smaller in the $GABA_B$ receptor than in mGluR2.

791

792

Figure 6

794 Interactions between GB1 7TMs in GABA_B oligomers during activation

795 (a,b) Schematic representation of a GABA_B oligomer in lateral (a) and top view (b). (c,d) 796 Blots showing cross-linking of cell surface SNAP-GB1 subunits containing a single cysteine substitution in TM1, TM4, TM5, TM6 or TM7, with GB2^{Ctr} after pre-incubation or not with 797 798 GABA (agonist) and with CuP, as indicated. The percentage of GB1-GB1 homodimers (in 799 red) relative to the total amount of GB1 subunit was quantified from the fluorescent images. 800 Data are mean \pm SD from three independent experiments. Paired t test with Welch's correction with **** P<0.0001, *** P<0.001 and ** P<0.01, or not significant (ns). (e,f) Model for the 801 structural organization of the GABA_B 7TMs in higher-order oligomers in the inactive and 802 803 active state. Interfaces at the GB1 subunits are highlighted.

804

805 **Figure 7**

806 High-molecular-weight complexes confirm the two interfaces between GB1s in oligomers 807 (a) Quantification of the oligomers obtained after cross-linking of the double cysteine 808 substitution in different TMs of the GB1 subunit, after pre-incubation or not with GABA and 809 with CuP, as indicated. The percentage of oligomers (in purple) relative to the total amount of 810 GB1 subunit was quantified from the fluorescent blots. The pictograms indicate the possible 811 cross-linking of three GB1 subunits that could form the oligomer band of the corresponding 812 blot. These schemes are from snapshots of the GABA_B oligomer 3D model when morphing 813 are performed between the inactive and active states (see Fig. 9a and 9b). GABA was used at 814 100 μ M. Data are mean \pm SD of at least three individual experiments (n = 3-5). Paired t test with Welch's correction with *** P<0.001, ** P<0.01 and * P<0.1, the other data being not significant (ns). (b) Quantification of the oligomers (% of total GB1) obtained for the indicated pairs of cysteines in *panel a*, after cross-linking in presence of CuP and GABA. (c) Model of the 7TM of GABA_B oligomers highlighting the two distinct and possible interfaces between the GB1 subunits during activation.

820

821 **Figure 8**

822 A disease-causing mutation stabilizes the active interface of the dimer and oligomer

(a,b) Quantification of the GB1-GB2 cross-linking for the GB1^{6.56} and GB2^{6.56} cysteine 823 mutants containing or not the genetic mutation S6951^{6.42} in the GB2 subunit, in the indicated 824 825 conditions and as described in Fig. 3. Both cysteine mutation and the genetic mutation have been introduced in the rat GB1^{Ctr} and GB2^{Ctr}. (c.d) Quantification of the GB1-GB1 cross-826 linking for the GB1^{5.42} single cysteine mutant co-expressed with GB2^{Ctr} containing or not the 827 genetic mutation S695I^{6.42}. GABA and CGP54626 were used at 100 μ M. Data are mean \pm SD 828 829 from at least three independent experiments (n = 3-5). Unpaired t test with Welch's correction with **** P<0.0001, or not significant (ns). 830

831

832 **Figure 9**

Agonist-induced rearrangement of the 7TMs in the GABA_B oligomer during activation (a,b) 3D model of the 7TM oligomer in the inactive and active orientations. The dashed line highlights a minimal functional receptor made of GB1 and GB2 (heterodimer *B*). Heterodimer *A* is proposed to assemble the tetramer with *B*, and *C* to form oligomer with the tetramer *A-B*. In this model, stabilization of the tetramer interactions is made by the symmetric interfaces with GB1^{TM6} in the resting state, and with GB1^{TM1-TM7} in the active state. Stabilization of the oligomer would be through the symmetric interfaces with GB1^{TM4} in the resting state and with

840	GB1 ^{TM4-TM5} in the active state. TM4, TM5 and TM6 of GB1 and GB2 are in yellow, green
841	and red, respectively. (c) Model of the active oligomer coupled to four $G\alpha\beta\gamma$ proteins based
842	on the structure of the complex between the active β_2 -adrenergic receptor and the G protein
843	previously reported ⁶⁸ .







Cys mutant









b











INACTIVE







