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We study the propagation characteristics of optical signals in waveguides composed of a double chain of metallic nanoparticles embedded in a dielectric host. We find that the complex Bloch band diagram for the guided modes, derived by the Mie scattering theory including material losses, exhibits strong differences with respect to the previously studied single chain. The results of the model are validated through finite element solution of Maxwell’s equations.
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1. Introduction

Metal optics is becoming a valid route for the miniaturization of photonic circuits [1,2]. Plasmonic waveguides can be realized by exploiting straight metal-dielectric interfaces
[3], slots [4], wedges [5], grooves [6] or linear chains of closely spaced nanoparticles [7–19].

The coupled-dipole approximation (CDA) is usually used in order to calculate the dispersion relation of a linear chain of nanoparticles, where the spheres are treated as point dipoles with a certain polarizability $\alpha(\omega)$. Recently the authors have developed a method to calculate the dispersion relation of a lossy linear chain analytically by means of the Mie theory and numerically by a proper formulation of finite element method for Maxwell Equation [19].

At optical frequency the linear chain is characterized by a trade off between field concentration and propagation losses: the more the field is concentrated around the nanostructure, the more the imaginary part of the mode wavevector is high. A solution to this trade off can be offered by pairing together two chains of nanoparticles [20,21]. This geometry can offer high field confinement between the chains, without giving rise to excessively high propagation losses. This structure has been studied recently by exploiting concepts of optical nanocircuits, and two propagating modes have been identified [21].

In this paper we derive the dispersion relation of the first six modes for couples of nanoparticle chains by exploiting Mie scattering method.

We calculate the complex band diagram by numerically solving the dispersion relation for lossy particles, by fixing a real frequency and finding a complex wavevector. We find a complex dispersion relation where the real part of the propagation constant
is modified by the losses.

To conclude we compare the results of the Mie model with the exact Bloch modes dispersion calculated by means of a revised finite element method formulation of Maxwell’s equations in which, as explained in [19], frequency is a parameter and the strong dispersion of the metal is easily taken into account.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II and III we find the complex dispersion relation of a double chain of nanoparticles following the Mie scattering approach. We show that, differently from the case of a uniform single chain (see [19]), the dispersion relations cannot be expressed as a linear combination of polylogarithms but they need to be calculated as a linear combination of Lerch functions. The treatment can also be applied to calculate the dispersion relations of a non-uniform single chain, in which the center-to-center distances between spheres are not constant but are alternated.

In Sec. IV we analyze the dispersion relation in a case of interest, that is two parallel chains of nanoparticles. We put in evidence that six non degenerate modes exist differently from the case of the single chain, where only two non degenerate modes exist (see [19]). We also highlight that in four of this six modes there is a coupling interaction between the transverse mode of a chain and the longitudinal mode of the other chain (and viceversa). In the end we also show that the useful transmission band of the double chain can be much greater than the band of the single chain (until five times, see [19]). In Sec. V we compare the results of the
finite element simulation with those of the analytical approach. Sec. VI contains the concluding remarks.

The use of Lerch functions, the possibility of treating the non uniform single chain, the demonstration of the existence of six modes and the increased useful band are the main achievements of this work with respect to the previous regarding the uniform single chain (such as in [19]).

2. Dispersion relation of a double chain of nanoparticles: Mie theory approach

In this section we exploit the generalized Mie theory of Gerardy-Ausloos [22] to study the properties of a double chain of nanospheres. The nanospheres in both chains have radius $R$, center-to-center spacing $d$, dielectric constant $\epsilon_s$, and are embedded in an infinite matrix with dielectric constant $\epsilon_m$. The two chains, that we assume, without loss of generality, to be disposed on the $x−y$ plane, are aligned along the $x$ axis, are separated by a distance $L$ on the $y$ axis and a displacement $s$ on the $x$ axis (see Fig.1).

As explained in [22], the total electric field is a linear combination of the vector spherical harmonics (VSH) of the first and third kind $\bar{m}_{lm}^1(n)$, $\bar{n}_{lm}^1(n)$, $\bar{m}_{lm}^3(n)$ and $\bar{n}_{lm}^3(n)$ centered in the $n$–th sphere, where $l$ sweeps from 1 to infinity, while $m$ from $-l$ to $+l$. The VSH of the third kind are generated by the scattering of the VSH of the first kind, and are linked to each other by means of the scattering coefficients $\Gamma_l$ and
When the radius $R$ is sufficiently smaller than the wavelength of the input field only the coefficient $\Delta_1$ is significant, so that a great simplification of the treatment occurs because only the vector functions $\vec{n}_{1-1}^1$, $\vec{n}_{10}^1$, $\vec{n}_{11}^1$, $\vec{n}_{1-1}^3$, $\vec{n}_{10}^3$ and $\vec{n}_{11}^3$ need to be considered (see [19]). By following the treatment described in [19], it is then possible to write for the $n-$th sphere:

$$b_{i,1m}(n) = \Delta_1^{-1}d_{1m}(n) - \sum_{v\neq n} \sum_{q=-1}^{1} T_{1q1m}(v,n)d_{1q}(v)$$

(1)

where $m = \{-1, 0, 1\}$ and $b_{i,1m}(n)$ are the coefficients of the linear combination related to the vector functions $\vec{n}_{1m}^1(n)$ that represent the incident field, while $d_{1m}(n)$ are the coefficients related to $\vec{n}_{1m}^3(n)$ that represent the scattered field. $T_{1q1m}(v,n)$ is the coupling coefficient between $\vec{n}_{1m}^1(n)$ and $\vec{n}_{1q}^3(v)$ in the $n$-th frame. Once the incident field is known (i.e. the coefficients $b_{i,1m}(n)$ for any sphere), the scattered field can be calculated by solving the system (1) for the coefficients $d_{1m}(n)$. The coupling coefficients can be easily calculated by means of simple analytical formulas [23] and when the spheres are located on the $x-y$ plane, we have $T_{101-1}(v,n) = T_{1011}(v,n) = T_{1-110}(v,n) = T_{1110}(v,n) = 0$, so that the functions $\vec{n}_{10}^1$ (respectively $\vec{n}_{10}^3$) are decoupled from $\vec{n}_{1-1}^3$ and $\vec{n}_{11}^3$ (respectively $\vec{n}_{1-1}^1$ and $\vec{n}_{11}^1$). Let’s now distinguish the even spheres, that are in the right chain, from the odd spheres, that are in the left chain (see Fig.1). Then it is possible to write, for the coefficients $d_{10}$:
\[ b_{i,10}(2n) = \Delta_1^{-1}d_{10}(2n) - \sum_{v \neq n} T_{1010}(2v, 2n)d_{10}(2v) - \]
\[ - \sum_v T_{1010}(2v + 1, 2n)d_{10}(2v + 1), \tag{2} \]

\[ b_{i,10}(2n + 1) = \Delta_1^{-1}d_{10}(2n + 1) - \]
\[ - \sum_v T_{1010}(2v, 2n + 1)d_{10}(2v) - \]
\[ - \sum_{v \neq n} T_{1010}(2v + 1, 2n + 1)d_{10}(2v + 1). \tag{3} \]

Let’s now denote with \( E \) and \( O \) the quantities related to the even and the odd spheres, respectively. We adopt the notation: \( b_{i,10}(2n) = b_{i,10E}(n), \)
\( b_{i,10}(2n + 1) = b_{i,10O}(n), \)
\( d_{10}(2n) = d_{10E}(n), d_{10}(2n + 1) = d_{10O}(n), \)
\( -T_{1010}(2v, 2n) = -T_{1010}(2v + 1, 2n + 1) = U_{1010}(v, n), \)
\( -T_{1010}(2v + 1, 2n) = E_{1010}(v, n), \)
\( -T_{1010}(2v, 2n + 1) = O_{1010}(v, n). \)

Being the spacing \( d \) constant in the two chains \( U_{1010}(v, n) = U_{1010}(v - n) \)
and similarly for the other coupling coefficients \((v, n)\) can be substituted by \((v - n)\),
so that the equations Eq.(2) and Eq.(3) are conveniently rewritten as:

\[ b_{i,10E}(n) = \Delta_1^{-1}d_{10E}(n) + \sum_{v \neq n} U_{1010}(v - n)d_{10E}(v) + \]
\[ + \sum_v E_{1010}(v - n)d_{10O}(v), \tag{4} \]
\[ b_{i,10O}(n) = \Delta_1^{-1}d_{10O}(n) + \sum_v O_{1010}(v - n)d_{10E}(v) + \sum_{v \neq n} U_{1010}(v - n)d_{10O}(v). \quad (5) \]

By defining \( U_{1010}(0) = \Delta_1^{-1} \) it is possible to rewrite Eq.(4) and Eq.(5) by means of the convolution operator *:

\[ b_{i,10E}(n) = U_{1010}(n) * d_{10E}(n) + E_{1010}(n) * d_{10O}(n), \quad (6) \]

\[ b_{i,10O}(n) = O_{1010}(n) * d_{10E}(n) + U_{1010}(n) * d_{10O}(n). \quad (7) \]

Finally, the equations (6-7) can be rewritten in the spatial frequency domain by using the Discrete Time Fourier Transform (DTFT):

\[
M_A \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{d}_{10E}(k) \\
\hat{d}_{10O}(k)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{b}_{i,10E}(k) \\
\hat{b}_{i,10O}(k)
\end{bmatrix}, \quad (8)
\]

where

\[
M_A = \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{U}_{1010}(k) & \hat{E}_{1010}(k) \\
\hat{O}_{1010}(k) & \hat{U}_{1010}(k)
\end{bmatrix}. \quad (9)
\]

where the accent * denotes the DTFT.

All the treatment can be repeated for the coefficients \( b_{i,1-1}, b_{i,11}, d_{1-1} \) and \( d_{11} \): let’s call \( b_{i,1m}(2n) = b_{i,1mE}(n), b_{i,1m}(2n+1) = b_{i,1mO}(n), d_{1m}(2n) = d_{1mE}(n), d_{1m}(2n+1) = \)
\[ d_{1mO}(n), -T_{111m}(2v, 2n) = -T_{111m}(2v+1, 2n+1) = U_{111m}(v-n), -T_{111m}(2v+1, 2n) = E_{111m}(v-n), -T_{111m}(2v, 2n+1) = O_{111m}(v, n), \]

with \( l \) and \( m = -1, 1 \), then considering that \( U_{1-11-1}(0) = U_{1111}(0) = \Delta^{-1} \) and \( U_{1-111}(0) = U_{111-1}(0) = 0 \) and using the DTFT we can write:

\[
M_B \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{d}_{11E}(k) \\
\hat{d}_{1-1E}(k) \\
\hat{d}_{11O}(k) \\
\hat{d}_{1-1O}(k)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{b}_{s,11E}(k) \\
\hat{b}_{s,1-1E}(k) \\
\hat{b}_{s,11O}(k) \\
\hat{b}_{s,1-1O}(k)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(10)

where

\[
M_B = \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{U}_{1111}(k) & \hat{U}_{1-111}(k) & \hat{E}_{1111}(k) & \hat{E}_{1-111}(k) \\
\hat{U}_{111-1}(k) & \hat{U}_{1-11-1}(k) & \hat{E}_{111-1}(k) & \hat{E}_{1-11-1}(k) \\
\hat{O}_{1111}(k) & \hat{O}_{1-111}(k) & \hat{U}_{1111}(k) & \hat{U}_{1-111}(k) \\
\hat{O}_{111-1}(k) & \hat{O}_{1-11-1}(k) & \hat{U}_{111-1}(k) & \hat{U}_{1-11-1}(k)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(11)

Following the treatment in [23] it is possible to find analytical formulas for the coupling coefficients:
The subsequent equalities hold true: 

\[ U_{1010}(n) = i \frac{3 e^{id_U(n)}}{2 d_U(n)} - \frac{3 e^{id_U(n)}}{2 d_U(n)^2} - i \frac{3 e^{id_U(n)}}{2 d_U(n)^3}, \quad n \neq 0 \]

\[ U_{1111}(n) = i \frac{3 e^{id_U(n)}}{4 d_U(n)} + \frac{3 e^{id_U(n)}}{4 d_U(n)^2} + i \frac{3 e^{id_U(n)}}{4 d_U(n)^3}, \quad n \neq 0 \]

\[ U_{1-11}(n) = -i \frac{3 e^{id_U(n)}}{4 d_U(n)} + \frac{9 e^{id_U(n)}}{4 d_U(n)^2} + i \frac{9 e^{id_U(n)}}{4 d_U(n)^3}, \quad n \neq 0 \]

\[ E_{1010}(n) = i \frac{3 e^{id_E(n)}}{2 d_E(n)} - \frac{3 e^{id_E(n)}}{2 d_E(n)^2} - i \frac{3 e^{id_E(n)}}{2 d_E(n)^3}, \]

\[ E_{1111}(n) = i \frac{3 e^{id_E(n)}}{4 d_E(n)} + \frac{3 e^{id_E(n)}}{4 d_E(n)^2} + i \frac{3 e^{id_E(n)}}{4 d_E(n)^3}, \]

\[ E_{1-11}(n) = -i \frac{3 e^{id_E(n)}}{4 d_E(n)} + \frac{9 e^{id_E(n)}}{4 d_E(n)^2} + i \frac{9 e^{id_E(n)}}{4 d_E(n)^3}, \]

\[ E_{111-1}(n) = -i \frac{3 e^{id_E(n)}}{4 d_E(n)} + \frac{9 e^{id_E(n)}}{4 d_E(n)^2} + i \frac{9 e^{id_E(n)}}{4 d_E(n)^3}, \quad (12) \]

where \( d_U(n) \) is the distance between the 2\( m \) sphere and the 2\((m+n) \) sphere in the right chain (or equivalently between the 2\( m+1 \) sphere and the 2\((m+n)+1 \) sphere in the left chain) normalized respect to the wave-vector \( k_M \) in the matrix (that is \( d_U(n) = k_M |n| \)). Similarly \( d_E(n) \) indicates the normalized distance between the 2\( m \) sphere in the right chain and the 2\((m+n)+1 \) sphere in the left chain, that is \( d_E(n) = k_M \sqrt{L^2 + (dn + s)^2} \). Besides \( d'_E(n) = d_E(n) - 2\beta(n) \), where \( \beta(n) \) is the angle between these two last spheres (see Fig.1), and \( d''_E(n) = d_E(n) + 2\beta(n) \). Moreover, the subsequent equalities hold true: \( U_{111-1}(n) = U_{1-111}(n) \), \( U_{1111}(n) = U_{1-11-1}(n) \), \( E_{1111}(n) = E_{1-11-1}(n) \), \( O_{1111}(n) = O_{1-11-1}(n) \) and \( O_{111m}(n) = E_{111m}(-n) \) ( \( l \) and \( m = \{-1, 1\} \)).

As consequence, in the matrix \( M_B \) (11) \( \hat{U}_{111-1}(k) = \hat{U}_{1-111}(k) \), \( \hat{U}_{1111}(k) = \hat{U}_{1-11-1}(k) \), \( \hat{E}_{1111}(k) = \hat{E}_{1-11-1}(k) \), \( \hat{O}_{1111}(k) = \hat{O}_{1-11-1}(k) \) and \( \hat{O}_{111m}(k) = \hat{E}_{111m}(-k) \) ( \( l \) and
\( m = \{-1, 1\} \).

In order to find the propagating modes of the double chain we proceed as follows: we fix a value of frequency \( \omega \) and impose a vanishing input field (i.e. \( b_{i,1-1}(n) = b_{i,10}(n) = b_{i,11}(n) = 0 \)). We then search for values of wavevector \( k \) that give nontrivial solutions of systems (9) and (10), by imposing \( \text{Det}(M_A(k)) = 0 \) and \( \text{Det}(M_B(k)) = 0 \). These values of \( k \) represent the propagation constants of the modes normalized respect to the period \( d \) of the system. The corresponding coefficients \( d_{l m E}(n) \) and \( d_{l m O}(n) \) ( \( l \) and \( m = \{-1, 0, 1\} \)) are of the form \( D(k) e^{ikn} \) (\( D(k) \) constant independent on \( n \)). In the next section we discuss about the search of \( k \) in the complex plane.

3. Derivation of the complex dispersion relations

Being the system periodic, the real part of \( k \) falls between 0 and \( \pi \). It is possible to show that under the condition of \( k \) real and \( k_Md < k < \pi \) (i.e. under the light line) the DTFTs in \( M_A \) and \( M_B \) except \( \hat{U}_{1010}(k) \) and \( \hat{U}_{1111}(k) \) have a vanishing real part, and \( \text{Re}(\hat{U}_{1010}(k) - \Delta_1^{-1}) = \text{Re}(\hat{U}_{1111}(k) - \Delta_1^{-1}) = 1 \). If the system is lossless it is also verified that \( \text{Re}(\Delta_1^{-1}) = -1 \) [19], implying \( \text{Re}(\hat{U}_{1010}(k)) = \text{Re}(\hat{U}_{1111}(k)) = 0 \). To sum up, in a lossless system the DTFTs in \( M_A \) and \( M_B \) have vanishing real part if \( k_Md < k < \pi \), making \( \text{Im}[\text{Det}(M_A(k))] = \text{Im}[\text{Det}(M_B(k))] = 0 \); then it is possible to find modes that propagates without damping by looking for real \( k \) between \( k_Md \) and \( \pi \) that solve \( \text{Re}[\text{Det}(M_A(k))] = 0 \) or \( \text{Re}[\text{Det}(M_B(k))] = 0 \). It is worth noting that, being \( k \) real, these last two equations are well-posed because the summations in
the DTFTs converge and can be evaluated by truncating them to a finite number of terms.

When the system is lossy \( \text{Re}(\Delta_1^{-1}) \neq -1 \), then \( \text{Re}(\hat{U}_{1010}(k)) \neq 0 \) and \( \text{Re}(\hat{U}_{1111}(k)) \neq 0 \). In this case \( \text{Im}[\text{Det}(M_A(k))] \neq 0 \) and \( \text{Im}[\text{Det}(M_B(k))] \neq 0 \) even for \( k \) under the light line. As a consequence \( \text{Det}(M_A(k)) = 0 \) and \( \text{Det}(M_B(k)) = 0 \) have no real solutions, and solutions have to be found in the complex plane. This means that the modes propagate necessary with damping. In this case, being \( k \) complex, the DTFTs of \( M_A(k) \) and \( M_B(k) \) diverge, making the problem ill-posed.

In [19] it is shown that \( \hat{U}_{lllm}(k) \) (for \( l \) and \( m = \{-1, 0, 1\} \)) can be rewritten as sum of polylogarithms and then evaluated by exploiting analytic continuation as suggested by Citrin [14], so that the difficulties entailed by the presence of a complex \( k \) can be overcome. The other DTFTs can not be expressed directly as sum of polylogarithms because of the term \( \sqrt{L^2 + (dn + s)^2} \) in \( d_E(n) \). However we can manage to write them as functions that admit an analytic continuation, in order to avoid the divergence problem. Let’s then take first of all the case of \( L = 0 \), so that \( d_E(n) = k_M|dn + s| \) and let’s consider, as example, the DTFT \( \hat{E}_{1010}(k) \):

\[
\hat{E}_{1010}(k) = E_{1010}(0) + \\
+ \sum_{v=1}^{3} a_v \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{i k M (dn + s) - i kn} k_M^n (dn + s)^v + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{i k M (dn - s) + i kn} k_M^n (dn - s)^v \right] \tag{13}
\]

where \( a_1 = (3i/2) \), \( a_2 = -(3/2) \) and \( a_3 = -(3i/2) \). The summations in \( n \) can be
expressed by means of Lerch functions [24] that, similarly to polylogarithms, admit analytic continuation. In fact the following relation holds true:

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ik_M(dn+\mp s)\pm ikn}}{k_M^v(dn \mp s)^v} = (k_Md)^{-v}e^{ik_M(d\mp s)\pm ik}\text{Lerch}(e^{ik_Md\pm ik}, v, 1 \mp (s/d))
\]

(14)

Then \( \hat{E}_{1010}(k) \) can be written as linear combination of Lerch functions, and similarly all the other DTFTs in \( M_A(k) \) and \( M_B(k) \), so that analytic continuation is possible for all them.

In this way the divergence problem in the evaluation of \( \text{Det}(M_A(k)) \) and \( \text{Det}(M_B(k)) \) is avoided, and complex solutions \( k \) can be found in the case \( L = 0 \). This case corresponds to a non uniform linear chain of spheres whose center-to-center distance is not constant but is, alternately, \( s \) and \( (d-s) \).

When \( L \neq 0 \) it is not possible to write the DTFTs as combination of Lerch functions. In this case we expand them in a Taylor series in \( L \) around \( L = 0 \). In particular, let’s consider the infinite summations in \( n \) of the DTFTs, that posses the form \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) \), with \( f(n) = e^{ik_M\sqrt{L^2+(dn\mp s)^2}\pm ikn}/[k_M^v\sqrt{L^2+(dn \mp s)^2}]^v \) \((v = 1, 2, 3)\). We note that any term \( f(n) \) can be expanded in Taylor series \( f_T(n) \) about \( 0 \) only if \(|L| < |(dn\mp s)|\).

Let’s then take the first \( N \) for which \(|L| < |(dN \mp s)|\) and rewrite the sums in the following way:
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} f(n) + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} f(n) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} f(n) + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} f_T(n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_T(n) + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (f(n) - f_T(n)) \quad (15)
\]

where the approximation \( f_T(n) \approx f(n) \) is done for \( n \geq N \). The term \( \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (f(n) - f_T(n)) \) does not diverge because it is made over a finite number of elements and the term \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_T(n) \) can be expressed as a linear combination of Lerch functions. To summarize, we succeeded in approximating any infinite summation in the DTFTs as a sum between a linear combination of Lerch functions \( (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_T(n)) \) and the finite term \( \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (f(n) - f_T(n)) \): in this way we can exploit analytic continuation. Let’s note that the approximations can be improved at will by considering a sufficiently high number of terms in the Taylor expansion.

Let us note that the proposed methodology can be extended by taking into account for higher order VHS (but at the price of a major complexity) so that spheres whose radius is not much smaller than the wavelength could be taken into account.

Note also that the developed approach is valid for strictly spherical particles, but a modified version of Mie theory exists for ellipsoidal particles, and our methodology can in principle be applied exploiting this theory. The derivation of our method for chains with ellipsoidal particles follows strictly the method described for chains with spherical particles.
We conclude by noting that the derived theory can represent also the core of the method to find the modes of other guiding structures, such as plasmonic photonic crystal waveguides or arrays.

4. Design and analysis of a double-chain without displacement

In this section we calculate the dispersion curves for a case of interest by considering two parallel chains of silver spheres embedded in glass ($\epsilon_m = 2.25$) with null displacement $s = 0$, period $d = 75$ nm, distance between the chains $L = 75$ nm, radius $R = 25$ nm. The dielectric constant $\epsilon_s(\omega)$ of the spheres is calculated by means of a fitting model based on values tabulated by Johnson and Christy in [25]. We decided to avoid the popular Drude model because it is not accurate in the visible range, especially for what concern absorption.

It is well known (see for example [19], [14] or [10]) that the single chain has two transverse ($T_1$ and $T_2$) and one longitudinal ($L$) modes. The two transverse modes are degenerate because the second is simply a ninety-degree rotation of the first around the axis of the chain.

The modes $T_1$ and $T_2$ are found by solving $\hat{U}_{1010}(k) = 0$ and the corresponding electric fields can be written respectively as $\sum_n \overline{n}^3_{10}(n) e^{ikn}$ and $\sum_n (\overline{n}^3_{11}(n) - \overline{n}^3_{-1-1}(n)) e^{ikn}$; whereas $L$ is found by solving $\hat{U}_{1111}(k) + \hat{U}_{11-1}(k) = 0$ and the corresponding electric field is $\sum_n (\overline{n}^3_{11}(n) + \overline{n}^3_{1-1}(n)) e^{ikn}$.

In the double chain, since the functions $\overline{n}^1_{10}$ are decoupled from $\overline{n}^3_{1-1}$ and $\overline{n}^3_{11}$, the
mode $T_1$ of the left (right) chain is decoupled from the modes $T_2$ and $L$ of the right (left) chain. In this way we find that two modes of the double chain are generated by the coupling of the modes $T_1$ of the isolated chains. These modes are found by solving $Det(M_A(k)) = 0$. Having assumed $s = 0$ we have that $\hat{E}_{1010}(k) = \hat{O}_{1010}(k)$, so that $Det(M_A(k)) = 0$ implies $\hat{U}_{1010}(k) \pm \hat{E}_{1010}(k) = 0$, and $d_{10E}(n) = \pm d_{10O}(n)$. Then the solution of $\hat{U}_{1010}(k) + \hat{E}_{1010}(k) = 0$ corresponds to a transverse mode $T_{1i}$ in which the two $T_1$ modes of the single chains propagate in phase; whereas the solution of $\hat{U}_{1010}(k) - \hat{E}_{1010}(k) = 0$ correspond to a transverse mode $T_{1a}$ in which the two $T_1$ modes of the single chains propagate in antiphase.

The mode $T_2$ of the single left (right) chain is not decoupled from the mode $L$ of the right (left) chain, and vice versa, so we expect that in the double chain some modes exist that are combinations of $T_2$ and $L$. They can be found by solving $Det(M_B(k)) = 0$. Let’s note that, being $s = 0$, $\hat{E}_{1111}(k) = \hat{O}_{1111}(k)$, $\hat{E}_{1-111}(k) = \hat{O}_{111-1}(k)$ and $\hat{E}_{111-1}(k) = \hat{O}_{1-111}(k)$. It is easy to prove that in this case the matrix $M_B(k)$ has eigenvectors $[\hat{d}_{11E}(k), \hat{d}_{1-1E}(k), \hat{d}_{11O}(k), \hat{d}_{1-1O}(k)]$ of the form $[a, b, a]$ or $[a, b, -b, -a]$. In the first case we can write

$$[a, b, b, a] = (a/2 + b/2)[1, 1, 1, 1] + (a/2 - b/2)[1, -1, -1, 1]$$

that means that the electric field given by the left chain is
\[
\sum_n \frac{a + b}{2} [n_{11}^3(n) + \nu_{1-1}^3(n)] e^{ikn} + \\
+ \sum_n \frac{a - b}{2} [n_{11}^3(n) - \nu_{1-1}^3(n)] e^{ikn}
\]

while the electric field given by the right chain is

\[
\sum_n \frac{a + b}{2} [n_{11}^3(n) + \nu_{1-1}^3(n)] e^{ikn} - \\
- \sum_n \frac{a - b}{2} [n_{11}^3(n) - \nu_{1-1}^3(n)] e^{ikn}
\]

Then in the left chain the linear combination of \(L\) and \(T_2\) is \((a/2 + b/2)L + (a/2 - b/2)T_2\), while in the right chain is \((a/2 + b/2)L - (a/2 - b/2)T_2\) (modes \(L\) in phase, \(T_2\) in antiphase).

Similar arguments hold true when the eigenvector is of the form \([a, b, -b, -a]\): in this case in the left chain the linear combination of \(L\) and \(T_2\) is \(-(a/2 + b/2)L + (a/2 - b/2)T_2\), while in the right chain is \((a/2 + b/2)L + (a/2 - b/2)T_2\) (modes \(L\) in antiphase, \(T_2\) in phase). The coefficients \((a/2 + b/2)\) and \((a/2 - b/2)\) give more or less weight to the modes \(L\) and \(T_2\) in the linear combination. We expect that, if for a fixed frequency \(\omega\) only the mode \(T_2\) propagates in the single chain \((1.3< \omega d< 1.65 \text{ in Fig.3})\), then in the double chain it is dominant with respect to \(L\) \(((a/2 + b/2)<< (a/2 - b/2))\), so we can define two modes where \(T_2\) is dominant over \(L\), in which \(T_2\) is in phase and \(L\) in antiphase \((T_2L_a)\) or vice versa \((T_2aL_i)\), on the basis of what we stated above.

Conversely, if for a fixed pulsation \(\omega\) only the mode \(L\) propagates in the single chain
\( \omega d > 1.65 \) in Fig.5), then \( L \) is dominant over \( T_2 \) in the double chain, so we can define two modes \((L_i T_{2a})\) and \((L_a T_{2i})\) in which the two \( L \) modes of the single chains are in phase or antiphase respectively (and vice versa for the \( T_2 \) modes).

In Fig.2, Fig.3, Fig.4 and Fig.5 we plot the dispersion curves \((\text{Re}(k))\) and the losses of the modes \((\text{Im}(k))\) in the single chain and in the double chain. Being the system lossy, in order to calculate the DTFTs of \( M_A(k) \) and \( M_B(k) \), we exploited the procedure described in the previous section, using Eq.(15), stopping the correspondent Taylor series at the eighth order. Moreover we analyzed the eigenvectors of \( M_B(k) \) (the coefficients \((a/2 \pm b/2)\)) in order to understand if the components \( T_2 \) and \( L \) are in phase or in antiphase, and if \( T_2 \) is dominant over \( L \) or vice versa.

We note that at low frequencies \((\omega d < 1.65)\) we have the modes \( T_{1i} \) and \( T_{2i} L_a \) \((T_2 \) is dominant), because in this range only \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) are allowed in the single chain. Indeed the dispersion curve of \( T_{2a} L_i \) lies in between those of \( T_2 \) and \( L \) of the single chain, because it’s in the range of frequencies \((1.56 < \omega d < 1.72)\) in which them both exist in the single chain, so they have nearly the same weight in the linear combination that generates \( T_{2a} L_i \); the curve of \( T_{2a} L_i \) flows into that of \( L_i T_{2a} \) for frequencies \( \omega d > 1.72 \), for which \( L \) start to be dominant over \( T_2 \).

We can also observe that losses are acceptable only for modes \( T_{2i} L_a \) and \( T_{1i} \) and at low frequencies; this is due to the fact that for the other modes and at high frequencies the electric field is almost concentrated around the metal spheres, increasing in this way the absorption.
Let’s conclude by noting that in the double chain the useful transmission band is greater than in the single chain. If we require a decay length of 5\(\mu\text{m}\) and a transverse mode decay at \(1/e\) of 50\(\text{nm}\), the sum of the useful bands of modes \(T_{1i} (1.34 < \omega d < 1.45)\) and \(T_{2i}L_a (1.24 < \omega d < 1.34)\) in the double chain is nearly five times greater than the useful band of \(T_1\) in the single chain \((1.44 < \omega d < 1.48)\).

5. Finite element simulations

We calculated the dispersion curves \((\text{Re}(k))\) and the losses \((\text{Im}(k))\) of the modes in the double chain by solving Maxwell’s equations with a finite element method, in order to assess the validity of the theoretical results. When calculating the Bloch modes it is usual to fix the wavevector and to find the frequency by solving a linear eigenvalue problem: in our case, being the metal dispersive, this requires an iterative cycle. Moreover the wavevectors are complex due to material losses, making the iterative search even harder. In order to avoid these difficulties, we used a different approach reformulating the problem into a quadratic eigenvalue problem where the frequency is fixed and the wavevector is searched. The description of this formulation is reported in [19].

As it can be seen in Fig.3, Fig.4 and Fig.5 the curves of modes \(T_{1i}, T_{1a}\) and \(T_{2i}L_a\) calculated with the FEM match quite perfectly those predicted both in the real and the imaginary part. As far as the other modes are concerned, we can notice that FEM results are greater of nearly the 10\% for the real part; even greater errors are...
present in the imaginary part. This is due to the fact that the curves of these modes stay in a range of high frequencies, for which the influence of high order VSH is not neglectable, so that our model can not describe accurately the dipersion relations.

Let’s note that, as predicted, losses are acceptable only at low frequencies for modes $T_{2i}L_a$ and $T_{1i}$, making them usefull for transmission, and also note that all the modes have a band folding for which it is impossible to reach a zero group velocity [19].

Fig. 6 shows the magnetic field of mode $T_1$ in the single chain and of modes $T_{1i}$ and $T_{1a}$ in the double chain over the $y−z$ plane: only the component $H_x$ is shown because $H_y$ and $H_z$ are vanishing over this plane. It is clear that $T_{1i}$ is the sum of the two $T_1$ modes of the single chains that propagate in phase, as expected, while $T_{1a}$ is sum of the two $T_1$ modes that propagates in antiphase.

In Fig.7 the magnetic field of modes $T_2$ and $L$ in the single chain and of modes $T_{2i}L_a$, $T_{2a}L_i$ and $L_iT_{2a}$ in the double chain is shown over the $yz−$ plane. As it was stated in the previous section, the mode $T_{2i}L_a$ is the combination of the two $T_2$ modes of the single chains in phase and of the two $L$ modes in antiphase, and the most of the energy is carried by the $T_2$ modes. Fig.7 c) fully confirms this scenario: the components $H_y$ and $H_z$, that are absent in the mode $T_2$ of the single chain, are due to the presence of the $L$ modes, but they are negligible respect to $H_x$, that is the only non vanishing component of $T_2$.

Indeed Fig.7 e) shows that the situation is reversed respect to Fig.7 c): for the mode $L_iT_{2a}$ the main modes in combination are the two $L$ modes in phase, so that com-
ponents $H_y$ and $H_z$ are much greater than $H_x$, that is absent in the mode $L$ of the single chain and in this case is due to the presence of the $T_2$ modes. In Fig. 7 d) the mode $T_{2a}L_i$ is shown: as observed in the previous section, in this case the modes $L$ and $T_2$ have nearly the same weight in the combination, that is confirmed from the fact that $H_x$ is comparable with $H_y$ and $H_z$.

On the basis of the obtained results it is clear that the main limitation of the proposed theoretical model to a full numerical solution is that it is accurate when the particles are sufficiently small with respect to wavelength, in order to excite only first order spherical harmonic. If this condition is not fulfilled some errors are present (of the order of 10% in our simulations). Nevertheless we highlight that in cases of applicative interest the useful modes stay in the band in which the above condition is respected and that the proposed methodology is order of magnitude faster and requires a negligible memory consumption respect to a numerical solution.

6. Conclusions

In this paper we derived the complex dispersion relation of a double chain of lossy spheres by using the Mie theory and considering the interaction between spherical vector harmonics of the first order, that is a very good approximation when the radius $R$ of the spheres is sufficiently smaller then the wavelength.

The search of the roots of the dispersion relations requires the evaluation of some
DTFTs for which divergence problems arise due to the complex nature of the solution $k$. In order to avoid these problems the DTFTs have been approximated by means of Lerch functions for which analytic continuation is possible. Moreover, the pursued analysis gives the exact solution for the case of two chains with vanishing distance $L$, that corresponds to a single non uniform binary chain.

As an example, a practical case of two parallel chains without displacement has been analyzed: six modes are present, that correspond to the coupling interaction between the transverse and longitudinal modes of the single chains. We have shown that in this interaction the weight of the transverse component can be very different respect to the weight of the longitudinal one and that only two modes have a degree of losses that allows for a propagation over a reasonably long distance. The main goal achieved is that the total useful band is nearly 5 times that of the single chain.

To conclude, all the results has been compared with finite element solutions of the Maxwell’s equations.
Fig. 1. The system analyzed in this paper consists of two chains of spheres over the $xy$ plane. The chains have distance $L$, displacement $s$ along the $x$ axis and the spheres (of radius $R$) in the chains have center-to-center distance $d$. Odd spheres stay in the left chain, even spheres in the right chain. Note as example the angle $\beta(1)$ between the spheres 0 and 3.
Fig. 2. General view of the real and imaginary part of $k(\omega)$ for the theoretically predicted modes in the double chain. Black dashed and bold line is mode $T_{1i}$; black bold line is $T_{2i}L_a$; black thin line is $T_{1a}$; black dashed and thin line is $T_{2a}L_i$ together with $L_iT_{2a}$; black dotted line is $L_0T_{2i}$. Real part represent the dispersion curve of the mode, imaginary part its losses.
Fig. 3. Real and imaginary part of $k(\omega)$ for the mode $T_{1i}$ (theoretical results: black thin line; FEM results: triangles) and $T_{2i}L_a$ (theoretical results: black bold line; FEM results: circles). The black dashdot line is relative to mode $T_1$ and $T_2$ in the single chain.

Fig. 4. Real and imaginary part of $k(\omega)$ for the mode $T_{1a}$ (theoretical results: black bold line; FEM results: circles). The black dashdot line is relative to mode $T_1$ and $T_2$ in the single chain.
Fig. 5. Real and imaginary part of $k(\omega)$ for the mode $T_{2a}L_i$ and $L_iT_{2a}$ (theoretical results: black thin line; FEM results: triangles) and $L_aT_{2i}$ (theoretical results: black bold line; FEM results: circles). The black dashdot line is relative to mode $L$ in the single chain. The curve relative to $T_{2a}L_i$ flows into that of $L_iT_{2a}$ starting from $\omega d \approx 1.72$.

Fig. 6. Field $H_x$ of modes $T_1$ in the single chain (a, $\omega d = 1.50$) and of modes $T_{1i}$ (b, $\omega d = 1.50$) and $T_{1a}$ in the double chain (c, $\omega d = 1.59$).
Fig. 7. Fields $H_x$, $H_y$ and $H_z$ of modes $T_2$ (a, $\omega d = 1.50$) and $L$ (b, $\omega d = 1.70$) in the single chain and of modes $T_{2a}L_a$ (c, $\omega d = 1.34$), $T_{2a}L_i$ (d, $\omega d = 1.70$) and $L_iT_{2a}$ (e, $\omega d = 1.76$) in the double chain.
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