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# INFINITE TIME BLOW-UP IN THE PATLAK-KELLER-SEGEL SYSTEM: EXISTENCE AND STABILITY 

JUAN DAVILA, MANUEL DEL PINO, JEAN DOLBEAULT, MONICA MUSSO, AND JUNCHENG WEI

Abstract. Perhaps the most classical diffusion model for chemotaxis is the Patlak-Keller-Segel system

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
u_{t}=\Delta u-\nabla \cdot(u \nabla v) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \times(0, \infty)  \tag{0.1}\\
v=\left(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\right)^{-1} u:=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log \frac{1}{|x-z|} u(z, t) d z \\
u(\cdot, 0)=u_{0} \geq 0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

We consider the critical mass case $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u_{0}(x) d x=8 \pi$ which corresponds to the exact threshold between finite-time blow-up and self-similar diffusion towards zero. We find a radial function $u_{0}^{*}$ with mass $8 \pi$ such that for any initial condition $u_{0}$ sufficiently close to $u_{0}^{*}$ the solution $u(x, t)$ of (0.1) is globally defined and blows-up in infinite time. As $t \rightarrow+\infty$ it has the approximate profile

$$
u(x, t) \approx \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} U_{0}\left(\frac{x-\xi(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right), \quad U_{0}(y)=\frac{8}{\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

where $\lambda(t) \approx \frac{c}{\sqrt{\log t}}, \xi(t) \rightarrow q$ for some $c>0$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with the classical Keller-Segel problem in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$,

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
u_{t}=\Delta u-\nabla \cdot(u \nabla v) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \times(0, \infty),  \tag{1.1}\\
v=\left(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\right)^{-1} u:=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log \frac{1}{|x-z|} u(z, t) d z \\
u(\cdot, 0)=u_{0} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

which is a well-known model for the dynamics of a population density $u(x, t)$ evolving by diffusion with a drift representing a chemotaxis effect [14, 15, 18]. We consider positive solutions which are well defined and unique and smooth up to a maximal time $0<T \leq+\infty$. This problem formally preserves mass, in the sense that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u(x, t) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u_{0}(x) d x=: M \quad \text { for all } \quad t \in(0, T)
$$

An interesting feature of (1.1) is the connection between the second moment of the solution and its mass which is precisely given by

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u(x, t)|x|^{2} d x=4 M-\frac{M^{2}}{2 \pi}
$$

provided that the second moments are finite. If $M>8 \pi$ the negative rate of production of the second moment and the positivity of the solution implies finite
blow-up time. If $M<8 \pi$ the solution lives at all times and eventually diffuses to zero with a self similar profile [3]. When $M=8 \pi$ the solution is globally defined in time [4]. If the initial second moment is finite, it is preserved in time, and there is infinite time blow-up for the solution [4].

Globally defined in time solutions of (1.1) are of course its positive finite mass steady states, which consist of the family

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\lambda, \xi}(x)=\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} U_{0}\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}\right), \quad U_{0}(y)=\frac{8}{\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad \lambda>0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that all these steady states have the exact mass $8 \pi$ and infinite second moment

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} U_{\lambda, \xi}(x) d x=8 \pi, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|x|^{2} U_{\lambda, \xi}(x) d x=+\infty
$$

In $[1,16,23]$ blow-up in a bounded domain is studied, see also [17] and [24] for the higher-dimensional radial case. Asymptotics were derived in $[25,26]$ and a rigorous construction of a radial blow-up solution was found in [22]. Asymptotic stability of family of steady states (1.2) under this mass constraint has been determined in [12].

In the critical mass $M=8 \pi$ case, the infinite-time blow-up in (1.1) when the second moment is finite, takes place in the form of a bubble in the form (1.2) with $\lambda=\lambda(t) \rightarrow 0[4,2]$. Formal rates and precise profiles were derived in [6,5] to be $\lambda(t) \sim \frac{c}{\sqrt{\log t}}$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. A radial solution with this rates was built in [13] and its stability within the radial class was established. The stability assertion for general small perturbations was conjectured and left open in [13]. The method of construction in [13] (see also [19, 20, 21, 22]) seems difficult to adapt to the general, nonradial scenario.

In this paper we construct an infinite-time blow-up solution with an entirely different method to that in [13] which in particular leads to a proof of the stability assertion. The following is our main result.

Theorem 1. There exists a nonnegative function $u_{0}^{*}(x)$ (radially symmetric) with critical mass $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u_{0}^{*}(x) d x=8 \pi$ and finite second moment $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u_{0}^{*}(x) d x<+\infty$ such that for every $u_{0}(x)$ sufficiently close (in suitable sense) to $u_{0}^{*}$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u_{0}^{*}=8 \pi$ we have that the associated solution $u(x, t)$ of system (1.1) has the form

$$
u(x, t)=\frac{1}{\lambda(t)^{2}} U_{0}\left(\frac{x-\xi(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right)(1+o(1)), \quad U_{0}(y)=\frac{8}{\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

uniformly on bounded sets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and

$$
\lambda(t)=\frac{c}{\sqrt{\log t}}(1+o(1)), \quad \xi(t) \rightarrow q \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty
$$

for some numbers $c>0$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.
Sufficiently close for the perturbation $u_{0}(x):=u_{0}^{*}(x)+\varphi(x)$ in this result is measured in the $C^{1}$-weighted norm for some $\sigma>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\varphi\|_{* \sigma}:=\left\|\left(1+|\cdot|^{4+\sigma}\right) \varphi\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}+\left\|\left(1+|\cdot|^{5+\sigma}\right) \nabla \varphi(x)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}<+\infty . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that this decay condition implies for all $\sigma>0$ the second moment of $\varphi$ is finite, which is not the case for $\sigma \leq 0$.

We devote the rest of this paper to the proof of Theorem 1. Our approach borrows elements of constructions in the works $[7,9,10,11]$ based in the so-called inner-outer gluing scheme, where a system is derived for an inner equation defined near the blow-up point and expressed in the variable of the blowing-up bubble, and an outer problem that sees the whole picture in the original scale. The result of Theorem 1 has already been announced in [8] in connection with [7, 9, 10].

The scaling parameter is rather simple to find at main order from the approximate conservation of second moment. The center $\xi(t)$ actually obeys a relatively simple system of nonlocal ODEs.

## 2. The ansatz

We consider the Keller-Segel system in the whole $\mathbb{R}^{2}$

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
u_{t}=\Delta u-\nabla \cdot(u \nabla v) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \times(0, \infty), \\
v=\left(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\right)^{-1} u:=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log \frac{1}{|x-z|} u(z, t) d z \\
u(\cdot, 0)=u_{0} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

and build a first approximation to a solution globally defined in time. Let us consider a smooth cut-off function $\chi_{0}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{0}(s)=1 \text { for } s<1 \text { and }=0 \text { for } s>2 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider a positive function $\lambda(t)$ and a function $\xi(t)$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that

$$
\lambda(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \xi(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty
$$

and consider as a first approximation

$$
u_{1}(x, t)=\frac{\alpha(t)}{\lambda^{2}} U_{0}(y) \chi_{0}\left(\frac{\lambda|y|}{\sqrt{t}}\right), \quad y=\frac{x-\xi(t)}{\lambda(t)} .
$$

Here $U_{0}(y)=\frac{8}{\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{2}}$ and we write $\alpha$ as

$$
\alpha=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}
$$

We choose $\alpha_{1}(t)$ in such a way that we exactly have

$$
\alpha_{1}(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} U_{0}(y) \chi(y, t) d y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} U_{0}(y) d y=8 \pi
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(y, t)=\chi_{0}\left(\frac{\lambda|y|}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We directly compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}(t)=1+a \frac{\lambda^{2}}{t}(1+o(1)) \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1-\chi_{0}(s)}{s^{3}} d s \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameters $\lambda(t)>0$ and $\alpha_{2}(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ will be chosen later on, but for the moment we will assume the following bounds on them:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(t) \leq \lambda_{*}(t), \quad|\dot{\lambda}(t)| \leq\left|\dot{\lambda}_{*}(t)\right| \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\lambda_{*}(t)=\frac{C}{\sqrt{\log t}}
$$

and $C>0$ is a fixed constant, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha_{2}(t)\right| \leq \frac{C}{t \log (t)} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also on $\xi$ we assume the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\dot{\xi}(t)| \leq \frac{C}{t^{1+\sigma}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\sigma>0$.
Let us consider the "error operator"

$$
S(u)=-u_{t}+\Delta u-\nabla(u \nabla v)
$$

Changing variables

$$
y=\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}
$$

and letting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{u}(y, t)=u(x, t) \\
& \tilde{v}(y, t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log \frac{1}{|z-y|} \tilde{u}(z, t) d z
\end{aligned}
$$

we find that

$$
\lambda^{4} S(u)=-\lambda^{2} \tilde{u}_{t}+\lambda \dot{\lambda}\left(2 \tilde{u}+y \cdot \nabla_{y} \tilde{u}\right)+\lambda \dot{\xi} \cdot \nabla_{y} \tilde{u}+\Delta_{y} \tilde{u}-\nabla_{y} \tilde{u} \nabla_{y} \tilde{v}+\tilde{u}^{2}
$$

Let us write

$$
V_{0}(y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log \frac{1}{|z-y|} U_{0}(z) d z=\log U_{0}(y)
$$

and also

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(y, t) & =U_{0}(y) \chi(y, t) \\
V(y) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log \frac{1}{|z-y|} U(z, t) d z
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\chi$ is defined in (2.2).
Then we compute

$$
\lambda^{4} S\left(u_{1}\right)=S_{1}+S_{2}+S_{3}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1}= & -\lambda^{2} \dot{\alpha} U_{0} \chi+\alpha \lambda \dot{\lambda}\left(2 U_{0}+y \cdot \nabla U_{0}\right) \chi+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha \lambda^{3}}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}}|y| \chi_{0}^{\prime} U_{0} \\
S_{2}= & 2 \alpha \nabla U_{0} \cdot \nabla \chi+\alpha U_{0} \Delta \chi+U_{0}^{2}\left(\alpha^{2} \chi^{2}-\alpha \chi\right) \\
& -\alpha^{2} U_{0} \nabla \chi \nabla V-\alpha(\alpha-1) \nabla U_{0} \nabla V \chi+\alpha \nabla U_{0} \nabla\left(V-V_{0}\right) \chi \\
S_{3}= & \alpha \lambda \dot{\xi} \cdot \nabla U_{0} \chi+\alpha \lambda \dot{\xi} \chi_{0}^{\prime} \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{t}} \cdot \frac{y}{|y|} U_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

We decompose

$$
S_{2}=\mathcal{E}_{2}^{o}+\mathcal{E}_{2}^{i}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_{2}^{i}= & \alpha(\alpha-1) U_{0}^{2} \chi-\alpha(\alpha-1) \nabla U_{0} \nabla V_{0} \chi \\
\mathcal{E}_{2}^{o}= & 2 \alpha \nabla U_{0} \cdot \nabla \chi+\alpha U_{0} \Delta \chi+U_{0}^{2} \alpha^{2} \chi(\chi-1) \\
& -\alpha^{2} U_{0} \nabla \chi \nabla V+\alpha \nabla U_{0} \nabla\left(V-V_{0}\right) \chi \\
& -\alpha(\alpha-1) \nabla U_{0} \nabla\left(V-V_{0}\right) \chi
\end{aligned}
$$

We also decompose

$$
S_{1}=\mathcal{E}_{1}^{i}+\mathcal{E}_{1}^{o}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}_{1}^{i}=-\lambda^{2} \dot{\alpha} U_{0} \chi+\alpha \lambda \dot{\lambda}\left(2 U_{0}+y \cdot \nabla U_{0}\right) \chi \\
& \mathcal{E}_{1}^{o}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha \lambda^{3}}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}}|y| \chi_{0}^{\prime} U_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

The main term in $\mathcal{E}_{2}^{o}$ is

$$
8 \frac{\lambda^{6}}{t^{3} \xi^{4}}\left[\chi_{0}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{\xi} \chi_{0}^{\prime}(\xi)\right]
$$

where $\xi=\frac{r}{\sqrt{t}}$.
On the other hand, from $\mathcal{E}_{1}^{o}$ we get a main term given by

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda^{6}}{t^{3}} \xi \chi_{0}^{\prime}(\xi)
$$

To improve the size of the error, we introduce a correction $\phi^{o 1}$ of the form

$$
\phi^{o 1}=\lambda^{2} \tilde{\phi}^{o 1}
$$

where $\tilde{\phi}^{o 1}$ is a radial function and solves

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} \tilde{\phi}^{o 1} & =\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{6}} \tilde{\phi}^{o 1}+\frac{1}{t^{3}} h\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{t}}\right)  \tag{2.8}\\
\tilde{\phi}^{o 1}(r, 0) & =0
\end{align*}\right.
$$

with

$$
h(\xi)=\frac{8}{\xi^{4}}\left[\chi_{0}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{3}{\xi} \chi_{0}^{\prime}(\xi)+\frac{\xi}{4} \chi_{0}^{\prime}(\xi)\right] .
$$

In (2.8), $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{6}}$ means $\partial_{r r}+\frac{5}{r} \partial_{r}$. The solution $\phi^{o 1}$ to (2.8) can be expressed in self-similar form as

$$
\tilde{\phi}^{o 1}(r, t)=\frac{1}{t^{2}} g(\xi), \quad \xi=\frac{r}{\sqrt{t}} .
$$

We find for $g$ the equation

$$
g^{\prime \prime}+\frac{5}{\xi} g^{\prime}+\frac{\xi}{2} g^{\prime}+2 g+h=0
$$

Since $\frac{1}{\xi^{4}}$ is in the kernel of the homogenous equation we find an explicit solution

$$
g_{0}(\xi)=\frac{1}{\xi^{4}} \int_{0}^{\xi} x^{3} e^{-\frac{1}{4} x^{2}} \int_{0}^{x} h(y) e^{\frac{1}{4} y^{2}} y d y d x
$$

To find the solution $\phi^{o 1}$ with initial condition zero we define

$$
g(\xi)=g_{0}(\xi)-\bar{z}(\xi) I
$$

where

$$
\bar{z}(\xi)=\frac{1}{\xi^{4}} \int_{0}^{\xi} x^{3} e^{-\frac{1}{4} x^{2}} d x
$$

is a second solution of the homogeneous equation, independent of $\frac{1}{\xi^{4}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{3} e^{-\frac{1}{4} x^{2}} \int_{0}^{x} h(y) e^{\frac{1}{4} y^{2}} y d y d x \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that

$$
\tilde{\phi}^{o 1}(0, t)=-\frac{I}{4 t^{2}} .
$$

We take then as ansatz the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}(x, t)=\frac{\alpha(t)}{\lambda^{2}} U_{0}(y) \chi_{0}\left(\frac{\lambda|y|}{\sqrt{t}}\right)+\phi^{o 1}(|x-\xi(t)|, t), \quad y=\frac{x-\xi(t)}{\lambda(t)} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define accordingly

$$
\psi^{o 1}=(-\Delta)^{-1} \phi^{o 1}
$$

## 3. InNer outer system

We look for a solution to

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{t} & =\nabla_{x} \cdot\left(\nabla_{x} u-u \nabla v\right) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \times[0, \infty) \\
-\Delta_{x} v & =u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \times[0, \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

of the form

$$
u(x, t)=u_{2}(x, t)+\lambda^{-2} \phi^{i}\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}, t\right) \eta(x, t)+\phi^{o}(x, t)
$$

where $u_{2}$ is the ansatz (2.10). The cut-off $\eta$ is of the form

$$
\eta(x, t)=\chi_{0}\left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{\sqrt{t}}\right)
$$

and $\chi_{0}$ is described in (2.1).
Recalling that $y=\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}$, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
-u_{t} & =-\partial_{t} u_{2}+\frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda^{3}}\left(2 \phi^{i}+\nabla_{y} \phi^{i} \cdot y\right) \eta \\
& -\nabla_{y} \phi^{i} \frac{\dot{\xi}}{\lambda^{3}} \eta-\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \phi_{t}^{i} \eta-\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \phi \eta_{t}-\phi_{t}^{o}
\end{aligned}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi^{i}=\left(-\Delta_{y}\right)^{-1} \phi^{i}, \\
& v_{2}=\left(-\Delta_{x}\right)^{-1} u_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and then we take

$$
v=v_{2}+\psi^{i} \eta+\psi^{o}
$$

We then get the following system of equations for $\phi^{i}, \psi^{i}, \phi^{o}, \psi^{o}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\lambda^{2} \partial_{t} \phi^{i}= & \Delta_{y} \phi^{i}-\nabla_{y} \phi^{i} \nabla_{y} V_{0}-\nabla_{y} \psi^{i} \nabla_{y} U_{0}+2 U_{0} \phi^{i}  \tag{3.1}\\
& +2 \lambda^{2} U_{0} \chi \phi^{o}+2 \lambda^{2} U_{0} \chi \phi^{o 1}-\lambda \nabla_{y}\left[U_{0} \chi\right] \nabla_{x} \psi^{o}-\lambda \nabla_{y}\left[U_{0} \chi\right] \nabla_{x} \psi^{o 1}+E_{i n} \\
-\Delta_{y} \psi^{i}= & \phi^{i}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} \phi^{o} & =L_{\text {out }} \phi^{o}+G\left(\phi^{i}, \phi^{o}, \psi^{i}, \psi^{o}, \lambda, \alpha\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
-\Delta_{x} \psi^{o} & =\phi^{o}+2 \lambda^{-1} \nabla_{y} \psi^{i} \nabla_{x} \eta+\psi^{i} \Delta_{x} \eta
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{\text {out }} \phi^{o} & =\Delta_{x} \phi^{o}-\nabla_{x} \phi^{o} \nabla_{x} V_{0}\left(\frac{x-\xi(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) \\
G\left(\phi^{i}, \phi^{o}, \psi^{i}, \psi^{o}, \lambda, \alpha\right) & =A_{1} \phi^{o}+A_{2} \psi^{o}+B_{1} \phi^{i}+B_{2} \psi^{i}+E_{\text {out }}+N\left(\phi^{i}, \phi^{o}, \psi^{i}, \psi^{o}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1} \phi^{o}= & 2 u_{2} \phi^{o}(1-\eta)-\nabla_{x} \phi^{o} \nabla_{x} \tilde{v}_{2}+2\left[(\alpha-1) \lambda^{-2} U_{0} \eta+\phi^{o 1}\right] \phi^{o} \\
A_{2} \psi^{o}= & -\nabla_{x} u_{2} \nabla_{x} \psi^{o}(1-\eta)-\lambda^{-3} \nabla_{x} \psi^{o} \nabla_{y}\left[(\alpha-1) U_{0} \chi+\lambda^{2} \phi^{o 1}\right] \\
B_{1} \phi^{i}= & \frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda^{3}}-\frac{\dot{\xi}}{\lambda^{3}} \nabla_{y} \phi^{i} \eta+\left(2 \phi^{i}+\nabla_{y} \phi^{i} y\right) \eta+\lambda^{-2}\left(\Delta_{x} \eta-\eta_{t}\right) \phi^{i} \\
& +2 \lambda^{-2} \nabla_{x} \phi^{i} \nabla_{x} \eta-\lambda^{-2} \phi^{i} \nabla_{x} \eta \nabla_{x} v_{2} \\
& +2 \lambda^{-4}\left[U_{0}(1-\eta)+(\alpha-1) U_{0} \eta\right] \phi^{i} \\
B_{2} \psi^{i}= & -\psi^{i} \nabla_{x} u_{2} \nabla_{x} \eta-\lambda^{-4} \nabla_{y} \psi^{i} \nabla_{y}\left[U_{0}(1-\chi)+(\alpha-1) U_{0} \chi+\lambda^{2} \phi^{o 1}\right] \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above expressions $\tilde{v}_{2}$ is given by

$$
-\Delta_{x} \tilde{v}_{2}=\lambda^{-2} U_{0}(y)(\chi-1)+(\alpha-1) \lambda^{-2} U_{0}(y) \chi+\phi^{o 1}
$$

and the terms $E_{i n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{i n}= & \alpha(\alpha-1) U_{0}^{2}-\alpha(\alpha-1) \nabla U_{0} \nabla V_{0}-\lambda^{2} \dot{\alpha} U_{0} \chi+\alpha \lambda \dot{\lambda}\left(2 U_{0}+y \cdot \nabla U_{0}\right) \chi \\
& +\alpha \lambda \dot{\xi} \cdot \nabla U_{0} \chi
\end{aligned}
$$

and $E_{\text {out }}$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\left|E_{\text {out }}\right| \leq C \begin{cases}\frac{1}{t^{3} \log ^{2} t} & r \leq \sqrt{t} \\ \frac{1}{t \log ^{2} t r^{4}} & r \geq \sqrt{t}\end{cases}
$$

Finally, $N\left(\phi^{i}, \phi^{o}, \psi^{i}, \psi^{o}\right)$ are the nonlinear terms:

$$
N\left(\phi^{i}, \phi^{o}, \psi^{i}, \psi^{o}\right)=-\nabla \Phi \nabla \Psi+2 \Phi^{2}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi=\lambda^{-2} \phi^{i}\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}, t\right) \eta(x, t)+\phi^{o}(x, t) \\
& \Psi=\psi^{i} \eta+\psi^{o} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Instead of solving the system (3.1)-(3.2) directly, we consider the following modification of (3.1)

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\lambda^{2} \partial_{t} \phi^{i}= & \Delta_{y} \phi^{i}-\nabla_{y} \phi^{i} \nabla_{y} V_{0}-\nabla_{y} \psi^{i} \nabla_{y} U_{0}+2 U_{0} \phi^{i}  \tag{3.3}\\
& +H\left(\phi^{o}, \psi^{o}, \phi^{o 1}, \psi^{o 1}, \lambda, \alpha_{2}, \xi\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{4} c_{j}(t) \tilde{Z}_{j} \\
-\Delta_{y} \psi^{i}= & \phi^{i}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& H\left(\phi^{o}, \psi^{o}, \phi^{o 1}, \psi^{o 1}, \lambda, \alpha_{2}, \xi\right)= 2 \lambda^{2} U_{0} \chi \phi^{o}+2 \lambda^{2} U_{0} \chi \phi^{o 1}  \tag{3.4}\\
&-\lambda \nabla_{y}\left[U_{0} \chi\right] \nabla_{x} \psi^{o}-\lambda \nabla_{y}\left[U_{0} \chi\right] \nabla_{x} \psi^{o 1}  \tag{3.5}\\
& \tilde{Z}_{j}(y)=Z_{j}(y) \chi(y)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Z_{0}(y)=2 U_{0}(y)+\nabla U_{0}(y) y  \tag{3.6}\\
Z_{1}(y)=\partial_{y_{1}} U_{0}(y) \\
Z_{2}(y)=\partial_{y_{3}} U_{0}(y) \\
Z_{3}(y)=U_{0}(y)
\end{array}\right.
$$

For the proof of the main theorem, we will use the following norms.
For the inner problem we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\phi^{i}\right\|_{i *, \nu, \mu, \sigma}= & \sup _{|y| \leq \sqrt{t}}\left\{t^{\nu} \log ^{\mu} t\left[(1+|y|)^{3+\sigma}\left|\phi^{i}(y, t)\right|+(1+|y|)^{4+\sigma}\left|\nabla \phi^{i}(y, t)\right|\right]\right\} \\
& +\sup _{|y| \geq \sqrt{t}}\left\{t^{\nu-1} \log ^{\mu} t\left[(1+|y|)^{5+\sigma}\left|\phi^{i}(y, t)\right|+(1+|y|)^{6+\sigma}\left|\nabla \phi^{i}(y, t)\right|\right\}\right. \\
\left\|h^{i}\right\|_{i * *, \nu, \mu, \sigma}= & \sup \left\{t^{\nu}(1+|y|)^{5+\sigma}\left|h^{i}(y, t)\right|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nu>0, \mu \geq 0$, and $\sigma>0$.
For the outer problem we use the norms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\phi^{o}\right\|_{o *, a, \mu, b}= & \sup \left\{\left[t^{a} \log ^{\mu} t\left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{\lambda}+1\right)^{b-2}+\lambda^{2} t^{a-1} \log ^{\mu} t\left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{\lambda}+1\right)^{b}\right]\left|\phi^{o}(x, t)\right|\right\} \\
& +\sup \left\{\left[t^{a} \log ^{\mu} t\left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{\lambda}+1\right)^{b-1}+\lambda^{2} t^{a-1} \log ^{\mu} t\left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{\lambda}+1\right)^{b+1}\right]\left|\nabla \phi^{o}(x, t)\right|\right\} \\
\|h\|_{o * *, a, \mu, b}= & \sup \left\{\lambda^{2} t^{a} \log ^{\mu} t\left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{\lambda}+1\right)^{b}|h(x, t)|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $2<b<6,1<a<3, \mu \geq 0$.
Proof of Theorem 1. We let $\sigma>0$ be a small number. We work in both equations (3.3) and (3.2) with $t \geq t_{0}$ and $t_{0}$ a large constant. In (3.3) we impose zero initial condition and in (3.2) we put initial condition $\phi^{o}\left(x, t_{0}\right)=\phi_{0}^{o}(x)$ a function with small norm (1.3). We assume that $\lambda, \alpha_{2}$ and $\xi$ satisfy the conditions (2.5), (2.6), (2.7). Given a function $\phi^{i}$ with $\left\|\phi^{i}\right\|_{* i, 1,2, \sigma}$ small we solve (3.2) using Theorem 3 and the Banach fixed point theorem, and we find a solution $\phi^{o}$ with the estimate

$$
\left\|\phi^{o}\right\|_{* o, 2,2,0} \lesssim\left\|\phi^{i}\right\|_{* i, 1,2, \sigma}+\left\|\phi_{0}^{o}\right\|_{* \sigma}
$$

Here we have used in a crucial manner the fact that

$$
\phi_{0}^{o}(x)=O\left(|x|^{-4-\sigma}\right) \quad \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty
$$

since from here and Duhamel's formula we find that $\phi^{o}(x, t)=O\left(t^{-2-\frac{\sigma}{2}}\right)$.
It is straightforward to check that $\phi^{o}, \psi^{o}$ are Lipschitz functions of $\phi^{i}$. Inserting these functions in (3.3) we solve now for $\phi^{i}$, having $\lambda, \alpha_{2}$ and $\xi$ as given parameters. The functions $c_{j}(t)$ in (3.3) are chosen such that $H\left(\phi^{o}, \psi^{o}, \phi^{o 1}, \psi^{o 1}\right)$ satisfies the four conditions in Theorem 2. Using that theorem and the Banach fixed point theorem, we find that (3.3) has a solution $\phi^{i}$ with $\left\|\phi^{i}\right\|_{* i, 1,2, \sigma}$ small. To find a solution to the problem we need the following conditions to be satisfied

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda^{2} \dot{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} U_{0} \chi d y= & \alpha(\alpha-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left[U_{0}^{2}-\nabla U_{0} \nabla V_{0}\right] \chi d y-\alpha \lambda \dot{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left[2 U_{0}+y \nabla U_{0}\right] d y \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} H\left(\phi^{o}, \psi^{o}, \phi^{o 1}, \psi^{o 1}, \lambda, \alpha_{2}, \xi\right) d y  \tag{3.7}\\
\alpha \lambda \dot{\lambda}= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} H\left(\phi^{o}, \psi^{o}, \phi^{o 1}, \psi^{o 1}, \lambda, \alpha_{2}, \xi\right)|y|^{2} d y \\
& -\alpha(\alpha-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left[U_{0}^{2}-\nabla U_{0} \nabla V_{0}\right]|y|^{2} d y \\
& -\lambda^{2} \dot{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} U_{0} \chi|y|^{2} d y  \tag{3.8}\\
\alpha \lambda \dot{\xi}= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} H\left(\phi^{o}, \psi^{o}, \phi^{o 1}, \psi^{o 1}, \lambda, \alpha_{2}, \xi\right) y d y \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $H$ is given by (3.4). At main order, after some computation we find the equation

$$
-\lambda^{2}\left(\dot{\alpha}_{1}+\dot{\alpha}_{2}\right) 8 \pi+2 \lambda^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} U_{0} \phi^{o 1}-\lambda^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \nabla U_{0} \cdot \nabla \psi^{o 1}=\frac{1}{t^{2} \log ^{3} t} \mathcal{N}_{1}\left(\dot{\lambda}, \dot{\alpha}_{2}, \dot{\xi}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ is a uniformly bounded operator, Lipschitz in its arguments for their associated topologies in $(2.5),(2.6),(2.7)$. After an integration by parts, and integrating in time from $t$ to $+\infty$, and using the definition of $\alpha_{1}$ in (2.3) we find that at main order

$$
\alpha_{2}(t)+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{t}(I-a)+\frac{1}{t \log ^{2} t} \mathcal{N}_{2}\left(\dot{\lambda}, \dot{\alpha}_{2}, \dot{\xi}\right)=0
$$

where $I$ and $a$ are the numbers introduced in (2.9) and (2.4).
Computing the explicitly constant $I-a$ and replacing this in (3.8) gives at main order

$$
\lambda \dot{\lambda}=-c_{1} \frac{\lambda^{4}}{t}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log t}\right)\right)
$$

for some positive constant $c_{1}$, and solving for $\lambda$ gives the desired rate after an application of contraction mapping principle to system (3.7), (3.8), (3.9). The equations for $\lambda$ and $\xi$ is have to be solved by fixing their initial conditions independently of $\phi_{0}^{o}$. The fact that perturbative initial condition $\phi_{0}^{o}$ was arbitrary gives the stability of the blow-up, since if we assume $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi_{0}^{o}=0$ then necessarily $\alpha_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ which precisely amounts to the mass of the full initial condition to be exactly $8 \pi$. We check that for the topology we are using in the initial conditions we can represent an arbitrary perturbation in this form. This concludes the proof.

## 4. Preliminaries for the linear theory

4.1. The Liouville equation. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{0}(y) & =\frac{8}{\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{2}}  \tag{4.1}\\
V_{0}(y) & =\log \frac{8}{\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

with $y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and note that

$$
\Delta V_{0}+e^{V_{0}}=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

The linearization around of $V_{0}$ is given by the operator

$$
\psi \mapsto \Delta \psi+U_{0} \psi
$$

and we note that the following explicit functions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{0}(y)=\nabla V_{0}(y) \cdot y+2 \\
z_{j}(y)=\partial_{y_{j}} V_{0}(y), \quad j=1,2
\end{array}\right.
$$

are elements in the kernel of $\Delta+U_{0}$. These are the only bounded elements in the kernel.
4.2. Stereographic projection. Let $\Pi: S^{2} \backslash\{(0,0,1)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ denote the stereographic projection

$$
\Pi\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)=\left(\frac{y_{1}}{1-y_{3}}, \frac{y_{2}}{1-y_{3}}\right)
$$

For $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we write

$$
\tilde{\varphi}=\varphi \circ \Pi, \quad \tilde{\varphi}: S^{2} \backslash\{(0,01)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

Let $U_{0}$ be given by (4.1). Then we have the following formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{S^{2}} \tilde{\varphi} & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \varphi U_{0} \\
\int_{S^{2}} \tilde{U}_{0}\left|\nabla_{S^{2}} \tilde{\varphi}\right|^{2} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} U_{0}\left|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \varphi\right|^{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} \tilde{U}_{0} \Delta_{S^{2}} \tilde{\varphi} & =\left(\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \varphi\right) \circ \Pi
\end{aligned}
$$

The linearized Liouville equation for $\phi, f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$
\Delta \phi+U_{0} \phi+U_{0} f=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

is transformed into

$$
\Delta_{S^{2}} \tilde{\phi}+2 \tilde{\phi}+2 \tilde{f}=0 \quad \text { in } S^{2} \backslash\{(0,0,1)\}
$$

### 4.3. A quadratic form.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$
|\phi(y)| \leq \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^{2+\sigma}}
$$

with $0<\sigma<1$, and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi d y=0
$$

There are constants $c_{1}>0, c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
c_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} U_{0} g^{2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi g \leq c_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} U_{0} g^{2}
$$

where

$$
g=\frac{\phi}{U_{0}}-\left(-\Delta^{-1}\right) \phi+c
$$

and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is chosen so that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} g U_{0}=0
$$

Proof. Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi=0$ there is a unique bounded $\psi$ such that

$$
-\Delta \psi=\phi \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

and this is the function denoted by $\psi=(-\Delta)^{-1} \phi$. Moreover

$$
|\psi(y)|+(1+|y|)|\nabla \psi(y)| \lesssim \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^{\sigma}}
$$

Since

$$
g=\frac{\phi}{U_{0}}-\psi+c
$$

we find the estimate

$$
|g(y)| \lesssim(1+|y|)^{2-\sigma}
$$

Note that

$$
-\Delta \psi-U_{0} \psi=U_{0} g \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

We transform $\tilde{g}=g \circ \Pi, \tilde{\psi}=\psi \circ \Pi$ and write this equation in $S^{2}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{S^{2}} \tilde{\psi}-2 \tilde{\psi}=2 \tilde{g}, \quad \text { in } S^{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\phi=U_{0}(g+\psi-c)$ we get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi g=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} U_{0}(g+\psi) g=\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{2}} \tilde{g}^{2}+\tilde{\psi} \tilde{g}
$$

Multiplying (4.2) by $\tilde{\psi}$ we find that

$$
\int_{S^{2}} \tilde{g} \tilde{\psi}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{2}}\left|\nabla_{S^{2}} \tilde{\psi}\right|^{2}-\int_{S^{2}} \tilde{\psi}^{2}
$$

and hence

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi g=\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{2}} \tilde{g}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \int_{S^{2}}\left|\nabla_{S^{2}} \tilde{\psi}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{2}} \tilde{\psi}^{2}
$$

We recall that the eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ on $S^{2}$ are given by $\{k(k+1) \mid k \geq 0\}$. The eigenvalue 0 has a constant eigenfunction and the eigenvalue 2 has eigenspace
spanned by the coordinate functions $\pi_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=x_{i}$, for $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in S^{2}$ and $i=1,2,3$. Let $\left(\lambda_{j}\right)_{j \geq 0}$ denote all eigenvalues, repeated according to multiplicity, with $\lambda_{0}=0, \lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{3}=2$, and let $\left(e_{j}\right)_{j \geq 0}$ denote the corresponding eigenfunctions so that they form an orthonormal system in $L^{2}\left(S^{2}\right)$, and $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ are multiples of the coordinate functions $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \pi_{3}$. We decompose $\tilde{\psi}$ and $\tilde{g}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\psi}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\psi}_{j} e_{j}, \quad \tilde{g}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{g}_{j} e_{j} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{\psi}_{j}=\left\langle\tilde{\psi}, e_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(S^{2}\right)}, \quad \tilde{g}_{j}=\left\langle\tilde{g}, e_{j}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(S^{2}\right)}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi g & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{2}} \tilde{g}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(\lambda_{j}-2\right) \tilde{\psi}_{j}^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{2}} \tilde{g}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi}_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=4}^{\infty}\left(\lambda_{j}-2\right) \tilde{\psi}_{j}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (4.2) gives us that

$$
\tilde{\psi}_{j}=\frac{2}{\lambda_{j}-2} \tilde{g}_{j}, \quad j \notin\{1,2,3\}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi g & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{2}} \tilde{g}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}_{0}^{2}+\sum_{j=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}-2} \tilde{g}_{j}^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{g}_{j}^{2}+\sum_{j=4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}-2} \tilde{g}_{j}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

But $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} g U_{0}=0$ which means that $\tilde{g}_{0}=0$ and hence we obtain the conclusion.
Lemma 4.2. Suppose that $\phi=\phi(y, t), y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, t>0$ is a function satisfying

$$
|\phi(y, t)| \leq \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^{2+\sigma}}
$$

with $0<\sigma<1$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi(y, t) d y=0, \quad \forall t>0
$$

and that $\phi$ is differentiable with respect to $t$ and $\phi_{t}$ satisfies also

$$
\left|\phi_{t}(y, t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^{2+\sigma}}
$$

Then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi_{t} g=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi g
$$

where for each $t, g(y, t)$ is defined as

$$
g=\frac{\phi}{U_{0}}-\left(-\Delta^{-1}\right) \phi+c(t)
$$

and $c(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ is chosen so that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} g(y, t) U_{0}(y) d y=0
$$

Proof. Using the notation of the previous lemma, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi_{t} g=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} U_{0}\left(g_{t}+\psi_{t}\right) g=\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{2}}\left(\tilde{g}_{t} \tilde{g}+\tilde{\psi}_{t} \tilde{g}\right)
$$

We have

$$
-\Delta_{S^{2}} \tilde{\psi}-2 \tilde{\psi}=2 \tilde{g}, \quad \text { in } S^{2}
$$

And differentiating in $t$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{S^{2}} \tilde{\psi}_{t}-2 \tilde{\psi}_{t}=2 \tilde{g}_{t}, \quad \text { in } S^{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying by $\tilde{g}$ and integrating we find that

$$
\int_{S^{2}} \tilde{\psi}_{t} \tilde{g}=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{2}} \Delta \tilde{\psi}_{t} \tilde{g}-\int_{S^{2}} \tilde{g}_{t} \tilde{g}
$$

Thus

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi_{t} g=-\frac{1}{4} \int_{S^{2}} \Delta \tilde{\psi}_{t} \tilde{g}
$$

Decompose as in (4.3) and find that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi_{t} g=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}\left(\tilde{\psi}_{j}\right)_{t} \tilde{g}_{j}
$$

But from (4.4)

$$
\left(\lambda_{j}-2\right)\left(\tilde{\psi}_{j}\right)_{t}=2\left(\tilde{g}_{j}\right)_{t}
$$

If

$$
\tilde{g}_{j}=0, \quad j=0,1,2,3
$$

then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi_{t} g=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=4}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{j}-2}\left(\tilde{g}_{j}\right)_{t} \tilde{g}_{j}
$$

### 4.4. A Hardy inequality.

Lemma 4.1. There exists $C>0$ such that, for any $R>0$ large and any $\int_{B_{R}} g U_{0}=$ 0

$$
\frac{C}{R^{2}} \int_{B_{R}} g^{2} U_{0} \leq \int_{B_{R}}|\nabla g|^{2} U_{0}
$$

Proof. After a stereographic projection and letting $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{R}, A_{\varepsilon}=B_{1}(0) \backslash B_{\varepsilon}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, we need to prove that for $g \in C^{1}\left(\bar{A}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ with

$$
\int_{A_{\varepsilon}} g d y=0
$$

we have

$$
\int_{A_{\varepsilon}} g^{2} d y \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{A_{\varepsilon}}|\nabla g|^{2}|y|^{4} d y
$$

By using polar coordinates it is sufficient to show this for radial functions, which amounts to the statement: for $g \in C^{1}([\varepsilon, 1])$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{2} x d x=0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{2} x d x \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{\prime}(x)^{2} x^{5} d x
$$

We write

$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{2} x d x=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{2} \frac{d}{d x}\left(x^{2}\right) d x=\frac{g^{2}(1)}{2}-\frac{g^{2}(\varepsilon)}{2} \varepsilon^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g g^{\prime} x^{2} d x
$$

One has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g g^{\prime} x^{2} d x & \leq\left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{\prime 2} x^{5} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{2} x^{-1} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq\left(\varepsilon^{-2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{\prime 2} x^{5} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{2} x d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{\prime 2} x^{5} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{2} x d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $C$. Inserting this inequality in the previous computation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{2} x d x \leq g(1)^{2}-g(\varepsilon)^{2} \varepsilon^{2}+C \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{\prime 2} x^{5} d x \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now use (4.5) in the form

$$
0=\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g(x) x d x=\frac{g(1)}{2}-\frac{g(\varepsilon)}{2} \varepsilon^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{\prime} x^{2} d x
$$

and so

$$
g(1)^{2} \leq 2 g(\varepsilon)^{2} \varepsilon^{4}+2\left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{\prime} x^{2} d x\right)^{2}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{\prime} x^{2} d x & \leq\left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{\prime 2} x^{5} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} x^{-1} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq\left(|\log \varepsilon| \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{\prime 2} x^{5} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We thus get that

$$
g(1)^{2} \leq 2 g(\varepsilon)^{2} \varepsilon^{4}+2|\log \varepsilon| \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{\prime 2} x^{5} d x
$$

and this combined with (4.6) gives

$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{2} x d x \leq g(\varepsilon)^{2}\left(2 \varepsilon^{4}-\varepsilon^{2}\right)+\left(C \varepsilon^{-2}+2|\log \varepsilon|\right) \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} g^{\prime 2} x^{5} d x
$$

For $\varepsilon>0$ small this gives the desired estimate.

## 5. InNER PROBLEM

The relevant linear equation for $\phi^{i}$ appearing in (3.3) is

$$
\lambda^{2} \partial_{t} \phi^{i}=\Delta_{y} \phi^{i}-\nabla_{y} \phi^{i} \nabla_{y} V_{0}-\nabla_{y} \psi^{i} \nabla_{y} U_{0}+2 U_{0} \phi^{i}+h .
$$

We change the time variable

$$
\tau=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}(s)} d s
$$

and note that $\tau \sim t \log t$. Then this equation can be written as

$$
\partial_{\tau} \phi=\nabla \cdot\left[U \nabla\left(\frac{\phi}{U_{0}}-(-\Delta)^{-1} \phi\right)\right]+h, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\left(\tau_{0}, \infty\right)
$$

where

$$
(-\Delta)^{-1} \phi(x, t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \log \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) \phi(y, t) d y
$$

and $\tau_{0}$ is fixed large. We consider it with initial condition

$$
\phi\left(y, \tau_{0}\right) \equiv 0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

We assume that

$$
|h(y, \tau)| \leq \frac{1}{\tau^{\nu} \log ^{\mu} \tau(1+|y|)^{5+\sigma}}
$$

with

$$
0<\nu<3, \quad \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 0<\sigma<1
$$

We define

$$
\|h\|_{i * *, \nu, \mu, \sigma}=\sup \left\{\tau^{\nu} \log ^{\mu} \tau(1+|y|)^{5+\sigma}|h(y, \tau)|\right\}
$$

We also assume that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h(y, \tau) d y & =0  \tag{5.1}\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h(y, \tau)|y|^{2} d y & =0  \tag{5.2}\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h(y, \tau) y_{j} d y & =0, \quad j=1,2 \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\tau>\tau_{0}$.
We have:
Theorem 2. Assume that $h$ satisfies $\|h\|_{i * *, \nu, \mu, \sigma}<\infty$ and the conditions (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3). Then

$$
|\phi(y, \tau)|(1+|y|)|\nabla \phi(y, \tau)| \lesssim\|h\|_{i * *, \nu, \mu, \sigma} \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\tau^{\nu} \log ^{\mu} \tau(1+\rho)^{3+\sigma}} & \rho \leq \sqrt{\tau} \\ \frac{1}{\tau^{\nu-1} \log ^{\mu} \tau(1+\rho)^{5+\sigma}} & \rho \geq \sqrt{\tau}\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
g=\frac{\phi}{U_{0}}-(-\Delta)^{-1} \phi+c(\tau)
$$

where $c(\tau)$ is chosen so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} g U_{0}=0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\partial_{\tau} \phi=\nabla \cdot\left(U_{0} \nabla g\right)+h, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\left(\tau_{0}, \infty\right)
$$

We multiply this equation by $g$ and integrate in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, using Lemma 4.2:

$$
\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi g+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} U_{0}|\nabla g|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h g
$$

We use the inequality in Lemma 4.1 to get

$$
\frac{1}{R_{1}^{2}} \int_{B_{R_{1}}}\left(g-\bar{g}_{R_{1}}\right)^{2} U_{0} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} U_{0}|\nabla g|^{2}
$$

where

$$
\bar{g}_{R_{1}}=\frac{1}{\int_{B_{R_{1}}} U_{0}} \int_{B_{R_{1}}} g U_{0}
$$

Here $R_{1}$ is a large positive constant, to be made precise below.
Then

$$
\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi g+\frac{1}{R_{1}^{2}} \int_{B_{R_{1}}} g^{2} U \leq C R_{1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h^{2} U^{-1}+\frac{1}{2 R_{1}^{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} g^{2} U+C \bar{g}_{R_{1}}^{2}\right)
$$

But by (5.4)

$$
\bar{g}_{R_{1}}=-\frac{1}{\int_{B_{R_{1}}} U_{0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{R_{1}}} g U_{0}
$$

so

$$
\bar{g}_{R_{1}}^{2} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{R_{1}}} g^{2} U_{0}
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi g+\frac{1}{2 R_{1}^{2}} \int_{B_{R_{1}}} g^{2} U_{0} \lesssim R_{1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h^{2} U_{0}^{-1}+\frac{1}{R_{1}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{R_{1}}} g^{2} U_{0}
$$

We now use Lemma 4.1 to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi g+\frac{1}{C} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi g \lesssim R_{1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} h^{2} U_{0}^{-1}+\frac{1}{R_{1}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{R_{1}}} g^{2} U_{0} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
A^{2}=\sup _{\tau \geq \tau_{0}}\left\{\tau^{2 \nu} \log ^{2 \mu} \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{R}} g^{2}(t) U_{0}\right\}
$$

Integrating (5.5) and using Lemma 4.1 we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} g^{2} U_{0} \lesssim \frac{R_{1}^{4}\|h\|_{i * *, \nu, \mu, \sigma}^{2}}{\tau^{2 \nu} \log ^{2 \mu} \tau}+\frac{A^{2}}{\tau^{2 \nu} \log ^{2 \mu} \tau} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us use the notation

$$
\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(U_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} g^{2} U_{0}
$$

and we record the estimate (5.6) as

$$
\|g(\tau)\|_{L^{2}\left(U_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)} \lesssim \frac{R_{1}^{2}\|h\|_{i * *, \nu, \mu, \sigma}}{\tau^{\nu} \log ^{\mu} \tau}+\frac{A}{\tau^{\nu} \log ^{\mu} \tau}
$$

The idea now is to obtain decay of $g$, and then show that $A$ can be eliminated from the estimate (5.6).

Let us rewrite the equation for $\tilde{g}=U_{0} g$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{\tau} \tilde{g}=U_{0} \partial_{\tau} g & =\partial_{\tau} \phi+U_{0} \Delta^{-1} \partial_{\tau} \phi \\
& =\nabla \cdot\left(U_{0} \nabla g\right)+h-U_{0}(-\Delta)^{-1}\left[\nabla \cdot\left(U_{0} \nabla g\right)+h\right] \\
& =\nabla \cdot\left[U_{0} \nabla\left(\frac{\tilde{g}}{U_{0}}\right)\right]+h-U_{0} v \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
v:=(-\Delta)^{-1}\left[\nabla \cdot\left(U_{0} \nabla g\right)+h\right]
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|v(y)| \lesssim \frac{1}{1+|y|^{2-\varepsilon}}\left[\frac{R_{1}^{2}\|h\|_{i * *, \nu, \mu, \sigma}}{\tau^{\nu} \log ^{\mu} \tau}+\frac{A}{t^{\nu} \log ^{\mu} \tau}\right] \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\varepsilon>0$.
This can be proved by noticing that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \cdot\left(U_{0} \nabla g\right) & =\Delta g U_{0}+\nabla U_{0} \cdot \nabla g \\
& =\Delta\left(g U_{0}\right)-\nabla U_{0} \cdot \nabla g-g \Delta U_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
v=-g U_{0}-(-\Delta)^{-1}\left[\nabla U_{0} \cdot \nabla g+g \Delta U_{0} .\right]
$$

Thus we can decompose

$$
v=v_{1}+v_{2}+v_{3}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}=-g U_{0} \\
& v_{2}=-(-\Delta)^{-1}\left[\nabla U_{0} \cdot \nabla g\right] \\
& v_{3}=-(-\Delta)^{-1}\left[g \Delta U_{0}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we solve the equations for $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ in the sphere, using the stereographic projection and the basic estimate

$$
\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{1}(y)\right)} \lesssim(1+|y|)^{2}\|g(\tau)\|_{L^{2}\left(U_{0}^{1 / 2}\right)} \lesssim(1+|y|)^{2}\left[\frac{R^{2}\|h\|_{i * *, \nu, \mu, \sigma}}{\tau^{\nu} \log ^{\mu} \tau}+\frac{A}{\tau^{\nu} \log ^{\mu} \tau}\right]
$$

for any $y$. From this estimate and equation (5.7) we get

$$
|g(y, t)| \lesssim(1+|y|)^{2} \frac{R^{2}\|h\|_{i * *, \nu, \mu, \sigma}+A}{\tau^{\nu} \log ^{\mu} \tau}
$$

Using again standard elliptic estimate we deduce (5.8).
Now we choose a large constant $R_{0}$ so that we can use the maximum principle for the parabolic operator $\partial_{\tau} f-\nabla \cdot\left[U_{0} \nabla\left(\frac{f}{U_{0}}\right)\right]$ is valid outside the ball $B_{R_{0}}(0)$. Indeed, we have

$$
\nabla \cdot\left[U_{0} \nabla\left(\frac{f}{U_{0}}\right)\right]=\Delta f-\nabla V_{0} \nabla f+U_{0} f=\partial_{\rho \rho} f+\frac{5}{\rho} \partial_{\rho} f+\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \partial_{\theta \theta} f+D f
$$

where $D f=O\left(\frac{1}{\rho^{3}}\right) \partial_{\rho} f+O\left(\frac{1}{\rho^{4}}\right) f$ represent lower order terms. Using the maximum principle and an appropriate barrier in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{R_{0}}$, as constructed in Theorem 3 below, we get that

$$
|\tilde{g}(y, \tau)| \lesssim \frac{R_{1}^{2}\|h\|_{i * *, \nu, \mu, \sigma}+A}{\tau^{\nu} \log ^{\mu} \tau(1+|y|)^{3+\sigma}}, \quad|y| \leq \sqrt{\tau}
$$

and

$$
|\tilde{g}(y, \tau)| \lesssim \frac{R^{2}\|h\|_{i * *, \nu, \mu, \sigma}+A}{\tau^{\nu-1} \log ^{\mu} \tau(1+|y|)^{5+\sigma}}, \quad|y| \geq \sqrt{\tau}
$$

We use this estimate to compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{R_{1}}} g^{2} U & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{R_{1}}} \tilde{g}^{2} U^{-1} \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{R_{1}^{2 \sigma}} \frac{R_{1}^{4}\|h\|_{i * *, \nu, \mu, \sigma}^{2}+A^{2}}{\tau^{2 \nu} \log ^{2 \mu} \tau}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
A^{2} \leq C \frac{1}{R_{1}^{2 \sigma}}\left(R_{1}^{4}\|h\|_{i * *, \nu, \mu, \sigma}^{2}+A^{2}\right)
$$

where $C$ is a constant from previous inequalities, which is independent of $R_{1}$. Choosing a fixed $R_{1}$ large then implies that

$$
A^{2} \lesssim R_{1}^{4-2 \sigma}\|h\|_{i * *, \nu, \mu, \sigma}^{2}
$$

We then conclude that

$$
|\tilde{g}(y, t)| \lesssim\|h\|_{i * *, \nu, \mu, \sigma} \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\tau^{\nu} \log ^{\mu} \tau(1+|y|)^{3+\sigma}}, & |y| \leq \sqrt{\tau} \\ \frac{1}{\tau^{\nu-1} \log ^{\mu} \tau(1+|y|)^{5+\sigma}}, & |y| \geq \sqrt{\tau}\end{cases}
$$

From parabolic estimates we also find

$$
|\nabla \tilde{g}(y, t)| \lesssim\|h\|_{i * *, \nu, \mu, \sigma} \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\tau^{\nu} \log ^{\mu} \tau(1+|y|)^{4+\sigma}}, & |y| \leq \sqrt{\tau}  \tag{5.9}\\ \frac{1}{\tau^{\nu-1} \log ^{\mu} \tau(1+|y|)^{6+\sigma}}, & |y| \geq \sqrt{\tau}\end{cases}
$$

Now we estimate $\phi$. We decompose

$$
\phi=\phi^{\perp}+\omega(\tau) Z_{0}
$$

where $Z_{0}$ is defined in (3.6). We then have

$$
g=\frac{\phi^{\perp}}{U_{0}}-\left(\Delta^{-1}\right) \phi^{\perp}+c(t)
$$

We let $\psi=\left(-\Delta^{-1}\right) \phi^{\perp}$ and see that

$$
g U=\Delta \psi+U_{0} \psi
$$

Integrating the equation times $|y|^{2}$ we get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi(y, \tau)|y|^{2} d y=0, \quad \forall \tau>\tau_{0}
$$

and this is equivalent to

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} g Z_{0}=0, \quad \forall \tau>\tau_{0}
$$

where $Z_{0}$ is defined in (3.6). We then can solve the equation for $\psi$ and find

$$
|\psi(y, \tau)| \lesssim\|h\|_{i * *, \nu, \mu, \sigma} \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\tau^{\nu} \log ^{\mu} \tau(1+|y|)^{1+\sigma}}, & |y| \leq \sqrt{\tau} \\ \frac{1}{\tau^{\nu-1} \log ^{\mu} \tau(1+|y|)^{3+\sigma}}, & |y| \geq \sqrt{\tau}\end{cases}
$$

Since

$$
\phi^{\perp}=U_{0}(g-\psi)
$$

we find that

$$
\left|\phi^{\perp}(y, \tau)\right| \lesssim\|h\|_{i * *, \nu, \mu, \sigma} \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\tau^{\nu} \log ^{\mu} \tau(1+|y|)^{3+\sigma}}, & |y| \leq \sqrt{\tau}  \tag{5.10}\\ \frac{1}{\tau^{\nu-1} \log ^{\mu} \tau(1+|y|)^{5+\sigma}}, & |y| \geq \sqrt{\tau}\end{cases}
$$

Finally we estimate $\omega(\tau)$. We have

$$
\partial_{\tau} \phi^{\perp}+\omega_{\tau} z=L \phi+h
$$

We multiply by $|y|^{2}$ and integrate in $B_{R_{2}}$ where $R_{2} \rightarrow \infty$ and in a time interval $\left[\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right]$. We get

$$
\int_{B_{R_{2}}}\left[\phi\left(\tau_{2}\right)^{\perp}-\phi\left(\tau_{1}\right)^{\perp}\right]|y|^{2} d y+\left(\omega\left(\tau_{2}\right)-\omega\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right) \int_{B_{R_{2}}} Z_{0}|y|^{2} d y=\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} \int_{B_{R_{2}}} L \phi|y|^{2} d y d \tau
$$

Let us observe that if $R_{2} \geq \sqrt{\tau}$ then

$$
\int_{B_{R_{2}}}\left|\phi(y, \tau)^{\perp}\right||y|^{2} d y \lesssim \frac{1}{\tau^{\nu-1} \log ^{\mu} \tau R_{2}^{1+\sigma}}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\int_{B_{R_{2}}} L \phi|y|^{2} d y=\int_{B_{R_{2}}} g Z_{0} d y+\int_{\partial B_{R_{2}}} U_{0}|y|^{2} \nabla g \cdot \nu-\int_{\partial B_{R_{2}}} g U_{0} y \cdot \nu
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\int_{B_{R_{2}}} g Z_{0} d y\right| \leq \int_{B_{R_{2}}}|\tilde{g}| d y \lesssim \frac{1}{\tau^{\nu} \log ^{\mu} \tau} \\
\left.\left|\int_{\partial B_{R_{2}}} U_{0}\right| y\right|^{2} \nabla g \cdot \nu\left|+\left|\int_{\partial B_{R_{2}}} g U_{0} y \cdot \nu\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\tau^{\nu} \log ^{\mu} \tau R_{2}^{1+\sigma}} .\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Since

$$
\int_{B_{R_{2}}} Z_{0}|y|^{2} d y \sim \log R_{2}
$$

letting $R_{2} \rightarrow \infty$ we find that $\omega\left(\tau_{2}\right)=\omega\left(\tau_{1}\right)$. Hence $\omega \equiv$ const and since we start with $\omega(0)=0$ we deduce $\omega \equiv 0$. Hence the estimate (5.10) gives the desired estimate for $\phi$. The estimate for the gradient of $\phi$ comes from the corresponding estimate for the gradient of $\phi^{\perp}$, which is obtained similarly from (5.9).

## 6. OUTER PROBLEM

Theorem 3. Consider

$$
\partial_{t} \phi^{o}=L_{o u t} \phi^{o}+h,
$$

where

$$
|h(x, t)| \leq \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{t^{a} \log ^{\mu} t\left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{\lambda}+1\right)^{b}}
$$

If $2<b<6$ and $1<a<3$, then

$$
\left|\phi^{o}(x, t)\right| \lesssim \min \left(\frac{1}{t^{a} \log ^{\mu} t\left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{\lambda}+1\right)^{b-2}}, \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{t^{a-1} \log ^{\mu} t\left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{\lambda}+1\right)^{b}}\right)
$$

Proof. We solve

$$
\partial_{t} \varphi=\partial_{\rho \rho} \varphi+\frac{5}{\rho} \partial_{\rho} \varphi+\bar{h}(\rho, t)
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho & =\sqrt{r^{2}+\lambda^{2}} \\
\tilde{\varphi}(r, t) & =\varphi\left(\sqrt{r^{2}+\lambda^{2}}, t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} \tilde{\varphi} & =\partial_{t} \varphi+\partial_{\rho} \varphi \frac{\lambda \dot{\lambda}}{\sqrt{r^{2}+\lambda^{2}}} \\
\partial_{r} \tilde{\varphi} & =\partial_{\rho} \varphi \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^{2}+\lambda^{2}}} \\
\partial_{r r} \tilde{\varphi} & =\partial_{\rho \rho} \varphi \frac{r^{2}}{r^{2}+\lambda^{2}}+\partial_{\rho} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^{2}+\lambda^{2}}}-\frac{r^{2}}{\left(r^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \\
& =\partial_{\rho \rho} \varphi \frac{r^{2}}{r^{2}+\lambda^{2}}+\partial_{\rho} \varphi \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\left(r^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
- & \partial_{t} \tilde{\varphi}+\partial_{r r} \tilde{\varphi}+\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{4 r}{r^{2}+\lambda^{2}}\right) \partial_{r} \tilde{\varphi} \\
= & -\partial_{t} \varphi-\partial_{\rho} \varphi \frac{\lambda \dot{\lambda}}{\sqrt{r^{2}+\lambda^{2}}}+\partial_{\rho \rho} \varphi \frac{r^{2}}{r^{2}+\lambda^{2}}+\partial_{\rho} \varphi \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\left(r^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
& +\partial_{\rho} \varphi \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^{2}+\lambda^{2}}}\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{4 r}{r^{2}+\lambda^{2}}\right) \\
= & -\partial_{t} \varphi+\partial_{\rho \rho} \varphi+\frac{5}{\rho} \partial_{\rho} \varphi-\partial_{\rho \rho} \varphi \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\rho^{2}}+\partial_{\rho} \varphi\left(-\frac{\lambda \dot{\lambda}}{\rho}+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\rho^{3}}+\frac{1}{\rho}+\frac{4 r^{2}}{\rho^{3}}-\frac{5}{\rho}\right) \\
= & -\partial_{t} \varphi+\partial_{\rho \rho} \varphi+\frac{5}{\rho} \partial_{\rho} \varphi-\partial_{\rho \rho} \varphi \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\rho^{2}}+\partial_{\rho} \varphi\left(-\frac{\lambda \dot{\lambda}}{\rho}-3 \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\rho^{3}}\right) \\
= & \bar{h}(\rho, t)-\partial_{\rho \rho} \varphi \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\rho^{2}}+\partial_{\rho} \varphi\left(-\frac{\lambda \dot{\lambda}}{\rho}-3 \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\rho^{3}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\tilde{\varphi}$ serves as a supersolution. Then we take a cut-off function $\eta(x, t)=$ $\chi_{0}\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$. Using an appropriate self-similar solution $\psi$ we find a supersolution for the problem of the form $\eta \tilde{\varphi}+\psi$.
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