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ABSTRACT: Methyl bromide (CH₃Br) and methyl chloride (CH₃Cl) significantly contribute to stratospheric ozone depletion. The atmospheric budgets of both compounds are unbalanced with known degradation processes outweighing known emissions. Stable isotope analysis may be capable to identify and quantify emissions and to achieve a balanced budget. Degradation processes do, however, cause isotope fractionation in methyl halides after emission and hence knowledge about these processes is a crucial prerequisite for any isotopic mass balance approach. In the current study, triple-element isotope analysis (²H, ¹³C, ³⁷Cl/⁸¹Br) was applied to investigate the two main abiotic degradation processes of methyl halides (CH₃X) in fresh and seawater: hydrolysis and halide exchange. For CH₃Br, nucleophilic attack by both H₂O and Cl⁻ caused significant primary carbon and bromine isotope effects accompanied by a secondary inverse hydrogen isotope effect. For CH₃Cl only nucleophilic substitution by H₂O was observed at significant rates causing large primary carbon and chlorine isotope effects and a secondary inverse hydrogen isotope effect. Observed dual-element isotope ratios differed slightly from literature values for microbial degradation in water and hugely from radical reactions in the troposphere. This bodes well for successfully distinguishing and quantifying degradation processes in atmospheric methyl halides using triple-element isotope analysis.

INTRODUCTION

Methyl chloride (CH₃Cl, chloromethane) and methyl bromide (CH₃Br, bromomethane) together contribute about 30% to halogen induced ozone loss even though atmospheric concentrations are very low: 540 pptv and 7 pptv, respectively.¹ CH₃Cl and CH₃Br are emitted by both anthropogenic and natural sources such as fumigation for quarantine and preshipment treatment (for CH₃Br),³ marine macroalgae,⁴ salt marshes,⁵ soils,⁶ biomass burning,⁷ and tropical plants.⁸ Main degradation processes for both of these compounds are reaction with OH and Cl radicals in the troposphere,¹ degradation in oceans⁹ and soils.¹⁰ The atmospheric budgets of both compounds are unbalanced with known degradation processes exceeding the best estimates of known emissions by approximately 20% for CH₃Cl and 30% for CH₃Br.¹¹¹² A better understanding of emission and degradation processes will be necessary in order to better quantify emission and degradation of CH₃X and to improve budget estimates.

Previous studies suggested that degradation in oceans is primarily driven by the abiotic processes hydrolysis and halide exchange as well as microbial degradation.⁹¹²¹³ To a minor extent, hydrolysis may also contribute to degradation of CH₃Br in soils.¹⁴ Hydrolysis and halide exchange of CH₃X (CH₃Cl and CH₃Br) are both nucleophilic substitution reactions (SN2) following second order reaction kinetics. The attacking nucleophiles are either water (H₂O), hydroxide ions (OH⁻), or halide ions such as Cl⁻ and Br⁻ (Y⁻):¹⁵¹⁶

\[
\begin{align*}
CH₃X + H₂O & → CH₃OH + H^+ + X^- \quad (r1) \\
CH₃X + OH^- & → CH₃OH + X^- \quad (r2) \\
CH₃X + Y^- & → CH₃Y + X^- \quad (r3)
\end{align*}
\]

In principle, hydrolysis of chlorinated aliphatic compounds may occur due to neutral (R1) and/or alkaline hydrolysis (R2) depending on the pH and the reacting organic compound. For instance, solely neutral hydrolysis (R1) was detected for CCl₃ whereas some chlorinated ethenes only reacted with hydroxide ions (R2).¹⁷ For CH₃X it was shown that alkaline hydrolysis required a hydroxide concentration of more than 0.1 mol L⁻¹ (pH > 13) and hence only neutral hydrolysis is considered to be relevant in most environments.¹⁸ Consequently, reactions R1 and R3 were suggested to constitute important degradation...
processes for methyl bromide in the environment.\textsuperscript{19–21} For methyl chloride, microbial degradation seems to be more important, especially in subarctic and arctic ocean waters due to slow degradation rates of abiotic processes.\textsuperscript{9} Still, the overall importance of these reactions compared to microbial degradation of methyl halides in oceans and soils is generally not well understood.

Stable isotope analysis was suggested as a diagnostic tool to overcome the limitations of solely quantifying concentration levels of these compounds.\textsuperscript{22} Several studies measured the carbon isotope composition of various sources and degradation processes and an overview of these isotopic signatures was published for CH\textsubscript{3}Br\textsuperscript{23} and CH\textsubscript{3}Cl,\textsuperscript{24} respectively. Isotopic source signatures and existing isotopic enrichment factors for degradation processes were used to calculate an isotopic mass balance which was compared to the measured isotopic composition of tropospheric CH\textsubscript{3}X. Both studies revealed that the modeled atmospheric isotopic composition differed considerably from the measured isotopic composition. This discrepancy might be due to several reasons. Unknown sources and their isotopic composition could not be included in the models and also enrichment factors for degradation processes were partly not considered or known. In order to improve future atmospheric budget estimates of CH\textsubscript{3}X both emissions and degradation processes need to be characterized more precisely.

In the current study we focused on isotopically characterizing the major abiotic degradation processes in oceans. Isotopic enrichment factors (ε) for the isotopes of all three elements in each compound were measured for the two nucleophilic substitution reactions (SN\textsubscript{2}) hydrolysis and halide exchange. Significant improvements may be expected if the isotopic compositions of several elements are measured in one compound. Such multielement isotopic approaches have recently become available with improved measurement techniques and were successfully applied to describe, for instance, the fate of organic contaminants in groundwater.\textsuperscript{25,26} For CH\textsubscript{3}Cl, hydrogen isotope measurements were presented recently,\textsuperscript{27–29} and bromine isotope analysis was demonstrated in two studies for CH\textsubscript{3}Br\textsuperscript{30,31} but overall no multielement isotope studies have been published yet for methyl halides. Here we determined the isotope fractionation caused by the two SN\textsubscript{2} reactions for all available stable isotopes in each compound; that is, hydrogen and carbon isotopes in both compounds and chlorine as well as bromine isotopes for methyl chloride and methyl bromide, respectively. To our knowledge, the presented data are the first three-dimensional isotope measurements for each of these substances. The results are compared to isotope fractionation pattern of other potentially important processes and implications toward a future use of multidimensional isotope studies of methyl halides are discussed.

\section*{MATERIALS AND METHODS}

\textbf{Chemicals.} Methyl chloride and methyl bromide were purchased as compressed gases and with a purity of more than 99.9%. Methyl chloride was obtained from Linde (Germany) whereas methyl bromide was purchased from Gerling, Holtz & Co (Germany). A commercially available sea salt without any additives (Aqua sale, Heilbronn, Germany, major ion composition given in Supporting Information Table S1) was purchased to prepare brines with a concentration of 35 g kg\textsuperscript{-1} (psu) which is similar to the average salt content of seawater.

\textbf{Preparation of Samples and Experiments.} Stock solutions with a concentration of 10 mmol L\textsuperscript{-1} CH\textsubscript{3}Br and CH\textsubscript{3}Cl were prepared for hydrolysis experiments and 5 mmol L\textsuperscript{-1} CH\textsubscript{3}X for halide exchange experiments by injecting the corresponding amount of gas into the headspace of a 1L crimp-sealed glass bottle filled with distilled and brine (3.5%), respectively. Additionally, a stock solution of 0.2 mmol L\textsuperscript{-1} CH\textsubscript{3}Br was prepared to carry out a hydrolysis experiment at a lower concentration. The brine was prepared by mixing distilled water with the sea salt and boiling this solution for 10 min. All experiments were carried out in unbuffered solution (see also Results and Discussion for further explanations). After preparation, stock solutions were shaken overnight for equilibration before further usage. For each experiment, 6–10 septum bottles (60 mL) were filled with 40 mL of solution, crimp-sealed and all bottles shaken for at least 3 h. Then, the starting concentration was determined by injecting aliquots of the headspace of all samples. At least three standards were analyzed to quantify the sample concentrations via a three-point calibration (Supporting Information 3). To avoid gas-leakage through the pinched septa, the sample bottles were kept upside-down throughout the entire experiment. Furthermore, samples were kept at a dark place maintaining a temperature of 23 ± 1 °C. Sampling of the bottles took place in different time intervals. For methyl bromide, sampling occurred every 3–5 days whereas for methyl chloride up to two months passed before another sample was collected. After sampling, each bottle was frozen to −18 °C for conservation and stored until the end of the experiment at this temperature. Before analysis, all samples of one experiment were heated simultaneously to 25 °C in a water bath and subsequently shaken for 2 h to ensure equal treatment of all samples and complete equilibration in the sample bottles.

\textbf{Stable Isotope Analysis of Carbon, Hydrogen, Chlorine, and Bromine.} Stable isotope analysis was carried out by injecting aliquots of the headspace gas (50–1000 µL depending on concentration and element) into the injector (split mode) of the gas chromatographic systems (GC) using a gas-tight syringe with push-button valve (VICI Precision Sampling). The GC was either connected to gas source isotope ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS) for hydrogen and carbon isotope analysis or to multiple collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (MC-ICPMS) for chlorine and bromine isotope analyses. The analytical procedures followed closely the methods described and published in previous studies for carbon,\textsuperscript{32} hydrogen,\textsuperscript{33} chlorine,\textsuperscript{34,35} and bromine\textsuperscript{36} isotopes. Descriptions of the methods are provided for each method in the Supporting Information 1, including also a cross calibration for bromine isotopes following previously published protocols\textsuperscript{37,38} (Supporting Information 2).

Results from isotopic measurements are reported in delta values (δ) for all isotopes. Delta values are calculated according to the following expression:\textsuperscript{39}

$$
\delta E(U) = \frac{(R)_{\text{sample}}}{(R)_{\text{standard}}} - 1
$$

Here, \( ^1\text{H} \), \(^{13}\text{C} \), \(^{37}\text{Cl} \), and \(^{81}\text{Br} \) and \( R \) is the isotopic ratio \(^2\text{H}/^{1}\text{H} \), \(^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C} \), \(^{37}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl} \), and \(^{81}\text{Br}/^{80}\text{Br} \) for hydrogen, carbon, chlorine, and bromine, respectively. The
delta values are given in Ur (urey) according to recent IUPAC recommendations. Urey, if expressed in milli-urey (mUr), and the more commonly used permil scale (‰) are interchangeable: 1 mUr = 0.001 = 1‰, but Ur is, in contrast to permil a SI unit and hence common SI prefixes such as milli- and micro- become available. Other terms for the expression of isotopic ratios such as ppm and permeg may also be reported in Ur. Thus, the unit urey provides a single unified approach for the expression of all stable isotope ratios. The overall uncertainties of the analytical procedures, including reproduci-
bility, linearity, and scale normalization are usually better than 5 mUr (hydrogen), 0.5 mUr (carbon), 0.2 mUr (chlorine), and 0.1 mUr (bromine).

**Enrichment factors and dual element isotope ratios.** The isotopic enrichment factor describes the change of the isotopic composition between the substrate and the instantaneous product caused by a reaction or a process. It further characterizes the constant change of the isotopic composition of the substrate reservoir due to the preferential loss of heavy or light isotopes during a reaction or process. In the current study, isotopic enrichment factors ($\varepsilon_i$) for CH$_3$Cl and CH$_3$Br were determined by using the Rayleigh equation:42

$$\ln \left( \frac{\delta E + 1000}{\delta E_0 + 1000} \right) \approx \ln(f) \varepsilon_i$$

(2)

where $\delta E$ is the isotopic signature ($\delta^{1}H$, $\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{35}Cl$, $\delta^{81}Br$) of the organic after partial degradation, $\delta E_0$ indicates the initial delta value ($\delta^{1}H_0$, $\delta^{13}C_0$, $\delta^{35}Cl_0$, $\delta^{81}Br_0$), and $f$ is the fraction of organic remaining after partial degradation. The procedure for quantifying $f$ is provided in the Supporting Information 3. The Rayleigh equation is appropriate to derive the isotopic enrichment factors for first-order or pseudo-first-order reactions.

$\Lambda$-values (lambda) describe the ratio of the enrichment factors of isotopes of two different elements. $\Lambda$-values are determined as the slope of a linear regression of isotopic signatures of two elements (e.g., H and C) determined from samples of the same experiment. $\Lambda$-values may also be estimated according to the following relationship:43

$$\Lambda_{x/y} \approx \frac{\varepsilon_x}{\varepsilon_y}$$

(3)

where $\varepsilon_x$ and $\varepsilon_y$ are the enrichment factors of two different elements determined for the same mechanism in a certain compound.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

**Reaction Rates.** Experiments were performed to investigate the abiotic degradation of CH$_3$Br and CH$_3$Cl dissolved in water. Experiments in distilled water were carried out to study hydrolysis reactions only. In brines (seawater) degradation may be due to both, hydrolysis and halide exchange. All experiments were performed at a temperature of 23 ± 1°C. No buffer was added to the stock solutions because buffer catalysis was reported as a complicating factor in previous studies. Moreover, hydrolysis of CH$_3$Cl and CH$_3$Br is expected to primarily follow reaction R1 in the environment. Theoretically, when taking into account the nucleophilicities of the nucleophiles (Supporting Information 4), alkaline (R2), and neutral hydrolysis (R1) should be equally important at a pH of 11.6. Another study showed that alkaline hydrolysis of singly halogenated compounds was not sustained, if OH$^-$ concentrations dropped below 0.1 mol L$^{-1}$ (pH 13). Consequently, reaction R2 is supposed to be unimportant at pH 10 and below. Therefore, all hydrolysis reactions in this study, which were performed at pH values smaller than 7, were assumed to be independent of the pH and to predominantly follow reaction R1. Results for the determined rate constants of the individual experiments are summarized in Table 1 and are compared to previously published values.

### Table 1. Rate Constants for Hydrolysis and Halide Exchange of CH$_3$Br and CH$_3$Cl

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Rate Constant (previous studies) [d$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>Rate Constant [d$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$Br + H$_2$O</td>
<td>0.013 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.021 ± 0.002$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$Br + H$_2$O + Y$^-$</td>
<td>0.115 ± 0.023</td>
<td>0.154 ± 0.06$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$Cl + H$_2$O</td>
<td>0.0015 ± 0.0005</td>
<td>0.0014 ± 0.00002$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$Cl + H$_2$O + Y$^-$</td>
<td>0.0012 ± 0.0003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Rates constants were determined from linear regressions of ln[f] versus time and indicate the rate constant at 23 ± 1°C. The errors are given as the 95% confidence interval.**

For hydrolysis of CH$_3$Br two experiments were carried out at different concentrations. Rate constants of both experiments varied between 0.035 ± 0.008% d$^{-1}$ at 10 mmol L$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Br and 0.013 ± 0.002% d$^{-1}$ at 0.2 mmol L$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Br. The rate constant obtained at high concentrations may, however, be influenced by an additional equilibration effect with Br$^-$ ions in the solution also represented by unusual bromine isotope values (see discussion below). During hydrolytic degradation of CH$_3$Br, Br$^-$ ions are released into the solution according to reaction R1. Schwarzenbach et al. summarized previously published relative nucleophilicities of nucleophiles reacting with CH$_3$Br. Taking into account these nucleophilicities and the CH$_3$Br concentration of 10 mmol L$^{-1}$, released Br$^-$ ions reached indeed a concentration high enough to compete with water as a nucleophile. Both hydrolysis and Br$^-$ exchange are equally important when about 75% of Br$^-$ is released due to transformation of CH$_3$Br (Supporting Information 4). A second experiment was run at a lower concentration to avoid any of such additional reactions. At 0.2 mmol L$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Br the release of Br$^-$ ions due to transformation only reached about 2% of the amount necessary to compete with hydrolysis (Supporting Information 4) and no additional effect on the Br isotopic composition was detected. Consequently, hydrolysis of methyl bromide at 0.2 mmol L$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Br followed pseudo first-order kinetics (Supporting Information Figure S4), a prerequisite to reliably apply the Rayleigh equation for quantification of isotopic enrichment factors. The experimentally determined rate constant of 0.013 ± 0.002 d$^{-1}$ was lower than at high concentrations but the magnitude was close to the rate constant of 0.021 ± 0.002 d$^{-1}$ reported by Jeffer and Wolfe. For experiments with added sea salt the rate constant was about 1 order of magnitude larger (0.115 ± 0.023 d$^{-1}$) compared to hydrolysis in distilled water and followed pseudo first-order kinetics (Supporting Information Figure S5). This experimentally determined rate constant agrees, within analytical uncertainty, with 0.154 ± 0.06 d$^{-1}$ published by a previous study. The ten-times higher rate constant due to the
nucleophilic strength of Cl (Supporting Information 4) suggests that halide exchange dominates the abiotic degradation of CH$_3$Br in seawater with hydrolysis only contributing to a minor extent to the overall combined degradation rate.

For hydrolysis of methyl chloride in distilled water the experimentally determined rate constant of 0.0015 ± 0.0005 d$^{-1}$ is in good agreement with 0.0014 ± 0.0002 d$^{-1}$ reported previously. The reaction of CH$_3$Cl with H$_2$O and salt yielded a rate constant of 0.12 ± 0.02% d$^{-1}$ which is indistinguishable from hydrolysis in distilled water. Data from both reactions of CH$_3$Cl follow pseudo-first-order kinetics (Supporting Information Figures S6 and S7). The similar reaction rate constants obtained from both experiments may indicate that halide exchange of CH$_3$Cl at ambient temperatures does not have any measurable effect on the combined degradation rate and hence hydrolysis should be the main abiotic degradation mechanism in natural waters.

**Hydrolysis and Associated Isotope Effects**. Isotopic enrichment factors derived from hydrolysis experiments were determined for stable carbon, hydrogen, and bromine isotopes of CH$_3$Br. At high concentrations (10 mmol L$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Br) additional equilibration with Br$^-$ influenced the reaction rate constant and the Rayleigh equation may only possess a limited validity for this experiment. Despite this limitation, the carbon isotopic data followed a linear regression with an $\varepsilon_C$ of $-49.6$ ± 5.6 mUr (Supporting Information Figure S9). This value is close to $-58.3$ ± 6.8 mUr (Figure 1b) which was determined for the low-concentration experiment (0.2 mmol L$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Br) where no significant Br$^-$ exchange occurred. Both $\varepsilon_C$ are consistent with a published carbon isotope enrichment factor of $-51.0$ ± 6.0 mUr. The same authors investigated the pH
independence of carbon isotope fractionation by carrying out experiments at different pH (4.6, 7.3, and 8.8) and additionally in unbuffered solutions (pH 3.6−6.0). No significant difference was observed in that study for isotopic enrichment factors obtained from unbuffered solutions compared to those with a fixed pH confirming that hydrolysis primarily occurs via one reaction (R1). Hence enrichment factors obtained in the current study should be valid for the conditions found in relevant environmental compartments such as surface waters and soils.

Hydrogen isotope enrichment factors could only be measured for samples at high concentrations. CH$_3$Br concentrations of 0.2 mmol L$^{-1}$ were too low to meet the isotopic detection limit for hydrogen isotope measurements. Despite the mentioned uncertainties regarding the rate law, the enrichment factor of $+42 \pm 20$ mUr is consistent with a secondary isotope effect resulting from reaction R1 (Figure 1a, Table 2). Secondary effects are usually smaller than primary isotope effects and occur in elements located adjacent to a reactive position due to the changing structure of the molecule or influences of bond vibrations, for example. Furthermore, the positive $\varepsilon_{\text{H}}$ indicates an inverse isotope effect. The remaining CH$_3$Br in water becomes successively depleted in deuterium throughout the reaction. Secondary inverse isotope effects of hydrogen are in fact a common feature for nucleophilic substitution reactions of methyl derivatives and this was investigated in several experimental studies in the gas phase as well as in computational studies. Accordingly, the inverse isotope effects may be explained with transition state theory. During $S_N$2 reactions the nucleophile (H$_2$O, Y$^-$) approaches the carbon atom from the side opposite to the halogen atom. In the transition state both the nucleophile and the leaving halogen atom are partly bound to the carbon atom. The tetrahedral geometry of the methyl halide molecule changes to a trigonal bipyramidal geometry in the transition state where the hydrogen atoms are located in a single plane. This structural change is associated with an increase of the bending and stretching force constants, the latter caused by a tightening of the C−H bonds. This increase is represented by a symmetric excitation of the stretching vibration which increases the reaction probability of the molecules containing a C−D bond to a larger extent than for molecules containing a C−H bond. As a result, CH$_3$Br in the solution becomes enriched in $^{13}$C and $^{81}$Br (see below) but depleted in $^2$H. Even though our measured enrichment factor of $+42$ mUr was smaller than those in the cited articles (up to $+200$ mUr in gas phase experiments), it qualitatively confirms these inverse effects for different nucleophiles (see also discussion further below) reacting with methyl halides dissolved in water.

For bromine isotopes in CH$_3$Br an $\varepsilon_{\text{Br}}$ of $-1.2 \pm 0.4$ mUr was measured for the experiment carried out at 0.2 mmol L$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Br (Figure 1c). At high concentrations (10 mmol L$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Br) a nonlinear behavior of the $\delta^{37}$Br values could be observed which was not in agreement with the Rayleigh equation (Supporting Information Figure S8). At first, the $\delta^{37}$Br of the substrate became more enriched but then started to converge toward the starting value again. Apparently, the rising concentrations of bromine ions released into the solution started to equilibrate with the CH$_3$Br substrate. At low concentrations no such effect was observed because Br$^-$. 

---

**Figure 2.** Rayleigh plots for reactions of CH$_3$Cl. Panel (a), (b), and (c) show results of the reaction CH$_3$Cl + H$_2$O (hydrolysis). Panel (d), (e), and (f) represent isotope effects due to CH$_3$Cl + H$_2$O + Y$^-$ (combined hydrolysis and halide exchange). The slope of the regression indicates the enrichment factor in Ur. Error bars represent the analytical uncertainty of 5 mUr ($\delta^2$H), 0.5 mUr ($\delta^{13}$C), and 0.2 mUr ($\delta^{37}$Cl). For carbon isotopes, error bars are smaller than the used symbols. The quantification was carried out with an uncertainty of usually better than 5%.
concentrations in solution were too low to compete with H2O as a nucleophile (Supporting Information 4). Hence, this equilibration is unlikely to occur in most freshwaters and soils, environments where CH3Br and Br- concentrations are low and where hydrolysis may contribute to degradation. Even in ocean waters the Br- content only reaches 25% of the concentration required to compete with H2O (1.8 mmol L−1, Supporting Information 4), which might explain why no indications of such an equilibration effect were observed in experiments with brines, as discussed further below.

For hydrolysis of CH3Cl, stable hydrogen, carbon, and chlorine isotope enrichment factors were determined. The degradation experiment of CH3Cl in distilled water (hydrolysis) was carried out over 232 days and sampling occurred in time steps of 1–3 months. Isotopic enrichment factors of +25 ± 6 mUr (37Cl), −41.7 ± 10.2 mUr (13C), and −5.3 ± 1.3 mUr (37Cl) were derived from the Rayleigh plots (Figure 2a-c). No equivalent data is available in the literature for comparison. Compared to hydrolysis of CH3Br, enrichment factors for hydrogen and carbon showed a similar magnitude and direction; that is, carbon isotope effects were relatively large and normal whereas hydrogen isotope effects were small and inverse due to a secondary isotope effect caused by the nucleophilic substitution reaction. The chlorine isotope enrichment factor is about 3 times larger than the measured bromine isotope effect in CH3Br which is consistent with a previous estimate based on theoretical calculations for primary kinetic isotope effects for halogens.54

Isotope Effects Caused by Halide Exchange Reactions. The nucleophilic substitution reaction of halide ions was the second abiotic degradation process investigated in this study. The enrichment factors obtained from experiments with CH3Br dissolved in brine were +22 ± 13 mUr, −63.3 ± 5.1 mUr, and −1.2 ± 0.2 mUr for H, 13C, and 81Br, respectively (Figure 1d–f and Table 2). The measured carbon isotope enrichment factor (−63.3 ± 5.1 mUr) agrees well with −57.0 ± 5.0 mUr reported by Baesman and Miller.58 Another study published an εC of −41.2 mUr for this reaction which is by about 20 mUr smaller.55 Compared to the hydrolysis experiments carried out in the current study, enrichment factors for halide exchange are indistinguishable if the analytical uncertainty is taken into account (Table 2). Chlorine ions dominated the exchange reaction with CH3Br because Cl- concentrations were about nine times higher than necessary to compete with water as a nucleophile (Supporting Information 4). The product of this reaction was CH3Cl which could be identified during δ13C–CH3Br measurements (Supporting Information 5). The measured δ13C values of the generated CH3Cl were indistinguishable from the δ13C predicted by the Rayleigh equation for the cumulative product (Supporting Information Table S3, Figure S10) and CH3Cl is considered the major product of this reaction.

Exchange of chlorine with bromine is a degradation process for CH3Br but simultaneously constitutes a source for CH3Cl. Still, oceanic concentrations of CH3Br are very low compared to CH3Cl. Hu et al.56 reported average concentrations of 2 pM which is much lower than the 88 pM found for CH3Cl during the same cruise in the Atlantic Ocean. Thus, the transformation of CH3Br would only marginally (<2%) increase the total CH3Cl concentration in seawater even if all CH3Br were completely transformed to CH3Cl. Chlorine exchange in CH3Br is therefore not a significant source of CH3Cl despite its importance as an abiotic degradation process for CH3Br.

The degradation of CH3Cl dissolved in brine generated similar enrichment factors as for hydrolysis of CH3Cl in distilled water: +24 ± 19 mUr for hydrogen, −40.6 ± 13.9 mUr for carbon, and −5.2 ± 1.0 mUr for chlorine (Figure 2d–f, Table 1). In contrast to CH3Br, the addition of sea salt did not increase the degradation rates of CH3Cl (see discussion above) and it can be assumed that only hydrolysis took place. Furthermore, chlorine isotope measurements did not deliver any evidence for equilibrium exchange of Cl- with CH3Cl. The CH3Cl dissolved in the brine had a starting δ37Cl of +6.02 mUr SMOC57 whereas Cl- in the added sea salt should be close to 0.0 mUr SMOC.57 In our experiments we observed a shift of δ37Cl toward more enriched values following clearly pseudo first-order kinetics (Supporting Information Figure S7). In contrast, exchange of CH3Cl with Cl-, if occurring at ambient temperatures, should have caused a shift of δ37Cl in the direction of the lighter values of the added sea salt. Thus, halide exchange in CH3Cl may be considered negligible at ambient temperatures and should not affect the δ37Cl of methyl chloride in most environments.

Overall, the results of the current study give further insights into the importance of these two reactions for degradation of CH3X in water and provide first isotopic enrichment factors for hydrogen and halogens. For CH3Br, halide exchange should be the dominant abiotic degradation mechanism in seawater which confirms the findings of previous studies.15,48,58 Hydrolysis may have a rather minor role in degrading CH3Br in freshwaters and soils. Hydrolysis of CH3Br also occurs in oceans at a 10 times slower rate and isotopic enrichment factors are similar to those obtained from halide exchange reactions due to the same reaction mechanism (SN2 reaction). Consequently, the two processes cannot be individually characterized and quantified with isotopic methods and both reactions may be included as a combined abiotic degradation process in future isotope-based budget estimates. Still, if necessary, degradation by the individual processes can be estimated from the relative rate difference of these abiotic processes which should be constant at relevant temperatures in seawater. For CH3Cl, only hydrolysis may occur as an abiotic mechanism in most environments with degradation rates being 1 order of magnitude lower than for hydrolysis of CH3Br. Consequently, hydrolysis should only marginally contribute to degradation of CH3Cl in oceans, freshwaters, and soils as confirmed by previous studies.45,59

Dual-Element Isotope Ratios. λ-values provide a more precise parameter than ε-values to characterize and compare reaction mechanisms because these ratios are insensitive to masking and rate limitation by additional processes.60 For the current study, lambda values were derived from dual-element isotope plots provided in Supporting Information Figures S11–S14. The resulting λH/C, λC/Br, and λC/Cl are given in Table 2. λH/C values ranged from −0.3 to −0.7 for both compounds and both reaction pathways. The λC/Cl for CH3Cl ranged from 6.9 to 7.3 and the λC/Br of CH3Br ranged from 46.1 to 48.2. No other lambda values have been reported yet for both CH3Cl and CH3Br. Some λH/C for reactions of CH3Cl could be calculated from published δ13C and εC according to eq 3 because these enrichment factors were derived from the same experiment. The resulting λH/C are given in SI Table S4. For CH3Br no dual-element isotope studies are available yet and therefore lambda values of other reaction pathways could not be calculated.
The $\Lambda_{\text{H/C}}$ of $-0.6$ for hydrolysis of CH$_3$Cl shows a similarly small absolute value as degradation by methylotrophic bacteria$^{27}$ ($\Lambda_{\text{H/C}} = 0.7$, SI Table S4), differing by the algebraic sign due to an inverse $\varepsilon$ for hydrolysis. Consortia of soil microbes showed slightly larger $\Lambda_{\text{H/C}}$ which ranged from 1.3 up to 4.6 due to a decreasing $\varepsilon$ with decreasing CH$_3$Cl concentrations.$^{61}$ These small lambdas for abiotic and biotic reactions in water are the result of relatively small secondary hydrogen isotope effects (<50 mUr) due to rupture of the C-X bond. Abiotic and biotic reactions in water are, however, still distinguishable by opposing positive and negative $\Lambda_{\text{H/C}}$.

In contrast, the main abiotic degradation pathways of CH$_3$Cl in the gas phase (OH, Cl- radical reactions) are characterized by larger $\Lambda_{\text{H/C}}$ of 23.6 to 27.5 (SI Table S4) based on the enrichment factors published by two recent studies.$^{28,62}$ Radical reactions cleave the C–H bond and therefore primary isotope effects for both C and H can be observed causing an overall larger $\Lambda_{\text{H/C}}$ which is clearly distinguishable from reactions in water, even though only two isotopic systems are used.

**Implications for Future Isotope-Based Studies of CH$_3$X.** The results presented in this paper provide a first glimpse of the capabilities of triple-element isotope analysis of CH$_3$X for identification and characterization of degradation processes of methyl halides. Specifically, the pattern of isotopic shifts defined by enrichment factors and lambda values will be a useful tool to distinguish abiotic degradation processes from other removal processes of CH$_3$X. A comparison of isotope effects measured for degradation mechanisms of CH$_3$Cl demonstrates that basically all known relevant abiotic and biotic degradation mechanisms in water (and soils) are due to a C–Cl bond cleavage in CH$_3$Cl (Figure 3). Resulting $\Lambda_{\text{C/Cl}}$ are relatively similar for all these reactions but $\Lambda_{\text{H/C}}$ may still be used to distinguish abiotic from biotic degradation due to opposing inverse and normal hydrogen isotope fractionation, respectively (Table 2, SI Table S4). Methylotrophic bacteria, for instance, were identified as the main biotic degraders in water and soils because these organisms are capable of consuming considerable amounts of methyl halides.$^{63}$ Large carbon isotope enrichment factors ($-38$ to $-41$ mUr) were reported for this biotic reaction but rather small secondary hydrogen isotope enrichment factors ($-27$ to $-29$ mUr) due to cleavage of the C–Cl bond.$^{27}$ Halogen isotope effects for aerobic microbial degradation of CH$_3$Cl (or CH$_3$Br) have not been published yet but it is conceivable that they show a similarly large isotope fractionation as reported for other halogenated alkanes. Aerobic microbial degradation of 1,2-dichloroethane, for instance, caused an $\varepsilon_{\text{Cl}}$ of at least $-3.8$ mUr$^{64}$ which was similar to the $\varepsilon_{\text{Cl}}$ reported for anaerobic microbial degradation ($-4.2$ mUr)$^{26}$.

In contrast, CH$_3$X in the troposphere mainly degrades via OH and Cl radical reactions$^{1}$ which cause a C–H bond dissociation. Consequently, reported hydrogen isotope effects for CH$_3$Cl were large$^{28}$ ($>-264$ to $-280$ mUr) and carbon isotope effects were moderate ($-10.2$ to $-11.2$ mUr).$^{62}$ Halogens present in CH$_3$X should only show small secondary isotope effects because they are not involved in radical reactions.$^{49}$ Consequently, gas phase reactions of CH$_3$Cl show a completely different pattern of isotopic shifts compared to reactions in water and soils (Figure 3). This separation of fractionation patterns of aqueous and gas phase reactions may also be conceivable for CH$_3$Br because biotic and abiotic degradation mechanisms are largely the same.$^{1}$ The possibility to clearly distinguish degradation in water from degradation in the gas phase may considerably simplify the characterization and probably even allow for quantification of degradation processes of methyl halides when applying triple-element isotope analysis.

In order to fully benefit from the advantages of triple-element isotope analysis in the future, however, it will be...
necessary to determine three-dimensional isotopic fingerprints of atmospheric samples and of the largest sources (macroalgae, salt marshes, biomass burning, plants, soils). Moreover, full sets of isotopic enrichment factors and lambda values for the main removal processes (OH radical reactions, microbial degradation in oceans and soils) must be determined. Once these tasks are completed, the isotopic data can be fed into models. Previous models relied exclusively on upscaled emission data, used together with the corresponding stable carbon isotopic signatures of the sources to create a weighted mean isotopic source signature for CH₂Cl and CH₃Br, respectively.¹⁻⁶ This weighted mean does however not reflect the atmospheric isotopic composition because degradation processes induce isotope fractionation in methyl halides during or shortly after emission (e.g., in oceans or soils) or in the well-mixed atmosphere. Previous models often only partly included the isotopic shifts induced by degradation processes or relied on estimates because enrichment factors were not available for each assumed degradation process.²⁻⁴,⁶⁻⁵ A recent study provided a more refined carbon isotope mass balance which accounted for the effect of degradation in atmospheric CH₂X and simultaneous mixing of continuous emissions of CH₂X from sources.⁶⁻⁶ The calculated budget, however, suggested an even larger imbalance between emissions and loss processes than previously thought.

The future use of two additional isotopic systems for each compound may substantially improve such mass balance approaches because of the different sensitivity of hydrogen and halogen isotopes for radical reactions in the atmosphere and degradation in water/soil respectively Figure 3). Hence, three different isotopic mass balances may be created which have to yield matching results for emissions and degradation rates derived from isotope enrichment factors thus providing a tool for verification of such a mass balance approach. Apart from these bottom-up approaches, inverse top-down models using isotopic data might be appropriate methods for source apportionment of atmospheric compounds.⁶⁻⁶ These inverse models estimate emissions and degradation from variations in the atmospheric composition but require long-term monitoring using a relatively dense network of sampling stations.⁶⁻⁷ Inverse models may, however, provide an alternative route to calculate the atmospheric budget, but for CH₂X the application of these models is currently still out of reach because of the challenges to regularly measure the isotopic composition of atmospheric CH₂X. Once these challenges are overcome, triple-element isotope analysis may provide a realistic chance to better quantify the unbalanced atmospheric budgets of CH₂X and to identify the putatively missing sources.
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