

## The Mechanism of Cyclic Electron Flow

W. J. Nawrocki<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>, B. Bailleul<sup>1</sup>, D. Picot<sup>4</sup>, P. Cardol<sup>2</sup>, F. Rappaport<sup>1</sup>, F.-A. Wollman<sup>1</sup>, P. Joliot<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut de Biologie Physico-Chimique, UMR 7141 CNRS-UPMC, 13 rue P. et M. Curie 75005, Paris, France;

<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire de Génétique et Physiologie des Microalgues, Institut de Botanique, Université de Liège, 4, Chemin de la Vallée, B-4000 Liège, Belgium;

<sup>3</sup> Present address : Department of Physics and Astronomy, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1081, 1081HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands;

<sup>4</sup> Institut de Biologie Physico-Chimique, UMR 7099 CNRS-UPMC, 13 rue P. et M. Curie 75005, Paris, France;

\* corresponding author; [w.j.nawrocki@vu.nl](mailto:w.j.nawrocki@vu.nl)

## Abstract:

Apart from the canonical light-driven linear electron flow (LEF) from water to CO<sub>2</sub>, numerous regulatory and alternative electron transfer pathways exist in chloroplasts. One of them is the cyclic electron flow around Photosystem I (CEF), contributing to photoprotection of both Photosystem I and II (PSI, PSII) and supplying extra ATP to fix atmospheric carbon. Nonetheless, CEF remains an enigma in the field of functional photosynthesis as we lack understanding of its pathway. Here, we address the discrepancies between functional and genetic/biochemical data in the literature and formulate novel hypotheses about the pathway and regulation of CEF based on recent structural and kinetic information.

Photosynthesis, biophysics, plastoquinone, cytochrome *b<sub>6</sub>f*, photosystem I, cyclic electron flow

## Highlights:

- Recent kinetic information about CEF support cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f* as the ferredoxin:plastoquinone oxidoreductase
- Dimeric structure of *b<sub>6</sub>f* suggests functional advantages for cyclic electron flow operation
- PSI-*b<sub>6</sub>f* supercomplex formation is critically assessed from functional and structural standpoints

## Linear and cyclic electron flow

Photosynthesis consists of a photoinduced linear electron flow (LEF), where electrons from water are transferred to NADP<sup>+</sup>. The electron-coupled proton translocation generates a proton motive force across the thylakoid membrane that is used by the CF<sub>1</sub>-F<sub>0</sub> ATP synthase to form ATP. Photoproduced ATP and NAD(P)H then allow CO<sub>2</sub> fixation by the Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle. However, alternative electron transfer pathways have been documented in photosynthesis. Most notable among them are water-to-water cycles and cyclic electron flow (CEF) around Photosystem I (PSI). All these alternative pathways translocate extra protons into the lumen without producing reducing compounds, thus increasing the ATP/NAD(P)H ratio. The dynamic changes between the rates of LEF and alternative pathways are thus critical in conditions where i) NAD(P)H accumulates when the electron transfer chain is kinetically limited by the NAD(P)H oxidation pathways (carbon fixation and others), and ii) lumen acidification needs to be enhanced to protect the two photosystems via non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) and photosynthetic control at the level of cytochrome *b<sub>6</sub>f* (cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f*).

Despite the well-recognized importance of CEF in the regulation of photosynthesis, information about its precise mechanism is still lacking. Not only is our understanding of the regulation of CEF and LEF pathways scarce, but even the cofactors involved in electron transfer from Ferredoxin (Fd) to plastoquinone (PQ) remain elusive. Here, we reassess current knowledge about CEF pathways, in particular from a kinetic and methodological standpoint in the light of our report that PGRL1 is not directly transferring electrons from Fd to PQ during CEF [1]. We further propose a mechanism that can explain how and why the rate of CEF is not tightly controlled by the redox state of the PQ pool.


## Current view on cyclic electron flow

CEF was first identified by the Arnon group ([2] and references therein). These experiments pointed to the existence of cyclic photophosphorylation as a pathway of light-dependent ATP phosphorylation, where the net production or consumption of reducing power was null. Therefore, CEF was defined as a rerouting of reducing equivalents from the acceptor side of PSI back to its donor side. The implication of cyt *b<sub>6</sub>f* in CEF, also proposed by Arnon and co-workers, was further confirmed by the sensitivity of CEF to Q<sub>o</sub> site inhibitors [3]. Later attempts to identify specific CEF transporters - in particular through genetic approaches aimed at the isolation of mutants devoid of CEF - fell short but allowed to disclose molecular bases for CEF *regulation*. These studies led to propose two CEF routes, which are considered by most authors to be responsible for the reduction of PQ by PSI acceptors: the NDH-1/NDH-2-, and PGR5/PGRL1-dependent pathways (*e.g.* ref. [4]) (Fig. 1). For the first pathway, the components allowing the transfer of electrons from PSI acceptors to the PQ pool are homologous to the respiratory NAD(P)H:PQ oxidoreductases. In land plant chloroplasts, NDH-1 shares at least 11 subunits with the mitochondrial and bacterial NADH:UQ oxidoreductase (complex I)[5]. Chloroplast NDH-1 complex however lacks an NADH binding module and has been proposed to use Fd as a substrate [6]. It probably pumps additional protons per electron transferred [7], similarly to mitochondrial and bacterial complexes I. In some microalgae, NDH-2 is present instead of NDH-1. It resembles mitochondrial Ndi1 [8], and is a monotopic membrane protein [9] that inserts into the stromal leaflet of the thylakoid membrane, and therefore is unable to pump protons to the lumen upon oxidation of its substrate, NAD(P)H [10]. Yet, the enzymatic pathway allowing the electron transfer from PSI acceptors back to the electron transfer chain upstream cytochrome *b<sub>6</sub>f* is still a matter of debate. Any legitimate candidate (enzyme or combination of enzymes) for the closing of the cycle must display a turnover rate in agreement with the highest rates of CEF measured *in vivo*, i.e. ~100 and ~60 electrons per second per PSI in plants [11, 12] and in green algae (from the companion paper, [1]).

The role of NDH-1 in CEF is doubtful from many perspectives. It is highly sub-stoichiometric with regards to PSI (1:100 ratio [13]), therefore its rate would necessarily need to exceed 10<sup>4</sup> e<sup>·</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> per complex to sustain transitory rates of CEF in plants in the order of a hundred of electrons per

second per PSI [11, 12], a value up to 4 orders of magnitude higher than experimentally measured *in vivo* for this enzyme [14]. Experimental data shows that the absence of NDH-1 does not alter the  $q_E$  (pH-dependent NPQ) – and therefore its proton-pumping contribution is negligible – in plants [15], consistent with its measured rate being in the order of only one tenth (0.1)  $e^- \cdot s^{-1} \cdot PSI^{-1}$  *in vivo* [14]. Similarly, NDH-2 - the algal counterpart of NDH-1 – runs at  $2.5 e^- \cdot s^{-1} \cdot PSI^{-1}$  [7, 16], a rate which is far too sluggish to sustain the rate of  $60 e^- \cdot s^{-1} \cdot PSI^{-1}$  for CEF that we report in the companion paper [1]. Finally, an NDH-2 mutant only showed limited changes in electron transfer with a slower PQ re-reduction in darkness [10] or in the light, exclusively when the competing PQ-reducing PSII activity was severely affected [17]. It is thus likely that the activity of NDH enzymes is limited to chlororespiration [7](Fig. 1).

The other most studied putative CEF route is described as “PGR5/PGRL1-dependent”. The two molecular actors of this pathway were discovered in mutagenized plants exhibiting distinctly low steady-state  $q_E$ , and thus  $\Delta pH$  across the thylakoid membrane, leading to their name (proton gradient regulation 5 and pgr5-like 1, respectively)[18, 19]. However, despite a plethora of proof of their involvement in the regulation of CEF [4, 18, 20-24], there is still no clear evidence of their *direct* role in the transfer of electrons from the PSI acceptors to the PQ pool. On the contrary, several observations make this very unlikely. PGR5 is a small, stroma-soluble protein, binding no cofactors [25], and it is found tethered to the thylakoids by PGRL1. The maximal rate of CEF does not change in the absence of the former at the beginning of the induction of photosynthesis, showing that while PGR5 is involved in fine-tuning of CEF, its presence is not required for a fully efficient Fd:PQ electron transfer [20]. PGRL1 has been proposed to fulfil the role of the elusive FQR [26], directly reducing quinones, and could almost stoichiometrically bind to the fraction of cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f* found in the stroma lamellae [27]. However, the FQR role is difficult to reconcile with its sub-stoichiometric ratio relative to PSI, with about 0.3 proteins per PSI, or  $0.15 PSI^{-1}$  if it indeed dimerises *in vivo* [26]. Crucially, we show in the accompanying article [1] that a *Chlamydomonas pgrl1* mutant exhibits no differences in the maximal transitory rate of CEF, which would be expected if PGRL1 was a *bona fide* CEF Fd:PQ oxidoreductase. Instead, we observed that the PSI acceptor side was altered in its contribution to charge recombination within PSI and to the rerouting of reductants towards CEF, both of which being lower than in the WT. These traits rather argue for an indirect role of PGRL1 in CEF, similarly to that of PGR5 [28], by regulating either the fate of PSI acceptors or the redox state of the stroma. Such a role would go in line with the observations that PGRL1 is required for recruitment of PGR5 into PGRL1/PGR5 complex [28].



*Fig. 1. A scheme of CEF and chlororespiration, and the molecular actors involved in these processes. PGRL1 (dashed) could almost stoichiometrically bind to cyt.  $b_6f$  to regulate CEF. Note that chlororespiration rates are so low that the only contribution of this process is observed in darkness [16].*

#### Cyt. $b_6f$ is a well-known plastoquinone reductase

The lack of evidence for sustained CEF through the two pathways above strongly suggests another pathway for rerouting reductants produced by PSI to its donor side: namely a direct PQ reduction by cyt.  $b_6f$  (Figs 1, 2A). Originally proposed by Mitchell and reiterated afterwards [11, 29], the classical Q-cycle involves a double reduction of PQ at the  $Q_i$  site. Initially, such a mechanism included one electron provided by the  $b_H$  haem (following a  $PQH_2$  oxidation at the  $Q_o$  site) and the second electron provided by a stromal donor, such as Fd, possibly through the later discovered redox-active  $\alpha$  haem which is absent in mitochondrial and bacterial cyt.  $b_{c1}$  [11, 30-32]. This early mechanism was mostly abandoned at the expense of the modified Q-cycle, in which the electrons used for PQ reduction at the  $Q_i$  site are stored on the two  $b$  haems [33-35]. Nonetheless, for the purpose of rapid CEF (see above), it is possible to envisage the abovementioned scenario of Fd-[stromal haems] electron transfer similar to the classical Q-cycle. This is because once Fd is placed in a putative binding site on the stromal side of cyt.  $b_6f$  (Fig. 2A), its iron-sulfur cluster has an edge-to-edge distance to the PQ or the haems in the  $Q_i$  site that is short enough to allow efficient electron transfer between them, as defined by the Moser-Dutton ruler [36]. Accordingly, Fd:NAD(P)H oxidoreductase (FNR) was shown to bind to the  $b_6f$  and at least to regulate CEF [12, 37, 38]. It could therefore act as a tether for Fd in the vicinity of stromal haems of the  $b_6f$  [38]. One could further argue that FNR is involved directly in CEF as a cofactor and mediates the electron transfer between Fd and stromal haems: indeed Fd in an Fd:FNR complex has a redox potential of -400 to -500 mV, when FNR alone stands at -350 mV [39], and the  $b_H$  and  $\alpha$  haems are at -30 mV and +100 mV, respectively (note that in dark-adapted algae the redox difference between the latter two is much smaller with the  $\alpha$  haem being more reduced than the  $b_H$  haem; see ref. [40] for a discussion). Functional measurements of CEF *in vivo* are in agreement with a strong involvement of FNR in the process [12, 41].

If indeed the cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f* is the FQR involved in CEF, there might not be a single cofactor specific to CEF - the *c<sub>i</sub>* and *b<sub>H</sub>* haems seem to form an ensemble in any type of Q-cycle. Crucially, this is in line with (i) the absence of mutants fully devoid of CEF but not of LEF; (ii) site-directed mutagenesis in the vicinity of the *c<sub>i</sub>* haem heavily affecting overall cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f* function (personal communication, C. de Vitry, F.-A. Wollman); and (iii) specific binding of CO to the *c<sub>i</sub>* haem strongly impeding LEF [42].

In vascular plants the maximal rate of CEF is comparable with that of LEF, making it difficult to determine which exact step of those two interdependent pathways is limiting *in vivo* [12]. It remains a possibility that the PQH<sub>2</sub> oxidation in the Q<sub>o</sub> site constitutes the slowest step of the electron transfer in both CEF and LEF. On the other hand, we have shown that in *Chlamydomonas* the maximal CEF rate is lower, around 60 instead of ~100 e·s<sup>-1</sup>·PSI<sup>-1</sup> [1]. We speculate that in this case, the Fd-[stromal haems] transfer could be limiting for the overall rate. Given the differences in architecture of the cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f* in *Chlamydomonas* due to the presence of regulatory/associated proteins [43-45] and the borderline distance for electron tunnelling between Fd and the stromal haems, some minor changes of the stromal side of the cytochrome could affect the rate of the Fd-[stromal haems] electron transfer.

### **Dimeric structure of cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f* complex and its functional implications**

Most functional studies are satisfactorily interpreted in the framework of a monomeric complex, but tridimensional structures showed that the two cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f* monomers are strongly intertwined in the dimer [31, 32, 46] (Fig. 2B). On one hand, the soluble domain of the Rieske protein of one monomer interacts with the other one. On the other hand, the interface between monomers spans the transmembrane space and forms a V-shaped cavity filled with lipids. The tip of this V-shaped cavity is on the luminal side, next to the entrance of the Q<sub>o</sub> site of one monomer whereas the Q<sub>i</sub> site of the other monomer is positioned toward the stroma where the crevice becomes wider.


Crucially, these structural features may deeply impact cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f* activity. The PQ/ PQH<sub>2</sub> bound to either site could easily slide between the Q<sub>i</sub> and the Q<sub>o</sub> sites of opposite monomers. The helix D of cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f* also forms the narrowest part of the crevice next to the two-fold symmetry axis and creates a potential hindrance to the transfer of quinones between the Q<sub>o</sub> and Q<sub>i</sub> sites of the same monomer. Interestingly, the two *b<sub>L</sub>* haems have an edge-to-edge distance of 14-15 Å, sufficiently close to allow interdimer electron transfer [47, 48]. All these features are shared not only by all cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f* complexes, but also by all cyt. *b<sub>c1</sub>* complexes and are probably a general feature of most other Rieske/cytochrome *b* complexes. However, some features, potentially critical for the CEF function discussed here, differ between the cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f* and proteobacterial-related cyt. *b<sub>c1</sub>* complexes. The Q<sub>o</sub> site entrance in the cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f* complex harbors the phytyl chain of a chlorophyll molecule that modifies the accessibility of the active site [49]. Most importantly, the Q<sub>i</sub> site of the cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f* complex has the additional haem *c<sub>i</sub>* that pushes the Q<sub>i</sub> pocket toward the stroma by about 6 Å, the position of haem *b<sub>H</sub>* staying identical. The amphipathic helices of cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>*, delimiting the cavity from the stromal side, and most likely the membrane boundaries, are shifted by an equivalent distance. Despite these changes, the hydrophobic residues of the amphipathic helix that faces the crevice are well conserved, underlying the critical importance of this inter-monomer space.

### **Regulation of CEF/LEF partitioning – involvement of the dimeric cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f***

The model for CEF, proposed by Mitchell and others [11, 29] and further discussed here in the light of structural information, has strong implications regarding the competition between LEF and CEF. Due to its transmembrane interfacial cavity, the cytochrome *b<sub>6</sub>f* complex offers a niche for quinones, which should be in slow equilibrium with the rest of the PQ pool. Indeed, it has been shown earlier by Joliot and Joliot [50] that, in reducing conditions, a quinone readily produced (oxidized) at the Q<sub>o</sub> site has an absolute priority to shuttle to the Q<sub>i</sub> pocket of the very same protein complex rather than to escape and diffuse to other Q<sub>i</sub> sites in its vicinity. In anoxic

*Chlorella* cells, subsaturating laser flashes lead to the oxidation of a small proportion of cyt. *f* and to the rapid generation of an electric field caused by a quinone reduction by the low potential chain [50]. This indicates that the quinone generated at the  $Q_o$  site successfully shuttles to the  $Q_i$  site of the same cyt.  $b_6f$  complex to act as an electron acceptor from the reduced  $b_H$  and  $b_L$  haems. However, transfer of the nascent quinone between the  $Q_o$  and  $Q_i$  sites from a same monomer may be challenging due to the obstacle formed by D helices of cyt.  $b_6$  (see above and in Fig. 2). We thus further propose that a direct electron transfer between the  $b_L$  haems, experimentally detected in cyt.  $bc_1$  complexes [47], may alleviate this difficulty by “coupling” the two monomers within the dimeric complex. The nascent quinone at  $Q_o$ , which has reduced the  $b_L$  haem in monomer A (“ $b_L(A)$ ”) could be next transferred to the  $Q_i$  site of monomer B,  $Q_i(B)$ , which is adjacent to the  $Q_o$  site (A), through the internal cavity of the dimer (see Fig. 2b). Electron tunnelling between haems  $b_L(A)$  and  $b_L(B)$  could then occur (its rate, according to the Moser-Dutton ruler [36, 51] is within the measured rates of CEF in reducing [22] and oxidising conditions [1] in the order of  $60 \text{ e} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{PSI}^{-1}$ , especially because of the lack of an electron acceptor in the  $Q_i(A)$  pocket. Would this happen, the two haems of the low potential chain of the B monomer would be reduced, thus allowing a reduction of the  $Q_i(B)$ -bound quinone and the observation of the electrogenic  $b_L(B) \rightarrow b_H(B)$  electron transfer despite an initial oxidation of the high potential chain in the A monomer. Such intermonomer electron transfer would not necessarily need to continuously compete with the more efficient monomeric turnover [52] if one considers it a mean to ‘prime’ the system during a transition from reducing to oxidising conditions. Unfortunately, a molecular dynamics study, which could reveal preferential intracomplex quinone shuttling, similar to diffusional exploration of quinone channels in PSII supercomplex [53], has not been conducted yet.

Consequently, we further propose that *in vivo*, nascent PQH<sub>2</sub>, reduced at the  $Q_i$  site, have a high probability to be reoxidized at the adjacent  $Q_o$  site of the same cyt.  $b_6f$  dimer, i.e. without entering the “PQ pool”. Such a mechanism provides heterogeneity in the pool of PQ/PQH<sub>2</sub>, and uncouples LEF and CEF at this step. Indeed, the LEF and CEF routes to the  $Q_o$  site involve different pools of PQ/PQH<sub>2</sub>: the LEF route from PSII involves the pool of PQ/PQH<sub>2</sub> outside of the cytochrome  $b_6f$ , whereas the CEF route would only require the plastoquinol in the cavity of the cyt.  $b_6f$  complex. The reduction of a quinone at the  $Q_i$  site would be *independent* of the overall redox state of the PQ pool and thus would not compete for PQ reduction by PSII. In such a CEF model, previous proposals [54, 55] that the highest efficiency of CEF is achieved when 50% of the PQ pool is oxidized, and 50% in reduced state would not be required. It would allow CEF to be efficient also under high light, when the PQ/PQH<sub>2</sub> pool is strongly reduced, as supported by experimental data [56].



*Fig. 2. Electron and plastoquinone transfer within cyt.  $b_6f$ . (a), A scheme of possible cyclic electron transfer within cyt.  $b_6f$ . A side view of the cyt.  $b_6f$  monomer from the dimerization surface perspective. The stromal and luminal extremities of the thylakoid membrane are depicted in grey (OMP). Separate subunits of the monomer are coloured individually as indicated in the figure. The electron transfer pathways are shown as yellow arrows. Ferredoxin in its putative binding mode is shown in a red cartoon form. (b) PQ/PQH<sub>2</sub> transfer within cyt.  $b_6f$  dimer. Side view of the complex. One cyt.  $b_6f$  monomer is in orange, while the other one is blue. Possible route for the quinone between the  $Q_o$  and  $Q_i$  side are represented in green for transfer in the same monomer, which implies crossing the narrow portion of the crevice, or in green/orange for transfer in the opposite monomer, which uses a broader and shorter path.*

Therefore, we consider that a control of the routing of electrons in the stroma is sufficient for a modification of CEF/LEF partitioning, without a need for considering the redox state of the plastoquinone pool. Competition between CEF and LEF for reduced Fd is, in our view, the hub where those two pathways require some regulatory processes. As outlined above, Fd<sup>-</sup> is readily oxidized by the CBB cycle and also by Flv proteins in microalgae and cyanobacteria [57]. We showed that such an oxidation is decreased at low oxygen concentrations when CEF increases. Furthermore an increase in the *duration* of CEF also was observed in an Flv mutant of moss [58], yet it was interpreted as a compensatory increase in CEF rather than a decrease in electron leak.

The regulation between CEF and LEF could be achieved by increasing the probability that Fd stays in proximity of the stromal side of the cyt.  $b_6f$ , through its anchoring by the cyt.  $b_6f$ -bound FNR, as had been proposed earlier [12]. Recently, FNR was shown to be a target of the redox-sensitive STN kinase, a transmembrane enzyme which interacts with cyt.  $b_6f$  [59, 60]. STN being activated upon reduction of the PQ pool, one can imagine a tentative model for an FNR phosphorylation-dependent CEF regulation - but there is a need to produce functional and biochemical data regarding the regulation of Fd-FNR- $b_6f$  binding before such a model can be critically assessed. Fd is at the very crossroads of photosynthetic electron transfer, donating electrons not only to CEF and LEF, but also to other metabolic pathways such as nitrite and sulphide reduction [61]. Furthermore, there are multiple Fd isoforms, with varying redox potentials and concentrations, which interact with multiple isoforms of FNR, some of which are soluble and some membrane-bound [61, 62]. It is obvious that a strict regulation of this hub is necessary both for photosynthesis and for poising the redox state of the entire stroma, making it easy to imagine that CEF is also governed at this level.

### **Supercomplexes: can they really *drive* CEF?**

A dynamic heterogeneity among electron transfer complexes that would favour CEF also has been sought based on the formation of PSI-cyt.  $b_6f$  supercomplexes [63]. Originally proposed to account for the elevated rates of CEF observed in anoxic conditions in *Chlamydomonas*, it is poorly consistent with our recent study where we compared maximal rates of CEF in conditions that would favour - or not - such supercomplex formation [1]: we found no evidence for changes in CEF maximal rates although we did observe that a lower oxygen availability increased the duration of CEF due to a slowdown of the electron leakage from the electron transfer chain.

Nevertheless, the biochemical identification of supercomplexes associating cyt.  $b_6f$ , PSI and a number of CEF-related proteins has stimulated much speculations as to the way CEF may function [43, 45, 63-66]. The hypothesis of a supercomplex-borne CEF process raises several mechanistic and stoichiometric issues. Cyt.  $b_6f$  being a dimer, a cyt.  $b_6f$  dimer-PSI supercomplex uses twice as much cyt.  $b_6f$  as PSI. The overall cyt.  $b_6f$ :PSI stoichiometry is about 0.7 [67], with less than half of cyt.  $b_6f$  present in the appressed regions of the membrane [68]. In consequence, less than 20% of PSI are available for supercomplex formation.

From a structural standpoint, PSI from *Chlamydomonas* placed in state II in anoxia, where supercomplex formation would be favoured, binds multiple PSII antenna on the side where its own peripheral antenna, LHCa, are not attached [69]. This leaves a place for binding of a cyt.  $b_6f$



dimer only on the edges of the PSI complex. Such putative supercomplex between the *b<sub>6</sub>f* and PSI was present in less than 1% of PSI particles as assessed with single-particle cryo-EM after thylakoid solubilisation ([70], Supporting Information). Furthermore, in cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f*PSI supercomplex particles isolated from land plants, it was found that a majority of the complexes bound a cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f* in a monomeric form [64]. Recent biochemical data finally calls into question the significance of green bands of high molecular weight in sucrose density gradient preparations from *Chlamydomonas* thylakoids. These should not be mistaken for cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f*PSI supercomplex formation, since various PSI complexes can migrate in these sucrose density regions. [44].

As for the kinetic rationale for supercomplex formation, one can take the well-documented supercomplex in mitochondria, the NADH dehydrogenase-cyt. *b<sub>c</sub>1*, as a case study. Through flux control analysis, this complex was suggested to provide a kinetic advantage for NADH oxidation due to a purported trapping of the lipid-soluble electron carrier, ubiquinone (UQ), allowing its oxidation before it diffuses away within the mitochondrial membrane [71]. However, recent structural data argues against the UQ trapping in a mitochondrial respirasome and provides an "open" structure, at least for the UQ reduction site in the NADH dehydrogenase (see [72] and the discussion within). Moreover, the distance between the cyt. *c* reduction site on cyt. *b<sub>c</sub>1*, and its oxidation site on cyt. *c* oxidase (COX), is too large to propose an electron transfer without a release of cyt. *c* from the respirasome. Finally, the lack of kinetic advantage from complex formation between *b<sub>c</sub>1* and COX has been experimentally demonstrated in yeast [73]. The conclusions from respiratory system indicates that a presence of supercomplex does not infer a kinetic advantage for electron transfer.

We suggest that a similar situation does hold for CEF supercomplexes between PSI and cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f* [63, 64]. A trapping of water-soluble CEF carriers, Fd and PC would be required to prevent an electron leak to LEF. Such a trapping is unlikely because the distances between cyt. *f* and the PC binding site in PSI, and between F<sub>A</sub>/F<sub>B</sub> iron-sulfur clusters and the hypothetical Fd-binding site close to the Q<sub>i</sub> site are too large to allow intracomplex electron transfer without a release and diffusion of these soluble carriers (at least 100 Å, see [64, 74]). Moreover, the kinetic limitation in both cases (presence or absence of PSI-*b<sub>6</sub>f* complex) still would be set by the rate of PQH<sub>2</sub> oxidation within the membrane, at the Q<sub>o</sub> site of the cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f*. Such a system could at best contribute to the regulation of the CEF/LEF ratio, but it would not control the maximal rate of CEF. Finally, the complexes between PSI and NDH are even more unlikely to have functional relevance for CEF not only due to the extremely low rates of NDH, as discussed above, but critically due to the absence of the PQ:PC oxidoreductase within such complex [64, 75, 76].

To conclude, the mechanism and actors of cyclic electron flow around Photosystem I remain far less well established than the photosynthetic community generally assumes. It is crucial to better consider the kinetics of this process in order to propose appropriate assumptions as to its mechanism and regulation. While the contribution of auxiliary CEF proteins such as PGR5 and PGRL1 is now firmly grounded, the actual mechanism of CEF is probably very different from what is presently discussed in the literature. It is of note that the functional insights provided by the 3D structure of cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f* have been overlooked up to now. The quinone cavity inside the cyt. *b<sub>6</sub>f* dimer stands like a treasure trunk that has not yet revealed its CEF secrets. This is a thrilling time for the bioenergetics of photosynthesis.

## References:

- [1] W. Nawrocki, B. Bailleul, P. Cardol, F. Rappaport, F.-A. Wollman, P. Joliot, Cyclic electron flow in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, bioRxiv, (2017).
- [2] K. Tagawa, H.Y. Tsujimoto, D.I. Arnon, Role of chloroplast ferredoxin in the energy conversion process of photosynthesis, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 49 (1963) 567-572.
- [3] R.E. Cleland, D.S. Bendall, Photosystem I cyclic electron transport: Measurement of ferredoxin-plastoquinone reductase activity, Photosynthesis research, 34 (1992) 409-418.

- [4] W. Yamori, T. Shikanai, Physiological Functions of Cyclic Electron Transport Around Photosystem I in Sustaining Photosynthesis and Plant Growth, *Annual review of plant biology*, 67 (2016) 81-106.
- [5] G. Peltier, E.M. Aro, T. Shikanai, NDH-1 and NDH-2 Plastoquinone Reductases in Oxygenic Photosynthesis, *Annual review of plant biology*, 67 (2016) 55-80.
- [6] H. Yamamoto, L. Peng, Y. Fukao, T. Shikanai, An Src homology 3 domain-like fold protein forms a ferredoxin binding site for the chloroplast NADH dehydrogenase-like complex in Arabidopsis, *The Plant cell*, 23 (2011) 1480-1493.
- [7] W.J. Nawrocki, N.J. Tourasse, A. Taly, F. Rappaport, F.A. Wollman, The plastid terminal oxidase: its elusive function points to multiple contributions to plastid physiology, *Annual review of plant biology*, 66 (2015) 49-74.
- [8] M. Iwata, Y. Lee, T. Yamashita, T. Yagi, S. Iwata, A.D. Cameron, M.J. Maher, The structure of the yeast NADH dehydrogenase (Ndi1) reveals overlapping binding sites for water- and lipid-soluble substrates, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109 (2012) 15247-15252.
- [9] G. Blobel, Intracellular protein topogenesis, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 77 (1980) 1496-1500.
- [10] F. Jans, E. Mignolet, P.A. Houyoux, P. Cardol, B. Ghysels, S. Cuine, L. Cournac, G. Peltier, C. Remacle, F. Franck, A type II NAD(P)H dehydrogenase mediates light-independent plastoquinone reduction in the chloroplast of *Chlamydomonas*, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 105 (2008) 20546-20551.
- [11] P. Joliot, D. Beal, A. Joliot, Cyclic electron flow under saturating excitation of dark-adapted Arabidopsis leaves, *Biochimica et biophysica acta*, 1656 (2004) 166-176.
- [12] P. Joliot, G.N. Johnson, Regulation of cyclic and linear electron flow in higher plants, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 108 (2011) 13317-13322.
- [13] P.A. Burrows, L.A. Sazanov, Z. Svab, P. Maliga, P.J. Nixon, Identification of a functional respiratory complex in chloroplasts through analysis of tobacco mutants containing disrupted plastid *ndh* genes, *The EMBO journal*, 17 (1998) 868.
- [14] M. Trouillard, M. Shahbazi, L. Moyet, F. Rappaport, P. Joliot, M. Kuntz, G. Finazzi, Kinetic properties and physiological role of the plastoquinone terminal oxidase (PTOX) in a vascular plant, *Biochimica et biophysica acta*, 1817 (2012) 2140-2148.
- [15] T. Shikanai, T. Endo, T. Hashimoto, Y. Yamada, K. Asada, A. Yokota, Directed disruption of the tobacco *ndhB* gene impairs cyclic electron flow around photosystem I, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 95 (1998) 9705-9709.
- [16] W.J. Nawrocki, F. Buchert, P. Joliot, F. Rappaport, B. Bailleul, F.-A. Wollman, Chlororespiration controls growth under intermittent light, *Plant physiology*, (2019) pp.01213.02018.
- [17] E. Mignolet, R. Lecler, B. Ghysels, C. Remacle, F. Franck, Function of the chloroplastic NAD(P)H dehydrogenase Nda2 for H<sub>2</sub> photoproduction in sulphur-deprived *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, *Journal of biotechnology*, 162 (2012) 81-88.
- [18] Y. Munekage, M. Hashimoto, C. Miyake, K.-I. Tomizawa, T. Endo, M. Tasaka, T. Shikanai, Cyclic electron flow around photosystem I is essential for photosynthesis, *Nature*, 429 (2004) 579.
- [19] G. DalCorso, P. Pesaresi, S. Masiero, E. Aseeva, D. Schünemann, G. Finazzi, P. Joliot, R. Barbato, D. Leister, A Complex Containing PGRL1 and PGR5 Is Involved in the Switch between Linear and Cyclic Electron Flow in Arabidopsis, *Cell*, 132 (2008) 273-285.
- [20] B. Nandha, G. Finazzi, P. Joliot, S. Hald, G.N. Johnson, The role of PGR5 in the redox poisoning of photosynthetic electron transport, *Biochimica et biophysica acta*, 1767 (2007) 1252-1259.
- [21] D. Godaux, B. Bailleul, N. Berne, P. Cardol, Induction of Photosynthetic Carbon Fixation in Anoxia Relies on Hydrogenase Activity and Proton-Gradient Regulation-Like1-Mediated Cyclic Electron Flow in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, *Plant physiology*, 168 (2015) 648-658.
- [22] J. Alric, Redox and ATP control of photosynthetic cyclic electron flow in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*: (II) involvement of the PGR5-PGRL1 pathway under anaerobic conditions, *Biochimica et biophysica acta*, 1837 (2014) 825-834.
- [23] X. Johnson, J. Steinbeck, R.M. Dent, H. Takahashi, P. Richaud, S.I. Ozawa, L. Houille-Vernes, D. Petroustos, F. Rappaport, A.R. Grossman, K.K. Niyogi, M. Hippler, J. Alric, Proton Gradient Regulation 5-Mediated Cyclic Electron Flow under ATP- or Redox-Limited Conditions: A Study of Delta ATPase *pgr5* and Delta *rbcL pgr5* Mutants in the Green Alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, *Plant physiology*, 165 (2014) 438-452.

- [24] Y. Munekage, M. Hojo, J. Meurer, T. Endo, M. Tasaka, T. Shikanai, PGR5 Is Involved in Cyclic Electron Flow around Photosystem I and Is Essential for Photoprotection in Arabidopsis, *Cell*, 110 (2002) 361-371.
- [25] S. Toshiharu, Cyclic Electron Transport Around Photosystem I: Genetic Approaches, *Annual review of plant biology*, 58 (2007) 199-217.
- [26] A.P. Hertle, T. Blunder, T. Wunder, P. Pesaresi, M. Pribil, U. Armbruster, D. Leister, PGRL1 is the elusive ferredoxin-plastoquinone reductase in photosynthetic cyclic electron flow, *Molecular cell*, 49 (2013) 511-523.
- [27] P.-Å. Albertsson, A quantitative model of the domain structure of the photosynthetic membrane, *Trends in plant science*, 6 (2001) 349-354.
- [28] G. DalCorso, P. Pesaresi, S. Masiero, E. Aseeva, D. Schunemann, G. Finazzi, P. Joliot, R. Barbato, D. Leister, A complex containing PGRL1 and PGR5 is involved in the switch between linear and cyclic electron flow in Arabidopsis, *Cell*, 132 (2008) 273-285.
- [29] P. Mitchell, The protonmotive Q cycle: a general formulation, *FEBS letters*, 59 (1975) 137-139.
- [30] J. Lavergne, Membrane potential-dependent reduction of cytochrome b-6 in an algal mutant lacking Photosystem I centers, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics*, 725 (1983) 25-33.
- [31] D. Stroebel, Y. Choquet, J.L. Popot, D. Picot, An atypical haem in the cytochrome b(6)f complex, *Nature*, 426 (2003) 413-418.
- [32] G. Kurisu, H. Zhang, J.L. Smith, W.A. Cramer, Structure of the Cytochrome b(6)f Complex of Oxygenic Photosynthesis: Tuning the Cavity, *Science*, 302 (2003) 1009.
- [33] W.A. Cramer, S.S. Hasan, E. Yamashita, The Q cycle of cytochrome bc complexes: A structure perspective, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics*, 1807 (2011) 788-802.
- [34] A.R. Crofts, The Q-cycle – A Personal Perspective, *Photosynthesis research*, 80 (2004) 223-243.
- [35] D. Baniulis, E. Yamashita, H. Zhang, S.S. Hasan, W.A. Cramer, Structure–Function of the Cytochrome b(6)f Complex†, *Photochemistry and photobiology*, 84 (2008) 1349-1358.
- [36] C.C. Page, C.C. Moser, X. Chen, P.L. Dutton, Natural engineering principles of electron tunnelling in biological oxidation–reduction, *Nature*, 402 (1999) 47.
- [37] H.M. Zhang, J.P. Whitelegge, W.A. Cramer, Ferredoxin : NADP(+) oxidoreductase is a subunit of the chloroplast cytochrome b(6)f complex, *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 276 (2001) 38159-38165.
- [38] L. Mosebach, C. Heilmann, R. Mutoh, P. Gäbelein, J. Steinbeck, T. Happe, T. Ikegami, G. Hanke, G. Kurisu, M. Hippler, Association of Ferredoxin:NADP+ oxidoreductase with the photosynthetic apparatus modulates electron transfer in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, *Photosynthesis research*, 134 (2017) 291-306.
- [39] C.C. Correll, M.L. Ludwig, C.M. Bruns, P.A. Karplus, Structural prototypes for an extended family of flavoprotein reductases: comparison of phthalate dioxygenase reductase with ferredoxin reductase and ferredoxin, *Protein science : a publication of the Protein Society*, 2 (1993) 2112-2133.
- [40] J. Alric, Y. Pierre, D. Picot, J. Lavergne, F. Rappaport, Spectral and redox characterization of the heme ci of the cytochrome b(6)f complex, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 102 (2005) 15860-15865.
- [41] S. Hald, B. Nandha, P. Gallois, G.N. Johnson, Feedback regulation of photosynthetic electron transport by NADP(H) redox poise, *Biochimica et biophysica acta*, 1777 (2008) 433-440.
- [42] P. Joliot, A. Joliot, The low-potential electron-transfer chain in the cytochrome bf complex, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics*, 933 (1988) 319-333.
- [43] H. Takahashi, S. Schmollinger, J.-H. Lee, M. Schroda, F. Rappaport, F.-A. Wollman, O. Vallon, PTO Interacts with Other Effectors of Cyclic Electron Flow in *Chlamydomonas*, *Molecular plant*, 9 (2016) 558-568.
- [44] F. Buchert, M. Hamon, P. Gäbelein, M. Scholz, M. Hippler, F.-A. Wollman, The labile interactions of cyclic electron flow effector proteins, *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 293 (2018) 17559-17573.
- [45] M. Terashima, D. Petroustos, M. Hudig, I. Tolstygina, K. Trompelt, P. Gabelein, C. Fufezan, J. Kudla, S. Weinl, G. Finazzi, M. Hippler, Calcium-dependent regulation of cyclic photosynthetic electron transfer by a CAS, ANR1, and PGRL1 complex, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109 (2012) 17717-17722.

- [46] W.A. Cramer, H. Zhang, J. Yan, G. Kurisu, J.L. Smith, Transmembrane Traffic in the Cytochrome b<sub>6</sub>f Complex, *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, 75 (2006) 769-790.
- [47] M. Swierczek, E. Cieluch, M. Sarewicz, A. Borek, C.C. Moser, P.L. Dutton, A. Osyczka, An electronic bus bar lies in the core of cytochrome bc<sub>1</sub>, *Science*, 329 (2010) 451-454.
- [48] P. Lanciano, D.W. Lee, H. Yang, E. Darrouzet, F. Daldal, Intermonomer electron transfer between the low-potential b hemes of cytochrome bc<sub>1</sub>, *Biochemistry*, 50 (2011) 1651-1663.
- [49] S.S. Hasan, Elizabeth A. Proctor, E. Yamashita, Nikolay V. Dokholyan, William A. Cramer, Traffic within the Cytochrome b<sub>6</sub>f Lipoprotein Complex: Gating of the Quinone Portal, *Biophysical journal*, 107 (2014) 1620-1628.
- [50] P. Joliot, A. Joliot, Mechanism of proton-pumping in the cytochrome b/f complex, *Photosynthesis research*, 9 (1986) 113-124.
- [51] C.C. Moser, J.M. Keske, K. Warncke, R.S. Farid, P.L. Dutton, Nature of biological electron transfer, *Nature*, 355 (1992) 796.
- [52] S. Hong, D. Victoria, A.R. Crofts, Inter-monomer electron transfer is too slow to compete with monomeric turnover in bc<sub>1</sub> complex, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics*, 1817 (2012) 1053-1062.
- [53] F.J. Van Eerden, M.N. Melo, P.W.J.M. Frederix, X. Periole, S.J. Marrink, Exchange pathways of plastoquinone and plastoquinol in the photosystem II complex, *Nature communications*, 8 (2017) 15214.
- [54] J.F. Allen, Cyclic, pseudocyclic and noncyclic photophosphorylation: new links in the chain, *Trends in plant science*, 8 (2003) 15-19.
- [55] J. Alric, The plastoquinone pool, poised for cyclic electron flow?, *Frontiers in plant science*, 6 (2015) 540.
- [56] P. Joliot, A. Joliot, Cyclic electron transfer in plant leaf, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 99 (2002) 10209-10214.
- [57] P. Ilik, A. Pavlovic, R. Kouril, A. Alboresi, T. Morosinotto, Y. Allahverdiyeva, E.M. Aro, H. Yamamoto, T. Shikanai, Alternative electron transport mediated by flavodiiron proteins is operational in organisms from cyanobacteria up to gymnosperms, *The New phytologist*, 214 (2017) 967-972.
- [58] C. Gerotto, A. Alboresi, A. Meneghesso, M. Jokel, M. Suorsa, E.M. Aro, T. Morosinotto, Flavodiiron proteins act as safety valve for electrons in *Physcomitrella patens*, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 113 (2016) 12322-12327.
- [59] L. Dumas, F. Zito, S. Blangy, P. Auroy, X. Johnson, G. Peltier, J. Alric, A stromal region of cytochrome b<sub>6</sub>f subunit IV is involved in the activation of the Stt7 kinase in *Chlamydomonas*, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114 (2017) 12063-12068.
- [60] A. Schonberg, A. Rodiger, W. Mehwald, J. Galonska, G. Christ, S. Helm, D. Thieme, P. Majovsky, W. Hoehenwarter, S. Baginsky, Identification of STN7/STN8 kinase targets reveals connections between electron transport, metabolism and gene expression, *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology*, (2017).
- [61] G.U.Y. Hanke, P. Mulo, Plant type ferredoxins and ferredoxin-dependent metabolism, *Plant, cell & environment*, 36 (2013) 1071-1084.
- [62] P. Mulo, Chloroplast-targeted ferredoxin-NADP<sup>+</sup> oxidoreductase (FNR): Structure, function and location, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics*, 1807 (2011) 927-934.
- [63] M. Iwai, K. Takizawa, R. Tokutsu, A. Okamuro, Y. Takahashi, J. Minagawa, Isolation of the elusive supercomplex that drives cyclic electron flow in photosynthesis, *Nature*, 464 (2010) 1210-U1134.
- [64] K.N.S. Yadav, D.A. Semchonok, L. Nosek, R. Kouril, G. Fucile, E.J. Boekema, L.A. Eichacker, Supercomplexes of plant photosystem I with cytochrome b<sub>6</sub>f, light-harvesting complex II and NDH, *Bba-Bioenergetics*, 1858 (2017) 12-20.
- [65] H. Takahashi, S. Clowez, F.A. Wollman, O. Vallon, F. Rappaport, Cyclic electron flow is redox-controlled but independent of state transition, *Nature communications*, 4 (2013) 1954.
- [66] X. Johnson, J. Steinbeck, R.M. Dent, H. Takahashi, P. Richaud, S. Ozawa, L. Houille-Vernes, D. Petroutsos, F. Rappaport, A.R. Grossman, K.K. Niyogi, M. Hippler, J. Alric, Proton gradient regulation 5-mediated cyclic electron flow under ATP- or redox-limited conditions: a study of DeltaATpase pgr5 and DeltarbcL pgr5 mutants in the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, *Plant physiology*, 165 (2014) 438-452.
- [67] J.M. Anderson, Cytochrome b<sub>6</sub>f complex: Dynamic molecular organization, function and acclimation, *Photosynthesis research*, 34 (1992) 341-357.

- [68] O. Vallon, L. Bulte, P. Dainese, J. Olive, R. Bassi, F.A. Wollman, Lateral redistribution of cytochrome b6/f complexes along thylakoid membranes upon state transitions, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 88 (1991) 8262-8266.
- [69] B. Drop, K.N.S. Yadav, E.J. Boekema, R. Croce, Consequences of state transitions on the structural and functional organization of Photosystem I in the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, *Plant Journal*, 78 (2014) 181-191.
- [70] J. Steinbeck, I.L. Ross, R. Rothnagel, P. Gäbelein, S. Schulze, N. Giles, R. Ali, R. Drysdale, E. Sieracki, Y. Gambin, H. Stahlberg, Y. Takahashi, M. Hippler, B. Hankamer, Structure of a PSI-LHCI-cyt b<sub>6</sub>/f supercomplex in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* promoting cyclic electron flow under anaerobic conditions, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115 (2018) 10517-10522.
- [71] M.L. Genova, G. Lenaz, Functional role of mitochondrial respiratory supercomplexes, *Bba-Bioenergetics*, 1837 (2014) 427-443.
- [72] J.A. Letts, K. Fiedorczuk, L.A. Sazanov, The architecture of respiratory supercomplexes, *Nature*, 537 (2016) 644.
- [73] M. Trouillard, B. Meunier, F. Rappaport, Questioning the functional relevance of mitochondrial supercomplexes by time-resolved analysis of the respiratory chain, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 108 (2011) E1027-1034.
- [74] B. Drop, K.N.S. Yadav, E.J. Boekema, R. Croce, Consequences of state transitions on the structural and functional organization of photosystem I in the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology*, 78 (2014) 181-191.
- [75] R. Kouril, O. Strouhal, L. Nosek, R. Lenobel, I. Chamrad, E.J. Boekema, M. Sebela, P. Ilik, Structural characterization of a plant photosystem I and NAD(P)H dehydrogenase supercomplex, *Plant Journal*, 77 (2014) 568-576.
- [76] L. Peng, Y. Fukao, M. Fujiwara, T. Takami, T. Shikanai, Efficient Operation of NAD(P)H Dehydrogenase Requires Supercomplex Formation with Photosystem I via Minor LHCI in *Arabidopsis*, *The Plant cell*, 21 (2009) 3623-3640.

