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#### Abstract

In this paper we are interested in the large time behavior of linear kinetic equations with heavy-tailed local equilibria. Our main contribution concerns the kinetic Lévy-FokkerPlanck equation, for which we adapt hypocoercivity techniques in order to show that solutions converge exponentially fast to the global equilibrium. Compared to the classical kinetic FokkerPlanck equation, the issues here concern the lack of symmetry of the non-local Lévy-FokkerPlanck operator and the understanding of its regularization properties. As a complementary related result, we also treat the case of the heavy-tailed BGK equation.
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## 1. Introduction

We consider a distribution function $f \equiv f(t, x, v)$ which depends on time $t \geqslant 0$, position $x \in \mathbb{T}^{d}$ and velocity $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and satisfies the fractional kinetic Fokker-Planck equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} f+v \cdot \nabla_{x} f=\nabla_{v} \cdot(v f)-\left(-\Delta_{v}\right)^{\alpha / 2} f \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we assume $\alpha \in(0,2)$ and the fractional Laplacian $-\left(-\Delta_{v}\right)^{\alpha / 2}$ is such that for any Schwartz function $g: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, one has $\mathcal{F}\left(\left(-\Delta_{v}\right)^{\alpha / 2} g\right)(\xi)=|\xi|^{\alpha} \mathcal{F}(g)(\xi)$ where $\mathcal{F}(\cdot)$ denotes the Fourier transform. There are many equivalent definitions of the fractional Laplacian (see [11]). Among them we shall use (here, P.V. stands for the principal value)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\Delta_{v}\right)^{\alpha / 2} g(v)=C_{d, \alpha} \text { P.V. } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{g(v)-g(w)}{|v-w|^{d+\alpha}} \mathrm{d} w \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $C_{d, \alpha}$ is given by $C_{d, \alpha}=2^{\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{d+\alpha}{2}\right) /\left(\pi^{d / 2}\left|\Gamma\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\right|\right)$ where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function. In the following we drop the principal value in the notations. We denote the Lévy-Fokker-Planck operator appearing on the right-hand side of (1) by

$$
L_{\alpha} g=\nabla_{v} \cdot(v g)-\left(-\Delta_{v}\right)^{\alpha / 2} g
$$

By passing to Fourier variables one has $\mathcal{F}\left(L_{\alpha} g\right)(\xi)=-\xi \cdot \nabla_{\xi} \hat{g}(\xi)-|\xi|^{\alpha} \hat{g}(\xi)$, where $\hat{g}=\mathcal{F}(g)$. From this formula, one sees that the function

$$
\mu_{\alpha}(v)=Z_{d, \alpha}^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(e^{-|\xi|^{\alpha} / \alpha}\right)
$$

with $Z_{d, \alpha}$ chosen such that $\int \mu_{\alpha}=1$ is a probability distribution such that $L_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha}=0$. Observe that away from the origin, the Fourier transform of $\mu_{\alpha}$ is smooth and rapidly decaying at infinity. The singularity at $\xi=0$ behaves like $|\xi|^{\alpha}$ at principal order which yields that $\mu_{\alpha}(v)$ should decay as $|v|^{-\alpha-d}$ when $|v| \rightarrow \infty$. Actually, one has the following more precise bounds (see [1] and references therein). There exist some positive constants $C_{1}=C_{1}(\alpha, d)>0$ and $C_{2}=C_{2}(\alpha, d)>0$ such that for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}^{-1} \leqslant\left(|v|^{d+\alpha}+1\right) \mu_{\alpha}(v) \leqslant C_{1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}^{-1}|v| \leqslant\left(|v|^{2+d+\alpha}+1\right)\left|\nabla_{v} \mu_{\alpha}(v)\right| \leqslant C_{2}|v| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, given some measurable non-negative function $\nu \equiv \nu(v)$ we denote by $L_{v}^{2}(\nu)$ and $L_{x, v}^{2}(\nu)$ the spaces of measurable functions $g$ of respectively the $v$ and the $(x, v)$ variables such that $|g|^{2} \nu$ is integrable. We endow these spaces with their canonical scalar product and norm. We also introduce the corresponding Sobolev space $H_{x, v}^{1}(\nu)$ associated with the norm

$$
\|g\|_{H_{x, v}^{1}(\nu)}^{2}=\|g\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}(\nu)}^{2}+\left\|\nabla_{x} g\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}(\nu)}^{2}+\left\|\nabla_{v} g\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}(\nu)}^{2}
$$

Finally given an integrable function $g$, we denote $\langle g\rangle=\iint_{\mathbb{T}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} g(x, v) \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{~d} x$ the global mass of $g$. The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f$ be a solution of the kinetic Lévy-Fokker-Planck equation (1) with initial data $f^{i n} \in H_{x, v}^{1}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)$. Then, for all $t \geqslant 0$ one has

$$
\left\|f(t)-\left\langle f^{i n}\right\rangle \mu_{\alpha}\right\|_{H_{x, v}^{1}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)} \leqslant C\left\|f^{i n}-\left\langle f^{i n}\right\rangle \mu_{\alpha}\right\|_{H_{x, v}^{1}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)} e^{-\lambda t}
$$

for some constant $C \geqslant 1$ and $\lambda>0$ depending only on $d$ and $\alpha$.
Let us mention that these results have been obtained as a preliminary step towards the conception and analysis of numerical schemes preserving the long-time behavior of these equations. This topic is an ongoing work [2] in the spirit of what has previously been done in $[7,3]$ in the case of the classical Fokker-Planck equation. The compatibility of our schemes with anomalous diffusion limit will also be investigated (see [6] for more details).

Before going into the analysis of our problem, let us recall that results on large time behavior of solutions to the homogeneous version of (1), namely $\partial_{t} f(t, v)=L_{\alpha} f(t, v)$, have been obtained in [8] in spaces of type $L_{v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)$ (among others) and later in [12] in larger Lebesgue spaces. Notice that the presence of the transport operator in our equation (1) makes the analysis more intricate and requires the use of hypocoercivity techniques. In the present note, we use $H^{1}$ type hypocercivity as presented in [13] or [9] for example. Note also that fractional hypocoercivity
has already been studied recently in [4]. However, the framework is quite different from ours since the phase space is $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and a $L^{2}$-hypocoercivity approach is developed.

In the same spirit of our work, let us also mention the paper [10] in which some hypoelliptic estimates are obtained on the non homogeneous fractional Kolmogorov equation (there is no drift term in the studied equation). The method of proof is quite close (based on the use of weighted Lyapunov functional) but the final goal is different in the latter since the main concern is about regularization properties of the equation and not convergence towards the equilibrium.

In the present study we focus on a good understanding of the structure of the Lévy-FokkerPlanck operator since we endeavour to carry out our computations as simply as possible in order to adapt our analysis to a discrete framework in [2]. In particular let us point out that we do not need fractional derivatives in our Lyapunov functionals and our proof does not rely on Fourier transform. In this sense our method differs completely from that of [10] and the recent [4] in which a mode by mode analysis is developed.
Outline of the note. From Section 2 to Section 4, we carry out the analysis of the properties of the Lévy-Fokker-Planck operator that will be useful for proving our main result. Then, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is done in Section 5. In the last section we state and prove the equivalent of Theorem 1.1 for the BGK equation with heavy-tailed equilibrium.
Notations. For simplicity, in the subsequent proofs, we denote by $C$ a positive constant depending only on fixed numbers (including $d$ and $\alpha$ ) and its value may change from line to line.

## 2. The Lévy-Fokker-Planck operator as bilinear form

The following quite simple decomposition is actually one of the key elements of our hypocoercive analysis carried out in Section 5. Compared to the non-fractional case, we here have a lack of symmetry of our operator in $L_{v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)$ and the following splitting is very helpful to simplify the computations. Moreover, in the non-fractional case, there is a gain of weight in velocity which comes from the particular form of the gradient of the Gaussian equilibrium. Even though we no longer have such a gain in our case, we are still able to close our estimates thanks to the following splitting.

Proposition 2.1. One has the decomposition

$$
-\left\langle L_{\alpha} f, g\right\rangle_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}=\mathcal{S}_{v}(f, g)+\mathcal{A}_{v}(f, g),
$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{v}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{v}$ are bilinear forms that are respectively symmetric and skew-symmetric and defined by

$$
\mathcal{S}_{v}(f, g)=\frac{C_{d, \alpha}}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\left[\left(f \mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)(v)-\left(f \mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)(w)\right]\left[\left(g \mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)(v)-\left(g \mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)(w)\right]}{|v-w|^{d+\alpha}} \mu_{\alpha}(v) \mathrm{d} w \mathrm{~d} v,
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}_{v}(f, g)=\frac{C_{d, \alpha}}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\left(f \mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)(w)\left(g \mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)(v)-\left(f \mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)(v)\left(g \mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)(w)}{|v-w|^{d+\alpha}} \mu_{\alpha}(v) \mathrm{d} w \mathrm{~d} v \\
&+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(f v \cdot \nabla_{v}\left(g \mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)-g v \cdot \nabla_{v}\left(f \mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} v,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{d, \alpha}$ is defined in (2).

We skip the proof of this proposition since it is based on simple computations using the formula (2), integration by parts and the fact that $L_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha}=0$.

Observe that a direct consequence of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{v}(f, g) \leqslant \mathcal{S}_{v}(f, f)^{1 / 2} \mathcal{S}_{v}(g, g)^{1 / 2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f, g \in D\left(L_{\alpha}\right)$. Moreover, the symmetric form $\mathcal{S}_{v}$ is non-negative and $\mathcal{S}_{v}(f, f)$ vanishes when $f \mu_{\alpha}^{-1}$ is constant. This yields that the nullspace of $L_{\alpha}$ is exactly given by $\mathbb{R} \mu_{\alpha}$. From there the orthogonal projection $\Pi$ onto the nullspace of $L_{\alpha}$ is given by

$$
(\Pi g)(v)=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} g(w) \mathrm{d} w\right) \mu_{\alpha}(v) .
$$

## 3. Coercivity results for the Lévy-Fokker-Planck operator

One has the following coercivity result taken from [8, Theorem 2] and originating from [5].
Lemma 3.1 ([8]). There is a constant $C_{P} \equiv C_{P}(\alpha, d)>0$ such that for all $f \in D\left(L_{\alpha}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f-\Pi f\|_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2} \leqslant C_{P} \mathcal{S}_{v}(f, f) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now show that the dissipation $\mathcal{S}_{v}(f, f)$ also provides some fractional Sobolev regularity. We introduce the fractional Sobolev space $H_{v}^{s}$ with $s \in(0,1)$ with norm defined by $\|\cdot\|_{H_{v}^{s}}^{2}=$ $\|\cdot\|_{L_{v}^{2}}^{2}+\|\cdot\|_{\dot{H}_{v}^{s}}^{2}$ where the homogeneous Sobolev norm is given by $\|g\|_{\dot{H}_{v}^{s}}^{2}:=\left\|(-\Delta)^{s / 2} g\right\|_{L_{v}^{2}}^{2}$. One can prove that there exists a positive constant $\widetilde{C}_{d, s}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|g\|_{\dot{H}_{v}^{s}}^{2}=\widetilde{C}_{d, s} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}}|f(v)-f(w)|^{2}|v-w|^{-(d+2 s)} \mathrm{d} w \mathrm{~d} v \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2. There exists $C_{R} \equiv C_{R}(\alpha, d)>0$ such that for all $f \in D\left(L_{\alpha}\right)$,

$$
\mathcal{S}_{v}(f, f) \geqslant C_{R}^{-1}\left(\left\|f \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{\dot{H}_{v}^{\alpha / 2}}^{2}-\left\|f \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{v}^{2}}^{2}\right) .
$$

Proof. Using that $(a+b)^{2} \geqslant a^{2} / 2-b^{2}$, we have

$$
\mathcal{S}_{v}(f, f) \geqslant \frac{C_{d, \alpha}}{2} \iint_{|v-w| \leqslant 1} \frac{\left|\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1} f\right)(v)-\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1} f\right)(w)\right|^{2}}{|v-w|^{d+\alpha}} \mu_{\alpha}(v) \mathrm{d} w \mathrm{~d} v \geqslant \frac{C_{d, \alpha}}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} I_{1}-I_{2}\right) .
$$

The first term is

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & =\iint_{|v-w| \leqslant 1} \frac{\left|\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2} f\right)(v)-\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2} f\right)(w)\right|^{2}}{|v-w|^{d+\alpha}} \mathrm{d} w \mathrm{~d} v \\
& =\widetilde{C}_{d, \frac{\alpha}{2}}^{-1}\left\|\mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2} f\right\|_{\dot{H}_{v}^{\alpha / 2}}^{2}-\iint_{|v-w| \geqslant 1} \frac{\left|\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2} f\right)(v)-\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2} f\right)(w)\right|^{2}}{|v-w|^{d+\alpha}} \mathrm{d} w \mathrm{~d} v \\
& \geqslant \widetilde{C}_{d, \frac{\alpha}{2}}^{-1}\left\|\mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2} f\right\|_{\dot{H}_{v}^{\alpha / 2}}^{2}-C\left\|\mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2} f\right\|_{L_{v}^{2}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\widetilde{C}_{d, \frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is defined in (7) and for the last inequality, we used twice the integrability of $\mid v-$ $\left.w\right|^{-d-\alpha} \mathbb{1}_{|v-w| \geqslant 1}$, once in $v$ and once in $w$. The second term is

$$
I_{2}=\iint_{|v-w| \leqslant 1} \frac{\left|\mu_{\alpha}^{1 / 2}(v)-\mu_{\alpha}^{1 / 2}(w)\right|^{2}}{|v-w|^{d+\alpha}}|f(w)|^{2}\left|\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}(w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} w \mathrm{~d} v
$$

To treat $I_{2}$, we use Taylor formula to write

$$
I_{2}=\iint_{|w| \leqslant 1} \frac{\left|\int_{0}^{1} \nabla\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{1 / 2}\right)(v-\theta w) \cdot w \mathrm{~d} \theta\right|^{2}}{|w|^{d+\alpha}} \mu_{\alpha}^{-1}(v-w)\left|f(v-w) \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}(v-w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} w \mathrm{~d} v .
$$

Performing now the changes of variables $v \rightarrow v-\theta w$ and then $\theta \rightarrow 1-\theta$, we get:

$$
I_{2} \leqslant \iint_{|w| \leqslant 1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{1 / 2}\right)(v)\right|^{2}}{|w|^{d+\alpha-2}} \mu_{\alpha}^{-1}(v-\theta w)\left|f(v-\theta w) \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}(v-\theta w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \theta \mathrm{~d} w \mathrm{~d} v
$$

Notice that, using (3) and since $|w| \leqslant 1$, we have $\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}(v-\theta w) \leqslant C\left(1+|v|^{d+\alpha}\right)$. Then, using (4), one can prove that $\left|\nabla\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{1 / 2}\right)(v)\right|^{2} \mu_{\alpha}^{-1}(v-\theta w) \leqslant C$. Consequently, we obtain

$$
I_{2} \leqslant C \iint_{|w| \leqslant 1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{|w|^{d+\alpha-2}}\left|f(v-\theta w) \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}(v-\theta w)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \theta \mathrm{~d} w \mathrm{~d} v
$$

and thus performing a change of variable $I_{2} \leqslant C\left\|f \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{v}^{2}}^{2}$. This ends the proof.
Proposition 3.3. There is $C_{F} \equiv C_{F}(\alpha, d)$ such that for all $f \in D\left(L_{\alpha}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(f-\Pi f) \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{H_{v}^{\alpha / 2}}^{2} \leqslant C_{F} \mathcal{S}_{v}(f, f) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us now summarize the estimates that we have obtained in the two previous lemma. We have

$$
\mathcal{S}_{v}(f, f) \geqslant C_{P}^{-1}\|f-\Pi f\|_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right)}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{S}_{v}(f, f) \geqslant C_{R}^{-1}\left(\left\|f \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{\dot{H}_{v}^{\alpha / 2}}^{2}-\left\|f \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{v}^{2}}^{2}\right)
$$

Moreover, one can notice that $\mathcal{S}_{v}(f, f)=\mathcal{S}_{v}(f-\Pi f, f-\Pi f)$. As a consequence, an appropriate convex combination of the two previous inequalities shows (8).

## 4. An interpolation inequality

In this section we prove an interpolation result which is crucial in the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Proposition 4.1. For all $\varepsilon>0$, there is $K(\varepsilon) \equiv K(\varepsilon, \alpha, d)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla_{v} f\right\|_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2} \leqslant K(\varepsilon)\left(\mathcal{S}_{v}(f, f)+\|\Pi f\|_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2}\right)+\varepsilon C_{F} \mathcal{S}_{v}\left(\nabla_{v} f, \nabla_{v} f\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $C_{F}$ is defined in Proposition 3.3.

Proof. One can use the chain rule and an interpolation of $\dot{H}_{v}^{1}$ between $\dot{H}_{v}^{\alpha / 2}$ and $\dot{H}_{v}^{1+\alpha / 2}$ (easily shown in Fourier variables) to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(\nabla_{v} f\right) \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{v}^{2}}^{2} & \leqslant 2\left\|\nabla_{v}\left(f \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right)\right\|_{L_{v}^{2}}^{2}+2\left\|f\left(\nabla_{v} \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right)\right\|_{L_{v}^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leqslant K(\varepsilon)\left\|f \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{\dot{H}_{v}^{\alpha / 2}}^{2}+\varepsilon\left\|\nabla_{v}\left(f \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right)\right\|_{\dot{H}_{v}^{\alpha / 2}}^{2}+2\left\|f\left(\nabla_{v} \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right)\right\|_{L_{v}^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leqslant K(\varepsilon)\left\|f \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{H_{v}^{\alpha / 2}}^{2}+\varepsilon\left\|\left(\nabla_{v} f\right) \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{H_{v}^{\alpha / 2}}^{2}+C\left\|f \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{v}^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leqslant K(\varepsilon)\left\|f \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{H_{v}^{\alpha / 2}}^{2}+\varepsilon\left\|\left(\nabla_{v} f\right) \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{H_{v}^{\alpha / 2}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

up to changing the value of $K(\varepsilon)$ and where we used the fact that $\left|\left(\nabla_{v} \mu_{\alpha}\right) \mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right| \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ to bound the third term. Now observe that

$$
\left\|f \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{H_{v}^{\alpha / 2}}^{2} \leqslant 2\left(\left\|(f-\Pi f) \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{H_{v}^{\alpha / 2}}^{2}+\left\|(\Pi f) \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{H_{v}^{\alpha / 2}}^{2}\right)
$$

and that $\left\|(\Pi f) \mu_{\alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right\|_{H_{v}^{\alpha / 2}}=\|\Pi f\|_{L_{v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}\left\|\mu_{\alpha}^{1 / 2}\right\|_{H_{v}^{\alpha / 2}}$ with $\left\|\mu_{\alpha}^{1 / 2}\right\|_{H_{v}^{\alpha / 2}} \leqslant C$ since $\mu_{\alpha}^{1 / 2} \in H_{v}^{1}$ from (3) and (4). Moreover, one has $\nabla_{v} f=\nabla_{v} f-\Pi \nabla_{v} f$. One can conclude by using (8) twice.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Up to changing $f^{\text {in }}$ by $f^{\text {in }}-\left\langle f^{\text {in }}\right\rangle \mu_{\alpha}$, we assume that $\iint_{\mathbb{T}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} f(t, x, v) \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{~d} x=0$ at initial time $t=0$, so that by conservation it also holds for all time $t>0$. We introduce a new norm on the weighted Sobolev space $H_{x, v}^{1}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)$. It is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|^{2}=\|f\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2}+a\left\|\nabla_{x} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2}+b\left\|\nabla_{v} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2}+2 c\left\langle\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{v} f\right\rangle_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b$ and $c$ are positive constants to be determined later on. Observe that as soon as $c^{2}<$ $a b$, one has that $\|\|\cdot\|\|$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{H_{x, v}^{1}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}$. Let us note that the commutators [ $\nabla_{x}, v \cdot \nabla_{x}$ ] and $\left[\nabla_{x}, L_{\alpha}\right]$ vanish while $\left[\nabla_{v}, v \cdot \nabla_{x}\right]=\nabla_{x}$ and $\left[\nabla_{v}, L_{\alpha}\right]=\nabla_{v}$. Also observe that $v \cdot \nabla_{x}$ is skew-symmetric in $L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)$.

Let us estimate the evolution of each term appearing in the new norm defined in (10) for $f$ a solution of (1) with initial data $f^{i n}$ satisfying $\left\langle f^{i n}\right\rangle=0$. In the following the notation $\mathcal{S}_{x, v}$ denotes the integral of $\mathcal{S}_{v}$ in the $x$ variable. One has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\|f\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2} & =-\mathcal{S}_{x, v}(f, f), \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\|\nabla_{x} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2} & =-\mathcal{S}_{x, v}\left(\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{x} f\right), \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\|\nabla_{v} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2} & =-\mathcal{S}_{x, v}\left(\nabla_{v} f, \nabla_{v} f\right)+\left\|\nabla_{v} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2}-\left\langle\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{v} f\right\rangle_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}, \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\langle\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{v} f\right\rangle_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)} & =-\left\|\nabla_{x} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2}-2 \mathcal{S}_{x, v}\left(\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{v} f\right)+\left\langle\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{v} f\right\rangle_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice here that the keystone of the proof of the last equality is the splitting obtained in Proposition 2.1. By gathering all the previous estimates one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\|f\|^{2}=-\mathcal{S}_{x, v}(f, f)-a \mathcal{S}_{x, v}\left(\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{x} f\right) & -b \mathcal{S}_{x, v}\left(\nabla_{v} f, \nabla_{v} f\right)-c\left\|\nabla_{x} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2} \\
+b\left\|\nabla_{v} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2}- & b\left\langle\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{v} f\right\rangle_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)} \\
& -2 c \mathcal{S}_{x, v}\left(\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{v} f\right)+c\left\langle\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{v} f\right\rangle_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first four terms are dissipation terms and the last four terms are remainder terms. Let us control the latter by the former ones. By integrating (5) in $x$ and using Young's inequality one gets

$$
\left|2 c \mathcal{S}_{x, v}\left(\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{v} f\right)\right| \leqslant \frac{2 c^{2}}{b} \mathcal{S}_{x, v}\left(\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{x} f\right)+\frac{b}{2} \mathcal{S}_{x, v}\left(\nabla_{v} f, \nabla_{v} f\right)
$$

Then since $\int \nabla_{v} f \mathrm{~d} v=0$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
b\left|\left\langle\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{v} f\right\rangle_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}\right| & =b\left|\left\langle\nabla_{x} f-\Pi\left(\nabla_{x} f\right), \nabla_{v} f\right\rangle_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}\right| \\
& \leqslant b C_{P}^{1 / 2} \mathcal{S}_{x, v}\left(\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{x} f\right)^{1 / 2}\left\|\nabla_{v} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)} \\
& \leqslant \frac{b C_{P}}{2} \mathcal{S}_{x, v}\left(\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{x} f\right)+\frac{b}{2}\left\|\nabla_{v} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used (6). Similarly

$$
c\left|\left\langle\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{v} f\right\rangle_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}\right| \leqslant \frac{c^{2} C_{P}}{2 b} \mathcal{S}_{x, v}\left(\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{x} f\right)+\frac{b}{2}\left\|\nabla_{v} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2} .
$$

For the last remainder term we use (9) integrated in $x$, namely

$$
\left\|\nabla_{v} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2} \leqslant K(\varepsilon)\left(\mathcal{S}_{x, v}(f, f)+\|\Pi f\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2}\right)+\varepsilon C_{F} \mathcal{S}_{x, v}\left(\nabla_{v} f, \nabla_{v} f\right)
$$

We can use the Poincaré inequality on the torus (since $f$ is mean-free) and the Jensen inequality to get $\|\Pi f\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2} \leqslant \widetilde{C}_{P}\left\|\nabla_{x} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2}$ where $\widetilde{C}_{P} \equiv \widetilde{C}_{P}(d)$ is the Poincaré constant of the $d$-dimensional torus. Thus eventually, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\|f\|^{2}+D(f, f) \leqslant 0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dissipation is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D(f, f)=(1-2 b K(\varepsilon)) \mathcal{S}_{x, v}(f, f)+\left(a-\frac{c^{2}}{b}\left(2+\frac{C_{P}}{2}\right)-\frac{b C_{P}}{2}\right) \mathcal{S}_{x, v}\left(\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{x} f\right) \\
&+\left(\frac{b}{2}-2 b \varepsilon C_{F}\right) \mathcal{S}_{x, v}\left(\nabla_{v} f, \nabla_{v} f\right)+\left(c-2 b \widetilde{C}_{P} K(\varepsilon)\right)\left\|\nabla_{x} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{-1}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now choose consecutively $\varepsilon, b, c$ and $a$ such that $0<\varepsilon<1 /\left(4 C_{F}\right), 0<b<1 /(2 K(\varepsilon))$, $c>2 b \widetilde{C}_{P} K(\varepsilon)$ and finally $a$ large enough so that $a>c^{2}\left(2+C_{P} / 2\right) / b+b C_{P} / 2$. It yields that the dissipation is non-negative and even that there is a constant $\lambda>0$ (depending on $a, b, c, \varepsilon$ ) such that $D(f, f) \geqslant \lambda\| \| f \|^{2}$. By a Gronwall type argument we have that $\|f(t)\|$ decays exponentially to 0 when $t \rightarrow \infty$.

## 6. The case of the heavy-tailed BGK equation

In this last section we consider another simple kinetic model

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} f+v \cdot \nabla_{x} f=\Pi_{M} f-f, \quad \text { with } \quad\left(\Pi_{M} f\right)(t, x, v)=M(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(t, x, w) \mathrm{d} w \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which the local equilibrium satisfies the following assumptions

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(v)>0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} M=1, \quad \text { and } \quad \nabla_{v} \ln (M) \in L^{\infty} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This allows for heavy-tailed distributions, namely $M$ such that $M(v) \sim_{|v| \rightarrow \infty}|v|^{-d-\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in(0,2)$.

Theorem 6.1. Assume that (13) holds and let $f$ solve the BGK equation (12) starting from the initial data $f^{i n} \in H_{x, v}^{1}\left(M^{-1}\right)$. Then, for all $t \geqslant 0$ one has

$$
\left\|f(t)-\left\langle f^{i n}\right\rangle M\right\|_{H_{x, v}^{1}\left(M^{-1}\right)} \leqslant C\left\|f^{i n}-\left\langle f^{i n}\right\rangle M\right\|_{H_{x, v}^{1}\left(M^{-1}\right)} e^{-\lambda t}
$$

for some constant $C \geqslant 1$ and $\lambda>0$ depending only on $d$ and $\left\|\nabla_{v} \ln (M)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}$.
The proof is similar and simpler than that of Theorem 1.1. We skip many details as the reader may go back to the proof of Theorem 1.1 in order to recover them.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Consider $f$ a solution to (12) with initial data $f^{i n}$ satisfying $\left\langle f^{i n}\right\rangle=0$. Let us observe that the commutators $\left[\nabla_{x}, v \cdot \nabla_{x}\right]$ and $\left[\nabla_{x}, \Pi_{M}\right]$ vanish while $\left[\nabla_{v}, v \cdot \nabla_{x}\right]=\nabla_{x}$ and also $\left[\nabla_{v}, \Pi_{M}\right]=\nabla_{v} \ln (M) \Pi_{M}$. Now with this in mind, and defining the triple norm of $f$ as in (10) with $\mu_{\alpha}$ replaced by $M$, one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\|f\|^{2}= & -\left\|f-\Pi_{M} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)}^{2} \\
& -a\left\|\nabla_{x} f-\Pi_{M} \nabla_{x} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)}^{2}-b\left\|\nabla_{v} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)}^{2}-c\left\|\nabla_{x} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)} \\
& +b\left\langle\nabla_{v} \ln (M) \Pi_{M} f, \nabla_{v} f\right\rangle_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)}-b\left\langle\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{v} f\right\rangle_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)} \\
& \quad-2 c\left\langle\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{v} f\right\rangle_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)}+c\left\langle\nabla_{v} \ln (M) \Pi_{M} f, \nabla_{x} f\right\rangle_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

First, we notice that $\left\langle\nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{v} f\right\rangle_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)}=\left\langle\nabla_{x} f-\Pi_{M} \nabla_{x} f, \nabla_{v} f\right\rangle_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)}$ to deal with the third and fourth remainder terms with Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. The last remainder term requires some special care. Indeed, observe that since $\left\langle\nabla_{v} \ln (M) \Pi_{M} f, \Pi_{M} g\right\rangle$ vanishes for any $g$, one thus has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\nabla_{v} \ln (M) \Pi_{M} f, \nabla_{x} f\right\rangle_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)} \\
& \quad \leqslant\left\|\nabla_{v} \ln (M)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\Pi_{M} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)}\left\|\nabla_{x} f-\Pi_{M} \nabla_{x} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have that

$$
\left\langle\nabla_{v} \ln (M) \Pi_{M} f, \nabla_{v} f\right\rangle_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)} \leqslant\left\|\nabla_{v} \ln (M)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\Pi_{M} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)}\left\|\nabla_{v} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)}
$$

Finally, we recall that $\left\|\Pi_{M} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)} \leqslant \widetilde{C}_{P}\left\|\nabla_{x} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)}$ with $\widetilde{C}_{P}$ the Poincaré constant of the $d$-dimensional torus. Then using four times Young's inequality with well chosen weights, one obtains (11) with the dissipation

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(f, f)=\| f- & \Pi_{M} f\left\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)}^{2}+\left(a-b-4 c^{2} / b-C_{M} c / 2\right)\right\| \nabla_{x} f-\Pi_{M} \nabla_{x} f \|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)}^{2} \\
& +(b-b / 4-b / 4-b / 4)\left\|\nabla_{v} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)}^{2}+\left(c-b C_{M}-c / 2\right)\left\|\nabla_{x} f\right\|_{L_{x, v}^{2}\left(M^{-1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $C_{M}=\left\|\nabla_{v} \ln (M)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} \widetilde{C}_{P}^{2}$. One concludes as in Theorem 1.1 after choosing any $b>0$, $c>2 b C_{M}$ and finally $a>4 c^{2} / b+b+C_{d, M} c / 2$.
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