

Transport and storage of anthropogenic C in the North Atlantic Subpolar Ocean

Virginie Racapé, Patricia Zunino, Herlé Mercier, Pascale Lherminier, Laurent Bopp, Fiz F Pérez, Marion Gehlen

► To cite this version:

Virginie Racapé, Patricia Zunino, Herlé Mercier, Pascale Lherminier, Laurent Bopp, et al.. Transport and storage of anthropogenic C in the North Atlantic Subpolar Ocean. Biogeosciences, 2018, 15 (14), pp.4661-4682. 10.5194/bg-15-4661-2018 . hal-02382546

HAL Id: hal-02382546 https://hal.science/hal-02382546

Submitted on 16 Sep 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 

Transport and storage of anthropogenic C in the North Atlantic Subpolar Ocean

Virginie Racapé^{1,2}, Patricia Zunino³, Herlé Mercier³, Pascale Lherminier², Laurent Bopp^{1,4}, Fiz F. Pérèz⁵, and Marion Gehlen¹

¹LSCE/IPSL, Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'environnement, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, Orme des Merisiers, Bât. 712, CEA/Saclay, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, CEDEX, France

²IFREMER, Laboratoire d'Océanographie Physique et Spatiale, UMR 6523, CNRS-IFREMER-IRD-UBO, Plouzané, France
³CNRS, Laboratoire d'Océanographie Physique et Spatiale, UMR 6523, CNRS-IFREMER-IRD-UBO, Plouzané, France
⁴Département de Géosciences, Ecole Normale Supérieure, 24 rue Lhomond, 75005 Paris, France
⁵Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas, CSIC, Eduardo Cabello 6, 36208 Vigo, Spain

Correspondence: Virginie Racapé (virginie.racape@ifremer.fr)

Received: 12 December 2016 – Discussion started: 4 January 2017 Revised: 13 April 2018 – Accepted: 9 June 2018 – Published: 30 July 2018

Abstract. The North Atlantic Ocean is a major sink region for atmospheric CO₂ and contributes to the storage of anthropogenic carbon (Cant). While there is general agreement that the intensity of the meridional overturning circulation (MOC) modulates uptake, transport and storage of Cant in the North Atlantic Subpolar Ocean, processes controlling their recent variability and evolution over the 21st century remain uncertain. This study investigates the relationship between transport, air-sea flux and storage rate of Cant in the North Atlantic Subpolar Ocean over the past 53 years. Its relies on the combined analysis of a multiannual in situ data set and outputs from a global biogeochemical ocean general circulation model (NEMO-PISCES) at 1/2° spatial resolution forced by an atmospheric reanalysis. Despite an underestimation of Cant transport and an overestimation of anthropogenic air-sea CO₂ flux in the model, the interannual variability of the regional Cant storage rate and its driving processes were well simulated by the model. Analysis of the multi-decadal simulation revealed that the MOC intensity variability was the major driver of the Cant transport variability at 25 and 36° N, but not at OVIDE. At the subpolar OVIDE section, the interannual variability of Cant transport was controlled by the accumulation of Cant in the MOC upper limb. At multi-decadal timescales, long-term changes in the North Atlantic storage rate of Cant were driven by the increase in air-sea fluxes of anthropogenic CO2. North Atlantic Central Water played a key role for storing Cant in the

upper layer of the subtropical region and for supplying Cant to Intermediate Water and North Atlantic Deep Water. The transfer of Cant from surface to deep waters occurred mainly north of the OVIDE section. Most of the Cant transferred to the deep ocean was stored in the subpolar region, while the remainder was exported to the subtropical gyre within the lower MOC.

1 Introduction

Since the start of the industrial era and the concomitant rise of atmospheric CO₂, the ocean sink and inventory of anthropogenic carbon (Cant) have increased substantially (Sabine et al., 2004; Le Quéré et al., 2009, 2014; Khatiwala et al., 2013). Overall, the ocean absorbed 28 ± 5 % of all anthropogenic CO₂ emissions, thus providing a negative feedback to global warming and climate change (Ciais et al., 2013). Uptake and storage of Cant are nevertheless characterized by a significant and poorly understood variability on interannual to decadal timescales (Le Quéré et al., 2015; Wanninkhof et al., 2013). Any global assessment hides important regional differences, which could hamper detection of changes in the ocean sink in response to global warming and unabated CO₂ emissions (Séférian et al., 2014; McKinley et al., 2016).

The North Atlantic Ocean is a key region for Cant uptake and storage (Sabine et al., 2004; Mikaloff-Fletcher et al., 2006; Gruber et al., 2009; Khatiwala et al., 2013). In this region, storage of Cant results from the combination of two processes: (1) the northward transport of warm and Cant-laden tropical waters by the upper limb of the meridional overturning circulation (MOC; Álvarez et al., 2004; Mikaloff-Fletcher., 2006; Gruber et al., 2009; Pérez et al., 2013) and (2) deep winter convection in the Labrador and Irminger seas, which efficiently transfers Cant from surface waters to the deep ocean (Körtzinger et al., 1999; Sabine et al., 2004; Pérez et al., 2008). Both processes are characterized by high temporal variability in response to the leading mode of atmospheric variability in the North Atlantic, the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO). Hurrell (1995) defined the NAO index as the normalized sea-level pressure difference in winter between the Azores and Iceland. A positive (negative) NAO phase is characterized by a high (low) pressure gradient between these two systems corresponding to strong (weak) westerly winds in the subpolar region. Between the mid-1960s and the mid-1990s, the NAO changed from a negative to a positive phase. The change in wind conditions induced an acceleration of the North Atlantic Current (NAC), as well as increased heat loss and vertical mixing in the subpolar gyre (e.g., Dickson et al., 1996; Curry and McCartney, 2001; Sarafanov, 2009; Delworth and Zeng, 2016). Concomitant enhanced deep convection led to the formation of large volumes of Labrador Sea Water (LSW) with a high load of Cant (Lazier et al., 2002; Pickart et al., 2003; Pérez et al., 2008, 2013). Between 1997 and the early 2010s, the NAO index declined, causing a reduction in LSW formation (Yashayaev, 2007; Rhein et al., 2011) and a slowdown of the northward transport of subtropical waters by the NAC (Häkkinen and Rhines, 2004; Bryden et al., 2005; Pérez et al., 2013). As a result, the increase in the subpolar Cant inventory was below values expected solely from rising anthropogenic CO₂ levels in the atmosphere (Steinfeldt et al., 2009; Pérez et al., 2013).

Based on the analysis of time series of physical and biogeochemical properties between 1997 and 2006, Pérez et al. (2013) proposed that Cant storage rates in the subpolar gyre were primarily controlled by the intensity of the MOC. A weakening of the MOC would lead to a decrease in Cant storage and would give rise to a positive climatecarbon feedback. The importance of the MOC in modulating the North Atlantic Cant inventory was previously suggested by model studies, which projected a decrease in the North Atlantic Cant inventory over the 21st century in response to a MOC slowdown under climate warming (e.g., Maier-Reimer et al., 1996; Crueger et al., 2008; Schwinger et al., 2014). Zunino et al. (2014) extended the time window of analysis of Pérez et al. (2013) to 1997–2010. They proposed a novel proxy for Cant transport defined as the difference of Cant concentration between the upper and the lower limbs of the overturning circulation times the MOC intensity (please refer to the Supplement (Sect. S1) for a model-based discussion of the proxy and for the MOC intensity definition). The authors concluded that while the interannual variability of

V. Racapé et al.: Transport and storage of anthropogenic C

Cant transport across the OVIDE section was controlled by the variability of the MOC intensity, its long-term change depended on the increase in Cant concentration in the upper limb of the MOC. The latter reflects the uptake of Cant through gas exchange at the atmosphere–ocean boundary and questions the dominant role attributed to ocean dynamics in controlling Cant storage in the subpolar gyre at decadal and longer timescales (Pérez et al., 2013). Were the storage rate of Cant in the subpolar gyre indeed controlled at first order by the load of Cant in the upper limb of the MOC, the increase in the subpolar Cant inventory would follow the increase in atmospheric CO_2 over the 21st century despite a projected weakening of the intensity of MOC (Collins et al., 2013).

The objective of this study is to evaluate the variability of transport, air–sea flux and storage rate of Cant in the North Atlantic Subpolar Ocean and its drivers over the past 53 years (1959–2011). It relies on the combination of a multi-annual data set representative of the area gathered from 25° N to the Greenland–Iceland–Scotland sills over the period 2003–2011 and outputs from the global biogeochemical ocean general circulation model NEMO–PISCES at 1/2° spatial resolution forced by an atmospheric reanalysis (Bourgeois et al., 2016). The paper is organized as follows.

NEMO–PISCES and the in situ data are introduced in Sect. 2 and compared in Sect. 3 to evaluate model performance. An analysis of mechanisms controlling the interannual to decadal variability of the regional Cant fluxes and storage rate is presented in Sect. 4, and results are discussed in Sect. 5.

2 Material and methods

2.1 NEMO-PISCES model

This study is based on a global configuration of the ocean model system NEMO (Nucleus For European Modelling of the Ocean) version 3.2 (Madec, 2008). The quasi-isotropic tripolar grid ORCA (Madec and Imbard, 1996) has a resolution of 0.5° in longitude and $0.5^{\circ} \times \cos(\varphi)$ in latitude (ORCA05) and 46 vertical levels whereof 10 levels lie in the upper 100 m. It is coupled online to the Louvain-la-Neuve sea ice model version 2 (LIM2) and the biogeochemical model PISCES-v1 (Pelagic Interaction Scheme for Carbon and Ecosystem Studies; Aumont and Bopp, 2006). Parameter values and numerical options for the physical model follow Barnier et al. (2006) and Timmermann et al. (2005). Two atmospheric reanalysis products, DFS4.2 and DFS4.4, were used for this study. DFS4.2 is based on ERA-40 (Brodeau et al., 2010) and covers the period 1958-2007, while DFS4.4 is based on ERA-Interim (Dee et al., 2011) and covers the years 2002-2012. The simulation was spun up over a full DFS4.2 forcing cycle (50 years) starting from rest and holding atmospheric CO₂ constant to levels of the year 1870 (287 ppm). Temperature and salinity were initialized as in



Figure 1. Column inventory (molC m^{-2}) of anthropogenic carbon for the year 2010: (a) model output and (b) Khatiwala et al. (2009).



Figure 2. Locations of the 24.5° N and OVIDE sections in ORCA05–PISCES (black thick line) and observations (red points).

Barnier et al. (2006). Biogeochemical tracers were either initialized from climatologies (nitrate, phosphate, oxygen, dissolved silica from the 2001 World Ocean Atlas, Conkright et al., 2002, and preindustrial dissolved inorganic carbon (C_T) and total alkalinity (A_T) from GLODAP, Key et al., 2004) or from a 3000-year-long global NEMO–PISCES simulation at 2° horizontal resolution (iron and dissolved organic carbon). The remaining biogeochemical tracers were initialized with constant values.

At the end of the spin-up cycle, two 143-year long simulations were started in 1870 and run in parallel. The first one, the historical simulation, was forced with spatially uniform and temporally increasing atmospheric CO_2 concentrations (Le Quéré et al., 2014). In the second simulation, the natural simulation, the mole fraction of atmospheric CO_2 was kept constant in time at 287 ppm. Both runs

were forced by repeating 1.75 cycles of DFS4.2, interannually varying forcing over 1870 to 1957. Next DFS4.2 was used from 1958 to 2007. Simulations were extended up to 2012 by switching to DFS4.4 in 2002. No significant differences were found in tracer distributions and Cant related quantities between both atmospheric forcing products during the years of overlap (2002-2007). Carbonate chemistry and air-sea CO2 fluxes were computed by PISCES following the Ocean Carbon Cycle Model Intercomparison Project protocols (http://ocmip5.ipsl.jussieu.fr/OCMIP/, last access: 25 June 2018) and the gas transfer velocity relation provided by Wanninkhof (1992). Climate change trends and natural modes of variability are part of the forcing set used to force both simulations. Hence, any alteration of the natural carbon cycle in response to climate change (e.g., rising sea surface temperature) will be part of the natural simulation. The concentration of Cant, as well as anthropogenic CO₂ fluxes, is calculated as the difference between the historical (total C = natural + anthropogenic contribution) and natural simulations following Orr et al. (2017).

The model simulates a global ocean inventory of Cant in 2010 of 126 PgC. It is at the lower end of the uncertainty range of the estimate by Khatiwala et al. (2013) of 155 ± 31 PgC (Fig. 1). At the global scale, the error of the model is close to 6% (values excluding arctic region and marginal seas). The underestimation of the simulated Cant inventory compared to Khatiwala et al. (2013) is largely explained by the difference in the starting year of integration (Bronselaer et al., 2017): 1870 for this study as opposed to 1765 in Khatiwala et al. (2013). The coupled model configuration is referred to as ORCA05–PISCES hereafter. The reader is referred to Bourgeois et al. (2016) for a detailed description of the model and the simulation strategy.

OVIDE name	Month/year	Vessel	Reference	Expocode
OVIDE 2002	06-07/2002	N/O Thalassa	Lherminier et al. (2007)	35TH20020611
OVIDE 2004	06-07/2004	N/O Thalassa	Lherminier et al. (2010)	35TH20040604
OVIDE 2006	05-06/2006	R/V Maria S. Merian	Gourcuff et al. (2011)	06MM20060523
OVIDE 2008	06-07/2008	N/O Thalassa	Mercier et al. (2015)	35TH20080610
OVIDE 2010	06-07/2010	N/O Thalassa	Mercier et al. (2015)	35TH20100608
24.5° N-2011	01-03/2011	Sarmiento de Gamboa	Hernández-Guerra et al. (2014)	29AH20110128

Table 1. References of cruises used in this study.

2.2 Observational data sets

Observations used to evaluate the transport of Cant in ORCA05–PISCES were collected along the Greenland–Portugal OVIDE section and at 24.5° N following the tracks presented in Fig. 2. Simulated air–sea fluxes of CO_2 were compared to the observation-based gridded sea surface product of air–sea CO_2 fluxes from Landschützer et al. (2015a). Programs and/or data sets are briefly summarized below.

2.2.1 OVIDE data set

The OVIDE program aims to document and understand the origin of the interannual to decadal variability in circulation and properties of water masses in the North Atlantic Subpolar Ocean in the context of climate change (http://www.umr-lops.fr/Projets/Projets-actifs/OVIDE). Every 2 years since 2002, one spring-summer cruise was run between Greenland and Portugal (Table 1, Fig. 2). Dynamical (ADCP), physical (temperature, T, and salinity, S) and biogeochemical (alkalinity, A_T, pH, dissolved oxygen, O₂, and nutrients) properties were sampled over the entire water column at about 100 hydrographic stations. An overview of instruments, analytical methods and accuracies of each parameter is presented in Zunino et al. (2014). The concentration of C_T was calculated from pH and A_T following the recommendations and guidelines from Velo et al. (2010). The OVIDE data set is distributed as part of GLODAPv2 (Global Ocean Data Analysis Project; Olsen et al., 2016) (Table 1).

2.2.2 24.5° N data set

Data were collected along 24.5° N in 2011 between 27 January and 15 March as part of the Malaspina expedition (https: //www.expedicionmalaspina.es, last access: 25 June 2018) (Table 1, Fig. 2). As for the OVIDE program, ADCP, *T*, *S*, A_T, pH, O₂ and nutrients were sampled during the cruise and C_T was calculated from A_T and pH. For details on methods and accuracies, the reader is referred to Hernández-Guerra et al. (2014) for dynamical and physical properties and to Guallart et al. (2015) for the carbonate system. This data set is available from CCHDO (Clivar & Carbon Hydrographic Data Office; Table 1). For both data sets, C_T was combined with *T*, *S*, nutrients, O_2 and A_T to derive the Cant concentration following the φC_T method. Preindustrial atmospheric CO₂ was fixed at 278.8 ppm to compute the preindustrial C_T (Pérez et al., 2008; Vàzquez-Rodrìguez et al., 2009). This databased diagnostic uses water mass properties of the subsurface layer between 100 and 200 m as reference to evaluate preformed and disequilibrium conditions. An uncertainty of $5.2 \,\mu$ mol kg⁻¹ on Cant values was estimated from random error propagation in input parameters (Pérez et al., 2010). A comparison between different methods used to separate Cant from natural C_T in the Atlantic Ocean (Vàzquez-Rodrìguez et al., 2009) and along 24.5° N (Guallart et al., 2015) concluded to a good agreement between φC_T and the other methods.

2.2.3 Air-sea CO₂ flux data set

The gridded sea surface pCO_2 product of Landschützer et al. (2015a) is based on version 2 of the SOCAT data set (Bakker et al., 2014) and a two-step neural network method detailed in Landschützer et al. (2015b). It consists of monthly surface ocean pCO_2 values from 1982 to 2011 at a spatial resolution of $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$. Total air–sea CO₂ fluxes were derived from Eq. (1), where dCO_2 is defined as the difference of CO₂ partial pressures between the atmosphere and surface ocean, K_w is the gas transfer velocity and sol is the CO₂ solubility.

$$FCO_2^{\text{sea-air}} = K_w \times \text{sol} \times dCO_2 \tag{1}$$

 K_w was computed following Wanninkhof (1992) as a function of wind speed and it was rescaled to a global mean gas transfer velocity of 16 cm h⁻¹ using winds from ERA-Interim (Dee et al. 2011) as explained in Landschützer et al. (2014). Following Weiss (1994), sol was computed as a function of sea surface temperature (Reynolds et al., 2002) and sea surface salinity from Hadley Centre EN4 (Good et al. 2013).

2.3 Diagnostic of Cant transport and budget

2.3.1 Transport of Cant across a section

The simulated transport of Cant (T_{Cant}) across a section was evaluated either from online (computed during the simula-

tion) or from offline (computed using stored model output) diagnostics. The transport of Cant is the sum of advective, diffusive and eddy terms. These terms were integrated vertically from bottom to surface and horizontally from the beginning (A) to the end (B) of the section along a continuous line defined by zonal (y) and meridional (x) grid segments (Fig. S2). Positive values stand for northward and/or eastward transports. The advective term corresponds to the product of the horizontal velocity orthogonal to the section (V) times the concentration of Cant ([Cant], Eq. 2).

$${}^{m}T_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{adv}} = \int_{A}^{B} \int_{\text{bottom}}^{\text{surface}} V[\text{Cant}] dxydz$$
(2)

The diffusive term corresponds to the transport of Cant due to the horizontal diffusion. The subgrid-scale eddy transport was parameterized using Gent and McWilliams (1990). The online approach allowed advective, diffusive and eddy terms to be quantified, while the offline approach only allowed the calculation of the advective term. All terms of T_{Cant} were diagnosed from 2003 to 2011, the period for which the online diagnostics were available. Simulated T_{Cant} was compared to observation-based estimates from 24.5° N to the Greenland– Iceland–Scotland sills (Sect. 3.1). To study the long-term variability of Cant fluxes and storage rates (Sect. 3.2), the time window of analysis was extended to 1958–2012 and Cant transport was derived offline from yearly averaged model outputs according to Eq. (1).

2.3.2 Budget of Cant in the North Atlantic Ocean

The budget of Cant was computed for several North Atlantic subregions (boxes) defined later on. A budget was defined for each box as the balance between (i) the time rate of change in vertically and horizontally integrated Cant, (ii) the incoming and outgoing transport of Cant across boundaries of each region and (iii) the spatially integrated air–sea flux of anthropogenic CO_2 . The air–sea flux of total CO_2 was also computed over 2003–2011. All terms were estimated either from monthly (2003–2011) or yearly (1958–2012) averages of model outputs depending on the period of analysis. Relationships between Cant fluxes and storage rates were investigated for each region.

2.4 Diagnostic of heat transport

Heat transport across a section was computed from horizontal velocity orthogonal to the section times the heat term estimated from temperature and salinity using the international thermodynamic equations of seawater (TEOS 2010). Heat transport is used in Sect. 4.1 to evaluate model performance to reproduce the well-known mechanism controlling its interannual variability correctly and to compare to results for Cant transport.



Figure 3. Anthropogenic C budget of the North Atlantic Subtropical and Subpolar regions over the period 2003–2011. Average values and their standard deviations were estimated from smoothed time series. Horizontal arrows show total Cant transport in PgC yr⁻¹ (black font). Red numbers indicate Cant storage rate in PgC yr⁻¹. Vertical arrows show the air–sea fluxes of total (blue font) and anthropogenic (black font) CO₂ in PgC yr⁻¹. Boundaries and surface area (m²) of each box are indicated below the panels.

3 Model evaluation over the period 2003–2011

Figure 3 summarizes the budget of Cant in the North Atlantic simulated by the model over the period 2003–2011. In order to enable the comparison of the model-derived budget to previous estimates (e.g., Jeansson et al., 2011; Pérez et al. 2013; Zunino et al., 2014, 2015a, b; Guallart et al., 2015), we defined two boxes separated by the Greenland–Portugal OVIDE section. The first box extends from 25° N to the OVIDE section, and the second box extends from the OVIDE section to the Greenland–Iceland–Scotland sills. Seasonality was removed beforehand using a 12-month running filter.

3.1 Advective transport of Cant

In the model, over one-third of Cant entering in the southern box at 25° N (0.092 \pm 0.016 PgC yr⁻¹) is transported across the OVIDE section before leaving the domain through the Greenland-Iceland-Scotland sills (Fig. 3). The comparison between online and offline estimates of Cant transport across the OVIDE section confirms the dominant contribution of advection (Fig. S3) in line with Tréguier et al. (2006). Simulated transport of Cant (Fig. 3) is clearly underestimated: it is 3 times smaller than observations at 25° N (Zunino et al., 2015b) and at the OVIDE section (Pérez et al., 2013; Zunino et al., 2014, 2015a), whereas it is 1.5 to 2 times smaller than observations at the sills (Jeansson et al., 2011; Pérez et al., 2013). In order to identify the reasons for the underestimation of T_{Cant} in the model, simulated volume transport and concentration of Cant are compared to in situ estimates (Eq. 2) in the following paragraphs.

3.1.1 Mass transport across the Greenland–Portugal OVIDE section and 25° N

Figure 4 shows the accumulated volume transport simulated by ORCA05-PISCES along the Greenland-Portugal section compared to assessments based on observations from OVIDE. The simulated intensity of the MOC (see Sect. S1 for details of its estimation) underestimates the observational estimate of 15.5 ± 2.3 Sv (Mercier et al., 2015) for both the month of June $(13.4 \pm 0.6 \text{ Sv})$ and annual average values $(12.7 \pm 0.6 \text{ Sv vs. } 18.1 \pm 1.4 \text{ Sv in Mercier et al., } 2015; \text{ Ta-}$ ble 2). The overturning stream function simulated by the model shows an average pattern similar to the observationbased assessment despite a weaker maximum and differences in the transport distributions in the upper limb of the MOC (Fig. 4a). Some of those differences can be explained by examining the horizontal distribution of the transport and associated variability (Fig. 4b). The NAC, which flows northeastward in the upper limb of the MOC (Lherminier et al., 2010), is simulated with a lower variability and weaker intensity than in the observations (15 Sv instead of 25 Sv). The weaker NAC is not compensated by the transport overestimation above $\sigma_1 = 31.5 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$. As a result, the intensity of MOC is smaller in the model than in the observations. Figure 4b also shows that the model underestimates the cumulative volume transport for $\sigma_1 > 32.40 \text{ kg m}^{-3} (\sigma_0 > 27.7 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$, the latter being close to 0 Sv in the model (Fig. 4a) as opposed to 7 Sv reported by Lherminier et al. (2007) and García-Ibáñez et al. (2015). These high density classes encompass lower North East Atlantic Deep Water (INEADW), Denmark Strait Overflow Water (DSOW) and Iceland-Scotland Overflow Water (ISOW). Interestingly, the misfit between observationderived estimates and simulated volume transport is largest in the WBC in the Irminger and in the Iceland basins (Fig. 4b). This suggests that the significant underestimation of volume transport in these high density classes in the model is most likely due to the misrepresentation of Nordic overflows at the latitude of the OVIDE section.

At 25° N, the upper limb of the MOC, composed of North Atlantic Central Water (NACW), Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW) and Mediterranean Water (MW) (Talley et al., 2011; Hernández-Guerra et al., 2014), flows northward, while the lower limb transports North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) southward and Antarctic Bottom Water northward (AABW; Kuhlbrodt et al., 2007; Talley et al., 2011; Fig. 5b). Over January-March 2011, the MOC upper limb had an intensity of 9.0 ± 2.3 Sv in the model (Fig. 5a), while the lower limb showed a net flux of -10.8 ± 2.1 Sv (Fig. 5a). The intensity of simulated MOC was weaker (Table 3) than results reported by Hernández-Guerra et al. (2014) for the same period (Table 3). The magnitude of the simulated annual mean MOC over 2003–2011 (11.1 \pm 0.8; Table 3) was also low compared to the estimate from McCarthy et al. (2012) (mean MOC over 2005–2008 of 18.5 ± 1.0 Sv at 26° N). The large underestimation of the transport of the Nordic overflows is most likely at the origin of the underestimation of NADW transport and the MOC at 26° N (Fig. 5a).

3.1.2 Cant distribution in the North Atlantic Ocean and along the OVIDE section and 25° N

The simulated spatial distribution of Cant between 25° N and the Greenland-Iceland-Scotland sills (Fig. 1) is in good agreement with Khatiwala et al. (2013). The comparison of Cant concentrations is less satisfying, with an underestimation as large as 40 molC m⁻² of simulated maxima. Simulated and observed Cant along the Greenland-Portugal OVIDE section and 25° N also display similar patterns (Figs. 6 and 7). Despite this agreement, simulated concentrations along the OVIDE section are lower by $6.3 \pm 0.6 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{kg}^{-1}$ compared to observation-based estimates (Table 2). This deficit is more pronounced in the upper MOC ($\Delta Cant^{model-data} = -5.9 \pm 0.7 \,\mu mol \, kg^{-1}$) than in the lower MOC (Δ Cant^{model-data} = -3.6 ± 0.6 , Table 2). The largest difference between model and data (up to $-20 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{kg}^{-1}$; Fig. 6c) is detected in subsurface waters at the transition between East North Atlantic Central Water (ENACW) and Mediterranean Water (MW) and between the two limbs of the MOC. Figure 6 also reveals an underestimation by the model of Cant levels in INEADW (below 3500m depth in the western European basin) by 5 to $10 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{kg}^{-1}$, which is in line with a transport of Nordic overflow waters across the OVIDE section close to zero. The variability of the model-data differences at OVIDE (Fig. 6d) is the largest at the boundary between the upper and lower limbs of the MOC and between 700 and 2000 km off Greenland. The higher difference in this region is explained by an underestimation of the variability of the NAC intensity by ORCA05-PISCES.

At 25° N, the model also underestimates the Cant concentration by more than 10 μ mol kg⁻¹ on average, which is mainly due to a large underestimation in the MOC lower limb (Table 3). The largest difference between ORCA05– PISCES and observations, up to $-30 \,\mu$ mol kg⁻¹, is nevertheless found around 500 m depth. It is due to a subsurface vertical gradient of Cant that was shallower in the model than in the observations. The simulated averaged Cant content in the MOC upper limb is, however, comparable to the observations (Table 3) because of a compensation due to a thinner upper MOC in the model (Fig. 7a, b). Figure 7 also shows an underestimation of Cant below 3500 m depth by about 10 μ mol kg⁻¹ within AABW.

To summarize Sect. 3.1, the underestimation of Cant transport in ORCA05–PISCES is likely due to the combination of weak volume transports of NAC and Nordic overflows and low Cant concentrations. The latter is partly explained by the preindustrial condition for atmospheric CO₂ used by the model (287 ppm) compared to the φ CT method (278.8 ppm).



Figure 4. Volume transport (Sv) across the OVIDE section as simulated by the model for the month of June (continuous line for mean value; shaded band for confidence interval) and compared to the observation-based assessments (dashed line) over the period 2002–2010. In panel (a), the coast-to-coast integrated volume transport was accumulated from the bottom with a 0.01 kg m⁻³ resolution in density referenced to 1000 db (σ_1). The sign of the profile was changed to get a positive MOC magnitude. Black horizontal lines indicate the density level where the MOC magnitude is found in the model (continuous line; $\sigma MOC = 32.02 \pm 0.05$ kg m⁻³) and in the observations (dashed line; $\sigma MOC = 32.14$ kg m⁻³, Zunino et al., 2014). They also represent the separation between the upper (red) and lower (blue) limbs of the MOC. In panel (b), the volume transport was horizontally accumulated from Greenland to Portugal (km) and vertically integrated over the upper (red) and the lower (blue) limbs of the MOC. Vertical lines represent the limits of the North Atlantic Current (NAC) as reported in Mercier et al., 2015. The position of the western boundary current (WBC) is also indicated.

Table 2. Model–data comparison over the period covered by the OVIDE cruises (2002–2010). Average and standard deviation (SD) for observation-based estimates (column 2) and model output (columns 3 to 4). Model output: (1) June average, with SD being a measure of interannual variability, and (2) yearly average, with SD corresponding to the average interannual variability.

	OVIDE observations	ORCA0 June only	5–PISCES Yearly average
MOCσ (Sv)	15.5 ± 2.3	13.4 ± 0.6	12.7 ± 0.6
σ MOC (kg m ⁻³)	32.14	32.02 ± 0.05	31.95 ± 0.04
$[Cant]_{section}$ (µmol kg ⁻¹)	25.4 ± 1.8	18.4 ± 1.1	18.4 ± 1.1
[Cant] _{upper} (µmol kg ⁻¹)	45.2 ± 3.0	38.9 ± 3.0	39.4 ± 3.0
$[Cant]_{lower}$ (µmol kg ⁻¹)	19.4 ± 1.6	14.8 ± 1.0	14.9 ± 1.0

3.2 Air-sea fluxes of total and anthropogenic CO₂

Simulated air–sea fluxes of total and anthropogenic CO_2 (Fig. 3) are higher than those derived from in situ data by Pérez et al. (2013) their Fig. 3. For total CO_2 , the model–data difference is $0.013 \text{ PgC yr}^{-1}$ (northern box) and $0.103 \text{ PgC yr}^{-1}$ (southern box). For the anthropogenic component, it is $0.028 \text{ PgC yr}^{-1}$ (northern box) and $0.036 \text{ PgC yr}^{-1}$ (southern box). While the model overestimates CO_2 uptake, the ratio of anthropogenic to natural flux is comparable to previous estimates (Gruber et al., 2009; Schuster et al., 2013), which implies a similar overestimation of both components. To understand the origin of the overestimation of fluxes, simulated air–sea fluxes of total CO₂ were averaged over 2003–2011 and compared to observationbased estimates from Landschützer et al. (2015a), taken as representative of the SOCCOM exercise (Rödenbeck et al., 2015). The model overestimates CO₂ uptake mainly between the OVIDE section and the Greenland–Iceland–Scotland sills (Fig. 8a, b). The month of occurrence of the seasonal maximum or minimum air–sea CO₂ flux was diagnosed. It is presented in Fig. 8c, d. A seasonal phase shift between simulated fluxes and data-based estimates is observed north of 50° N, where the model strongly overestimates gas exchange.



Figure 5. Volume transport (Sv) integrated zonally at 24.5° N. In panel (a), the volume transport was computed in three water mass classes over the period January–March 2011. The three classes encompass (1) North Atlantic Central Water (NACW), Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW) and Mediterranean Water (MW) flowing in the upper MOC (red) and (2) North Atlantic Deep Water and (3) Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW) flowing in the lower MOC (blue). Model results (filled bar plot) are compared with the observation-based estimates from Hernández-Guerra et al. (2014) (hatched bar plot). In panel (b), the volume transport was computed in density level (σ_1 with 0.1 kg m⁻³ resolution) from model output over the year 2011. Black horizontal lines indicate the density where the MOC magnitude was found in the model over the study period ($\sigma_1 = 32.05$ from July to September and $\sigma_1 = 31.95$ for other months). They also represent the separation between the MOC limbs (upper in red, lower in blue).

Table 3. Model–data comparison along 25° N. Average and standard deviation (SD) for observation-based estimates (column 2) and model output (columns 3 to 5). Model output: (1) January to March 2011 average, with SD being a measure of winter variability, (2) 2011 average, with SD corresponding to the 2011 seasonal variability, and (3) 2003–2011 average, with SD being the interannual variability.

	24.5° N		ORCA05–PISCES	
	observations	winter only	2011 average	2003-2011 average
$MOC\sigma$ (Sv)	20.1 ± 1.4	10.8 ± 2.1	11.6 ± 1.9	11.1 ± 0.8
σ MOC (kg m ⁻³)	32.27	31.95 ± 0.00	32.02 ± 0.03	32.00 ± 0.03
$[Cant]_{section} (\mu mol kg^{-1})$	19.73	8.69 ± 0.02	8.73 ± 0.04	
$[Cant]_{upper}$ (µmol kg ⁻¹)	40.36	39.15 ± 0.01	38.86 ± 0.90	
$[Cant]_{lower}$ (µmol kg ⁻¹)	12.00	2.89 ± 0.1	2.86 ± 0.08	

Fluxes peak in winter in observations, while they reach their maximum in summer in the model. The seasonal change in surface water pCO_2 is dominated by biological activity north of 40° N and by temperature (or thermodynamics) between 20 and 40° N (Takahashi et al., 2002). The model reproduces the main driving process of seasonal variability of air–sea CO₂ fluxes in the subtropical region. However, the dominant effect of temperature extends too far north in the model because the latter failed to reproduce the air–sea gradient of winter pCO_2 . As a result, the seasonal change in CO₂ fluxes is dominated by the thermodynamical effect in the subpolar gyre, which enhances the ocean sink for atmospheric CO₂. Despite the seasonal phase shift noted in the

subpolar gyre, the amplitude of the interannual variability of total air–sea CO_2 fluxes (defined as the standard deviation of air–sea fluxes computed over 1982–2011 after removing the seasonal cycle; Fig. 9) is well reproduced by the model over the total domain, including north of 40° N where the variability is the largest.

3.2.1 Storage rate of Cant

Over 88 % of the simulated Cant flux entering the North Atlantic between 25° N and the Greenland–Iceland–Scotland sills (Fig. 3) is stored inside the region, predominantly south of the OVIDE section. The regional convergence of



Figure 6. Water column distribution of anthropogenic C concentrations (μ mol kg⁻¹) along the Greenland–Portugal OVIDE section in June 2002: (a) model output and (b) as estimated from the OVIDE data set. The mean and standard deviation of differences between these two assessments (model–observation) over the OVIDE period (June 2002-04-06-08-10) are displayed in panels (c) and (d). Black continuous and dashed lines indicate the limit between the upper and the lower MOC in the model and in the OVIDE data set, respectively.



Figure 7. Water column distribution of anthropogenic C concentrations (μ mol kg⁻¹) along 24.5° N during winter (JFM) 2011: (a) model output and (b) as estimated from the 24.5° N data set. Differences between the two assessments (model–observation) are displayed in panel (c). Black continuous and dashed lines indicate the limit between the upper and the lower MOC in the model and in the observations.



Figure 8. (a–b) Average total air–sea CO₂ fluxes (mol m² yr⁻¹) and months during which (c–d) the maximum or (e–f) the minimum value is reached in the North Atlantic Ocean over the period 2003–2011, as simulated by the ORCA05–PISCES model (left panels) and compared with the data-based estimate from Landschützer et al. (2015a) (right panel). Black lines indicate borders of boxes 25° N–OVIDE and OVIDE–sills.

Cant transport adds to the strong air–sea flux occurring in the region to explain simulated storage rates for 2003– 2011. The latter are in line with estimates from Pérez et al. (2013) (referenced to 2004: south 0.280 ± 0.011 and north 0.045 ± 0.004 PgC yr⁻¹). These results point towards the compensation in the model between the underestimation of Cant transport and the overestimation of anthropogenic CO₂ air–sea fluxes.

Next, the contribution of air–sea uptake and transport of Cant to the variability of the North Atlantic Cant inventory is derived for each box from the analysis of multi-annual time series of air–sea fluxes of anthropogenic CO₂, transport divergence of Cant (defined as the difference between incoming and outgoing Cant fluxes at the borders of the boxes) and Cant storage rate. Time series were smoothed as explained previously and trends were removed. Correlation coefficients (r) and p values are summarized in Table 4. Results suggest that, over the period 2003–2011, changes in Cant storage rate between 25° N and the Greenland–Iceland–Scotland sills are strongly correlated with a positive transport divergence of Cant. The dominant role of Cant transport over gas exchange is in line with previous observation-based assessments (Pérez et al., 2013; Zunino et al., 2014, 2015a, b). The main control of the interannual variability of the regional storage rate of Cant is thus well reproduced by the model despite its acknowledged deficiencies. In the following sections, the full simulations are used to study the interannual to



Figure 9. Interannual variability of air-sea flux of total CO_2 (mol m² yr⁻¹) for the period 1982–2011: (a) model output and (b) observationbased estimate (Landschützer et al., 2015a). Black lines indicate borders of boxes 25° N-OVIDE and OVIDE-sills. Interannual variability corresponds to the standard deviation computed from the time series of air-sea fluxes.

Table 4. Correlation coefficient (r) and p value between the time rate of change (Trate), the divergence of Cant transport (DT_{Cant}) and air-sea Cant flux (F_{Cant}) for the boxes, 25° N-OVIDE and OVIDE-sills, over the period 2003-2011. $DT_{Cant} = incoming$ outgoing Cant fluxes across the boundaries of boxes.

Box 25° N–OVIDE
Trate/DT _{Cant} : $r = 0.96$, p value = 0.00 Trate/ F_{Cant} : $r = -0.54$, p value = 0.00
Box OVIDE-sills
Trate/DT _{Cant} : $r = 0.95$, p value = 0.00 Trate/ F_{Cant} : $r = -0.71$, p value = 0.00

multi-decadal variability of the North Atlantic Cant storage rate and its driving processes since 1958.

4 Cant fluxes and storage rate in the North Atlantic Ocean (North of 25° N) since 1958

In this section, we present the analysis of the full period covered by our simulations (1958-2012). The objective is to better understand the interannual to decadal variability of the North Atlantic Cant storage rate and to identify the driving processes. The study area is now divided into three boxes: the first box extends from 25 to 36° N, the second box from 36° N to the OVIDE section and the third box from the OVIDE section to the Greenland-Iceland-Scotland sills. Compared with the previous section, the 36° N section was added to delimit the northern part of the subtropical region from the subpolar gyre as in Mikaloff-Fletcher et al. (2003).

4.1 Controls on interannual to decadal variability of **Cant transport**

Figure 10 presents annual time series (1958-2012) of the MOC intensity and the transports of heat and Cant across 25° N, 36° N and OVIDE. The analysis of annual time series (Table 5a) reveals a strong correlation between the intensity of the MOC and the heat transport across all three sections. Conversely, the transport of Cant only correlates with the MOC intensity at 36° N. As expected, circulation is the main driver of the interannual to decadal variability of heat transferred across the three sections (Johns et al., 2011; Mercier et al., 2015). Its impact on the Cant transport variability is, however, masked by additional processes.

The transport of Cant across all sections increased continuously over the period of study (Fig. 10, Table 5c). Neither heat transport, nor MOC intensity, nor the net volume of water transported across the sections display a similar increase (Table 5c). Zunino et al. (2014) attributed essentially the increase in the northward transport of Cant since 1958 to its accumulation in the northward flow of the MOC upper limb. In order to isolate the circulation effect, we removed the positive trend from the time series of Cant transport. The correlation (r) between the detrended Cant transport and the intensity of the MOC increased from 0.30 to 0.74 at 25° N and from 0.67 to 0.70 at 36° N (Table 5a and b). It did, however, not change at the OVIDE section (Table 5a and b). Circulation emerges as the dominant control of interannual to decadal variability of Cant transport at 25 and 36° N, but not across the OVIDE section.

4.2 Interannual to decadal variability of the North **Atlantic Cant inventory**

Figure 11 shows the budget of Cant from 1959 to 2011 for the three boxes. Each budget is composed of the storage rate of Cant, the air-sea flux of anthropogenic CO₂ and the



Figure 10. Annual time series of MOC intensity (Sv), heat transport (PW) and Cant transport (PgC yr⁻¹) simulated by the model at (**a**) the OVIDE section, (**b**) 36° N and (**c**) 25° N.

divergence of Cant transport. The storage rate of Cant increased continuously over the North Atlantic. The increase was largest in Box 2 (36° N-OVIDE), where the storage rate is multiplied by 3, followed by Box 1 ($25-36^{\circ}$ N), where it is doubled. Figure 11 also shows that the air-sea flux of anthropogenic CO₂ and the divergence of Cant transport contributed equally to changes in Cant inventory in the southern box between 1959 and 2011. From 36° N to the OVIDE section, the contribution of air-sea flux dominated prior to 1985. From 1985 onward, the transport divergence gained in importance, albeit with a pronounced interannual variability. In the northern box, changes in Cant inventory followed air-sea fluxes, with a weak contribution of transport divergence limited to interannual timescales. The significant positive correlation (Table 6a, no trend removed) between storage rate and air-sea flux in all three boxes suggests that during the past 53 years the latter controlled the Cant storage rate on multi-decadal scales. The transport divergence of Cant increased continuously from 1985 onward in boxes 1 and 2 and is positively correlated with changes in Cant storage rate over 1959–2011 (Table 6a, no trend removed). The Cant transport divergence did, however, not contribute to the long-term change in Cant inventory between the OVIDE section and the Greenland–Iceland–Scotland sills (Table 6a), where it is close to zero (incoming T_{Cant} = outgoing T_{Cant}).

The trend in response to increasing atmospheric CO_2 levels dominates the signal and the correlation at the expense of interannual variability. In order to identify the controls of interannual variability, the analysis was repeated with detrended time series. It reveals a strong correlation between the storage rate of Cant and its transport divergence for all three boxes (Table 6b). The correlation with air–sea fluxes is either not significant or weak (Table 6b). The analysis of model outputs suggests that while long-term changes in Cant storage rate are controlled by air–sea flux of anthropogenic CO_2 , its interannual variability is, on the other hand,



Figure 11. Annual time series of contributions to the anthropogenic carbon (Cant) budget ($Pg yr^{-1}$) simulated by the model (c) between 25 and 36° N, (b) between 36° N and the OVIDE section and (a) between the OVIDE section and the Greenland–Iceland–Scotland sills over the period 1959–2011. Contributions are the storage rate of Cant (red line), the air–sea flux of Cant (black dashed line) and the transport divergence of Cant (black full line).

Table 5. Summary of $(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b})$ the coefficient of correlation (with *p* value) between the MOC and the transport of heat or Cant at 25° N, 36° N and the OVIDE section. The analyses were done first with the original time series (**a** including trend) and with the detrended time series (**b** without trend). The trend for each term as well as those of volume transport are reported in the third part of this table (**c** trend).

	25° N	36° N	OVIDE
(a) Coefficient of correlation (p value) for time series including trend			
Theat vs. MOC	0.92 (0.00)	0.90 (0.00)	0.76 (0.00)
T _{Cant} vs. MOC	0.30 (0.02)	0.67 (0.00)	0.02 (0.90)
(b) Coefficient of con	rrelation (p value) for detrend	led time series	
T _{Cant} vs. MOC	0.74 (0.00)	0.70 (0.00)	0.01 (0.40)
(c) Trend			
T _{Cant} (1958–1960)	$0.030 \pm 0.002 {\rm PgC} {\rm yr}^{-1}$	$0.009 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{PgC} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$	$0.008 \pm 0.001 {\rm PgC yr^{-1}}$
T_{Cant} (2010–2012)	$0.095 \pm 0.024 {\rm PgC} {\rm yr}^{-1}$	$0.050 \pm 0.018 \mathrm{PgC} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$	$0.043 \pm 0.005 \mathrm{PgC} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$
T _{heat}	$0.0003 \pm 0.0004 \mathrm{PW} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$	$0.0016 \pm 0.0004 \mathrm{PW}\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$	$0.0003 \pm 0.0002 \mathrm{PW} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$
MOC	$0.001 \pm 0.005 {\rm Sv} {\rm yr}^{-1}$	$0.016 \pm 0.006 {\rm Sv} {\rm yr}^{-1}$	$0.003 \pm 0.007 {\rm Sv} {\rm yr}^{-1}$
T _{vol}	$-0.000 \pm 0.000 \mathrm{Sv} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$	$0.001 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{Sv} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$	$-0.000 \pm 0.003 \mathrm{Sv} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$

Table 6. Correlation coefficient (*r*) and *p* value between the time rate of change (Trate) of Cant storage, the divergence of Cant transport (DT_{Cant}) and air–sea Cant flux (F_{Cant}) for the boxes, 25° N–36° N, 36° N–OVIDE and OVIDE–sills, over the period 1959–2011. DT_{Cant} = incoming – outgoing Cant fluxes across the boundaries of boxes. The analyses were done, with the original time series (**a** with trend) and with the detrended Cant transport time series (**b** without trend).

(a) With trend	(b) Without trend
Box 25–36° N	Box 25–36° N
$\hline \text{Trate/DT}_{\text{Cant}}: r = 0.93, p \text{ value} = 0.00\\ \text{Trate/}_{\text{F}_{\text{Cant}}}: r = 0.90, p \text{ value} = 0.00 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	Trate/DT _{Cant} : $r = 0.94$, p value = 0.00 Trate/ F_{Cant} : $r = 0.04$, p value = 0.78
Box 36° N–OVIDE	Box 36° N–OVIDE
Trate/DT _{Cant} : $r = 0.73$, p value = 0.00 Trate/F _{Cant} : $r = 0.97$, p value = 0.00	Trate/DT _{Cant} : $r = 0.61$, p value = 0.00 Trate/ F_{Cant} : $r = 0.52$, p value = 0.00
Box OVIDE-sills	Box OVIDE-sills
Trate/DT _{Cant} : $r = 0.32$, p value = 0.02 Trate/ F_{Cant} : $r = 0.95$, p value = 0.00	Trate/DT _{Cant} : $r = 0.76$, p value = 0.00 Trate/ F_{Cant} : $r = 0.22$, p value = 0.12



Figure 12. Distribution of volume transport integrated into density (σ_1) layers with a 0.3 kg m⁻³ resolution for 25° N, 36° N, OVIDE and the Greenland–Iceland–Scotland sills over the period 1958–2012 (color bar). Dashed lines indicate the density limits of three water classes: Class 1N represents the northward flowing North Atlantic Central Water; Class 1S represents the southward flowing North Atlantic Central Water; Class 2 represents the Intermediate Water; Class 3 represents the North Atlantic Deep Water.

driven by the divergence of Cant transport. Additional analyses were made to identify which role is played by the circulation in the annual evolution of the storage rate of Cant. For each box, correlations between detrended time series of Cant transport divergence and incoming or outgoing transport of Cant were assessed. These estimates, summarized in Table 7, show that the divergence of Cant transport is always correlated with the incoming transport of Cant and not with the outgoing transport of Cant. The interannual variability of the North Atlantic Cant storage rate is thus driven by the transport of Cant coming from the south. The intensity of the MOC controls the interannual variability of both terms at 25 and 36° N (Sect. 4.1). The analysis of the full 53-year period corroborates conclusions drawn for the period 2003–2011 (Sect. 3.3) and is in line with previous studies (Pérez et al., 2013; Zunino et al., 2014).

4.3 Contribution of water masses to the regional Cant storage rate

In this section, we identify major water masses making up the upper and lower limb of the MOC to evaluate their contributions to the regional Cant storage rate over the period 1959–2011. The North Atlantic circulation is well documented. Based on previous studies (e.g., Arhan, 1990; Mc-

Table 7. Correlation coefficient (*r*) and *p* value between the divergence of Cant transport (DT_{Cant}) and the incoming (in) or outgoing (out) transport of Cant (T_{Cant}) for the three boxes, 25—36° N, 36° N–OVIDE and OVIDE–sills, over the period 1959–2011. $DT_{Cant} =$ incoming – outgoing Cant fluxes across the boundaries of boxes. The linear trend was removed from each times series beforehand.

Box 25–36° N
ⁱⁿ $T_{\text{Cant}}/\text{DT}_{\text{Cant}}$: $r = 0.51$, p value = 0.00 ^{out} $T_{\text{Cant}}/\text{DT}_{\text{Cant}}$: $r = -0.31$, p value = 0.03
Box 36° N–OVIDE
ⁱⁿ $T_{\text{Cant}}/\text{DT}_{\text{Cant}}$: $r = 0.79$, p value = 0.00 ^{out} $T_{\text{Cant}}/\text{DT}_{\text{Cant}}$: $r = 0.07$, p value = 0.62
Box OVIDE-sills
ⁱⁿ $T_{\text{Cant}}/\text{DT}_{\text{Cant}}$: $r = 0.68$, p value = 0.00 ^{out} $T_{\text{Cant}}/\text{DT}_{\text{Cant}}$: $r = -0.05$, p value = 0.70

Cartney, 1992; Hernández-Guerra et al., 2015; Daniault et al., 2016) and on the vertical distributions of volume transports integrated zonally at 25° N, 36° N, OVIDE and the Greenland–Iceland–Scotland sills (Fig. 12), we defined three water classes: North Atlantic Central Water (NACW, Class 1), Intermediate Water (IW; Class 2) and North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW, Class 3).

NACW (Class 1) is transported by upper ocean circulation, either northward (Class 1N) by the Gulf Stream and the NAC, or southward (Class 1S) by the subtropical gyre recirculation in the western European basin. The southward recirculation is composed of colder and denser waters (Talley et al., 2008), allowing the distinction of Class 1S from Class 1N in our study (Fig. 12). NACW loses heat during its northward journey, which increases its density. As a result, the density limits between Classes 1N and 1S and 2 change with latitude. Based on Fig. 12, we defined Class 1N from the surface to $\sigma_1 = 29.1$ kg m⁻³ at 25° N, 30 kg m⁻³ at 36° N and 31 kg m⁻³ at the OVIDE section. This class is not found at the Greenland–Iceland–Scotland sills. Class 1S, proper to the subtropical region, is found from 29.1 to 31 kg m⁻³ at 25° N and from 30 to 31 kg m⁻³ at 36° N.

IW (Class 2) encompasses the densest water masses of the MOC upper limb, such as Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW), Subantarctic Intermediate Water (SAIW) or Mediterranean Water (MW). Class 2 circulates northward between $\sigma_1 = 31$ and 31.8 kg m^{-3} from 25° N to OVIDE and between $\sigma_1 = 31$ and 31.9 kg m^{-3} through the Greenland– Iceland–Scotland sills (Fig. 12).

NADW (Class 3) supplies the lower limb of the MOC. It flows southward from the subpolar gyre to the subtropical region. In the model, it is found below $\sigma_1 = 31.7 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ at 25° N, 36° N and OVIDE and below $\sigma_1 = 31.9 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ at the Greenland–Iceland–Scotland sills (Fig. 12).



Figure 13. (a) Anthropogenic C budget ($PgCyr^{-1}$) simulated by the model over the period 1959-1994 for the three water classes and the three boxes (25-36° N, 36° N-OVIDE and OVIDE-sills) described in Sect. 4. Horizontal arrows represent the transport of Cant within NACW (Class 1; purple), IW (Class 2; red) and NADW (Class 3; blue) across 25° N, 36° N, OVIDE and sills. Grey vertical arrows show the air-sea flux of anthropogenic CO₂ for each box. Orange values indicate the subregional Cant storage rate. Black vertical arrows represent the derived vertical transport of Cant between classes. The size of horizontal and vertical arrows is proportional to the largest Cant flux estimated over the studied period (i.e. $0.098 \text{ PgC yr}^{-1}$ of Cant incoming across 25° N within NACW). (b) Same as Fig. 13a but for the period 1996-2011. To compare with Fig. 13a, note that the size of horizontal and vertical arrows is proportional to the Cant flux incoming across 25° N within NACW over this period (1996-2011).

Analysis of the long-term changes in the simulated transport of volume and Cant across the four sections and for the three specified classes led to identify two periods, before and after 1995 (Fig. S4). The distinction between these two periods is based on Class 1N (northward NACW) at the OVIDE section and Class 2 (IW) at 36° N for which Cant and volume transports were nearly constant before 1995 but strongly increased after 1995 (Fig. S4). Based on these two periods, the discussion focuses first on 1959–1994 to understand how each water mass contributed to the North Atlantic Cant stor-



Figure 14. Annual time series of the anomaly of volume transport (Sv, bar plot) compared to the winter NAO index over the period 1959–2011 for Class 1 at 36° N (r = 0.55, p value = 0.00). Winter NAO index was provided by the Climate Analysis Section (Hurrell and NCAR, https://climatedataguide.ucar.edu/climate-data/hurrell-north-atlantic-oscillation-nao-index-station-based, last access: 25 June 2018).

age rate (Fig. 13a). The period 1996–2011 is analyzed next to evaluate the impact of the strong increase in Cant transport after 1995 on the storage rate of Cant.

Before 1995, more than 50% of Cant transported by NACW flowing northward (Class 1N) at 25° N crossed 36° N, whereas 30% recirculated southward within Class 1S. At the OVIDE section, the transport of Cant was equal to 12% of the 25° N Cant transport, whereas it was close to zero at the sills (Fig. 13a). Figure 13a also reveals positive anthropogenic CO₂ air–sea fluxes for the three boxes as well as a non-negligible Cant storage rate between 25 and 36° N. The net transport of Cant within Class 1 was nevertheless positive in all three boxes and higher than the associated Cant storage rate, which suggests a vertical transport of Cant from Class 1 to Class 2. The preceding comment suggests that NACW plays a key role in the Cant storage rate between 25° N and the OVIDE section, as well as in the transport.

This cross-isopycnal transport between Class 1 and Class 2 (Fig. 13a) causes a decrease in the volume of Class 1 waters and an increase in the volume of Class 2 waters transported northward from 25° N to the OVIDE section (Fig. S4). This is in line with results from De Boisséson et al. (2012) who highlighted the densification of subtropical central water by winter air-sea cooling and mixing with intermediate waters along the NAC path. Moreover, results from Cant transport (Fig. 13a) also suggest that IW was enriched in Cant between 25° N and the OVIDE section over the study period. The large Cant uptake north of 36° N is explained by regional winter deep convection occurring along the NAC that mixes NACW, rich in Cant, with IW, poor in Cant. It should be noted that the Cant budget of Class 2 in Box 2 has a deficit of 0.01 PgC yr^{-1} . This result suggests that an additional source (e.g., MW, Álvarez et al. 2005) supplies Cant to IW between 36° N and the OVIDE section.

Figure 13a also shows that 62% of Cant entering in Box 3 by advection of Class 1 and Class 2 waters and

V. Racapé et al.: Transport and storage of anthropogenic C

by air-sea flux was converted into Class 3 inside the box and exported southward. The remainder was stored in Box 3 (18%) or transported northward through the Greenland– Iceland–Scotland sills as Class 2 waters (19%). NADW was thus strongly enriched in Cant between the OVIDE section and the Greenland–Iceland–Scotland sills by entrainment of NACW/IW and deep convection, which is in agreement with results from Sarafanov et al. (2012). Finally, a small fraction of Cant entering in Box 2 within Class 3 left the area across 25° N (24%, Fig. 13a). The remainder was stored within Class 3 between 36° N and OVIDE.

After 1995, 27 % of Cant entering within Class 1 at 25° N flowed northward across the OVIDE section, which is 2 times higher than for the previous period (Fig. 13b). As discussed above, this relative increase in Cant transport at OVIDE was associated with a significant increase in volume transport across the section (Fig. S4a). The latter was multiplied by 1.9 after 1995 at the expense of the diapycnal transport between Class 1 and Class 2 waters, which decreased by 60% compared to the previous period. As a result, less Cant is transferred from NACW to IW. Figure 13b shows that changes in Class 1 waters in Box 2 went along with a relative but small decrease in air-sea flux and in the net Cant transport across 36° N. In Class 1 of Box 3, the relative increase in Cant transport at OVIDE was concomitant with a similar increase in the contribution of the vertical transport of Cant to Class 2 waters as well as with a small decrease in the contribution of air-sea flux. Moreover, the relative increase in Cant transferred into Class 2 (Box 3) is associated with a relative increase in Cant transported within Class 2 waters throughout the Nordic sills, in Cant transported vertically into Class 3 waters and in the regional Cant stored inside the box (Class 2 Box 3), but also to a relative decrease in the Cant transport of Class 2 at OVIDE. The excess of NACW rich in Cant entering the northernmost box (OVIDE-sills) was transferred into IW before being exported to the Nordic regions or stored in the subpolar gyre.

5 Discussion and conclusion

The model–data comparison presented here highlights a large underestimation (by 2 or 3 times) of Cant transport by the model, resulting from an underestimation of both volume transport and Cant accumulation in the water column. The underestimation of the NAC and Nordic overflow volume transports was identified as the major model shortcoming. It led to an underestimation of the intensity of the upper and lower MOC. Moreover, the underestimation of the NAC transport resulted in a smaller transport of Cant from the subtropical to the subpolar gyre compared to observations. The missing southward transport of Cant associated with the Nordic overflows resulted in a net transport of Cant to the Arctic region that was closer to observations but for the wrong reasons (Cant transport was 3 times smaller than



Figure 15. Annual time series of the average temperature of the mixed layer for Box 2 (36° N–OVIDE; red line) and Box 3 (OVIDE–sills; black line) as simulated by the model over the period 1958–2012.

observations at the OVIDE section, while it was only 2 times smaller at the sills). Our analysis also revealed a strong overestimation of the simulated air-sea flux of anthropogenic CO_2 and a total CO_2 air-sea flux larger than observations, especially north of the OVIDE section. North of 40° N, this overestimation of the total CO₂ air-sea flux was partially due to a seasonal cycle dominated by thermodynamics rather than biological activity. The anthropogenic CO2 air-sea flux as defined in the model (Sect. 2.1) is however not affected by biological activity. The overestimation of the anthropogenic CO₂ air-sea flux was thus a response to low Cant concentration in the North Atlantic surface ocean due an underestimation of Cant transported to the subpolar gyre (Sect. 3.1). This, in turn, enhanced the air-sea gradient of anthropogenic pCO_2 . These results are clearly a limit of the model. This is especially true for the OVIDE-sills box where we observed an unexpected transport divergence close to zero (no contribution) along with an overestimation of the anthropogenic CO₂ air-sea flux.

Compared to the two other terms, the simulated Cant storage rate is in line with data-based estimates (Pérez et al., 2013). It reflects the compensation between the underestimation of Cant transport and the overestimation of air–sea gas exchange. However, the spatial distribution of the column inventory of Cant is well reproduced by the model, likely due to correct simulation of mechanisms controlling the interannual variability of Cant storage rate (Pérez et al. (2013); Zunino et al., 2014, 2015b) despite the underestimation of simulated Cant transport. Having assessed the strengths and limitations of the simulation, we extended the time window of analysis of interannual to multidecadal changes in the North Atlantic Cant storage rate and its driving processes to the period 1959–2011.

Over the last 4 decades, the interannual variability of the simulated Cant storage rate in the North Atlantic Ocean was controlled by the northward transport divergence of Cant. At the OVIDE section, the interannual variability of Cant transport was controlled by Cant accumulation in the MOC upper limb, whereas it was also influenced by the MOC intensity at 25 and 36° N. These results highlight the key role played by the circulation on the North Atlantic Cant storage rate at an interannual timescale since 1958. Additional analysis in

density classes revealed that Cant was essentially stored in NACW between 25 and 36° N and in NADW in the subpolar gyre. It also highlighted the key role played by NACW to supply Cant to IW, which was converted into NADW north of the OVIDE section. These water mass conversions are consistent with observational studies (Sarafanov et al., 2012; De Boisséson et al., 2012; Pérez et al., 2013). Figure 14 shows that the NAO winter index is correlated with the simulated volume transport of NACW across 36° N. A positive (negative) anomaly of volume transport is associated with a positive (negative) NAO index. This result is in agreement with previous studies reporting an acceleration of the NAC during the transition from a negative to a positive phase (e.g., Dickson et al., 1996; Curry and McCartney, 2011). This study also addressed the transition between the positive NAO phase of 1980-1990s and the neutral phase of 2000s. The specific period after 1995 was characterized by a positive anomaly of simulated volume transport of NACW at OVIDE. As shown in Fig. 15, the region between 36° N and the OVIDE section underwent a warming of its mixed layer since 1995. The warming found during the transition from a positive (after 1995) to a negative (since 2010) phase is attributed to an increase in the advection of warm and salty subtropical waters into the eastern part of the subpolar gyre (Herbaut and Houssais, 2009; De Boisséson et al., 2012). The analysis of model time series suggests that this warming reduces the volume of NACW converted into IW between 36° N and OVIDE (Sect. 4.3 and Fig. S4). More Cant-rich NACW was thus transported northward through the subtropical gyre and across the OVIDE section to the subpolar gyre. This enhanced northward Cant transport decreased the air-sea gradient of anthropogenic pCO_2 and slowed down air-sea gas exchange (Thomas et al., 2008) as observed between 36° N and the Greenland–Iceland–Scotland sills (Sect. 4.2 and 4.3). Based on Sect. 4.3, this excess of Cant in response to the excess of NACW transported in the OVIDE-sills box was transferred into IW before being stored in the subpolar gyre or exported to the Arctic region.

To conclude, at the multi-decadal timescale, the long-term change in anthropogenic CO_2 air–sea fluxes over the whole domain is the main driver of the Cant storage rate in the North Atlantic subpolar gyre. The divergence of Cant trans-

port from 25° N to the OVIDE section is the main driver on interannual to decadal timescales. Our model analysis suggests that assuming unabated emissions of CO₂, the storage rate of Cant in the North Atlantic Subpolar Ocean would increase, assuming MOC fluctuations within observed boundaries. However, in the case of a strong decrease in MOC in response to global warming (IPCC projection 25 %, Collins et al., 2013), the storage rate of Cant might decrease.

Data availability. All references for the availability of in situ data sets are indicated in the text. A simulation of the model data set can be accessed at: https://vesg.ipsl.upmc.fr/thredds/catalog/ ORCA05-ATLN/catalog.html (ORCA05-PISCES, 2018).

Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at: https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-4661-2018-supplement.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Special issue statement. This article is part of the special issue "Progress in quantifying ocean biogeochemistry – in honour of Ernst Maier-Reimer". It does not belong to a conference.

Acknowledgements. Virginie Racapé was funded through the EU FP7 project CARBOCHANGE (grant 264879). Simulations were made using HPC resources from GENCI-IDRIS (grant x2015010040). We are grateful to Christian Ethé, who largely contributed to obtain Cant transport in the online mode over the period 2003-2011. We want to acknowledge Herlé Mercier (supported by CNRS and the ATLANTOS H2020 project (GA 633211)) and colleagues for leading the OVIDE project (supported by French research institutions IFREMER and CNRS/INSU), as well as Alonso Hernandez-Guerra for the availability of its mass transport data at 24.5° N. Other data at 24.5° N used in this paper were collected and made publicly available by the International Global Ship-based Hydrographic Investigations Program (GO-SHIP; http://www.go-ship.org/, last access: 25 June 2018) and the national programs that contribute to it. We are also grateful to two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments.

Edited by: Arne Winguth Reviewed by: Two anonymous referees

References

- Álvarez, M., Pérez, F. F., Bryden, H., and Ríos, A. F.: Physical and biogeochemical transports structure in the North Atlantic subpolar gyre, J. Geophys Res.-Ocean, 109, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JC002015, 2004.
- Álvarez, M., Pérez, F. F., Shoosmith, D. R., and Bryden, H. L.: Unaccounted role of Mediterranean Water in the draw-

down of anthropogenic carbon, J. Geophys Res.-Ocean, 110, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JC002633, 2005.

- Arhan, M.: The North Atlantic Current and Subartic Intermediate Water, J. Mar. Res., 48, 109–144, https://doi.org/10.1357/002224090784984605, 1990.
- Aumont, O. and Bopp, L.: Globalizing results from ocean in situ iron fertilization studies, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 20, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002591, 2006.
- Bakker, D. C. E., Pfeil, B., Smith, K., Hankin, S., Olsen, A., Alin, S. R., Cosca, C., Harasawa, S., Kozyr, A., Nojiri, Y., O'Brien, K. M., Schuster, U., Telszewski, M., Tilbrook, B., Wada, C., Akl, J., Barbero, L., Bates, N. R., Boutin, J., Bozec, Y., Cai, W.-J., Castle, R. D., Chavez, F. P., Chen, L., Chierici, M., Currie, K., de Baar, H. J. W., Evans, W., Feely, R. A., Fransson, A., Gao, Z., Hales, B., Hardman-Mountford, N. J., Hoppema, M., Huang, W.-J., Hunt, C. W., Huss, B., Ichikawa, T., Johannessen, T., Jones, E. M., Jones, S. D., Jutterström, S., Kitidis, V., Körtzinger, A., Landschützer, P., Lauvset, S. K., Lefèvre, N., Manke, A. B., Mathis, J. T., Merlivat, L., Metzl, N., Murata, A., Newberger, T., Omar, A. M., Ono, T., Park, G.-H., Paterson, K., Pierrot, D., Ríos, A. F., Sabine, C. L., Saito, S., Salisbury, J., Sarma, V. V. S. S., Schlitzer, R., Sieger, R., Skjelvan, I., Steinhoff, T., Sullivan, K. F., Sun, H., Sutton, A. J., Suzuki, T., Sweeney, C., Takahashi, T., Tjiputra, J., Tsurushima, N., van Heuven, S. M. A. C., Vandemark, D., Vlahos, P., Wallace, D. W. R., Wanninkhof, R., and Watson, A. J.: An update to the Surface Ocean CO₂ Atlas (SOCAT version 2), Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 6, 69-90, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-6-69-2014, 2014.
- Barnier, B., Madec, G., Penduff, T., Molines, J. M., Treguier, A. M., Le Sommer, J., Beckmann, A., Biastoch, A., Böning, C., Dengg, J., Derval, C., Durand, E., Gulev, S., Remy, E., Talandier, C., Theetten, S., Maltrud, M., McClean, J., and De Cuevas, B.: Impact of partial steps and momentum advection schemes in a global ocean circulation model at eddy-permitting resolution, Ocean Dynam., 56, 543–567, 2006
- Bourgeois, T., Orr, J. C., Resplandy, L., Terhaar, J., Ethé, C., Gehlen, M., and Bopp, L.: Coastal-ocean uptake of anthropogenic carbon, Biogeosciences, 13, 4167–4185, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-4167-2016, 2016.
- Brodeau, L., Barnier, B., Treguier, A. M., Penduff, T., and Gulev, S.: An ERA40-based atmospheric forcing for global ocean circulation models, Ocean Modell., 31, 88–104, 2010.
- Bronselaer, B., Winton, M., Russell, J., Sabine, C. L., and Khatiwala, S.: Agreement of CMIP5 Simulated and Observed Ocean Anthropogenic CO₂ Uptake, Geophys. Res. Lett. 44, 12298– 12305, 2017.
- Bryden, H. L., Longworth, H. R., and Cunningham, S. A.: Slowing of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation at 25 N, Nature, 438, 655–657, 2005.
- Ciais, P., Sabine, C., Bala, G., Bopp, L., Brovkin, V., Canadell, J., Chhabra, A., Defries, R., Galloway, J., Heimann, M., Jones, C., Le Quéré, C., Myneni, R. B., Piao, S., and Thornton, P.: Carbon and other biogeochemical cycles, in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013.

- Collins, M., Knutti, R., Arblaster, J., Dufresne, J-L., Fichefet, T., Friedlingstein, P., Gao, X., Gutwoski, W. J., Johns, T., Krinner, G., Shongwe, M., Tebaldi, C., Weaver, A. J., and Wehner, M.: Long-term Climate Change: Projections, Commitments and Irreversibility, in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013.
- Conkright, M. E., Locarnini, R. A., Garcia, H. E., O'Brien, T. D., Boyer, T. P., Stephens, C., and Antonov, J. I.: World Ocean Database 2001: Objective analyses, data statistics and figures, 2002.
- Crueger, T., Roeckner, E., Raddatz, T., Schnur, R., and Wetzel, P.: Ocean dynamics determine the response of oceanic CO₂ uptake to climate change, Clim. Dynam., 31, 151–168, 2008.
- Curry, R. G. and McCartney, M. S.: Ocean gyre circulation changes associated with the North Atlantic Oscillation, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 31, 3374–3400, 2001.
- Daniault, N., Mercier, H., Lherminier, P., Sarafanov, A., Falina, A., Zunino Rodriguez, P., Pérez, F.F., Rios, A.F., Ferron, B., Huck, T., Thierry, V., and Gladyshev, S.: The northern North Atlantic Ocean mean circulation in the early 21sr century, Prog. Oceanogr., 146, 142–158, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2016.06.007, 2016.
- de Boisséson, E., Thierry, V., Mercier, H., Caniaux, G., and Débruyères, D.: Origin, formation and variability of the Subpolar Mode Water located over the Reykjanes Ridge, JGR, 117, C12005, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JC007519, 2012.
- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayaski, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., Hólm, E. V, Isaksen, L., Kållberg, P., Köhler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J.-J., Park, B.-k., Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thépaut, J.-N., and Vitart, F.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and perdormance of the data assimilation system, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 137, 553–597, 2011.
- Delworth, T. L. and Zeng, F.: The impact of the North Atlantic Oscillation on climate through its influence on the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation, J. Climate, 29, 941–962, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0396.1, 2015.
- Dickson, R., Lazier, J., Meincke, J., and Rhines, P.: Long-term coordinated changes in the convective activity of the North Atlantic, in: Decadal Climate Variability, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 211–261, 1996.
- García-Ibáñez, M. I, Pardo, P. C., Carracedo, L., Mercier, H., Lherminier, P., Rìos, A. F., and Pérez, F. F.: Structure, transports and transformations of the water masses in the Atlantic Subpolar Gyre, Prog. Oceanogr., 135, 18–36, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2015.03.009, 2015.
- Gent, P. R. and Mcwilliams, J. C.: Isopycnal mixing in ocean circulation models, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 20, 150–155, 1990.
- Good, S. A., Martin, M. J., and Rayner, N. A.: EN4: quality controlled ocean temperature and salinity profiles and monthly ob-

jective analyses with uncertainty estimates, J. Geophys. Res-Oceans, 118, 6704–6716, 2013.

- Gruber, N., Gloor, M., Mikaloff Fletcher, S. E., Doney, S. C., Dutkiewicz, S., Follows, M. J., Gerber, M., Jacobson, A. R., Joos, F., Lindsay, K., Menemenlis, D., Mouchet, A., Muller, S. A., Sarmiento, J. L., and Takahashi, T.: Oceanic sources, sinks, and transport of atmospheric CO₂, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 23, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GB003349, 2009.
- Guallart, E. F., Schuster, U., Fajar, N. M., Legge, O., Brown, P., Pelejero, C., Messias, M.-J., Calvo, E., Watson, A., Ríos, A. F., and Pérez, F. F.: Trends in anthropogenic CO₂ in water masses of the Subtropical North Atlantic Ocean, Progr. Oceanogr., 131, 21–32, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2014.11.006, 2015.
- Häkkinen, S. and Rhines, P. B.: Decline of subpolar North Atlantic circulation during the 1990s, Science, 304, 555–559, 2004.
- Herbaut, C. and Houssais, M.: Response of the eastern North Atlantic subpolar gyre to the North Atlantic Oscillation, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL039090, 2009.
- Hernández-Guerra, A., Pelegrí, J. L., Fraile-Nuez, E., Benítez-Barrios, V., Emelianov, M., Pérez-Hernández, M. D., and Vélez-Belchí, P.: Meridional overturning transports at 7.5° N and 24.5° N in the Atlantic Ocean during 1992–93 and 2010–11, Progr. Oceanogr., 128, 98–114, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2014.08.016, 2014.
- Hurrell, J. and National Center for Atmospheric Research staff (Eds) IOC, SCOR and IAPSO: The international thermodynamic equation of seawater – 2010: Calculation and use of thermodynamic properties, Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission, Manuals and Guides No. 56, UNESCO (English), 196 pp. Available from http://www.TEOS-10.org, see section 3.3 of this TEOS-10 Manual, 2010.
- Jeansson, E., Olsen, A., Eldevik, T., Skjelvan, I., Omar, A. M., Lauvset, S. K., Nilsen, J. E. Ø., Bellerby, R. G. J., Johannessen, T., and Falck, E.: The Nordic Seas carbon budget: Sources, sinksn and uncertainties, Glob. Biogeochel. Cy., 25, GB4010, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GB003961, 2011.
- Johns, W. E., Baringer, M. O., Beal, L. M., Cunningham, S. A., Kanzow, T., Bryden, H. L., Hirschi, J. J. M., Marotzke, J., Meinen, C. S., Shaw, B., and Curry, R.: Continuous, array-based estimates of Atlantic Ocean heat transport at 26.5° N, J. Climate, 24, 2429– 2449, https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JCLI3997.1, 2011.
- Key, R. M., Kozyr, A., Sabine, C. L., Lee, K., Wanninkhof, R., Bullister, J. L., Feely, R. A., Millero, F. J., Mordy, C., and Peng, T.-H.: A global ocean carbon climatology: Results from Global Data Analysis Project (GLODAP), Glob. Biogeochem. Cy., 18, GB4031, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GB002247, 2004.
- Khatiwala, S., Primeau, F., and Hall, T.: Reconstruction of the history of anthropogenic CO₂ concentrations in the ocean, Nature, 462, 346–349, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08526, 2009.
- Khatiwala, S., Tanhua, T., Mikaloff Fletcher, S., Gerber, M., Doney, S. C., Graven, H. D., Gruber, N., McKinley, G. A., Murata, A., Ríos, A. F., and Sabine, C. L.: Global ocean storage of anthropogenic carbon, Biogeosciences, 10, 2169–2191, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-2169-2013, 2013.
- Körtzinger, A., Rhein, M., and Mintrop, L.: Anthropogenic CO₂ and CFCs in the North Atlantic Ocean-A comparison of manmade tracers, Geophys. Res. Lett, 26, 2065–2068, 1999.
- Kuhlbrodt, T., Griesel, A., Montoya, M., Levermann, A., Hofmann, M., and Rahmstorf, S.: On the driving processes of the Atlantic

meridional overturning circulation, Rev. Geophys, 45, RG2001, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004RG000166, 2007.

- Landschützer, P., Gruber, N., and Bakker, D. C. E., and Schuster, U.: Recent variability of the global ocean carbon sink, Glob. Biogeochem. Cy., 28, 947–949, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GB004853, 2014.
- Landschützer, P., Gruber, N., and Bakker, D. C. E.: A 30 years observation-based global monthly gridded sea surface *p*CO₂ product from 1982 through 2011, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, US Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, https://doi.org/10.3334/CDIAC/OTG, 2015a.
- Landschützer, P., N. Gruber, F. A. Haumann, C. Rödenbeck, D. C. E. Bakker, S., van Heuven, M., Hoppema, N., Metzl, C., Sweeney, T., Takahashi, B., Tilbrook, and Wanninkhof, R.: The reinvigoration of the Southern Ocean carbon sink, Science, 349, 1221–1224, doi:10.1126/science.aab2620, 2015b.
- Lazier, J., Hendry, R., Clarke, A., Yashayaev, I., and Rhines, P.: Convection and restratification in the Labrador Sea, 1990–2000, Deep Sea Res. Pt. I, 49, 1819–1835, 2002.
- Le Quéré, C., Raupach, M. R., Canadell, J. G., Marland, G., and co-authors: Trends in the sources and sinks of carbon dioxide, Nature Geosciences, 2, 831–836, 2009.
- Le Quéré, C., Peters, G. P., Andres, R. J., Andrew, R. M., Boden, T. A., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., Houghton, R. A., Marland, G., Moriarty, R., Sitch, S., Tans, P., Arneth, A., Arvanitis, A., Bakker, D. C. E., Bopp, L., Canadell, J. G., Chini, L. P., Doney, S. C., Harper, A., Harris, I., House, J. I., Jain, A. K., Jones, S. D., Kato, E., Keeling, R. F., Klein Goldewijk, K., Körtzinger, A., Koven, C., Lefèvre, N., Maignan, F., Omar, A., Ono, T., Park, G.-H., Pfeil, B., Poulter, B., Raupach, M. R., Regnier, P., Rödenbeck, C., Saito, S., Schwinger, J., Segschneider, J., Stocker, B. D., Takahashi, T., Tilbrook, B., van Heuven, S., Viovy, N., Wanninkhof, R., Wiltshire, A., and Zaehle, S.: Global carbon budget 2013, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 6, 235–263, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-6-235-2014, 2014.
- Le Quéré, C., Moriarty, R., Andrew, R. M., Peters, G. P., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., Jones, S. D., Sitch, S., Tans, P., Arneth, A., Boden, T. A., Bopp, L., Bozec, Y., Canadell, J. G., Chini, L. P., Chevallier, F., Cosca, C. E., Harris, I., Hoppema, M., Houghton, R. A., House, J. I., Jain, A. K., Johannessen, T., Kato, E., Keeling, R. F., Kitidis, V., Klein Goldewijk, K., Koven, C., Landa, C. S., Landschützer, P., Lenton, A., Lima, I. D., Marland, G., Mathis, J. T., Metzl, N., Nojiri, Y., Olsen, A., Ono, T., Peng, S., Peters, W., Pfeil, B., Poulter, B., Raupach, M. R., Regnier, P., Rödenbeck, C., Saito, S., Salisbury, J. E., Schuster, U., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R., Segschneider, J., Steinhoff, T., Stocker, B. D., Sutton, A. J., Takahashi, T., Tilbrook, B., van der Werf, G. R., Viovy, N., Wang, Y.-P., Wanninkhof, R., Wiltshire, A., and Zeng, N.: Global carbon budget 2014, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 7, 47–85, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-7-47-2015, 2015.
- Lherminier, P., Mercier, H., Gourcuff, C., Álvarez, M., Bacon, S., and Kermabon, C.: Transports across the 2002 Greenland-Portugal Ovide section and comparison with 1997, J. Geophys. Res.-Ocean, 112, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JC003716, 2007.
- Lherminier, P., Mercier, H., Huck, T., Gourcuff, C., Perez, F. F., Morin, P., Sarafanov, A., and Falina, A.: The Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation and the subpolar gyre observed at the

A25-OVIDE section in June 2002 and 2004, Deep Sea Res. Pt. I, 57, 1374–1391, 2010.

- Madec, G. and Imbard, M.: A global ocean mesh to overcome the North Pole singularity, Clim. Dynam., 12, 381–388, 1996.
- Madec, G.: NEMO Ocean Engine, Note du Pole de modélisation de l'Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace, France, 27, 1–217, 2008.
- Maier-Reimer, E., Mikolajewicz, U., and Winguth, A.: Future ocean uptake of CO₂: interaction between ocean circulation and biology, Clim. Dynam., 12, 711–722, https://doi.org/10.1007/s003820050138, 1996.
- McCarthy, G., Frajka-Williams, E., Johns, W. E., Baringer, M. O., Meinen, C. S., Bryden, H. L., Rayner, D., Duchez, A., Roberts, C., and Cunningham, S. A.: Observed interannual variability of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation at 26.5° N, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L19609, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL052933, 2012
- McCartney, M. S. and Talley, L. D.: The subpolar mode water of the North Atlantic Ocean, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 12, 1169–1188, doi:10.1175/1520-0485(1982)012, 1982.
- McCartney, M. S.: Recirculation components to the deep boundary current of the northern Nort Atlantic, Prog. Oceanogr., 29, 283– 383, https://doi.org/10.1016/0079-6611(92)90006-L, 1992.
- McKinley, G. A., Pilcher, D. J., Fay, A. R., Lindsay, K., Long, M. C., and Lovenduski, N. S.: Timescales for detection of trends in the ocean carbon sink, Nature, 530, 469–472, 2016.
- Mercier, H., Lherminier, P., Sarafanov, A., Gaillard, F., Daniault, N., Desbruyères, D., Falina, A., Ferron, B., Gourcuff, C., Huck, T., and Thierry, V.: Variability of the meridional overturning circulation at the Greenland–Portugal OVIDE section from 1993 to 2010, Prog. Oceanogr., 132, 250–261, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2013.11.001, 2015.
- Mikaloff Fletcher, S. E., Gruber, N., and Jacobson, A. R.: Ocean Inversion Project How-to Document Version 1.0, Institute for Geophysics and Planetary Physics, University of California, Los Angles, 18 pp., 2003.
- Mikaloff Fletcher, S. E., Gruber, N., Jacobson, A. R., Doney, S. C., Dutkiewicz, S., Gerber, M., Follows, M., Joos, F., Lindsay, K., Menemenlis, D., Mouchet, A., Müller, S. A., and Sarmiento, J. L.: Inverse estimates of anthropogenic CO₂ uptake, transport, and storage by the ocean, Glob. Biogeochem. Cy., 20, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002530, 2006.
- Olsen, A., Key, R. M., van Heuven, S., Lauvset, S. K., Velo, A., Lin, X., Schirnick, C., Kozyr, A., Tanhua, T., Hoppema, M., Jutterström, S., Steinfeldt, R., Jeansson, E., Ishii, M., Pérez, F. F., and Suzuki, T.: The Global Ocean Data Analysis Project version 2 (GLODAPv2) – an internally consistent data product for the world ocean, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 8, 297–323, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-8-297-2016, 2016.
- ORCA05–PISCES: ORCA05-PISCES simulations for the North Atlantic, available at: https://vesg.ipsl.upmc.fr/thredds/catalog/ ORCA05-ATLN/catalog.html, last access: 26 July 2018.
- Orr, J. C., Najjar, R. G., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Bullister, J. L., Danabasoglu, G., Doney, S. C., Dunne, J. P., Dutay, J.-C., Graven, H., Griffies, S. M., John, J. G., Joos, F., Levin, I., Lindsay, K., Matear, R. J., McKinley, G. A., Mouchet, A., Oschlies, A., Romanou, A., Schlitzer, R., Tagliabue, A., Tanhua, T., and Yool, A.: Biogeochemical protocols and diagnostics for the CMIP6 Ocean Model Intercomparison Project (OMIP), Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 2169–2199, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2169-2017, 2017.

- Pérez, F. F., Vázquez-Rodríguez, M., Louarn, E., Padín, X. A., Mercier, H., and Ríos, A. F.: Temporal variability of the anthropogenic CO₂ storage in the Irminger Sea, Biogeosciences, 5, 1669–1679, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-5-1669-2008, 2008.
- Pérez, F. F., Vázquez Rodríguez, M., Mercier, H., Velo, A., Lherminier, P., and Ríos, A. F.: Trends of anthropogenic CO₂ storage in North Atlantic water masses, Biogeosciences, 7, 1789–1807, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-1789-2010, 2010.
- Pérez, F. F., Mercier, H., Vázquez-Rodríguez, M., Lherminier, P., Velo, A., Pardo, P. C., Roson, G., and Ríos, A. F.: Atlantic Ocean CO₂ uptake reduced by weakening of the meridional overturning circulation, Nat. Geosci., 6, 146–152, https://doi.org/10.1038/NGEO1680, 2013.
- Pickart, R. S.: Water mass components of the North Atlantic deep western boundary current, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. A, 39, 1553–1572, https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(92)90047-W, 1992.
- Pickart, R. S., Straneo, F., and Moore, G. W. K.: Is Labrador sea water formed in the Irminger basin?, Deep Sea Res. Pt. I, 50, 23–52, 2003.
- Reynolds, R. W., Rayner, N. A., Smith, T. M., Stokes, D. C., and Wang, W.: An improved in situ and satellite SST analysis for climate, J. Climate, 15, 1609–1625, 2002.
- Rhein, M., Kieke, D., Hüttl-Kabus, S., Roessler, A., Mertens, C., Meissner, R., Klein, B., Böning, C. W., and Yashayaev, I.: Deep water formation, the subpolar gyre, and the meridional overturning circulation in the subpolar North Atlantic, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 58, 1819–1832, 2011.
- Rödenbeck, C., Bakker, D. C. E., Gruber, N., Iida, Y., Jacobson, A. R., Jones, S., Landschützer, P., Metzl, N., Nakaoka, S., Olsen, A., Park, G.-H., Peylin, P., Rodgers, K. B., Sasse, T. P., Schuster, U., Shutler, J. D., Valsala, V., Wanninkhof, R., and Zeng, J.: Data-based estimates of the ocean carbon sink variability first results of the Surface Ocean *p*CO₂ Mapping intercomparison (SOCOM), Biogeosciences, 12, 7251–7278, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-7251-2015, 2015.
- Sabine, C. L., Feely, R. A., Gruber, N., Key, R. M., Lee, K., Bullister, J. L., Wanninkhof, R., Wong, C. S., Wallace, D. W. R., Tilbrook, B., Millero, F. J., Peng, T.-H., Kozyr, A., Ono, T., and Rios, A. F.: The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO₂, Science, 305, 367–371, 2004.
- Sarafanov, A.: On the effect of the North Atlantic Oscillation on temperature and salinity of the subpolar North Atlantic intermediate and deep waters, ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil, 66, 1448–1454, 2009.
- Sarafanov, A., Falina, A., Mercier, H., Sokov, A., Lherminier, P., Gourcuff, C., Gladyshev, S., Gaillard, F., and Daniault, N.: Mean full-depth summer circulation and transports at the northern periphery of the Atlantic Ocean in the 2000s, J. Geophys. Res., 117, doi:10.1029/2011JC007572, 2012.
- Schuster, U., McKinley, G. A., Bates, N., Chevallier, F., Doney, S. C., Fay, A. R., González-Dávila, M., Gruber, N., Jones, S., Krijnen, J., Landschützer, P., Lefèvre, N., Manizza, M., Mathis, J., Metzl, N., Olsen, A., Rios, A. F., Rödenbeck, C., Santana-Casiano, J. M., Takahashi, T., Wanninkhof, R., and Watson, A. J.: An assessment of the Atlantic and Arctic sea-air CO₂ fluxes, 1990–2009, Biogeosciences, 10, 607–627, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-607-2013, 2013.
- Schwinger, J., Tjiputra, J. F., Heinze, C., Bopp, L., Christian, J. R., Gehlen, M., Ilyina, T., Jones, C. D., Salas-Mélia, D., Segschnei-

der, J., Séférian, R. and Totterdell, I.: Nonlinearity of ocean carbon cycle feedbacks in CMIP5 Earth System Models, J. Climate, 27(11), 3869-3888, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00452.1, 2014

- Séférian, R., Ribes, A., and Bopp, L.: Detecting the anthropogenic influences on recent changes in ocean carbon uptake: Geophys. Res. Lett, 41, 5968–5977, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JC900274, 2014.
- Smethie, W. M., Fine, R. A., Putzka, A., and Jones, E. P.: Tracing the flow of North Atlantic Deep Water using chlorofluorocarbons, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 14297–14323, 2000.
- Steinfeldt, R., Rhein, M., Bullister, J. L., and Tanhua, T.: Inventory changes in anthropogenic carbon from 1997–2003 in the Atlantic Ocean between 20 S and 65 N, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 23, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GB003311, 2009.
- Takahashia, T., Sutherland, S. C., Sweeney, C., Poisson, A., Metzl, N., Tilbrook, B., Bates, N., Wanninkhof, R., Feely, R. A., Sabine, C., Olafsson, J., and Nojiri, Y.: Global sea–air CO₂ flux based on climatological surface ocean *p*CO₂, and seasonal biological and temperature effects, Deep-Sea. Res. Pt. II, 49, 1601–1622, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(02)00003-6, 2002.
- Talley, L. D., Pickard, G. L., Emery, W. J., and Swift, J. H.: Descriptive physical oceanography: an introduction, Academic press, Elsevier, 6th Ed., 555 pp., 2011.
- Thomas, H., Prowe, F. A. E., Lima, I. D., Doney, S. C., Wanninkhof, R., Greatbach, R. J., Schuster, U., and Corbière, A.: Changes in the North Atlantic Oscillation influence CO₂ uptake in the North Atlantic over the past 2 decades, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 22, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GB003167, 2008.
- Timmermann, R., Goosse, H., Madec, G., Fichefet, T., Ethe, C., and Duliere, V.: On the representation of high latitude processes in the ORCA-LIM global coupled sea ice–ocean model, Ocean Modell., 8, 175–201, 2005.
- Treguier, A.-M., Gourcuff, C., Lherminier, P., Mercier, H., Barnier, B., Madec, G., Molines, J.-M., Penduff, T., Czeschel, L., and Böning, C. W.: Internal and Forced variability along a section between Greenland and Portugal in the CLIPPER Atlantic model, Ocean. Dynam., 56, 568–580, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-006-0069-y, 2006.
- Vázquez-Rodríguez, M., Padin, X. A., Ríos, A. F., Bellerby, R. G. J., and Pérez, F. F.: An upgraded carbon-based method to estimate the anthropogenic fraction of dissolved CO₂ in the Atlantic Ocean, Biogeosciences Discuss., 6, 4527–4571, https://doi.org/10.5194/bgd-6-4527-2009, 2009.
- Velo, A., Pérez, F. F., Lin, X., Key, R. M., Tanhua, T., de la Paz, M., Olsen, A., van Heuven, S., Jutterström, S., and Ríos, A. F.: CARINA data synthesis project: pH data scale unification and cruise adjustments, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 2, 133–155, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2-133-2010, 2010.
- Wanninkhof, R.: Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 7373–7382, 1992.
- Wanninkhof, R., Park, G.-H., Takahashi, T., Sweeney, C., Feely, R., Nojiri, Y., Gruber, N., Doney, S. C., McKinley, G. A., Lenton, A., Le Quéré, C., Heinze, C., Schwinger, J., Graven, H., and Khatiwala, S.: Global ocean carbon uptake: magnitude, variability and trends, Biogeosciences, 10, 1983–2000, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-1983-2013, 2013.
- Weiss, R. F.: Carbon Dioxide in Water and Seawater: The Solubility of a Non-Ideal Gas, Mar. Chem., 2, 203–215, 1974.

- Yashayaev, I.: Hydrographic changes in the Labrador Sea, 1960–2005, Prog. Oceanogr., 73, 242–276, 2007.
- Zunino, P., Garcia-Ibañez, M. I., Lherminier, P., Mercier, H., Rios, A. F., and Pérez, F. F.: Variability of the transport of anthropogenic CO₂ at the Greenland-Portugal OVIDE section: controlling mechanisms, Biogeosciences, 11, 2375–2389, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-2375-2014, 2014.
- Zunino, P., Lherminier, P., Mercier, H., Padín, X. A., Ríos, A. F., and Pérez, F. F.: Dissolved inorganic carbon budgets in the easter subpolar North Atlantic in the 2000s from in situ data, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 9853–9861, 2015a.
- Zunino, P., Pérez, F. F., Fajar, N. M., Guallart, E. F., Ríos, A. F., Pelegrí, J. L., and Hernández-Guerra, A.: Transports and budgets of anthropogenic CO₂ in the tropical North Atlantic in 1992–1993 and 2010–2011, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 29, 1075–1091, 2015b.