



**HAL**  
open science

## Worldwide occurrence and origin of perchlorate ion in waters: A review

Feifei Cao, Jessy J. Jaunat, Neil Sturchio, Benjamin Cances, Xavier Morvan, Alain Devos, Vincent Barbin, Patrick Ollivier

► **To cite this version:**

Feifei Cao, Jessy J. Jaunat, Neil Sturchio, Benjamin Cances, Xavier Morvan, et al.. Worldwide occurrence and origin of perchlorate ion in waters: A review. *Science of the Total Environment*, 2019, 661, pp.737-749. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.107 . hal-02380699

**HAL Id: hal-02380699**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02380699>**

Submitted on 20 Dec 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

# Intumescent polypropylene: reaction to fire and mechanistic aspects

Serge Bourbigot<sup>\*1</sup>, Johan Sarazin<sup>1</sup>, Tsilla Bensabath<sup>1</sup>, Fabienne Samyn<sup>1</sup> and Maude Jimenez<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>R<sub>2</sub>Fire group/UMET – UMR CNRS 8207, ENSCL, University of Lille, France

Corresponding author: [serge.bourbigot@ensc-lille.fr](mailto:serge.bourbigot@ensc-lille.fr)

## ABSTRACT

The concept of intumescence was applied to make flame retarded polypropylene (PP). This paper examines two types of intumescence in PP based on expandable graphite (EG, physical expansion) and on modified ammonium polyphosphate (AP760, chemical expansion). Reaction to fire of PP containing EG and AP760 was first evaluated by cone calorimetry. The incorporation of intumescent additives at relatively low loading (10 wt%) in PP permits the reduction by 70% of peak of heat release rate (pHRR). The mode of action occurs via the formation of an expanded carbonaceous layer in all cases. The protective coating acts mainly as heat barrier in the case of the formulations containing AP760 or as heat dissipater with EG. The incorporation of small amount of EG in PP-AP760 modifies heat transfer in the coating creating a strong anisotropy. Upon expansion, graphite worms align normal to the surface increasing the transverse heat conductivity (lower efficiency of the heat barrier) and hence, decreasing the fire performance (decrease by only 30% of pHRR). Kinetic analysis was then performed to quantify the thermal stability of the intumescent systems. It reveals that the intumescent additives do not modify the reactional scheme of the PP thermal decomposition but they increase slightly the thermal stability of the intumescent systems. For all materials, the decomposition model follows a reactional scheme at two successive reactions. This model was determined in dynamic conditions (conditions of thermogravimetry with linear heating rates) but it is able to simulate the decomposition of the materials in isothermal conditions (excellent agreement between the simulated and experimental curves).

## KEYWORDS:

Intumescence; polypropylene; kinetic analysis; heat transfer

## INTRODUCTION

Economical manufacturing methods for mass production and improvements of properties of finished products have in many applications greatly contributed to replace traditional materials like metals or wood with plastics and rubbers. In particular, polypropylene (PP) is the fastest growing commodity plastic worldwide. It has found its place in many sectors such as building, transportation (automotive, railways ...), electrical engineering (electrical / household appliances, housings ...) or paper industry.

The use of the organic polymer systems like PP, which are flammable, leads to greater fire risks and thus to growing importance of flame retardancy [1]. Some events have shown the need to improve fire resistance. As an example, the PP fire at the BASF plant (March 1995 in Teeside, UK) which has been described as one of the largest fires ever seen in the UK during the peacetime (more than 10,000 tons of PP were consumed) [2]. This clearly entails a more comprehensive appraisal of all aspects of the combustion of polymers and the ways to prevent it. The high carbon and hydrogen content of PP

(like many other synthetic polymers) makes it combustible. To enable PP to participate and perform safely, flame-retardants are added in applications where regulatory or specifications require an enhanced flame retardant capability over and above the unmodified polymer. The flame retardant will not make the resulting compound non-combustible. It will however make the material more ignition resistant. During burning, the sufficiently flame retarded plastic will reduced the flame spread time over that of unmodified PP. Flame retardants exert many different modes of action as a function of the chemical nature of the polymer-flame retardant systems and of the interactions between the components. It is considered that inhibition of burning is achieved by modification of either the condensed phase or the dispersed or gaseous phase in a physical and/or chemical mode [3].

The motivation of this paper is to examine the flame retardancy of PP using the concept of intumescence (mode of action in the condensed phase). When heated beyond a critical temperature, an intumescent material begins to swell and then to expand. The result of this process is a foamed cellular charred layer on the surface, which protects the underlying material from the action of the heat flux or the flame. Visually, the swelling and the expansion looks like 'black waves' swollen at the surface of the material and the final char exhibits hemispheric shape with a roughed or smooth surface. The concept of intumescence enables to make flame retarded polymeric materials (including PP-based materials) exhibiting high performance [4]. Typically, the ingredients of intumescence are mainly composed of an inorganic acid or a material yielding acidic species upon heating (e.g. phosphate), of a char former (e.g. pentaerythritol) and of a component that decomposes at the right temperature and at the right time to enable the expansion of the system (e.g. melamine). Those types of ingredients were incorporated in PP in the 80s and 90s and gave high limiting oxygen index (LOI) and low heat release rate (HRR) [5, 6]. More sophisticated flame-retardants combining the ingredients' triplet in all in one molecule and made from organic syntheses were designed but they provided similar performance as the conventional formulation [4, 7-9]. Incorporation of additional filler in an intumescent PP can also involve synergistic effects. Performance is enhanced dramatically adding small amount of an additional compound [7, 10-12]. Numerous synergists (micro- and nanofillers) have been used in conventional "three-based ingredients" intumescent formulations. It includes the boron compounds (zinc borates,  $B_2O_3$ , borophosphate, borosiloxane), phosphorus compounds (phosphazene,  $ZrPO_4$ ), silicon compounds (silica, silicone, silicalite), aluminosilicates (mordenite, zeolite, montmorillonite), rare earth oxides ( $La_2O_3$ ,  $Nd_2O_3$ ), metal oxides ( $MnO_2$ ,  $ZnO$ ,  $Ni_2O_3$ ,  $Bi_2O_3$ ,  $TiO_2$ ,  $ZrO_2$ ,  $Fe_2O_3$ ) and others (carbon nanotubes, silsesquioxanes, layered double

hydroxides, Cu, Pt, talc, sepiolite, zinc and nickel salts). The presence of this additional filler can modify the chemical (reactivity of the filler versus the ingredients of the intumescent system) [13] and physical (expansion, char strength and thermophysical properties) [14] behavior of the intumescent char when undergoing flame or heat flux leading to enhanced performance.

This short survey on intumescent PP shows that the method to develop the intumescence phenomenon is mainly based on series of chemical reactions occurring timely. Another way to make intumescence in a polymeric matrix is the physical expansion. In other words, the rapid sublimation of a molecule (and/or the decomposing products) creates the expansion of the top degraded layer of the polymer to make an intumescent coating. This mechanism occurs when using expandable graphite (EG). EG is a synthesized intercalation compound of graphite that expands or exfoliates when heated. A wide variety of chemical species can be used to intercalate graphite materials (e.g. sulfate, nitrate, various organic acids ...) [15]. It was applied in PP in combination with conventional intumescent ingredients [16, 17]. The mechanism involved was investigated in some aspects (chemistry of the system) but it remains poorly understood. It is the purpose of this work to revisit the mode of action of physical intumescence in PP and to make comparisons with chemical intumescence.

This paper examines two types of intumescence in PP based on EG (physical expansion) and on modified ammonium polyphosphate (AP760, chemical expansion). Some examples of using ammonium polyphosphate and EG can be found elsewhere [18-20] but not in PP. Reaction to fire of PP containing EG and AP760 is first evaluated by cone calorimetry and the efficiency of the intumescent barrier is measured quantitatively at the same time. Kinetic analysis is then performed to quantify the thermal stability of the intumescent systems.

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

### **Materials and processing**

Commercial grade of PP was used in this work: PP (PPH9060) was supplied by Total petrochemicals (Feluy, Belgium). PPH9060 has a melt flow rate (MFR) for a load of 2.16 g at 230°C of 25 g/10 min.

Expandable graphite (EG) is the commercial grade ES350F5 from Graphitwerk Kropfmühl (Germany) with an average particle size of 300 µm. Sulfate was used in this grade as intercalation compound to make graphite bisulfate. Modified ammonium polyphosphate (AP760) is the commercial grade of

Clariant (Germany) with the brand name Exolit AP760. It is an intrinsic intumescent system containing 20 wt% phosphorus and 14 wt% nitrogen acting in synergy. It is mainly based on ammonium polyphosphate as acid source and tris(hydroxyethyl) isocyanurate (THEIC) as char former.

### **Processing**

The strategy was to blend PP with flame-retardants (FRs) in a twin-screw extruder. The total loading of FRs in PP was 10 wt% varying the ratio AP760/EG (wt/wt) at 10:0; 5:5; 9:1 and 0:10. This loading was selected because it provides an acceptable performance according to cone calorimetry. Compounding was performed using HAAKE Rheomix OS PTW 16 twin-screw extruder. The extruder is a co-rotating intermeshing twin screw with a barrel length of 400 mm and screw diameter of 16 mm ( $L/D = 25$ ) with 10 zones. PP and FRs were incorporated using two gravimetric side feeders into the extruder. Polymer flow rate is fixed to extrude about 500 g/h with a screw speed of 300 rpm. The temperature profile of the extruder from feeder to die was set at 200/ 200/ 200/ 200/ 200/ 170/ 185/ 180/ 200/ 200°C.

### **Cone calorimetry**

FTT (Fire Testing Technology) Mass Loss Calorimeter (MLC) was used to carry out measurements on samples following the procedure defined in ASTM E 906. The equipment is identical to that used in oxygen consumption cone calorimetry (ASTM E-1354-90), except that a thermopile in the chimney is used to obtain heat release rate (HRR) rather than employing the oxygen consumption principle. Our procedure involved exposing specimens measuring 100 mm x 100 mm x 3 mm in horizontal orientation. External heat flux of 35 kW/m<sup>2</sup> was used to perform the experiments. This flux corresponds to common heat flux in mild fire scenario. MLC was used to determine heat release rate (HRR) and total heat release (THR). When measured at 35 kW/m<sup>2</sup>, HRR is reproducible to within  $\pm 10\%$ . The cone data reported in this paper are the average of three replicated experiments.

In addition to those measurements, a thermocouple (K-type thermocouple of 0.5 mm diameter) was embedded on the backside of the materials in horizontal position. It measured temperature as a function of time during a regular cone experiment. In this experimental set-up, it is necessary to assume that additional conductive effects due to the thermocouple are negligible.

## X-ray tomography

The expanded intumescent specimens obtained after cone calorimetry were placed in X-ray  $\mu$ CT (computed microtomography) for the analysis of the inner morphology without structural damage. The resulting recordings over 360° were reconstructed to obtain the computerized 3D CT volumes. The tomography was performed using the microtomography setup at ISIS4D X-ray CT platform (equipped with UltraTom from RX Solutions). The set-up consisted of two X-ray tubes (micro and nano focus), a sliding and rotating stage holder, a flat panel detector (1920x1496 px-127  $\mu$ m/px-0.2 to 60 frame/s), a linear detector (2560 px-200  $\mu$ m/px-0.2 to 60 frame/s), a CCD camera (4000x2624 px-11.8  $\mu$ m/px-up to 3.4 frame/s) and an image intensifier. Samples were placed on styrofoam holders and then mounted on the rotating stage to minimize the signal noise due to the holder. Samples were rotated by 360° with an angular step of 0.25°. The subsequent tomography volumes were reconstructed from 1440 projection images produced by classical attenuation contrast technique and visualized using X-Act (from RX Solutions).

## Kinetic analysis

ThermoGravimetric Analysis (TGA) was carried out using a Netzsch TG 209 F1 Libra at five heating rates (1, 2, 5, 10, 20 and 50°C/min) from 30°C to 800 °C in nitrogen flow (50 cm<sup>3</sup>/min). Samples of exactly 10 mg ( $\pm$ 0.3 mg) were put in open alumina pans. Typically, two replicates were run for each sample, and the average was reported. Both the onset (5% mass fraction loss) and peak mass loss rate have an uncertainty of 0.9°C (2 $\sigma$ ). We corrected for each heating rate the buoyancy force (calibration with empty pan). Kinetic analysis and modeling of the degradation of the samples was made using an advanced thermokinetic software package developed by Netzsch Company [21]. For kinetic analysis, it is assumed that the material decomposes according to the Eq. (1):



The rate expression  $d\alpha/dt$ , where  $\alpha$  is the degree of conversion, is assumed to be defined by Eq. (2):

$$d\alpha/dt = k(T).f(\alpha) \quad (2)$$

where  $k$  is the kinetic constant,  $k = A.\exp(-E/RT)$  according to the Arrhenius law,  $A$  is the frequency factor,  $E$  is the activation energy and  $f(\alpha)$  is the so-called “reaction model”. All reactions are assumed to be irreversible. In the case of decomposition and since the evolved gases were continuously removed by the fluid flow in the TGA chamber, this is a reasonable assumption. It is also assumed that the overall reaction (Eq. (1)) is the sum of individual reaction steps (formal or true step) with

constant activation energy, as generally accepted in chemistry. The model can then include competitive, independent and successive reactions. The equations were solved with multivariate kinetic analysis (determination of the parameter via an hybrid regularized Gauss-Newton method or Marquardt method).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Reaction to fire

EG and AP760 and some of their combinations (AP760/EG at 5:5 (wt/wt) and 9:1 (wt/wt)) were incorporated in PP at 10 wt% total loading. The four formulations were evaluated by cone calorimetry at an external heat flux of 35 kW/m<sup>2</sup> and compared to neat PP (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1. HRR curves as a function of time of intumescent PP (external heat flux = 35 kW/m<sup>2</sup>)

Except for the formulation containing 1 wt% EG, the intumescent PPs exhibit peaks of heat release rate (pHRR), which are decreased by about 70%. Total heat release rate (THR) is also significantly reduced. It is decreased by about 35% for the two formulations PP-EG and PP-AP760 (82 MJ/m<sup>2</sup> vs. 50 MJ/m<sup>2</sup>) and by 20% for PP-AP760/EG (5:5) (82 MJ/m<sup>2</sup> vs. 60 MJ/m<sup>2</sup>). The formation of an expanded charred layer is observed at the surface of the materials evidencing an intumescent phenomenon (Fig. 2). The char formed from PP-AP760 is fully expanded and its surface is flat (Fig. 2 - a) but on the opposite, the two formulations PP-EG and PP-AP760/EG (5:5) exhibit an expanded 'hairy' char during the experiment (Fig. 2 - b and c). The intercalation compounds contained in EG decompose rapidly into gaseous products, which blast off the graphite flakes. Those flakes make then worms forming an entangled network at the surface of the material. This network acts as a protective layer (PP-EG, Fig.

2 – b). The combination of EG and AP760 in the formulation PP-AP760/EG (5:5) exhibits a reduced expansion compared to PP-EG. The char is also formed of entangled worms of graphite, which can be clearly distinguished at the surface (Fig. 2 – c). The relative limited expansion is probably due to the embedded graphite worms in the char increasing its viscosity. The formulation containing 1 wt% EG exhibits a pHRR only decreased by 30% and a THR decreased by 10% (82 MJ/m<sup>2</sup> vs. 70 MJ/m<sup>2</sup>). An intumescent phenomenon is also observed but its efficiency is not as high as the other intumescent PPs. The low quantity of EG in the formulation does not permit the formation of an entangled network and only graphite worms coming out of the charred surface can be observed (Fig. 2 – d). It is noteworthy the graphite worms rise up straight upon heating: they do not flatten and remain in line on the expanding char (Fig. 3).

Overall, the intumescent char formed from PP-AP760 exhibits high expansion and a smooth surface while the chars containing EG exhibit lower expansion and ‘hairy’ surface. It evidences therefore two distinct mechanisms: (i) the physical expansion of graphite flakes forming a protective entangled network and (ii) the formation of an intumescent char by a series of chemical reactions. Those two types of protective coating provide the same level of protection according to the cone scenario (except for the combination AP760/EG (9:1)).




Fig. 2. Intumescent coatings formed from intumescent-based PP during the cone calorimetry experiment and after the ignition





Fig. 3. Charring of the formulation PP-AP760/EG (9:1) before the ignition

During the cone calorimetry experiments, thermocouples were placed on the backside of the materials to measure the temperature as a function of time and to quantify the efficiency of the intumescent barrier (thermocouple embedded in the material in horizontal position). Note all the experiments presented below are highly repeatable. It was checked the thermocouple remains at the same position before and after the experiments (no loss of contact with the matter) and hence, the measured temperature makes sense. Fig. 4 shows temperature changes as a function of time. Up to 50s, the slopes of the curves are similar and the materials heat up at about  $0.5^{\circ}\text{C/s}$ . At  $t > 50\text{s}$ , the 5 curves exhibit a slope break and the temperature rise jumps to  $5.2^{\circ}\text{C/s}$ . The neat PP reduces a little bit its temperature rise at  $t > 190\text{s}$  (curve of PP was stopped when the thermocouple is no longer in the matter because of the complete decomposition of PP). At  $t = 90\text{s}$ , the temperature rise of PP-EG is strongly reduced at  $0.6^{\circ}\text{C/s}$  and even more at  $t > 300\text{s}$  ( $0.15^{\circ}\text{C/s}$ ). It corresponds to the formation of an intumescent layer reducing heat transfer from the flame to the backside of the substrate and so, it limits the temperature rise on the backside. The efficiency of the intumescent chars formed by PP-AP760 and PP-AP760/EG(5:5) is less. The two curves follow the same trend as the curve of neat PP up to 150s and then their temperature rise exhibits a similar value as that of PP-EG ( $0.15^{\circ}\text{C/s}$ ). They reach a steady state at about 700s with similar final temperatures ( $520^{\circ}\text{C}$  at 1100s). Note PP-EG does not show any steady state. It is assigned to smoldering occurring on the graphite worms (Fig. 2 – b). The higher performance of PP-EG compared to PP-AP760 and PP-AP760/EG(5:5) can be explained by the faster expansion of its intumescent coating thanks to the fast sublimation of the inserted molecules. The protective layer is therefore formed at shorter time as evidenced by the slope break at 190s and then the entangled network of graphite worms can limit the heat transfer to the bottom layer. PP-AP760/EG(9:1) exhibits an unexpected behavior because its backside temperature increases extremely rapidly to  $650^{\circ}\text{C}$  (temperature rise of  $5.2^{\circ}\text{C/s}$ ). Its backside temperature reaches a pseudo steady state at  $670^{\circ}\text{C}$  for  $350\text{s} < t < 400\text{s}$  and then decreases to  $545^{\circ}\text{C}$ . This temperature drop ( $125^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) at 400s is unclear and it is not due to the flameout of the materials since it occurs at 660s.






Fig. 4. Backside temperature as a function of time of intumescent PPs during cone calorimetry experiment (external heat flux = 35 kW/m<sup>2</sup>);

The temperature on the backside of PP-AP760/EG(9:1) strongly decreases after 400s while it is not observed with the other samples. To explain this, the structure of the chars was examined by X-ray tomography. The main advantage of tomography is to observe the internal structure of the samples without damaging it. The intumescent samples are brittle and it is difficult to cut them off without any modification. The cross-sections of the intumescent residues show two types of internal structures (Fig. 5). The residues of PP-AP760 and PP-AP760/EG(9:1) exhibit an expanded hollow structure with thin walls (Fig. 5 (a) and (d)). Some graphite worms can be distinguished for PP-AP760/EG(9:1) attached to the wall (Fig. 5 (d)). Note also that only a part of the sample is expanded. The residues of PP-EG and PP-AP760/EG(5:5) are also expanded but they are filled by graphite worms showing an entangled network as mentioned above (Fig. 5 (b) and (c)). The comparison of the 4 structures does not permit to explain the temperature drop occurring for PP-AP760/EG(9:1) but they confirm the comments made about the mode of protection, namely the formation of an entangled network when using EG and of an expanded charred coating when using AP760. Hence, additional experiments were performed for preparing residues stopped at characteristic times of the temperature/time curve, namely 130s (highest temperature), 460s (decreasing temperature) and 900s (end of the experiment). Because of ease, the so-prepared samples were cut off to get a rapid view of their cross-sections (Fig. 6). At 130s, the sample is relatively compact and few expanded (Fig. 6 (a)). The graphite worms are embedded in the char and are staked because of the cooling of the sample (the worms were aligned and normal to the surface during the experiment). The heat



Number of peer-reviewed studies on water contamination by  $\text{ClO}_4^-$



Verified or supposed Sources of  $\text{ClO}_4^-$  (based on isotopic signal)

- Atmospheric
- Geogenic
- Industrial
- Agricultural
- Military