

# Water-in-PDMS emulsion templating of highly interconnected porous architectures for 3D cell culture

Roberto Riesco, Louisa Boyer, Sarah Blosse, Pauline Lefebvre, Pauline

Assemat, Thierry Leichle, Angelo Accardo, Laurent Malaquin

# ▶ To cite this version:

Roberto Riesco, Louisa Boyer, Sarah Blosse, Pauline Lefebvre, Pauline Assemat, et al.. Water-in-PDMS emulsion templating of highly interconnected porous architectures for 3D cell culture. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2019, 11 (32), pp.28631-28640. 10.1021/acsami.9b07564 . hal-02379789

# HAL Id: hal-02379789 https://hal.science/hal-02379789v1

Submitted on 29 Nov 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# **Open Archive Toulouse Archive Ouverte**

OATAO is an open access repository that collects the work of Toulouse researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible

This is an author's version published in: <u>https://oatao.univ-toulouse.fr/25123</u>

Official URL: <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b07564</u>

# To cite this version:

Riesco, Roberto and Boyer, Louisa and Blosse, Sarah and Lefebvre, Pauline M. and Assemat, Pauline and Leichle, Thierry and Accardo, Angelo and Malaquin, Laurent *Water-in-PDMS emulsion templating of highly interconnected porous architectures for 3D cell culture*. (2019) ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces, 11 (32). 28631-28640. ISSN 1944-8244

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the repository administrator: <u>tech-oatao@listes-diff.inp-toulouse.fr</u>

# Water-in-PDMS Emulsion Templating of Highly Interconnected Porous Architectures for 3D Cell Culture

Roberto Riesco,<sup>†,‡</sup> Louisa Boyer,<sup>†</sup> Sarah Blosse,<sup>†,‡</sup> Pauline M. Lefebvre,<sup>§,||</sup> Pauline Assemat,<sup>§</sup> Thierry Leichle,<sup>†</sup> Angelo Accardo,<sup>\*,†,⊥</sup> and Laurent Malaquin<sup>\*,†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>LAAS-CNRS, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, F-31400 Toulouse, France

<sup>‡</sup>Institut National des Sciences Appliquées—INSA, F-31400 Toulouse, France

<sup>§</sup>Institut de Mécanique des Fluides de Toulouse, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, F-31400 Toulouse, France

<sup>II</sup>FR FERMAT, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, INPT, UPS, F-31400 Toulouse, France



**ABSTRACT:** The development of advanced techniques of fabrication of three-dimensional (3D) microenvironments for the study of cell growth and proliferation has become one of the major motivations of material scientists and bioengineers in the past decade. Here, we present a novel residueless 3D structuration technique of poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) by water-in-PDMS emulsion casting and subsequent curing process in temperature-/pressure-controlled environment. Scanning electron microscopy and X-ray microcomputed tomography allowed us to investigate the impact of those parameters on the microarchitecture of the porous structure. We demonstrated that the optimized emulsion casting process gives rise to large-scale and highly interconnected network with pore size ranging from 500  $\mu$ m to 1.5 mm that turned out to be nicely adapted to 3D cell culture. Experimental cell culture validations were performed using SaOS-2 (osteosarcoma) cell lines. Epifluorescence and deep penetration imaging techniques as two-photon confocal microscopy unveiled information about cell morphology and confirmed a homogeneous cell proliferation and spatial distribution in the 3D porous structure within an available volume larger than 1 cm<sup>3</sup>. These results open alternative scenarios for the fabrication and integration of porous scaffolds for the development of 3D cell culture platforms.

KEYWORDS: PDMS, 3D scaffold, emulsion, porosity, osteosarcoma cells

### 1. INTRODUCTION

23 The development of porous materials has been a major 24 concern for materials science since decades. Their properties 25 play an important role in many applications such as energy 26 storage and conversion, pollutant gas capture, and drug 27 delivery.<sup>1-4</sup> Porous structures are also fundamental in the 28 development of living organisms. Oxygen capture in our bodies 29 is due to the porosity of alveolar tissue in our lungs, which 30 maximizes the exchange surface available for this task.<sup>5</sup> In 31 bones, the trabecular topology works as a niche for the bone 32 marrow and provides a proper environment for cellular 33 regeneration.<sup>6</sup> Further, in the context of the realization of 34 biomimetic scaffolds for cell culture and tissue engineering 35 studies, the accurate tuning of pore distribution and pore size 36 allows the cells to infiltrate easily within the material, promote 37 the perfusion of nourishment, and facilitate the vascularization 38 of the restored tissue.<sup>7</sup> To achieve these topologies, many solutions have been recently proposed in the field of material 39 sciences and, in particular, polymer science. 40

One of the most extended and widely used materials for 41 bioapplications in the last decades is poly(dimethylsiloxane) 42 (PDMS).<sup>8</sup> Since Wacker Chemie discovered this silicone- 43 based organic elastomer in the 1950 s, it has found a large 44 range of applications starting from lab on chips and 45 microfluidic devices<sup>9</sup> to contact lenses, medical devices,<sup>10,11</sup> 46 alimentary industry, passing through energy storage,<sup>12</sup> flexible 47 electronics,<sup>13–15</sup> and piezoelectric actuators.<sup>16</sup> PDMS is also 48 known for its biocompatibility<sup>17</sup> and molding properties<sup>18</sup> to 49 generate medical devices or even bioimplants.<sup>19</sup> Furthermore, 50 PDMS features a low surface tension and energy, and it is 51



**Figure 1.** (A) Sketch of the fabrication process of the PDMS porous scaffold. The emulsion is injected into a PDMS shell and placed in an oven at 60 °C for  $t_1$  min. Afterward, the scaffolds are transferred to a vacuum oven at temperature  $T_2$  under pressure  $P_2$ . (B) Sketch of the impact of the physical parameters of the fabrication process in the porous morphology. (C) Optical image of the PDMS porous scaffold.

<sup>52</sup> hydrophobic although its surface properties are easily tunable
 <sup>53</sup> via oxygen plasma treatment to introduce hydroxyl groups,
 <sup>54</sup> allowing grafting of proteins or other functional groups.<sup>20</sup>

Concerning biological applications, PDMS is well adapted 55 56 with cell biology applications:<sup>21</sup> it is compatible with almost 57 every technique of protein coating for cell adhesion, and its 58 mechanical properties<sup>18,22</sup> are known to be compatible with 59 cell culture. One of the main advantages over other materials is 60 its permeability to oxygen and water, which allows the cell 61 medium to oxygenate and reach biocompatibility levels.<sup>23</sup> In 62 addition, it is transparent and compatible with optical 63 characterization method and is lowly photoluminescent,<sup>24</sup> 64 allowing the use of fluorescent markers for the visualization of 65 cellular features. For all of these reasons, PDMS is broadly 66 used in biological applications and is undoubtedly one of the 67 main materials used in the fabrication of health sensors, flexible 68 biocontacts, or microfluidic devices for biomedical applica-69 tions.

Nowadays, one of the main challenges in tissue engineering real is to develop models of microenvironments that mimic the key aspects of the architecture and organization of living tissues. Hence, in the past two decades, we witnessed a transition from conventional two-dimensional (2D) Petri dish monolayer cell sculture approaches to three-dimensional (3D) architectures featuring topological, mechanical, and biochemical aspects matching the natural growth environment of cells.<sup>25–28</sup> To fs fulfill this need, material scientists, biomedical engineers, and microfabrication researchers started to investigate and develop protocols aiming at realizing such architectures by exploiting 80 diverse additive manufacturing and other 3D fabrication 81 techniques such as fused deposition modeling and electro- 82 spinning,<sup>29</sup> stereolithography,<sup>30,31</sup> direct laser writing,<sup>32–35</sup> or 83 bioprinting.<sup>36</sup> Although most of these approaches allow the 84 fabrication of scaffold features down to the micrometric or 85 even submicrometric scale, they are often limited by the overall 86 printable size of the object, by the cost of the fabrication setup, 87 as well as by the scarcity of biocompatible materials for 88 biological applications.<sup>37</sup> PDMS can be hardly integrated 89 within additive manufacturing processes, and it is not possible 90 to unmold three-dimensional patterns with cell resolution 91 while its biocompatibility for biomedical applications is widely 92 proved. On the other hand, a large community of chemists and 93 material scientists developed fabrication protocols of PDMS 94 sponges based on emulsions/foams,<sup>38</sup> gas foaming,<sup>39</sup> or 95 microcasting of sacrificial materials/structures.<sup>40-43</sup> The 96 important molecular role of the hydrophobic/hydrophilic 97 tails and the tuning of its wettability properties<sup>44</sup> make it a 98 perfect candidate for microfluidic or environmental applica- 99 tions,<sup>45</sup> while some groups have recently tested the possibility 100 of using PDMS macroporous sponges for tissue engineer- 101 ing.46,4 102

In this paper, we report for the first time a simple, rapid, and 103 cost-effective 3D fabrication technique for creating mesoscale 104 porous PDMS scaffolds of centimeter scale, featuring a pore 105 size ranging from millimeter to micrometer scale. The protocol 106 is based on  $H_2O/PDMS$  emulsion casting and subsequent 107

108 pressure-/temperature-controlled curing. This process is <sup>109</sup> similar to previously reported polymer high internal phase <sup>110</sup> emulsion (polyHIPE) technique;<sup>48,49</sup> however, it relies on a 111 progressive expansion of the internal phase that allowed us to 112 tune the pore size, distribution, and interconnectivity within 113 the architecture. Optical microscopy, scanning electron 114 microscopy (SEM), and X-ray tomography investigations 115 showed an interconnected porous architecture with an 116 available porosity estimated to be higher than 57%. The 117 scaffolds were then tested in the presence of an osteosarcoma <sup>118</sup> cell line, namely, SaOS-2, which holds several osteoblastic <sup>119</sup> features<sup>50</sup> and is commonly employed as an in vitro model for 120 studying the transition of human osteoblasts to osteocytes.<sup>51</sup> 121 The SEM, fluorescence, and two-photon confocal imaging 122 characterizations of both the surface and the inner core of the 123 scaffold revealed an efficient 3D cell colonization of the 124 architecture and the presence of the typical flattened 125 cytoskeletal morphology expected for SaOS-2 cells. The results 126 show how the fast prototyping fabrication protocol that we 127 developed and the tunability of the pore size and pore 128 distribution open a promising scenario for the development of 129 3D cell culture models and tissue engineering applications 130 involving PDMS.

### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

**2.1. Materials.** Poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) was purchased from Dow Corning in a kit containing a silicone base and a curing agent (Sylgard 184). All of the PDMS mixtures presented in this work were prepared following standard proportions (10:1 = base/curingagent w/w) and properly degassed using a dedicated chamber under vacuum. We employed ultrapure (type I) deionized water (DIW) from a Milli-Q Direct purifier system. Molds were fabricated with a B 3D stereolithographic system DWS 29J+ in DS3000 and DL260 materials from DWS Systems.

**2.2. Fabrication of Standardized PDMS Scaffold Holders.** 141 Due to the biological purposes of this work, the dimensions of all of 142 the developed scaffolds were designed to be compatible with standard 143 cell culture consumables configuration. The samples were fabricated 144 to fit inside a 12-well plate (22 mm diameter for each well). To ensure 145 a perfect sealing of the scaffold in the wells and provide a manageable 146 object, a PDMS scaffold holder was fabricated by 3D printing and 147 inserted in the multiwell plate during the casting (see Figure S1 in the 148 Supporting Information) to obtain a cylindrical shell of PDMS with a 149 semicircular empty space where we then injected the water/PDMS 150 emulsion. The height of the scaffold holder was set between 1 and 1.5 151 cm, while its inner diameter was set to 1.4 cm. PDMS silicone was 152 poured on the plate and cured at 60 °C overnight.

2.3. Emulsion Casting. Highly porous PDMS scaffolds were 153 154 fabricated by the method of emulsion casting, using water as internal 155 phase, and reticulating the emulsion within specific environmental 156 conditions (Figure 1A,B). Water-in-PDMS emulsion was made by progressively adding small quantities (~10% of PDMS mass) of DIW 157 158 and mixing until reaching 70% of water-in-silicone. With this process, 159 we generated a water-in-silicone emulsion that was then injected into 160 the cylindrical sample holder. The reticulation process consisted of 161 two separate steps of reticulation by varying temperatures and 162 pressures that allowed us to control the pore size and distribution 163 (Figure 1C): (1) the samples were first placed inside an oven 164 (Memmert) at  $T_1 = 60$  °C for a specific time  $t_1$  ranging from 30 to 60 165 min in atmospheric pressure condition; (2) the samples were then 166 transferred into a vacuum oven (SalvisLAB Vacucenter) at  $T_2$  ranging 167 between 110 and 130  $^\circ$ C with an absolute pressure value  $P_2$  of 400 or 168 700 mbar for 2 h. After this time, we did not observe any notable 169 evolution of the size and interconnectivity of the pores. Both edges of 170 the samples were removed by slicing the cylinder with a surgical blade 171 to obtain a clean surface.

**2.4. Scanning Electron Microscopy.** We used scanning electron 172 microscopy (SEM) to observe the microscopic morphology of the 173 samples with a Hitachi S-4800 system at an accelerating voltage 174 ranging from 2 to 5 kV and 10  $\mu$ A current. The imaging was 175 performed on core regions of the scaffolds, accessed by cross-cutting 176 the samples with a surgical blade, in flat and 45° tilt-angle SEM 177 sample holder positioning configuration. To improve the resolution 178 and avoid charging effects, the samples were coated with a 15 nm 179 layer of sputtered gold using PECS I from Gatan Systems. False-color 180 imaging treatment was performed by using the open-access software 181 Gimp 2.

2.5. X-ray Tomography. To investigate the pore properties of the 183 sample, X-ray microcomputed tomography ( $\mu$ CT) imaging was 184 performed.  $\mu$ CT is a nondestructive imaging technique that allows 185 quantification of internal features of an object in three dimensions 186 with microscopic resolution. In this study, the specimen was inserted 187 inside a X-ray microtomography machine manufactured by RX 188 Solutions (EasyTom XL 150). A sealed-type microfocus X-ray source 189 with beryllium target was used. The X-ray source energy was adjusted 190 to the resolution of the scan: the source voltage was fixed at 66 kV 191 and source current at 268  $\mu$ A. Before the acquisition, standard black 192 and gain calibrations were performed. A complete scan was acquired 193 by recording 1440 projections of the sample at different angles, 194 equally spaced on  $360^\circ$ , with a flat panel of  $1920 \times 1536$  pixels. Each 195 projection had average exposure times of 0.11 and 5 s. The 3D 196 volume and corresponding slices were reconstructed with the RX 197 Solutions software, X-Act, using a filtered back-projection algorithm. 198 Reconstructed slices had an isotropic resolution of 18  $\mu$ m. 199 Postprocessing of images was performed with Avizo 9.7.0, a software 200 dedicated to data visualization, segmentation, and quantification. A 201 nonlocal means filter<sup>52</sup> was first used to remove noise. For the 202 binarization of images, two different methods were used: (1) a user- 203 defined threshold was applied to separate pores and PDMS matrix 204 and extract the binary image on each slice; (2) a watershed 205 algorithm<sup>53</sup> was also applied on filtered images to separate pores 206 and PDMS material. Watershed-based segmentation consists of 207 transforming the gray-level image as a topographic map, where high 208 intensity represents peaks and hills while low intensity represents 209 valleys. The obtained topographic image is then flooded, starting from 210 user-defined seeds, using an automatic gradient magnitude algorithm. 211 Dams are built to avoid merging water from two different catchment 212 basins. The segmentation result is defined by the locations of the 213 dams, i.e., the watershed lines. Porosity in both cases (user-defined 214 threshold and watershed algorithm) was finally calculated as the 215 fraction of pore volume over the total volume of the specimen. The 216 connectivity of pores was evaluated with the Axis Connectivity 217 function available on Avizo. 218

**2.6. Water Retention.** To complement the results obtained by X- 219 ray microcomputed tomography, an empirical test was completed to 220 provide experimental data about the absorbance of water within the 221 3D architecture. The porous PDMS scaffolds were dried in a vacuum 222 oven at 60 °C overnight and then weighted to obtain  $W_{dry}$ . The 223 PDMS was plasma-activated using oxygen plasma treatment (Diener 224 Electronic, 5 sccm oxygen flow, 0.5 mbar, 5 min, 50 W) to enhance 225 wettability and immediately soaked in PBS over 48 h. The water 226 retained in the scaffold was measured using an electronic balance that 227 provided us with  $W_{wet}$ . The percent of water remaining in the porous 228 PDMS scaffold was calculated as<sup>54</sup> 229

water retention (%) = 
$$\frac{(W_{\text{wet}} - W_{\text{dry}})}{W_{\text{dry}}} \times 100$$

**2.7. Cell Culture, Fixation, and Staining.** Prior to cell culture, 230 the porous PDMS scaffolds were first sterilized for 1 h under UV 231 exposure at 254 nm. The PDMS surface was then activated with an 232 oxygen plasma treatment (Diener Electronic, 5 sccm oxygen flow, 0.5 233 mbar, 5 min, 50 W) and coated with 10  $\mu$ g/mL of human fibronectin 234 (Corning) for 1 h at room temperature. The osteosarcoma cell line 235 (SaOS-2) was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection 236 (ATCC) and grown using minimum essential medium  $\alpha$  ( $\alpha$ -MEM) 237



**Figure 2.** SEM characterization of the cross-sectional regions in the PDMS porous scaffold for different curing parameters. Along the vertical axis, we vary the time  $t_1$  for the prereticulation stage at 60 °C. Along the horizontal axis, we vary the temperature  $T_2$  and the pressure  $P_2$  of the second reticulation process. The scale bar is 1 mm.

238 containing nucleosides, GlutaMAX, (Gibco, Fisher Scientific) 239 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone, Fisher 240 Scientific) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin mix (Gibco, Fisher 241 Scientific). A cell suspension (15 000 cells/cm<sup>2</sup>) was deposited in a 242 droplet of supplemented  $\alpha$ -MEM on top of the PDMS porous scaffold and incubated in an atmosphere containing 5% CO<sub>2</sub> at 37 °C for 1 h 243 to enable the cells to adhere to the scaffold. Multiwell plates were then 244 245 filled with additional supplemented  $\alpha$ -MEM and incubated for 72 h. To prepare the sample for SEM characterization, cells were rinsed 246 247 with PBS 1× (phosphate-buffered saline) solution and incubated in 248 4% glutaraldehyde (Sigma) solution for 4 h at room temperature. The 249 cells were then dehydrated by incubation in 50, 70, 90, and 100% 250 ethanol solutions for 4 min at each step and dried for few hours at 251 room temperature to remove alcohol residues. Immunofluorescence 252 staining was performed as follows: cells were rinsed with PBS 1×, 253 fixed with 10% formalin solution (Sigma) for 30 min, permeabilized in 254 0.2% Triton X-100 for 3 min, and blocked in 3% BSA for 30 min. The 255 samples were then incubated in phalloidine-rhodamine (Invitrogen, 256 Fisher Scientific) at 1/200 dilution in PBS 1× for 30 min at 37 °C to 257 stain the F-actin (protein of the cell cytoskeleton) and then in a DAPI (Thermo Scientific, Fisher Scientific) solution at 1/100 dilution in 2.58 259 PBS 1 $\times$  for 5 min at room temperature to stain the DNA in the 260 nuclei. After staining, the cells were stored in PBS 1× solution at 4 °C. 261 In an additional protocol for two-photon confocal imaging, the cells were rinsed with PBS 1×, stained with a mix of Hoechst (Invitrogen, 2.62 263 Fisher Scientific) at 5  $\mu$ g/mL plus CMFDA (Invitrogen, Fisher 264 Scientific) at 1/1000 dilution in DMEM without phenol red (Gibco, 265 Fisher Scientific), incubated for 30 min at 37 °C, rinsed again with 266 PBS 1×, and fixed for 30 min in 10% formalin solution (Sigma). The 267 samples were stored in PBS 1× at 4 °C prior to imaging. 268 Cytocompatibility was assessed by Live/Dead assay in the porous 269 PDMS scaffold choosing flat PDMS and glass as control. All samples 270 followed the protocol of plasma treatment and fibronectin coating  $_{271}$  mentioned above. After 72 h of culture in supplemented  $\alpha$ -MEM, the 272 samples were rinsed in PBS and cells were stained with calcein/ 273 ethidium (Live/Dead viability kit for mammalian cells, Fisher

Scientific) diluted in DMEM without phenol red for 30 min and 274 then rinsed with the same medium for fluorescence characterization. 275

2.8. Immunofluorescence Characterization. Two different 276 immunofluorescence imaging techniques were employed to inves- 277 tigate the cell distribution and proliferation taking place on the 3D 278 PDMS scaffolds. 2D observations of the scaffolds' surface were 279 performed using an Olympus C211 fluorescence microscope 280 equipped with a X-Cite 120 Hg lamp, a BP (300-400 nm) filter 281 for DAPI, a BP (575-595 nm) filter for calcein, a BP (518-573 nm) 282 filter for phalloidin rhodamine/ethidium, and 5×, 10×, 20× and 50× 283 objectives. 3D imaging of the scaffolds were performed using a two- 284 photon confocal imaging system (AxioImager upright microscope 285 LSM 7MP, Carl Zeiss). A pulsed femtosecond Ti:sapphire laser 286 (Chameleon Ultra II; Coherent) tunable in the range of 690-1064 287 nm was used as excitation light source. Z-stack acquisitions were 288 performed with a 10× W-Plan Apochromat air objective with 0.45 289 N.A. and a laser excitation wavelength tuned at 800 nm. An automatic 290 z-compensation of the laser power was applied to provide a 291 homogeneous imaging of the imaged volume of the 3D scaffold. 292 Emitted light was detected through a descanned pathway leading to 293 two nondescanned detectors and emission was recorded simulta- 294 neously with two emission filters: a band pass (BP) filter, set at 500- 295 550 nm (green channel, CMFDA), and a short-pass (SP) filter set at 296 485 nm (blue channel, DAPI). Image processing and 3D 297 reconstruction were performed by ImageJ and Imaris (Version 8.2, 298 Bitplane) softwares. 299

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**3.1.** Morphological Characterization of the PDMS 300 Scaffolds. In Figure 2, we report how the tuning of the 301 f2 fundamental curing parameters ( $t_1$ , along the vertical axis, and 302  $T_2-P_2$ , along the horizontal axis) affects the morphology of the 303 PDMS porous scaffolds. The increment of the prereticulation 304 process time affects the pore size and distribution as 305 highlighted by comparing the rows of each column. The first 306 row of Figure 2A-C, related to  $t_1 = 30$  min, shows a typical 307



**Figure 3.** (A) 3D view of the PDMS scaffold after segmentation process. On the right, crosscuts of the reconstruction along the *xy* and *xz* planes. (B) 3D view of the pores: nonconnected pores (red), connected pores (white). On the right, crosscuts of the reconstruction along the *xy* and *xz* planes. (C) Optical micrograph of the inner core of a PDMS porous scaffold. (D) 3D colorimetric view of the pores size distribution with a watershed-based algorithm. (E) Distribution of the number of pores according to the pore size depicted in (D).

308 pore size of 1–3 mm with few submillimetric pores observable. 309 When increasing  $t_1$  up to 45 min, this pore size distribution 310 slightly decreases (Figure 2D–F) down to 0.5–2 mm, while 311 we observe at the same time an increased number of 312 submillimetric pores. Finally, in the third row of Figure 2G– 313 I, we report a reduction of the pore size coupled to an evident 314 anisotropy of the pore orientation directed toward the open 315 side of the PDMS scaffold holder.

A lower  $t_1$  implies a less reticulated state of the PDMS within 317 the emulsion when starting the final curing process. We then 318 attribute the presence of large pore size (Figure 1A–C) to the 319 easier expansion of the water steam in a less reticulated PDMS. 320 We believe that this factor may induce the aggregation of 321 submillimetric water bubbles and the consequent formation of 322 larger cavities.

By comparing the first column (A, D, G) and second column 323 324 (B, E, H) of Figure 2, we investigate the impact of the different 325 temperatures  $T_2$  (110 and 130 °C) employed in the second 326 reticulation process. By fixing  $t_1 = 30$  min, we cannot find 327 striking differences in pore size in Figure 2A,B, while this 328 difference becomes more evident for higher prereticulation 329 periods, as reported in Figure 2D-F. In Figure 2G, we can observe strong anisotropy, with elongated pores whose main 330 semiaxis is 2- to 3-fold larger than the minor semiaxis. The 331 pores of Figure 2F show lower anisotropy compared to Figure 332 333 2G but still much higher than the one of the pores in Figure  $_{334}$  2B,E. We suggest that the temperature of final reticulation  $(T_2)$ 335 has a double impact on the reaction: on the one hand, it 336 slightly modifies the speed of evaporation of the water bubbles; on the other hand, it varies the kinetics of the reticulation of 337 the silicone. As we observe from the comparison of Figure 338 339 2G,H, a lower temperature indeed tends to benefit the 340 appearance of intrinsic anisotropy of the sample, which can be 341 linked to a higher state of reticulation during the expansion of 342 the water steam bubbles.

<sup>343</sup> The third column (Figure 2C,F,I) explores the same <sup>344</sup> temperature  $T_2$  (130 °C) as in the second column (Figure <sup>345</sup> 2B,E,H) but with a different pressure  $P_2$  (700 mbar instead of 400 mbar). By observing the samples obtained with a short 346 prereticulation time  $t_1$  (Figure 2B,C), it is possible to highlight 347 the impact of the pressure over the pore size. While the sample 348 2B features an average pore size of 1–3 mm, sample 2C 349 presents a critical decrease of the typical size to 0.7–1.2 mm. 350 For  $t_1 = 45$  min, we observe the same tendency of reduced 351 typical pore size within the PDMS porous scaffold, although 352 this transition is less evident for the samples at 700 mbar 353 (Figure 2C,F) than for the samples at 400 mbar (Figure 2B,E). 354 Concerning the last row (Figure 2H,I), at long prereticulation 355 time (60 min), we perceive a major reduction on the pore size 356 coupled to a slight decrease in anisotropy of the pores. This 357 behavior fits with the results obtained in the rest of the samples 359

The general overview of Figure 2 shows then a progressive 360 decrement of the pore size by increasing the time of 361 prereticulation  $t_1$ , which leads, at the same time, to an increase 362 of the number of pores. We observe also an anisotropy 363 tendency linked to the reticulation time (i.e., longer  $t_1$  364 increases the reticulation state of the silicone before the 365 evaporation of the steam). This induces less motility in the 366 emulsion that in turn leads to a further expansion of the 367 bubbles in the direction of the apertures of the PDMS sample 368 holder. Temperature  $T_2$ , on the other hand, plays a dual role in 369 the kinetics of the water evaporation and the kinetics of the 370 reticulation process within the emulsion. Finally, pressure P2 371 hinders the expansion of the bubbles, limiting the final pore 372 size. From a microscopic point of view, the PDMS porous 373 scaffold presents a hierarchical porous structuration. A close-up 374 view of the bulk material between the larger pores for different 375 fabrication parameters  $(t_1, T_2, P_2)$  reveals a network of 376 interconnected pores featuring smaller dimensions  $(5-30 \ \mu m)_{377}$ with openings of  $1-5 \ \mu m$  (see Figure S2). This induces a 378 roughness on the surface that might influence the perfusion 379 and diffusion of culture medium with a global impact for the 380 cell environment. 381

To provide a more quantitative analysis of the pore size and 382 spatial distribution, we performed a characterization of the 383



Figure 4. (A-C) False-colored SEM images highlighting the SaOS-2 cell morphology obtained on the PDMS porous scaffold; (D) immunofluorescence imaging of SaOS-2 cells colonizing the pores of the porous PDMS scaffold; (E) close-up view on the region enclosed in the blue dotted square in (D); (F) SaOS-2 "cellular-carpet" observed on the PDMS porous scaffold surface (red: phalloidin-F-actin; blue: DAPI-nuclei).

<sup>384</sup> PDMS porous scaffold by employing X-ray tomography. The <sup>385</sup> selected sample corresponds to the one of Figure 2B with  $t_1$  = <sup>386</sup> 30 min,  $T_2$  = 130, and  $P_2$  = 400 mbar, which was then <sup>387</sup> employed as 3D cell culture support.

Figure S3A shows a reconstructed slice of the sample, after 388 389 denoizing with a nonlocal means filter. A user-defined 390 threshold was applied on each slice of the sample, leading to 391 a stack of binarized images, as presented Figure S3B, 392 corresponding to the slice shown in Figure S3A. The 3D 393 reconstructed view of the PDMS porous scaffold in Figure 3A 394 shows the porosity of the 3D architecture (whose optical 395 micrograph is reported in Figure 3C). The crosscut views for 396 the xy and xz planes show the inner core of the 3D 397 architecture, proving a highly interconnected network with a wide spectrum of porosity. From those data, a porosity equal to 398 around 57% was calculated from the binarized images (Figure 399 400 S3C). A second validation of porosity using a watershed 401 segmentation algorithm (for details, see Materials and 402 Methods) was performed, resulting in a porosity equal to 403 58.7%, as presented in Figure 3D. Connectivity of pores was also studied with the Axis Connectivity module available in 404 405 Avizo. Figure 3B shows in red the nonconnected pores and in 406 white the connected ones. The total volume of pores is equal 407 to 406.2 mm<sup>3</sup> in the sample, and nonconnected pores 408 represent only 4.3 mm<sup>3</sup>, corresponding to 1% of the total 409 volume of pores. Nonconnected pores are either small pores 410 <100  $\mu$ m in the matrix or pores that are localized in the walls 411 of the cylindrical support.

Finally, pore size distribution was analyzed. The histogram Figure 3E represents the distribution of pore equivalent diameter, whose colorimetric 3D visualization linking pore diameters to different colors is reported in Figure 3D. The 415 majority of the pores were reported to have a diameter 416 between 0.02 and 0.10 mm (more detailed histograms are 417 reported in Figure S4), although smaller pores (observed by 418 SEM, Figure S2) could not be resolved due to resolution 419 limitation (18  $\mu$ m) of the X-ray microcomputed tomography 420 setup (see Materials and Methods). These results were 421 complemented with measurements of water retention 422 performed over 48 h in the porous PDMS scaffold. As 423 shown in Figure S5 and in the Supporting Video "Video\_ 424 liquid\_loading", the percent of water remaining in the scaffold 425 after soaking it in PBS for different periods of time increases 426 until reaching a value close to ~300% (w:w). This value agrees 427 with the high porosity and interconnectivity estimated by  $\mu$ CT. 428

3.2. SEM and Immunofluorescence Characterization 429 of SaOS-2 Cell Colonization of the PDMS Scaffolds. To 430 validate the compatibility of the developed PDMS architec- 431 tures as a 3D cell culture tool, we tested the scaffold topology 432 depicted in Figure 2B in the presence of SaOS-2 cells. Due to 433 the morphology of the SaOS-2 cells and the topographical 434 features of the PDMS porous scaffold, distinguishing the cells 435 directly over a rugose surface is a laborious task. This is evident 436 after comparing the morphologies of the osteosarcoma SaOS-2 437 cell line on untreated PDMS flat surfaces (Figure S6A) and on 438 plasma treated/fibronectin-coated PDMS surfaces (Figure 439 S6B). While on untreated surfaces we observe the same ratio 440 of cells holding the expected flattened morphology and the less 441 conventional globular one, on the treated surfaces we report 442 only the flattened cytoskeletal configuration coupled to a more 443 marked expression of typical round protrusions already 444 observed elsewhere.<sup>55</sup> In such context, the role of roughness 445



**Figure 5.** Two-photon confocal imaging of the PDMS porous scaffold colonized by SaOS-2 cells. (A) *xy* view of the 3D reconstruction of the scaffold imaged with the laser beam impinging the sample as shown in the inset; (B) *xz* view of the 3D reconstruction in (A); (C) *xz* view of the 3D reconstruction of a cross-cut of the scaffold imaged with the laser beam impinging the sample as shown in the inset; (D) *xy* view of the 3D reconstruction in (C) (the inset shows the sample orientation and the region depicted in the 3D reconstruction (green: CMFDA; blue: DAPI-nuclei)).

446 and surface chemistry regulating cell adhesion mechanisms has 447 been previously observed for nonpolymeric surfaces.<sup>56,57</sup>

In addition to its role in the adsorption of adhesion proteins, 448 plasma treatments are indeed known to induce the presence of 449 450 nanotopography features and surface chemistry changes, which 451 are reflected in an augmentation of oxygen content and an enhancement of surface energy associated with an increase in 452 wettability that influences cell adhesion on polymeric 453 surfaces.<sup>58,59</sup> Concerning the distribution and welfare of cells 454 within the PDMS porous scaffold, in Figure 4A-C, we present 455 456 false-colored SEM close-up images highlighting the typical cell 457 morphology that we observed in different regions of the scaffold. SaOS-2 cells tend to develop cytoskeletal extensions 458 459 anchoring to the side walls of the micropores in a 460 tridimensional spatial configuration, as observed in Figure 4A,B. The evidence of the flattened elongated morphology of a 461 462 cluster of cells in other regions of the scaffold characterized by 463 PDMS meshes is shown in Figure 4C. Furthermore, we can 464 discriminate several round protuberances within the cellular

cytoskeleton that we associate with regular features of 465 mineralized buds and calcospherulites related to the process 466 of mineral deposition of this cell line.<sup>60,61</sup> This behavior could 467 be associated with a predifferentiation stage, although a deeper 468 evaluation of differentiation markers is required to confirm this 469 assessment. Prospective analysis of the calcification process by 470 red alizarin staining will be carried out in the future to validate 471 this conclusion. Furthermore, cell viability test, shown in 472 Figure S7, was addresses by Live/Dead assay on the porous 473 PDMS scaffold against two positive controls, flat PDMS and 474 glass. Cell viability reached ~99% of the cell population over 475 the porous PDMS scaffold. This result compares with the 476 performance of both positive controls showing as well a cell 477 viability of ~99% and a more homogeneous cell distribution. 478

To better visualize typical morphological features of the 479 SaOS cells cultured on the 3D scaffold, in Figure 4D–F, we 480 report the epifluorescence characterization of the osteosarcoma 481 cells over the PDMS porous scaffold. In Figure 4D, we can 482 observe the cell spreading and colonization of different pores, 483 484 where the F-actin, the main component of microfilaments in 485 the cytoskeleton, is stained in red with phalloidin/rhodamine 486 while the nuclei are stained in blue with DAPI. We can 487 distinguish several cells colonizing the full structure at different 488 out-focus planes. In Figure 4E, we show the close-up view of a 489 single pore (enclosed by the blue square in Figure 4D) 490 depicting how the elongated cytoskeleton of the cells adapts to 491 the surrounding environments by following the geometrical 492 profile of the pore. Finally, in Figure 4F, we present a 493 fluorescence image of another region of the scaffold where we 494 can easily identify cell nuclei and observe how cells cover 495 homogeneously the whole surface of the PDMS porous 496 scaffold.

To get a clear view of the 3D cell colonization scenario of 497 498 the PDMS porous scaffold, in Figure 5, we report several 3D 499 reconstructions obtained via two-photon confocal imaging, a 500 widely used technique for unveiling cell features in the inner 501 core of 3D architectures otherwise not accessible by more <sup>502</sup> conventional morphological imaging approaches (e.g., SEM <sup>503</sup> and AFM). <sup>33,35</sup> In Figure 5A,B, we report the characterization 504 of the upper part of the 3D scaffold (i.e., the one on which the 505 cell medium containing the SaOS-2 cells was deposited), 506 impinged by the laser beam as shown in the inset of Figure 5A, 507 where we highlighted the nuclear marker DAPI (in blue) and 508 the CMFDA one (in green), which is able to stain the whole 509 cytoplasm of the cells (Figure S8). The overall field of view of s10 the acquisition is  $1.96 \times 1.30 \times 0.49 \text{ mm}^3$  (obtained by 511 employing a mosaic modality where we imaged smaller areas 512 and then stitched them together). Figure 5A shows a quite 513 homogeneous cell coverage of the PDMS scaffold surface, 514 while Figure 5B highlights how cells are able to colonize the 515 inner part of the architecture by infiltrating open pores (such 516 as the one on the left-hand side of the figure). We attribute the 517 absence of cells in the central part of the 3D reconstruction 518 either to the small dimensions of the pores (compared to the 519 SaOS-2 cell size) in that region or, more likely, to the fact that 520 denser regions of PDMS (becoming opaque during the 521 fabrication because of the trapping of air bubbles) hinder the 522 passage of photons. A clearer overview of the 3D imaging 523 acquisition can be seen in the Supporting Video "Video -524 top surface". To have a further insight into the cellular 525 distribution within the 3D scaffold, we performed also a cross-526 cut on it by using a surgical blade to reveal the inner part of the 527 structure. Figure 5C,D shows, respectively, the x, z and x, y s28 views of a  $1.30 \times 1.96 \times 2.19 \text{ mm}^3$  region of the PDMS porous 529 scaffold (always by employing the mosaic modality mentioned 530 above) with the laser impinging this time the inner surface of 531 the cross-cut, as depicted in the inset of Figure 5C. The two 532 different views show the presence of omnidirectional cell 533 clusters infiltrating both the superficial layers of the scaffold s34 and the inner areas down to a depth  $\approx$ 2.2 mm along the z axis s35 and  $\approx 2$  mm along the y axis. A high-resolution video 536 ("Video\_cross\_cut") of the slices composing the 3D 537 reconstruction is available in the Supporting Information.

### 4. CONCLUSIONS

538 In this work, we reported a novel 3D structuration technique 539 that provides a fast and cheap process to fabricate porous 540 scaffolds made of a widely used biocompatible silicone such as 541 PDMS. This technique involves a simple combination of water 542 and silicone to form an emulsion that is further transformed 543 into a highly porous scaffold using a two-step reticulation 544 process. The fabrication takes place under temperature-/

pressure-controlled environment that could be easily scalable 545 for mass production. Scanning electron microscopy character- 546 ization provided a deep understanding of the different achieved 547 morphologies confirming a control of the porous distribution 548 associated with a hierarchical macro-micro structuration of the 549 available surface that could have an impact on the biological 550 perfusion and diffusion of nourishment. 3D morphological 551 characterization was carried out by X-ray computed tomog- 552 raphy and allowed to evaluate the general porosity and the size 553 distribution of the pores as well as to investigate the 554 interconnectivity of the PDMS porous scaffold. The format 555 chosen to evaluate the performance of cell culture presented a 556 general porosity of ~60% with a ~98% global interconnectiv- 557 ity. Osteosarcoma SaOS-2 cells were seeded on the PDMS 558 porous scaffold to evaluate the efficiency of the cell 559 colonization and perfusion. SEM investigation in combination 560 with fluorescence immune-staining imaging demonstrated a 561 high level of cell adhesion and some features of advanced cell 562 maturation. Two-photon confocal reconstruction displayed 563 information about the cell colonization taking place within 564 volumes of several mm<sup>3</sup> in the inner core of the scaffold, 565 proving a homogeneous tridimensional distribution of cells and 566 their proliferation through all of the available pores. 567

As the fabrication process is simple, adapted with injection 568 techniques, and amenable to large-scale production, we believe 569 that it will open intriguing opportunities aiming at the 570 integration of 3D porous scaffolds in bioreactors or micro- 571 physiological systems. Further studies will be devoted to the 572 impact of the scaffold porosity and architecture on inner flow 573 patterns provided by microfluidic systems and subsequent 574 studies on cell proliferation and differentiation. 575

## Supporting Information

576 577

590

591

a1(a)150=01(1

3D model of the 3D-printed mold employed for the 580 fabrication of the PDMS sample holder; SEM close-up 581 images of the PDMS nest scaffold for different 582 reticulation conditions; X-ray tomography analysis, 583 porosity, and pore diameter distribution; and SEM and 584 immunofluorescence characterization of SaOS-2 cells 585 (PDF) 586

Video_top_surface (MP4)	587
Video gross out (MD4)	500

video	_cross_	_cut	(IVIP4	f) 5	88
-					

Video_	_liquid_	loading	(MP4)	589

# AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Authors**

*E-mail: A.Accardo@tudelft.nl. Phone: +31(0)152/81610	592
(A.A.).	593
*E-mail: laurent.malaquin@laas.fr, lmalaquin@laas.fr. Phone:	594
+33(0)561336384. Fax: +33(0)561553577 (L.M.).	595
ORCID <sup>©</sup>	596
Thierry Leichle: 0000-0003-3183-8976	597
Angelo Accardo: 0000-0003-0442-3652	598
Present Address	599

#### Present Address

<sup>1</sup>Department of Precision and Microsystems Engineering, 600 Delft University of Technology, Mekelweg 2, 2628 CD Delft, 601 The Netherlands (A.A.). 602

#### 603 Author Contributions

604 The manuscript was written through contributions of all 605 authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of 606 the manuscript.

#### 607 Funding

608 The present work was supported by the H2020 European 609 project HOLIFab (Grant No. 760927). It was as well partly 610 supported as part of the MultiFAB project funded by FEDER 611 European Regional Funds and French Région Occitanie (grant 612 agreement number: 16007407/MP0011594).

#### 613 Notes

614 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### 615 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

616 This work was partly supported by the LAAS-CNRS micro-617 and nanotechnologies platform member of the French 618 RENATECH network. The authors acknowledge the support 619 of Sophie Allart and Daniele Daviaud from the INSERM 620 Centre de Physiopathologie de Toulouse-Purpan (CPTP) of 621 Toulouse during the multiphoton confocal imaging acquis-622 itions. They also acknowledge the support of FERMAT 623 Federation to this work.

## 624 **REFERENCES**

625 (1) Xiang, Z.; Cao, D. Porous Covalent–Organic Materials: 626 Synthesis, Clean Energy Application and Design. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 627 **2013**, *1*, 2691–2718.

628 (2) Wang, W.; Zhou, M.; Yuan, D. Carbon Dioxide Capture in 629 Amorphous Porous Organic Polymers. J. Mater. Chem. A **2017**, 5, 630 1334–1347.

631 (3) Kim, S.; Lee, Y. M. Rigid and Microporous Polymers for Gas 632 Separation Membranes. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2015**, *43*, 1–32.

633 (4) Kaur, P.; Hupp, J. T.; Nguyen, S. T. Porous Organic Polymers in 634 Catalysis: Opportunities and Challenges. *ACS Catal.* **2011**, *1*, 819– 635 835.

636 (5) Lande, B.; Mitzner, W. Analysis of Lung Parenchyma as a 637 Parametric Porous Medium. J. Appl. Physiol. 2006, 101, 926–933.

638 (6) Zhao, M.; Li, L. Dissecting the Bone Marrow HSC Niches. *Cell* 639 *Res.* **2016**, 26, 975–976.

(7) Loh, Q. L.; Choong, C. Three-Dimensional Scaffolds for Tissue
Engineering Applications: Role of Porosity and Pore Size. *Tissue Eng.*,
Part B 2013, 19, 485–502.

643 (8) Zhu, D.; Handschuh-Wang, S.; Zhou, X. Recent Progress in 644 Fabrication and Application of Polydimethylsiloxane Sponges. *J.* 645 *Mater. Chem. A* **2017**, *5*, 16467–16497.

646 (9) McDonald, J. C.; Anderson, D. C. D. J. R.; Chiu, D. T.; Wu, H.;
647 Schueller, O. J. A.; Whitesides, G. M. Fabrication of Microfluidic
648 Systems in Poly(Dimethylsiloxane). *Electrophoresis* 2000, 21, 27–40.
649 (10) Jung, S.; Kim, J. H.; Kim, J.; Choi, S.; Lee, J.; Park, I.; Hyeon,
650 T.; Kim, D.-H. Reverse-Micelle-Induced Porous Pressure-Sensitive
651 Rubber for Wearable Human-Machine Interfaces. *Adv. Mater.* 2014,
652 26, 4825–4830.

653 (11) Shi, J.; Zhang, H.; Jackson, J.; Shademani, A.; Chiao, M. A 654 Robust and Refillable Magnetic Sponge Capsule for Remotely 655 Triggered Drug Release. *J. Mater. Chem. B* **2016**, *4*, 7415–7422.

(12) Liu, W.; Chen, Z.; Zhou, G.; Sun, Y.; Lee, H. R.; Liu, C.; Yao,
7 H.; Bao, Z.; Cui, Y. 3D Porous Sponge-Inspired Electrode for
8 Stretchable Lithium-Ion Batteries. *Adv. Mater.* 2016, *28*, 3578–3583.
(13) Liang, S.; Li, Y.; Chen, Y.; Yang, J.; Zhu, T.; Zhu, D.; He, C.;
Liu, Y.; Handschuh-Wang, S.; Zhou, X. Liquid Metal Sponges for
Mechanically Durable, All-Soft, Electrical Conductors. *J. Mater. Chem.*C 2017, *5*, 1586–1590.

(14) Duan, S.; Yang, K.; Wang, Z.; Chen, M.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, H.;
664 Li, C. Fabrication of Highly Stretchable Conductors Based on 3D
665 Printed Porous Poly(Dimethylsiloxane) and Conductive Carbon

Nanotubes/Graphene Network. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2016, 8, 666 2187–2192. 667

(15) Han, J.-W.; Kim, B.; Li, J.; Meyyappan, M. Flexible, 668 Compressible, Hydrophobic, Floatable, and Conductive Carbon 669 Nanotube-Polymer Sponge. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2013**, *102*, No. 051903. 670 (16) McCall, W. R.; Kim, K.; Heath, C.; La Pierre, G.; Sirbuly, D. J. 671

Piezoelectric Nanoparticle–Polymer Composite Foams. ACS Appl. 672 Mater. Interfaces 2014, 6, 19504–19509. 673

(17) Bélanger, M. C.; Marois, Y. Hemocompatibility, Biocompati- 674 bility, Inflammatory and in Vivo Studies of Primary Reference 675 Materials Low-Density Polyethylene and Polydimethylsiloxane: A 676 Review. J. Biomed. Mater. Res. **2001**, 58, 467–477. 677

(18) Mata, A.; Fleischman, A. J.; Roy, S. Characterization of 678
 Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) Properties for Biomedical Micro/ 679
 Nanosystems. *Biomed. Microdevices* 2005, 7, 281–293.

(19) Vaysse, L.; Beduer, A.; Sol, J. C.; Vieu, C.; Loubinoux, I. 681 Micropatterned Bioimplant with Guided Neuronal Cells to Promote 682 Tissue Reconstruction and Improve Functional Recovery after 683 Primary Motor Cortex Insult. *Biomaterials* **2015**, *58*, 46–53. 684

(20) Zhou, J.; Ellis, A. V.; Voelcker, N. H. Recent Developments in 685 PDMS Surface Modification for Microfluidic Devices. *Electrophoresis* 686 **2010**, *31*, 2–16. 687

(21) Halldorsson, S.; Lucumi, E.; Gómez-Sjöberg, R.; Fleming, R. M. 688
T. Advantages and Challenges of Microfluidic Cell Culture in 689
Polydimethylsiloxane Devices. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2015, 63, 218-690
231. 691

(22) Lötters, J. C.; Olthuis, W.; Veltink, P. H.; Bergveld, P. The 692 Mechanical Properties of the Rubber Elastic Polymer Polydimethylsi-693 loxane for Sensor Applications. J. Micromech. Microeng. **1997**, 7, 145–694 147. 695

(23) Merkel, T. C.; Bondar, V. I.; Nagai, K.; Freeman, B. D.; Pinnau, 696 I. Gas Sorption, Diffusion, and Permeation in Poly(Dimethylsiloxane) 697 The Permeability of Poly(Dimethylsiloxane) [PDMS] To. J. Polym. 698 Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys. 2000, 38, 415–434. 699

(24) Piruska, A.; Nikcevic, I.; Lee, S. H.; Ahn, C.; Heineman, W. R.; 700 Limbach, P. A.; Seliskar, C. J. The Autofluorescence of Plastic 701 Materials and Chips Measured under Laser Irradiation. *Lab Chip* 702 **2005**, *5*, 1348. 703

(25) Haycock, J. W. 3D Cell Culture: A Review of Current 704 Approaches and Techniques. In 3D Cell Culture; Humana Press, 705 2010; pp 1–15. 706

(26) McKee, C.; Chaudhry, G. R. Advances and Challenges in Stem 707 Cell Culture. *Colloids Surf.*, B **2017**, *159*, 62–77. 708

(27) Ingber, D. E. Cellular Mechanotransduction: Putting All the 709 Pieces Together Again. FASEB J. 2006, 20, 811–827. 710

(28) Ranga, A.; Gobaa, S.; Okawa, Y.; Mosiewicz, K.; Negro, A.; 711 Lutolf, M. P. 3D Niche Microarrays for Systems-Level Analyses of 712 Cell Fate. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, No. 4324. 713

(29) Do, A.-V.; Khorsand, B.; Geary, S. M.; Salem, A. K. 3D Printing 714 of Scaffolds for Tissue Regeneration Applications. *Adv. Healthcare* 715 *Mater.* **2015**, *4*, 1742–1762. 716

(30) Wang, Z.; Abdulla, R.; Parker, B.; Samanipour, R.; Ghosh, S.; 717 Kim, K. A Simple and High-Resolution Stereolithography-Based 3D 718 Bioprinting System Using Visible Light Crosslinkable Bioinks. 719 *Biofabrication* **2015**, 7, No. 045009. 720

(31) Accardo, A.; Courson, R.; Riesco, R.; Raimbault, V.; Malaquin, 721 L. Direct Laser Fabrication of Meso-Scale 2D and 3D Architectures 722 with Micrometric Feature Resolution. *Addit. Manuf.* **2018**, *22*, 440–723 446. 724

(32) Lemma, E. D.; Spagnolo, B.; De Vittorio, M.; Pisanello, F. 725 Studying Cell Mechanobiology in 3D: The Two-Photon Lithography 726 Approach. *Trends Biotechnol.* **2019**, *37*, 358–372. 727

(33) Accardo, A.; Blatché, M. C.; Courson, R.; Loubinoux, I.; Vieu, 728
C.; Malaquin, L. Two-Photon Lithography and Microscopy of 3D 729
Hydrogel Scaffolds for Neuronal Cell Growth. *Biomed. Phys. Eng.* 730 *Express* 2018, 4, No. 027009. 731

(34) Accardo, A.; Blatché, M.-C.; Courson, R.; Loubinoux, I.; Vieu, 732 C.; Malaquin, L. Direct Laser Fabrication of Free-Standing PEGDA- 733 734 Hydrogel Scaffolds for Neuronal Cell Growth: Engineering 3D 735 Biocompatible Microenvironments. *Mater. Today* **2018**, *21*, 315–316. 736 (35) Accardo, A.; Blatché, M.-C.; Courson, R.; Loubinoux, I.; 737 Thibault, C.; Malaquin, L.; Vieu, C. Multiphoton Direct Laser Writing 738 and 3D Imaging of Polymeric Freestanding Architectures for Cell 739 Colonization. *Small* **2017**, *13*, No. 1700621.

740 (36) Jia, W.; Gungor-Ozkerim, P. S.; Zhang, Y. S.; Yue, K.; Zhu, K.;
741 Liu, W.; Pi, Q.; Byambaa, B.; Dokmeci, M. R.; Shin, S. R.; et al. Direct
742 3D Bioprinting of Perfusable Vascular Constructs Using a Blend
743 Bioink. *Biomaterials* 2016, 106, 58–68.

744 (37) Carve, M.; Wlodkowic, D. 3D-Printed Chips: Compatibility of 745 Additive Manufacturing Photopolymeric Substrata with Biological 746 Applications. *Micromachines* **2018**, *9*, 91.

747 (38) Tebboth, M.; Jiang, Q.; Kogelbauer, A.; Bismarck, A. Inflatable
748 Elastomeric Macroporous Polymers Synthesized from Medium
749 Internal Phase Emulsion Templates. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces
750 2015, 7, 19243–19250.

751 (39) Zargar, R.; Nourmohammadi, J.; Amoabediny, G. Preparation,
752 Characterization, and Silanization of 3D Microporous PDMS
753 Structure with Properly Sized Pores for Endothelial Cell Culture.
754 Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem. 2016, 63, 190–199.

(40) Mohanty, S.; Larsen, L. B.; Trifol, J.; Szabo, P.; Burri, H. V. R.;
Canali, C.; Dufva, M.; Emnéus, J.; Wolff, A. Fabrication of Scalable
and Structured Tissue Engineering Scaffolds Using Water Dissolvable
Sacrificial 3D Printed Moulds. *Mater. Sci. Eng., C* 2015, *55*, 569–578.
(41) Dahlberg, T.; Stangner, T.; Zhang, H.; Wiklund, K.; Lundberg,
P.; Edman, L.; Andersson, M. 3D Printed Water-Soluble Scaffolds for
Rapid Production of PDMS Micro-Fluidic Flow Chambers. *Sci. Rep.*2018, *8*, No. 3372.

763 (42) Díaz Lantada, A.; Alarcón Iniesta, H.; Pareja Sánchez, B.;
764 García-Ruíz, J. P. Free-Form Rapid Prototyped Porous PDMS
765 Scaffolds Incorporating Growth Factors Promote Chondrogenesis.
766 Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng. 2014, 2014, 1–10.

767 (43) Li, Q.; Duan, T.; Shao, J.; Yu, H. Fabrication Method for 768 Structured Porous Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS). *J. Mater. Sci.* 2018, 769 53, 11873–11882.

770 (44) Chen, I.-J.; Lindner, E. The Stability of Radio-Frequency 771 Plasma-Treated Polydimethylsiloxane Surfaces. *Langmuir* **2007**, *23*, 772 3118–3122.

773 (45) Kim, D. H.; Jung, M. C.; Cho, S.-H.; Kim, S. H.; Kim, H.-Y.; 774 Lee, H. J.; Oh, K. H.; Moon, M.-W. UV-Responsive Nano-Sponge for 775 Oil Absorption and Desorption. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, No. 12908.

776 (46) Pedraza, E.; Brady, A.-C.; Fraker, C. A.; Stabler, C. L. Synthesis 777 of Macroporous Poly(Dimethylsiloxane) Scaffolds for Tissue

778 Engineering Applications. J. Biomater. Sci., Polym. Ed. 2013, 24, 779 1041–1056.

780 (47) Pedraza, E.; Brady, A.-C.; Fraker, C. A.; Molano, R. D.; Sukert,

781 S.; Berman, D. M.; Kenyon, N. S.; Pileggi, A.; Ricordi, C.; Stabler, C. 782 L. Macroporous Three-Dimensional PDMS Scaffolds for Extrahepatic

783 Islet Transplantation. Cell Transplant. 2013, 22, 1123–1135.

(48) Carnachan, R. J.; Bokhari, M.; Przyborski, S. A.; Cameron, N.
785 R. Tailoring the Morphology of Emulsion-Templated Porous
786 Polymers. *Soft Matter* 2006, *2*, 608.

787 (49) Silverstein, M. S. PolyHIPEs: Recent Advances in Emulsion-788 Templated Porous Polymers. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2014**, *39*, 199–234.

789 (50) Rodan, S. B.; Imai, Y.; Thiede, M. A.; Wesolowski, G.; 790 Thompson, D.; Bar-Shavit, Z.; Shull, S.; Mann, K.; Rodan, G. A.

791 Characterization of a Human Osteosarcoma Cell Line (Saos-2) with 792 Osteoblastic Properties. *Cancer Res.* **1987**, *47*, 4961–4966.

793 (51) Prideaux, M.; Wijenayaka, A. R.; Kumarasinghe, D. D.; 794 Ormsby, R. T.; Evdokiou, A.; Findlay, D. M.; Atkins, G. J. SaOS2 795 Osteosarcoma Cells as an in Vitro Model for Studying the Transition 796 of Human Osteoblasts to Osteocytes. *Calcif. Tissue Int.* **2014**, *95*, 797 183–193.

798 (52) Buades, A.; Coll, B.; Morel, J. M. In *A Non-Local Algorithm for* 799 *Image Denoising*, Proceedings - 2005 IEEE Computer Society 800 Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 801 2005, 2005; Vol. *II*, pp 60–65. (53) Schlüter, S.; Sheppard, A.; Brown, K.; Wildenschild, D. Image 802
Processing of Multiphase Images Obtained via X-Ray Micro- 803
tomography: A Review. *Water Resour. Res.* 2014, 3615–3639. 804
(54) Varshney, N.; Sahi, A. K.; Vajanthri, K. Y.; Poddar, S.; 805
Balavigneswaran, C. K.; Prabhakar, A.; Rao, V.; Mahto, S. K. 806
Culturing Melanocytes and Fibroblasts within Three-Dimensional 807
Macroporous PDMS Scaffolds: Towards Skin Dressing Material. 808 *Cytotechnology* 2019, 71, 287–303. 809

(55) Wiggan, O.; Hamel, P. A. Pax3 Regulates Morphogenetic Cell 810 Behavior in Vitro Coincident with Activation of a PCP/Non-811 Canonical Wnt-Signaling Cascade. J. Cell Sci. **2002**, 115, 531–541. 812

(56) Onesto, V.; Cancedda, L.; Coluccio, M. L.; Nanni, M.; Pesce, 813 M.; Malara, N.; Cesarelli, M.; Di Fabrizio, E.; Amato, F.; Gentile, F. 814 Nano-Topography Enhances Communication in Neural Cells Net- 815 works. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, No. 9841. 816

(57) Onesto, V.; Villani, M.; Narducci, R.; Malara, N.; Imbrogno, A.; 817 Allione, M.; Costa, N.; Coppedè, N.; Zappettini, A.; Cannistraci, C. 818 V.; et al. Cortical-like Mini-Columns of Neuronal Cells on Zinc Oxide 819 Nanowire Surfaces. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, No. 4021. 820

(58) Kim, J.-H.; Seo, S.; Min, J. Epithelial Cell Patterns on a PDMS 821 Polymer Surface Using a Micro Plasma Structure. J. Biotechnol. 2011, 822 155, 308–311. 823

(59) Accardo, A.; Shalabaeva, V.; La Rocca, R. Colon Cancer Cells 824 Adhesion on Polymeric Nanostructured Surfaces. *MRS Commun.* 825 **2018**, *8*, 35–39. 826

(60) Schröder, H. C.; Boreiko, O.; Krasko, A.; Reiber, A.; 827 Schwertner, H.; Müller, W. E. G. Mineralization of SaOS-2 Cells on 828 Enzymatically (Silicatein) Modified Bioactive Osteoblast-Stimulating 829 Surfaces. J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part B **2005**, 75, 387–392. 830

(61) Barreau, C.; Labit, E.; Guissard, C.; Rouquette, J.; Boizeau, M. 831 L.; Gani Koumassi, S.; Carrière, A.; Jeanson, Y.; Berger-Müller, S.; 832 Dromard, C.; et al. Regionalization of Browning Revealed by Whole 833 Subcutaneous Adipose Tissue Imaging. *Obesity* **2016**, *24*, 1081–1089. 834