

Numerical investigation of polarization filtering for direct optical imaging within scattering media

Callum A Macdonald, Ugo Tricoli, Anabela da Silva, Vadim A. Markel

▶ To cite this version:

Callum A Macdonald, Ugo Tricoli, Anabela da Silva, Vadim A. Markel. Numerical investigation of polarization filtering for direct optical imaging within scattering media. Journal of the Optical Society of America. A Optics, Image Science, and Vision, 2017, 34 (8), pp.1330. 10.1364/JOSAA.34.001330. hal-02376520

HAL Id: hal-02376520 https://hal.science/hal-02376520

Submitted on 26 Nov 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Numerical investigation of polarization filtering for direct optical imaging within scattering media

Callum Macdonald, Ugo Tricoli, Anabela da Silva, Vadim A. Markel

▶ To cite this version:

Callum Macdonald, Ugo Tricoli, Anabela da Silva, Vadim A. Markel. Numerical investigation of polarization filtering for direct optical imaging within scattering media. Journal of the Optical Society of America. A Optics, Image Science, and Vision, Optical Society of America, 2017, 34 (8), pp.1330. 10.1364/JOSAA.34.001330. hal-02376520

HAL Id: hal-02376520 https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02376520

Submitted on 26 Nov 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. **Research Article**



Numerical investigation of polarization filtering for direct optical imaging within scattering media

3 CALLUM M. MACDONALD,^{1,*} UGO TRICOLI,¹ ANABELA DA SILVA,¹ AND VADIM A. MARKEL^{1,2}

⁴ ¹Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, Institut Fresnel, F-13013 Marseille, France

5 ²Department of Radiology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA

6 *Corresponding author: callum.macdonald@fresnel.fr

7 Received 7 April 2017; revised 13 June 2017; accepted 27 June 2017; posted 28 June 2017 (Doc. ID 292246); published 0 MONTH 0000

8 We investigate the ability of polarization filtering to improve direct imaging of absorbing objects which are buried 9 within scattering environments. We extend on previous empirical investigations by exploiting an efficient 10 perturbation-based formalism, which is applicable to arbitrarily arranged sources and detectors with arbitrary 11 polarizations. From this approach, we are able in some cases to find certain non-trivial linear combinations of 12 polarization measurement channels that maximize the object resolution and visibility. 11 OCIS codes: (110.6960) Tomography; (290.5855) Scattering, polarization; (110.5405) Polarimetric imaging.

13 https://doi.org/10.1364/JOSAA.99.099999

15 1. INTRODUCTION

14

Analysis of diffuse, multiply scattered light propagating 16 through turbid media [1,2] has long been used in optical 17 18 tomography to non-invasively retrieve information about optical properties of interest, such as the three-dimensional dis-19 20 tributions of the absorption and scattering coefficients [3-5]. 21 Neglecting the effects of phase and interference, multiply scattered light can be described by the radiative transport equation 22 23 (RTE) or, at a less fundamental level, by the diffusion equation, which is an approximation to the former. Optical tomography 24 frequently relies on the diffusion equation (e.g., [6,7]) since 25 26 inversion of the RTE is a complicated mathematical task. 27 There exists, however, a persistent interest in imaging through 28 turbid media in the mesoscopic scattering regime or beyond the 29 limitations of the diffusion approximation, which include the 30 requirement that the scattering be much stronger than absorption, the requirement of sufficiently large source-detector sep-31 arations, and neglect of light polarization. If these conditions 32 are not met, the diffusion approximation is inapplicable and 33 the RTE must be used instead. 34

Many numerical and analytical approaches to solving the 35 RTE have been explored in the past. The commonly used 36 numerical methods are based on using discrete ordinates for 37 the angular variable and discrete difference or finite element 38 39 discretization for the spatial variable, or on Monte Carlo simulations. The advantage of numerical methods is generality and 40 41 accurate handling of the medium boundaries while the disadvantage is high, often unmanageable computational complex-42 ity. Therefore, several analytical methods for solving the 43 RTE have been developed. Cumulant expansion of the 44

time-dependent RTE Green's function was proposed in [8] for an infinite medium. Later this method was generalized to account for light polarization [9] and to media with planar boundaries [10]. In [10], the sensitivity kernel (the weight function) of linearized optical tomography has also been computed. However, calculation of the cumulant expansion past second order is rather complicated. In addition, the boundary conditions used in [10] are appropriate for the diffuse propagation regime but not compatible mathematically with the more complicated half-range RTE boundary conditions. A different analytical approach based on the method of rotated reference frames (MRRF) was developed in [11–13]. In the MRRF, there is no restriction on the order of expansion and the rigorous half-range boundary conditions of the RTE are used. However, the limitation is that the MRRF constructs an expansion in a finite orthonormal basis of functions that are not square-integrable. This results in numerical instabilities. Although this problem was rectified in later research [14], it can be concluded that numerical methods and, in particular, Monte Carlo simulations retain their significance and utility in the mesoscopic scattering regime.

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

In this paper, we utilize a recently developed Monte Carlobased method for computing the sensitivity kernels of optical tomography [15]. With the improvements described in [15], we can perform such calculations with sufficient efficiency. The main goal of the simulations reported below is to show how polarization filtering and computational post-processing of data can be used to improve the visibility of objects buried within multiply scattering environments directly, without solving a complicated inverse problem.

75 So far, there have been few studies of polarization filtering in tomography. Inversion of the RTE has been reported using 76 both numerical [16–18] and analytical [19] methods. However, 77 78 the vast majority of these implementations, and all those exploiting the diffusion equation, are limited to the use of un-79 polarized light and polarization-insensitive measurements. We 80 note that the standard diffusion equation does not account for 81 polarization of light. Generalization of the diffusion theory for 82 polarized light can potentially be considered by computing the 83 84 first two angular moments of the vector RTE (vRTE). However, this approach appears to be problematic due to 85 the very nature of the diffusion approximation: it assumes that 86 the light at any given point in space is almost isotropic, yet the 87 spatial regions where this condition is satisfied also tend to be 88 vacant of any preferred orientation of linear polarization [20]. 89 There are additional difficulties related to computing angular 90 moments of functions involving four-dimensional Stokes vec-91 tors, which must be defined in a special reference frame for each 92 93 propagation direction, and a large number of coupled equations that can be formally derived by this approach. It appears that 94 attempts to use the diffusion theory to describe polarization 95 would be impractical for all but the circular component of 96 polarization, which may persist long after isotropization of pho-97 ton direction in certain media [21,22]. On the other hand, the 98 vRTE is sufficiently flexible and contains a description of 99 100 polarization that is adequate for the purposes of imaging.

The fact that polarization and the vRTE are rarely exploited 101 in optical tomography could be thought of as a shortcoming 102 103 given that in the context of non-tomographic imaging in turbid media, it is well established that the use of polarized light can 104 remove some of the blurring effects of multiple scattering. This 105 106 has been demonstrated for imaging through a variety of scattering media, such as fog [23], water [24], and tissues [25]. 107 Crucial for direct imaging is the extraction of ballistic light 108 and other "short-path" photons from the diffuse background. 109 Correspondingly, numerous gating techniques have been 110 proposed in order to extract the non-diffuse photons. 111

112 Schmitt et al. [26] showed that short-path photons can be extracted through subtraction of two orthogonal polarization 113 measurements. They then demonstrated increased image con-114 trast for 1D scanning of absorbing objects. Similarly, Emile et al. 115 [27] selected polarization-maintaining photons through 116 polarization modulation and obtained 1D profiles of embedded 117 objects. Following the same ideas, Mujumdar and Rama-118 chandran [28] improved the experimental setup, generating 119 2D images on a CCD camera without the need to scan. 120 Demos and Alfano [25] have shown the usefulness of consid-121 122 ering separately the parallel and perpendicular polarization components of light pulses in backscattering. Silverman and 123 Strange [29] found an increase in visibility when imaging ob-124 jects through a scattering medium composed of latex spheres in 125 water. They also showed better image contrast with circular 126 polarization compared to linear polarization. Likewise, Lewis 127 128 et al. [30] reported increased target visibility for circular polarization when imaging through polystyrene sphere suspensions. 129 Ni et al. used time-gating and early-arriving photon detection 130 131 to improve the information content in the state of polarization 132 of light passing through turbid media [31] with the application 133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

181

182

to wireless communication through the atmosphere. More recently, Miller *et al.* [32] used circular polarization for imaging through fog. Da silva *et al.* used elliptically polarized light to vary imaging depth [33]. Further, Sridhar and Da Silva [34] investigated the use of elliptically polarized channels to increase imaging contrast in tissues.

The above-mentioned works have demonstrated experimentally the deblurring effect of polarization gating. However, far fewer theoretical investigations of polarization gating have been performed. In one such study, Tyo [35] has calculated analytically and numerically the point spread function of linearly polarized light (at the detector in the transmission geometry). It was shown that a significant narrowing of the point spread function was achieved when the difference of the field components is considered instead of their sum. Later work by Moscoso *et al.* showed similar findings, and also highlighted the dependence on the type of scattering material [36].

In this work we seek a deeper understanding of the effects of 150 polarization gating for direct imaging by combining some 151 elements and mathematical approaches that are used in tomo-152 graphic modalities of optical imaging with polarization-gating 153 techniques that are employed in non-tomographic imaging. In 154 particular, we utilize the recently developed numerical tech-155 nique [15], which allows one to efficiently compute the sensi-156 tivity kernel for polarization-resolved optical tomography by 157 Monte Carlo simulations. This provides insight into the effect 158 of perturbations at all locations within a medium. We then in-159 vestigate various physical polarization gates or linear combina-160 tions thereof and find those that result in the strongest 161 deblurring of images in both transmission and reflection 162 geometries. We show that the combinations of polarization 163 measurement channels which maximize the image quality 164 are at times non-trivial and depend on the type of media 165 and imaging geometry. 166

We stress that the scanning-based approach to imaging of turbid media demonstrated in this study does not require solution of an ill-posed inverse problem and is in this sense direct. Yet, while our approach is not tomographic, we make a step toward defining sensitivity kernels that are the least ill-posed and, therefore, most conductive for performing tomographic reconstructions.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In174Section 2 we define the sensitivity kernel for polarization-175selective optical tomography. In Section 3 we consider scanning176of a plane-parallel sample by an axially aligned source-detector177pair in the transmission geometry. Reflection geometry is fur-178ther considered in Section 4. The summary and discussion are179given in Section 5.180

2. POLARIZATION-DEPENDENT SENSITIVITY KERNEL

Consider a slab of scattering material occupying the region 183 0 < z < L. Inside the medium, the vector specific intensity 184 $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}) = (I, Q, U, V)$ describing the four Stokes components 185 obeys the stationary vRTE [37]. Here, \mathbf{r} is the vector of position, $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ is a unit vector specifying the direction in space, and all 187 four Stokes components are functions of these two variables, 188 with dimensionality of power per surface area per unit solid 189 angle. Below, we will use calligraphic capital letters to denotevarious four-component vectors, such as the Stokes vector ofspecific intensity.

Referring to Fig. 1, let a collimated, continuous-wave laser 193 source described by $\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a)\delta(\hat{\mathbf{s}} - \hat{\mathbf{s}}_a)S_{in}$ be incident on the 194 medium, where \mathcal{S}_{in} is a vector describing an arbitrary polari-195 zation state of the source. Note that S_{in} has the dimensionality 196 197 of power. A detector then measures the specific intensity of 198 light exiting the medium at a different point on the slab surface, \mathbf{r}_{b} , and in the direction of $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{b}$. We will explore both the trans-199 mission and reflection geometries, which are illustrated in 200 Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), respectively. Further, we may place a polari-201 202 zation filter in front of the detector whose effect on the specific intensity can be characterized by the projection onto a dimen-203 sionless output state vector \mathcal{S}_{out} . If we define this state vector to 204 205 have unit magnitude, then the measured signal is the scalar product $\frac{1}{2}S_{\text{out}} \cdot \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{r}_h, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_h)$. 206

If the medium is not spatially uniform, the measured signal 207 will depend on the location and strength of any inhomogene-208 209 ities that are present. We assume that the inhomogeneities are 210 purely absorbing so that we can write for the scattering and 211 absorption coefficients of the medium $\mu_s(\mathbf{r}) = \bar{\mu}_s$ and 212 $\mu_a(\mathbf{r}) = \bar{\mu}_a + \delta \mu_a(\mathbf{r})$, where $\bar{\mu}_s$ and $\bar{\mu}_a$ are constant background values of the respective coefficients and $\delta \mu_a(\mathbf{r})$ is the 213 absorptive inhomogeneity. We further assume that $\delta \mu_a(\mathbf{r})$ is 214



F1:1**Fig. 1.** Geometries of scanned images of buried absorbers. (a) On-
axis transmission geometry with normally aligned source and detector.F1:3(b) Backscattering using a scanning source-detector pair separated by a
distance $|\mathbf{r}_{ab}|$ in the Y direction. Source is normally incident while the
f1:5F1:5detector collects light in the direction $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{b}$.

sufficiently small, either in magnitude or in its support, so that215the vRTE can be linearized in $\delta \mu_a$. Then, within the accuracy of216the first Born approximation, we have217

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{r}_{b}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{b}) = \mathcal{I}_{0}(\mathbf{r}_{b}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{b}) - \int K(\mathbf{r}_{b}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{b}, \mathbf{r}_{a}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{a}; \mathbf{r}) \mathcal{S}_{in} \delta \mu_{a}(\mathbf{r}) d^{3}r,$$
(1)

where

$$K(\mathbf{r}_b, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_b, \mathbf{r}_a, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_a; \mathbf{r}) = \int G_0(\mathbf{r}_b, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_b; \mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}) G_0(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}; \mathbf{r}_a, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_a) \mathrm{d}^2 s \quad (2)$$

is the sensitivity kernel of optical tomography [15], a quantity 219 that is central to imaging. Also, $G_0(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}; \mathbf{r}', \hat{\mathbf{s}}')$ is the 4 × 4 220 Green's function for the vRTE in the homogeneous reference 221 medium with $\delta\mu_a = 0$ and, finally, \mathcal{I}_0 is the specific intensity 222 in the reference medium. For the latter quantity, we can write 223

$$U_0(\mathbf{r}_b, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_b) = G_0(\mathbf{r}_b, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_b; \mathbf{r}_a, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_a) \mathcal{S}_{\text{in}}.$$
 (3)

We can now define the 4×4 matrix data function Φ by the 224 relation 225

$$\Phi \mathcal{S}_{in} \equiv \mathcal{I}_0 - \mathcal{I}. \tag{4}$$

218

This data function depends on the positions and collimation 226 directions of the source and detector, that is, 227 $\Phi = \Phi(\mathbf{r}_b, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_b; \mathbf{r}_a, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_a)$. We then have the following equation 228 coupling the inhomogeneities of the medium to the data 229 function: 230

$$\int K(\mathbf{r}_b, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_b, \mathbf{r}_a, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_a; \mathbf{r}) \delta \mu_a(\mathbf{r}) \mathrm{d}^3 r = \Phi(\mathbf{r}_b, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_b; \mathbf{r}_a, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_a), \quad (5)$$

which is a generalization of the linearized equation of optical 231 tomography that was derived in [38] for the scalar RTE. The 232 most obvious difference here is that both K and Φ are now 233 matrices. While the first element Φ_{11} has the same interpreta-234 tion as in the scalar problem (as the shadow in the measured 235 intensity created by the absorptive heterogeneities), the remain-236 ing elements contain additional information. The scalar 237 quantity $\frac{1}{2}S_{out} \cdot \Phi S_{in}$ is the difference between the physical 238 measurement channels recorded for the homogeneous and 239 the perturbed medium. Individually, these channels are positive 240 scalars for any physically accessible states \mathcal{S}_{in} and $\mathcal{S}_{out},$ and the 241 subtraction of two such measurements (resulting in the shadow 242 $\frac{1}{2}S_{out} \cdot \Phi S_{in}$ corresponds to various linear combinations of the 243 elements of Φ . Note that, while these individual measurements 244 are positive scalars, the elements of Φ can be positive or neg-245 ative [15]. Another substantial difference is that, in the scalar 246 problem, the diffusion approximation can be introduced in the 247 appropriate limit, significantly simplifying the computation of 248 the sensitivity kernel [39]. In contrast, a diffusion limit for 249 Eq. (5) is not known and there are technical difficulties in de-250 riving a diffusion approximation for this quantity. In addition, 251 we wish to exploit the information contained in the additional 252 elements of Φ , which are most significant in the sub-diffusion 253 regime. In this case, K can be computed by solving vRTE for a 254 given reference medium and Φ (or some linear combination of 255 its elements) can be obtained by performing several physical 256 measurements. 257

In what follows, we use Monte Carlo simulations and the 258 technique developed in [15] to compute the Green's function 259



F2:1 **Fig. 2.** Sensitivity to a buried object within a Rayleigh scattering medium of optical depth $L = 20\ell^*$ [first two columns, Panels (a),(b),(e),(f)] and F2:2 within a Mie scattering medium of optical depth $L = 1\ell^*$ [second two columns, Panels (c),(d),(g),(h)]. The physical depth of both media is the same and equal to $20/\mu_t$. The top row of images [Panels (a)–(d)] display various matrix elements of the data function Φ obtained by scanning the sourcef2:4 detector pair in the *XY*-plane for a buried object shaped as the letter "F." The second row of images [Panels (e)–(h)] show the corresponding matrix F2:5 elements of the sensitivity kernel *K* within the object plane, at z = L/2, for a single, centrally located position of the source and detector. All figures F2:6 are normalized to their respective kernel element K_{11} summed over the entire object plane.

260 G_0 and the sensitivity kernel K numerically. We then substitute some "objects," that is, model functions $\delta \mu_a(\mathbf{r})$ into Eq. (5) 261 262 and compute the dependence of the data function on the positions of the source and detector. The advantage of this 263 approach is that, once K is computed for a given medium 264 and imaging geometry, we can simulate easily any individual 265 measurement channel or a combination of such channels. In 266 this way, we can, in some cases, obtain the image of $\delta \mu_a(\mathbf{r})$ 267 268 directly, that is, without inverting Eq. (5). To achieve this result, we will examine which matrix element of K (or a linear 269 combination thereof) is closest to a delta function at the loca-270 tion of interest within the medium and, therefore, provides the 271 sharpest point spread function for imaging. This result, in turn, 272 informs us of which physical measurement channels and which 273 post-processing should be used to achieve the greatest 274 275 resolution of a buried object at a given location.

2763. SCANNING WITH ALIGNED SOURCE AND277DETECTOR IN TRANSMISSION GEOMETRY

278 In this section, we use Monte Carlo simulations to compute the 279 sensitivity kernel and the data function in the transmission geometry for the case when the source and detector are aligned 280 directly on axis. Referring to Fig. 1(a), a collimated laser light 281 source is normally incident on the upper surface of the 282 283 medium, and a detector is arranged to collect light leaving the slab on axis to the source at the lower surface. This 284 source-detector pair is scanned in the XY-plane. Note that this 285 data collection scheme is not equivalent to wide-front 286

illumination of the medium and taking a photograph of the other side. The target is a purely absorbing planar object located in the mid-plane of the slab. In the simulations, the width of the target in the Z direction was equal to one voxel used for accumulating the Monte Carlo statistics. We emphasize that no depth resolution is obtained or sought in these simulations.

287

288

289

290

291

292

Figures 2(a)-2(d) show the dependence of the data function 293 Φ on the lateral position (*x*, *y*) of the source-detector pair for an 294 object shaped as the letter "F," and Figs. 2(e)-2(h) show the 295 dependence of the matrix kernel *K* on (x, y) for z = L/2 with 296 the source-detector axis being fixed at the center of the field of 297 view. The two left columns [Panels (a), (b), (e), (f)] correspond 298 to a medium containing Rayleigh scattering particles (the scat-299 tering asymmetry parameter is g = 0 in this case) with an op-300 tical depth of $L = 20\ell^*$, where $\ell^* = 1/[\bar{\mu}_a + (1-g)\bar{\mu}_s]$ is the 301 transport mean free path. The right two columns [Panels (c), 302 (d), (g), (h)] are for a medium containing large spherical par-303 ticles (which we will refer to as "Mie" particles) with highly 304 forward-peaked scattering characterized by the asymmetry 305 parameter g = 0.95, and a slab depth of $L = 1\ell^*$. We note 306 that the single scattering matrix of such particles can be com-307 puted from Mie theory, given their size, and refractive index 308 relative to the background medium [40]. The Mie particles 309 in this example have a size parameter ka = 7.15, where k is 310 the wavenumber in the background material of the slab and 311 a is the particle radius. Further, the refractive index contrast 312 is $n_s/n_b = 1.037$, where n_s and n_b are the sphere and the back-313 ground refractive indices, respectively. Note that the physical 314 thickness of both media is the same and is equal to $20/\bar{\mu}_t$. 315 316 Here $\bar{\mu}_t = \bar{\mu}_a + \bar{\mu}_s$ is the attenuation coefficient, which is 317 assumed to be the same in both cases. Additionally, the scatter-318 ing albedo is $\bar{\mu}_s/\bar{\mu}_t = 0.99$ in both media. Thus, the effects of 319 absorption do not play a significant role in this study. We note, 320 however, that stronger values of absorption (a smaller albedo) 321 can result in a similar deblurring effect, as was demonstrated 322 in [41].

The various images in Fig. 2 correspond to different linear 323 combinations of the elements of Φ and K, where all such 324 elements have been normalized to the sum of K_{11} over the 325 entire object plane. The Φ_{11} element represents the difference 326 in intensity (the shadow) between the case where the absorber is 327 present and the case of homogeneous medium, given an unpo-328 329 larized illumination and an unfiltered detection. This yields a significantly blurred shadow of the absorber in both Rayleigh 330 and Mie-type media. The linear combination $\Phi_{41} + \Phi_{44}$ rep-331 resents the shadow for the measured Stokes component V, and 332 an incident right-handed circularly polarized source. This signal 333 is related to photons that have preserved their right-handed hel-334 icity and, in agreement with previous studies [26,36], this im-335 age is sharper in the case of the Rayleigh medium [Panel (b)] 336 due to the rapid randomization of polarization for the photons 337 338 that propagate off axis (particularly, over long optical paths). This means that this component of polarization filters out 339 the non-ballistic trajectories. Similar results are obtained for 340 linear polarizations in the Rayleigh case (not shown here). 341 For the Mie scattering medium, the elements relating to the 342 circular-polarized component [Panel (d)] appear to be almost 343 identical to that of the unpolarized case. A similar result was 344 found in [26] for a medium containing large particles, and 345 it was explained by the effect of circular polarization memory 346 [21,22,42,43], which preserves the helicity of incident light 347 over significant distances in such media, even if propagating 348 off axis. Thus, for media having intrinsically high scattering 349 asymmetry, measurements involving the circular component 350 of polarization alone are not likely to significantly improve 351 the resolution of buried objects compared to polarization-352 353 insensitive measurements, unless the medium contains particles that can destroy circular polarization memory while 354 maintaining a high asymmetry [44]. 355

The data in Figs. 2(a)-2(d) are presented in a fashion similar 356 to the earlier investigations mentioned above, where shadows of 357 buried objects are observed with various polarization filters. 358 However, due to the way in which we have formulated the 359 problem, we can gain further insight by investigating what 360 is happening *within* the medium. In Figs. 2(e)-2(h), we display 361 362 the elements of the sensitivity kernel, K, computed in the object plane, that is, at z = L/2, for a fixed source-detector pair 363 positioned in the center of the field of view. These functions 364 were used to produce the shadows of the absorber presented 365 in Figs. 2(a)-2(d), where they are convoluted with the buried 366 object during the scanning process to provide the images we 367 have just discussed. Thus, the closer to a delta function the 368 dependence of these kernel elements on (x, y) is within the 369 370 object plane, the sharper the shadow of an absorber will be at the detector. For the Rayleigh case, it can be seen that, while 371 the unpolarized element K_{11} is quite broad, the circular 372 component $K_{41} + K_{44}$ is much more localized near the axis 373

of the source-detector pair [Panels (e) and (f)]. In the Mie case, the unpolarized and the circularly polarized kernel elements are equally broad [Panels (g) and (h)]. While this result is not unexpected [26,36], our ability to efficiently compute the sensitivity kernel elements at the object location will provide valuable insight into how different linear combinations of polarization measurements can better resolve the embedded absorber, as we will see throughout the remainder of this paper.

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382 In the next demonstration shown in Fig. 3, we consider the 383 same Mie-scattering medium as above, but use various linear 384 polarization filters. As in the previous example, the upper 385 row of Fig. 3 shows the matrix elements of Φ measured for 386 a buried object shaped as the letter "F," and the lower row 387 shows the corresponding elements of K in the object plane 388 at z = L/2. Note that the two figures for the unpolarized case 389 [Panels (a) and (e)] are identical to the previous Mie example, 390 and are repeated here for direct comparison. The matrix 391 elements related to linear polarization channels are shown in 392 Panels (b) and (c) for Φ and in Panels (f) and (g) for K. Here 393 we display the linear combinations $\Phi_{21} + \Phi_{22}$ and $\Phi_{21} - \Phi_{22}$ 394 and the corresponding combinations for K, which are rel-395 evant to imaging with the Stokes Q component. For example, 396 a measurement with the incident beam linearly polarized along 397 the X-axis and a linear filter in front of the detector which is 398 fully transmissive to X-polarized light will yield the combina-399 tion $\frac{1}{2}[\Phi_{11} + \Phi_{12} + \Phi_{21} + \Phi_{22}]$ (linear co-polarized channel). 400 If the detector is rotated to be fully transmissive to Y-polarized 401 light, the measurement will yield $\frac{1}{2}[\Phi_{11} + \Phi_{12} - \Phi_{21} - \Phi_{22}]$ 402 (linear cross-polarized channel). Subtraction of these two chan-403 nels results in the shadow of the Q component of the Stokes 404 vector for X-polarized input, $\Phi_{21} + \Phi_{22}$. Performing a similar 405 set of measurements, but with the incident Y-polarized light 406 yields the combination Φ_{21} – Φ_{22} . Now, looking at the images 407 in Panels (b) and (c), we see that these are blurred in an asym-408 metric fashion, which mirrors the asymmetry in the corre-409 sponding images in Panels (f) and (g). However, when the 410 latter two images are summed together, resulting in the image 411 shown in Panel (d), the asymmetry is reduced and the object 412 becomes more visible. This is due to the increase in sharpness of 413 the resulting kernel element K_{21} , as can be seen in Panel (h). 414 The lower magnitude azimuthal features of K_{21} can be seen to 415 produce some artifacts in Panel (d), yet the outline of the target 416 is still clearly visible. To obtain the image in Panel (d) physi-417 cally, we must perform the measurement of the Stokes compo-418 nent Q when the incident light is linearly polarized in the X419 direction (involving two physical measurements), perform a 420 separate measurement for incident linear polarization along 421 Y (involving two physical measurements), and sum the two 422 images together. Thus, although this imaging modality does 423 require multiple measurements and some post-processing of 424 data, it can still be considered direct, as it does not involve sol-425 ution of an ill-posed inverse problem. With this demonstration, 426 it becomes clear that if we can find some linear combination of 427 kernel elements that produce a sharp point spread function in 428 the object plane, we can improve the sharpness of the corre-429 sponding linear combination of the data matrix elements. As 430 a consequence, the visibility of the buried object is then 431



F3:1 **Fig. 3.** Elements of the matrix data function Φ [top row, Panels (a-d)] for a buried object shaped as the letter "F" obtained by scanning the source-F3:2 detector pair in the *XY*-plane. Corresponding elements of the sensitivity kernel [bottom row, Panels (e)–(h)] within the object plane, at z = L/2, for F3:3 a single, centrally located position of the source and detector. All figures are normalized to the kernel element K_{11} summed over the entire object F3:4 plane. Scattering medium consists of Mie-type scattering particles with asymmetry parameter g = 0.95, and an optical depth of $L = 1\ell^*$.

improved. We will see more examples of this in the backscat-tering geometry in the next section.

434 One should keep in mind that the use of polarizing elements results in signals of relatively lower intensity as compared to 435 unpolarized analysis. To elaborate on the feasibility of the vari-436 437 ous imaging channels discussed above, we have computed the ratio $\rho = (K_{21} + K_{22})/K_{11}$ for slabs of various thickness L. 438 The quantity ρ , similar to a degree of polarization, but of 439 440 the shadow of an inhomogeneity, is computed in the central pixel (on the source-detector axis) and in the mid-plane of 441 the slab, z = L/2. The dependence of ρ on the slab width 442 443 L is shown in Fig. 4. As expected, ρ decreases rapidly with *L*. If we consider the ratio $\rho = 0.01$ as the limit of detectability 444 445 of the signal (which assumes we can observe a shadow 2 orders



F4:1 **Fig. 4.** Dependence of the ratio $\rho = (K_{21} + K_{22})/K_{11}$ at the central pixel in the mid-plane (z = L/2) for a slab of varying thickness *L*, and source and detector arranged on axis in the transmission geometry. F4:4 Medium consists of Mie particles with scattering asymmetry g = 0.95.

of magnitude lower than the unpolarized shadow), then the 446 total slab thickness after which the use of linear polarization filters is no longer possible is $\sim \ell^*$ for this Mie medium (with g = 0.95) in the transmission geometry. 449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

4. SCANNING IN THE BACKSCATTERING GEOMETRY

In this section we investigate the sensitivity to buried objects as measured by a scanning source-detector pair in the backscattering configuration. This geometry is of particular interest in applications involving biomedical imaging, as it is most suitable for non-invasive monitoring of superficial layers of soft tissues. Referring to Fig. 1(b), a collimated source is normally incident on the medium surface and a collimated detector is arranged to collect light exiting at some distance from the source and in the direction $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{b}$. This arrangement is held fixed while the sourcedetector pair is scanned across the medium surface. In this example, we consider a medium with similar properties to that of Intralipid, which is a common phantom material used to approximate scattering in biological tissues. The medium used in the simulation consists of a polydispersion of spheres with an exponential distribution in size and the refractive index contrast $n_s/n_b = 1.11$. The resulting scattering asymmetry parameter of this medium is g = 0.75 at the wavelength $\lambda = 633$ nm, which is in agreement with previously measured Intralipid properties [45]. Additionally, the scattering albedo was set to $\bar{\mu}_s/\bar{\mu}_t = 0.99$, the same as in the transmission geometry.

We first display in Fig. 5 the sensitivity kernel elements in the object plane (at z = L/2) for the detection angle of $\theta =$ 473 10° to the normal, a source-detector separation of $0.32\ell^*$, and a total slab thickness of $L = 3.6\ell^*$. In this configuration, the axis of the incident source and the axis of the detector intersect in the object plane at $z = 1.8\ell^*$. This arrangement is chosen to 477



F5:1 **Fig. 5.** Sensitivity kernel elements along the *Y* direction within the object plane. Kernel element K_{11} (dotted-dashed blue). Kernel element $2K_{22}$ (circles green). Kernel element $2K_{33}$ (black dots). F5:4 Kernel elements $K_{41} + K_{44}$ (cyan dashed). Kernel elements F5:5 $\frac{1}{2}[2K_{22} + 2K_{33} + 8.5(K_{41} + K_{44})]$ (solid red). All elements are normalized to K_{11} summed over the entire object plane.

maximize the effect of a perturbation on the single scattering 478 479 contribution at the detector. If, instead, the axes are not overlapping at the object location, the scanning process will result in 480481 two copies of the buried object (with a spacing directly relating to the source-detector misalignment in the object plane) or, for 482 deeper objects, the result is a significant increase in blurring, 483 with the features of the object being elongated in the direction 484 485 of the source-detector separation.

In Fig. 5, various sensitivity kernel elements are plotted as functions of the coordinate *y* for x = 0 and z = L/2. We display the elements in this case as one-dimensional scans so that we can see small details in the functions more clearly. The K_{11} 490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

element, corresponding to unpolarized illumination and detection, can be seen to have the most broad distribution, as was also the case in the transmission geometry. A central peak can still be observed at the point where the source and detector intersect. This is due to single scattering, which dominates for sufficiently shallow locations (given that the detector conditions allow it), as can be shown analytically [46]. The central peak of the $K_{41} + K_{44}$ kernel elements, corresponding to the Stokes V-component and incident right-handed circularly polarized light, is seen to be negative. This is expected because single scattering at a large scattering angle (170° in this case) results in a flip of helicity for this particular medium. All such elements relating to polarized contributions can also be seen to have significantly sharper peaks than the unpolarized K_{11} element, suggesting the effective gating of single-scattered photons. These other elements, however, still exhibit some broad, low-magnitude tails, which are due to multiply scattered photons. The tails naturally tend to blur the image recorded by scanning the source-detector pair. Therefore, we wish to find some linear combination of these curves that corresponds to the central peak being as close to a delta function as possible. We were able to find that, for this medium, the linear combination $2K_{22} + 2K_{33} + 8.5(K_{41} + K_{44})$ (shown by the solid red line in the figure) can achieve just that.

To verify that the corresponding combination of the ele-514 ments of Φ increases the resolution of a buried object, we com-515 pare the corresponding matrix data elements to other linear 516 combinations. In Fig. 6, we show these various data matrix el-517 ements for a buried object, this time in the shape of a cross. 518 Here we see in Panel (b) that the Φ_{11} element, relating to 519 polarization-insensitive imaging, is again the most blurred case. 520 Panels (c)–(f), relating to various linear polarization channels, 521 show a small improvement relative to the unpolarized case, 522 yet each exhibits a noticeable asymmetry, which can skew 523 the interpretation of the target. Panel (f), which results from 524



F6:1 **Fig. 6.** Various linear combinations of the data matrix elements Φ , measured in the backscattering geometry for a cross-shaped target located in the object plane at the depth $z = 1.8\ell^*$. Panel (a) shows the object shape. All matrix elements are normalized to K_{11} summed over the entire object plane.

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

circular polarization channels, shows a higher symmetry 525 and a somewhat improved level of visibility of the object. 526 However, as predicted, the case with the greatest visibility 527 of the buried absorber is that of the combination 528 $2\Phi_{22} + 2\Phi_{33} + 8.5(\Phi_{41} + \Phi_{44})$, which is shown in Panel 529 (h). In addition to a sharp peak in the object plane, the cor-530 responding linear combination of sensitivity kernel elements 531 also results in a highly symmetric point spread function in 532 533 the object plane. To physically attain the linear combination shown in Panel (h), a series of 10 different measurements must 534 be performed (for both the background medium and the 535 medium containing the target). However, to put this in more 536 simple terms, the image in Panel (h) is simply obtained by com-537 bining the images in other panels according to the rule (c)-(d)538 +(e)-(f)+8.5(g). We found that, for the considered medium 539 540 type and the detection angle of 10°, this linear combination of the K components was the most effective for a range of slab 541 thicknesses, where the example shown with $L = 3.6\ell^*$ was to-542 ward the upper limit for which a clear direct image of the object 543 was still visible. 544

545 5. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this work, we have used the efficient numerical tools recently
developed in [15] to demonstrate the potential of polarization
filtering in the context of imaging through turbid media.

549 While not all of the results shown are surprising (e.g., using circular or linear polarization in transmission through Rayleigh-550 type media [26,36]), we have gone a step further than simply 551 using polarized light for illumination and polarization filters for 552 detection. First, our simulations involve a collimated source-553 detector pair scanned over the surface of a plane-parallel 554 medium either in the transmission geometry (on axis) or in 555 the reflection geometry. Second, to achieve the best images, 556 we analyze the sensitivity kernel, K, within the medium, at 557 the location of the buried object. This informs us on which 558 559 post-processing of the scan data recorded via several physical measurement channels is required. For example, to achieve 560 the result shown in Fig. 6(h), 10 separate scans should be per-561 formed for both the background (reference) medium and the 562 563 medium containing the target.

The task of determining the optimal combination of the 564 physical measurement channels is non-trivial and the simula-565 tion technique developed in [15] can help seeking such combi-566 nations. One important result is that the choice of the optimal 567 combinations depends strongly on the types of medium and on 568 the imaging geometry. In the transmission geometry, with on-569 axis imaging of media containing optically large particles char-570 acterized by forward-peaked Mie scattering, the use of linear 571 polarization filters is optimal. We have found that the best lin-572 ear combination of channels is achieved by measuring the dif-573 ference in intensity between cross-polarized and co-polarized 574 575 channels (Stokes Q component). In other words, we first illuminate the medium by an X-polarized beam and perform 576 two scans with X- and Y-polarized linear filters at the detector. 577 578 Then the same two scans are repeated for the Y-polarized incident beam, requiring four separate scans in total. We 579 emphasize that the data recorded in these four scans are 580 not redundant, as is clearly demonstrated by comparing 581

Figs. 3(b) and 3(c). In the case of backreflection geometry for an Intralipid-like medium, discussed in Section 4, we found that 10 independent scans involving linear and circular polarization filters are required to achieve the optimal result.

With reference to media containing optically small Rayleigh scatterers, these are in some sense easier to image with polarization gating, especially in the transmission geometry, due to the rapid polarization randomization of non-ballistic photons. Our results indicate that polarization gating can be useful in such media up to the depth of $\sim 20\ell^*$. In this case, obtaining depth resolution is also feasible by varying the angles of incidence and detection. Additionally, the problem of backscattering in such media is similar to the problem of inverting the broken-ray transform [46]. What is achieved by polarization gating is the increased precision of the broken-ray transform description, which relies on detection of singly scattered light. Inversion of the broken-ray transform is possible if many scans are performed with different source-detector separations, so that some depth resolution can be achieved.

In summary, by manipulating the contribution of the various sensitivity kernel elements in the object plane via simple linear combinations, we can find the optimal set of physical measurements and post-processing of the recorded data that will result in the clearest image of the buried absorber. This technique offers a more rational approach toward customizing a polarization-filtering scheme for a given medium and imaging geometry than simply trialling large numbers of physical measurements with no information as to the effect this can have on the resulting images.

Funding.Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) (ANR-61111-IDEX-0001-02).612

Acknowledgment.This work has been carried out613thanks to the support of the A*MIDEX project funded by
the "Investissements d'Avenir" French Government program,
managed by the French National Research Agency (ANR).616

REFERENCES

- 1. M. O'Leary, D. Boas, B. Chance, and A. Yodh, "Refraction of diffuse photon density waves," Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2658–2661 (1992).
- T. Durduran, R. Choe, W. B. Baker, and A. G. Yodh, "Diffuse optics for tissue monitoring and tomography," Rep. Prog. Phys. **73**, 076701 (2010).
- S. R. Arridge, "Optical tomography in medical imaging," Inverse Probl. 15, R41–R93 (1999).
- D. A. Boas, D. H. Brooks, E. L. Miller, C. A. DiMarzio, M. Kilmer, R. J. Gaudette, and Q. Zhang, "Imaging the body with diffuse optical tomography," IEEE Signal Process. Mag. 18, 57–75 (2001).
- 5. S. R. Arridge and J. C. Schotland, "Optical tomography: forward and inverse problems," Inverse Probl. **25**, 123010 (2009).
- R. Choe, A. Corlu, K. Lee, T. Durduran, S. Konecky, S. Arridge, B. Czerniecki, D. Fraker, A. DeMichele, B. Chance, and A. Yodh, "Diffuse optical tomography of breast cancer during neoadjuvant chemotherapy: a case study with comparison to MRI," Med. Phys. 32, 1128–1139 (2005).
- R. Choe, S. D. Konecky, A. Corlu, K. Lee, T. Durduran, D. R. Busch, B. J. Czerniecki, J. Tchou, D. L. Fraker, A. DeMichele, B. Chance, S. R. Arridge, M. Schweiger, J. P. Culver, M. D. Schnall, M. E. Putt, M. A. Rosen, and A. G. Yodh, "Differentiation of benign and malignant breast tumors by in-vivo three-dimensional parallel-plate diffuse optical tomography," J. Biomed. Opt. **14**, 024020 (2009).

644

645

646

670

671

672 673

674

675

676

681

- 641 8. W. Cai, M. Lax, and R. R. Alfano, "Cumulant solution of the elastic 642 Boltzmann transport equation in an infinite uniform medium," Phys. 643 Rev. E 61, 3871-3876 (2000).
 - 9. W. Cai, M. Lax, and R. R. Alfano, "Analytical solution of the polarized photon transport equation in an infinite uniform medium using cumulant expansion," Phys. Rev. E 63, 016606 (2000).
- 647 10. M. Xu, W. Cai, M. Lax, and R. R. Alfano, "Photon migration in turbid 648 media using a cumulant approximation to radiative transfer," Phys. 649 Rev. E 65, 066609 (2002).
- 650 11. V. A. Markel, "Modified spherical harmonics method for solving the 651 radiative transport equation," Waves Random Complex Media 14, 652 L13-L19 (2004).
- 653 12. G. Panasyuk, J. C. Schotland, and V. A. Markel, "Radiative transport 654 equation in rotated reference frames," J. Phys. A 39, 115-137 (2006).
- 655 13. M. Machida, G. Y. Panasyuk, J. C. Schotland, and V. A. Markel, "The 656 Green's function for the radiative transport equation in the slab geom-657 etry," J. Phys. A 43, 065402 (2010).
- 658 14. M. Machida, "An F_N method for the radiative transport equation in three dimensions," J. Phys. A 48, 325001 (2015). 659
- 15. U. Tricoli, C. M. Macdonald, A. Da Silva, and V. A. Markel, 660 661 "Reciprocity relation for the vector radiative transport equation and its application to diffuse optical tomography with polarized light," 662 663 Opt. Lett. 42, 362-365 (2017).
- 664 16. G. S. Abdoulaev and A. H. Hielscher, "Three-dimensional optical 665 tomography with the equation of radiative transfer," J. Electron. 666 Imaging 12, 594-601 (2003).
- 667 17. A. Joshi, J. C. Rasmussen, E. M. Sevick-Muraca, T. A. Wareing, and 668 J. McGhee, "Radiative transport-based frequency-domain fluores-669 cence tomography," Phys. Med. Biol. 53, 2069-2088 (2008).
 - 18. G. Bal, "Inverse transport theory and applications," Inverse Probl. 25, 053001 (2009).
 - 19. M. Machida, G. Y. Panasyuk, Z.-M. Wang, V. A. Markel, and J. C. Schotland, "Radiative transport and optical tomography with large datasets," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 33, 551-558 (2016).
 - 20. M. Xu and R. R. Alfano, "Random walk of polarized light in turbid media," Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 213901 (2005).
- 21. F. C. MacKintosh, J. X. Zhu, D. J. Pine, and D. A. Weitz, "Polarization 677 678 memory of multiply scattered light," Phys. Rev. B 40, 8342-8348 679 2 (1989)
- 22. M. Xu and R. R. Alfano, "Circular polarization memory of light," Phys. 680 Rev. E 72, 065601 (2005).
- 682 23. J. D. van der Laan, D. A. Scrymgeour, S. A. Kemme, and E. L. 683 Dereniak, "Detection range enhancement using circularly polarized 684 light in scattering environments for infrared wavelengths," Appl. 685 Opt. 54, 2266-2274 (2015).
- 686 24. M. Dubreuil, P. Delrot, I. Leonard, A. Alfalou, C. Brosseau, and A. 687 Dogariu, "Exploring underwater target detection by imaging polarim-688 etry and correlation techniques," Appl. Opt. 52, 997-1005 (2013).
- 689 S. G. Demos and R. R. Alfano, "Optical polarization imaging," Appl. 25. 690 Opt. 36, 150-155 (1997).
- 26. J. M. Schmitt, A. H. Gandjbakhche, and R. F. Bonner, "Use of polar-691 692 ized light to discriminate short-path photons in a multiply scattering 693 3 medium," Appl. Opt. 31, 6535-6546 (1992).
- 694 27. O. Emile, F. Bretenaker, and A. Le Floch, "Rotating polarization im-695 aging in turbid media," Opt. Lett. 21, 1706-1708 (1996).

28. S. Mujumdar and H. Ramachandran, "Imaging through turbid media using polarization modulation: dependence on scattering anisotropy," Opt. Commun. 241, 1-9 (2004).

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712 713

714

715 716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

- 29. M. P. Silverman and W. Strange, "Object delineation within turbid media by backscattering of phase-modulated light," Opt. Commun. 144, 7-11 (1997).
- 30. G. D. Lewis, D. L. Jordan, and P. J. Roberts, "Backscattering target detection in a turbid medium by polarization discrimination," Appl. Opt. 38, 3937-3944 (1999).
- 31. X. Ni, Q. Xing, W. Cai, and R. R. Alfano, "Time-resolved polarization to extract coded information from early ballistic and snake signals through turbid media," Opt. Lett. 28, 343-345 (2003).
- 32. D. A. Miller and E. L. Dereniak, "Selective polarization imager for contrast enhancements in remote scattering media," Appl. Opt. 51, 4092-4102 (2012).
- 33. A. da Silva, C. Deumié, and I. Vanzetta, "Elliptically polarized light for depth resolved optical imaging," Biomed. Opt. Express 3, 2907-2915 (2012).
- 34. S. Sridhar and A. Da Silva, "Enhanced contrast and depth resolution in polarization imaging using elliptically polarized light," J. Biomed. Opt. 21, 071107 (2016).
- 35. J. S. Tyo, "Enhancement of the point-spread function for imaging in scattering media by use of polarization-difference imaging," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 17, 1-10 (2000).
- 36. M. Moscoso, J. B. Keller, and G. Papanicolaou, "Depolarization and blurring of optical images by biological tissues," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 18, 948-960 (2001).
- 37. A. Ishimaru and C. W. Yeh, "Matrix representations of the vector radiative-transfer theory for randomly distributed nonspherical particles," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 1, 359-364 (1984).
- 38. J. C. Schotland and V. A. Markel, "Fourier-Laplace structure of the inverse scattering problem for the radiative transport equation," Inverse Probl. Imaging 1, 181-188 (2007).
- 39. G. Y. Panasyuk, V. A. Markel, and J. C. Schotland, "Superresolution and corrections to the diffusion approximation in optical tomography," Appl. Phys. Lett. 87, 101111 (2005).
- 40. C. F. Bohren and D. R. Huffman, Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small Particles (Wiley, 2008).
- 41. K. M. Yoo, F. Liu, and R. R. Alfano, "Imaging objects hidden in scattering media using an absorption technique," Opt. Lett. 16, 1068–1070 (1991).
- 42. W. Cai, X. Ni, S. K. Gayen, and R. R. Alfano, "Analytical cumulant solution of the vector radiative transfer equation investigates backscattering of circularly polarized light from turbid media," Phys. Rev. E 74, 056605 (2006).
- 43. A. D. Kim and M. Moscoso, "Chebyshev spectral methods for radiative 4 transfer," SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 23, 2074-2094 (2002).
- 44. C. M. Macdonald, S. L. Jacques, and I. Meglinski, "Circular polarization memory in polydisperse scattering media," Phys. Rev. E 91, 033204 (2015).
- 45. H. J. van Staveren, C. J. M. Moes, J. van Marie, S. A. Prahl, and M. J. C. van Gemert, "Light scattering in intralipid-10% in the wavelength range of 400-1100 nm," Appl. Opt. 30, 4507-4514 (1991).
- 46. L. Florescu, J. C. Schotland, and V. A. Markel, "Single-scattering optical tomography," Phys. Rev. E 79, 036607 (2009).

Queries

751

752

- 1. AU: The funding information for this article has been generated using the information you provided to OSA at the time of article submission. Please check it carefully. If any information needs to be corrected or added, please provide the full name of the funding organization/institution as provided in the CrossRef Open Funder Registry (http://www.crossref.org/fundingdata/registry.html).
- 2. AU: Please confirm the last page added to the Refs. [21,33].
- 3. AU: The volume has been changed from '92' to '31'. Please confirm this is correct.
- 4. AU: Please check the edit made in the page range in Ref. [43].

ORCID Identifiers

The following ORCID identification numbers were supplied for the authors of this article. Please review carefully. If changes are required, or if you are adding IDs for authors that do not have them in this proof, please submit them with your corrections for the article.

• Vadim A. Markel https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9748-6865