



HAL
open science

Microfluidics and surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy : a perfect match for new analytical tools

Gustavo Ochoa-Vazquez, Boris Kharisov, Ana Arizmendi-Morquecho, Anaïs Cario, Cyril Aymonier, Samuel Marre, Israel López

► To cite this version:

Gustavo Ochoa-Vazquez, Boris Kharisov, Ana Arizmendi-Morquecho, Anaïs Cario, Cyril Aymonier, et al.. Microfluidics and surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy : a perfect match for new analytical tools. IEEE Transactions on NanoBioscience, 2019, 18 (4), pp.558-566. 10.1109/TNB.2019.2943078 . hal-02376134

HAL Id: hal-02376134

<https://hal.science/hal-02376134>

Submitted on 21 Jul 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Microfluidics and Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy: a perfect match for new analytical tools

Gustavo Ochoa-Vazquez^{1,4}, Boris Kharisov², Ana Arizmendi-Morquecho³, Anaïs Cario⁴, Cyril Aymonier⁴, Samuel Marre^{*4}, and Israel López^{*1}

Abstract — In this perspective article, we emphasize the combination of Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy (SERS) and Microfluidic devices. SERS approaches have been widely studied and used for multiple applications including trace molecules detection, *in situ* analysis of biological samples and monitoring or, all of them with good results, however still with limitations of the technique, for example regarding with improved precision and reproducibility. These implications can be overcome by microfluidic approaches. The resulting coupling Microfluidics – SERS (MF-SERS) has recently gained increasing attention by creating thundering opportunities for the analytical field. For this purpose, we introduce some of the strategies developed to implement SERS within microfluidic reactor along with a brief overview of the most recent MF-SERS applications for biology, health and environmental concerns. Eventually, we will discuss future research opportunities of such systems.

Index Terms — Microfluidics, Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy, Lab on a Chip, *in situ* characterization.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last decades, Raman spectroscopy has gained increasing attention as an analytical tool, being conventionally used to provide researchers with precious data for solving problematics in various topics such as environment, safety, biology and healthcare. This technique collects the inelastic dispersion with respect to an incident wavelength, allowing monitoring the vibrational modes of the molecules or material investigated. Due to the coupling to microscope, Raman spectroscopy can be used to perform (bio)chemical imaging at higher spatial resolution (less than 200 nm) that what can be typically obtained with Infrared spectroscopy [1]. However, this characterization tool is known to have a low sensitivity due to weak Raman scattering, which is one of the major problems associated with this technique. Therefore, for some specific applications, this has pushed researchers to consider one technique now widely used in Raman spectroscopy: The Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering (SERS). This is a surface approach that enhances Raman scattering

(the gain can reach a factor up to 10^{10} , thus allowing the detection of trace molecules) due to the interactions between the investigated molecules and different nanostructured substrates constituted of noble metals generating localized surface plasmons and hot spots in between metals nanoparticles [2]. The fabrication of carefully-designed SERS substrates has been widely investigated; however, various challenges still exist in SERS, limiting its adoption by a wider scientific community, namely: the substrates fabrication reproducibility [3], the difficulty of realizing field measures and the high cost of analysis represent the most current problems [4]. With the purpose of addressing these limitations, the scientific community has look towards microscale processes through the use of microfluidics fundamentals.

Microfluidics is the scientific field that studies the behavior of the fluids and their control at microscale using microchannels displaying dimensions in the order of tens to hundreds of μm [5]. The design, fabrication and use of microfluidic devices have permitted to solve some problems related to the SERS technique, thanks to high precision and reproducibility in the synthetic and/or analytical parameters [6], leading to the so-called MF-SERS approaches.

Over the past 10 years, an increasing number of studies have reported and reviewed the use of MF-SERS to miniaturize the analytical systems and to enhance their reproducibility [7]–[11] (Figure 1). The applications of such systems are wide, from chemistry to biology, including several medical (point-of-care – POC analysis) and analytical applications (trace molecules and drugs detection, etc.). All these researches have grown up over time, developing methods for general and specific applications based on MF-SERS devices.

In this perspective paper, we first introduce the general strategies for designing and fabricating MF-SERS devices and further introduce some of the current reported applications of MF-SERS. Eventually, a general perspective of this new analytical tool possibilities to other scientific fields will be presented.

The authors acknowledge the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología of Mexico (CONACYT) for the Ph.D. research scholarship of G. Ochoa (610186). This work has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (Grant Agreement No. 725100, project Big Mac).

¹ I. López (e-mail: israel.lopezhr@uanl.edu.mx) and G. Ochoa-Vazquez are with Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, UANL, at Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, FCQ, in the Centro de Investigación en Biotecnología y Nanotecnología, CIBYN, Apodaca, Mexico.

² B. Karisov is with Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, UANL, at Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, FCQ, in the Centro de Laboratorios Especializados, CELAES, San Nicolás de los Garza, Mexico.

³ A. Arizmendi-Morquecho is with Centro de Investigación en Materiales Avanzados, S. C. (CIMAV), Unidad Monterrey, Apodaca, Mexico.

⁴ S. Marre (e-mail: samuel.marre@icmcb.cnrs.fr), Anaïs Cario and Cyril Aymonier are with CNRS, Université Bordeaux, Bordeaux INP, ICMCB, UMR 5026, F-33600 Pessac, France.




Fig. 1. Advances of MF-SERS over time.

II. COUPLING SERS WITH MICROFLUIDICS

One of the most important parts to consider when designing a MF-SERS experiment is the correct selection and fabrication/use of SERS substrates. These substrates are generally fabricated from the assemblies of nanoparticles or nanostructures of noble metals allowing generating the desired Plasmon effects, leading to the enhancement of the Raman scattering (nanoM with M = gold or silver). Several types of arrangements have been reported so far including metal NPs self-assemblies or core-shell (nanoM@ Al₂O₃ or nanoM@SiO₂) [12], [13] pickering emulsions [14] or metals NPs-decorated oxides solids substrates [15]. Nevertheless, the complex fabrication procedures mostly exhibit low reproducibility, leading to a dispersion in the results.

When considering the integration of SERS substrates into microfluidics devices, additional difficulties arise, as several other considerations need to be taken into account. First, complicated microfabrication techniques generally have to be considered to integrate the substrates into a microfluidic chip. At the nanometer scale - required for such applications - it is not easy to control either the arrangement of NPs for a bottom-up SERS substrate approach [16] or the top-down manufacturing of substrates [17]. Second, the physicochemical stability of the substrates exposed to additional stresses (fluid flow, chemicals, temperature, etc.) has to be carefully investigated, as it can result in a modification or even a failure of the substrate during experimentation, leading to poor reproducibility.

Nevertheless, there are several strategies that can be employed to generate SERS effect within microfluidic systems depending on whether the SERS substrate is in solution (colloids, nanoparticles aggregates) or fixed on a surface (solid substrates fabricated from top down microfabrication or bottom up *in situ* synthesis), see Figure 2, which corresponds respectively to: (i) SERS substrates dispersed in flow, (ii) integrated SERS substrates (top-down approaches) and (iii) immobilized SERS substrates (bottom-up approaches), which are discussed hereafter.




Fig. 2. Strategies for the integration of SERS substrates within microfluidic reactors. (a) examples of SERS colloids: Gold nanostructures (nanotriangles, nanospheres and nanostars) used in flow (adapted from [18] with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry), (b) microfabricated substrates based on plasmonic nanopillar geometry inside microchannel (adapted from [19] with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry), (c) Silver NPs deposited inside of a microchannel as a fixed MF-SERS substrate (adapted from [20] with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry).

Dispersed SERS substrates. The first strategy consists in using nanoM assemblies dispersed within the solution to analyze. This simple procedure requires the pre-synthesis of the nanoM assemblies (which size generally ranges from 20 to 200 nm) and their dispersion in the media. Several types of morphologies for the nanoM arrangements have been reported such as metal nanoflowers or nanostars [21]. Indeed, the SERS effect is affected not only by the particle size, but also by their shape, and the distances between the metallic nanoparticles, generating controllable hot spots, driven by the localized electric field (Figure 2-a). The main interest concerns the easy manipulation and preparation of the samples, while the substrates can be recovered between analyses and cleaned to minimize cross contaminations. Nevertheless, solid handling inside microfluidics channels still remains challenging and some deposition of the nanostructured SERS substrates on the microchannel walls can occur over time, leading potentially to clogging of the device. Additionally, random aggregation of such nanoM colloids inside the solution may induce uncontrollable variation in the Raman signals. It is therefore highly desirable to pay attention to the fluidic design of the chips. The design and fabrication of microfluidic devices are not limited to their fabrication with only one microchannel to transport the fluid. Indeed, there are many possibilities to design microfluidic devices with different number of microchannels that expand their applications. For instance, Cui Y. *et al* show the use of a microfluidic system with

different microchannels for the *in situ* synthesis and generation of gold SERS substrates and their performance evaluation [22], [23].

The advantage of this strategy is its easy operation and the use of nanoM previously synthesized as well as commercial nanoM. Also, in this strategy, is possible to recover and to clean the nanoM for reuse. This way, the chip can be used several times. However, undesirable clogging into the microchannels and nanoM agglomerations are hard to avoid, causing variation in Raman signals.

Integrated microfabricated SERS substrates on chip. The second strategy concerns the generation of SERS substrate directly inside the channels, which is now been made possible thanks to the recent development of microfabrication techniques at nanoscale (Figure 2-b). Such approaches allow to design 3D SERS substrates for example through the fabrication of nanopillar arrays from silicon or glass [24], on the top of which thin metals layers can be sputtered or nanoM assemblies can be deposited for generating the desired SERS effect. Similarly, oxide nanoporous layers, nanotubes or nanowires (Al_2O_3 , ZnO or TiO_2) can be first grown on a planar surface and later decorated with nanoM assemblies [25]–[27]. These substrates are slightly more complicated to realize but lead so far to the best stability and reproducibility of the results thanks to a more homogeneous adsorption of molecules on the SERS substrates.

Among the various available fabrication techniques, it is worth mentioning the laser irradiation techniques [28], which allows accessing complex multi-materials nanostructures directly on chip. The principle consists in irradiating a metallic film with a laser, which will heat a precursor solution in contact with the film, leading to their decomposition and the heterogeneous growth of nanostructures. This approach was use in particular to grow 3D Ag@ZnO nanostructures for MF-SERS applications [29]. The laser direct writing approaches [30], is yet another interesting methodology, which allows accessing nanoscale structuration of MF-SERS substrates thanks to the recent development of femtosecond laser writing for the precise design of nanoscale structures.

Eventually, nanoprinting methodologies [31], aiming at transferring through a mold sub-micrometer designs to a process able active layer, have quickly evolved over the last decades and can now easily be implemented to design metal SERS substrates at the nanoscale [32].

Despite this strategy is expensive for the distinct microfabrication techniques at nanoscale and their hard training for operations, it presents great advantages. With integrated microfabricated SERS substrates on chip, it is indeed possible to design highly ordered and controlled nanoM SERS substrates for increasing the number of hotspots and the homogeneous adsorption of molecules, and thus to realize reproducible SERS analysis.

Immobilized SERS substrates within microchannels. This last strategy refers to the ordered spatial deposition of single metals nanoparticles or nanostructured materials inside of a microchannel (Figure 2-c). These immobilized SERS substrates constituted of nanoM arrays allow a fine control of the interparticle distance for improving the electrical field and the subsequent SERS effect. Thanks to advances in surface chemistry on glass or polymers, coupled to either nanoimprint lithography [33], electron beam lithography [34], focused ion beam [35], or nanosphere lithography [36] approaches, these types of substrates can be easily modified for generating either homogeneous or heterogeneous patterns. Compared to the first strategy of flowing SERS substrates, these immobilized substrates reduce the Raman signal fluctuations and no specific microfluidic design are needed to handle solids assemblies. However, the main limitation remains: (i) the non-homogeneous molecules adsorption on the surface of the SERS substrate, (ii) the relatively high cost of fabrication, especially for the patterning part and (iii) the possible contamination of the MF-SERS devices over time as the cleaning of such substrates is not straightforward and might lead to particles

desorption from the surface. Despite these limitations, such strategy is probably the most promising one to increase reliability of the MF-SERS devices as it provides ways towards the synthesis and the assembly of colloids directly on chip in a control manner. Since nanomaterials synthesis in microfluidics devices have been largely reported [37], their further *in situ* assemblies (self- or orientated) could constitute a real advantage compared to the other reported strategies as the SERS substrate could be synthesized and shaped directly in a single step within microchannels. For example, Sepaniak *et al.* have worked with the microfluidic synthesis of nanostructures since 2004, creating different microfluidic SERS systems and testing their applications in various domains [38]–[40]. Summarizing their work, it was observed that for the correct synthesis and coupling of these materials, is necessary to provide an accurate design and fabrication of the microfluidic devices. Similarly, Xu and Giorgis have reported different techniques and materials of fabrication for the microfluidic devices, (PDMS, PDMS/silicon, PDMS/glass, glass-glass, paper using 3D printing, molding and soft lithography) [41], [42]. They have demonstrated for all these materials the integration of synthesized nanostructures as SERS substrates for the generation of MF-SERS systems capable of performing *in situ* analysis [22].

In spite of their disadvantages as high cost of fabrication, facile contamination and hard cleaning procedures, the principal advantage of this approach is the possibility for synthesizing and assembling the nanoM *in situ* into the microchannel and, with some microfabrication techniques create nanoM arrays with a specific interparticle distance.

We summarize hereafter (Table 1) the major advantages / limitations of each strategy. As described in the previous sessions, the main difference between these strategies lies in the investment / production costs and the enhancement factors (EF) and limits of detection (LOD) achieved.

Table 1: Comparison of the advantages and limitations of each above-mentioned methodology.

Feature	Dispersed MF-SERS substrates	Integrated MF-SERS substrates	Immobilized MF-SERS substrates
Fabrication cost	\$	\$\$\$	\$\$
Viability of reuse	easy	hard	medium
Maximum EF reported	10^9	10^{12}	10^{10}
Minimum LOD reported	10^{-8} M	10^{-6} M	10^{-12} M
Chip platform	PDMS-glass	PDMS-glass, PDMS-Si	PDMS-glass, all-PDMS
Analysis time	60-80 min	5 min	5 min
Manufacturing infrastructure	basic	sophisticated	intermediate
Example applications	biomolecules, cancer diagnosis	biomolecules, cells	biomolecules, drugs, dyes

We will detail in the next section some applications of MF-SERS devices for several domains of research.

III. MF-SERS: A FULL RANGE OF APPLICATIONS

The first mention about flow-injection analysis coupled to SERS (FIA) at small scale was reported in the late 1980s by Laserna, Berthod, and Winefordner. They were able to detect 4-aminobenzoic acid using SERS in submillimetric channels [43]–[45]. In the next decade, Caballin, Ruperez, and Laserna developed a windowless flow

cell for the SERS detection of amiloride, amiphenazole, 2-mercaptopyridine, pemoline and triamterene [46], [47]. Since then, several other applications have been reported, among which are the research domains of environment, food monitoring for analytes detection and cells management and analysis on a chip, which are discussed below.

Analytes detection. The combination between microfluidic devices and SERS technique has allowed the better handling of analytes and the improvement of their assays. Thanks to the high sensitivity of SERS, miniaturized devices have been developed for portable detection of various molecules including pollutants [48], drugs or narcotics [49], as well as biomolecules such as DNA or pathogens [50]. In particular, the most studied analytes are dyes including rhodamine 6G, crystal violet, and other Raman tags such as 4-MBA, due to their signal intensity, photostability, and their functional groups that permit specific bonding between these molecules and the SERS substrates [51]–[53]. Furthermore, this combination also has permitted the analysis of diverse inorganic samples highlighting the detection of metallic ions for applications in food safety and environmental monitoring [54], such as Hg(II) [55], As(III) [56], Cr(III) [57] or Fe(II) [58]. The efficiency of MF-SERS platforms to be very sensitive, rapid, low-cost, non-invasive, highly selective and specific, has found various applications in clinical studies and drugs detection such as morphine and cocaine traces [59]. Additionally, other interesting applications include the detection of explosives molecules as traces, mostly for security checking and military on site applications. Indeed, MF-SERS allow the fabrication of small, portable devices, which can be utilized in real environments. Besides, the MF-SERS analysis of explosive samples provide safer operating conditions with a small

amount of sample, as reported for 4-ABT [60], DTN [61], TNT [62]. Other research areas that have been favored for MF-SERS use are biology and medicine for the detection of biomolecules, biomarkers and pathogens. Adenine, DNA, RNA, and different proteins are some biologic probes that are analyzed using MF-SERS combination due to facilitate the handling of samples using microfluidics parameters [63]–[65]. Similarly, diverse pathogens can also be detected with this approach, as reported for such as *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and different mycotoxins in real samples using MF-SERS [66], [67]. Eventually, the detection of different viruses as human immunodeficiency virus, HIV, type 1 [68] and avian influenza virus, AIV, H7N9 [69] has been possible with the development of microfluidic devices and their link-up with SERS due to their ability to discriminate selectively the infected cells. All these proves of concept of SERS in medical applications and the miniaturization of the instrumentation permitted by the microfluidic devices have led to the fabrication of point-of-care (POC) devices. The POC systems present advantages as low-cost, field analysis as well as time saving in the training of personnel [70]. Nowadays, it is possible to realize different analysis in patients without high personal training and in a faster way. There are several reports about POC tests using blood, saliva and urine as samples for the opportune detection of disease [71], [72]. This is currently the main trend for the development of MF-SERS devices.

MF-SERS for cell analysis. The combination of microfluidic systems and SERS allows a high sample control of fluids flow and the generation of reproducible substrates for *in situ* analysis. Cooper *et al.*, confirmed that the use of microfluidic systems allows a better sample control for cell characterization. Cells or bacteria can be manipulated one by one using microfluidic chips, due to the dimension of the




Fig. 3. Examples of MF-SERS applications in the biological field. (a) droplets-based single cell microfluidics strategy coupled to SERS to investigated glycan expression in cancer cells at cellular resolution (adapted from [76] with permission from American Chemical Society), (b) scheme of a MF-SERS set-up and comparison results of both live and dead cell and isolated and grouped yeasts cells (adapted from [78] with permission from Elsevier B.V.), (c) MF-SERS set-up and results for the identification of six different mycobacteria from blood samples (adapted with permission from [79] with permission from American Chemical Society).

microchannels and the low volume to control, allowing to analyze cells in an individually way. MF-SERS systems have been used for diverse biological analysis [73]–[75] including single-cell analysis for improving the understanding of cellular systems. Indeed, cells can be encapsulated within droplets, which can be later stored in a microfluidic device and analyzed by SERS at cellular resolution [76] (Figure 3-a). Originally limited to medical / clinical studies, the use of MF-SERS could be extended to environmental biology for the on-field investigation of microbes [77]. In this research field, MF-SERS can be adapted to target the study of different microorganisms (fungi, viruses, yeasts and bacteria) based on their specific variations in spectral features. As an example, isolated and grouped yeasts cells were monitored *in situ* using MF-SERS coupled to integrated electrophoresis [78]. This approach was also used for the rapid identification of live and dead cells within aqueous environments, demonstrating the capability of MF-SERS to analyze biochemical molecules secreted during the cell growth, metabolism, proliferation and apoptosis (Figure 3-b). Another example concerns the used of MF-SERS for the differentiation of various mycobacteria in blood samples in short time demonstrating the high potential of these approaches for personalized medicine [79] (Figure 3-c). All these types of *in situ* analyses and monitoring approaches are of primary importance for several biological applications using microfluidics tool at lab scale.

MF-SERS in cells cytometry and liquid chromatography. The SERS effect can be used as an efficient *in situ* detection tool coupled to flow processes at microscale. In particular, separation methods have largely benefited from microfluidic principles such as liquid chromatography, by introducing variants of the sheath-flow (hydrodynamic flow focusing) method [80], which are also widely used in cytometry. The sheath-flow is based on the splitting of a liquid sample within droplets or slugs thanks to the squeezing of the sample by another fluid (liquid or gas) within a microfluidic channel. In this context, Schultz *et al.* have been developing and using this method by controlling microfluidic parameters for sample separation and their analysis using SERS, generating different continuous processes [51], [81]. Similarly, SERS technologies have designed for flow cytometry (SERS-activated cells sorting) [82] and cell counting at microscale allowing detecting Raman signals in ultra-short time, as reviewed by Zhang *et al* [83]. *In situ* SERS effect was also demonstrated for the detection and separation of molecules in liquid chromatography. For instance, Wang *et al.* have coupled HPLC with SERS for continuous separation and further detection of several analytes, providing an online characterization mean for the acquisition of molecular structural information of solutions [84].

MF-SERS integrated platforms for micro-assays. Some of the latest developments of MF-SERS concern the fabrication and use of all-in-one devices for fast screening at microscale. In particular, Popp *et al.* have worked on such microfluidics platforms for the synthesis, the separation, the mixing and the analysis of various types of samples. These platforms have been integrated with SERS for biological probe detection of cells in liquid media or biomolecules in urine samples [85]–[87]. Another application that uses the microfluidic concept is the lateral-flow assay (LFA). These assays are realized in paper strips in which capillary forces actions can carry an analyte in different stages onto the strip from their processing to the analysis stage [88]. Choo *et al.* employed this technique for realizing immunoassays [89], virus [68] and pollutant detection [90], [91]. The concept of microfluidic platforms for fast screening of the operating parameters has led to the realization of immunoassays using SERS as an analytical tool. These on chip devices have been used in particular for Immunotherapeutic molecules screening. [92] Besides, the possibility to have different stages to realize different processes as LFA technique, plus all the

possible applications that already exist in the state of the art, have incited researchers to study, design and fabricate devices as the Lab on a Chip (LOC) equipped with SERS probes [93].

IV. CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

The use of microfluidic fundamentals for the manufacturing of systems capable to control, synthesize, separate and analyze very small volumes are promoting the growth of a wide panorama of possibilities and applications. Now that the coupling of microfluidics with SERS has been validated and used for several applications, the main challenges associated with MF-SERS could be the development of microsystems able to withstand harsher conditions to opens ways for analyzing more complex samples for applications in industrial processes (including high pressure, high temperature or aggressive chemical conditions). All the current publications on MF-SERS have mostly investigated aqueous media at room conditions, therefore leading to the use of polymers (PDMS mostly) as the microdevices fabrication materials. Being able to couple SERS with microreactors made of glass or silicon-Pyrex would provide more robust microsystems for enlarging the range of applications. This challenge would then also be associated to the need of developing stable SERS substrates integrated in such devices.

Besides enlarging the range of conditions, which could be used by MF-SERS devices, new nano-micro-fabrication processes are also highly desirable. In this view, the recent development of 3D nanoprinting approaches opens avenues towards the precise spatial design of MF-SERS devices down to few hundreds of nanometers [94]. This approach based on two photon polymerization technique and shadow masking for micropatterning was originally developed for tissues engineering [95] before moving to micro electromechanical systems development (MEMS) [96] and could possibly be easily adapted to designed MF-SERS devices.

Among the potential future applications of MF-SERS, POC devices are probably the most promising ones, given the growing demand for personal healthcare solutions. To reduce the fabrication cost of MF-SERS devices for such applications, paper-based SERS microfluidics substrates [97]–[100] should be a great alternative to conventional microfabrication techniques. The low cost and easy fabrication principle consist in impregnating noble metal nanoparticles within a conventional paper microreactor. Besides their cheapness, these MF-SERS substrates also allow overcoming the signal fluctuations sometimes encountered in liquid-based MF-SERS.

Environmental biology is yet another example of future applications for MF-SERS thanks to the portability and miniaturization of the devices, which can be used directly on field. In this context, such tools could be used to investigate the biosphere. Indeed, the vast majority of microbes from natural environments is still mostly unknown, but largely contribute to the Earth global carbon cycle. MF-SERS platforms operating in harsh conditions could therefore offer a unique opportunity to better understand endemic microbes in their environments.

It is also worth mentioning the recent use of 3D living cells mapping with confocal Raman spectroscopy, which could also largely benefits form the combination of microfluidics systems with SERS effect. The major advantage of Raman in such studies relies on the ability to work with label-free sample, preventing from bias, which can be induced by the use of tag molecules, generally needed in fluorescent laser confocal microscopy [101]. Nevertheless, the major limitations mostly reside in the low signal to noise ratio of some particular vibration bands characteristics from specific proteins or biomolecules. In that view, SERS could easily be used to overcome this limitation [102], [103] and combined to microfluidics, this should provide advanced working

environments for the culture, detection and analysis of living cells (Figure 4-a) and the microbiology research field in general (Figure 4-b).




Fig. 4. Examples of living cells SERS mapping. (a) 3D volumes of intensity distributions of human induced pluripotent stem cells, and adult rat ventricular cardiomyocytes for selected bands: $1,008\text{ cm}^{-1}$ for cell cytoplasm (blue), 789 cm^{-1} for cell nucleus (red), $2,857\text{ cm}^{-1}$ for lipids (green), 485 cm^{-1} for glycogen (white) and a merge of all components; scale bar $10\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. (adapted from [104] with permission from Springer Nature), (b) molecular composition of *Leishmania*-infected macrophage cells *in vitro* by SERS (adapted from [105] with permission from American Chemical Society).

Eventually, Stable Isotope probing strategies could also be implemented in MF-SERS for identifying microbial cells at different levels of substrate incorporation in a complex microbial community, as recently exemplified using conventional SERS [106], [107]. Overall, from the current research general tendency, it is foreseen that MF-SERS will move within the next 10 years from conventional laboratory uses to the palm of our hands.

V. CONCLUSION

Microfluidic has allowed to improve the separation process and analysis of inorganic, biological and environmental samples. Furthermore, the nature of design and fabrication for microfluidic devices has permitted the coupling of the SERS analytical technique, generating new technologies capable of carrying out more than one process in one place as shown by the multiple applications and

potential of the MF-SERS devices.

Recent strategies have been employed to generate reproducible SERS substrates depending on if the substrate is in solution or fixed on the surface. Dispersed SERS substrates in flow, integrated microfabricated SERS substrates on chip and immobilized SERS substrates within microchannels are the most recent strategies for SERS substrates microfabrication. However, some limitations as random aggregation of nanoM colloids, uncontrollable synthesis inside of a microchannel, non-homogeneous molecules adsorption and the relative high cost of fabrication are present in these strategies it is important try to solve them. Even so, with all these limitations, the coupling between microfluidics and SERS technique has permitted a wide range of applications.

Nowadays, MF-SERS had allowed different applications as analytes detections, cells analysis, cytometry and liquid chromatography. Different analytes have been detected as pollutants, drugs, explosives, biomolecules, DNA or pathogens because of the facility of portable microfluidic devices fabrication. Due to the wide use of these devices on medicine and biology, it has been extended the use of POC as a field analysis tool for the opportune detection of disease.

Besides, MF-SERS devices have allowed *in situ* analysis. Different microorganisms as fungi, viruses, yeasts and bacteria, can be handled one by one using these devices, analyzing them in an individually way.

The separation methods have benefited with the control of flow processes using microfluidic devices. The cytometry and the liquid chromatography are techniques that have been improved with the coupling of MF-SERS devices, developing SERS-activated cell sorting and cell counting. Also, HPLC with SERS provides an online characterization.

Another use of MF-SERS is in the design of platforms for microassays. Lateral-flow assays and all-in-one devices have been developed to realize different processes at the same time, becoming them to LOC devices.

Therefore, MF-SERS devices have permitted an improvement in the way to analyze and to control different samples, opening a wide range of possibilities that will allow to enlarge the knowledge on the fields of chemistry, biology and medicine.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Perro, G. Lebourdon, S. Henry, S. Lecomte, L. Servant, and S. Marre, "Combining microfluidics and FT-IR spectroscopy: Towards spatially resolved information on chemical processes," *React. Chem. Eng.*, vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 577–594, 2016.
- [2] A. Otto, I. Mrozek, H. Grabhorn, and W. Akemann, "Surface enhanced Raman scattering," in *Compendium of Surface and Interface Analysis*, vol. 41143, 2018, pp. 661–665.
- [3] F. Geng, H. Zhao, Q. Fu, Y. Mi, L. Miao, and W. Li, "Gold nanochestnut arrays as ultra-sensitive SERS substrate for detecting trace pesticide residue," *Nanotechnology*, vol. 29, p. 8, 2018.
- [4] N. Chen et al., "Portable and Reliable Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering Silicon Chip for Signal-On Detection of Trace Trinitrotoluene Explosive in Real Systems," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 89, pp. 5072–5078, 2017.
- [5] A. C. Fernandes, K. V. Gernaey, and U. Krühne, "Connecting worlds – a view on microfluidics for a wider application," *Biotechnol. Adv.*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 1341–1366, 2018.
- [6] B. Ren, Y. Wu, Y. Jiang, X. Zheng, S. Jia, Z. Zhu and H. Ma, "Facile fabrication of microfluidic surface-enhanced Raman scattering devices via lift-up lithography," *R. Soc.*, vol. 5, pp. 1–9, 2018.
- [7] Q. Zhou and T. Kim, "Sensors and Actuators B : Chemical Review of microfluidic approaches for surface-enhanced Raman scattering," *Sensors Actuators B. Chem.*, vol. 227, pp. 504–514, 2016.
- [8] A. Tycova, J. Prikryl, and F. Foret, "Recent strategies toward microfluidic-based surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy,"

- Electrophoresis, vol. 38, no. 16, pp. 1977–1987, 2017.
- [9] Z. Zhai, M. Nie, Y. Guan, F. Zhang, and L. Chen, “Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety A microfluidic surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy approach for assessing the particle number effect of AgNPs on cytotoxicity,” *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*, vol. 162, pp. 529–535, 2018.
- [10] K. K. Reza, A. A. Sina, A. Wuethrich, Y. S. Grewal, C. B. Howard, D. Korbie and M. Trau, “Biosensors and Bioelectronics A SERS microfluidic platform for targeting multiple soluble immune checkpoints,” *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, vol. 126, pp. 178–186, 2019.
- [11] H. Shen, K. Xie, L. Huang, L. Wang, J. Ye, M. Xiao, L. Ma, A. Jia and Y. Tang, “A novel SERS-based lateral flow assay for differential diagnosis of wild-type pseudorabies virus and gE-deleted vaccine,” *Sensors Actuators B. Chem.*, vol. 282, pp. 152–157, 2019.
- [12] S. Guo and S. Dong, “Metal nanomaterial-based self-assembly: Development, electrochemical sensing and SERS applications,” *J. Mater. Chem.*, vol. 21, no. 42, pp. 16704–16716, 2011.
- [13] J. F. Li et al., “Shell-isolated nanoparticle-enhanced Raman spectroscopy,” *Nature*, vol. 464, p. 392, Mar. 2010.
- [14] L. Ouyang, D. Li, L. Zhu, W. Yang, and H. Tang, “A new plasmonic Pickering emulsion based SERS sensor for *in situ* reaction monitoring and kinetic study,” *J. Mater. Chem. C*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 736–744, 2016.
- [15] Y. J. Oh and K. H. Jeong, “Glass nanopillar arrays with nanogap-rich silver nanoislands for highly intense surface enhanced raman scattering,” *Adv. Mater.*, vol. 24, no. 17, pp. 2234–2237, 2012.
- [16] Y. Wu et al., “Facile fabrication of microfluidic surface-enhanced raman scattering devices via lift-up lithography,” *R. Soc. Open Sci.*, vol. 5, no. 4, 2018.
- [17] J. Deng, J. Dong, and P. Cohen, “Rapid Fabrication and Characterization of SERS Substrates,” *Procedia Manuf.*, vol. 26, pp. 580–586, 2018.
- [18] F. Tian, F. Bonnier, A. Casey, A. E. Shanahan, and H. J. Byrne, “Surface enhanced Raman scattering with gold nanoparticles: Effect of particle shape,” *Anal. Methods*, vol. 6, no. 22, pp. 9116–9123, 2014.
- [19] Y. Zhao, Y. L. Zhang, J. A. Huang, Z. Zhang, X. Chen, and W. Zhang, “Plasmonic nanopillar array embedded microfluidic chips: An *in situ* SERS monitoring platform,” *J. Mater. Chem. A*, vol. 3, no. 12, pp. 6408–6413, 2015.
- [20] G. Chen et al., “A highly sensitive microfluidics system for multiplexed surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) detection based on Ag nanodot arrays,” *RSC Adv.*, vol. 4, no. 97, pp. 54434–54440, 2014.
- [21] M. J. Mulvihill, X. Y. Ling, J. Henzie, and P. Yang, “Anisotropic etching of silver nanoparticles for plasmonic structures capable of single-particle SERS,” *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 132, no. 1, pp. 268–274, 2010.
- [22] Y. Zhang, Z. Wang, L. Wu, S. Zong, B. Yun, and Y. Cui, “Combining Multiplex SERS Nanovectors and Multivariate Analysis for *In Situ* Profiling of Circulating Tumor Cell Phenotype Using a Microfluidic Chip,” *Precis. Med.*, vol. 1704433, pp. 1–11, 2018.
- [23] Z. Wang, S. Zong, Z. Wang, L. Wu, P. Chen, and Y. Cui, “Microfluidic chip based micro RNA detection through the combination of fluorescence and surface enhanced Raman scattering techniques,” *Nanotechnology*, vol. 28, p. 8, 2017.
- [24] H. Mao, W. Wu, D. She, G. Sun, P. Lv, and J. Xu, “Microfluidic surface-enhanced raman scattering sensors based on nanopillar forests realized by an oxygen-plasma-stripping-of-photoresist technique,” *Small*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 127–134, 2014.
- [25] M. Pisarek, M. Holdynski, A. Roguska, A. Kudelski, and M. Janik-Czachor, “TiO₂ and Al₂O₃ nanoporous oxide layers decorated with silver nanoparticles—active substrates for SERS measurements,” *J. Solid State Electrochem.*, vol. 18, no. 11, pp. 3099–3109, 2014.
- [26] E. Satheeshkumar and J. Yang, “Photochemical decoration of silver nanoparticles on ZnO nanowires as a three-dimensional substrate for surface-enhanced Raman scattering measurement,” *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 407–413, 2014.
- [27] Y. Xie and Y. Meng, “SERS performance of graphene oxide decorated silver nanoparticle/titania nanotube array,” *RSC Adv.*, vol. 4, no. 79, pp. 41734–41743, 2014.
- [28] D. Liu et al., “Rapid Synthesis of Monodisperse Au Nanospheres through a Laser Irradiation-Induced Shape Conversion, Self-Assembly and Their Electromagnetic Coupling SERS Enhancement,” *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 5, pp. 1–9, 2015.
- [29] Y. Xie et al., “*In situ* fabrication of 3D Ag@ZnO nanostructures for microfluidic surface-enhanced Raman scattering systems,” *ACS Nano*, vol. 8, no. 12, pp. 12175–12184, 2014.
- [30] Y. L. Zhang, et al., “Dual-3D Femtosecond Laser Nanofabrication Enables Dynamic Actuation,” *ACS nano*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 4041–4048, 2019.
- [31] S. Y. Chou, P. R. Krauss, P. J. Renstrom, “Imprint lithography with 25-nanometer resolution,” *Science*, vol. 272, no. 5258, pp. 85–87, 1996.
- [32] Z. Liu, “One-step fabrication of crystalline metal nanostructures by direct nanoimprinting below melting temperatures,” *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 8, pp. 1–7, 2017.
- [33] V. Suresh, L. Ding, A. B. Chew, and F. L. Yap, “Fabrication of Large-Area Flexible SERS Substrates by Nanoimprint Lithography,” *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 886–893, 2018.
- [34] N. A. Abu Hatab, J. M. Oran, and M. J. Sepaniak, “Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy Substrates Created via Electron Beam Lithography and Nanotransfer Printing,” *ACS Nano*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 377–385, Feb. 2008.
- [35] K. Sivashanmugan, J.-D. Liao, and C.-K. Yao, “Nanovoids embedded in FIB-fabricated Au/Ag nanorod arrays for ultrasensitive” *Appl. Phys. Express*, vol. 7, no. 9, p. 92202, 2014.
- [36] K. A. Willets and R. P. Van Duyne, “Localized Surface Plasmon Resonance Spectroscopy and Sensing,” *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 267–297, Apr. 2007.
- [37] S. Marre and K. F. Jensen, “Synthesis of micro and nanostructures in microfluidic systems,” *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 1183–1202, 2010.
- [38] L. A. Riddle and M. J. Sepaniak, “Metal-polymer nanocomposites for integrated microfluidic separations and surface enhanced Raman spectroscopic detection Short Communication,” *J. Sep. Sci.*, vol. 27, pp. 1545–1550, 2004.
- [39] N. A. Abu-hatab, “Novel Approaches to Prepare and Utilize SERS Substrates: Multiplex Microfluidics and Nanotransfer Printing,” 2008.
- [40] L. C. Taylor, T. B. Kirchner, V. Lavrik, and M. J. Sepaniak, “Surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy for microfluidic pillar arrayed separation chips,” *Analyst*, vol. 137, pp. 1005–1012, 2012.
- [41] E. Descrovi and F. Giorgis, “Metal–elastomer nanostructures for tunable SERS and easy microfluidic integration,” *RSC Adv.*, vol. 5, pp. 4404–4410, 2014.
- [42] C. Novara, A. Lamberti, A. Chiadò, A. Virga, P. Rivolo, F. Geobaldo and F. Giorgis, “Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy on porous silicon membranes decorated with Ag nanoparticles integrated in elastomeric microfluidic chips,” *RSC Adv.*, vol. 6, pp. 21865–21870, 2016.
- [43] J. J. Laserna, A. Berthod, and J. D. Winefordner, “Evaluation and Optimization of Experimental Conditions for Surface-Enhanced Raman Detection of Analytes in Flow Injection Analysis,” *Microchem. J.*, vol. 136, pp. 125–136, 1988.
- [44] J. J. Laserna and J. D. Winefordner, “Valve Silvei nitrate Sodium hydroborate laser Polarized Monochromator,” *Talanta*, vol. 34, no. 8, pp. 145–147, 1987.
- [45] D. Winefordner, “Surface Enhanced Raman Spectrometry on Silver Hydrosols Studied by Flow Injection Analysis,” *Appl. Spectrosc.*, pp. 1137–1141, 1987.
- [46] L. M. Cabalin, A. Ruperez, and J. J. Laserna, “Flow-injection analysis and liquid chromatography: surface-enhanced Raman spectrometry detection by using a windowless flow cell,” *Anal. Chim. Acta*, vol. 2670, pp. 203–210 1996.
- [47] L. M. Cabalin, A. Ruperez, and J. J. Laserna, “Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectrometry for Detection in Liquid Chromatography Using,” *tala*, vol. 40, pp. 1741–1747, 1993.

- [48] K. H. Yea et al., "Ultra-sensitive trace analysis of cyanide water pollutant in a PDMS microfluidic channel using surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy," *Analyst*, vol. 130, no. 7, pp. 1009–1011, 2005.
- [49] S. Guo and S. Dong, "Metal nanomaterial-based self-assembly: Development, electrochemical sensing and SERS applications," *J. Mater. Chem.*, vol. 21, no. 42, pp. 16704–16716, 2011.
- [50] G. A. Cangelosi et al., "Duplex Microfluidic SERS Detection of Pathogen Antigens with Nanoyeast Single-Chain Variable Fragments," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 86, no. 19, pp. 9930–9938, 2014.
- [51] K. Jacobs and Z. Schultz, "Ultrasensitive Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering Flow Detector Using Hydrodynamic Focusing," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 85, pp. 1–17, 2013.
- [52] R. Wang, Y. Xu, R. Wang, and C. Wang, "A microfluidic chip based on an ITO support modified with Ag-Au nanocomposites for SERS based determination of melamine," *Microchim. Acta*, p. 9, 2016.
- [53] R. Wilson, S. A. Bowden, J. Parnell, and J. M. Cooper, "Signal Enhancement of Surface Enhanced Raman Scattering and Surface Enhanced Resonance Raman Scattering Using *in Situ* Colloidal Synthesis in Microfluidics," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 82, no. 5, pp. 2119–2123, 2010.
- [54] H. Tang, C. Zhu, G. Meng, and N. Wu, "Review—Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering Sensors for Food Safety and Environmental Monitoring," *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, vol. 165, no. 8, pp. B3098–B3118, 2018.
- [55] E. Chung et al., "Trace analysis of mercury(II) ions using aptamer-modified Au/Ag core-shell nanoparticles and SERS spectroscopy in a microdroplet channel," *Lab Chip*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 260–266, 2013.
- [56] N. Qi et al., "Surface-enhanced Raman scattering on a zigzag microfluidic chip: Towards high-sensitivity detection of As(III) ions," *Anal. Methods*, vol. 6, no. 12, pp. 4077–4082, 2014.
- [57] J. Liang, H. Liu, C. Lan, and Q. Fu, "Silver nanoparticle enhanced Raman scattering-based lateral flow immunoassays for ultra-sensitive detection of the heavy metal chromium," *Nanotechnology*, vol. 495501, p. 9, 2014.
- [58] V. J. P. Gouveia, I. G. Gutz, and J. C. Rubim, "A new spectroelectrochemical cell for flow injection analysis and its application to the determination of Fe (II) down to the femtomol level by surface-enhanced resonance Raman scattering (SERRS)," *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, vol. 371, pp. 37–42, 1994.
- [59] N. D. Kline, A. Tripathi, R. Y. Mirsafavi, I. J. Pardoe, M. Moskovits, C. D. Meinhart, J. A. Guicheteau, S. D. Christesem and A. W. Fountain, "Optimization of Surface Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy Conditions for Implementation into a Microfluidic Device for Drug Detection Optimization of Surface Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy Conditions for Implementation Department of Biomolecular Science and Engine," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 88, pp. 13–22, 2016.
- [60] Y. Zhao, Y. Zhang, J. Huang, and Z. Zhang, "Plasmonic nanopillar array embedded microfluidic chips: an *in situ* SERS monitoring platform," *J. Mater. Chem. A Mater. energy Sustain.*, vol. 3, pp. 6408–6413, 2015.
- [61] B. D. Piorek, S. J. Lee, J. G. Santiago, M. Moskovits, S. Banerjee, and C. D. Meinhart, "Free-surface microfluidic control of surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy for the optimized detection of airborne molecules," *PNAS*, vol. 104, pp. 18898–18901, 2007.
- [62] B. D. Piorek, S. J. Lee, M. Moskovits, and C. D. Meinhart, "Free-Surface Microfluidics/Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy for Real-Time Trace Vapor Detection of Explosives," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 84, pp. 9700–9705, 2012.
- [63] G. Chen, Y. Wang, H. Wang, M. Cong, and L. Chen, "A highly sensitive microfluidics system for multiplexed surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) detection based on Ag nanodot arrays," *RSC Adv.*, vol. 4, pp. 54434–54440, 2014.
- [64] X. Wang, N. Choi, Z. Cheng, J. Ko, L. Chen, and J. Choo, "Simultaneous Detection of Dual Nucleic Acids Using a SERS-based Lateral Flow Biosensor," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 89, pp. 1163–1169, 2016.
- [65] D. Calzavara, D. Ferraro, L. Litti, G. Cappoz, G. Mistura, M. Meneghetti and M. Pierno, "Single File Flow of Biomimetic Beads for Continuous SERS Recording in a Microfluidic Device," *Adv. Condens. Matter Phys.*, vol. 2018, pp. 1–10, 2018.
- [66] X. Lu, D. R. Samuelson, Y. Xu, H. Zhang, S. Wang, B. A. Rasco, J. Xu and M. E. Konkel, "Detecting and Tracking Nosocomial Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Using a Microfluidic SERS Biosensor," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 85, pp. 2320–2327, 2013.
- [67] A. Walter, A. März, W. Schumacher, P. Rösh and J. Popp, "Featuring research from the group of As featured in : Lab on a Chip Towards a fast , high specific and reliable discrimination of bacteria on strain level by means of SERS in a microfluidic device," *Lab Chip*, vol. 11, pp. 1013–1020, 2011.
- [68] X. Fu, Z. Cheng, J. Yu, P. Choo, L. Chen, and J. Choo, "A SERS-based lateral flow assay biosensor for highly sensitive detection of HIV-1 DNA," *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, vol. 78, pp. 530–537, 2016.
- [69] M. Xiao, K. Xie, X. Dong, L. Wang, C. Huang, F. Xu, W. Xiao, M. Jin, B. Huang and Y. Tang, "Ultrasensitive detection of avian influenza A (H7N9) virus using surface-enhanced Raman scattering-based lateral flow immunoassay strips," *Anal. Chim. Acta*, pp. 3–11, 2018.
- [70] D. Quesada-gonza and A. Merkoci, "Nanomaterial-based devices for point-of-care diagnostic applications," *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, vol. 47, pp. 4697–4709, 2018.
- [71] A. März, B. Mönch, P. Rösch, M. Kiehnopf, T. Henkel, and J. Popp, "Detection of thiopurine methyltransferase activity in lysed red blood cells by means of lab-on-a-chip surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy (LOC-SERS)," *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, vol. 400, pp. 2755–2761, 2011.
- [72] I. J. Hidi, A. Mühlig, M. Jahn, F. Liebold, D. Cialla, K. Weber and J. Popp, "LOC-SERS: towards point-of-care diagnostic of Methotrexate," *Anal. Methods*, vol. 6, pp. 3943–3947, 2014.
- [73] P. B. Monaghan, K. M. McCarney, A. Ricketts, R. E. Littleford, F. Docherty, W. E. Smith, D. Graham and J. M. Cooper "Bead-Based DNA Diagnostic Assay for Chlamydia Resonance Raman Scattering Detection within a Lab-on-a-Chip Format," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 79, no. 7, pp. 2844–2849, 2007.
- [74] R. Wilson, P. Monaghan, S. A. Bowden, J. Parnell, and J. M. Cooper, "Surface-Enhanced Raman Signatures of Pigmentation of Cyanobacteria from within Geological Samples in a Spectroscopic-Microfluidic Flow Cell," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 79, pp. 7036–7041, 2007.
- [75] C. D. Syme, N. M. S. Sirimuthu, L. Faley, and J. M. Cooper, "SERS mapping of nanoparticle labels in single cells using a microfluidic chip w," *ChemComm*, vol. 46, pp. 7921–7923, 2010.
- [76] M. R. Willner, K. S. McMillan, D. Graham, P. J. Vikesland, and M. Zagnoni, "Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering Based Microfluidics for Single-Cell Analysis," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 90, no. 20, pp. 12004–12010, 2018.
- [77] M. Chisanga, H. Muhamadali, D. I. Ellis, and R. Goodacre, "Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering (SERS) in Microbiology: Illumination and Enhancement of the Microbial World," *Appl. Spectrosc.*, vol. 72, no. 7, pp. 987–1000, 2018.
- [78] S. S. E. Collins et al., "*In situ* SERS probing of nano-silver coated individual yeast cells," *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, vol. 49, pp. 536–541, 2013.
- [79] J. Popp et al., "LOC-SERS: A Promising Closed System for the Identification of Mycobacteria," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 88, no. 16, pp. 7998–8004, 2016.
- [80] A. H. Nguyen, J. M. Deutsch, L. Xiao, and Z. D. Schultz, "Online Liquid Chromatography-Sheath-Flow Surface Enhanced Raman Detection of Phosphorylated Carbohydrates," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 90, pp. 11062–11069, 2018.
- [81] M. R. Bailey, A. M. Pentecost, A. Selimovic, R. S. Martin, and Z. D. Schultz, "Sheath-Flow Microfluidic Approach for Combined Surface Enhanced Raman Scattering and Electrochemical Detection," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 87, pp. 4347–4355, 2015.
- [82] D. S. Sebba, D. A. Watson, and J. P. Nolan, "High throughput single nanoparticle spectroscopy," *ACS Nano*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 1477–1484, 2009.
- [83] Q. Zhang et al., "Towards high-throughput microfluidic Raman-activated cell sorting," *Analyst*, vol. 140, no. 18, pp. 6163–6174,

- 2015.
- [84] Y. Yuan, Q. Guo, R. Gu, W. Wang, M. Xu, and J. Yao, "Rapid separation and on-line detection by coupling high performance liquid chromatography with surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy," *RSC Adv.*, vol. 5, no. 59, pp. 47640–47646, 2015.
- [85] K. K. Strelau, R. Kretschmer, R. Möller, W. Fritzsche, and J. Popp, "SERS as tool for the analysis of DNA-chips in a microfluidic platform," *Anal Bioanal Chem*, vol. 396, pp. 1381–1384, 2010.
- [86] I. J. Hidi, M. Jahn, M. W. Pletz, K. Weber, D. Cialla-may, and J. Popp, "Towards Levofloxacin Monitoring in Human Urine Samples by Employing the LoC-SERS Technique," *J.Phys. Chem.*, vol. 120, pp. 20613–20623, 2016.
- [87] S. Patze, U. Huebner, F. Liebold, K. Weber, D. Cialla-may, and J. Popp, "SERS as an analytical tool in environmental science: The detection of sulfamethoxazole in the nanomolar range by applying a microfluidic cartridge setup," *Anal. Chim. Acta*, vol. 949, pp. 3–9, 2016.
- [88] R. Wang, K. Kim, N. Choi, X. Wang, J. Lee, J. H. Jeon, G. Rhie and J. Choo, "Highly sensitive detection of high-risk bacterial pathogens using SERS-based lateral flow assay strips," *Sensors Actuators B. Chem.*, vol. 270, pp. 72–79, 2018.
- [89] S. Choi, J. Hwang, S. Lee, D. Woo, H. Joo, and J. Choo, "Quantitative analysis of thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) using SERS-based lateral flow immunoassay," *Sensors Actuators B. Chem.*, vol. 240, pp. 358–364, 2017.
- [90] D. Lee, S. Lee, G. H. Seong, J. Choo, E. K. Lee, D. Gewon and S. Lee, "Quantitative Analysis of Methyl Parathion Pesticides in a Polydimethylsiloxane Microfluidic Channel Using Confocal Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy," *Appl. Spectrosc.*, vol. 60, pp. 373–377, 2006.
- [91] L. X. Quang, C. Lim, H. Seong, J. Choo, J. Do, and S. Yoo, "A portable surface-enhanced Raman scattering sensor integrated with a lab-on-a-chip for field analysis," *Lab Chip*, vol. 8, pp. 2214–2219, 2008.
- [92] L. Wu, Z. Wang, Y. Zhang, J. Fei, H. Chen, S. Zong and Y. Cui, "*In situ* probing of cell–cell communications with surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) nanoprobe and microfluidic networks for screening of immunotherapeutic," *Nano Res.*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 1–11, 2017.
- [93] I. J. Jahn, K. Weber, T. W. Bocklitz, and J. Popp, "Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy and microfluidic platforms: challenges, solutions and potential applications," *Analyst*, vol. 142, pp. 1022–1047, 2017.
- [94] R. Majumdar and I. Paprotny, "Lithography-Free Self-Reconfigurable Post-Release Stress Engineering of Surface Micro-Machined MEMS Structures," *J. Micro Electro Mech. Syst.*, vol. 26, no. August, pp. 671–678, 2017.
- [95] O. Aleksandr, B. N. Chichkov, "Three-dimensional microfabrication by two-photon polymerization technique." *Computer-aided tissue engineering*. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, pp. 311-325, 2012.
- [96] S. Ward, V. Foroutan, R. Majumdar, O. Mahdavi-pour, S. A. Hussain, and I. Paprotny, "Towards microscale flight: Fabrication, stability analysis, and initial flight experiments for 300 μm \times 300 μm \times 1.5 μm sized untethered MEMS microfliers," *IEEE Trans. Nanobioscience*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 323–331, 2015.
- [97] H. Torul, et al., "Paper membrane-based SERS platform for the determination of glucose in blood samples," *Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry*, vol. 407, no 27, pp. 8243-8251, 2015.
- [98] S. Yang, X. Dai, B. B. Stogin, and T. S. Wong, "Ultrasensitive surface-enhanced Raman scattering detection in common fluids," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, vol. 113, no. 2, pp. 268–273, 2016.
- [99] A. Hariharan, et al., "Microfluidics based SERS substrate for PPB level detection of catechol," *Optical Materials*, vol. 94, pp. 305-310, 2019.
- [100] J. C. Lee, W. Kim, S. S. Choi, "Fabrication of a SERS-encoded microfluidic paper-based analytical chip for the point-of-assay of wastewater," *International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing-Green Technology*, vol. 4, no 2, pp. 221-226, 2017.
- [101] K. Majzner, A. Kaczor, N. Kachamakova-Trojanowska, A. Fedorowicz, S. Chlopicki, and M. Baranska, "3D confocal Raman imaging of endothelial cells and vascular wall: Perspectives in analytical spectroscopy of biomedical research," *Analyst*, vol. 138, no. 2, pp. 603–610, 2013.
- [102] K. C. Huang, et al., "3D SERS (surface enhanced Raman scattering) imaging of intracellular pathways," *Methods*, vol. 68, no 2, pp. 348-353, 2014.
- [103] S. McAughtrie, K. Lau, K. Faulds, and D. Graham, "3D optical imaging of multiple SERS nanotags in cells," *Chem. Sci.*, vol. 4, no. 9, pp. 3566–3572, 2013.
- [104] C. Kallepitis, M. S. Bergholt, M. M. Mazo, V. Leonardo, S. C. Skaalure, S. A. Maynard, and M. M. Stevens, "Quantitative volumetric Raman imaging of three dimensional cell cultures," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 8, p. 14843, 2017.
- [105] V. Živanović, G. Semini, M. Laue, D. Drescher, T. Aebischer, and J. Kneipp, "Chemical Mapping of *Leishmania* Infection in Live Cells by SERS Microscopy," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 90, no. 13, pp. 8154–8161, 2018.
- [106] Y. Wang, W. E. Huang, L. Cui, and M. Wagner, "Single cell stable isotope probing in microbiology using Raman microspectroscopy," *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, vol. 41, pp. 34–42, 2016.
- [107] L. Cui, K. Yang, G. Zhou, W. E. Huang, and Y. G. Zhu, "Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy Combined with Stable Isotope Probing to Monitor Nitrogen Assimilation at Both Bulk and Single-Cell Level," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 89, no. 11, pp. 5793–5800, 2017.