

An asymptotic-preserving all-speed scheme for fluid dynamics and nonlinear elasticity

Emanuela Abbate, Angelo Iollo, Gabriella Puppo

▶ To cite this version:

Emanuela Abbate, Angelo Iollo, Gabriella Puppo. An asymptotic-preserving all-speed scheme for fluid dynamics and nonlinear elasticity. SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 2019. hal-02373325

HAL Id: hal-02373325 https://hal.science/hal-02373325

Submitted on 20 Nov 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 2

AN ASYMPTOTIC-PRESERVING ALL-SPEED SCHEME FOR FLUID DYNAMICS AND NONLINEAR ELASTICITY *

3

EMANUELA ABBATE[†], ANGELO IOLLO [‡], AND GABRIELLA PUPPO [§]

Abstract. An implicit relaxation scheme is derived for the simulation of multi-dimensional flows 4 at all Mach numbers, ranging from very small to order unity. An analytical proof of the asymptotic 5 6 preserving property is proposed and the divergence-free condition on the velocity in the incompress-7 ible regime is respected. The scheme possesses a general structure, which is independent of the 8 considered state law and thus can be adopted to solve gas and fluid flows, but also deformations of 9 elastic solids. This is achieved by adopting the Jin-Xin relaxation technique in order to get a linear 10 transport operator. The spatial derivatives are thus independent of the EOS and an easy implemen-11 tation of fully implicit time discretizations is possible. Several validations on multi-dimensional tests 12 are presented, showing that the correct numerical viscosity is recovered in both the fully compress-13 ible and the low Mach regimes. An algorithm to perform grid adaptivity is also proposed, via the 14computation of the entropy residual of the scheme.

15 **Key words.** low Mach limit, all-speed schemes, asymptotic-preserving property, relaxation, 16 non-linear elasticity, entropy production

17 **AMS subject classifications.** 65M08, 65M99, 76T15

1. Introduction. In the propagation of flows of gases and of other compressible materials, complex and non stationary phenomena are generated. The complexity is often related to the local stiffness of the involved media, to the geometry of the physical problem or to boundary and initial conditions. All these aspects can produce waves propagating at very different speeds inside the considered materials, giving rise to specific numerical problems.

The accurate simulation of these phenomena requires the construction of numerical schemes that are able to deal with different regimes. The Mach number M is given by the ratio between the flow velocity and the speed of sound, namely it measures how much the acoustic waves are faster with respect to the flow velocity. In gas and fluid dynamics, M is used to identify the regime of the considered flow, ranging from fully compressible (local Mach number of order unity or higher) to incompressible (very small local Mach number).

Standard explicit-upwind codes developed for the simulation of compressible flows usually fail in approximating fluid flows or solid deformations at low speed. This is mainly due to the excessive numerical viscosity introduced on the slow waves by an upwind Godunov-like spatial discretization when the Mach number of the flow becomes small, as it has been proved in [23, 22, 15]. Specifically, an upwind scheme on a Cartesian grid leads to pressure fluctuations of order $\mathcal{O}(M)$, while in the continuous case the pressure fluctuations are of order $\mathcal{O}(M^2)$.

Moreover, when explicit methods are used to solve low Mach number flows, the time step Δt becomes extremely small due to the enforcement of the CFL stability condition. By imposing this constraint, Δt is roughly proportional to the Mach

^{*}Submitted to the editors 12-12-2018.

[†]Università degli Studi dell'Insubria, via Valleggio, Como 22100, Italy; Université Bordeaux, IMB, UMR 5251, F-33400 Talence, France; Equipe-projet Memphis, Inria Bordeaux-Sud Ouest, F-33400 Talence, France (eabbate@uninsubria.it, emanuela.abbate@inria.fr).

[‡]Université Bordeaux, IMB, UMR 5251, F-33400 Talence, France; Equipe-projet Memphis, Inria Bordeaux-Sud Ouest, F-33400 Talence, France (angelo.iollo@inria.fr).

[§]La Sapienza Università di Roma, Piazzale Aldo Moro, Roma 00185, Italy (gabriella.puppo@uniroma1.it).

41 number M:

42 (1.1)
$$\Delta t \le \frac{\Delta x}{\lambda_{max}} = \frac{\Delta x}{max|u \pm c|} = M \frac{\Delta x}{max|u(M \pm 1)|}$$

43 Δx being the space step and λ_{max} the fastest characteristic speed. For the Euler 44 system we have that $\lambda_{max} = u \pm c$, c being the sound speed and u the velocity. 45 Consequently, compressible codes require an increasingly large computational time as 46 the incompressible regime is reached.

The derivation of *all-speed* solvers is motivated by all the above mentioned reasons. 47 In general, the purpose of an all-speed scheme is to handle both the compressible 48 regime and the incompressible one. To this end, the derivation of preconditioning 4950methodologies has been triggered by Chorin [11] and by Turkel [42, 43], proposing a modification of the Roe matrix that moderates the numerical diffusion of upwind 51schemes, inside a fully implicit time discretization [45]. More recent methods falling 52in this category have been proposed in [32, 6, 44]. However, the main problem of 53 these techniques is related to the difficulty in handling the non-linearities of classical 54upwind discretizations (e.g. approximate Riemann solvers) within the fully implicit time integration. 56

Numerical schemes based on low Mach asymptotics have been proposed by Klainerman and Majda [27, 28]. Klein adapted this technique to derive an operator splitting into convection and pressure waves [29]. These methods have been derived for the low Mach number regime, but they also have been the starting point for the development of different all-speeds schemes. These are mainly based on the separation of the fast and slow scales, that are respectively integrated in time explicitly and implicitly in an IMEX logic, producing stability conditions on Δt that are independent of the Mach number. Some examples may be found in [8, 9, 14, 12, 36, 16].

Klainerman and Majda have also shown that solutions of the compressible Euler 65 equations converge to the solutions of the incompressible Euler equations as the Mach 66 67 number tends to zero [27]. The asymptotic preserving (AP) property is a consistency criterion for numerical schemes that have to deal with these two regimes. This prop-68 erty was firstly introduced by Jin in [25]: a scheme is AP, if its lowest order multiscale 69 expansion is a consistent discretization of the incompressible limit. Thus, an AP 70scheme for the Euler equations should provide a consistent and stable discretization 71 independently of the Mach number. In the fully compressible regime, it should pos-72sess the desirable features of a compressible solver (e. g. good resolution of shocks 73 and discontinuities and non-oscillatory solution profiles). Moreover, it should provide 74a consistent discretization of the incompressible equations when $M \to 0$. 75

In the present work, our interest is the numerical simulation of flows with Mach 76 77 numbers ranging from the incompressible limit to compressible regimes with $M \simeq$ $\mathcal{O}(1)$. To this end, we have derived in [2] an implicit all-speed scheme, which is 78 here extended for the accurate solution of multi-dimensional flows. Moreover, its AP property is proved analytically and numerically. The scheme has a general formula-80 tion that can be adopted without any structural modification for the simulation of 81 82 waves propagating inside materials with different behavior, such as fluids and elastic solids. The ability of the scheme in dealing with very general equations of state (EOS) 83 84 is achieved by adopting the Jin-Xin relaxation technique [26]. With this method, the fluxes are relaxed at the continuous level and a linear transport operator is obtained: 85 this avoids a direct dependence of the spatial derivatives on the specific EOS. The 86 second advantage consists in the fact that Riemann solvers are not necessary and 87 fully implicit time integrators are easily implemented. This allows to get rid of the 88

acoustic stability constraint (1.1), avoiding the requirement of a time stepping de-89 90 creasing as $M \to 0$, which would enormously increase the computational effort. The spatial discretization is built by a suitable combination of upwind and centered fluxes, 91 in order to recover the correct viscosity at all speeds. The ability of the scheme in moderating the numerical viscosity with respect to standard explicit-upwind methods 93 is here investigated by solving the Gresho vortex test and also different 2D Riemann 94 problems involving material waves. Moreover, we propose an adaptive mesh refine-95 ment (AMR) algorithm, specifically designed for this all-speed scheme. We build a 96 numerical estimate of the scheme entropy production and use it as an error indicator to drive the mesh refinement and coarsening. This estimate is also useful to assess the 98 fact that the all-speed discretization is superior in approximating the different waves 99 100 with respect to standard upwind-like schemes.

The structure of the paper is the following: in Section 2 we briefly revise the 101 asymptotic analysis of the Euler equations for fluid dynamics. The 2D all-speed 102 relaxation scheme is presented in Section 3. Then, the AP property is analytically 103proved in Section 4. The scheme is validated on fluid dynamics tests in Section 5. 104105where we also describe the adaptive mesh refinement algorithm based on the entropy 106 residual for the all-speed scheme. Then, the scheme is extended to solve non-linear elasticity problems in Section 6, where two different limits occurring in elastic solids 107are analyzed. Conclusions are drawn in Section 7. 108

109 **2.** Asymptotic analysis of the continuous Euler equations. Let us con-110 sider the two dimensional Euler system:

111 (2.1)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0\\ \partial_t (\rho \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} + p \mathbf{I}) = 0\\ \partial_t (\rho e) + \nabla_x \cdot ((\rho e + p) \mathbf{u}) = 0, \end{cases}$$

112 ρ being the density, **u** the velocity field, p the pressure, **I** the identity matrix and e113 the total energy per unit mass, which is given by the sum of the kinetic energy and

114 the internal energy per unit mass ϵ :

115 (2.2)
$$e = \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \epsilon.$$

For fluid dynamics problems, we consider the following state law, which extends the applicability of the ideal gas EOS:

118 (2.3)
$$\epsilon(\rho, s) = \frac{\kappa(s)}{\gamma - 1} \rho^{\gamma - 1} + \frac{p_{\infty}}{\rho} = \frac{p + \gamma p_{\infty}}{\rho(\gamma - 1)}.$$

The internal energy is written as a function of the density and the entropy s and we have used the definition of the pressure for the second equality (see [46, 31]). Here, $\gamma = c_p/c_v$ is the polytropic gas constant, $\kappa(s) = exp((s - s_0)/c_v)$ with s_0 reference entropy and p_{∞} is a constant describing the intermolecular interaction, typical of stiffened gases, liquids and also some solids. The perfect gas EOS is recovered by setting $p_{\infty} = 0$. The general formulation for the speed of sound reads as follows

125 (2.4)
$$c = \sqrt{\frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho}}\Big|_{s=const} = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma \left(p + p_{\infty}\right)}{\rho}}.$$

We now briefly revise the analysis of the low Mach number regime in fluid dynamics. For simplicity of notation, we consider a perfect gas, i. e. $p_{\infty} = 0$.

This manuscript is for review purposes only.

128 2.1. Non-dimensional Euler system. To write the non-dimensional Euler system, we follow Klainerman and Majda's works [27, 28], by decomposing each 129quantity into a product of a reference value (denoted with the subscript *) and a 130 dimensionless number (denoted with $\hat{\cdot}$), e.g. $\rho = \rho^* \hat{\rho}$ for the density. The reference 131value is a "scaling" factor and it should be chosen in such a way that the dimensionless 132 value is of order one. In this perspective, the reference pressure p^* is defined with the 133 reference sound speed $c^* = \sqrt{p^*/\rho^*}$ (see definition (2.4)), which is also used in the 134 scaling of the energy. 135

After some simple algebraic manipulations, most of the reference quantities cancel out, leading to the non-dimensional Euler system (we omit the hat notation $\hat{\cdot}$ for the sake of simplicity):

(2.5)
$$\int \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}\left(\rho \mathbf{u}\right) = 0$$

 $\begin{cases} \partial_t (\rho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div} (\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{M^2} \nabla p = 0 \end{cases}$ (2.6)

(2.7)
$$\partial_t \left(\rho e\right) + \operatorname{div}\left(\left(\rho e + p\right)\mathbf{u}\right) = 0.$$

136 The non-dimensional Euler system depends only on a single non-dimensional reference quantity. This is the reference Mach number, defined as the ratio between the 137

reference flow velocity and the reference sound speed as follows: 138

139 (2.8)
$$M = \frac{u^*}{c^*}.$$

The non-dimensional state law takes the following formulation: 140

141 (2.9)
$$p = (\gamma - 1) \left[\rho e - \frac{M^2}{2} \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 \right].$$

2.2. Low Mach number asymptotics. In order to analyze the asymptotic 142143 behaviour of the Euler equations in the zero Mach number limit, we perform an expansion of the scaled variables in terms of the Mach number [23]. The density is 144expanded as follows: 145

146 (2.10)
$$\rho = \rho_0 + M\rho_1 + M^2 \rho_2 + \mathcal{O}(M^3),$$

and all other variables are developed in the same way. The terms of zeroth order 147 (subscript \cdot_0) represent the zero Mach number limit. By inserting this expansion in 148the scaled system (2.5)-(2.6)-(2.7) and collecting terms with equal powers of M, one 149 150obtains:

0

 $\nabla p_1 = 0$

151 • order
$$\mathcal{O}(1/M^2)$$
:

152 (2.11)
$$\nabla p_0 =$$

• order $\mathcal{O}(1/M)$: 153

(2.12)• order $\mathcal{O}(1)$:

(2.13)
(2.14)
(2.15)
$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t \rho_0 + \nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \mathbf{u}_0) = 0 \\
\partial_t (\rho_0 \mathbf{u}_0) + \nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \mathbf{u}_0 \otimes \mathbf{u}_0) + \nabla p_2 = 0 \\
\partial_t (\rho e)_0 + \nabla \cdot ((\rho e)_0 \mathbf{u}_0 + p_0 u_0) = 0,
\end{cases}$$

155with the first order of the state law

156 (2.16)
$$p_0 = (\gamma - 1) (\rho e)_0.$$

Relations (2.11) and (2.12) prove that the pressure is constant in space up to fluctuations of order M^2 . Hence, we can write the following pressure asymptotic:

159 (2.17)
$$p(x,t) = P_0(t) + M^2 p_2(x,t),$$

160 where $P_0(t)$ is a thermodynamic pressure constant in space. In presence of open 161 boundaries, the thermodynamic pressure P_0 is imposed to be equal to the exterior 162 pressure P_{ext} . For the sake of simplicity, we assume that the exterior pressure does 163 not change in time, i. e. P_0 is constant in both space and time. From (2.16), we 164 deduce that also the energy is constant in space and time and the incompressibility 165 constraint $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = 0$ is easily derived.

Introducing this constraint into the continuity equation (2.13), the material derivative of the density is zero $\frac{D\rho}{Dt} = 0$. This means that the density is constant along a trajectory of any fluid element. Therefore, when the incompressibility constraint is respected, the density of the fluid is constant in time and space, i. e. $\rho_0 = \text{const}$, in the case where the initial density of the fluid is constant in space.

171 With the study of the asymptotics carried out above, system (2.13)-(2.14)-(2.15) 172 reduces to the *incompressible* Euler system in its non-dimensional form. This system

173 is the zero Mach number limit of the compressible Euler system and reads as follows

174 (2.18)
$$\begin{cases} \rho_0 = \text{const} \\ \rho_0 \left(\partial_t \mathbf{u}_0 + (\mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \nabla) \, \mathbf{u}_0 \right) + \nabla p_2 = 0 \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

3. Implicit relaxation all-speed scheme. To allow for an efficient and robust 175numerical procedure, we adopt the multi-dimensional Jin-Xin relaxation approach 176[26]: a linear hyperbolic relaxation system is built to approximate the original system 177 (here the Euler system (2.1)) with a small dissipative correction. Thanks to the 178179linearity of the advection terms, the spatial derivatives lose their dependence on the state law. Numerical schemes are then derived in a general formulation that is not 180 related to the EOS of a given material. Nonlinear terms appear only in the right hand 181side of the relaxation system, thus only diagonal terms are interested by the need of 182 a linearization in the discretization process. 183

184 A fully implicit time integration of the relaxation system is proposed. Thus, 185 acoustic CFL constraints are not required and a centered spatial discretization of the 186 stiff parts when the $M \to 0$ can be adopted, without stability issues. This is crucial 187 to get the correct numerical viscosity in the low Mach number regime.

3.1. The Jin-Xin relaxation. Letting $x = (x_1, x_2)$ be the coordinates in the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^2 , $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2)$ the velocity components, system (2.1) may be rewritten in a general compact form in the following way:

191 (3.1)
$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{\psi} + \partial_{x_1} \mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) + \partial_{x_2} \mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) = 0,$$

where we have adopted the directional splitting of the flux function, with $\psi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and **F**(ψ), **G**(ψ) $\in \mathbb{R}^n$. This is a system of *n* equations, with $(x,t) \in (\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^+)$, with *d* = 2. In our case, we have *n* = 4 and the conservation variables and the fluxes along the two directions read as follows:

196 (3.2)
$$\boldsymbol{\psi} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ \rho u_1 \\ \rho u_2 \\ \rho e \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) = \begin{bmatrix} \rho u_1 \\ \rho u_1^2 + p \\ \rho u_1 u_2 \\ (\rho e + p) u_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) = \begin{bmatrix} \rho u_2 \\ \rho u_1 u_2 \\ \rho u_2^2 + p \\ (\rho e + p) u_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The corresponding Jin-Xin relaxation system is constructed by introducing two vectors containing the relaxation variables $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in the two directions, approximating the fluxes $\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\psi})$ and $\mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{\psi})$ respectively. The relaxation system of dimension $n \times (d+1)$ takes the following formulation:

201 (3.3)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \boldsymbol{\psi} + \partial_{x_1} \mathbf{v} + \partial_{x_2} \mathbf{w} = 0\\ \partial_t \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{A}_1 \partial_{x_1} \boldsymbol{\psi} = \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\mathbf{F} \left(\boldsymbol{\psi} \right) - \mathbf{v} \right)\\ \partial_t \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{A}_2 \partial_{x_2} \boldsymbol{\psi} = \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\mathbf{G} \left(\boldsymbol{\psi} \right) - \mathbf{w} \right). \end{cases}$$

The small positive parameter η is called relaxation rate. The right hand sides of the second and third equations are stiff source terms and are the only non-linear parts of the system. The state law dependence is given by the fluxes in the sources, thus the EOS expression does not enter in the resulting linear transport operator.

The relaxation matrices \mathbf{A}_1 and \mathbf{A}_2 are positive diagonal matrices and are chosen by enforcing the subcharacteristic condition [34, 46]:

208 (3.4)
$$\mathbf{A}_{1} - \mathbf{F}'(\boldsymbol{\psi})^{2} \ge 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{A}_{2} - \mathbf{G}'(\boldsymbol{\psi})^{2} \ge 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\psi}.$$

Specifically, we construct these matrices by a-priori estimating the wave speeds λ_i of the original system (2.1). In the simplified case of $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_1 = \mathbf{A}_2 = diag\{a_i\}$, the eigenvalues of the relaxation system are computed as follows

212 (3.5)
$$\mu_i = \pm \sqrt{a_i}, \quad i = 1, ..n, \quad j = 1, ..2n,$$

thus having $\mu_1 \ge max|u-c|$, $\mu_2 = \mu_3 \ge max|u|$ and $\mu_4 \ge max|u+c|$ for the Euler system (for more details on the construction of the relaxation matrices see [2]). We remark that the relaxation is performed *direction by direction*, namely the equations on the relaxation variables are one dimensional problems.

The relaxation system (3.3) approximates the original system (3.1). This can be shown by applying the Chapman-Enskog expansion of the variables for small η [10]:

219 (3.6)
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^0 + \eta \mathbf{v}^1 + \mathcal{O}(\eta^2) \\ \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^0 + \eta \mathbf{w}^1 + \mathcal{O}(\eta^2) \end{cases}$$

At leading order $\mathcal{O}_{\eta}(1)$ (zero relaxation limit $\eta \to 0$), the original system is easily recovered, with the relaxation variables identically equal to the fluxes:

222 (3.7)
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{v}^{0} = \mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) \\ \mathbf{w}^{0} = \mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) \\ \partial_{t}\boldsymbol{\psi} + \partial_{x_{1}}\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) + \partial_{x_{2}}\mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

223 The state satisfying (3.7) is called local equilibrium. Then, after some manipulations,

the following first order approximation in expansion (3.6) is obtained

(3.8)

$$\begin{cases}
\mathbf{v}^{1} = -\left(\mathbf{A}_{1} - \mathbf{F}'(\psi)^{2}\right)\partial_{x_{1}}\psi \\
\mathbf{w}^{1} = -\left(\mathbf{A}_{2} - \mathbf{G}'(\psi)^{2}\right)\partial_{x_{2}}\psi \\
\partial_{t}\psi + \partial_{x_{1}}\mathbf{F}(\psi) + \partial_{x_{2}}\mathbf{G}(\psi) = \eta \left[\partial_{x_{1}}\left(\left(\mathbf{A}_{1} - \mathbf{F}'(\psi)^{2}\right)\partial_{x_{1}}\psi\right) + \partial_{x_{2}}\left(\left(\mathbf{A}_{2} - \mathbf{G}'(\psi)^{2}\right)\partial_{x_{2}}\psi\right)\right],
\end{cases}$$

where
$$\mathbf{F}'(\boldsymbol{\psi})$$
 and $\mathbf{G}'(\boldsymbol{\psi})$ are the Jacobian matrices of the flux functions. It is even

227 ident that satisfying the subcharacteristic condition (3.4) amounts to ensuring the

228 dissipative nature of system (3.8).

3.2. Numerical discretization. The derivation of the scheme is here extended to solve two-dimensional problems. System (3.3) is discretized with finite volumes on a Cartesian mesh. The first reason of this choice is due to the fact that the parallelization is very efficient on this kind of grids. Moreover, on a Cartesian grid, the direction-bydirection relaxation approximation (3.3) is easily discretized. As pointed out above, this produces one dimensional problems on the relaxation variables.

3.2.1. Implicit time discretization. We adopt a fully implicit integration in time with the aim of avoiding the acoustic CFL constraint (1.1). The resulting scheme possesses a general structure, with a time integrator that is not based on the specific EOS. Letting $\Delta t = t_{n+1} - t_n$ be the time stepping, the implicit discretization at first order is a simple backward Euler scheme and reads:

(3.9)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}^{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\psi}^n}{\Delta t} + \partial_{x_1} \mathbf{v}^{n+1} + \partial_{x_2} \mathbf{w}^{n+1} = 0\\ \frac{\mathbf{v}^{n+1} - \mathbf{v}^n}{\Delta t} + \mathbf{A}_1 \partial_{x_1} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{n+1} = \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\mathbf{F} \left(\boldsymbol{\psi}^{n+1} \right) - \mathbf{v}^{n+1} \right)\\ \frac{\mathbf{w}^{n+1} - \mathbf{w}^n}{\Delta t} + \mathbf{A}_2 \partial_{x_2} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{n+1} = \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\mathbf{G} \left(\boldsymbol{\psi}^{n+1} \right) - \mathbf{w}^{n+1} \right) \end{cases}$$

The non-linear fluxes in the right hand sides are solved with one iteration of the Newton's method, which is enough to obtain convergence for the proposed first order scheme. The resulting approximation consists in a Taylor expansion:

244 (3.10)
$$\mathbf{F}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}^{n+1}\right) \simeq \mathbf{F}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}^{n}\right) + \mathbf{F}'\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}^{n}\right) \left(\boldsymbol{\psi}^{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\psi}^{n}\right)$$

240

and the same holds for $\mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{\psi})$. $\mathbf{F}'(\boldsymbol{\psi}^n)$ and $\mathbf{G}'(\boldsymbol{\psi}^n)$ are the Jacobians of the fluxes in the two directions and can be computed analytically. Linearization (3.10) introduces a coupling among all the equations and the following linear system is obtained:

248 (3.11)
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{L}\Psi^{n+1} + \mathbf{M}\mathbf{V}^{n+1} + \mathbf{N}\mathbf{W}^{n+1} = \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{P}\Psi^{n+1} + \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{V}^{n+1} = \mathbf{s_1} \\ \mathbf{T}\Psi^{n+1} + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{W}^{n+1} = \mathbf{s_2} \end{cases}$$

where Ψ^{n+1} , \mathbf{V}^{n+1} and \mathbf{W}^{n+1} are the vectors containing the grid point values of the conservative and relaxation variables and the matrices structure is given by the spatial discretization (see Sec. 3.2.2). Relation (3.10) implies that the Jacobians enter only on the diagonal terms of the sub-matrices of **P** and **T**. The full linear system is solved with the GMRES iterative solver implemented in the PETSc library [5].

254Scheme (3.9) is fully implicit, thus unconditionally stable (see [2]). Nevertheless, Δt has to be chosen accordingly to the desired accuracy. If the aim is the accurate 255resolution of material waves, the enforcement a material CFL condition is recommended. The material Courant number is defined on the speed of the material wave 257as $\nu_{mat} = \mu_{mat} \Delta t / \Delta x$, where we use the "material" eigenvalue of the relaxation sys-258tem $\mu_{mat} = \sqrt{a_{mat}} \ge max|u|$, with μ_j defined in (3.5). A material CFL condition is 259enforced by setting $\nu_{mat} \leq 1$. This way the problem of a Mach-dependent Δt shown 260261 by relation (1.1) is avoided. On the other hand, since the material CFL does not depend on the speed of the fast waves, these waves are not captured in the low Mach 262limit. If an accurate resolution also of the acoustic waves is required, a standard 263 acoustic CFL has to be enforced, adapting relation (1.1) to the relaxation system. 264265This gives $\nu_{ac} = \mu_{max} \Delta t / \Delta x$, where $\mu_{max} = \sqrt{a_{max}} \ge max |u+c|$.

3.2.2. All-speed spatial discretization. Let Δx_l be the grid spacing in the x_l direction and Ω_{ij} the control volume centered in the node $(i\Delta x_1, j\Delta x_2)$. For a generic variable h, h_{ij} denotes the approximate cell average of the variable in the cell $C_{ij} = [x_{i-1/2,j}, x_{i+1/2,j}] \times [x_{i,j-1/2}, x_{i,j+1/2}]$ and $h_{i+1/2,j}$ denotes the approximate point value at the cell interface $x_{i+1/2,j}$. The spatial discretization of (3.3) reads

271 (3.12)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \psi_{ij} + \frac{\mathbf{v}_{i+1/2,j} - \mathbf{v}_{i-1/2,j}}{\Delta x_1} + \frac{\mathbf{w}_{i,j+1/2} - \mathbf{w}_{i,j-1/2}}{\Delta x_2} = 0\\ \partial_t \mathbf{v}_{ij} + \mathbf{A}_1 \frac{\psi_{i+1/2,j} - \psi_{i-1/2,j}}{\Delta x_1} = \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\mathbf{F} \left(\psi_{ij} \right) - \mathbf{v}_{ij} \right)\\ \partial_t \mathbf{w}_{ij} + \mathbf{A}_2 \frac{\psi_{i,j+1/2} - \psi_{i,j-1/2}}{\Delta x_2} = \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\mathbf{G} \left(\psi_{ij} \right) - \mathbf{w}_{ij} \right). \end{cases}$$

The numerical fluxes in (3.12) are computed by constructing a convex combination of upwind and centered schemes. This is based on the local Mach number of the flow M_{loc} . For a generic variable h this yields

275 (3.13)
$$h_{i+1/2,j} = f(M_{loc}) \left(h_{i+1/2,j}\right)_{upw} + \left(1 - f(M_{loc})\right) \left(h_{i+1/2,j}\right)_{cent}$$

The same holds for direction x_2 . The function $f(M_{loc})$ has to satisfy $0 \le f(M_{loc}) \le$ 1 (in the numerical experiments we choose $f(M_{loc}) = \min\{1, M_{loc}\}$) and M_{loc} is computed at the previous time step at the numerical interface $x_{i+1/2,j}$. This allows to recover the correct numerical viscosity for each considered regime [2, 3].

280 The numerical fluxes with the centered scheme are computed as follows:

281 (3.14)
$$(h_{i+1/2,j})_{cent} = \frac{1}{2} (h_{i+1,j} + h_{ij})$$

This discretization is stable inside the adopted fully implicit framework. Since we are centering all conservative and relaxation variables, the pressure gradients result to be discretized with central differencing, thus providing the correct Mach scaling [15]. The upwind scheme is built along the characteristic variables of the relaxation system, as in [26], getting the following numerical fluxes along direction x_1 :

287 (3.15)
$$\begin{cases} \left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i+1/2,j}\right)_{upw} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i+1,j} + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{ij}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{1}^{-1/2} \left(\mathbf{v}_{i+1,j} - \mathbf{v}_{ij}\right) \\ \left(\mathbf{v}_{i+1/2,j}\right)_{upw} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{v}_{i+1,j} + \mathbf{v}_{ij}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{1}^{1/2} \left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i+1,j} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_{ij}\right). \end{cases}$$

288 The full 2D implicit all-speed scheme then reads: (3.16)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_{ij}^{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_{ij}^{n}}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{2\Delta x_{1}} \left(\mathbf{v}_{i+1,j}^{n+1} - \mathbf{v}_{i-1,j}^{n+1} \right) - \frac{f \left(M_{loc} \right) \mathbf{A}_{1}^{1/2}}{2\Delta x_{1}} \left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i+1,j}^{n+1} - 2\boldsymbol{\psi}_{ij}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{i-1,j}^{n+1} \right) + \\ \frac{1}{2\Delta x_{2}} \left(\mathbf{w}_{i,j+1}^{n+1} - \mathbf{w}_{i,j-1}^{n+1} \right) - \frac{f \left(M_{loc} \right) \mathbf{A}_{2}^{1/2}}{2\Delta x_{2}} \left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i,j+1}^{n+1} - 2\boldsymbol{\psi}_{ij}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{i,j-1}^{n+1} \right) = 0 \\ \frac{\mathbf{v}_{ij}^{n+1} - \mathbf{v}_{ij}^{n}}{\Delta t} + \frac{\mathbf{A}_{1}}{2\Delta x_{1}} \left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i+1,j}^{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_{i-1,j}^{n+1} \right) - \frac{f \left(M_{loc} \right) \mathbf{A}_{1}^{1/2}}{2\Delta x_{1}} \left(\mathbf{v}_{i+1,j}^{n+1} - 2\mathbf{v}_{ij}^{n+1} + \mathbf{v}_{i,j-1}^{n+1} \right) = \\ \frac{\mathbf{w}_{ij}^{n+1} - \mathbf{w}_{ij}^{n}}{\Delta t} + \frac{\mathbf{A}_{2}}{2\Delta x_{2}} \left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i,j+1}^{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_{i,i-1}^{n+1} \right) - \frac{f \left(M_{loc} \right) \mathbf{A}_{2}^{1/2}}{2\Delta x_{2}} \left(\mathbf{w}_{i,j+1}^{n+1} - 2\mathbf{w}_{ij}^{n+1} + \mathbf{w}_{i,j-1}^{n+1} \right) = \\ \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\mathbf{F} \left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{ij}^{n+1} \right) - \mathbf{w}_{ij}^{n+1} \right) \cdot \\ \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\mathbf{G} \left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{ij}^{n+1} \right) - \mathbf{w}_{ij}^{n+1} \right) \right) \cdot \\ \end{cases}$$

289

4. The asymptotic preserving property. The Asymptotic-Preserving (AP) 290 property is defined considering a continuous physical model \mathcal{S}_M (in our case the com-291pressible Euler system (2.1)) that involves a perturbation parameter M (the acoustic 292Mach number). The perturbation parameter can range from $M \simeq 1$ to $M \ll 1$ values. 293In the Euler case, there exists a reduced system S_0 , which is the limit system of S_M 294as $M \to 0$, i. e. S_0 is the incompressible system (2.18). Then, let S_M^{Δ} be a numerical 295scheme providing a consistent discretization of \mathcal{S}_M , with discrete time and space steps 296 $\Delta = (\Delta t, \Delta x)$. The scheme \mathcal{S}_M^{Δ} is said to be AP if the two following properties are 297verified [25]: 298

- 1. its stability condition is independent of M, namely the time step Δt does not depend on the Mach number of the flow;
- 2. as M goes to zero, there exists the limit discrete S_0^{Δ} , which provides a consistent discretization of the continuous limit system S_0 .

Here we show that scheme (3.16) is AP. Property 1 is satisfied, since the scheme is fully implicit, thus unconditionally stable. In order to prove that property 2 is respected, we write the limit discrete scheme S_0^{Δ} as $M \to 0$ of the implicit relaxation scheme and show that it is consistent with the continuous limit model S_0 .

In what follows, we begin with the non-dimensionalization of the scheme and then we carry out the analysis of its asymptotics. We expose the reasoning on the time semi-discrete scheme (3.9) for readability. The extension to the full time and space discretization is straightforward.

4.1. Non-dimensional implicit relaxation scheme. In deriving the non-311 dimensional implicit relaxation scheme, we adopt the same notation of Section 2.1. 312 Here, we also have to scale the relaxation variables \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} : due to the relaxation 313 leading order (3.7), these variables have the same physical dimensions of the fluxes 314 $\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\psi})$ and $\mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{\psi})$ defined in (3.2). By considering the pressure as predominant, we 315 choose to scale v_2 and w_3 using the speed of sound. Thus, we obtain the following 316 non-dimensional formulation of the semi-discrete scheme (3.9) (we omit from now on 317 the subscript $\widehat{\cdot}$ for simplicity of notation): 318

319 1. the non-dimensional conservation of mass, setting $\mathbf{z}_1 = [v_1, w_1]^T$, reads

320 (4.1)
$$\begin{cases} \rho^{n+1} - \rho^n + \Delta t \nabla \cdot \mathbf{z}_1^{n+1} = 0 \\ \mathbf{z}_1^{n+1} - \mathbf{z}_1^n + a_1 \Delta t \nabla \rho^{n+1} = \frac{\Delta t}{\eta} \left((\rho \mathbf{u})^{n+1} - \mathbf{z}_1^{n+1} \right). \end{cases}$$

321

2. the non-dimensional conservation of momentum is given by the two parts: (4.2) $\begin{cases}
(\rho u_1)^{n+1} - (\rho u_1)^n + \Delta t \left(\frac{\partial_{x_1} v_2^{n+1}}{M^2} + \partial_{x_2} w_2^{n+1} \right) = 0 \\
\frac{v_2^{n+1} - v_2^n}{M^2} + a_2 \Delta t \partial_{x_1} (\rho u_1)^{n+1} = \frac{\Delta t}{\eta} \left((\rho u_1^2)^{n+1} + \frac{p^{n+1}}{M^2} - \frac{v_2^{n+1}}{M^2} \right) \\
w_2^{n+1} - w_2^n + a_2 \Delta t \partial_{x_2} (\rho u_1)^{n+1} = \frac{\Delta t}{\eta} \left((\rho u_1 u_2)^{n+1} - w_2^{n+1} \right)
\end{cases}$

This manuscript is for review purposes only.

323

324

$$\begin{cases}
(4.3) \\
\left\{ \left(\rho u_{2}\right)^{n+1} - \left(\rho u_{2}\right)^{n} + \Delta t \left(\partial_{x_{1}} v_{3}^{n+1} + \frac{\partial_{x_{2}} w_{3}^{n+1}}{M^{2}}\right) = 0 \\
v_{3}^{n+1} - v_{3}^{n} + a_{3} \Delta t \partial_{x_{1}} \left(\rho u_{2}\right)^{n+1} = \frac{\Delta t}{\eta} \left(\left(\rho u_{1} u_{2}\right)^{n+1} - v_{3}^{n+1}\right) \\
\frac{w_{3}^{n+1} - w_{3}^{n}}{M^{2}} + a_{3} \Delta t \partial_{x_{2}} \left(\rho u_{2}\right)^{n+1} = \frac{\Delta t}{\eta} \left(\left(\rho u_{2}^{2}\right)^{n+1} + \frac{p^{n+1}}{M^{2}} - \frac{w_{3}^{n+1}}{M^{2}}\right)
\end{cases}$$

325

326

5 3. setting $\mathbf{z}_4 = [v_4, w_4]^T$, the non-dimensional conservation of energy is given by (4.4)

$$\begin{cases} (\rho e)^{n+1} - (\rho e)^n + \Delta t \nabla \cdot \mathbf{z}_4^{n+1} = 0 \\ \mathbf{z}_4^{n+1} - \mathbf{z}_4^n + a_4 \Delta t \nabla (\rho e)^{n+1} = \frac{\Delta t}{\eta} \left(\left((\rho e)^{n+1} + p^{n+1} \right) \mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \mathbf{z}_4^{n+1} \right) \end{cases}$$

327 with the scaled state law

328 (4.5)
$$p^{n+1} = (\gamma - 1) \left((\rho e)^{n+1} - \frac{M^2}{2} \rho^{n+1} |\mathbf{u}|^{n+1} \right).$$

4.2. Asymptotics of the implicit relaxation scheme. In the spirit of studying the low Mach number asymptotics, we develop all scaled variables, i. e. both conservative and relaxation variables, in powers of the Mach number, as done in Eq. (2.10). The expansion of the scaled relaxation variable v_1 reads as follows

333 (4.6)
$$(v_1)^{n+1} = (v_1^{0,\bar{\eta}})^{n+1} + M(v_1^{1,\bar{\eta}})^{n+1} + M^2(v_1^{2,\bar{\eta}})^{n+1} + \mathcal{O}(M^3),$$

where we have introduced the notation with two superscripts, the first one indicating the order in the power of M and the second one indicating the order in the power of η . Here, we are keeping $\eta = \bar{\eta}$ fixed. Terms of zero-th order (superscript $.^{0,\eta=0}$) represent the zero Mach number limit in the zero relaxation limit. Relaxation variables may be expanded also in powers of η , as in a Chapman-Enskog expansion (3.6). One could then combine expansions (4.6) and (3.6) and write a full expansion for \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} in powers of both M and η . The expansion for v_1 reads

(4.7)
$$(v_1)^{n+1} = \left(v_1^{0,0}\right)^{n+1} + \eta \left(v_1^{0,1}\right)^{n+1} + M \left(\left(v_1^{1,0}\right)^{n+1} + \eta \left(v_1^{1,1}\right)^{n+1}\right) + M^2 \left(\left(v_1^{2,0}\right)^{n+1} + \eta \left(v_1^{2,1}\right)^{n+1}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(M^3\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\eta^2\right).$$

By setting M = 0 and $\eta = 0$, the incompressible Euler system can be recovered.

Since we need to preserve the low Mach number behaviour in the expansions introduced above, we require that $\eta \ll M$. This is necessary to recover the correct zero relaxation limit (i. e. $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{F}(\psi) + \mathcal{O}(\eta)$ and $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{G}(\psi) + \mathcal{O}(\eta)$ as presented in Section 3.1) also in the case of $M \to 0$. More precisely, we require that $\eta < M^2$, in order to preserve the terms of order $\mathcal{O}(M^2)$ and $\mathcal{O}(M)$ when substituting expansion (4.7) in the non dimensional scheme. These terms cannot be canceled if we want to analyze the low Mach number regime.

We begin by substituting expansion (4.6) in powers of M in the scaled relaxation scheme (4.1)-(4.2)-(4.3)-(4.4) and we collect terms of equal power of M:

202

352 1. order
$$\mathcal{O}_{M}(1/M^{2})$$
:

353 (4.8)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_{x_1} \left(v_2^{0,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} = 0 \\ \left(v_2^{0,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} - \left(v_2^{0,\bar{\eta}} \right)^n = \frac{\Delta t}{\eta} \left(p_0^{n+1} - \left(v_2^{0,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} \right) \end{cases}$$

and the same holds for $w_3^{0,\bar{\eta}}$. At this point, we substitute expansion (3.6) in powers of η in (4.8) (once again, the same holds for variable w_3):

³⁵⁶
$$\begin{cases} \partial_x \left(\left(v_2^{0,0} \right)^{n+1} + \eta \left(v_2^{0,1} \right)^{n+1} \right) = 0 \\ \left(v_2^{0,0} \right)^{n+1} + \eta \left(v_2^{0,1} \right)^{n+1} - \left(v_2^{0,0} \right)^n - \eta \left(v_2^{0,1} \right)^n = \frac{\Delta t}{\eta} \left(p_0^{n+1} - \left(v_2^{0,0} \right)^{n+1} - \eta \left(v_2^{0,1} \right)^{n+1} \right). \end{cases}$$

We are interested in the zero relaxation limit $\eta \to 0$, hence we collect the terms $\mathcal{O}_{\eta}(1/\eta)$ in the last equation, obtaining $(v_2^{0,0})^{n+1} = p_0^{n+1}$ and $(w_3^{0,0})^{n+1} = p_0^{n+1}$. This is plugged in (4.8), obtaining:

360
$$\begin{cases} \left(v_2^{0,0}\right)^{n+1} = p_0^{n+1} \\ \partial_{x_1} p_0^{n+1} = 0 \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} \left(w_3^{0,0}\right)^{n+1} = p_0^{n+1} \\ \partial_{x_2} p_0^{n+1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

361 It is clear then that $\nabla p_0^{n+1} = 0$ is respected.

362 2. order $\mathcal{O}_{M}(1/M)$:

363 (4.9)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_{x_1} \left(v_2^{1,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} = 0 \\ \left(v_2^{1,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} - \left(v_2^{1,\bar{\eta}} \right)^n = \frac{\Delta t}{\eta} \left(p_1^{n+1} - \left(v_2^{1,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} \right) \end{cases}$$

and the same holds for $w_3^{1,\bar{\eta}}$. After inserting the expansion in powers of η , taking the zero relaxation limit $\eta \to 0$ and collecting the terms $\mathcal{O}_{\eta}(1/\eta)$, we obtain the following relations:

367
$$\begin{cases} \left(v_2^{1,0}\right)^{n+1} = p_1^{n+1} \\ \partial_{x_1}p_1^{n+1} = 0 \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} \left(w_3^{1,0}\right)^{n+1} = p_1^{n+1} \\ \partial_{x_2}p_1^{n+1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

This means that also $\nabla p_1^{n+1} = 0$ is respected.

369 3. order $\mathcal{O}_{M}(1)$:

368

370

371

372

373

• for the conservation of mass we have:

(4.10)

$$\begin{cases} \rho_0^{n+1} - \rho_0^n + \Delta t \nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{z}_1^{0,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} = 0 \\ \left(\mathbf{z}_1^{0,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} - \left(\mathbf{z}_1^{0,\bar{\eta}} \right)^n + a_1 \Delta t \nabla \rho_0^{n+1} = \frac{\Delta t}{\eta} \left(\left(\rho \mathbf{u} \right)_0^{n+1} - \left(\mathbf{z}_1^{0,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} \right). \end{cases}$$

Once again, we expand in powers of η and take the zero relaxation limit by collecting terms of order $\mathcal{O}_{\eta}(1/\eta)$, obtaining

374 (4.11)
$$\begin{cases} \left(\mathbf{z}_{1}^{0,0}\right)^{n+1} = (\rho \mathbf{u})_{0}^{n+1} \\ \rho_{0}^{n+1} - \rho_{0}^{n} + \Delta t \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u})_{0}^{n+1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

375

12

• for the conservation of momentum we have:

• for the conservation of momentum we have:
(4.12)

$$\begin{cases}
(\rho u_1)_0^{n+1} - (\rho u_1)_0^n + \Delta t \left(\partial_{x_1} \left(v_2^{2,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} + \partial_{x_2} \left(w_2^{0,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} \right) = 0 \\
\left(v_2^{2,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} - \left(v_2^{2,\bar{\eta}} \right)^n + a_2 \Delta t \partial_{x_1} \left(\rho u_1 \right)_0^{n+1} = \frac{\Delta t}{\eta} \left(\left(\rho u_1^2 \right)_0^{n+1} + p_2^{n+1} - \left(v_2^{2,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} \right) \\
\left(w_2^{0,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} - \left(w_2^{0,\bar{\eta}} \right)^n + a_2 \Delta t \partial_{x_2} \left(\rho u_1 \right)_0^{n+1} = \frac{\Delta t}{\eta} \left(\left(\rho u_1 u_2 \right)_0^{n+1} - \left(w_2^{0,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} \right), \\
\end{cases}$$
(4.13)

$$\begin{cases}
(w_2)_0^{n+1} - (\rho u_2)_0^n + \Delta t \left(\partial_{x_1} \left(v_2^{0,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} + \partial_{x_2} \left(w_2^{2,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} \right) = 0
\end{cases}$$

378
$$\begin{cases} (\rho u_2)_0^{n+1} - (\rho u_2)_0^n + \Delta t \left(\partial_{x_1} \left(v_3^{0,\bar{\eta}} \right)^n + \partial_{x_2} \left(w_3^{2,\bar{\eta}} \right)^n \right) = 0 \\ \left(v_3^{0,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} - \left(v_3^{0,\bar{\eta}} \right)^n + a_3 \Delta t \partial_{x_1} \left(\rho u_2 \right)_0^{n+1} = \frac{\Delta t}{\eta} \left(\left(\rho u_1 u_2 \right)_0^{n+1} - \left(v_3^{0,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} \right) \\ \left(w_3^{2,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} + \left(w_3^{2,\bar{\eta}} \right)^n + a_3 \Delta t \partial_{x_2} \left(\rho u_2 \right)_0^{n+1} = \frac{\Delta t}{\eta} \left(\left(\rho u_2^2 \right)_0^{n+1} + p_2^{n+1} - \left(w_3^{2,\bar{\eta}} \right)^{n+1} \right) \end{cases}$$

379 380 In the expansion in powers of η , terms of order $\mathcal{O}_{\eta}(1/\eta)$ give expressions for $(v_2^{2,0})^{n+1}$, $(v_3^{0,0})^{n+1}$, $(w_2^{0,0})^{n+1}$ and $(w_3^{2,0})^{n+1}$. This yields (4.14) $\left(\left(v_2^{2,0}\right)^{n+1} = \left(\rho u_1^2\right)_0^{n+1} + p_2^{n+1}\right)$

$$\begin{cases} \left(w_2^{0,0} \right)^{n+1} = (\rho u_1 u_2)_0^{n+1} \\ \left(v_3^{0,0} \right)^{n+1} = (\rho u_1 u_2)_0^{n+1} , \\ \left(w_3^{2,0} \right)^{n+1} = (\rho u_2^2)_0^{n+1} + p_2^{n+1} \\ (\rho \mathbf{u})_0^{n+1} - (\rho \mathbf{u})_0^{n+1} + \Delta t \nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \mathbf{u}_0 \otimes \mathbf{u}_0)^{n+1} + \Delta t \nabla p_2^{n+1} = 0 \end{cases}$$

382 383 • for the conservation of energy we adopt the same procedure of the conservation of mass, getting

384 (4.15)
$$\begin{cases} \left(\mathbf{z}_{4}^{0,0}\right)^{n+1} = \left(\left(\rho e\right)_{0}^{n+1} + p_{0}^{n+1}\right) u_{0}^{n+1} \\ \left(\rho e\right)_{0}^{n+1} - \left(\rho e\right)_{0}^{n+1} + \Delta t \nabla \cdot \left(\left(\rho e\right)_{0}^{n+1} + p_{0}^{n+1}\right) \mathbf{u}_{0}^{n+1}\right) = 0. \end{cases}$$

This goes with the $\mathcal{O}_M(1)$ state law 385

386 (4.16)
$$p_0^{n+1} = (\gamma - 1) \left(\rho e\right)_0^{n+1}$$

Scheme (4.11)-(4.14)-(4.15) is clearly a consistent discretization of the Euler system in 387 its incompressible limit, derived in (2.13)-(2.14)-(2.15). This means that the scheme 388 is asymptotic preserving. Nevertheless, in what follows, we show that the incompress-389 ibility constraint $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0^{n+1} = 0$ is respected. Relations $\nabla p_0^{n+1} = 0$ and $\nabla p_1^{n+1} = 0$ imply that p^{n+1} is constant in space up 390

391 to fluctuations of order M^2 . From the state law (4.16), we get that also $(\rho e)_0^{n+1}$ is 392independent of space. We can rewrite the conservation of energy (4.15) as follows: 393

$$394 \quad (\rho e)_0^{n+1} - (\rho e)_0^n + \Delta t \left(\mathbf{u}_0^{n+1} \nabla \left(\rho e \right)_0^{n+1} + (\rho e)_0^{n+1} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}_0^{n+1} \nabla p_0^{n+1} + p_0^{n+1} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0^{n+1} \right) = 0$$

which becomes, due to the previous considerations on p_0^{n+1} and $(\rho e)_0^{n+1}$: 395

396 (4.17)
$$(\rho e)_0^{n+1} - (\rho e)_0^n + \Delta t \left((\rho e)_0^{n+1} + p_0^{n+1} \right) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_0^{n+1} = 0.$$

The proof of the AP property for the fully discrete scheme (3.16) easily follows by introducing discretization (3.13) into the spatial derivatives of (4.11)-(4.14)-(4.15), in the limit $M \rightarrow 0$. This implies that a fully centered discretization is adopted in the incompressible limit, i. e. the numerical viscosity is consistent with the incompressible regime.

5. Numerical validations on fluid dynamics problems. At first, the Gresho 406 vortex test case is analyzed, in order to verify that the scheme possesses the correct 407 numerical viscosity in the low Mach number regime. This test verifies that the pro-408 posed scheme is able to preserve the incompressible regime at all times, after setting 409 an incompressible initial flow. The vortex is solved for both perfect and stiffened 410gases. Then, we introduce an estimate of the numerical entropy production of the 411 scheme. We use this indicator to show that the all-speed discretization in more pre-412cise with respect to an upwind one and also to perform grid adaptivity. Due to the 413 AP estimates derived in Sec. 4.2, we set $\eta = 10^{-8}$ in all the proposed computational 414 experiments. 415

416 **5.1. The Gresho vortex.** We test the relaxation all-speed scheme (3.16) on 417 the classical Gresho vortex test case [21, 33]. This vortex is a stationary solution 418 of the incompressible Euler equations, where centrifugal forces are exactly balanced 419 by pressure gradients. A rotating vortex is positioned at the center (0.5, 0.5) of the 420 computational domain $[0,1] \times [0,1]$. The initial conditions are specified in terms of 421 the radial distance $r = \sqrt{(x-0.5)^2 + (y-0.5)^2}$ in the form

$$\begin{cases} \rho(x, y, 0) = 1\\ u_1(x, y, 0) = -u_{\phi}(r) \sin \phi\\ u_2(x, y, 0) = u_{\phi}(r) \cos \phi \end{cases}$$

423 The rotation is initiated by imposing a simple angular velocity distribution of

424
$$u_{\phi}(x,y,0) = \begin{cases} 5r \quad 0 \le r \le 0.2\\ 2-5r \quad 0.2 \le r \le 0.4\\ 0 \quad r \ge 0.4, \end{cases} \quad p(x,y,0) = \begin{cases} p_0 + \frac{25}{2}r^2 & 0 \le r \le 0.2\\ p_0 + \frac{25}{2}r^2 + 4\left(1 - 5r - \ln 0.2 + \ln r\right) & 0.2 \le r \le 0.4\\ p_0 - 2 + 4\ln 2 & r \ge 0.4. \end{cases}$$

and the background pressure is adjusted such that it matches the maximum Mach number M_{max} :

427 (5.1)
$$p_0 = \frac{\rho (u_\phi)_{max}^2}{(\gamma M_{max}^2)} = \frac{\rho}{(\gamma M_{max}^2)}$$

428 since the maximum velocity $(u_{\phi})_{max} = 1$, which is reached in r = 0.2

429 We follow the flow over one full rotation of the vortex with different M_{max} in a 430 perfect gas, which is completed at time t = 1. We compare the results obtained with 431 the relaxation all-speed scheme (3.16) with the results of a standard explicit-upwind 432 discretization of the relaxation system. All the Gresho vortex tests are performed on 433 a uniform grid of 128×128 cells and a material CFL constraint of $\nu_{mat} = 0.2$. In test 1 434 we set $M_{max} = 10^{-1}$: the initial condition in t = 0 is presented in Fig. 1(a). In Figs.



FIG. 1. Test 1: Gresho vortex with $M_{max} = 10^{-1}$ (initial condition and results at time t = 1 of the relaxation all-speed scheme and with an explicit-upwind scheme).



FIG. 2. Test 1: Gresho vortex with $M_{max} = 10^{-1}$, results at time t = 2 and t = 3 obtained with the relaxation all-speed scheme.

435 1(b) and 1(c), we compare the results of the relaxation all-speed scheme (3.16) and of the explicit-upwind scheme of [26]. A comparison with the initial Mach number 436 distribution shows that the all-speed spatial discretization accurately preserves the 437 shape of the vortex, due to the convex combination of upwind and centered fluxes. 438Instead, an upwind scheme is too diffusive for the targeted regime and thus the shape 439of the vortex is lost. We also plot the results obtained after 2 and 3 rotations of the 440 vortex in Fig. 2, confirming that the all-speed scheme is able to recover the correct 441 weakly incompressible solution also after long times. 442

For tests 2 and 3 we set $M_{max} = 10^{-2}$ and $M_{max} = 10^{-3}$ respectively. The results after one full rotation are reported in Fig. 3, for the relaxation all-speed scheme and the explicit-upwind scheme [26]. The shape of the two vortices is completely diffused when adopting an upwind flux discretization, whereas the all-speed convex combination accurately preserves the initial vortex shape for both cases, besides a small noise probably due to directional splitting.

In Table 1, we report the total kinetic energy in the simulation domain at time t = 1 relative to the total kinetic energy at time t = 0 for the three tests. With the all-speed scheme, the kinetic energy reduces by about 1.5 per cent over one rotation of the vortex. However, this loss is clearly independent of the Mach number of the flow. On the contrary, when adopting conventional upwind discretizations the dissipation rate of kinetic energy consistently increases as the Mach number decreases.

We also perform a study on the pressure fluctuations $p_{fl} = (p_{max} - p_{min})/p_{max}$, computed on the same grid at time t = 1 for the three considered Mach numbers.



FIG. 3. Tests 2 and 3: Gresho vortex with $M_{max} = 10^{-2}$ (first row) and with $M_{max} = 10^{-3}$ (second row). Initial condition and results at time t = 1 with the relaxation all-speed scheme and with an explicit-upwind scheme.

	$M_{max} = 10^{-1}$	$M_{max} = 10^{-2}$	$M_{max} = 10^{-3}$		
all-speed	0.985	0.987	0.984		
explicit-upwind	0.652	0.355	0.273		
TABLE 1					

Total kinetic energy $E_{kin,tot}$ (t = 1) after one full rotation of the Gresho vortex relative to its initial value $E_{kin,tot}$ (t = 0) for different maximum Mach numbers.

These results are reported in Table 2 and they show that pressure fluctuations scale exactly with M^2 when adopting the all-speed convex combination. We can thus infer that the simulated flow is kept in the incompressible regime by the proposed numerical scheme. This is clearly not the case with an upwind spatial discretization. The scaling of p_{fl} as M, theoretically expected for this latter scheme, can be asymptotically reached for small Mach numbers (it can be seen by comparing the simulations with $M_{max} = 10^{-2}$ and $M_{max} = 10^{-3}$).

	$M_{max} = 10^{-1}$	$M_{max} = 10^{-2}$	$M_{max} = 10^{-3}$		
all-speed	$1.02 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.06 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.15 \cdot 10^{-6}$		
explicit-upwind	$3.37\cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.43\cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.86\cdot 10^{-6}$		
TABLE 2					

Global pressure fluctuations p_{fl} after one full rotation of the Gresho vortex for different maximum Mach numbers.

 $\begin{array}{c} 463 \\ 464 \end{array}$

We here adapt the standard Gresho vortex test case to a water flow. It suffices



FIG. 4. Water Gresho vortex with $M_{max} = 10^{-2}$ (initial condition and results at time t = 1 of the relaxation all-speed scheme and with the explicit-upwind relaxation scheme).

 $_{465}$ to adjust the background pressure (5.1) with the stiffened gas state law as follows:

$$p_0 = \frac{\rho \left(u_\phi\right)_{max}^2}{\left(\gamma M_{max}^2\right)} - p_\infty$$

The initial density is set to $\rho = 1000 \text{Kg/m}^3$ and the water parameters $\gamma = 4.4$ and $p_{\infty} = 6.8 \cdot 10^8$ are given with state law (2.3). The results for $M_{max} = 10^{-2}$ obtained with the two schemes are reported in Figs. 4 at time t = 1. The all-speed scheme is preserving the vortex shape also in the case of water.

5.2. Numerical entropy production. The behaviour of the all-speed dis-471472 cretization on the different waves can be assessed by studying an a-posteriori error indicator. We adopt the numerical entropy production introduced in [38, 39], since 473the entropy is naturally available for any system of conservation laws with an entropy 474 inequality and has a well defined physical meaning. Moreover, the entropy produc-475tion of a scheme scales as the truncation error in the regular regions and its behavior 476allows to distinguish between contact discontinuities and shocks. In [39] it has been 477shown that, if the solution is locally smooth, $S_{ij}^{n+1} = \mathcal{O}(h^r)$ with r equal to the order of the scheme. On the other hand, $S_{ij}^{n+1} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ if there is a contact discontinuity 478 479and $S_{ij}^{n+1} = \mathcal{O}(1/h)$ if there is a shock in the considered cell. 480

Let us consider the entropy pair $(\eta, \boldsymbol{\zeta})$. The entropy inequality $\partial_t \eta + \nabla_x \cdot \boldsymbol{\zeta} \leq 0$ has to be integrated with the finite volume scheme used to integrate the hyperbolic system that we are interested in solving. Coherently with discretizations (3.9)-(3.12), we employ a first order implicit scheme for time integration in a finite volume framework. We get the following numerical entropy production in every cell: (5.2)

486
$$S_{ij}^{n+1} = \eta \left(\psi_{ij}^{n+1} \right) - \eta \left(\psi_{ij}^{n} \right) + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x_1} \left(\zeta_{1;i+1/2,j}^{n+1} - \zeta_{1;i-1/2,j}^{n+1} \right) + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x_2} \left(\zeta_{2;i,j+1/2}^{n+1} - \zeta_{2;i,j-1/2}^{n+1} \right),$$

where we are considering the spatial discretization of the two components of the numerical entropy flux $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$. The interface values have to be computed according to the all-speed convex combination (3.13). This is equivalent to a Lax-Friedrichs scheme with a numerical viscosity modulated by the local Mach number, namely:

491 (5.3)
$$\zeta_{1;i+1/2,j}^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\zeta_{1;i+1,j}^{n+1} + \zeta_{1;i,j}^{n+1} \right) - \frac{\sqrt{a_{max}} f\left(M_{loc}\right)}{2} \left(\eta_{i+1,j}^{n+1} - \eta_{i,j}^{n+1} \right)$$

⁴⁹² In the numerical experiments, we build the entropy pair on the physical entropy of

493 the Euler system, as follows:

494
$$\eta(\boldsymbol{\psi}) = -\rho \log\left(\frac{p+p_{\infty}}{\rho^{\gamma}}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{\zeta}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) = \eta(\boldsymbol{\psi}) \mathbf{u}.$$

The proposed estimate can also be adopted as a criterion that is able to pivot mesh adaptivity. An effective adaptive algorithm has to be driven by an indicator able to provide a robust a-posteriori measure of the local error and also to recognize the qualitative structure of the flow. This will be the focus of Section 5.2.2.



FIG. 5. 2D Riemann problem at time t = 0.3: comparison between the explicit-upwind relaxation scheme and the relaxation all-speed scheme. Density plots (30 contours: from 0.53 to 1.98) and numerical entropy production. In panel (a) R indicates a rarefaction, S a shock and C a contact wave.

499 **5.2.1. 2D Riemann problem.** We use the entropy production to compare the 500 behaviour of the all-speed and of the upwind discretizations on contact waves. We 501 study a Riemann problem in the squared domain $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, with the following 502 initial conditions [30, 41]:

503
$$(p, \rho, u_1, u_2) (x_1, x_2, t = 0) = \begin{cases} (1, 1, 0, -0.4), & \Omega_1 \\ (1, 2, 0, -0.3), & \Omega_2 \\ (0.4, 1.0625, 0, 0.2145), & \Omega_3 \\ (0.4, 0.5197, 0, -1.1259), & \Omega_4, \end{cases}$$

where we have introduced the subdomains $\Omega_1 = [0.5, 1] \times [0.5, 1], \Omega_2 = [0, 0.5] \times [0.5, 1],$ $\Omega_3 = [0, 0.5] \times [0, 0.5]$ and $\Omega_4 = [0.5, 1] \times [0, 0.5]$. This test is characterized by the following wave pattern: two contact waves, one left moving shock and one right moving rarefaction: C_{21} , \overleftarrow{S}_{32} , C_{34} , \overrightarrow{R}_{41} .

We adopt a grid of 256×256 cells and we enforce an acoustic constraint on the 508time step $\nu_{ac} = 0.9$, in order to have stability for the explicit-upwind scheme and in 509order to have a good resolution of all the propagating waves. In Fig. 5 we compare 510the density contours and the numerical entropy production for the two schemes. It is 511evident from the density contours that the all-speed property helps in keeping sharp 512the two contact waves and the shock. The contact wave at the bottom of the domain 513is in the low Mach number regime, since $M \simeq 8 \cdot 10^{-3}$. Also the small vortex in the 514center is more accurately captured by the all-speed scheme. The entropy plots confirm 515that the all-speed discretization is superior in capturing the solution structure. For 516both schemes, the entropy production is higher in the shock wave region, as expected 517by the theory of [39]. The all-speed discretization produces more entropy than the 518519 explicit-upwind one, confirming that this region is more accurately resolved by the 520 proposed scheme.

521 **5.2.2.** Adaptive mesh refinement. As anticipated above, the numerical en-

tropy production can be exploited to decide where to locally refine or coarsen the mesh. In order to reduce the computational costs, the discretization of the solution

mesh. In order to reduce the computational costs, the discretization of the solution can be done by using non-conforming hierarchical meshes. Specifically, we use the





FIG. 6. Decomposition of a squared domain and corresponding quadtree [40].

526 Octree-meshes allow for a strong reduction of the number of degrees of freedom where the problem exhibits smooth behavior and also a strongly localized increase of 527information in areas needing more accuracy. Octrees are a hierarchical data structure 528 based on the principle of recursive decomposition of space. Each internal node has 529 530 exactly four children (quadtree) for 2D problems, and eight children (octree) for 3D problems. Here we focus on quadtree meshes, that are non-conforming hierarchical 531meshes defined in a square as shown in Fig. 6. We use the Bitpit library [17] for 532 the efficient implementation of our computational grid. The hierarchical nature of 533 the grid makes mesh generation, adaptivity and partitioning very efficient and with a 534low-memory footprint. The data structure is based on a linear quadtree [18], namely only the leafs of the tree structure are stored. This structure is easily dispatched to a 536 537 distributed memory architecture and parallel communications are limited to only the first layer of neighboring cells. This constraint is perfectly in line with the stencil of 538 the proposed numerical scheme (3.16). A Z-order index is assigned to every cell [35]. 539 More details on the library can be found in [17, 40]. 540

541 We design an AMR algorithm based on the entropy production (5.2). We start



(c) $L_{max} = 8$



FIG. 7. Grids for the 2D Riemann problem at time t = 0.3, obtained with a $L_{min} = 5$ and with different L_{max} ($L_{max} = 6$: 3916 cells, $L_{max} = 7$: 10030 cells, $L_{max} = 8$: 22918 cells, $L_{max} = 9$: 68251 cells).

from a uniform coarse grid of $2^d \times 2^d$ grid points. This grid is associated to the minimum level $L_{min} = d$ of the quadtree data structure. At every refinement, each cell of the grid may be replaced by four children. Let L_{max} be the maximum refinement level allowed for a grid. At the end of every time step, the following procedure is implemented:

- 1. the quantity S_{ij}^n is computed in every cell with (5.2);
- 548 2. if $|S_{ij}^n| > S_{ref}$ and if the level of refinement of cell C_{ij} does not equal L_{max} , 549 then the cell is marked for refinement; this cell is thus split into four children 550 and cell averages in the newly created cells are set by taking the cell average 551 of the "ancestor";
- 552 3. if $|S_{ij}^n| < S_{coa}$ for all four children and if the level of refinement of cell C_{ij} 553 does not equal L_{min} then the cell is marked for coarsening; the four children 554 are replaced by the ancestor cell and the cell average in the ancestor is set by 555 taking the mean of the cell averages of the four children;
- 4. the time step Δt is computed with the chosen CFL constraint using the smallest cell size of the grid.



FIG. 8. Density for the 2D Riemann problem at time t = 0.3. Comparison between uniform (number of cells: 1,048,576) and AMR grids (number of cells: 146,578).

We test the AMR algorithm on the previous 2D Riemann problem (Section 5.2.1). 558 We set $L_{min} = 5$, $S_{ref} = 0.002$ and $S_{coa} = 0.0001$ and we enforce a material CFL 559constraint $\nu_{mat} = 0.3$. L_{max} is varied from 6 to 9 and these grids at time t = 0.3560 are reported in Fig. 7. We observe that the entropy criterion is pivoting the AMR in 561 the correct way, since the refinement levels are introduced where the 4 waves occur. 562By comparing the grids of Fig. 7 and the solution structure in Fig. 8, we can see 563that the postshock region is refined also after the solution has become smooth. For 564this reason, the refinement needs to be further optimized. In Fig. 8 we compare the 565 density profile obtained with a uniform grid built with $L_{min} = L_{max} = 10$, which 566 gives 1,048,576 cells and the density obtained with the AMR by setting $L_{min} = 5$ 567 and $L_{max} = 10$, which gives a total number of cells of 146,578 at the end of the 568 569simulation. The solution structure, the different waves and the small vortex in the center are accurately approximated for both grids, gaining a very similar precision 570 (the small oscillations that can be seen on the contours of Fig. 8(b) are due to the 571 visualization software that is not able to fully handle quadtree grids). However, it 572is evident that with the AMR technique the computational effort is reduced, since 573574the number of degrees of freedom is consistently reduced (of about 10 times). The CPU times required with the uniform grid and with the AMR grid, using 32 cores, are respectively $9.25 \cdot 10^3$ and $1.61 \cdot 10^3$ seconds.

6. An extension to non-linear elasticity. As anticipated above, the relaxation all-speed scheme (3.16) can be adopted also for the simulation of flows with more complex EOS. Specifically, the accurate approximation of the deformation of elastic solids can be addressed. To this end, we adopt a monolithic Eulerian model describing different materials with the same system of conservation laws. The model derivation has been exstensively discussed in several previous works [19, 37, 20, 2]. Here we briefly report the 2D system and the chosen hyperelastic state law.

Let $\Omega_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ the initial (or reference) configuration of a continuum and $\Omega_t \in \mathbb{R}^2$ the deformed configuration at time t. The considered system of conservation laws models fluids and hyperelastic solids in the Eulerian framework, thus it is written in the deformed configuration Ω_t . As for fluids, the equations describing the evolution of elastic solids are the conservation of mass, of momentum and energy. In addition, a law for the description of the deformation is needed: this is done with the introduction

of equations of transport of the *backward characteristics*. These functions describe the 590 continuum in the Eulerian framework: for a time t and a point x in the deformed 591configuration, the corresponding initial point ξ in the initial configuration is given, 592i. e. $Y: \Omega_t \times [0,T] \to \Omega_0, (x,t) \to Y(x,t)$. One can also introduce the forward 593 characteristics $X(\xi, t)$, defined as the image at time t in the deformed configuration 594of a material point ξ belonging to the initial configuration, i. e. $X: \Omega_0 \times [0,T] \to$ 595 $\Omega_t, \ (\xi, t) \to X(\xi, t).$ The corresponding Eulerian velocity field **u** is defined as **u** : 596 $\Omega_t \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^2, \ (x,t) \to \mathbf{u}(x,t).$ Forward and backward characteristics are related 597as follows: $Y(X(\xi, t)) = \xi$. By differentiating this latter relation, one gets 598

599 (6.1)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t Y + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla Y = 0\\ Y(x,0) = x, \quad x \in \Omega_t \end{cases}$$

Since the stress tensors have a direct dependence on $[\nabla Y]$, the gradient of (6.1) is taken as a governing equation (see for details [2, 13]). The full monolithic Eulerian model thus is written as follows:

603 (6.2)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0\\ \partial_t (\rho \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} - \sigma) = 0\\ \partial_t ([\nabla_x Y]) + \nabla_x (\mathbf{u} \cdot [\nabla_x Y]) = 0\\ \partial_t (\rho e) + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho e \mathbf{u} - \sigma^T \mathbf{u}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Here σ is the Cauchy stress tensor, which is derived through the chosen EOS. The total energy *e* is still given by expression (2.2), but now the internal energy ϵ has to account for the behaviour of different materials, including gases, liquids and solids. Therefore, we adopt the following general constitutive law [24, 20]:

608 (6.3)
$$\epsilon\left(\rho, s, [\nabla_x Y]\right) = \underbrace{\frac{\kappa\left(s\right)}{\gamma - 1}\rho^{\gamma - 1} + \frac{p_{\infty}}{\rho}}_{\text{general gas}} + \underbrace{\frac{\chi}{\rho}\left(\operatorname{tr}\overline{B} - 2\right)}_{\text{neohookean solid}},$$

609 where \overline{B} is the normalized Cauchy stress tensor:

610
$$\overline{B} = \frac{B}{J} = \frac{\left[\nabla_x Y\right]^{-1} \left[\nabla_x Y\right]^{-T}}{J}, \qquad J = \det\left(\left[\nabla_x Y\right]\right)^{-1}.$$

611 The energy function (6.3) includes different physical behaviors. The first part is 612 exactly the internal energy (2.3) for gases and liquids. The last term describes the 613 variation of energy in a neohookean elastic solid due to elastic deformations (χ is the 614 shear elastic modulus). As shown in Table 3, classical models are obtained by specific 615 choices of the coefficients.

Material	γ	p_{∞}	χ		
		[Pa]	[Pa]		
Perfect biatomic gas	1.4	0	0		
Stiffened gas (water)	4.4	$6.8 \cdot 10^8$	0		
Elastic solid (copper)	4.22	$3.42\cdot10^{10}$	$5\cdot 10^{10}$		

Typical parameters for different materials.

615

616 The general expression of the Cauchy stress tensor σ is easily obtained [13, 1]

617 (6.4)
$$\begin{cases} \sigma\left(\rho, s, \left[\nabla_x Y\right]\right) = -p\left(\rho, s\right)\mathbf{I} + 2\chi J^{-1}\left(\overline{B} - \frac{\mathrm{tr}\overline{B}}{2}\mathbf{I}\right)\\ p\left(\rho, s\right) = -p_{\infty} + k\left(s\right)\rho^{\gamma}. \end{cases}$$

618 We rewrite the Eulerian model (6.2) in the compact formulation with the direc-619 tional splitting (3.1), having $\boldsymbol{\psi}, \mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\psi}), \mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{\psi}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with n = 8:

620
$$\boldsymbol{\psi} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ \rho u_1 \\ \rho u_2 \\ Y_1^1 \\ Y_2^1 \\ Y_1^2 \\ Y_2^2 \\ \rho e \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{F} (\boldsymbol{\psi}) = \begin{bmatrix} \rho u_1 \\ \rho u_1^2 - \sigma^{11} \\ \rho u_1 u_2 - \sigma^{21} \\ u_1 Y_1^1 + u_2 Y_2^1 \\ u_1 Y_1^2 + u_2 Y_2^2 \\ 0 \\ \rho u_1 Y_1^2 + u_2 Y_2^2 \\ 0 \\ \rho e - \sigma^{11} \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{G} (\boldsymbol{\psi}) = \begin{bmatrix} \rho u_2 \\ \rho u_1 u_2 - \sigma^{12} \\ \rho u_2^2 - \sigma^{22} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ u_1 Y_1^1 + u_2 Y_1^2 \\ u_1 Y_1^2 + u_2 Y_2^2 \\ (\rho e - \sigma^{22}) u_2 - \sigma^{12} u_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In this notation, the superscript i indicates the component of Y and the subscript i621 622 stands for the direction along which the derivative is calculated. With this formulation, the Jin-Xin relaxation system (3.3) is easily constructed, by introducing the 623 relaxation variables vectors. Then, the implicit time integration and the all-speed 624 spatial discretization are adopted just as in the case of fluid dynamics, with the an-625 alytical derivation of the jacobians of the fluxes $\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\psi})$ and $\mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{\psi})$ to be used inside 626 linearization (3.10) (for the jacobians derivation see Appendix A). Thus, the scheme 627 has exactly the same formulation derived for the Euler equation case: this means that 628 the relaxation all-speed scheme introduced in Section 3 can solve flows with more 629 complex EOS, including elastic deformations, without any substantial modification. 630

631 **6.1. Low Mach number limits in elastic solids.** We now analyze the low 632 Mach number regime in compressible elastic solids. This regime can be seen as a 633 small deformation or a deformation that is slow with respect to other propagating 634 waves. Alongside with the sound speed, which is computed as for fluid dynamics with 635 expression (2.4), we define an "*elastic speed*" in the following way:

636 (6.6)
$$u_{el} = \sqrt{\frac{2\chi}{\rho}}.$$

We can thus define an "isochoric Mach number" on this elastic speed, as the ratio between the isochoric speed and the advective velocity [2]:

639 (6.7)
$$M_{\chi} = \frac{u}{u_{el}} = \sqrt{\frac{\rho u^2}{2\chi}}.$$

Therefore, two different scales can be distinguished. They can be identified when the Eulerian system is non-dimensionalized. As done for the standard case of the Euler system in Section 2.1, every variable is decomposed into a product of a reference value and a dimensionless number. By using the definitions of the two Mach numbers (2.8) and (6.7) and by using the speed of sound to scale pressure and total energy, we get the following formulation of the non-dimensional Eulerian system (for simplicity of

$$647 \quad (6.8) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0\\ \partial_t (\rho \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \frac{\nabla_x p}{M^2} - \frac{2\chi}{M_\chi^2} \nabla_x \left(J^{-1} \left(\overline{B} - \frac{\mathrm{tr}\overline{B}}{2} \mathbf{I} \right) \right) = 0\\ \partial_t \left([\nabla_x Y] \right) + \nabla_x \left(\mathbf{u} \cdot [\nabla_x Y] \right) = 0\\ \partial_t \frac{\rho e}{M^2} + \nabla_x \cdot \left(\frac{\rho e}{M^2} \mathbf{u} + \left(\frac{p}{M^2} - \frac{2\chi}{M_\chi^2} \nabla_x \left(J^{-1} \left(\overline{B} - \frac{\mathrm{tr}\overline{B}}{2} \mathbf{I} \right) \right) \right) \mathbf{u} \right) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Here we have substituted the expression for the stress tensor (6.4) inside the conservation equations, in order to separate terms scaling with M and with M_{χ} . The scaled state law reads as follows

651 (6.9)
$$\frac{\rho e}{M^2} = \frac{1}{2}\rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{p + \gamma p_{\infty}}{M^2 (\gamma - 1)} + \frac{\chi \left(tr\overline{B} - 2\right)}{2M_{\chi}^2},$$

⁶⁵² where again both the acoustic and the elastic Mach numbers are present.

- Two different low Mach number regimes can be observed:
- 1. acoustic and elastic low Mach regime, namely $M \ll 1$ and $M_{\chi} \ll 1$. This case 654 can be verified only if the parameters of the considered material are such that 655 $\mathcal{O}(p_{\infty}) \simeq \mathcal{O}(\chi)$. We thus get that the two Mach numbers are of the same 656 order, i. e. $\mathcal{O}(M) \simeq \mathcal{O}(M_{\chi})$. Copper can be representative of this limit, 657 since $p_{\infty} = 3.42 \cdot 10^{10}$ Pa and $\chi = 5 \cdot 10^{10}$ Pa. In this regime, the gradient of 658 stress tensor σ fully scales as $\mathcal{O}(M^2)$, i. e. the entire stress tensor gradient 659 introduces stiffness in system (6.8). All propagating waves are consistently 660 faster with respect to the material waves, since both the acoustic and elastic 661 terms of the EOS are large and of the same order of magnitude. 662
- 2. acoustic low Mach regime, namely $M \ll 1$ and $M \ll M_{\chi}$. This is verified 663 if the material parameters are such that $p_{\infty} \gg \chi$, giving an acoustic Mach 664 number consistently smaller with respect to the elastic Mach number. This 665 limit can be observed in rubber-like materials. In this regime, the gradient 666 of stress tensor σ can be split into two different scales: the acoustic scale, 667 which is represented by the pressure gradient and the elastic one, which is 668 represented by the gradient of the elastic deformation. The pressure gradient 669 is the only responsible for the stiffness of system (6.8) and in the EOS only 670 the acoustic part is consistently large. Thus, only the longitudinal acoustic 671 waves are consistently faster with respect to the deformation, whereas the 672 shear elastic waves have a speed which is similar to the material deformation. 673 674 Physically, $M \leq M_{\chi}$ is always verified. Therefore, with the aim of recovering the
- 675 correct numerical viscosity in both low Mach regimes, the proposed convex combina-676 tion of upwind and centered fluxes (3.13) still holds for the all-speed scheme. For a 677 detailed study of the low Mach regime in elastic solids and of the behaviour of the 678 different waves, we refer the reader to [2, 1]. In the following section we will solve two 679 different Riemann problems representing the two limits inside two elastic solids.
- 680 **6.2.** Numerical validations in elastic solids. We test the relaxation all-speed 681 scheme on two 2D Riemann problems on the squared domain $[0, 2] \times [0, 2]$. Simulations 682 are performed on a uniform grid of 256×256 cells. For the first test, the domain is 683 filled with copper (for the EOS parameters see Table 3), i. e. $\chi \simeq p_{\infty}$. The initial



FIG. 9. Copper Riemann problem: density profiles at time $t = 10^{-4}s$ (20 contours: from 8882 to 8935). Panel (a): explicit-upwind scheme with $\Delta t = 8.8 \cdot 10^{-7}$, given by $\nu_{ac} = 0.45$. Panels (b), (c) and (d): all-speed relaxation scheme with $\Delta t = 8.8 \cdot 10^{-7}$, $\Delta t = 7.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$ (given by $\nu_{mat} = 0.2$) and $\Delta t = 1.17 \cdot 10^{-5}$ (given by $\nu_{mat} = 0.3$) respectively.

684 condition is the following

685

$$(p,\rho,u_1,u_2) (x_1,x_2,t=0) = \begin{cases} \left(10^9,8900,0,0\right), & \Omega_1\\ \left(10^9,8900,0,0\right), & \Omega_2\\ \left(10^9,8900,0,0\right), & \Omega_3\\ \left(10^5,8900,0,0\right), & \Omega_4, \end{cases}$$

where we have introduced the subdomains $\Omega_1 = [1,2] \times [1,2], \ \Omega_2 = [0,1] \times [1,2],$ 686 $\Omega_3 = [0,1] \times [0,1]$ and $\Omega_4 = [1,2] \times [0,1]$. Copper is at rest and at high pressure 687 everywhere, except for the right-bottom part of the domain Ω_4 , which is at a pressure 688 of 10^5 Pa and hence it is compressed by the surrounding copper. Two material waves 689 690 are present due to the initial pressure discontinuity: they represent the deformation of copper in Ω_4 and they are slow if compared to all the other propagating waves. 691 With this initial condition, we have $M \simeq M_{\chi} \simeq \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ on the two material waves 692 at the edges of Ω_4 , i.e. this test is representative of the *acoustic and elastic low Mach* 693 694 regime.

In Figs. 9(a)-9(b), we show the density profiles at final time $t = 10^{-4}$ s obtained with the standard explicit-upwind relaxation scheme and with the all-speed relaxation

scheme, by enforcing the same acoustic CFL $\nu_{ac} = 0.45$, which gives $\Delta t = 8.8 \cdot 10^{-7}$. 697 It is evident that the all-speed spatial discretization accurately approximates the two 698 material waves, which are instead diffused by an upwind-like discretization. Then, 699 in Figs. 9(c)-9(d), we solve the same problem with the all-speed relaxation scheme 700 by enforcing a material CFL constraint $\nu_{mat} = 0.2$, which gives $\Delta t = 7.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$, and 701 $\nu_{mat} = 0.3$, which gives $\Delta t = 1.17 \cdot 10^{-5}$: the accuracy on the material waves is 702 maintained also with large material time steps. Of course the fast waves are diffused, 703since their speed is too high to be followed by a material Δt , as explained in Sec. 7043.2.1. In Fig. 10 we report the components σ^{11} and σ^{22} of the stress tensor, the 705 pressure and the velocity field **u**, which is of course continuous on the two material 706 waves. We report these profiles obtained with the acoustic CFL condition, in order 707 to show all the propagating waves with a good resolution.



FIG. 10. Copper Riemann problem: normal components of the stress tensor σ , pressure p and velocity field \mathbf{u} at time $t = 10^{-4} s$ ($\nu_{ac} = 0.45$).

708

The second test is instead representative of the *acoustic low Mach regime*. In order to simulate a rubber-like material, we adopt the EOS parameters $\gamma = 4.4$, $\chi = 8 \cdot 10^5$ Pa and $p_{\infty} = 6.8 \cdot 10^8$ Pa and the following initial conditions:

712
$$(p, \rho, u_1, u_2) (x_1, x_2, t = 0) = \begin{cases} (10^8, 1000, 0, 0), & \Omega_1 \\ (10^8, 1000, 0, 0), & \Omega_2 \\ (10^8, 1000, 0, 0), & \Omega_3 \\ (9.8 \cdot 10^7, 1000, 20, 20), & \Omega_4 \end{cases}$$

We impose a small initial pressure discontinuity and also an initial velocity field in Ω_4 . The velocity field is imposed in order to analyze the propagation of slow



FIG. 11. Rubber-like Riemann problem: density (30 contours: from 994 to 1005) and tangential stress σ^{21} at time $t = 3 \cdot 10^{-4} s$ ($\nu_{ac} = 0.45$).

shear waves. In this framework, we get $M \simeq 2.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ and $M_{\chi} \simeq 0.125$ on the 715two material waves at the edges of Ω_4 . Thus, the longitudinal acoustic waves are 716 consistently faster with respect to all other waves, including the material waves and 717the shear elastic waves. In fact, these latter waves propagate with a speed similar to 718 the deformation velocity (this wave pattern is briefly presented in the previous section 719 and analysed in detail in [2]). In Figs. 11(a)-11(b) we show the density contours at 720 final time $t = 3 \cdot 10^{-4}$ s: we compare the results obtained with the all-speed relaxation 721 scheme and with the explicit-upwind relaxation scheme. For both schemes we enforce 722 $\nu_{ac} = 0.45$, which gives $\Delta t = 5.6 \cdot 10^{-6}$, in order to have a good resolution of all waves. 723It is evident that the all-speed scheme is providing a more accurate approximation of 724 the deformation waves also for this specific low Mach number limit. In Figs. 11(c)-72511(d) the stress tensor component σ^{21} is plotted and we can focus on the shear elastic 726 waves approximation. At time $t = 3 \cdot 10^{-4}$ s these slow waves are still very close to the 727 material waves. We can observe that the sharpness and the correct magnitude of the 728 shear waves are accurately approximated by the all-speed discretization, whereas the 729 730 upwind scheme is once again diffusive. These results are consistent with the results of a similar 1D test proposed in [2]. 731

732 **7. Conclusions and future developments.** In this work we have proposed an asymptotic preserving scheme to solve flows of compressible materials at all speeds. 734 The scheme exploits the relaxation method proposed by Jin and Xin, in order to deal 735 with an advective operator that is independent of the EOS. Thanks to this, we have 736 been able to simulate fluid flows and elastic deformations without any modification

737 of the scheme structure.

738 A proof of the asymptotic preserving property has been proposed, showing that the incompressibility condition is respected by the scheme. The Gresho vortex tests 739 have shown that, by setting an incompressible initial flow, the scheme is able to pre-740 serve the incompressible regime at all times, with pressure fluctuations of order M^2 . 741 The scheme has also been tested on the solution of Riemann problems, accurately 742 approximating material waves propagating in both fluids and elastic materials. The 743 material waves are kept sharp also with large material time steps. Moreover, an adap-744tive mesh refinement algorithm has been designed for the proposed scheme, providing 745a consistent reduction of the computational effort. The algorithm is based on the 746 estimate of the scheme entropy production, which has also been used to study the 747 748 accuracy of the proposed spatial discretization.

Different improvements will be proposed in the future, including the extension of the scheme to higher orders and the derivation of suitable preconditioners that can provide a more efficient solution of the linear system. The scheme will also be applied to the simulation of multi-material problems such as low speed-impacts. This can be done with the introduction of a level set function to track the physical interfaces and by extending the implicit multi-material scheme proposed in [4] to multi-dimensional problems. Moreover, the AMR technique will be extensively analyzed and improved.

Appendix A. Jacobian of the fluxes in elasticity. The jacobians of the fluxes $\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\psi})$ and $\mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{\psi})$ inside the Eulerian model (6.2) can be computed analytically. We introduce the notation $\sigma_{,\psi_i}^{jk}$, which stands for the derivative of the jk , j, k = 1, 2component of the tensor σ with respect to the conservative variable ψ_i , i = 1, ...8. These derivatives are computed using definition (6.4) of σ , where it can be useful to rewrite the pressure as function of the energy as follows

762
$$p = -\gamma p_{\infty} + (\gamma - 1) \left(\rho e - \frac{1}{2} \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 - \chi \left(\operatorname{tr} \overline{B} - 2 \right) \right).$$

We remind that tensor σ is symmetric, i.e. $\sigma^{12} = \sigma^{21}$. The expression for $\mathbf{F}'(\psi)$ is

here reported: 764

$$\mathbf{F}'(\boldsymbol{\psi}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -u_1^2 - \sigma_{,\psi_1}^{11} & 2u_1 - \sigma_{,\psi_2}^{11} & -\sigma_{,\psi_3}^{11} & -\sigma_{,\psi_4}^{11} \\ -u_1u_2 - \sigma_{,\psi_1}^{21} & u_2 - \sigma_{,\psi_2}^{22} & u_1 - \sigma_{,\psi_3}^{21} & -\sigma_{,\psi_4}^{21} \\ -\frac{u_1Y_{,1}^1 + u_2Y_{,2}^1}{\rho} & \frac{Y_{,1}^1}{\rho} & \frac{Y_{,2}^2}{\rho} & u_1 \\ -\frac{u_1Y_{,1}^2 + u_2Y_{,2}^2}{\rho} & \frac{Y_{,1}^2}{\rho} & \frac{Y_{,2}^2}{\rho} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{-Eu_1 + \sigma^{11}u_1}{\rho} - u_1\sigma_{,\psi_1}^{11} + \frac{\sigma^{21}u_2}{\rho} & \frac{E - \sigma^{11}}{\rho} - u_1\sigma_{,\psi_2}^{11} - u_1\sigma_{,\psi_3}^{11} - \frac{\sigma^{21}}{\rho} & -u_1\sigma_{,\psi_4}^{11} - u_2\sigma_{,\psi_4}^{21} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\sigma_{,\psi_5}^{11} & -\sigma_{,\psi_6}^{11} & -\sigma_{,\psi_7}^{11} & -\sigma_{,\psi_8}^{11} \\ -\sigma_{,\psi_5}^{21} & -\sigma_{,\psi_6}^{21} & -\sigma_{,\psi_7}^{21} & 0 \\ 0 & u_2 & 0 & 0 \\ u_1 & 0 & u_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -u_1\sigma_{,\psi_5}^{11} - u_2\sigma_{,\psi_5}^{21} & -u_1\sigma_{,\psi_6}^{11} - u_1\sigma_{,\psi_7}^{11} - u_2\sigma_{,\psi_7}^{21} & \left(1 - \sigma_{,\psi_8}^{11}\right)u_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and a similar expression is derived for $\mathbf{G}'(\boldsymbol{\psi})$ in the same way. 766

Acknowledgments. E. Abbate acknowledges the support of the Università 767 Italo-Francese/Université Franco-Italienne ("Bando Vinci 2016"). G. Puppo and E. 768 Abbate were partially supported by the "National Group for Scientific Computa-769 tion (GNCS-INDAM), 2018". The numerical experiments were carried out using the 770PLAFRIM experimental testbed, being developed under the Inria PlaFRIM develop-771 ment action with support from Bordeaux INP, LABRI and IMB and other entities: 772 Conseil Régional d'Aquitaine, Université de Bordeaux and CNRS (and ANR in ac-773 cordance to the Programme d'Investissements d'Avenir http://www.plafrim.fr/). 774

775

REFERENCES

- [1] E. ABBATE, Numerical methods for all-speed flows in fluid-dynamics and non-linear elasticity, 776PhD thesis, Università dell'Insubria and Université de Bordeaux, 2018. 777
- 778 E. ABBATE, A. IOLLO, AND G. PUPPO, An all-speed relaxation scheme for gases and compressible materials, Journal of Computational Physics, 351 (2017), pp. 1–24. 779
- 780[3] E. ABBATE, A. IOLLO, AND G. PUPPO, A relaxation scheme for the simulation of low Mach number flows, in International Conference on Finite Volumes for Complex Applications, 781782 Springer, 2017, pp. 227-235.
- 783[4] E. ABBATE, A. IOLLO, AND G. PUPPO, An implicit scheme for moving walls and multi-material 784 interfaces in weakly compressible materials, Communications in Computational Physics, 785(To appear).
- 786 [5] S. BALAY AND ET AL., PETSc users manual, Tech. Report ANL-95/11 - Revision 3.8, Argonne 787 National Laboratory, 2017, http://www.mcs.anl.gov/petsc.
- 788[6] W. BARSUKOW, P. V. EDELMANN, C. KLINGENBERG, F. MICZEK, AND F. K. RÖPKE, A numer-789 ical scheme for the compressible low-Mach number regime of ideal fluid dynamics, Journal 790 of Scientific Computing, 72 (2017), pp. 623-646.
- [7] M. J. BERGER AND P. COLELLA, Local adaptive mesh refinement for shock hydrodynamics, 791 792 Journal of computational Physics, 82 (1989), pp. 64-84.
- 793 [8] S. BOSCARINO, G. RUSSO, AND L. SCANDURRA, All Mach number second order semi-implicit 794 scheme for the Euler equations of gas dynamics, Journal of Scientific Computing, (2017),

pp. 1–35.

- [9] C. CHALONS, M. GIRARDIN, AND S. KOKH, An all-regime Lagrange-projection like scheme for
 the gas dynamics equations on unstructured meshes, Communications in Computational
 Physics, 20 (2016), pp. 188–233.
- [10] S. CHAPMAN AND T. G. COWLING, The mathematical theory of non-uniform gases: an account
 of the kinetic theory of viscosity, thermal conduction and diffusion in gases, Cambridge
 university press, 1970.
- [11] A. J. CHORIN, A numerical method for solving incompressible viscous flow problems, Journal of computational physics, 2 (1967), pp. 12–26.
- [12] F. CORDIER, P. DEGOND, AND A. KUMBARO, An asymptotic-preserving all-speed scheme for
 the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations, Journal of Computational Physics, 231 (2012),
 pp. 5685-5704.
- [13] A. DE BRAUER, A. IOLLO, AND T. MILCENT, A Cartesian scheme for compressible multimaterial
 models in 3d, Journal of Computational Physics, 313 (2016), pp. 121–143.
- [14] P. DEGOND AND M. TANG, All speed scheme for the low Mach number limit of the isentropic
 Euler equation, arXiv preprint arXiv:0908.1929, (2009).
- [15] S. DELLACHERIE, Analysis of Godunov type schemes applied to the compressible Euler system
 at low Mach number, Journal of Computational Physics, 229 (2010), pp. 978–1016.
- [16] G. DIMARCO, R. LOUBÈRE, AND M. VIGNAL, Study of a new asymptotic preserving scheme for
 the Euler system in the low Mach number limit, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing,
 39 (2017), pp. A2099–A2128.
- 816 [17] O. ENGINEERING, Bitpit Web page. http://optimad.github.io/bitpit/modules/index.html, 2017.
- [18] S. F. FRISKEN AND R. N. PERRY, Simple and efficient traversal methods for quadtrees and octrees, Journal of Graphics Tools, 7 (2002), pp. 1–11.
- [19] S. GODUNOV AND E. ROMENSKII, Elements of continuum mechanics and conservation laws,
 Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [20] Y. GORSSE, A. IOLLO, T. MILCENT, AND H. TELIB, A simple Cartesian scheme for compressible multimaterials, Journal of Computational Physics, 272 (2014), pp. 772–798.
- [21] P. GRESHO, On the theory of semi-implicit projection methods for viscous incompressible flow
 and its implementation via a finite element method that also introduces a nearly consistent
 mass matrix. part 1: Theory, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids, 11
 (1990), pp. 587–620.
- [22] H. GUILLARD AND A. MURRONE, On the behavior of upwind schemes in the low Mach number limit: II. Godunov type schemes, Computers & fluids, 33 (2004), pp. 655–675.
- [23] H. GUILLARD AND C. VIOZAT, On the behaviour of upwind schemes in the low Mach number
 limit, Computers & fluids, 28 (1999), pp. 63–86.
- [24] G. A. HOLZAPFEL, Nonlinear solid mechanics: a continuum approach for engineering science,
 Meccanica, 37 (2002), pp. 489–490.
- [25] S. JIN, Efficient asymptotic-preserving (ap) schemes for some multiscale kinetic equations,
 SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 21 (1999), pp. 441–454.
- [26] S. JIN AND Z. XIN, The relaxation schemes for systems of conservation laws in arbitrary space
 dimensions, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 48 (1995), pp. 235–276.
- [27] S. KLAINERMAN AND A. MAJDA, Singular limits of quasilinear hyperbolic systems with large
 parameters and the incompressible limit of compressible fluids, Communications on Pure
 and Applied Mathematics, 34 (1981), pp. 481–524.
- [28] S. KLAINERMAN AND A. MAJDA, Compressible and incompressible fluids, Communications on
 Pure and Applied Mathematics, 35 (1982), pp. 629–651.
- [29] R. KLEIN, Semi-implicit extension of a Godunov-type scheme based on low Mach number
 asymptotics i: One-dimensional flow, Journal of Computational Physics, 121 (1995),
 pp. 213-237.
- [30] A. KURGANOV AND E. TADMOR, Solution of two-dimensional riemann problems for gas dynam *ics without riemann problem solvers*, Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations,
 18 (2002), pp. 584–608.
- 848 [31] R. J. LEVEQUE, Numerical methods for conservation laws, vol. 132, Springer, 1992.
- [32] X. LI AND C. GU, An all-speed Roe-type scheme and its asymptotic analysis of low Mach
 number behaviour, Journal of Computational Physics, 227 (2008), pp. 5144–5159.
- [33] R. LISKA AND B. WENDROFF, Comparison of several difference schemes on 1D and 2D test
 problems for the Euler equations, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 25 (2003),
 pp. 995–1017.
- [34] T. LIU, Hyperbolic conservation laws with relaxation, Communications in Mathematical
 Physics, 108 (1987), pp. 153–175.
- [35] G. M. MORTON, A computer oriented geodetic data base and a new technique in file sequencing,

E. ABBATE, A. IOLLO, AND G. PUPPO

- International Business Machines Company New York, 1966. 857
- 858 [36] S. NOELLE, G. BISPEN, K. ARUN, M. LUKACOVA-MEDVIDOVA, AND C. MUNZ, An asymptotic 859 preserving all Mach number scheme for the Euler equations of gas dynamics, SIAM J. Sci. 860 Comput, (2014).
- 861 [37] B. J. PLOHR AND D. H. SHARP, A conservative Eulerian formulation of the equations for elastic 862 flow, Advances in Applied Mathematics, 9 (1988), pp. 481–499.
- 863 [38] G. PUPPO, Numerical entropy production for central schemes, SIAM Journal on Scientific 864 Computing, 25 (2004), pp. 1382–1415.
- 865 [39] G. PUPPO AND M. SEMPLICE, Numerical entropy and adaptivity for finite volume schemes, Communications in Computational Physics, 10 (2011), pp. 1132–1160. 866
- 867 [40] A. RAELI, M. BERGMANN, AND A. IOLLO, A finite-difference method for the variable coefficient 868 poisson equation on hierarchical cartesian meshes, Journal of Computational Physics, 355 869 (2018), pp. 59-77.
- 870 [41] C. SCHULZ-RINNE, J. COLLINS, AND H. GLAZ, Numerical solution of the riemann problem 871 for two-dimensional gas dynamics, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 14 (1993), 872 pp. 1394-1414.
- [42] E. TURKEL, Preconditioned methods for solving the incompressible and low speed compressible 873 874 equations, Journal of computational physics, 72 (1987), pp. 277–298.
- 875 [43] E. TURKEL AND V. VATSA, Local preconditioners for steady state and dual time-stepping, Math-876 ematical Modeling and Numerical Analysis, ESAIM: M2AN, 39 (2005), pp. 515-536.
- 877 [44] B. VAN LEER, W. LEE, AND P. ROE, Characteristic time-stepping or local preconditioning 878of the Euler equations, in 10th Computational Fluid Dynamics Conference, vol. 1, 1991, pp. 260–282. 879
- 880 [45] C. VIOZAT, Implicit upwind schemes for low Mach number compressible flows, PhD thesis, 881 Inria, 1997.

This manuscript is for review purposes only.

882 [46] G. B. WHITHAM, Linear and nonlinear waves, vol. 42, John Wiley & Sons, 2011.