

Effects of process conditions on foaming in stirred tanks Joelle Aubin, D. Daniel, A Deu, C Raffel, W Shaikh, E Rundquist, P M

Piccione

▶ To cite this version:

Joelle Aubin, D. Daniel, A Deu, C Raffel, W Shaikh, et al.. Effects of process conditions on foaming in stirred tanks. 16th European Conference on Mixing, Sep 2018, Toulouse, France. hal-02373259

HAL Id: hal-02373259 https://hal.science/hal-02373259

Submitted on 22 Nov 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Effects of process conditions on foaming in stirred tanks

J. Aubin¹, D. Daniel¹, A. Deu¹, C. Raffel¹, W. Shaikh¹, E. Rundquist² and P.M. Piccione³



¹Laboratoire de Génie Chimique, Université de Toulouse; CNRS, INPT, France. ² Process Studies Group, Technology & Engineering, Syngenta, Jealott's Hill International Research Centre, UK ³ Process Studies Group, Technology & Engineering, Syngenta, Münchwilen, Switzerland

Context

This work focused on gaining improved understanding of foam formation in chemical reactors, including the impact of operating conditions and equipment used. Further focus was placed on replicating foaming behaviour across scales to facilitate troubleshooting and foam mitigation in production.

Objectives

- Investigate the effect of impeller type & speed (N) and gas flow rate (Q_G) on foam generation.
- Develop scale-up/scale-down guidelines for replicating foaming behaviour across scales.

Foam generation



Large (≂cm) bubbles





Small (< mm) bubbles

Foam stable for hours

Occurs at high N & Q_c

- Average (< cm) & small (mm) bubbles
 - Foam slightly stable (up to ≈30
- Foam unstable (disappears rapidly within 60s) • Occurs at low N & Q_G
- min) Occurs at intermediate N & Q_c
- Foam type C created more often with turbine (higher P/V)



- Foam height increases sharply at a critical tip speed, which depends on impeller type and Q_G
- Foam height appears to correlate somewhat with P/V for intermediate and high Q_G
- N has a greater impact than Q_G on the amount of foam

Materials and method

Impeller types:

- Disc turbine
- Narrow blade hydrofoil
- Tank sizes: 5 L, 171 L
- Fluids: Aq. solution of Tween® 20



Impeller tip speed: 0.7-2.0 m/s (hydrofoil), 1.0-2.9 m/s (turbine)

Gas flow rate: 1.2-7 L/min (5L), 3.5-18 L/min (171L) Foam measurement:

- Average of 4 diametrical line of sight measurements of highest foam height, h
- Dimensionless foam height, $h^* = h/H$

Scale-up strategies

Foam impacted by impeller type & speed, and $Q_G \rightarrow$ scale-up with geometrical similarity and constant parameters for:

- Gas flow rate: superficial gas velocity (U_G), Q_G/V or aeration number $(Na = Q_G/ND^3)$
- Impeller speed: V_{tip}, P/V, We or Fr
- \circ U_G and Q_G/V always lead to excessive foaming; Na best replicates foam generation at low to intermediate impeller speeds (but not always at high speeds)
- \circ P/V, Fr \rightarrow excessive foam formation at large scale compared with small scale; We \rightarrow very low N at large scale

Scaling-up using constant impeller tip speed & Na enabled replication of foam heights at both scales, particularly for the hydrofoil.



Air

Ъ

Conclusions

- The hydrofoil impeller generates significantly less foam than the disc turbine at similar impeller tip speed & gas flow rate.
- Impeller speed has a more significant impact on the amount of foam generated than gas flow rate.
- Foam height correlates better with P/V than impeller tip speed when comparing impeller types at a single scale.
- However, scaling-up with constant P/V (and Na) results in excessive foaming at large scale.
- Constant Na as scale-up strategy for gas flow rate gave better results than Uc or Qc/V, however it failed to reproduce the gas dispersion regime across scales and didn't replicate foaming at high impeller speed.
- Better foam replication across scales for this system was achieved by scaling-up with constant impeller tip speed (and Na).

