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#### Abstract

Toward the synthesis of FR225654, an antidiabetic natural product, the Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation of chiral bishomoallylic alcohols, never reported in the literature, was examined. Employing the pyrimidine class of ligands, a high level of matched diastereoselectivity was obtained. An application to the stereoselective synthesis of the C1-C10-C5 fragment of FR225654 was performed.
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As part of a screening program searching for new antidiabetic agents, FR225654 (1) was isolated from the culture broth of Phoma sp. no. 00144. ${ }^{1}$ This trans-decalone selectively inhibited gluconeogenesis of rat primary hepatocytes and had significant hypoglycemic effects in several in vivo mouse models.
We have been interested in developing a synthesis of this natural product from triene 2 by means of an intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction (Scheme 1). Triol 4 was chosen as the starting material for the synthesis of the central part C1-C10-C5 (3).

It is interesting to note that this structural motif, 2,4-di-methylpentane-1,2,5-triol (4), is often found in biologically active natural products (macrolide antibiotics, etc.) and synthetic intermediates of organic substances (Figure 1). ${ }^{2}$

We envisioned to develop a general and efficient stereoselective method for synthesizing this unit by means of Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation (SAD) ${ }^{3}$ of the corresponding chiral bishomoallylic alcohol 5 (Scheme 2). ${ }^{4,5}$
In the context of a substrate-directed dihydroxylation reaction, prior interesting works have been reported. ${ }^{6}$ However, to our knowledge, no publication reports the SAD reactions of such chiral olefins. In this context, Corey has evidenced that the combined use of the $p$-methoxybenzoate protecting group and the noncommercial
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Scheme 1 Retrosynthetic analysis of FR225654 (1)


Figure 1 Biologically active natural products including structural motif 4


Scheme 2 Synthesis of chiral bishomoallylic alcohol 4 by means of the SAD reaction of olefin 5
(DHQD) $)_{2}$ PYDZ-AntCl ligand permit to reach high enantiomeric excesses in the SAD of achiral bishomoallylic alcohols by enhancing aryl-aryl contacts. ${ }^{7}$ Hence, synthesis of diol 7 was achieved in high yield and enantiomeric excess from olefin 6 (Scheme 3). More surprisingly, Paquette reported the dihydroxylation of achiral benzyl ether $\mathbf{8}$ derived from 4-methylpent-4-en-1-ol using commercial $(\mathrm{DHQ})_{2} \mathrm{PHAL}$ as ligand to access diol 9 in high yield and selectivity. ${ }^{8 a, b}$ In contrast, results are markedly lower for chiral substrates in double diastereoselection. In 2008, Kalesse described the SAD reaction of the PMB ether of (S)-2-methyl-4-pentenol ( $S$ )-10 with commercial AD-mix- $\alpha$ to provide diol anti-11. The reaction sluggishly proceeded within three days and delivered the diol in a poor 4:1 diastereomeric ratio. ${ }^{9}$


Scheme 3 Synthesis of diols 7, 9, and anti-11 by the SAD reaction
We describe here the results of our study to optimize the SAD reaction of chiral olefin 5 with the aim of a stereoselective preparation of triol 4 . The experiments were performed to assess the relative ability of different ligands in the context of matching and mismatching.


Scheme 4 Synthesis of triols 14-17 and ent-14-17

Table 1 SAD of Olefins ( $R$ )-12 and ( $S$ )-12 (OPMB)

| Entry | Substrate | Ligand ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) | Yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Time (h) | $\mathrm{dr}^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (R)-12 | - | quant. | 12 | 14/16 57:43 |
| 2 | (R)-12 | $(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}$-PHAL | quant. | 4 | 14/16 54:46 |
| 3 | (R)-12 | (DHQ) ${ }_{2}$-PHAL | quant. | 12 | 14/16 23:73 |
| 4 | (R)-12 | $(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}-\mathrm{AQN}$ | quant. | 12 | 14/16 73:27 |
| 5 | (R)-12 | $(\mathrm{DHQ})_{2}-\mathrm{AQN}$ | quant. | 12 | 14/16 $43: 57$ |
| 6 | (R)-12 | $(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}$-PYR | quant. | 12 | 14/16 96:4 |
| 7 | (R)-12 | $(\mathrm{DHQ})_{2}$-PYR | quant. | 12 | 14/16 33:67 |
| 8 | (S)-12 | - | quant. | 12 | ent-14/ent-16 57:43 |
| 9 | (S)-12 | $(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}$-PHAL | quant. | 3 | ent-14/ent-16 45:55 |
| 10 | (S)-12 | $(\mathrm{DHQ})_{2}$ - PHAL | quant. | 3 | ent-14/ent-16 59:41 |
| 11 | (S)-12 | $(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}-\mathrm{AQN}$ | quant. | 12 | ent-14/ent-16 39:61 |
| 12 | (S)-12 | $(\mathrm{DHQ})_{2}-\mathrm{AQN}$ | quant. | 12 | ent-14/ent-16 73:23 |
| 13 | (S)-12 | $(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}$-PYR | quant. | 2 | ent-14/ent-16 35:65 |
| 14 | (S)-12 | (DHQ) $2_{2}$-PYR | quant. | 12 | ent-14/ent-16 91:9 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Isolated yield.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Determined by HPLC.

Considering observations made by Corey, ${ }^{7}$ a variation of the alcohol protecting group from PMB to PMP was envisioned in order to modify the catalyst-substrate interaction while keeping favorable $\pi$-interactions, SAD experiments were thus performed on the corresponding

OPMP ethers $(R)$ - and $(S)$ - $\mathbf{1 3}$ to yield $\mathbf{1 5}$ or $\mathbf{1 7}$ and ent-15 or ent-17.
Similar results were observed in the context of matched and mismatched pairing (Table 2, entries 2-7 and 9-14); however, it is interesting to note a remarkable increase of

Table 2 SAD of Olefins ( $R$ )-13 and ( $S$ )-13 (OPMP)

| Entry | Substrate | Ligand (2.5 mol\%) | Yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Time (h) | $\mathrm{dr}^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (R)-13 | - | quant. | 12 | 15/17 55:45 |
| 2 | (R)-13 | $(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}$ - PHAL | quant. | 3.5 | 15/17 55:45 |
| 3 | (R)-13 | $(\mathrm{DHQ})_{2}$-PHAL | quant. | 3.5 | 15/17 41:59 |
| 4 | (R)-13 | (DHQD) $2_{2}-\mathrm{AQN}$ | quant. | 3.5 | 15/17 72:28 |
| 5 | (R)-13 | $(\mathrm{DHQ})_{2}-\mathrm{AQN}$ | quant. | 3.5 | 15/17 34:66 |
| 6 | (R)-13 | (DHQD) $)_{2}$-PYR | quant. | 1.5 | 15/17 92:8 |
| 7 | (R)-13 | (DHQ) $2_{2}$-PYR | quant. | 1.5 | 15/17 37:63 |
| 8 | (S)-13 | - | quant. | 12 | ent-15/ent-17 58:42 |
| 9 | (S)-13 | $(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}$ - PHAL | quant. | 3 | ent-15/ent-17 45:55 |
| 10 | (S)-13 | $(\mathrm{DHQ})_{2}$ - PHAL | quant. | 3 | ent-15/ent-17 62:38 |
| 11 | (S)-13 | (DHQD) $2_{2}-\mathrm{AQN}$ | quant. | 3 | ent-15/ent-17 34:66 |
| 12 | (S)-13 | $(\mathrm{DHQ})_{2}-\mathrm{AQN}$ | quant. | 3 | ent-15/ent-17 74:26 |
| 13 | (S)-13 | (DHQD) $2_{2}$-PYR | quant. | 2 | ent-15/ent-17 36:64 |
| 14 | (S)-13 | $(\mathrm{DHQ})_{2}$-PYR | quant. | 2 | ent-15/ent-17 89:11 |

[^0]Table 3 SAD of (S)-10 and (R)-10 and Olefin 19

| Entry | Substrate | Ligand (2.5 mol\%) | Yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Time (h) | $\mathrm{dr}^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (S)-10 | $(\mathrm{DHQ})_{2}$-PHAL | 90 | 3 | anti-11/syn-11 81:19 |
| 2 | (S)-10 | $(\mathrm{DHQ})_{2}$-PYR | 87 | 5 | anti-11/syn-11 91:9 |
| 3 | (R)-10 | (DHQD) $)_{2}$-PYR | 80 | 7 | anti-ent-11/syn-ent-11 96:4 |
| 4 | (R)-19 | - | quant. | 12 | anti-20/syn-20 52:48 |
| 5 | (R)-19 | (DHQD) $2_{2}$-PYR | quant. | 5 | anti-20/syn-20 90:10 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Isolated yield.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Determined by HPLC.
the turnover rate. These conditions have also proven to be very useful for the SAD of Kalesse olefins $(S) \mathbf{- 1 0}$ and $(R)$ 10 (Table 3). ${ }^{9}$ Ratio preferences of 91:9 and 96:4 were observed in the reaction employing PYR as linker to yield $\mathbf{1 1}$ and ent-11 both anti (Table 3, entries 2 and 3).
A similar set of experiments was carried out on olefin $(R)$ 19 to yield diol 20 in high selectivity in a matched pair (Scheme 6, Table 3, entries 4 and 5).
As a final point, SAD reaction of achiral Paquette olefin 8 $(\mathrm{OBn})$ was re-examined. However, in our hands, under previously reported conditions, ${ }^{8 a}$ enantiocontrol was only $58 \%$ ee (Table 4, entry 1 ).

Table 4 SAD of Olefins 8, 21, and 22

| Entry | Substrate | Ligand | Yield <br> $(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Time <br> $(\mathrm{h})$ | $\mathrm{er}^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathbf{8}$ | $(\mathrm{DHQ})_{2}$-PHAL | quant. | $4^{8 \mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathbf{9} 79: 21$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}$-PHAL | quant. | 1 | $\mathbf{2 3} 83: 17$ |
| 3 | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}$-AQN | quant. | 2 | $\mathbf{2 3} 87: 13$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}$-PYR | quant. | 2 | $\mathbf{2 3} 85: 15$ |
| 5 | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}$-PHAL | quant. | 1 | $\mathbf{2 4} 84: 16$ |
| 6 | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}$-AQN | quant. | 2 | $\mathbf{2 4} 92: 8$ |
| 7 | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}$-PYR | quant. | 1 | $\mathbf{2 4} 85: 15$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Isolated yield.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Determined by HPLC.

Based on our work, SAD reaction of achiral olefins 21 (OPMB) and 22 (OPMP) was then examined under stronger conditions. Surprisingly, slightly better results were obtained with AQN rather than with PYR spacer; however, the enantiomeric excess of diols 23 and 24 could not
exceed $74 \%$ and $84 \%$ (Table 4, entries 3 and 6). Noteworthy, both starting materials 21 (OPMB) and 22 (OPMP) were easily obtained from alcohol 20 (Scheme 7).


Scheme 7 Synthesis of diols 23 and 24

Finally, the SAD product 15 was easily transformed into the desired fragment C1-C10-C5 (25) of FR225654, after epoxidation, epoxide ring opening with trimethylsilylacetylide, and deprotection (Scheme 8). ${ }^{15}$


Scheme 8 Synthesis of fragment 25. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{LDA}, \mathrm{THF},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $n$ - $\mathrm{BuLi}, \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}, \mathrm{HCCSiMe}_{3}$, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t., $3 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$ (2 steps); (c) CAN, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeCN}-$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4: 1), 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}, 75 \%$.

In conclusion, this work presents an overview of the potential of the SAD reaction as a tool for accessing 2,4-di-methylpentane-1,2,5-triol motifs or to similar systems often found in biologically active natural products. To this aim, the performance of the SAD reaction of chiral PMB or PMP ether of bishomoallylic alcohols was evaluated in double diastereoselection. If limitations appeared when reactions are performed under mismatched conditions, on the contrary very high levels of matched diastereoselectivity were obtained employing the pyrimidine class of li-


Scheme 6 Synthesis of diol 20. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{MgBr}, \mathrm{THF},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t., $12 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$.
gands. Noteworthy, with the PMP protecting group, the reaction rate is significantly increased. Finally, an effective application to the stereoselective synthesis of the C1-C10-C5 fragment of FR225654 has been achieved.
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Figure 2


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Isolated yield.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Determined by HPLC.

