

# Horizontal and endosymbiotic gene transfer in early plastid evolution

Rafael Isaac Ponce Toledo, Purificacion Lopez-Garcia, David Moreira

### ▶ To cite this version:

Rafael Isaac Ponce Toledo, Purificacion Lopez-Garcia, David Moreira. Horizontal and endosymbiotic gene transfer in early plastid evolution. New Phytologist, 2019, 10.1111/nph.15965 . hal-02365884

## HAL Id: hal-02365884 https://hal.science/hal-02365884

Submitted on 15 Nov 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Horizontal and endosymbiotic gene transfer in early plastid evolution				
2					
3					
4	Rafael I. Ponce-Toledo, Purificación López-García and David Moreira				
5					
6	Unité d'Ecologie Systématique et Evolution, CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, AgroParisTech,				
7	Université Paris-Saclay, 91400, Orsay, France				
8					
9	Author for correspondence:				
10	David Moreira				
11	<i>Tel:</i> +33 69157608				
12	Email: david.moreira@u-psud.fr				
13					
14	Twitter account: @DEEMteam_Orsay				
15					
16					

17 Key words: plastids, endosymbiosis, gene transfer, evolution, *Paulinella*, Cyanobacteria,
18 Archaeplastida.

#### 19 Summary

20 Plastids evolved from a cyanobacterium that was engulfed by a heterotrophic eukaryotic host and 21 became a stable organelle. Some of the resulting eukaryotic algae entered into a number of 22 secondary endosymbioses with diverse eukaryotic hosts. These events had major consequences on 23 the evolution and diversification of life on Earth. Although almost all plastid diversity derives from 24 a single endosymbiotic event, analysis of nuclear genomes of plastid-bearing lineages has revealed 25 a mosaic origin of plastid-related genes. In addition to cyanobacterial genes, plastids recruited for 26 their functioning eukaryotic proteins encoded by the host nucleus and also bacterial proteins of non-27 cyanobacterial origin. Thus, plastid proteins and plastid-localized metabolic pathways evolved by 28 tinkering using gene toolkits from different sources. This mixed heritage seems especially complex 29 in secondary algae containing green plastids, the acquisition of which appears to have been 30 facilitated by many previous acquisitions of red algal genes (the "red carpet hypothesis").

31

#### 32 I. Introduction

33 Oxygenic photosynthesis in eukaryotes appeared >1 billion years ago (Eme et al., 2014) via the 34 endosymbiosis of a close relative of the deep-branching cyanobacterium Gloeomargarita lithophora 35 within a phagotrophic eukaryotic host (Ponce-Toledo et al., 2017). Subsequently, the 36 cyanobacterium evolved into a permanent photosynthetic organelle called primary plastid. This 37 endosymbiosis is at the origin of the Archaeplastida, a monophyletic supergroup composed of three 38 primary plastid-bearing lineages: the green algae plus land plants, the red algae, and the 39 glaucophytes (Fig. 1; Adl et al., 2012). Several cercozoan amoebae of the genus Paulinella (P. 40 chromatophora, P. longichromatophora and P. micropora) (Fig. 2) constitute the only known 41 lineage apart from Archaeplastida in which an independent type of primary photosynthetic 42 organelles evolved (Marin et al., 2005). The Paulinella organelles, called "chromatophores", derive 43 from a cyanobacterium of the *Synechococcus/Prochlorococcus* group ( $\alpha$ -cyanobacteria), in contrast 44 with the Gloeomargarita-like plastids of Archaeplastida. Whereas primary endosymbioses are 45 extremely rare in biological history, with only the Archaeplastida and Paulinella cases known, 46 green and red algae have participated as endosymbionts in numerous secondary and tertiary 47 endosymbioses, originating a broad diversity of eukaryotic lineages with complex plastids (Fig. 1; 48 Moreira & Philippe, 2001; Keeling, 2010).

The reduced number of primary plastid acquisitions compared to that of eukaryotic lineages with complex plastids suggests that the enslavement of a photosynthetic cyanobacterial endosymbiont (or cyanobiont) is, for unknown reasons, more challenging from an evolutionary point of view and requires specific adaptations to stabilize the cyanobiont as a permanent organelle. Once the primary plastid was fully integrated within the host (through the evolution of metabolite export and protein import systems, transfer of plastid genes to the host nucleus, and evolution of proteins involved in redox regulation) it was easier for algae to become endosymbionts of other eukaryotes. Here, we review the genetic and genomic changes that accompanied the evolution of primary plastids and explore the plastid proteome composition to propose a possible role for hostderived and exogenous genes in the establishment of these plastids. Likewise, we discuss the genetic mosaicism of nuclear genomes in complex plastid-harboring lineages in the light of horizontal gene transfer (HGT) and putative cryptic endosymbioses.

61

#### 62 II. Evolution of primary plastids in Archaeplastida

63 Plastids in Archaeplastida derive from an endosymbiotic cyanobacterium that was fully integrated 64 in a heterotrophic eukaryotic host and became an organelle. Nonetheless, plastids differ 65 considerably from free-living cyanobacteria. One of the most remarkable differences is the drastic 66 reduction of plastid genomes, which encode less than 5% of the genes found in typical free-living 67 cyanobacteria (Green, 2011). The number of protein-coding genes in plastid genomes varies across 68 Archaeplastida, with red algae harboring the largest sets (160-235 proteins; Lee et al., 2016), 69 perhaps as a result of the massive gene loss in the nuclear genome likely experienced by the 70 rhodophyte ancestor, which exerted a selective pressure to keep plastid-encoded genes 71 (Bhattacharya et al., 2018).

72 Gene loss in the cyanobiont was a crucial event during early endosymbiosis stages and marked 73 the transformation of the cyanobacterium into an obligatory endosymbiont. Glycogen synthase 74 genes, absent in plastids, were possibly among the first genes to be lost and this may have helped to 75 achieve the early host-endosymbiont metabolic integration (Gavelis & Gile, 2018). Glycogen 76 synthase-defective mutant cyanobacteria release organic carbon outside the cell as a homeostatic 77 response to cope with excessive photosynthate production and the inability to store it as glycogen 78 (Cano et al., 2018). Therefore, it is possible that inefficient glycogen storage allowed the leakage of 79 photosynthates that could be used by the host before the evolution of a specific and more efficient 80 photosynthate export system.

81 It is commonly assumed that endosymbiotic gene transfer (EGT) was critical for plastid 82 endosymbiosis because it allowed the host to gain control over the expression of plastid genes, thus 83 increasing the dependence of the cyanobiont upon the host gene expression machinery. The 84 majority of plastid genes transferred to the host nucleus participate in photosynthesis-related 85 functions (e.g., photosystem subunits, chlorophyll synthesis) and plastid metabolism and 86 maintenance (e.g., amino acid synthesis and plastid division) (Reyes-Prieto et al., 2006). Recent 87 studies have suggested that EGTs contributed also to expand the redox sensing capabilities of the 88 host, sometimes through genetic tinkering that produced new chimeric proteins (Méheust et al.,

2016). This process was accompanied by the evolution of new redox sensing pathways that helped
the host to cope with the increased reactive oxygen species concentration produced by the new
organelle (Woehle *et al.*, 2017).

92 Even though plastid-encoded proteins plus plastid-targeted EGT products are essential for the 93 correct functioning of these organelles, they represent less than half of the total plastid proteome in 94 Archaeplastida (Qiu et al., 2013). The fact that the plastid proteome contains a vast proportion of 95 apparently non-cyanobacterial proteins opens interesting questions about the mechanisms 96 underlying plastid acquisition and suggest that plastid symbiogenesis was not as straightforward as 97 it is commonly assumed (Larkum et al., 2007). For instance, recent phylogenetic analyses suggest 98 that the genetic machinery necessary to synthesize the galactolipids of plastid membranes -once 99 thought to derive from the cyanobiont- do not have cyanobacterial origin, opening many questions 100 about the nature of plastid membranes (Sato & Awai, 2017).

101 Host-derived proteins, evolved either from the retargeting of pre-existing proteins or from new 102 gene innovations, participate in a wide range of plastid functions and represent the largest fraction 103 of plastid-targeted proteins (Qiu et al., 2013). For instance, metabolite transporters originated from 104 the retargeting of host membrane proteins are particularly overrepresented in the plastid envelope 105 (58% of plastid transporters appear to derive from host membrane proteins in Arabidopsis thaliana; 106 Tyra et al., 2007). These findings suggest that the host drove the integration of the cyanobiont by 107 providing the proteins necessary to connect the cyanobiont metabolism with the energy demands of 108 the host ("host-centric" endosymbiosis model; Karkar et al., 2015).

109 In addition to host-derived genes, non-cyanobacterial bacterial genes were also crucial for plastid 110 evolution and represent 7-15% of the plastid proteome (Qiu et al., 2013). The phylogenetic origin of 111 these non-cyanobacterial prokaryotic genes in Archaeplastida seems to cover a wide range of 112 bacterial phyla (Dagan et al., 2013). After Cyanobacteria, Proteobacteria appear to be the most common contributors to the plastid proteome, particularly alphaproteobacterial proteins that may 113 114 derive from the mitochondrial ancestor (Dagan et al., 2013; Qiu et al., 2013). Part of these non-115 cyanobacterial bacterial genes were likely present in the genome of the cyanobacterial plastid 116 ancestor as a consequence of HGT events from various donors (Dagan et al., 2013). Some others 117 may have been subsequently acquired by the Archaeplastida ancestor due to its presumed 118 phagotrophic lifestyle and may have helped to compensate the massive gene loss undergone by the 119 cvanobiont genome.

120 An issue that has attracted much attention is the presence of a number of genes apparently 121 transferred from Chlamydiales bacteria to the Archaeplastida (Huang & Gogarten, 2007; Becker *et* 122 *al.*, 2008), which has even led to propose a tripartite model of plastid origin (Facchinelli *et al.*, 123 2013). This model suggests that chlamydial cells infected the Archaeplastida ancestor. This

infection would have helped in the early steps of plastid acquisition by i) protecting the evanobiont 124 125 from host defenses, ii) supplying multiple enzymes to integrate photosynthates produced by the cyanobiont into the host carbohydrate metabolism (Facchinelli et al., 2013) and/or iii) allowing the 126 127 cyanobiont to cope with ATP starvation resulting from the hypoxic environment of the host cytosol 128 (Cenci et al., 2018). However, this model encounters several problems. First, there is no report of 129 any Chlamydia species able to infect Archaeplastida, which suggests that the Archaeplastida 130 ancestor was not a likely host for this family of pathogenic bacteria. Second, and more importantly, 131 phylogenetic re-analyses of putative Chlamydia-derived genes have detected various phylogenetic 132 artefacts and reduced considerably the number of genes compatible with a putative chlamydial 133 ancestry (Moreira & Deschamps, 2014; Domman et al., 2015). Hence, the tripartite model continues 134 to be hotly debated.

135

#### 136 III. Chromatophore evolution in Paulinella

137 Several cercozoan amoebae of the genus Paulinella (e.g., P. chromatophora, Fig. 2) adopted a 138 primary photosynthetic organelle (called chromatophore) by the endosymbiosis of a 139 cyanobacterium from the Synechococcus/Prochlorococcus (Syn/Pro) clade (Marin et al., 2005). 140 *Paulinella* is a good model to study the early evolution of primary plastids since the divergence of 141 the chromatophore from its Syn/Pro ancestor is relatively recent, only 90-140 Mya (Delaye et al., 142 2016). Remarkably, there are important similarities between the primary endosymbioses in 143 Archaeplastida and Paulinella, likely due to convergent evolution in the process of plastid 144 acquisition (Table 1).

145 The chromatophore genome is highly reduced, encoding 867 proteins that represent about 1/3 of 146 proteins of its free-living counterparts (Nowack et al., 2008). Similar to the EGTs found in 147 Archaeplastida, P. chromatophora has relocated more than 70 chromatophore genes into the nuclear 148 genome (mostly involved in photosynthesis-related functions) (Nowack et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 149 2017). By contrast, these genes represent less than 1% of the Paulinella nuclear genome, while in A. thaliana some reports suggest that the genes of cyanobacterial origin can account up to 18% of the 150 151 nuclear genes (Martin et al., 2002). Nonetheless, the chromatophore genome reduction is most 152 likely still ongoing and more genes will still be possibly transferred to the host nucleus. At any rate, 153 based on the reduced number of chromatophore-derived genes in the nuclear genome, it seems that 154 EGT may have been less important in the establishment of the chromatophore in *P. chromatophora* 155 than HGT from other bacteria, as about 170 genes of bacterial origin encode proteins likely targeted to the chromatophore (Nowack et al., 2016). Interestingly, the largest contribution of identified 156 chromatophore-targeted proteins derive from the ancestral host genetic repertoire (Singer et al., 157 158 2017), a similar pattern as the one observed in Archaeplastida proteomes (Qiu et al., 2013),

159 suggesting that in both primary endosymbioses the host played a crucial role to mediate the 160 cvanobiont integration.

161

#### 162 IV. Complex plastids

163 Eukaryotic lineages with complex plastids evolved by the engulfment of red or green algae by 164 different hosts. This type of event, called secondary endosymbiosis, originated plastids with three or 165 four membranes (in contrast with the two membranes of primary plastids) and introduced a high 166 degree of reticulation within the eukaryotic global phylogeny that is not yet fully understood 167 (Archibald, 2015). All or a considerable fraction of members from four ecologically diverse 168 eukaryotic lineages have red alga-derived plastids: cryptophytes, alveolates, stramenopiles, and 169 haptophytes (the "CASH" assemblage). Plastids are particularly diverse in alveolates, including the 170 3-membrane peridinin-containing dinoflagellate plastids and the 4-membrane non-photosynthetic 171 ('apicoplasts') and photosynthetic ones found in parasitic Apicomplexa and their relatives. While the 172 phylogeny of plastid genes supports that a single red alga is at the origin of all complex red plastids (Yoon et al., 2002; Muñoz-Gómez et al., 2017), most phylogenetic analyses of nuclear genes 173 174 suggest that CASH lineages are not monophyletic. This has been interpreted as an indication that 175 complex red plastids were acquired through an undetermined number of events that may have 176 included serial tertiary endosymbioses and kleptoplastidy in different hosts (Bodył et al., 2009; Baurain et al., 2010; Petersen et al., 2014; Bodył 2017). Conversely, the origin of complex green 177 178 plastids is much clearer: euglenids and chlorarachniophytes acquired their plastids from two 179 independent secondary endosymbioses involving two distantly related green algal endosymbionts 180 (Jackson et al., 2018).

181 Similar to primary endosymbiosis, during secondary endosymbiotic events genes were 182 transferred from the algal endosymbiont to the host nucleus (secondary EGTs). This was probably accompanied by transfers from bacterial sources (e.g., Záhonová et al., 2018). Unexpectedly, the 183 184 analysis of nuclear genes of algal origin in eukaryotic lineages with complex plastids has revealed a wide range of putative algal donors different from the algal endosymbionts that exist today as 185 secondary plastids (Curtis et al., 2012). For instance, despite diatoms contain plastids clearly 186 derived from red algae, phylogenetic analysis of diatom nuclear genomes suggested that more than 187 188 1,700 nucleus-encoded genes were apparently transferred from green algae (Moustafa et al., 2009). 189 Likewise, recent estimations suggest that  $\sim 25\%$  of nucleus-encoded plastid-targeted proteins in the 190 ancestor of ochrophytes (photosynthetic stramenopiles) derive from green algae (Dorrell et al., 191 2017). This apparent massive genetic mosaicism may be explained either as the result of high 192 frequency of eukaryote-to-eukaryote HGT or as the consequence of putative cryptic endosymbioses. Nevertheless, although it is possible that the "green" signal observed in ochrophyte genomes, 193

particularly in diatoms, might attest for a former endosymbiosis with a green alga (see Moustafa *et al.*, 2009), it seems more likely that the green contribution to diatom genomes has been largely
overestimated because of several undetected tree reconstruction artefacts (Deschamps & Moreira, 2012).

198

#### 199 V. The "red carpet" hypothesis

200 For some secondary EGTs, phylogenetic analysis allows to trace back the full sequence of 201 endosymbiotic gene transfer from cyanobacteria to the Archaeplastida ancestor and then from red or 202 green algal endosymbionts to the secondary photosynthetic lineages. Phylogenetic inspection of 203 these EGTs in the CASH lineages has shown that -as expected- the majority of these genes were 204 transferred from the red algal secondary endosymbiont (Deschamps & Moreira, 2012; Ponce-205 Toledo et al., 2018). By contrast, about 30% and 50% of this type of cyanobacteria-derived 206 secondary EGTs appear also to have red algal ancestry in euglenids and chlorarachniophytes, 207 respectively (Ponce-Toledo et al., 2018). Thus, these two green plastid-harboring lineages have an 208 unexpected mix of red and green plastid-targeted proteins that creates a high mosaicism in their 209 plastid metabolic pathways, including biosynthetic pathways, photosynthesis-related functions, and 210 plastid biogenesis (Yang et al., 2011). Interestingly, the dinoflagellate Lepidodinium chlorophorum, 211 which is a clear case of recent replacement of an original red algal endosymbiont by a pedinophyte-212 like green algal one (Kamikawa et al., 2015), harbors several nucleus-encoded genes transferred 213 from the former red plastid that were retargeted to the new green plastid. Thus, the current green 214 algal plastid functions with a mix of red and green genes (Minge et al., 2010; Matsuo & Inagaki, 215 2018).

216 However, in the case of euglenids and chlorarachniophytes it is difficult to know if the presence 217 of this large amount of genes of red algal origin is due to the fact that these lineages also 218 experienced former endosymbioses with red algae. Cryptic endosymbiosis scenarios have to be 219 considered cautiously when interpreting the chimerism observed in the nuclear genomes of photosynthetic eukaryotes (Deschamps & Moreira, 2012). Molecular clock analyses suggest that 220 221 chlorarachniophytes acquired their green plastid 578-318 Mya (Jackson et al., 2018) while the 222 chlorarachniophyte host lineage appears to have diverged from heterotrophic cercozoa ~1000 Mya 223 (Parfrey et al., 2011). Therefore, there was a long period (>400 million years) during which a 224 putative secondary endosymbiosis with a red alga might have taken place before the acquisition of 225 the present green algal plastid. What is certain is that red algae (or lineages containing red algal 226 secondary plastids) provided many plastid-related genes to both euglenids and chlorarachniophytes. 227 These genes appear to be present in all known species of each of these two phyla, indicating that 228 they correspond to ancient gene acquisitions before the diversification of these two phyla (PonceToledo *et al.*, 2018). We introduce here the "red carpet hypothesis" to propose that these red algal genes, transferred to the host nucleus before and/or during the early steps of endosymbiosis with green algae, provided important plastid-related functions and acted as a sort of "red carpet" to facilitate the subsequent adoption of the new green algal endosymbionts.

233

#### 234 VI. Conclusions

235 Phylogenetic analyses of nucleus-encoded plastid-targeted proteins have revealed the massive 236 contribution of non-cyanobacterial proteins to the plastid proteomes of Archaeplastida and 237 Paulinella, the only two lineages known to harbor primary plastids (Qiu et al., 2013; Nowack et al., 238 2016). Gene transfers from bacteria other than the cyanobiont and their retargeting to the early 239 plastid seem to have been very frequent in both primary photosynthetic lineages, many possibly 240 replacing genes that were lost in the cyanobiont genome. Nonetheless, the eukaryotic host seems to 241 have been the largest contributor of plastid-targeted proteins, with most of them involved in plastid 242 maintenance and transport of metabolites, which supports the idea that the host drove the early steps 243 of plastid endosymbiosis (Karkar et al., 2015).

244 It is still not completely clear why secondary endosymbioses have been much more recurrent 245 than primary ones in the evolution of contemporary eukaryotic photosynthetic lineages. However, it 246 seems that nucleus-encoded genes acquired from previous endosymbioses can be helpful to regain a 247 plastid, likely because the expression of these genes is already under the control of the host and 248 because they carry targeting signals that can be more easily reused to target proteins towards a new 249 endosymbiont (Matsuo & Inagaki, 2018), thus speeding up the host-endosymbiont integration. 250 Although cryptic endosymbioses are difficult to prove, we postulate that plastid-targeted secondary 251 EGTs are critical markers to test these evolutionary scenarios.

- 252
- 253

#### 254 Acknowledgements

We thank Marc-André Selosse for the invitation to contribute this article, Eva Nowack for the *Paulinella chromatophora* picture, and Philippe Deschamps for discussion on plastid evolution topics. Our work was supported by ERC Advanced Grants "Protistworld" and "Plast-Evol" (322669 and 787904, respectively) and ANR grant ANCESSTRAM (ANR-15-CE32-0003).

- 259
- 260

#### 261 **ORCID**

- 262 Rafael I. Ponce-Toledo https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6194-8845
- 263 Purificación López-García https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0927-0651

264	David Moreira	https://orcid.org	g/0000-0002-2064-5	5354
-----	---------------	-------------------	--------------------	------

2	6	5
7	υ	J

266

267 **References** 

- 268 Adl SM, Simpson AGB, Lane CE, Lukeš J, Bass D, Bowser SS, Brown MW, Burki F,
- Dunthorn M, Hampl V *et al.* 2012. The revised classification of eukaryotes. *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology* 59: 429–493.
- Archibald JM. 2015. Genomic perspectives on the birth and spread of plastids. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 112: 10147–10153.
- Baurain D, Brinkmann H, Petersen JJ, Rodríguez-Ezpeleta N, Stechmann A, Demoulin V,
   Roger AJ, Burger G, Lang BF, Philippe H. 2010. Phylogenomic evidence for separate
- acquisition of plastids in cryptophytes, haptophytes, and stramenopiles. *Molecular Biology and*
- *Evolution* **27**: 1698–1709.
- Becker B, Hoef-Emden K, Melkonian M. 2008. Chlamydial genes shed light on the evolution of
   photoautotrophic eukaryotes. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 8: 203.
- Bhattacharya D, Qiu H, Lee JM, Su Yoon H, Weber APM, Price DC. 2018. When less is more:
   red algae as models for studying gene loss and genome evolution in eukaryotes. *Critical*
- 281 *Reviews in Plant Sciences* **37**: 81–99.
- Bodył A. 2017. How to lose the plasmalemma? Lessons from ciliates, dinoflagellates and
  euglenids. *BioEssays* 39: 1700149.
- Bodył A, Stiller JW, Mackiewicz P. 2009. Chromalveolate plastids: direct descent or multiple
   endosymbioses? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 24: 119–121.
- Cano M, Holland SC, Artier J, Burnap RL, Ghirardi M, Morgan JA, Yu J. 2018. Glycogen
   synthesis and metabolite overflow contribute to energy balancing in cyanobacteria. *Cell Reports* 23: 667–672.
- Cenci U, Qiu H, Pillonel T, Cardol P, Remacle C, Colleoni C, Kadouche D, Chabi M, Greub G,
   Bhattacharya D et al. 2018. Host-pathogen biotic interactions shaped vitamin K metabolism in
   Archaeplastida. Scientific Reports 8: 15243.
- 292 Curtis BA, Tanifuji G, Burki F, Gruber A, Irimia M, Maruyama S, Arias MC, Ball SG, Gile
- GH, Hirakawa Y *et al.* 2012. Algal genomes reveal evolutionary mosaicism and the fate of
  nucleomorphs. *Nature* 492: 59–65.
- 295 Dagan T, Roettger M, Stucken K, Landan G, Koch R, Major P, Gould SB, Goremykin V V.,
- 296 Rippka R, De Marsac NT et al. 2013. Genomes of stigonematalean cyanobacteria (subsection
- 297 V) and the evolution of oxygenic photosynthesis from prokaryotes to plastids. *Genome Biology*
- *and Evolution* **5**: 31–44.

- 299 Delaye L, Valadez-cano C, Pérez-zamorano B. 2016. How really ancient is Paulinella
- 300 chromatophora? PLoS Currents Tree of life 8:
- 301 ecurrents.tol.e68a099364bb1a1e129a17b4e06b0c6b.
- 302 Deschamps P, Moreira D. 2012. Reevaluating the green contribution to diatom genomes. *Genome* 303 *Biology and Evolution* 4: 683–688.
- 304 Domman D, Horn M, Embley TM, Williams TA. 2015. Plastid establishment did not require a
   305 chlamydial partner. *Nature Communications* 6: 6421.
- 306 Dorrell RG, Gile G, McCallum G, Méheust R, Bapteste EP, Klinger CM, Brillet-Guéguen L,
- Freeman KD, Richter DJ, Bowler C. 2017. Chimeric origins of ochrophytes and haptophytes
   revealed through an ancient plastid proteome. *eLife* 6: e23717.

309 Eme L, Sharpe SC, Brown MW, Roger AJ. 2014. On the age of eukaryotes: Evaluating evidence

- from fossils and molecular clocks. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology* **6**: a016139.
- 311 Facchinelli F, Colleoni C, Ball SG, Weber APM. 2013. Chlamydia, cyanobiont, or host: Who was
- 312 on top in the ménage à trois? *Trends in Plant Science* **18**: 673–679.
- Gagat P, Mackiewicz P. 2017. *Cymbomonas tetramitiformis* a peculiar prasinophyte with a taste
   for bacteria sheds light on plastid evolution. *Symbiosis* 71:1–7.
- Gavelis GS, Gile GH. 2018. How did cyanobacteria first embark on the path to becoming
   plastids?: lessons from protist symbioses. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 365: fny209.
- 317 Green BR. 2011. Chloroplast genomes of photosynthetic eukaryotes. *Plant Journal* 66: 34–44.
- 318 Huang J, Gogarten JP. 2007. Did an ancient chlamydial endosymbiosis facilitate the
- 319 establishment of primary plastids? *Genome Biology* **8**: R99.
- Jackson C, Knoll AH, Chan CX, Verbruggen H. 2018. Plastid phylogenomics with broad taxon
   sampling further elucidates the distinct evolutionary origins and timing of secondary green
   plastids. *Scientific Reports* 8: 1523.
- 323 Kamikawa R, Tanifuji G, Kawachi M, Miyashita H, Hashimoto T, Inagaki Y. 2015. Plastid
- genome-based phylogeny pinpointed the origin of the green-colored plastid in the
   dinoflagellate *Lepidodinium chlorophorum*. *Genome Biology and Evolution* 7: 1133-1140.
- 326 Karkar S, Facchinelli F, Price DC, Weber APM, Bhattacharya D. 2015. Metabolic connectivity
- 327 as a driver of host and endosymbiont integration. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*328 *Sciences, USA* 112: 10208–10215.
- Keeling PJ. 2010. The endosymbiotic origin, diversification and fate of plastids. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 365: 729–748.
- 331 Larkum AW, Lockhart PJ, Howe CJ. 2007. Shopping for plastids. *Trends in Plant Science* 12:

332 189**-**195.

- 333 Lee J, Cho CH, Park SI, Choi JW, Song HS, West JA, Bhattacharya D, Yoon HS. 2016.
- Parallel evolution of highly conserved plastid genome architecture in red seaweeds and seed
  plants. *BMC Biology* 14: 75.
- Mackiewicz P, Bodył A, Gagat P. 2012. Protein import into the photosynthetic organelles of
   *Paulinella chromatophora* and its implications for primary plastid endosymbiosis. *Symbiosis* 58:99–107.
- Marin B, Nowack ECM, Melkonian M. 2005. A plastid in the making: Evidence for a second
   primary endosymbiosis. *Protist* 156: 425–432.
- 341 Martin W, Rujan T, Richly E, Hansen A, Cornelsen S, Lins T, Leister D, Stoebe B, Hasegawa
- 342 M, Penny D. 2002. Evolutionary analysis of *Arabidopsis*, cyanobacterial, and chloroplast
- 343 genomes reveals plastid phylogeny and thousands of cyanobacterial genes in the nucleus.
- 344 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **99**: 12246–12251.
- 345 Matsuo E, Inagaki Y. 2018. Patterns in evolutionary origins of heme, chlorophyll a and
- 346 isopentenyl diphosphate biosynthetic pathways suggest non-photosynthetic periods prior to
- 347 plastid replacements in dinoflagellates. *PeerJ* **6**: e5345.
- Méheust R, Zelzion E, Bhattacharya D, Lopez P, Bapteste E. 2016. Protein networks identify
   novel symbiogenetic genes resulting from plastid endosymbiosis. *Proceedings of the National*
- 350 *Academy of Sciences, USA* **113**: 3579–3584.
- 351 Minge MA, Shalchian-Tabrizi K, Tørresen OK, Takishita K, Probert I, Inagaki Y, Klaveness
- 352 **D, Jakobsen KS**. 2010. A phylogenetic mosaic plastid proteome and unusual plastid-targeting
- signals in the green-colored dinoflagellate *Lepidodinium chlorophorum*. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 10: 191.
- 355 Moreira D, Deschamps P. 2014. What was the real contribution of endosymbionts to the
- eukaryotic nucleus? Insights from photosynthetic eukaryotes. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology* 6: a016014.
- Moreira D, Philippe H. 2001. Sure facts and open questions about the origin and evolution of
   photosynthetic plastids. *Research in Microbiology* 152: 771-780.
- Moustafa A, Beszteri B, Maier UG, Bowler C, Valentin K, Bhattacharya D. 2009. Genomic
   footprints of a cryptic plastid endosymbiosis in diatoms. *Science* 324: 1724–1726.
- 362 Muñoz-Gómez SA, Mejía-Franco FG, Durnin K, Colp M, Grisdale CJ, Archibald JM,
- 363 Slamovits CH. 2017. The new red algal subphylum Proteorhodophytina comprises the largest
- and most divergent plastid genomes known. *Current Biology* **27**: 1677-1684.

### 365 Nowack ECM, Melkonian M, Glöckner G. 2008. Chromatophore genome sequence of Paulinella

366 sheds light on acquisition of photosynthesis by eukaryotes. *Current Biology* **18**: 410–418.

Nowack ECM, Price DC, Bhattacharya D, Singer A, Melkonian M, Grossman AR. 2016. Gene 367 368 transfers from diverse bacteria compensate for reductive genome evolution in the chromatophore of Paulinella chromatophora. Proceedings of the National Academy of 369 370 Sciences, USA 113: 12214-12219. 371 Parfrey LW, Lahr DJG, Knoll AH, Katz LA. 2011. Estimating the timing of early eukaryotic 372 diversification with multigene molecular clocks. Proceedings of the National Academy of 373 Sciences, USA 108: 13624–13629. 374 Petersen J, Ludewig AK, Michael V, Bunk B, Jarek M, Baurain D, Brinkmann H. 2014. 375 *Chromera velia*, endosymbioses and the rhodoplex hypothesis - Plastid evolution in 376 cryptophytes, alveolates, stramenopiles, and haptophytes (CASH lineages). Genome Biology 377 and Evolution 6: 666–684. Ponce-Toledo RI, Deschamps P, López-García P, Zivanovic Y, Benzerara K, Moreira D. 2017. 378 379 An early-branching freshwater cyanobacterium at the origin of plastids. *Current Biology* 27: 380 386-391. 381 Ponce-Toledo RI, Moreira D, López-García P, Deschamps P. 2018. Secondary plastids of 382 euglenids and chlorarachniophytes function with a mix of genes of red and green algal ancestry. 383 *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **35**: 2198–2204. 384 Qiu H, Price DC, Weber APM, Facchinelli F, Yoon HS, Bhattacharya D. 2013. Assessing the bacterial contribution to the plastid proteome. Trends in Plant Science 18: 680-687. 385 386 Reves-Prieto A, Hackett JD, Soares MB, Bonaldo MF, Bhattacharva D. 2006. Cyanobacterial 387 contribution to algal nuclear genomes is primarily limited to plastid functions. *Current Biology* 388 **16**: 2320–2325. 389 Sato N, Awai K. 2017. "Prokaryotic pathway" is not prokaryotic: Noncyanobacterial origin of the 390 chloroplast lipid biosynthetic pathway revealed by comprehensive phylogenomic analysis. 391 Genome Biology and Evolution 9: 3162–3178. 392 Singer A, Poschmann G, Mühlich C, Valadez-Cano C, Hänsch S, Hüren V, Rensing SA, 393 Stühler K, Nowack ECM. 2017. Massive protein import into the early-evolutionary-stage 394 photosynthetic organelle of the amoeba Paulinella chromatophora. Current Biology 27: 2763-395 2773. 396 Tyra HM, Linka M, Weber AP, Bhattacharya D. 2007. Host origin of plastid solute transporters 397 in the first photosynthetic eukaryotes. Genome Biology 8: R212. 398 Woehle C, Dagan T, Landan G, Vardi A, Rosenwasser S. 2017. Expansion of the redox-sensitive 399 proteome coincides with the plastid endosymbiosis. *Nature Plants* **3**: 17066. 400 Yang Y, Maruyama S, Sekimoto H, Sakayama H, Nozaki H. 2011. An extended phylogenetic 401 analysis reveals ancient origin of "non-green" phosphoribulokinase genes from two lineages of

- 402 "green" secondary photosynthetic eukaryotes: Euglenophyta and Chlorarachniophyta. *BMC*
- 403 *Research Notes* **4**: 330.
- 404 Yoon HS, Hackett JD, Pinto G, Bhattacharya D. 2002. The single, ancient origin of chromist
   405 plastids. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 99: 15507–15512.
- 406 Záhonová K, Füssy Z, Birčák E, Novák Vanclová AMG, Klimeš V, Vesteg M, Krajčovič J,
- 407 **Oborník M, Eliáš M. 2018.** Peculiar features of the plastids of the colourless alga *Euglena*
- 408 *longa* and photosynthetic euglenophytes unveiled by transcriptome analyses. *Scientific Reports*
- 409 **8**: 17012.
- 410 Zhang R, Nowack ECM, Price DC, Bhattacharya D, Grossman AR. 2017. Impact of light
- 411 intensity and quality on chromatophore and nuclear gene expression in *Paulinella*
- 412 *chromatophora*, an amoeba with nascent photosynthetic organelles. *Plant Journal* **90**: 221–234.
- 413

#### 414 Figure legends

**Fig. 1** The distribution of photosynthesis in the global eukaryotic phylogeny. Colored solid branches correspond to photosynthetic lineages endowed with primary plastids and colored dashed branches to lineages with secondary plastids (green and red colors indicate the type of secondary endosymbiont, green or red algae, respectively). Blue arrows show the two known primary endosymbioses (in Archaeplastida and *Paulinella*) and green and red arrows indicate the secondary endosymbioses involving green and red algal endosymbionts. Grey branches correspond to nonphotosynthetic eukaryotic phyla. The tree has been largely modified from Adl *et al.*, 2012.

422

Fig. 2 Light microscopy image of *Paulinella chromatophora*. The pigmented *Synechococcus*-like
primary plastids are easily visible within the cytoplasm. Scale bar: 10 μm. Image courtesy of Eva
Nowack (Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf).

Character	Archaeplastida	Paulinella	Reference
Plastid genome size	100-200 kbp	1021 kbp	Nowack et al., 2008
Number of plastid genes	80-250	911	Nowack et al., 2008
EGTs in plastid proteome	70-390	>70	Qiu et al., 2013; Singer et al., 2017; Nowack et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017
Non-cyanobacterial prokaryotic proteins in plastid proteome	40-240	>170	Qiu et al., 2013; Singer et al., 2017; Nowack et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017
Host-derived and proteins of uncertain origin in plastid proteome	320-900	>390	Qiu et al., 2013; Singer et al., 2017; Nowack et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017
Lineage age estimation	>1000 Myr	90-140 Myr	Eme et al., 2014; Delaye et al., 2016
Import system of nucleus- encoded proteins into the plastid	Translocons at the outer and inner plastid membranes (TOC/TIC complex)	Vesicles of the host endomembrane system fuse with the outer plastid membrane and proteins cross the inner membrane through a simplified TIC translocon	Mackiewicz et al., 2012
Phagotrophic capacity	Lost in Rhodophyta, Glaucophyta, and most Viridiplantae but preserved in some prasinophytes	Lost	Gagat & Mackiewicz, 2017
Peptidoglycan wall	Present in Glaucophyta and some Viridiplantae species	Present	Gagat & Mackiewicz, 2017

**Table 1** Comparison of plastid characters between Archaeplastida and *Paulinella chromatophora* 



Ponce-Toledo et al., Fig. 1



Ponce-Toledo et al., Fig. 2