



**HAL**  
open science

## Joint torque estimation during a squat motion

Olivier Bordron, Clément Huneau, Éric Le Carpentier, Yannick Aoustin

► **To cite this version:**

Olivier Bordron, Clément Huneau, Éric Le Carpentier, Yannick Aoustin. Joint torque estimation during a squat motion. Congrès Français de Mécanique (Brest), Aug 2019, Brest, France. hal-02362513

**HAL Id: hal-02362513**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02362513v1>**

Submitted on 13 Nov 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Joint torque estimation during a squat motion.

Olivier BORDRON<sup>a</sup> Clément HUNEAU Éric LE CARPENTIER Yannick  
AUSTIN

Laboratoire des Sciences du Numérique de Nantes, UMR CNRS 6004,  
1 rue de la Noë, BP 92101 F-44321 Nantes Cedex 3, France,  
Ecole Centrale de Nantes, Université de Nantes,  
<sup>a</sup> corresponding author : olivier.bordron@univ-nantes.fr

## Résumé :

*Un mouvement répété sur une longue période, l'accoutumance à une mauvaise posture, la manipulation de charges lourdes peuvent entraîner des troubles musculosquelettiques (TMS). Il est important de prévenir les TMS en automatisant les tâches répétitives. Mais il est également nécessaire d'aider un patient en phase de rééducation lorsqu'une TMS apparaît. En ce qui concerne le système musculosquelettique humain, les couples articulaires développés par les muscles sont utiles pour prévenir ou traiter les TMS. La connaissance de ces couples est importante pour évaluer les possibilités d'assister une articulation avec une orthèse par exemple. Dans cette étude, nous analysons un mouvement de demi-squat spécifique considéré comme un mouvement planaire. Les couples articulaires sont estimés à l'aide de deux modèles : un modèle 3D sur Opensim et un modèle sagittal sur Matlab. Les variations de couple issues des deux modèles sont cohérentes même si les amplitudes peuvent différer. Ce résultat s'explique par le fait que les modèles se basent sur des tables anthropométriques différentes. Une autre stratégie développée ici consiste à estimer les couples articulaires sans utiliser la mesure des forces de réaction du sol sur les pieds. Dans ce cas, la force de réaction verticale totale est bien estimée. L'utilisation du modèle sagittal Matlab est un moyen simple et efficace d'analyser préalablement les trajectoires de squat.*

## Abstract :

*A repetitive movement over a long period of time, habituation to poor posture, handling heavy load can lead to musculoskeletal disorders. The most important thing is to prevent MSDs by automating repetitive tasks. But it is also necessary to help a patient with rehabilitation when an MDS has appeared. Regarding the human musculoskeletal system of movement analyses, joint torques developed by muscles are useful to prevent or treat MSDs. The knowledge of these torques is important to evaluate the possibilities of assisting a joint with an orthosis for instance. In this study, we analyze a specific half squat motion considered as a planar movement. Joint torques are estimated with two models -a 3D Opensim and a sagittal Matlab model. Torque variations from the two models are consistent even if the amplitudes differ. This result is attributable to the different anthropometric tables which the two models are based on. Another strategy developed here consists in estimating joint torques without the measured ground reaction forces. In that case, global vertical reaction force is well estimated. The use of the sagittal Matlab model is a simple and efficient way to preliminarily analyze squat trajectories.*

**Keywords : squat/robotics/motion capture/force plate**

# 1 Introduction

A repetitive movement over a long period of time, habituation to poor posture, handling heavy load can lead to musculoskeletal disorders (*MSDs*). They are the leading cost drivers in the workers compensation system [1]. The most important thing is to prevent MSDs by automating repetitive tasks. Another solution is to assist the operator with a passive exoskeleton [2] to maintain posture or active [3], [4]. But it is also necessary to help a patient with rehabilitation when an MDS has appeared [5]. Regarding the human musculoskeletal system of movement analyses, joint torques developed by muscles are useful to prevent or treat MSDs. Often for these analyses the squat movement is routinely prescribed by physical therapists and sport medicine physicians [6]. In this paper authors demonstrate a technique to calculate the EMG instantaneous median frequency to assess muscle fatigue during a dynamic exercise commonly prescribed in patients with an anterior cruciate ligament deficiency. There are a lot of studies, which are based on the squat movement. Without being exhaustive we can mention the following interesting works. Hwang *et al* [7] show that for two different symmetrical lifting techniques squat and stoop, there are no significant differences in maximum lumbar joint moments. Bonnet *et al* [8] estimate in real-time the lower-limb joint and torso kinematics by using a single inertial measurement unit placed on the lower back. Wei *et al* [9] compare the kinematics of young and elderly subjects during normal squatting activity. They observe that the elderly enjoys more hip flexion/extension angles than the young, with the squatting posture assumed. Their purpose is to establish a standard of designing lower extremity prosthesis.

These studies focus mainly on the kinematics of movement. However, there is no investigation into the estimation of joint torques developed by muscles. The knowledge of these torques is important to evaluate the possibilities of assisting a joint with an orthosis for example. Our study is also based on a squat movement. Considering that this movement takes place in the sagittal plane our strategy is to evaluate the torques with a simplified sagittal model as follows. During the squat experimental data are recorded with a motion capture device and two force plates. An *Opensim* Model allows us to estimate the position, velocity and acceleration of the ankle, knee and hip joints of the human subject. The main advantage of musculoskeletal models is to provide a non-invasive means to study human movement [10], [11]. Then a simplified inverse dynamic model of a biped, taking into account explicitly the contact with the ground, is designed. Several cases are considered to compare the articular torques calculated thanks to a sagittal model with the torques estimated with *OpenSim*. Our objective is to define an efficient but simple tool, which is based on the dynamical effects of the lower-limbs to size an orthosis. This paper is outlined as follows. Section 2 presents the used material and the methods. Section 3 are devoted to the analyze of the experimental and numerical results. Section 4 offers our conclusion and perspectives.

## 2 Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Squat motion

The squat movement studied is defined as a four-step cycle so that it can be repeated in a continuous loop by the subject. The total duration of that cycle is  $T = 4s$  and each step lasts one second. In its initial state, the subject stands upright with his knees slightly bent (Figure 1a). The arms are stretched

horizontally. The trunk is also slightly inclined with respect to the longitudinal axis. From this posture, the cycle is defined as follows :

1. The subject goes down bending his knees while keeping his arms straight and his trunk slightly inclined forward. At the end of this step, the subject's thighs are parallel to the ground in order to perform a half squat (Figure 1b).
2. This pose is then maintained before starting the ascent step.
3. The subject returns to the initial pose (Figure 1c).
4. The initial pose is maintained.




FIGURE 1 – Initial, intermediate and final position of the defined squat motion.

## 2.2 Data acquisition

The Motion Capture experiment was conducted with a 25-year-old woman, height  $H = 1.73$  m and mass  $M = 62$  kg. A set of 17 marker arrays were placed on the subject's head, trunk, arms, legs and feet. During the movement, the spatial coordinates of the marker arrays were estimated by the ART IR acquisition system using eight cameras with an acquisition frequency of 60 Hz. Two force plates were used to measure the center of pressure of each foot of the subject on the ground as well as the ground reaction forces and moments.

A total of  $N = 20$  squats were performed by the subject according to the motion defined in 2.1. To eliminate the measurement noise, we applied a Butterworth low-pass filter to all signals with a cut-off frequency of 5 Hz. From the data of the force plates and more specifically the global vertical ground reaction, a rupture detection method -the CUSUM algorithm [12]- was employed to detect initial cycle times. All data presented in Section 3 were therefore averaged with respect to the  $N$  cycles.

## 2.3 Opensim Model

The model developed on the software *Opensim* is based on the Rajagopal model [10]. It is a complete musculoskeletal model designed to perform dynamic simulations of human movement. For the dynamic analysis of squat movement, this model has been adapted with unilateral constraints between the ground and both feet so that they are in full flat contact with the ground (Fig. 2). Virtual markers are defined in respect to the different bodies of the model.

The estimation of the articular torques with this model is done in three steps. First, a scaling step is required to adjust the size, mass distribution and position of the *Opensim* model's virtual markers. From a static trial, the position data of the experimental markers are recorded via the motion capture system. Then, the position deviation between the experimental markers and their corresponding virtual marker is minimized in the sense of least squares. The optimization variables are the segment lengths.

Then, several squat trials are carried out by the subject. The position of the experimental markers are imported on *Opensim*. The inverse kinematic step consists in minimizing a least squares problem in order to model the performed motions. Finally, the inverse dynamic step enables the joint torques estimation from the forces measured by the force plates and the motions obtained by the inverse kinematic model.

## 2.4 Matlab Model

### 2.4.1 Anthropomorphic parameters

A sagittal model of a nine-link planar biped is designed in order to evaluate the joint torques, which are developed during the squat motion. The physical parameters of this model are obtained from Winter's anthropometric table [13] by considering the height and weight of the subject. The planar biped has a trunk, two arms, two thighs, two shins and two feet, see Fig. 3. Table 1 lists the physical parameters used. For each segment  $i = 1 \dots 9$ ,  $L_i$  corresponds to the segment length,  $m_i$  its mass,  $s_i$  defines its center of mass position and  $I_i$  its moment of inertia in respect of the sagittal plane.

To describe the biped configuration, we choose the following generalized vector  $\mathbf{q}$  :

$$\mathbf{q} = [q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5, q_6, q_7, q_{p_1}, q_{p_2}, x_h, y_h]$$

Its components are defined Fig. 3.

### 2.4.2 Unilateral constraints between the ground and the stance foot

For the studied squat motion, both feet have a flat contact with the ground. In the sagittal plane, the action of the ground on each foot can be modeled as a wrench with three components, expressed at  $A_i$ , as illustrated in Figure 4 such as :

$$\mathbf{r}_i = \begin{bmatrix} r_{ix} \\ r_{iy} \\ M_{iz} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2\}. \quad (1)$$

The contact is rigid, so that the conditions of feet flat contact on the ground can be written as in (2).

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{A_i} \\ y_{A_i} \\ q_{p_i} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -H_f \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2\} \quad (2)$$

By deriving (2), we obtained the Jacobian matrices of contact between each foot and the ground, expressed in point  $A_i$ .

$$\mathbf{J}_i \dot{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 1}, \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2\} \quad (3)$$




FIGURE 2 – Full-Body Musculoskeletal *Opensim* Model for the squat movement. Virtual markers are associated with bodies of the model and defined according to their anatomical landmarks. The platforms allow to model a flat contact between the ground and the feet of the model.




FIGURE 3 – Model of the planed biped. a) Parameterization of the biped. Note that angles are positive in counterclockwise. b) Length segments and position of centers of mass.

The non-rotation of the supporting feet reflects the dynamic equilibrium of the feet during the squat motion. This state can be described by the trajectory of the CoP of each foot. This particular point, let us call it  $P_i$ , represents the application point of the ground reaction forces such as  $M_{iz} = 0$ , for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . That definition leads to :

$$x_{P_i} = \frac{\Gamma_{ia} + m_f g s f_x - H_f r_{ix}}{r_{iy}}, \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2\} \quad (4)$$

$$-L_d \leq x_{P_i} \leq L_f, \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2\} \quad (5)$$

The condition of dynamic equilibrium of the feet can be expressed by the inequalities of (5).

### 2.4.3 Dynamic model

The dynamic model of the nine-links planar model is defined as follows :

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{q})\ddot{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}})\dot{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\Gamma} + \mathbf{J}_1^\top \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2^\top \mathbf{r}_2 \quad (6)$$

This model provides a link between the  $8 \times 1$  joint torque vector  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ , the  $3 \times 1$  ground reaction wrenches  $\mathbf{r}_1$  and  $\mathbf{r}_2$  applied to respectively the feet 1 and 2, and the bodies motion defining the biped.  $\mathbf{B}$  is a  $11 \times 8$  matrix resulting from the writing of virtual works and is composed of 0, 1, and  $-1$ . Matrices  $\mathbf{J}_1^\top$  and  $\mathbf{J}_2^\top$  are the  $11 \times 3$  transposed jacobian matrices, which convert ground reaction wrenches at both feet 1 and 2 in torques applied to the different joints of the biped.  $\mathbf{D}$  is the  $11 \times 11$  symmetric positive inertia matrix,  $\mathbf{C}$  is the  $11 \times 11$  Coriolis effect matrix and  $\mathbf{G}$  is the  $11 \times 1$  gravity effect vector.

### 2.4.4 Calculation of the joint torques vector $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ and the ground reaction wrenches $\mathbf{r}_1$ and $\mathbf{r}_2$

By the means of the motion capture acquisition system, kinematic data related to the squat motion were recorded for each sampling time. Consequently, the left part of the dynamic equation (6) can be calculated. The unknown variables are the  $8 \times 1$  joint torques vector  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$  and the  $3 \times 1$  ground reaction wrenches  $\mathbf{r}_1$  and  $\mathbf{r}_2$ . Then, the global model can be considered as a system with 11 equations and 14 unknown variables.

By considering the sagittal plane as a symmetric plane for the motion and the distribution of masses, let us consider that  $\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{r}_2 = \mathbf{r}$ . In this way, the inverse dynamic model can be totally resolved by using (7). Let us remark that the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & (\mathbf{J}_1^\top + \mathbf{J}_2^\top) \end{bmatrix}$  has always been invertible in our numerical tests.

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & (\mathbf{J}_1^\top + \mathbf{J}_2^\top) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \\ \mathbf{r} \end{bmatrix} &= \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{q})\ddot{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}})\dot{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q}) \\ \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \\ \mathbf{r} \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & (\mathbf{J}_1^\top + \mathbf{J}_2^\top) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} (\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{q})\ddot{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}})\dot{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q})) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

### 2.4.5 Calculation of the joint torques vector $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}$ by the least mean squares estimation

During our trials, we were able to record the ground reaction forces applied to feet 1 and 2 by the means of two force plates. These data can be used to inverse the dynamic model (6). In that case, the global

system has more equations than unknown variables. The least mean squares estimation allows us to estimate the joint torques  $\hat{\Gamma}$  with (8), where  $\mathbf{B}^+$  is the  $8 \times 11$  pseudo-inverse matrix of  $\mathbf{B}$ .

$$\hat{\Gamma} = \mathbf{B}^+ \left( \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{q})\ddot{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}})\dot{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{J}_1^\top \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{J}_2^\top \mathbf{r}_2 \right) \quad (8)$$

## 3 Results

### 3.1 Kinematic and force plates data of the squat motion

The data resulting from the motion capture session were imported into *Opensim*. The inverse kinematic model was solved according to Section 2.3 to obtain angular variable trajectories for each joint. For the use of the sagittal *Matlab* model, motion trajectories have been projected in the sagittal plane. Figure 5 shows the angular positions and velocities of the projected motion according to parameters defined in Figure 3.

The analysis of the measured average trajectory of the pressure centre for the left and right foot is shown in Figure 6. For each of them, we can see the presence of a privileged direction. The trajectory of the global pressure centre -the average of the trajectories of the pressure centres for the left and right foot- evolves mainly along an axis parallel to the x axis. This result shows that the squat movement is a plane-type movement.

### 3.2 Comparison of the estimation of the joint torques between a 3D *Opensim* model and a sagittal *Matlab* model.

From the motion obtained previously (see Section 3.1), inverse dynamic model has been first resolved with the 3D *Opensim* model. From the projected trajectories in the sagittal plane (see Figure 5), the resolution was carried out by using (8). Figures 7 and 9 illustrate the results obtained from both resolutions for the left and right sides of the human body respectively.

We can observe that the torque estimation made by the two models at the ankle and knee joints are almost the same for both left and right sides. Nevertheless, the hip torque estimation made by the *Matlab* model differs from the *Opensim* model. Variations in the anthropomorphic data used in both models can lead to these differences.

The few torques variations of both shoulders are due to the gravity effect in order to maintain a stable position.

### 3.3 *Matlab* solution in the sagittal plane

In a simulation approach, to study other squat trajectories, it may be interesting to solve the dynamic model by freeing us from the measured data. In this case, an assumption on the efforts distribution is necessary. As shown with (7), joint torques and reaction forces can be calculated by assuming that the subject distributes the forces equally over each of his feet. The global calculated reaction forces  $R_x$  and  $R_y$  are illustrated Figure 8 in green lines. Figure 10 shows the average measured distribution for each foot in blue lines.

From Figure 10, we can see that the magnitude of the vertical reaction is greater for the right foot than for the left one in average. This means that the subject uses the right foot to a higher extent during the experiment. Consequently, the assumption of equally distribution on both feet is not valid. Nevertheless, Figure 8 shows that the global vertical reaction is quite well estimated in average. The use of the sagittal



*Matlab* model combined with the extracted kinematic data is in accordance with the global external forces measured.

Under the assumption symmetric effort distribution, the calculated joint torques are the same at the left side of the human model than at the right one. By averaging the torques estimated by *Opensim* on the left side with those on the right side, we can compare the two models (Figures 7 and 9).

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, a half squat movement has been studied. Joint trajectories and external forces have been reported for a complete squat cycle. These data were integrated into two distinct models : a 3D *Opensim* model and a *Matlab* planar model. An estimation of the joint torques was made in both cases. The analysis of this latter shows a coherence between the two models. It also highlights a variability according to the anthropometric data used.

On the other hand, from the planar model, we have shown that it is possible to completely solve the inverse dynamic model by making an assumption on the distribution of forces. In this case, we saw that the total vertical reaction was well estimated. These results underline the interest of using a planar model for a squat movement.

	Foot	Calf	Thigh	Trunk	Arm
Segment Weight (kg)	$m_f = 0.90$	$m_c = 2.88$	$m_t = 6.20$	$m_T = 35.8$	$m_a = 3.10$
Segment Length (m)	$L_f = 0.068$ $L_d = 0.196$ $H_f = 0.068$	$L_c = 0.426$	$L_t = 0.424$	$L_T = 0.813$ $H_a = 0.498$	$L_a = 0.761$
Inertia (kg.m <sup>2</sup> )	$I_f = 0.0087$	$I_c = 0.0476$	$I_t = 0.1162$	$I_T = 2.2508$	$I_a = 0.1385$
Center of mass (m)	$sf_x = 0.098$ $sf_y = 0.034$	$s_c = 0.184$	$s_t = 0.184$	$s_T = 0.329$	$s_a = 0.304$

TABLE 1 – Anthropomorphic parameters of the human planar model.




FIGURE 4 – Torques and forces acting on the foot.

[N.m]	Least Mean Squares								Calculation			
	Left				Right				$C_{SM}$	$\bar{\epsilon}$	$\sigma_{\epsilon}$	$\max \epsilon $
	$C_{SM}$	$\bar{\epsilon}$	$\sigma_{\epsilon}$	$\max \epsilon $	$C_{SM}$	$\bar{\epsilon}$	$\sigma_{\epsilon}$	$\max \epsilon $				
<b>Ankle</b>	31.4	1.1	0.45	2.6	27.5	1.6	0.51	3.1	37.1	8.9	2.4	16.4
<b>Knee</b>	-21.7	0.7	1.9	3.6	-31.9	1.4	1.0	4.5	-21.9	5.9	1.6	9.5
<b>Hip</b>	38.3	9.0	2.7	13.5	35.1	11.1	4.4	16.7	39.8	13.2	4.0	19.6
<b>Shoulder</b>	7.1	-1.6	0.38	2.9	7.1	-1.6	0.41	2.9	9.2	0.47	0.08	0.67




FIGURE 5 – Kinematic data of the squat motion realized. Angular positions and velocities are represented respectively on the left and right column. For each plot, the average trajectory is in solid line and the standard deviation envelope is delimited in dashed lines.




FIGURE 6 – Measured and calculated center of pressure trajectories.  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  corresponds to the left and right projected position of the ankle estimated with *Opensim*.




FIGURE 7 – Joint torques estimation of the squat motion realised (left side).




FIGURE 8 – Comparison between total measured forces and total calculated forces.




FIGURE 9 – Joint torques calculated by the *Matlab* model (green lines) and estimated by the *Opensim* model where left and right sides have been averaged (violet lines).




FIGURE 10 – Weight distribution on each foot as a function of time.



## Références

- [1] M. R. Cusimano-Reaston, B. Carney, Legal changes necessitate proactive management of musculoskeletal disorders : The role of electrodiagnostic functional assessment soft tissue management program, in : Proc. of 33rd Annual international conference of IEEE EMBS, Boston, Massachusetts USA, 2011, pp. 7570–7573.
- [2] Y. Aoustin, A. Formalskii, Walking of biped with passive exoskeleton : evaluation of energy consumption, *Multibody System Dynamics* 43 (1) (2018) 71–96.
- [3] Y. Aoustin, Walking gait of a biped with a wearable walking assist device, *Int. J. of Humanoid Robotics* 12 (2) (2015) 1550018–1–11550018–20, DOI : 10.1142/S0219843615500188.
- [4] H. Kazerooni, R. Steger, The berkeley lower extremity exoskeleton, *Trans. ASME J. Dynamic Systems Measurement and Control* 128 (1) (2006) 14–25.
- [5] A. S. Yusof, A. I.Che-Ani, Z. Hussain, N. Hamzah, R. Boudville, M. Rahman, Back-drivability of powered knee orthosis for knee flexion and knee extension, in : Proc. of 7th IEEE Int. Conf. on Control Systems, Computing and Engineering (ICCSCE 2017), Penang, Malaysia, 2017, pp. 331–335.
- [6] P. Bonato, M. S. Cheng, J. Gonzalez-Cueto, A. L. J. O’Connor, S. H. Roy, Emg-based measures of fatigue during a repetitive squat exercise, *IEEE Engineering in medicine and biology Magazine* 20 (6) (2018) 133–143.
- [7] S. Hwang, Y. Kim, Y. Kim, Joint kinetics and lumbar curvatures during symmetric lifting : squat and stoop, in : Proc. of Int. Conf. on BioMedical Engineering and Informatics, Vol. 8, Sanya, Hainan China, 2008, pp. 818–822.
- [8] V. Bonnet, C. Mazzà, P. Fraisse, Real-time estimate of body kinematics during a planar squat task using a single inertial measurement unit, *IEEE Trans. on Biomedical engineering* 60 (7) (2013) 1920–1926.
- [9] S. Wei, H. Zhou, X. Li, C. Wang, Kinematics of lower extremity for the young and elderly chinese population during squatting, in : Proc. of Int. Conf. on BioMedical Engineering and Informatics, Dalian China, 2014, pp. 578–582.
- [10] A. Rajagopal, C. L. Dembia, M. S. DeMers, D. D. Delp, J. L. Hicks, S. L. Delp, Full-body musculoskeletal model for muscle-driven simulation of human gait, *IEEE Trans. on Biomedical engineering* 63 (10) (2016) 2068–2079.
- [11] G. Durandau, D. Farina, M. Sartori, Robust real-time musculoskeletal modeling driven by electromyograms, *IEEE Trans. on Biomedical engineering* 65 (3) (2018) 556–564.
- [12] E. S. Page, Continuous inspection schemes, *Biometrika* 41 (1-2) (1954) 100–115.
- [13] D. A. Winter, *Biomechanics and motor control of human movement*, John Wiley and Sons, 2009.