

1 Running head: MOTHERS' EXPECTANCY EFFECTS

2
3 Mothers' Expectancies and Young Adolescents

4 Perceived Physical Competence : A Year-long Study

5
6 Julien E. Bois and Philippe G. Sarrazin

7 University of Grenoble, France

8 Robert J. Brustad

9 University of Northern Colorado, United States

10 David O. Trouilloud

11 University of Grenoble, France

12 François Cury

13 University of Marseille, France

14
15 **Journal of Early adolescence (2002), 22(4), 384-406.**

16 Address for correspondence:

17 Philippe Sarrazin, Ph.D.

18 Laboratoire d'Etudes et de Recherches sur l'Offre Sportive - UPRES 540

19 UFRAPS - Université Grenoble I. BP 53 - 38041 Grenoble Cedex 9 - France.

20 Phone: 33 4 76 51 42 29 ; Fax: 33 4 76 51 44 69

21 e-mail: philippe.sarrazin@ujf-grenoble.fr

22
23 This study was facilitated by a grant from the Direction Départementale de la Jeunesse
24 et des Sport 26 and the Comité Départemental Olympique et Sportif de la Drôme

25
26 We thank Gerard Mels for his patient help and his extensive advice on Lisrel. We would also
27 like to acknowledge Dr. Ellen Thornburg and three anonymous reviewers for their insightful
28 comments on earlier versions of the manuscript.

ABSTRACT

1
2
3 Perceived physical competence can be an important predictor of the physical activity behavior
4 of children and adolescents. Investigated in this study was the role of mothers' expectancies
5 effects in shaping their child's perceived physical competence. Data were obtained from 156
6 French children and young adolescents and their mothers. Structural equation modeling
7 revealed that mothers' perceptions of their child's physical competence predicted their child's
8 own perceived physical competence one year later, independent of the child's previously
9 demonstrated physical ability and the child's initial level of perceived competence. Child's
10 gender moderated the relation in that mothers' perceptions of their daughters' competence
11 were related significantly to their child's perceived competence but that relation was not
12 present between mothers and sons.

13

14 **KEY WORDS:** expectancy effects, perceived competence, physical activity, parental
15 socialization, motivation.

Mothers' Expectancies and Young Adolescents

Perceived Physical Competence: A Year-long Study

Over the past two decades, a number of theoretical models have been developed for the purpose of assisting researchers in understanding and explaining differences among individuals regarding their motivation, performance, and behavior in achievement contexts (e.g., Bandura 1997; Deci & Ryan, 1985; Dweck & Leggett, 1988; Eccles, Adler, Futterman, Goff, Kaczala, Meece & Midgley, 1983; Harter, 1981; Nicholls, 1989; Vallerand, 1997). Although those theories differ from each other in a number of important ways, all of them stress the importance of self-perceptions of ability (e.g., perceived competence, self-concept of ability, self-efficacy) as antecedents of, and contributors to, motivational and affective outcomes. Within the domain of sport and physical activity, self-perception characteristics have been fundamental components of theoretical and empirical work and perceived physical competence has been the most commonly studied self-perception.

The knowledge base on children's and adolescents' sport participation and physical activity involvement has been grounded similarly in the role of young people's self-perception characteristics as such appraisals are anticipated to influence motivational patterns, affective experiences, and behavioral outcomes. Because physical activity and sport involvement typically are 'free choice' leisure-time activities, young people's motivation to be involved in the physical domain are likely to reflect strongly on their domain-specific competence appraisals (Eccles & Harold, 1991).

The current knowledge base strongly indicates that young people's perceptions of their own physical abilities are instrumental in shaping their choices to participate in physical activity and sport as well as on the affective characteristics of that involvement. Specifically, higher levels of perceived physical competence have been linked to young people's interest

1 and desire to engage in physical activity and sport (e.g., Brustad, 1993, 1996; Feltz &
2 Petlichkoff, 1983) and appears to contribute to higher levels of enjoyment (Scanlan, Stein, &
3 Ravizza, 1989) and lower levels of anxiety while involved (Passer, 1983; Scanlan &
4 Lewthwaite, 1986). Thus, physical competence has been instrumental particularly in
5 understanding the participatory characteristics of children and adolescents in this achievement
6 context (see also Roberts, 1992; Weiss & Chaumeton, 1992 for reviews).

7 Children's socialization into physical activity and sport is a particularly worthy area of
8 interest because the knowledge base indicates that physical activity and sport involvement can
9 affect young people's physical and psychological health, as well as the quality of their social
10 relationships. For example, children's involvement in physical activity affects their current
11 health status and tendency toward obesity, as well their predisposition to a variety of potential
12 health risks during adolescence and adulthood (Clark & Blair, 1988; Durrant, Linder, &
13 Mahoney, 1983). With regard to psychological and social outcomes, physical activity and
14 sport participation affect children's self-concept, self-esteem, friendship formation and
15 maintenance, and peer acceptance, among other outcomes (for more complete reviews see
16 Brustad, Babkes, & Smith, 2001; Martinsen & Stephens, 1994). Given the multiple benefits
17 that accrue from the regular practice of physical activity, it is important to identify the factors
18 that are related to the development of children's perceptions of competence, given its role in
19 affecting physical activity and sport involvement.

20 Researchers have identified a variety of contributors to perceived physical competence
21 for children and adolescents (see Horn & Amorose, 1998 for a review). Among those, social
22 influences appear to constitute a crucial source of information for children to estimate their
23 competence. Significant others, including teachers, coaches, parents, siblings, and peers
24 assume that important socialization role by providing feedback, support, and opportunities for
25 young people in this domain (Brustad, 1992, 1993, 1996; Brustad et al., 2001; Horn & Weiss,

1 1991; Jacobs & Eccles, 1992; Weiss, Ebbeck & Horn, 1997). When considering social
2 influences, parents, particularly, seem to be fundamental contributors to perceived physical
3 competence for children and young adolescents (Brustad, 1992; Eccles, Jacobs & Harold,
4 1990; Greendorfer, 1992; Harter, 1981; Horn & Hasbrook, 1986; Woolger & Power, 1993).
5 That influence might be even greater in the sport domain than in other domains because
6 parents are likely to be the ones who provide and structure initial sport opportunities for their
7 sons and daughters (Greendorfer, Lewko, & Rosengren, 1996) and frequently are engaged
8 more actively in their children's sport experiences than in other achievement areas (Brustad et
9 al., 2001). Unfortunately, only a limited amount of research to date has examined the ways in
10 which parents influence children's sport-related self-perceptions.

11 The relative socialization influence of parents in sport is related also to the
12 developmental status of their children. Research indicates that the particular sources of
13 competence information to which individuals most closely attend varies in relation to the
14 individual's developmental status (e.g., Frieze & Bar-Tal, 1980; Horn & Hasbrook, 1986).
15 Research on preferred sources of competence information has indicated that children and
16 young adolescents have a preference for using adult sources of feedback in forming
17 perceptions of competence across achievement areas (Frieze & Bar-Tal, 1980; Horn &
18 Hasbrook, 1986; Horn & Weiss, 1991). Parents are particularly important sources of
19 information for young people in forming self-perceptions of ability, because it is during late
20 childhood and early adolescence that many young people engage in certain achievement
21 domains, such as sport, for the first time. A developmental shift in utilization of competence
22 information sources begins to occur around 12 to 14 years of age in that adolescents in this
23 age range begin to rely more heavily on peer-based informational sources. Specifically,
24 adolescents in this age range typically actively compare their abilities to their peers and are
25 more attentive to the feedback and appraisals provided by peers than at other phases of the

1 lifecycle. During later adolescence, individuals use a variety of information sources,
2 including peer comparison, peer evaluation, and internal sources of information (Horn &
3 Hasbrook, 1986; Horn & Weiss, 1991). Although those developmental tendencies occur, the
4 importance of parental feedback about competence during childhood and early adolescence
5 cannot be underestimated due to the central role of parental socialization influence on their
6 children in various achievement areas during those developmental phases

7 A number of forms of parental influence have been identified in the literature. First,
8 modeling or identification with the parents (e.g., Bandura, 1986; Crandall, 1963), has been
9 proposed as a primary form of parental influence. In relation to the parental modeling
10 hypothesis, it is anticipated that children will reproduce the behaviors of their parents and
11 adopt their parents' system of beliefs. Those outcomes are anticipated to occur primarily as a
12 result of observational and social learning processes. Although the modeling hypothesis has
13 received some support in the sport context (e.g., Gregson & Colley, 1996; Freedson &
14 Evenson, 1991; Moore et al., 1991), other researchers have failed to find adequate support for
15 that explanation in academic contexts (e.g., Parsons, Adler, & Kaczala, 1982) or in sport (e.g.,
16 Brustad, 1996; Dempsey, Kimiecik, & Horn, 1993). Thus, it has been suggested that parental
17 modeling might be an important form of parental influence but that, at minimum, modeling
18 needs to be considered in conjunction with other forms of influence (Brustad, 1996; Parsons
19 et al., 1982).

20 A second general category of parental influence on children's perceived physical
21 competence has been proposed to occur as a result of parental acceptance and support. The
22 results of various studies have revealed a significant, positive link to children's perceived
23 physical competence through parental encouragement (e.g., Brustad, 1993, 1996), parental
24 satisfaction with the child's performance (e.g., Scanlan & Lewthwaite, 1986), and active
25 parental involvement in the child's sport activities (e.g., Babkes & Weiss, 1999; Dempsey et

1 al., 1993). Through those positive affective responses, parents implicitly convey the idea to
2 the child that he or she has sufficient competence to benefit from continued participation.

3 A third crucial dimension of parental influence on children's sport-related self-
4 perceptions can occur as the consequence of parental belief systems (Eccles et al., 1983;
5 Eccles & Harold, 1991). From a socialization perspective, important components of parental
6 belief systems include parents' perceptions of the child's competencies in various
7 achievement domains, parents' beliefs about the relative value or importance of varying
8 achievement domains (e.g., academics, art, music, sports, etc.), and parents' expectations that
9 their child will attain success in a given domain. Parental beliefs can contribute to actual
10 outcomes through expectancy effects. An "expectancy effect" designates the phenomenon
11 through which the expectancy that one person (the perceiver) holds concerning another person
12 (the other) affects (a) the other's perception of himself or herself, (b) the other's behavior, and
13 (c) the interpretation of the other's behavior by the perceiver (see Jussim, 1986; Miller &
14 Turnbull, 1986; Snyder & Stukas, 1999). Several theoretical models have been used to
15 explain the phenomenon (e.g., Cooper & Good, 1983; Jussim, 1986). With regard to
16 influences on young people's self-perceptions those models usually consist of three stages: (a)
17 the perceiver develops expectations for the other's future achievement, (b) the other is treated
18 differently than other children in relation to those expectations, and (c) the differential
19 treatment influences the specific socialization experiences that the other person receives, and
20 eventually shapes the other's own behavior and self-perceptions.

21 The relation between parental belief systems and children's self-perceptions of ability
22 has been the focus of several empirical investigations (e.g., Frome & Eccles, 1998; Parsons et
23 al., 1982; Phillips, 1987). Those studies have revealed that children's perceptions of their
24 academic ability are linked strongly to their parents' beliefs about the child's capacity and that
25 parental belief systems typically supersede in importance the actual ability information

1 available to the child (as measured by standardized achievement tests or academic grades) in
2 contributing to the child's perceived competence. In other words, children's perceived ability
3 typically is consistent more with their parents' expectations than with their own previous and
4 current academic performance. In the sport domain, studies have revealed a correspondence
5 between parents' perceptions of their child's competence and the child's perceived physical
6 competence (Babkes & Weiss, 1999; Dempsey et al., 1993; Kimiecik, Horn & Shurin, 1996;
7 McCullagh, Matzkanin, Shaw & Maldonado, 1993), even in cases in which actual levels of
8 physical ability were controlled statistically (Eccles, Jacobs & Harold 1990; Felson & Reed,
9 1986; Jacobs & Eccles, 1992). Studies by Eccles and colleagues (Eccles & Harold, 1991;
10 Eccles et al., 1990) also have revealed that parents' perceptions of their children's competence
11 in sport are gender differentiated, in that, parents typically rate their sons as having more
12 competence and interest in sports than they do their daughters. In line with those parental
13 belief systems, boys rate themselves as more competent in sports than do girls (e.g., Eccles &
14 Harold, 1991; Eccles et al., 1990; Felson & Reed, 1986). Although evidence exists that boys
15 generally are advanced more than girls are in some sport-related skills during childhood, those
16 "actual" differences are much smaller than the gender-of-child effects on either parent's
17 perceptions or children's self-ratings (Eccles et al., 1983; Eccles & Harold, 1991).

18 The means by which expectational effects occur can be explained by the Eccles
19 theoretical model of parental socialization (Eccles et al., 1983; Eccles & Harold, 1991). That
20 theory uses an expectancy-value framework to explain the relation between parental belief
21 systems and psychological outcomes for children. In accordance with the theory, parents
22 form expectancies about their children's abilities in various domains in relation to perceptions
23 of their children's attitudes, temperaments, interests, talents, and history of success.
24 Similarly, parents value certain achievement domains (e.g., mathematics, athletics, music)
25 more so than they do for other domains. As a consequence of parental expectancies and

1 values, and due to parental interest in providing their children with success experiences in the
2 most desirable achievement domains, parents provide greater encouragement and
3 participatory opportunities for their children in those achievement areas in which the parents
4 hold higher expectancies and stronger values. In turn, the expectations that parents
5 communicate to children can shape children's own success expectancies. Furthermore,
6 greater opportunities and encouragement in particular domains can foster children's abilities
7 in those domains, thus affirming the parents' initial expectancies.

8 Overall, the Eccles expectancy-value theory has received consistent support both in
9 academic and sport settings. Various studies have indicated that parental perceptions of their
10 children's abilities are strong predictors of their children's own self-perceptions of abilities
11 (e.g., Eccles & Harold, 1991; Felson & Reed, 1986; Phillips, 1987). However, some
12 limitations to those conclusions have to be acknowledged. As Frome and Eccles (1998) have
13 noted, a major problem with most previous work in this area is that investigations have relied
14 on data collected at a single point in time, making causal interpretation problematic. Indeed,
15 it seems obvious that parental influence requires some amount of time to operate.
16 Consequently, interpretation of such effects in cross-sectional designs might be problematic
17 because concurrent measurement of variables precludes such effects from occurring (Gollob
18 & Reichardt, 1991). In this case, longitudinal designs including autoregressive influence are
19 preferred (MacCallum & Austin, 2000). That is, if it is hypothesized that variable A at time 1
20 (A1; e.g., parent's perception of child's ability) influences variable B at time 2 (B2; e.g.,
21 child's self-perception of ability), the child's initial perceived competence (B1) also should be
22 measured and included in the model to understand the relation between B1 on B2 as well as
23 the relation between A1 and B1 (Gollob & Reichardt, 1991; MacCallum & Austin, 2000).

24 Another limitation with previous correlational designs has been the omitted-variable
25 problem, or the possibility that a relevant predictor was excluded from the analysis (Judd &

1 McClelland, 1989). In that regard, parental perceptions can appear to affect directly
2 children's ability perceptions when, in fact, both parental perceptions and children's self-
3 perceptions are influenced by an unmeasured third factor. Among the relevant factors likely
4 to influence parents' and children's perceptions could be the child's actual current
5 achievement level, and to truly test for an "expectancy effect" this variable should be
6 controlled statistically. Such is rarely the case. Only one study (Felson & Reed, 1986) was
7 identified in which objective tests were used to control statistically for the child's actual
8 performance. In two other studies (Eccles et al., 1990; Jacobs & Eccles, 1992) researchers
9 used teachers' estimation of the child's ability to assess actual ability, but there might be
10 limitations in the accuracy of teacher's estimations (Jussim, 1991a, 1991b).

11 A third limitation of previous studies has been that children's perceptions of parental
12 beliefs have been relied on rather than parents' own self-reports (e.g., Babkes & Weiss, 1999;
13 Brustad, 1993, 1996; Kimiecik et al., 1996). The reliance on self-reports from single sources
14 can be problematic, in that the associations identified might be due to shared method variance
15 rather than to actual relations involving the construct of interest. In line with that concern, a
16 final limitation pertains to the fact that parents' influence often is assessed without
17 distinguishing between the mother's and the father's beliefs (e.g., Brustad, 1993, 1996;
18 Dempsey et al., 1993; Kimiecik et al., 1996). Fathers and mothers might not share similar
19 appraisals of their child's aptitudes and abilities and it is likely that one parent might be more
20 influential in shaping the child's achievement-related beliefs. Because mothers typically are
21 immersed more fully in the rearing of their children during childhood and early adolescence,
22 mothers' perceptions particularly might be important in shaping children's achievement-
23 related beliefs (Ames & Archer, 1987), including in stereotypically masculine achievement
24 domains such as sport (Jacobs & Eccles, 1992). Research conducted by Parsons et al. (1982)
25 also indicated that mothers' beliefs were related more strongly than were fathers' beliefs to

1 children's achievement-related self-perceptions. Moreover, it has been suggested (Bussey &
2 Bandura, 1999) that a parent's influence is stronger for a child of the same gender. However,
3 previous studies (e.g., Eccles et al., 1990; Jacobs & Eccles, 1992; Frome & Eccles, 1998)
4 always have included child's gender as a control variable and gender as yet has not been used
5 as a moderator of the relation between parents' beliefs and their child's perceived
6 competence.

7 The Present Study

8 The main purpose for this study was to investigate the presence and extent of
9 expectancy effects of mothers' perceptions¹ of their child's capacity on children's and
10 adolescents' own perceptions of sport competence. Another concern was to extend the
11 current knowledge on parental socialization in the sport domain to a non-American sample.
12 Using a French sample provided an opportunity to test mothers' expectancy effects in another
13 western country. Special attention was devoted also to methodological concerns because
14 previous studies in which expectancy effects have been investigated always have not
15 accounted for other relevant variables. A 12-month study was conducted with data collected
16 at two points in time. Structural equation modeling (SEM) was used to determine whether
17 theoretically anticipated relations were found. SEM, which is useful particularly in
18 longitudinal research (Bentler, 1980; MacCallum & Austin, 2000), allows for examination of
19 hypothesized relations among all of the constructs involved in a model, using a latent
20 representation of these constructs that is less vulnerable to measurement errors, such as those
21 that can be encountered in research with child and adolescent populations. The hypothesized
22 model for the present study is illustrated in Figure 1. According to Jussim (1991a), if the
23 researcher desires to interpret the association between mothers' and children's perceptions as
24 evidence of the existence of an expectancy effect, it is necessary that the mothers' initial
25 perceptions predict the child's self-perceptions one year later even after controlling for

1 variables that might have an impact on the child's self-perceptions, namely the child's
 2 previous physical performance and the initial level of the child's perceived competence¹
 3 (autoregressive effect). A thick line in Figure 1 symbolizes that hypothesis. The thin line
 4 symbolizes the variables of control. As a complementary hypothesis, it was expected that
 5 mothers' perceptions would be based on valid information such as the child's performance
 6 and/or motivation (i.e., perceived competence). That hypothesis is symbolized by dotted lines
 7 in figure 1. That analysis would reveal whether mothers are more likely than children to base
 8 their perceptions on objective standards. Findings from the Eccles studies (e.g., Eccles &
 9 Harold, 1991; Eccles, et al., 1990; Frome & Eccles, 1998; Parsons et al., 1982) have revealed
 10 that children's self-perceptions are related more directly to their parents' perceptions than to
 11 their own past performance and that relation was tested in the current investigation. Finally,
 12 gender differences were expected. First, in line with previous research, it was anticipated that
 13 the boys would rate themselves as having higher competence than would girls in sports, in
 14 part because parents of boys have higher perceptions of their child's physical competence
 15 than do parents of girls (Eccles & Harold, 1991). Second, a test was conducted also to
 16 determine whether the child's gender would moderate the relation between mothers'
 17 perceptions of their child's competence and their child's own perceived competence. It was
 18 expected that mothers would be more influential with regard to their daughters' self-
 19 perceptions of competence than on their sons' competence perceptions due to same-sex
 20 gender socialization effects.

21 -----< Insert Figure 1 here >-----

22 METHOD

23 Participants

24 A sample of 156 children (75 boys and 81 girls) who were enrolled in four differing
 25 French elementary schools (grades 3 through 5) located in three differing cities (each with a

1 population of 15,000 to 30,000 inhabitants), and their mother², served as the sample for this
2 study. The participants included in this study were those who presented a complete data set
3 across all child and mother variables and were part of a larger, original group of 344 children
4 and their parents. The child participants ranged from 8 through 12 years of age ($\bar{X} = 10.4$
5 years, $SD = 0.90$ years) and their mothers averaged 38.3 years ($SD = 4.5$ years). The
6 participant sample was comprised primarily of middle to upper class families. In terms of
7 family structure, 71% of the children in the participant sample lived within a two-parent home
8 whereas 26% lived in a single-parent home. Three percent of the sample did not provide
9 information concerning their current family structure.

10 With regard to physical activities, the French school system differs from the United
11 States system in that all children from elementary through high school have physical
12 education lessons. The French national school system defines the subjects that are taught
13 each year and the amount of time allowed to each subject at each grade. Consequently,
14 physical education in France is taught at least two hours a week at each grade level.

15 Procedure

16 Agreement was obtained both from parents and from the school director for the child
17 to participate in the study and verbal assent was obtained from each child prior to their
18 involvement. Data were obtained from (a) questionnaires completed by mothers and children,
19 and (b) through physical ability tests for children. Two waves of data were collected. The
20 first wave (Wave 1) was collected from parents and children in September of 1999, and data
21 in the second wave (Wave 2) was collected from children in September 2000. Children
22 completed questionnaires in the classroom in a group with an assistant reading the questions
23 aloud and providing help and clarification as necessary. Subsequently, children were given
24 questionnaires for their mothers to complete and were asked to return them a week later.

1 Physical tests were performed during physical education lessons. Two class periods were
2 necessary for each class to perform all the tests.

3 Measures

4 Perceived physical competence. Children completed a French version (Sarrazin, Bois,
5 & Trouilloud, 2000a) of the Harter (1985) Perceived Physical Competence Scale for Children.
6 The back-translation method (see Brislin, 1986) was used to translate the original scale into
7 French. Items were scored on a 4-point response format using the Harter (1985) structured
8 alternative approach. An example of one of the four items used in this scale is, "Some kids
9 are sure that they are good at sports BUT other kids don't think they are good at sports". On
10 this scale, "1" reflected a low level of perceived physical competence and "4" a high level of
11 perceived physical competence with "2" and "3" indicating intermediate levels of perceived
12 competence. In previous research, this scale has been found to be valid and reliable (Sarrazin
13 et al., 2000a). In the present study, the internal consistency was satisfactory: Cronbach's $\alpha =$
14 .70 (Wave 1) and .78 (Wave 2), including when alphas were computed by age (from .68 to
15 .82, respectively, for the three following groups: under 10 years of age, between 10 and 11,
16 over 11 years).

17 Physical performance. Four physical tests from the Eurofit battery (1993) were used
18 to assess physical performance. Two were physical aptitude tests and the other two were
19 motor coordination tests. The aptitude test consisted of the standing long jump, for which
20 performance was assessed as distance in meters. Two tries per child were measured and the
21 better score was retained. The second aptitude test was a thirty-second sit-up endurance test
22 (Mathews, 1978). The performance was the number of situps executed in 30 seconds (one try
23 per child). The motor coordination tests consisted of a ball dribbling test and a running motor
24 coordination test. The dribble test involved dribbling a ball through a zigzag course and
25 around 6 pins that were separated evenly. The measure of performance was the time spent to

1 perform the course in seconds. The other motor coordination test was a 20 meter shuttle-
2 hurdle running test. The measure of performance was also the time spent to complete the
3 course in seconds. For those two tests, children had two practice trials, and the third test was
4 timed and used as the indicator of performance.

5 Mothers' perceptions of their child's sport competence. Mothers completed a French
6 version (Sarrazin, Bois, & Trouilloud, 2000b) of the Jacobs and Eccles (1992) questionnaire
7 on mothers' perceptions of their child's physical competence. The back-translation method
8 was used to translate this 4-item scale into French. A sample item was, "In general how good
9 is your child in sports?" Each item is rated on a scale from 1 = not at all good through 5 =
10 very good. In previous research, this scale has been found to be valid and reliable (Sarrazin et
11 al., 2000b). In the present study, the internal consistency was satisfactory: $\alpha = .88$.

12 Data Analysis

13 Descriptive statistics and preliminary data analysis were performed to obtain an
14 overview of possible gender differences. Then a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was
15 conducted to examine the construct validity of the variables in the study. The primary
16 research question related to the influence of mothers' expectancy effects on their child's
17 perceived competence was tested through structural equation modeling (SEM). Subsequently,
18 the same model was run in a multi-sample analysis to assess the moderating function of
19 gender of the child.

20 RESULTS

21 Descriptive Statistics and Preliminary Analyses

22 Means and standard deviations for boys and for girls are presented in Table 1. One-
23 way ANOVAs were conducted to investigate gender differences (Table 1). Boys had
24 significantly better scores ($p < .05$) than did girls on long jump ability, sit-ups, the ball dribble
25 test, and perceived physical competence both at Wave 1 and Wave 2. No significant

1 differences existed between mothers of sons and mothers of daughters in perceptions of their
 2 child's ability.

3 -----< Insert Table 1 here >-----

4 Confirmatory Factor Analysis

5 The variables were subjected to a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) to test for
 6 construct validity. In light of the already high number of variables in the model in relation to
 7 the number of participants, it was decided to reduce the number of variables and hence keep
 8 the model degrees of freedom reasonable. Items on each subscale were aggregated randomly
 9 to form only two composite scores for each construct (Bentler, 1980; Byrne, 1994), except for
 10 the construct of physical performance, which consisted of the four measured ability variables.
 11 The CFA model thus was based on ten observed variables and four latent factors. The
 12 analysis was conducted with LISREL 8.3 (Jöreskog & Sörbom, 1999) using a covariance
 13 matrix³ and maximum likelihood method of estimation. In view of the current controversy
 14 regarding measures of overall goodness of fit, it generally is considered appropriate to report
 15 multiple indices (Bollen, 1989). Thus, the chi-square statistic, the goodness-of-fit index
 16 (GFI), the non-normed fit index (NNFI), the comparative fit index (CFI) and the standardized
 17 root mean square residual (SRMR) all were used to evaluate the adequacy of the fit of the
 18 model to the data. For GFI, NNFI and CFI values above .90 are considered satisfactory. For
 19 SRMR, values below .10 indicate a good fit of the model to the data.

20 The model provided an adequate fit to the data, $\chi^2(29, N = 156) = 39.62, p = .09, GFI$
 21 $= .95, NNFI = .95, CFI = .97, SRMR = .05$. All λ were significant ($t > 2.00$). The computed
 22 reliabilities⁴ for the constructs were .73 for physical performance, .82 for mothers'
 23 perceptions of their child's ability, and .69 and .79 for children's perceived physical
 24 competence at Wave 1 and Wave 2, respectively. Thus, adequate construct validity for the
 25 variables was demonstrated. The correlations between latent factors, disattenuated for error

1 measurement, are displayed in Table 2. There were significant correlations between the
 2 child's physical performance and mothers' perceptions ($\phi = .52$) and between child's physical
 3 performance and child's perceived physical competence at Wave 2 ($\phi = .22$). In addition,
 4 there were significant correlations between mothers' perceptions and the two measures of
 5 child's perceived physical competence ($\phi = .37$ and $.43$, respectively) for Wave 1 and Wave 2.

6 -----< Insert Table 2 here >-----

7 Structural Model

8 After having assessed the adequacy of the factor structure, tests were conducted of the
 9 hypothesized relations among the variables, as predicted in Figure 1. The hypothesized
 10 model provided a good fit to the data , $\chi^2(29, N = 156) = 39.62, p = .09, GFI = .95, NNFI =$
 11 $.95, CFI = .97, SRMR = .05$. The structural and measurement coefficients of the model are
 12 displayed in Figure 2. The relation between mother's perceptions of her child's ability and
 13 her child's own perceived competence one year later (i.e. at Wave 2) was significant ($\beta = .26$)
 14 despite the significant autoregressive effect of the child's perceived competence ($\beta = .42$)
 15 from Wave 1. The path from child's physical performance to child's perceived competence at
 16 Wave 2 was non significant. The variables entered in the model accounted for 33% of the
 17 variance in child's perceived physical competence at Wave 2. Mother's perceptions of her
 18 child's ability were related significantly to her child's physical performance ($\beta = .47$) and her
 19 child's perceived physical competence at Wave 1 ($\beta = .29$). Those variables explained 35%
 20 of the variance in mothers' perceptions and thus show a relative accuracy with mothers'
 21 perceptions⁵.

22 Multigroup Structural Equation Modeling: Testing Moderating Effect of Child's Gender

23 To test the gender moderation hypothesis, the same model was run using multigroup
 24 structural equation modeling (Jaccard & Wan, 1996). That procedure allows the researcher to

1 set cross-group equality constraints on parameters of interest. A gender difference in
 2 parameter estimates will be present if there is a significant improvement in data-model fit in
 3 terms of the chi-square statistic when an equality constraint is released. The moderation
 4 effect was tested on the path between mother's perceptions of her child's ability and child's
 5 perceived physical competence at Wave 2 to see if mothers' influence was stronger for
 6 daughters as compared to mother influence for sons (see Figure 2). When the equality
 7 constraint was relaxed, the decrease in chi-square was significant ($\Delta\chi^2 = 6.17, p < .02$). For
 8 the unconstrained model ($\chi^2 = 75.09, df = 73, p = .41, NNFI = .98, CFI = .98$) the
 9 standardized path coefficient between mother's perceptions of her child's ability and child's
 10 perceived competence was .05 (ns) for sons and .65 ($p < .001$) for daughters. None of the other
 11 paths in the model differed as a function of child gender. Therefore, the results support the
 12 hypothesis that the influence of mothers' perceptions is stronger for daughters than for sons.

13 -----< Insert Figure 2 here >-----

14 DISCUSSION

15 The three main goals in this study were: (a) to examine if mother's perceptions of her
 16 child's physical ability predicted child's perceived physical competence one year later,
 17 independent of previous level of the child's perceived competence and physical performance;
 18 (b) to examine the antecedents of mothers' and children's perceptions; and (c) to investigate
 19 gender differences, particularly to determine if the child's gender would moderate the
 20 relation between mother's perceptions and child's perceived competence. Results will be
 21 discussed with regard to those three issues.

22 Influence of Mother's Perceptions on her Child's Perceived Physical Competence

23 Evidence was found in this study for the effect of mother's expectancies on their
 24 children's perceived competence in sport. The results supported that hypothesis and indicated
 25 that the more favorable were mother's perceptions of the child's physical competence, the

1 more likely it was that the child perceived himself or herself as physically competent. That
2 result supports previous findings both in sport and in academic domains (Frome & Eccles,
3 1998; Jacobs & Eccles, 1992). However, the findings are strengthened by the fact that a year-
4 long investigation was conducted with data collected at two points in time and were
5 controlled for essential intervening variables (i.e., child's previous perceived physical
6 competence and child's previous physical performance) in such a way as to provide a strong
7 test of the hypotheses. Demonstrating mother's influence on her child's perceived
8 competence is quite an important finding given that perceived competence is a fundamental
9 predictor of involvement and of motivation in the sport domain (Brustad et al., 2001; Roberts,
10 1992; Weiss & Chaumeton, 1992). Furthermore, because that finding was obtained from a
11 French sample, rather than a United States sample, the findings strengthen the generalizability
12 of previous research findings.

13 The model accounted for 33% of the variance in children's perceived physical
14 competence. Consequently, it seems that mothers' influence only explains a moderate portion
15 of the variance in children's perceived physical competence. There are two possible reasons
16 for that finding. First, it is important to remember that many sources of competence
17 information are available to the child, including fathers, siblings, teachers, and coaches.
18 However, those sources of influence almost always are assessed separately. Further studies
19 should be designed for consideration of the relative influence of various forms of social
20 influence in shaping children's perceived competence. Second, some authors have
21 hypothesized that expectancy effects should be cumulative over time (Smith, Jussim &
22 Eccles, 1999). Indeed, the influence of mothers' expectancies that were found over one year
23 has the potential to be much more powerful if it were reproduced over many years.
24 Longitudinal studies conducted over longer periods of time are necessary to corroborate that
25 hypothesis.

1 Antecedents of Mothers' and Children's Perceptions

2 The results showed that mothers' perceptions were based on the child's previous
3 performance and motivation (i.e., perceived competence). That indicates that mothers base
4 their perceptions on relatively objective standards that might prevent mothers from having
5 stereotyped perceptions of their children. The current results also indicate weak or no
6 correlations between the child's performance and his or her perceived competence in Wave 1
7 or Wave 2 (see Table 2). Thus children, unlike their mothers, did not base their perceptions
8 of competence on their previous performance. According to previous studies both in sport
9 (e.g., Horn & Hasbrook, 1986; Horn & Weiss, 1991) and academic (e.g., Frome & Eccles,
10 1998) domains, the current results showed that, in estimating their physical competence,
11 children appear to rely more heavily on what their mothers think of them than they do on their
12 own past performance. In summary, these results show that mothers are rather accurate in
13 their perceptions of their child's competence and that they assume an important role as
14 interpreters of the child's previous performance. Therefore, mothers should be aware of the
15 magnitude of influence of the information that they provide to their children as it can affect a
16 child's self-concept.

17 Gender Differences

18 Consistent with numerous studies (e.g., Brustad, 1996; Eccles & Harold, 1991; Jacobs
19 & Eccles, 1992), the current findings revealed gender differences in children's self-
20 perceptions of their sport competence, with the boys rating themselves as more competent
21 than did the girls. Previous studies (Eccles et al., 1990; Jacobs & Eccles, 1992) have
22 concluded that those gender differences in children's self-perceptions could be attributable, in
23 part, to gender-related stereotypes held by their parents. Although gender stereotypes were
24 not assessed directly, that outcome does not seem to be the case in that study insofar as the
25 results demonstrated that mothers hold similar perceptions for their sons and for their

1 daughters. It is possible that social changes over the past decade might account for this
2 unique set of results. With the growing visibility of women in sport in Western societies,
3 sport is probably considered to be less stereotypically masculine than it was previously. In
4 any event, that seems to be the case for the French mothers in this study. In the United States,
5 a similar tendency might exist. In a recent study (Kimiecik & Horn, 1998) it was found that
6 neither fathers nor mothers held gender-differentiated perceptions of their children's physical
7 competence. Additional cross-cultural studies with other populations and with variables other
8 than (or different from) perceived competence are necessary further to explore that question.

9 Was examined also the moderating role of gender in the relation between mother's
10 perceptions of her child's ability and child's perceived physical competence. The multigroup
11 analysis revealed that the interaction between mothers' perceptions and a child's gender was
12 predictive of the child's perceived competence. The results indicated a significant relation
13 between mothers and daughters but not between mothers and sons. To our knowledge, no
14 studies have been used for the examination of the gender moderation effect in the relation
15 between mother's perceptions and her child's perceived competence. However some studies
16 have revealed that parental encouragement and involvement appear to be particularly
17 important influences on same-gender children (e.g., Greendorfer & Ewing, 1981; Linver &
18 Silverberg, 1997; McElroy & Kirkendall, 1980), which is consistent with our findings.
19 Further studies are needed to confirm those results and especially to test if a symmetrical
20 relation exists, namely that sons are more influenced by their fathers than by their mothers.
21 Two possible explanations can be proposed to explain the current findings: (a) mothers
22 produce differing sets of behaviors with regard to their sons than to their daughters and/or (b)
23 sons are less sensitive to the perceptions and behaviors of their mothers. The first explanation
24 can be evaluated with respect to the Bandura theory (Bandura, 1986; Bussey & Bandura,
25 1999). In that framework, child's gender development is influenced actively by parents and

1 especially by the same-sex parent. For example, mothers talk more to their daughters than to
2 their sons and use more supportive forms of speech with their daughters than with their sons
3 (Bussey & Bandura, 1999). Consequently, a daughter can be more sensitive to the behaviors
4 of her mother and thus can be affected more by mother's beliefs about her competency.
5 According to the second proposition, it can be argued that boys might not consider their
6 mothers, as compared to their fathers or coaches, as reliable sources of information from
7 which to estimate their physical competence, especially if they consider that sport typically is
8 a masculine domain⁶. Thus sons can be unaffected by differentiated perceptions and
9 behaviors from their mothers. Therefore, the moderating effect of child's gender might be
10 due either to a greater sensitivity by the girls and/or to a greater indifference by the boys, in
11 relation to mothers' differentiated behaviors and perceptions. The data did not allow to make
12 a conclusion in this matter and further studies will be necessary to explore that question.
13 However, the results of this study highlight the importance of examining mothers' and
14 fathers' influence separately.

15 Although special attention was devoted to methodological precaution, the results
16 remains correlational in nature and causality cannot be inferred. Only experimental studies
17 can provide a strong inference of causality because situational variables are controlled for by
18 such designs. Although consistent efforts were made trying to control for relevant variables,
19 particularly the child's objective physical performance, it always is possible that one relevant
20 variable was omitted (Judd & McClelland, 1989). Furthermore, only mothers' influence was
21 examined in this study which is why further study should take into account the simultaneous
22 influences of several significant others.

23 Children's decisions to participate in physical activity and sport have important
24 implications for their physical, psychological, and social development. Because perceived
25 physical competence appears to be an important contributor to participatory involvement in

1 this domain, it is important to identify contributors to those self-appraisals. The influence of
2 mothers in shaping their child's perceived physical competence was supported by this
3 investigation and the generalizability of previous research has been strengthened by the
4 findings with a French sample. This finding is consistent with research in academic contexts
5 (e.g., Eccles et al., 1990; Felson & Reed, 1986; Jacobs & Eccles, 1992) and further highlights
6 the importance of examining parental socialization influence on children's and adolescents'
7 motivation and performance in varying achievement contexts. However, mothers have been
8 found to only influence their daughters, whereas mothers' influence on sons was not
9 demonstrated. That result highlights the possible differential sensitivity of boys and girls to
10 their mothers' socialization influence and this form of same-gender socialization influence
11 warrants further investigation.

References

- 1
2 Ames, C., & Archer, J. (1987). Mothers' beliefs about the role of ability and effort in school
3 learning. Journal of Educational Psychology, 79, 409-414.
- 4 Babkes, M.L., & Weiss, M.R. (1999). Parental influence on children's cognitive and
5 affective responses to competitive soccer participation. Pediatric Exercise Science, 11,
6 44-62.
- 7 Bandura, A. (1986). Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory.
8 Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- 9 Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. New York: Freeman & Co.
- 10 Bentler, P. M. (1980). Multivariate analysis with latent variables: Causal modeling. Annual
11 Review of Psychology, 31, 419-456.
- 12 Bollen, D. A. (1989). Structural equations with latent variables. New York: Wiley.
- 13 Brislin, R.W. (1986). The wording and translation of research instruments. In W. Lonner & J.
14 Berry (Eds.), Field methods in cross-cultural research (pp. 137-164). Beverly Hills, CA:
15 Sage.
- 16 Brustad, R. J. (1992). Integrating socialization influences into the study of children's
17 motivation in sport. Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 14, 59-77.
- 18 Brustad, R. J. (1993). Who will go out and play? Parental and psychological influences on
19 children's attraction to physical activity. Pediatric Exercise Science, 5, 210-223.
- 20 Brustad, R. J. (1996). Attraction to physical activity in urban schoolchildren: Parental
21 socialization and gender influences. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 67, 316-
22 323.

- 1 Brustad, R. J., Babkes, M. L., & Smith, A. L. (2001). Youth in sport: Psychological
2 considerations. In R. N. Singer, H. A. Hausenblas, & C. M. Janelle (Eds.) Handbook of
3 sport psychology, (2nd ed., pp. 604-635). New York: Wiley.
- 4 Bussey, K., & Bandura, A. (1999). Social cognitive theory of gender development and
5 differentiation. Psychological Review, 106, 676-713.
- 6 Byrne, B., (1994). Structural equations modeling with EQS and EQS/Windows. Thousand
7 Oaks, CA: Sage.
- 8 Clark, D.G., & Blair, S.N. (1988). Physical activity and prevention of obesity in childhood.
9 In N.A. Krasneger, G.D. Grave, & N. Kretchmar (Eds.) Childhood obesity: A
10 biobehavioral perspective (pp. 121-142). Caldwell, NJ: Telford..
- 11 Cooper, H., & Good, T. (1983). Pygmalion grows up: Studies in the expectation
12 communication process. New York: Longman.
- 13 Crandall, V. J. (1963). Achievement. In H.W. Stevenson (Ed.), Child psychology: 1 (pp.416-
14 459). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- 15 Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human
16 behavior. New York: Plenum.
- 17 Dempsey, J. M., Kimiecik, J. C., & Horn, T. S. (1993). Parental influence on children's
18 moderate to vigorous physical activity participation: An expectancy-value approach.
19 Pediatric Exercise Science, 5, 151-167.
- 20 Durrant, R.H., Linder, C.W., & Mahoney, O.M. (1983). The relationship between habitual
21 physical activity and serum lipoproteins in white male adolescents. Journal of
22 Adolescent Health Care, 4, 235-239.

- 1 Dweck, C. S., & Leggett, E. L. (1988). A social-cognitive approach to motivation and
2 personality. Psychological Review, *95*, 256-273.
- 3 Eccles, J.S., Adler, T.F., Futterman, R., Goff, S.B., Kaczala, C.M., Meece, J.L., & Midgley,
4 C. (1983). Expectancies, values, and academic behaviors. In J.T. Spence (Ed.),
5 Achievement and achievement motivation (pp. 75-146). San Francisco, CA: Freeman.
- 6 Eccles, J. S., Jacobs, J. E., & Harold, R. D. (1990). Gender role stereotypes, expectancy
7 effects, and parents' socialization of gender differences. Journal of Social Issues, *46*,
8 183-201.
- 9 Eccles J. S., & Harold, R. D. (1991). Gender differences in sport involvement: Applying the
10 Eccles' expectancy-value model. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, *3*, 7-35.
- 11 EUROFIT (1993). Manuel pour les tests Eurofit d'aptitudes physiques [Handbook for Eurofit
12 Physical Aptitude tests].(2nd ed.). Conseil de l'Europe, Comité pour le développement
13 du sport. Strasbourg.
- 14 Felson, M. B., & Reed, M. (1986). The effect of parents on the self-appraisals of children.
15 Social Psychology Quarterly, *49*, 302-308.
- 16 Feltz, D.L., & Petlichkoff, L.M. (1983). Perceived competence among interscholastic sport
17 participants and dropouts. Canadian Journal of Applied Sport Sciences, *8*, 231-235.
- 18 Freedson, P.S., & Evenson, S. (1991). Familial aggregation in physical activity. Research
19 Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, *62*, 384-389.
- 20 Frieze, I., & Bar-Tal, D. (1980). Developmental trends in cue utilization for attributional
21 judgments. Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, *1*, 83-94.
- 22 Frome, P. M., & Eccles, J. S. (1998). Parents' influence on children's achievement-related
23 perceptions. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, *74*, 435-452.

- 1 Gollob, H.F., & Reichardt, C.S. (1991). Interpreting and estimating indirect effects assuming
2 time lags really matter. In L.M. Collins & J.L. Horn (Eds.), Best methods for the
3 analysis of change (pp. 243-259). Washington, DC: American Psychological
4 Association.
- 5 Greendorfer, S. L. (1992). Sport socialization. In T.S. Horn (Ed.), Advances in sport
6 psychology (pp. 201-218). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- 7 Greendorfer, S. L., & Ewing, M. E. (1981). Race and gender differences in children's
8 socialization into sport. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, *52*, 301-310.
- 9 Greendorfer, S. L., Lewko, J.H., & Rosengren, K.S. (1996). Family and gender-based
10 influences in sport socialization of children and adolescents. In F.L. Smoll & R.E.
11 Smith (Eds.), Children and youth in sport: A biopsychosocial perspective (pp. 89-111).
12 Madison, WI: Brown & Benchmark.
- 13 Gregson, J.F., & Colley, A. (1986). Concomitants of sport participation in male and female
14 adolescents. International Journal of Sport Psychology, *17*, 10-22.
- 15 Harter, S. (1981). A model of mastery motivation in children: Individual differences and
16 developmental change. In A. Collins (Ed.), Minnesota Symposium on Child
17 Psychology: Vol. 14 (pp. 215-225). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- 18 Harter, S. (1985). Manual for the self-perception profile for children. Denver, CO: University
19 of Denver Press.
- 20 Horn, T. S., & Hasbrook, C. (1986). Informational components influencing children's
21 perceptions of their physical competence. In M.R. Weiss & D. Gould (Eds.), Sport for
22 children and youths: Proceedings of the 1984 Olympic Scientific Congress (pp. 81-88).
23 Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

- 1 Horn, T. S., & Amorose, A. J. (1998). Sources of competence information. In J. L. Duda
2 (Ed.), Advances in Sport and Exercise Psychology Measurement (pp. 49-63).
3 Morgantown, WV: Fitness Information Technology.
- 4 Horn, T. S., & Weiss, M. R. (1991). A developmental analysis of children's self-ability
5 judgments in the physical domain. Pediatric Exercise Science, *3*, 310-326.
- 6 Jaccard, J., & Wan, C. K. (1996). LISREL approaches to interaction effects in multiple
7 regression. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- 8 Jacobs, J. E., & Eccles, J. S. (1992). The impact of mothers' gender role stereotypic beliefs on
9 mothers' and children's ability perceptions. Journal of Personality and Social
10 Psychology, *63*, 932-944.
- 11 Jacobs, J. E., Lanza, S., Osgood, W. D., Eccles, J. S. & Wigfield, A. (2002). Changes in
12 children's self-competence and values: Gender and domain differences across grades
13 one through twelve. Child Development, *73*, 509-527.
- 14 Jöreskog, K. G., & Sörbom, D. (1999). Lisrel 8.30. Scientific Software International.
- 15 Judd, C. M., & McClelland, G. H. (1989). Data analysis model comparison approach.
16 Orlando, FL: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- 17 Jussim, L. (1986). Self-fulfilling prophecies: A theoretical and integrative review.
18 Psychological Review, *93*, 429-445.
- 19 Jussim, L. (1991a). Social perception and social reality: A reflection-construction model.
20 Psychological Review, *98*, 54-73.
- 21 Jussim, L. (1991b). Grades may reflect more than performance: Comment on Wentzel (1989).
22 Journal of Educational Psychology, *83*, 153-155.

- 1 Kimiecik, J. C., Horn, T. S., & Shurin, C. S. (1996). Relationships among children's beliefs,
2 perceptions of their parents' beliefs and their moderate to vigorous physical activity.
3 Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 67, 324-336.
- 4 Kimiecik, J. C., & Horn, T. S. (1998). Parental beliefs and children's moderate-to-vigorous
5 physical activity. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 69, 163-175.
- 6 Koivula, N. (1999). Gender stereotyping in televised media sport coverage. Sex Roles, 41,
7 589-604.
- 8 Linver, M. R., & Silverberg, S. B. (1997). Maternal predictors of early adolescent
9 achievement related outcomes: Adolescent gender as a moderator. Journal of Early
10 Adolescence, 17, 294-318.
- 11 MacCallum, R. C., & Austin, J. T. (2000). Applications of structural equation modeling in
12 psychological research. Annual Review of Psychology, 51, 201-226.
- 13 McCullagh, P., Matzkanin, K., Shaw, S. D., & Maldonado, M. (1993). Motivation for
14 participation in physical activity: Comparison of parent-child perceived competence and
15 perceived motives. Pediatric Exercise Science, 5, 224-233.
- 16 MacElroy, M., & Kirkendall, D. R. (1980). Significant others and professionalized sport
17 attitudes. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 51, 645-653.
- 18 Martinsen, E.W., & Stephens, T. (1994). Exercise and mental health in clinical and freeliving
19 populations. In R.K. Dishman (Ed), Advances in exercise adherence (pp. 52-72).
20 Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- 21 Mathews, D. K. (1978). Measurement in Physical Education. Philadelphia: Saunders.
- 22 Miller, D.T, & Turnbull, W. (1986). Expectancies and interpersonal processes. Annual
23 Review of Psychology, 37, 233-256.

- 1 Moore, L.L., Lombardi, D.A., White, M.J., Campbell, J.L., Oliveira, S.A., & Ellison, R.C.
2 (1991). Influence of parents' physical activity levels on activity levels of young
3 children. Journal of Pediatrics, 118, 215-219.
- 4 Nicholls, J. G. (1989). The competitive ethos and democratic education. Cambridge, MA:
5 Harvard University Press.
- 6 Parsons, J., Adler, T., & Kaczala, C. (1982). Socialization of achievement attitudes and
7 perceptions: Parental influences. Child Development, 53, 310-329.
- 8 Passer, M.W. (1983). Fear of failure, fear of evaluation, perceived competence, and self-
9 esteem in competitive trait-anxious children. Journal of Sport Psychology, 5, 172-188.
- 10 Phillips, D. (1987). Socialization of perceived academic competence among highly competent
11 children. Child Development, 58, 1308-1320.
- 12 Roberts, G.C. (1992). Motivation in sport and exercise: Conceptual constraints and
13 convergence. In G.C. Roberts (Ed.), Motivation in sport and exercise (pp. 3-29).
14 Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- 15 Sarrazin, P., Bois, J., & Trouilloud, D. (2000a). Trans-cultural validation of the Harter (1985)
16 Perceived Physical Competence Scale for Children. Unpublished manuscript, Université
17 J. Fourier, Grenoble I, France.
- 18 Sarrazin, P., Bois, J., & Trouilloud, D. (2000b). Trans-cultural validation of the measurement
19 scales of the Eccles et al. (1983) expectation – valence model. Unpublished manuscript,
20 Université J. Fourier, Grenoble I, France.
- 21 Scanlan, T.K., & Lewthwaite, R. (1986). Social psychological aspects of competition for male
22 youth sport participants: IV. Predictors of enjoyment. Journal of Sport Psychology, 8,
23 25-35.

- 1 Scanlan, T.K., Stein, G.L., & Ravizza, K. (1989). An in-depth study of former elite figure
2 skaters: II. Sources of enjoyment. Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology, 11, 65-83.
- 3 Smith, A. E., Jussim, L., & Eccles, J. (1999). Do self-fulfilling prophecies accumulate,
4 dissipate, or remain stable over time? Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 77,
5 548-565.
- 6 Snyder, M., & Stukas, A. A. (1999). Interpersonal processes: The interplay of cognitive,
7 motivational, and behavioral activities in social interaction. Annual Review of
8 Psychology, 50, 273-303.
- 9 Vallerand, R. J.(1997). Toward a hierarchical model of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation.
10 Advances in experimental social psychology, 29, 271-360.
- 11 Weiss, M.R., & Chaumeton, N. (1992). Motivational orientations in sport. In T.S. Horn (Ed),
12 Advances in sport psychology (pp. 61-99). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- 13 Weiss, M. R., Ebbeck, V., & Horn, T. S. (1997). Children's self-perceptions and sources of
14 competence information: A cluster analysis. Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology,
15 19, 52-70.
- 16 Wigfield, A., Eccles, J.S., Yoon, K.S., Harold, R.D., Arbretton, A., et al. (1997). Changes in
17 children's competence beliefs and subjective task values across the elementary school
18 years: a three-year study. Journal of Educational Psychology, 89, 451-469.
- 19 Woolger, C., & Power, T. G. (1993). Parent and sport socialization: Views from the
20 achievement literature. Journal of Sport Behavior, 16(3), 171-189.
- 21 Requests for reprints should be addressed to: Dr. Philippe Sarrazin, Laboratoire
22 d'Etudes et de Recherches sur l'Offre Sportive UPRES 540 UFRAPS - Université J. Fourier,
23 Grenoble I. BP 53 - 38041 Grenoble Cedex 9 - France. e-mail: philippe.sarrazin@ujf-
24 grenoble.fr

Footnotes

1
2 1. As suggested by a reviewer, recent studies (Wigfield, Eccles, Yoon, Harold, Arbreton, et
3 al., 1997, Jacobs, Lanza, Osgood, Eccles and Wigfield, 2002) using longitudinal data have
4 showed that children self-perceptions of physical competence declined steadily across grades
5 1 through 12. Therefore it seems also necessary to control this variable in the model.
6 Interested readers should see Wigfield et al., (1997) and Jacobs et al. (2002), for more
7 information on this domain.

8
9 2. Data were collected both from fathers and mothers but this report was limited to mothers
10 only. Fathers' results were not presented because their sample was not large enough to meet
11 statistical standards.

12
13 3. Covariance matrix used in this study is available from the first author.

14
15 4. Reliability estimates for the total scales are obtained by (Bollen, 1989) : $\rho = (\sum \lambda_i)^2 / ((\sum \lambda_i)^2$
16 $+ \sum \delta_{ii})$ where λ_i are the factor loading and δ_{ii} the error variances.

17
18 5. As suggested by an anonymous reviewer, a model that included age as a control variable
19 on mothers' perceptions and child's perceived competence at Wave 2, was tested. The model
20 fits the data well. Age significantly predicted child's perceived competence at Wave 2 ($\beta = -$
21 $.28$) but that association was not related significantly to mothers' perceptions. As this variable
22 added only 1 % to the explained variance in the child's perceived competence at Wave 2, and
23 significantly did not change any of the other paths within the model, it was decided to retain
24 the original model for clarity.

25

1 6. Sport still is presented as a masculine domain, particularly in televised media sport
2 coverage in which not only are there few images of female athletes, but in addition, women
3 who participate in sports, especially activities considered as stereotypically inappropriate for
4 women, often are depicted in a deprecatory manner (Koivula, 1999). Moreover, female
5 participation in sport continues to be far lower than that of males. For example, in France
6 males constitute 66 % of the participants involved in organized sport activities (French
7 Ministry for Youth and Sports in 1997).

8

1 Figure Caption

2

3 Figure 1 : General model.

4




Figure Caption

Figure 2 : Structural equation model of mothers' influence on their child perceived physical competence. Standardized solutions are presented. * $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$. Above the arrow beta's for the whole sample are available and under the arrow beta's for the multi-sample analysis are provided (girls/boys). The square multiple correlation (R^2) are given with regard to the whole sample.




Table 1: Mean Ratings and Standard Deviations of Children's and Mothers' Variables.

Variable	Girls		Boys		F	df
	\bar{X}	SD	\bar{X}	SD		
1. Long jump	1.28	0.15	1.39	0.16	22.28***	1,154
2. Sit up test	17.42	4.58	19.18	4.19	6.27*	1,154
3. Dribble test	72.46	20.26	57.04	16.24	27.22***	1,154
4. Hurdles test	15.62	2.55	16.05	2.44	1.13	1,154
5. Mothers' perceptions	3.41	0.67	3.41	0.72	0	1,154
6. Child's Perc. Comp. (Wave1)	3.15	0.67	3.36	0.55	4.48*	1,154
7. Child's Perc. Comp. (Wave2)	2.98	0.71	3.20	0.49	5.20*	1,154

Note: * $p < .05$. *** $p < .001$. Child's Perc. Comp = Child's perceived physical competence

Table 2: Correlation Matrix Between Latent Factors

	1	2	3	4
1. Child's Physical Performance	-			
2. Mothers' Perceptions	0.52***	-		
3. Child's Perceived Physical Competence (Wave1)	0.18	0.37***	-	
4. Child's Perceived Physical Competence (Wave2)	0.22*	0.43***	0.52***	-

Note : * $p < .05$. *** $p < .001$