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#### Abstract

Inertial particles are often observed to be trapped, temporarily or permanently, by recirculation cells which are ubiquitous in natural or industrial flows. In the limit of small particle inertia, determining the conditions of trapping is a challenging task, as it requires a large number of numerical simulations or experiments to test various particle sizes or densities. Here, we investigate this phenomenon analytically and numerically in the case of heavy particles (e.g., aerosols) at low Reynolds number, to derive a trapping criterion that can be used both in analytical and numerical velocity fields. The resulting criterion allows one to predict the characteristics of trapped particles as soon as single-phase simulations of the flow are performed. Our analysis is valid for two-dimensional particle-laden flows in the vertical plane, in the limit where the particle inertia, the free-fall terminal velocity, and the flow unsteadiness can be treated as perturbations. The weak unsteadiness of the flow generally induces a chaotic tangle near heteroclinic or homoclinic cycles if any, leading to the apparent diffusion of fluid elements through the boundary of the cell. The critical particle Stokes number $\mathrm{St}_{c}$ below which aerosols also enter and exit the cell in a complex manner has been derived analytically, in terms of the flow characteristics. It involves the nondimensional curvature-weighted integral of the squared velocity of the steady fluid flow along the dividing streamline of the recirculation cell. When the flow is unsteady and $\mathrm{St}>\mathrm{St}_{c}$, a regular motion takes place due to gravity and centrifugal effects, like in the steady case. Particles driven towards the interior of the cell are trapped permanently. In contrast, when the flow is unsteady and $\mathrm{St}<\mathrm{St}_{c}$, particles wander in a chaotic manner in the vicinity of the border of the cell, and can escape the cell.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The settling of inertial particles in plane two-dimensional flows characterized by quasisteady recirculation cells is a situation of wide interest in natural or industrial flows. These cells can be due for example to the presence of obstacles of any kind, to temperature gradients, density gradients, or wind on water flows (see, for example, Stommel [1], Chen and Fung [2], Fung [3], Maxey and Corrsin [4], Cerisier et al. [5], to cite but a few). They are characterized by well-defined scales and shapes, and their lifetime is much larger than their turnover time. They have been shown to play a key role in the dynamics of inertial particles, that is tiny objects which do not follow exactly the fluid motion, like aerosols, sediments, or even biological objects like plankton [1]. Particles which penetrate into these cells often perform a few rotations, then exit or get deposited on the walls if any. They can enter under the effect of gravity, inertia, or under the effect of any slight perturbation occurring while the particle passes near the boundary of the recirculation cell. This trapping process can be permanent, for example, if particles get deposited on walls, or temporary if particles exit the cell.

In most situations, theoretical issues related to the complex dynamics of inertial particles can be investigated with a reasonable accuracy by means of numerical computations or analytical models (see, for example, the review paper by Cartwright et al. [6]). The former generally requires heavy

[^0]computing resources, and consists in solving the Navier-Stokes equation (for the fluid motion) coupled to Lagrangian or Eulerian models for the dispersed phase. In most cases, such computations are time consuming, even if particles do not affect the flow. The prediction of the degree of contamination of recirculation cells by inertial particles, which requires numerous computations with varying parameters, is therefore a challenging task. In this paper, we combine analytical and numerical methods to derive an analytical expression for the critical Stokes number (and therefore the critical size or mass) of particles which are likely to contaminate a given cell. This method is valid if the flow field can be written as a steady mean flow plus any small time-dependent perturbations.

The work is presented in three steps. First, we consider the transport equations and derive an analytical criterion allowing one to determine the conditions under which inertial particles cross the separatrix, that is the limiting streamline between the cell and the rest of the flow domain (Sec. II). It is then used to predict particle capture in a simple analytical flow (Sec. III). Finally, the criterion is applied to accurate numerical solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, coupled to a Lagrangian particle tracking algorithm, in Sec. IV.

## II. ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS OF SEPARATRIX CROSSING

The structure of steady plane incompressible flows is the classical structure of 2D volume preserving dynamical systems, where the Hamiltonian corresponds to the stream function [7]. These flows are therefore composed of sad-
dle or elliptic stagnation points, the former being joined by streamlines, and the latter being surrounded by them. The recirculation cells are the elliptic stagnation points and the closed streamlines around them. The "boundaries" of the cells are formed by limiting streamlines joining stagnation points, and forming homoclinic or heteroclinic cycles. These cycles are separatrices playing a key role in the momentary or permanent trapping of inertial particles.

Let $L$ be the typical length scale of the flow (e.g., the overall scale of a recirculation cell), and let $U$ be the typical velocity of the flow. The nondimensional motion equation of non-Brownian spherical particles with a small Reynolds number and a density $\rho_{p}$ much larger than the fluid density $\rho_{f}$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \mathbf{v}}{d t}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{St}}(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v})+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Fr}} \hat{\mathbf{g}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{v}$ is the velocity of the center of mass of the particle, St $=D^{2} \rho_{p} U /(18 \mu L)$ is the Stokes number (where $\mu$ is the dynamic viscosity of the fluid), $\mathrm{Fr}=U^{2} /(g L)$ is the Froude number, and $\hat{\mathbf{g}}$ is the unit vector in the direction of gravity. The generalization of this theory to particles with a density of the order of that of the fluid, like sediments in water, is among the perspectives of this work. Also, particle-wall interactions, either by direct contact, electrostatic forces, or hydrodynamic interactions, will be neglected. We will assume that particles can slip on the walls, if any. Throughout the paper we will consider that particles have a finite but small inertia, that is

$$
0<\mathrm{St} \ll 1
$$

The flow is composed of a steady component $\mathbf{u}_{0}$ plus a small unsteady component $\epsilon \mathbf{u}_{1}$ (with $0<\epsilon \ll 1$ ). This unsteady term can be due to some noise, or to ambient turbulence with a weak turbulence intensity.

We exploit the fact that $\mathrm{St} \ll 1$ and look for a solution of Eq. (1) of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{u}_{0}+\mathrm{St}_{1}+O\left(\mathrm{St}^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, we assume that even though unsteadiness, inertia, and sedimentation are small perturbations, none of these effects dominates the other two. Therefore, St is of the order of the amplitude of the unsteady component, that is $\mathrm{St}=O(\epsilon)$, and the free-fall velocity $\mathrm{St} / \mathrm{Fr}$ is also of the order of the unsteady component $\epsilon \mathbf{u}_{1}$. In particular, the Froude number Fr is held fixed as $\epsilon$ and St tend to zero. Approximation (2) manifests the fact that if particles are sufficiently small, their velocity $\mathbf{v}(t)$ is always close to the steady fluid velocity $\mathbf{u}_{0}(\mathbf{x})$ at the particle position $\mathbf{x}(t)$, and gravity and inertia as well as flow unsteadiness can be treated as perturbations [8]. Under these conditions we obtain a reduced dynamical equation for inertial particles (see also Refs. [9,10]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t)=\mathbf{v}(t) \simeq \mathbf{u}_{0}(\mathbf{x})+\epsilon \mathbf{u}_{1}(\mathbf{x}, t)+\frac{\mathrm{St}}{\mathrm{Fr}} \hat{\mathbf{g}}-\operatorname{St}\left(\mathbf{u}_{0} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{u}_{0} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

plus quadratic combinations of $\epsilon$ and St. This equation will be used below.

We now consider a separatrix streamline (also denoted as dividing streamline) of the steady flow $\mathbf{u}_{0}$ joining two saddle stagnation points $A$ and $B$, with the flow from $A$ to
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(iv)


FIG. 1. Sketch of the stagnation points $A$ and $B$ of the steady flow $\mathbf{u}_{0}$, joined by a separatrix streamline [panel (i)]. Vector $\mathbf{n}$ is the unit vector perpendicular to $\mathbf{u}_{0}$, such that $\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}, \mathbf{n}\right)$ is counterclockwise about the $z$ axis. Some examples which will be treated in this paper: circular cell (ii), cavity flow (iii), and backward facing step (iv).
$B$ (Fig. 1). In the following, the separatrix will be simply denoted by $A B$. The dynamics near $A B$ can be investigated by using classical methods originally developed for separatrix maps [11-13]. We consider a solution $\mathbf{x}(t)$ of the complete perturbative equation (3), and determine the variations of the unperturbed stream function $\psi_{0}[\mathbf{x}(t)]$ [defined by $\mathbf{u}_{0}=$ $\nabla \times\left(\psi_{0} \hat{\mathbf{z}}\right)$ ] along this perturbed trajectory. Also, we will consider the "undisturbed stream function seen by the particle," that is the variation of $\psi_{0}$ at discrete times $\tau_{n}$ and $\tau_{n+1}$, where $\tau_{n}$ and $\tau_{n+1}$ are two consecutive times when the trajectory $\mathbf{x}(t)$ passes closest to the stagnation points $A$ and $B$, respectively:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \psi_{0}^{p}=\psi_{0}\left[\mathbf{x}\left(\tau_{n+1}\right)\right]-\psi_{0}\left[\mathbf{x}\left(\tau_{n}\right)\right] . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Eq. (3) this quantity reads
$\Delta \psi_{0}^{p}=\int_{\tau_{n}}^{\tau_{n+1}} \nabla \psi_{0} \cdot\left(\epsilon \mathbf{u}_{1}(\mathbf{x}, t)+\frac{\mathrm{St}}{\mathrm{Fr}} \hat{\mathbf{g}}-\operatorname{St}\left(\mathbf{u}_{0} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{u}_{0}\right) d t$.

The sign of $\Delta \psi_{0}^{p}$ contains direct information about the behavior of the particle near the heteroclinic cycle containing $A B$ : a constant sign will indicate that the particle penetrates (or exits) the cell once and for all, whereas oscillating signs correspond to a chaotic motion: particles cross the separatrix $A B$ in a complex manner (see Chirikov [13], Guckenheimer and Holmes [14], and Del Castillo-Negrete [15]).

The variation of the undisturbed stream function $\Delta \psi_{0}^{p}$ is calculated as follows. We consider a Cartesian coordinates system $(x, y)$ in the plane of the flow, with unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ in the horizontal direction, and unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ directed upward (that is, $\hat{\mathbf{y}}=-\hat{\mathbf{g}}$ ). Let $\left.t_{n} \in\right] \tau_{n}, \tau_{n+1}[$ be the time when $\mathbf{x}(t)$ passes nearest to some reference point $C$ on separatrix $A B$ (with $C$ not equal to $A$ nor $B)$. By writing that $\mathbf{x}(t) \simeq \mathbf{q}\left(t-t_{n}\right)$, where $\mathbf{q}(t)$ is an exact solution of the unperturbed dynamics on $A B$ with $\mathbf{q}(0)=C$, we are led to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Delta \psi_{0}^{p} \simeq \Delta \psi_{0}^{f}+\frac{\mathrm{St}}{\mathrm{Fr}}(\mathbf{A B} \times \hat{\mathbf{g}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}-\left.\mathrm{St}\langle | \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{A B}$ is the displacement vector joining $A$ and $B$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \psi_{0}^{f}=\epsilon \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \dot{\mathbf{q}} \times \mathbf{u}_{1}\left(\mathbf{q}(t), t+t_{n}\right) d t \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the variation of stream function for fluid points, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\langle | \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c}=\hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \dot{\mathbf{q}} \times \ddot{\mathbf{q}} d t \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is related to centrifugal effects and will be discussed below. In these calculations we have introduced the unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{z}}=\hat{\mathbf{x}} \times \hat{\mathbf{y}}$, which is perpendicular to the plane of the flow. Also, $(\mathbf{A B} \times \hat{\mathbf{g}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}=-(\mathbf{A B} \times \hat{\mathbf{y}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}=-\mathbf{A B} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}$, and will be denoted as $-x_{A B}$ in the following.

These results have been obtained by making use of the fact that, by definition of $\mathbf{q}$, we have $\dot{\mathbf{q}}=\mathbf{u}_{0}$ and $\ddot{\mathbf{q}}=\left(\mathbf{u}_{0} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{u}_{0}$. Also, the dynamics of $\mathbf{q}(t)$ is very slow near the stagnation points $A$ and $B$, so that integrals over $\left[\tau_{n}-t_{n}, \tau_{n+1}-t_{n}\right]$ have been replaced by integrals over $[-\infty, \infty][12,13]$. The coefficient $\left.\left.\langle | \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c}$ is the effect of the curvature of separatrix $A B$ on the dynamics of inertial particles. Indeed, the local curvature is $R^{-1}=\hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \mathbf{q} \times \ddot{\mathbf{q}} /|\dot{\mathbf{q}}|^{3}$ so that $\left.\left.\langle | \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c}$ is exactly zero for straight separatrices, and is strictly nonzero for separatrices with a constant curvature. Inertial particles, experiencing a centrifugal force along curved separatrices, tend to drift towards the exterior of the recirculation cell, and this effect brings a constant contribution into $\Delta \psi_{0}^{p}$. The coefficient $\left.\left.\langle | \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c}$ can be rewritten as a curvilinear integral along the separatrix:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\langle | \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|\dot{\mathbf{q}}|^{3} \frac{d t}{R(t)}=\int_{A}^{B}\left|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right|^{2} \frac{d s}{R(s)}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ is the arc length, and we made use of the fact that $|\dot{\mathbf{q}}| d t=|d \mathbf{q}|=d s$. The last integral is a curvature-weighted integral of the undisturbed squared fluid velocity, and this is why we have chosen to denote it by the bracket $\langle\cdot\rangle_{c}$. Note that this integral is not always positive, as the curvature is a signed quantity: when nonzero, $R^{-1}$ is positive for counterclockwise streamlines, and negative otherwise. Under these conditions Eq. (6) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Delta \psi_{0}^{p} \simeq \Delta \psi_{0}^{f}-\frac{\mathrm{St}}{\mathrm{Fr}} x_{A B}-\left.\mathrm{St}\langle | \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (10) shows that flow unsteadiness, gravity, and curvature bring additive contributions into $\Delta \psi_{0}^{p}$. A positive $\Delta \psi_{0}^{p}$ means that particles moving in the vicinity of separatrix $A B$ will drift, during the time interval $\left[\tau_{n}, \tau_{n+1}\right]$, towards the left of the streamline [i.e., towards $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$; see Fig. 1(i)]. Negative contributions correspond to particles drifting towards its righthand side (i.e., towards $-\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ ). The flow unsteadiness brings a varying sign. The contribution of gravity has the sign of $-x_{A B}$ and, since particles are heavier than the fluid here, it always corresponds to particles driven downward. The curvatureweighted integral of the squared velocity $\left.\left.\langle | \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c}$ is positive (negative) if $A B$ forms a counterclockwise (clockwise) curve. In both cases it corresponds to a centrifugal effect.

Finally, let $\epsilon \alpha$ denote the peak value of the variation of stream function of perturbed fluid points trajectories along the separatrix:

$$
\epsilon \alpha=\max _{-\infty<t_{n}<\infty}\left|\Delta \psi_{0}^{f}\left(t_{n}\right)\right|
$$

A sufficient condition for the sign of $\Delta \psi_{0}^{p}$ to remain constant for all $n$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{St}>\mathrm{St}_{c}=\frac{\epsilon \alpha}{\left.\left|x_{A B} / \mathrm{Fr}+\langle | \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c} \mid} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ only depends on the structure of the flow and manifests the effect of unsteadiness. The curvature-weighted integral $\left.\left.\langle | \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c}$ also is independent of the particle characteristics. It only depends on the geometry of the separatrix $A B$ and on the steady velocity distribution along this streamline.

All variables in the results above have been set nondimensional by using some flow length scale $L$ and velocity $U$. The dimensional counterpart of Eq. (11) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{p}>\tau_{p c}=\frac{\delta}{\left.\left|g X_{A B}+\langle | \mathbf{U}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c} \mid}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{p}=\mathrm{St} \times L / U$ is the response time of the inertial particle; $X_{A B}$ is the dimensional $x$ coordinate of vector $\mathbf{A B} ; \mathbf{U}_{0}$ is the dimensional undisturbed fluid velocity vector; $\delta=\epsilon \alpha \times U L$ has the dimension of a diffusion coefficient $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}\right)$. It is the flux of fluid points within the stochastic zone near separatrix $A B$, due to the flow unsteadiness (see, for example, Beigie et al. [16,17] and Solomon et al. [18]). Note that this quantity is not related to inertial particles and only depends on the structure and on the unsteadiness of the fluid flow.

The criterion in Eq. (12) can also be written as $D>D_{c}$, where $D_{c}$ is the critical diameter of the particle:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{c}=\left(18 \frac{\mu}{\rho_{p}} \tau_{p c}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression will be used in the next sections, and compared to numerical simulation results.

Structure of invariant manifolds. To understand further the physical meaning of these analytical results, consider the structure of the invariant manifolds of particle's dynamics (sketched in Fig. 2). Assuming that particles are allowed to slip on the wall, separatrix $A B$ and walls form a heteroclinic cycle. We consider Poincaré sections of the particle dynamics: $\mathbf{x}\left(t_{n}+k T\right)$, where $k$ is an integer, $T$ is the period of some external perturbation, and $t_{n}$ is any arbitrary time where the Poincaré section starts. Because the leading-order dynamics in (3) has hyperbolic saddle points $A$ and $B$, the Poincaré map of the perturbed dynamics will also display hyperbolic points $A$ and $B$ in the vicinity of the undisturbed ones, the eigenvalues of which have the same signs as the unperturbed ones provided the perturbation is small enough. Therefore, an unstable invariant manifold $W^{u}$ emerges from $A$ and a stable manifold converges towards $B$. The two manifolds, which coincided with the separatrix streamline in the steady case, no longer coincide in the unsteady dynamics in general. The existence of intersection points between both manifolds is related to the existence of zeros in $\Delta \psi_{0}^{p}\left(t_{n}\right)$ (see, for example, Ref. [14]). If $\Delta \psi_{0}^{p}\left(t_{n}\right)$ is strictly negative for all $t_{n}$, then manifolds do not intersect each other and take the form sketched in Figs. 2(a) and 2(c) (in the case of the cavity and of the backward-facing step with $A B$ towards positive $x$ ). Any low-St particle, injected sufficiently close to $A$, will be driven towards the interior of the cell and never exit. This happens in the steady case, or in the unsteady case with $\mathrm{St}>\mathrm{St}_{c}$. In


FIG. 2. Sketch of the invariant manifolds of the dynamics of particles in the cavity flow (a),(b) and in the backward facing step flow (c),(d), when particles are assumed to slip on the walls. In the steady case, or in the unsteady case with $\mathrm{St}>\mathrm{St}_{c}$ (that is $D>D_{c}$ ), manifolds do not intersect and take the form (a) and (c) if gravity dominates inertial curvature effects: the motion is regular and particles entering the cell cannot exit. In the unsteady case with $\mathrm{St}<\mathrm{St}_{c}$ (that is $D<D_{c}$ ), manifolds take the form (b) and (d): the motion is chaotic; some particles can enter and exit the cell in a complex manner. Case (e) corresponds to a regular motion when centrifugal effect dominates. Panel (f) is a sketch of a trajectory wandering in the stochastic zone near the separatrix.
contrast, if $\Delta \psi_{0}^{p}\left(t_{n}\right)$ has simple zeros when $t_{n}$ varies, then the manifolds will have an infinite number of intersection points [Figs. 2(b) and 2(d)]: particles injected near $A$ can have a chaotic dynamics near the heteroclinic loop, and enter and exit the cell in an unpredictable manner. This kind of behavior happens in the unsteady case when $\mathrm{St}<\mathrm{St}_{c}$.

Note that the regular behavior can also happen when centrifugal effects dominate gravity and unsteadiness. Indeed, if $A B$ is clockwise $\left.\left(\left.\langle | \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle<0\right)$ and $\left.-\left.\langle | \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle$ dominates both the unsteady term $\Delta \psi_{0}^{f}$ and the gravity term $x_{A B} / \mathrm{Fr}$, then $\Delta \psi_{0}^{p}\left(t_{n}\right)$ is always positive: particles will be centrifuged away irrespective of their Stokes numbers, provided it is small enough. In this regime, sketched in Fig. 2(e), any particle injected close enough to the heteroclinic cycle will be centrifuged away.

## III. APPLICATION TO AN ANALYTICAL FIELD AND VALIDATION OF THE NUMERICAL APPROACH

The method, and its applicability to numerical velocity fields, is first tested by means of an elementary analytical
velocity field:

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi(x, y, t)= & \psi_{0}(x-\epsilon \sin \omega t, y) \simeq \psi_{0}(x, y) \\
& +v_{0}(x, y) \epsilon \sin \omega t+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where the steady (nondimensional) stream function $\psi_{0}$ is taken to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{0}(x, y)=y\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-1\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $v_{0}(x, y)=-\partial \psi_{0} / \partial x$ is the corresponding vertical velocity. This steady flow is sketched in Fig. 1(ii): it consists in a pair of half-circular cells with a unit radius. The perturbed stream function $\psi$ corresponds to a periodic rigid-body translation of the flow (15) in the horizontal direction.

We first consider the upper dividing streamline, that is the upper half circle $A B$ in the half plane $y>0$. Gravity is along $-y$ : it pushes particles within the cell, and is opposed to centrifugal effects. By inserting the analytical expression of $u_{0}(x, y)$ into Eq. (9), and setting $x=\sin \phi$ and $y=\cos \phi$, the curvature-weighted integral is readily calculated. We obtain $\left.\left.\langle | \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c}=-2 \pi$, the negative sign being due to the fact that the upper dividing streamline is clockwise. Moreover, for this simple flow, a solution $\mathbf{q}=\left(q_{x}(t), q_{y}(t)\right)$ of the unperturbed dynamics on $A B$ can be readily obtained, and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{x}(t)=\tanh 2 t, \quad q_{y}(t)=\frac{1}{\cosh 2 t} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By inserting these functions into (7) we obtain an exact expression for $\Delta \psi_{0}^{f}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \psi_{0}^{p}\left(t_{n}\right) \simeq \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\omega^{2} \epsilon}{\cosh (\pi \omega / 4)} \sin \omega t_{n}+2 \mathrm{St}\left(\pi-\frac{1}{\mathrm{Fr}}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used $x_{A B}=2$. Therefore, in the steady case $(\epsilon=0), \Delta \psi_{0}^{p}\left(t_{n}\right)$ is of the sign of $\left(\pi-\frac{1}{F r}\right)$ for all $t_{n}$ : this means that, if $\mathrm{Fr}<1 / \pi$ gravity drives inertial particles inside cell irrespective of the (small) Stokes number St. In contrast, if $\mathrm{Fr}>1 / \pi$ centrifugal effects dominate and particles slip on the arc $A B$ and slowly drift away from it: any low-St particle coming from the upstream region "bounces" on the cell. This last situation corresponds to the case where the unstable manifold $W^{u}$ emerging from $A$ is towards the exterior of the cell and never intersects $W^{s}$ [as sketched in Fig. 2(e) for the step]. Note that a peculiar case emerges if $\mathrm{Fr}=1 / \pi$ : here gravity and centrifugal effect balance each other and $\Delta \psi_{0}^{p}\left(t_{n}\right)$ is an oscillating function, whatever the (small) Stokes number is.

For the lower dividing streamline $A B$ of the circular cell, i.e., the half-circle $A B$ in the plane $y<0$, we have $\left.\left.\langle | \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c}=$ $+2 \pi$, and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \psi_{0}^{p}\left(t_{n}\right) \simeq-\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\omega^{2} \epsilon}{\cosh (\pi \omega / 4)} \sin \omega t_{n}-2 \operatorname{St}\left(\pi+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Fr}}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the critical Froude number does not exist here, and both gravity and centrifugal effects push the particles out of the cell, downward.

In the unsteady case ( $\epsilon \neq 0$ ), formulas (17) and (18) imply that the undisturbed stream function seen by the particle


FIG. 3. Continuous lines: critical Stokes numbers $\mathrm{St}_{c}^{+}$(solid line) and $\mathrm{St}_{c}^{-}$(dashed line), for the circular separatrices of the analytical cell flow (14), obtained from the theoretical expressions (19). Symbols: the critical Stokes numbers obtained from numerical simulations of a large number of particle trajectories in the same flow discretized on a mesh. Numerical values of the parameters in these simulations: $\rho_{p} / \rho_{f}=1000, \mathrm{Fr}=0.1$, and $\epsilon=0.05$.
oscillates with $t_{n}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{St}<\mathrm{St}_{c}^{ \pm}(\omega)=\frac{\pi}{|\pi \pm 1 / \mathrm{Fr}|} \frac{\omega^{2} \epsilon}{4 \cosh (\pi \omega / 4)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

the $\pm$ sign corresponding to the upper ( + ) and lower ( - ) separatrix respectively. The curves $\mathrm{St}_{c}^{+}(\omega)$ and $\mathrm{St}_{c}^{-}(\omega)$ have been plotted in Fig. 3 when $\mathrm{Fr}=0.1(<1 / \pi)$ and $\epsilon=0.05$ : they have a maximum when $\omega \simeq 2.63$, and decay rapidly when $\omega$ increases above this value. These curves will be compared to numerical results below. Finally, the theory can be applied to the horizontal separatrix of the cellular flow (with $A$ playing the role of $B$ and vice versa), and we readily obtain $\Delta \psi_{0}^{p}\left(t_{n}\right)=2 \mathrm{St} / \mathrm{Fr}$ for all $n$. This means that, for such a horizontal perturbation, only gravity matters and drives the particles towards the lower half-cell during their motion from $B$ to $A$.

Because we want to determine the critical Stokes number of inertial particles advected by numerical velocity fields, we have performed numerical computations of particle trajectories using a discretization of the analytical velocity field (14). Typical clouds with various diameters are shown in Fig. 4. We then have checked whether or not particles have an irregular dynamics around the dividing streamlines, for various $\omega$ 's between 0 and 12, and recorded the corresponding critical Stokes number below which this happened. This $\mathrm{St}_{c}$ has then been compared to the theoretical expression (19) in Fig. 3. We observe that the agreement is good, so that the asymptotic analysis can be applied to numerical velocity fields also.

The application of the asymptotic theory to numerical fields requires that the positions of points $A$ and $B$, and the curvatureweighted integral $\left.\left.\langle | \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c}$, be determined from the discrete velocity field. In the case of the cellular flow investigated in this section, separatrix $A B$ has been determined approximately by calculating a fluid point trajectory in the steady velocity field


FIG. 4. Test case: typical clouds of particles transported in the vicinity of the unsteady cell flow (14), and initially injected near point $A$, with $y>0$. Six particle diameters are used, corresponding to six different values of the Stokes number. All Stokes numbers are supercritical for the lower separatrix (that is, $\mathrm{St}_{c}^{-}<\mathrm{St}$ ). Hence particles that reach the lower circular separatrix $A B$ are too inertial to reenter the cell. Numerical values of the parameters in these simulations: $\rho_{p} / \rho_{f}=1000, \mathrm{Fr}=0.1$, and $\epsilon=0.05$.
$(\epsilon=0)$, injected very close to point $A$. The curvature-weighted integral $\left.\left.\langle | \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c}$ is then computed. For our finest mesh we obtain $\left.\left.\langle | \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c} \simeq-6.28$, which agrees reasonably with the expected value $-2 \pi$.

Note however that the computation of $\left.\left.\langle | \mathbf{u}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c}$ done in this section could also be performed from any velocity field obtained by solving the Navier-Stokes equations with a numerical solver. Then, the behavior of particles near the separatrix can be predicted by simply applying formula (11), or its dimensional counterpart (12), without doing any inertial particle tracking. This is done in the next section, in the case of a cavity flow and of a backward-facing step.

## IV. APPLICATION TO NUMERICAL VELOCITY FIELDS

To illustrate further these results and show their applicability to numerical solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, we consider the classical examples sketched in Figs. 1(iii) and 1(iv). We claim that the behavior of particles can be analyzed by calculating the various terms of Eq. (10), rewritten here in dimensional form (keeping the same symbols for stream functions):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Delta \psi_{0}^{p} \simeq \Delta \psi_{0}^{f}-g \tau_{p} X_{A B}-\left.\tau_{p}\langle | \mathbf{U}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Numerical method. For both the cavity and the backward facing step, numerical simulations using a finite-volume algorithm have been performed on a structured mesh using Gauss integration and linear interpolations. The predictioncorrection PISO algorithm has been used [19]. Time stepping is done by means of a Crank-Nicolson scheme, and a CFL number (Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy) below 0.1 is used in all cases. Particles are calculated by means of linear interpolation coupled to a Euler time-stepping method. They are allowed to slip on the walls, so that the separatrix streamline and the wall form a heteroclinic loop. For each geometry, two runs have been performed. First, a steady boundary condition has been used, together with a moderate Reynolds number, to obtain a steady velocity field with streamlines of the form
of those sketched in Fig. 1. Then, the separatrix and the curvature-weighted integral $\left.\left.\langle | \mathbf{U}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c}$ have been computed, and injected into formula (12). This equation also requires one to determine the flux $\delta$. This quantity, which is only flow dependent, can be estimated after a run involving fluid points only, since the variation of stream function is also the flow rate between the two corresponding streamlines. In the vicinity of any point $C$ of the separatrix we have

$$
\delta=\max _{t_{n}}\left|\Delta \psi_{0}^{f}\left(t_{n}\right)\right| \sim\left|\psi_{0}(M)-\psi_{0}(N)\right|
$$

where $M$ and $N$ denote the intersection between the border of the stochastic zone and the line normal to separatrix $A B$ at $C$ [see Fig. 2(f)]. A first-order expansion of $\psi_{0}(M)-\psi_{0}(N)$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \sim d\left|\mathbf{U}_{0}(C)\right| \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d=|M N|$ is the thickness of the stochastic layer at $C$. By measuring the thickness $d$ from fluid point simulations, we estimate the flux of fluid points $\delta$ from formula (21), and the critical response time $\tau_{p c}$, or diameter $D_{c}$ from Eqs. (12) and (13). We have checked that the exact position of $C$ on the separatrix does not affect the resulting $\delta$, as expected. These predictions have then been compared to those obtained by tracking a large number of particles injected near $A$, and by checking whether separatrix crossing occurred.

Cavity flow. We first consider the flow above a twodimensional open cavity sketched in Fig. 1(iii). This flow has been widely investigated, in various contexts [20-22]. The upstream flow has an imposed parabolic velocity profile with a cross-sectional average velocity $U_{\infty}^{0}=0.13 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. The depth and width of the cavity are $L=0.01 \mathrm{~m}$ and $W=0.02 \mathrm{~m}$, respectively. The corresponding Reynolds number, based on the viscosity of air at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, is $\operatorname{Re}=U_{\infty}^{0} L / v \approx 90$, which corresponds to a moderate value. The flow is steady and characterized by a separatrix streamline $A B$ separating open streamlines (above the cavity) from closed streamlines (in the cavity). We have chosen to introduce some unsteadiness by imposing a pulsatile velocity upstream of the cavity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\infty}(t)=U_{\infty}^{0}(1+\epsilon \sin \omega t) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\omega=26.2 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$, which corresponds to a period of the order of the convective time over the cavity, and $\epsilon=0.05$. The question of interest here is to determine under which conditions aerosols released outside (near point $A$ ) can be captured within the cavity, and remain there either permanently or temporarily. By computing a Lagrangian fluid point trajectory near $A B$ we get $\left.\left.\langle | \mathbf{U}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c} \simeq 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}^{2} \ll g X_{A B}=$ $g W \simeq 0.2 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$, so that gravity dominates and Eq. (20) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \psi_{0}^{p} \simeq \Delta \psi_{0}^{f}-g \tau_{p} X_{A B} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We therefore conclude that, in the steady case $\left[\Delta \psi_{0}^{f}\left(t_{n}\right)=0\right.$ for all $n$ ], the structure of the manifolds is similar to the one sketched in Fig. 2(a), and particles injected sufficiently close to $A$ drop regularly in the cell and cannot exit. This behavior persists in the unsteady case provided $\tau_{p}>\tau_{p c}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{p c} \simeq \frac{\delta}{g W} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 5. Critical diameter obtained from the asymptotic theory (solid line: cavity; dashed line: backward-facing step). Symbols indicate the diameters obtained by tracking a large number of inertial particles (circles: cavity; triangles: backward-facing step). Numerical values of the parameters: $\omega=26.2 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s} ; \epsilon=0.05$.

In contrast, particles such that $\tau_{p}<\tau_{p c}$, injected close enough to the separatrix, will have a chaotic dynamics and wander in and out. The flux of fluid points along the stochastic zone $\delta$ has been obtained by measuring the thickness $d$ of the stochastic zone around the separatrix $A B$, and using formula (21). We obtain $\delta \simeq 5.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$. This leads to a critical response time $\tau_{p c} \simeq 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}$. To check these predictions we have computed inertial particle trajectories with varying density ratios $\rho_{p} / \rho_{f}$. We then have controlled whether particles can exit the cavity or not. The critical diameter below which this happens has been plotted versus the density ratio [Fig. 5 (circles)]. The analytical $D_{c}$ [obtained from Eqs. (24) and (13)] has been plotted on the same graph (solid line); we observe that the agreement is satisfactory. The systematic overestimation of the theoretical diameter, compared to the numerical one, might be due to the numerical determination of the thickness of the


FIG. 6. Typical clouds of particles moving in the vicinity of the cavity flow. The thick line is the dividing streamline $A B$ of the steady flow. Colors indicate particles' diameter $D$, in microns. All particles are injected near $A$, and their density is $\rho_{p}=100 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Those for which $D>D_{c}=26.5$ microns have a regular dynamics and cannot exit. Other parameters: $U_{\infty}^{0}=0.13 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, cavity size $1 \mathrm{~cm} \times 2 \mathrm{~cm}$, $\omega=26.2 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$, and $\epsilon=0.05$.


FIG. 7. Typical clouds of particles moving in the vicinity of the backward facing step. The thick line is the dividing streamline $A B$ of the steady flow. Colors indicate particles' diameter $D$, in microns. All particles are injected near $A$, and their density is $\rho_{p}=$ $100 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Those for which $D>D_{c}=34.5$ microns (red particles) drop in the cell and are trapped permanently. They have a regular dynamics. Those for which $D<D_{c}$ (blue) have a chaotic dynamics near the heteroclinic cycle and can exit in a complex manner. Other parameters: $U_{\infty}^{0}=0.17 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, vertical step size $L=0.01 \mathrm{~m}$, $\omega=25.2 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$, and $\epsilon=0.05$.
stochastic layer $d$. Indeed, by measuring $d$ from fluid points simulations, we slightly overestimate the actual thickness. Therefore, the theoretical critical diameter is overestimated too. Snapshots of particle clouds with diameters $D \in[1,50]$ microns are shown in Fig. 6 in the case where $\rho_{p}=100 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. All particles are injected near $A$. The most inertial particles (in red), for which $D>D_{c}=26.5$ microns, drop in the cavity and remain there permanently.

Backward-facing step. A similar analysis has been done with the backward-facing step flow sketched in Fig. 1(iv). This flow has been widely investigated numerically or experimentally [23-26]. It is an interesting configuration where centrifugal and gravity effects act in opposite directions if the step is downward. Indeed, we have $g X_{A B}>0$ and, because the dividing streamline is clockwise, $\left.\left.\langle | \mathbf{U}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c}<0$. In our simulations, the upstream flow is horizontal with a cross-sectional average velocity $U_{\infty}^{0}=0.17 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. The vertical size of the step is $L=0.01 \mathrm{~m}$ and the Reynolds number is $\operatorname{Re}=U_{\infty}^{0} L / \nu \approx 115$. Here also, for such a moderate Reynolds number, the flow is steady. Also, $X_{A B}=0.057 \mathrm{~m}$. The gravity term appearing in Eq. (20) is $g X_{A B} \simeq 0.56 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ and the curvature term is $\left.\left.\langle | \mathbf{U}_{0}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{c} \simeq-0.00145 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$. Gravity therefore dominates the dynamics of particles near the separatrix: in the steady case the structure of the manifolds is similar to the one sketched in Fig. 2(c), and particles injected very close to $A$ drop regularly in the cell and cannot exit. This behavior persists in the unsteady case provided $\tau_{p}>\tau_{p c}$ given by Eq. (12). In these simulations also unsteadiness is forced by imposing a pulsatile inlet velocity [Eq. (22)]. The corresponding critical diameter is plotted in Fig. 5 (triangles). The flux $\delta$ has been obtained by computing fluid points trajectories near the separatrix, and measuring the thickness of the stochastic zone. Then, by using
formula (21), we get $\delta \simeq 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$. The corresponding critical diameter is shown on the same graph (dashed line). Figure 7 shows particle clouds with various diameters between 32 and 38 microns.

## V. CONCLUSION

The analysis presented in this study faithfully predicts the behavior of low-Reynolds number heavy particles in the vicinity of the boundary of recirculation cells. It concerns the limit of vanishing Stokes numbers, that is particles with a finite but small inertia. For such particles, separatrix crossing depends on the competition between three mechanisms, namely flow unsteadiness, streamline curvature, and gravity. The former effect always contributes to separatrix crossing and chaotic dynamics (if the separatrix is part of a homoclinic or heteroclinic cycle). The other effects can either contribute to separatrix crossing or be opposed to it, according to the geometry of the unperturbed flow. The criterion which has been derived in the present study enables one to predict the various situations. It has been first confronted to numerical results involving an analytical flow discretized on a grid. Then, the criterion has been applied to numerical solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, and we obtained satisfactory results.

Note that the method can be applied to a wide variety of two-dimensional unsteady flows, even if the unsteadiness is more complex than the periodic time dependence considered here. In particular, the method should work also for multimodal perturbations, or even turbulent flows, provided the turbulence intensity is weak (i.e., the typical scale $u^{\prime}$ of velocity fluctuations is much smaller than the scale $U$ of the average velocity). The only contribution of the flow unsteadiness to separatrix crossing is contained in the flux $\delta$, which can be measured accurately from simulations of fluid point trajectories and Poincaré sections near the separatrix.

The generalization of the asymptotic analysis to particles that are not much heavier than the fluid is the next step of this work. In this case additional contributions of the hydrodynamic force must be taken into account: pressure gradient of the undisturbed flow, added mass, history force, and drag and lift due to the inertia of the displaced fluid. Even though the exact expression of the last two forces is still under debate, they are known to affect the complex dynamics of the particles (see, for example, Guseva et al. [27] for a detailed analysis of the effect of the history force). The generalization of the asymptotic analysis to such particles would be useful for the prediction of tiny objects in water flows.
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