



HAL
open science

The effect of crystal defects on 3D high resolution diffraction peaks: a FFT-based method

Komlavi Eloh, Alain Jacques, Gabor Ribarik, Stéphane Berbenni

► To cite this version:

Komlavi Eloh, Alain Jacques, Gabor Ribarik, Stéphane Berbenni. The effect of crystal defects on 3D high resolution diffraction peaks: a FFT-based method. *Materials*, 2018, 11 (9), pp.1669. 10.3390/ma11091669 . hal-02360465

HAL Id: hal-02360465

<https://hal.science/hal-02360465>

Submitted on 12 Nov 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

1 Article

2 The effect of crystal defects on 3D high resolution 3 diffraction peaks: a FFT-based method

4 K. Elo^{1,2,3}, A. Jacques^{1,3,*}, G. Ribarik^{3,4}, S. Berbenni^{2,3}

5 ¹ Université de Lorraine, CNRS, IJL, F-54000 Nancy, France ; komlavi-senyo.eloh@univ-lorraine.fr

6 ² Université de Lorraine, CNRS, Arts et Métiers Paris Tech, LEM3, F-57000 Metz, France;

7 stephane.berbenni@univ-lorraine.fr

8 ³Laboratory of Excellence on Design of Alloy Metals for low-mAss Structures (DAMAS), Université de
9 Lorraine, France.

10 ⁴Department of Materials Physics, Eötvös University, Budapest, Hungary.

11 * Correspondence: alain.jacques@univ-lorraine.fr ; Tel.: +03 72 74 26 79

12 Received: date; Accepted: date; Published: date

13 **Abstract:** Forward modeling of diffraction peaks is a potential way to compare the results of
14 theoretical mechanical simulations and experimental X-Ray Diffraction data recorded during in situ
15 experiments. As the input data are the strain or displacement field within a representative volume
16 of the material containing dislocations, a computer-aided efficient and accurate method to generate
17 these fields is necessary. With this aim, a current and promising numerical method is based on the
18 use of the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) method. However, classic FFT-based methods present some
19 numerical artifacts due to the Gibbs phenomenon or “aliasing” and to “voxelization” effects. Here,
20 we propose several improvements: first, a consistent discrete Green operator to remove “aliasing”
21 effects and second, a method to minimize the voxelization artifacts generated by dislocation loops
22 inclined with respect to the computational grid. Then we then show the effect of these
23 improvements on theoretical diffraction peaks.

24 **Keywords:** Dislocations; diffraction; FFT-based method; Discrete Green operator; voxelization
25 artifacts; sub-voxel method; simulated diffraction peaks; scattered Intensity

26

27 1. Introduction

28 X-Ray diffraction is one of the most powerful non-destructive tools to investigate materials, as
29 their wavelength is commensurate with the distance between atoms within a crystal [1–9]. Successive
30 improvements of both the X-Ray sources (from X-Ray tubes to third generation synchrotrons) and
31 detectors (from photographic plates and gas counters to fast two-dimensional arrays) have led to a
32 tremendous increase in the quantity of data recorded per unit time, allowing real time *in situ* or *in*
33 *operando* measurements [10,11]. It is now possible to determine the 3D grain microstructure of a bulk
34 material with a submicron resolution (using Topo-Tomography), to follow the evolution of the elastic
35 strain state of the grains of a polycrystal during mechanical tests (3D-XRD, far field diffractometry),
36 or to measure the distribution of strains within a few grains in real time (2D diffractometry)
37 [12,13]. Such experiments result in terabytes of data recorded within a few days, which need to be
38 efficiently analyzed. In fact, only a low fraction of those data is actually treated because scientists lack
39 both time and software for further analysis [14].

40 The classical techniques used to analyze the 1D or 2D diffraction patterns recorded during tests
41 performed on polycrystalline specimens such as the Rietveld method, the square sines method to
42 measure internal stresses, or CMWP fitting for dislocations content often rely on simplified and
43 mathematically tractable models of a microstructure. Calculations which may involve simplifying
44 hypothesis lead to a general formula which can be used to fit one or several parameters of the

45 microstructure (dislocation densities and type, internal stress tensor...) to the diffraction pattern
46 (peak profiles, variation of the $2\theta_B$ angle with orientation...)

47 During the last ten years, several authors proposed the opposite approach: forward modeling
48 [15–22]. This requires the design of a microstructure and the simulation of its behavior (often under
49 process or thermo-mechanical solicitation), the computation of the elastic strain field or the
50 displacement field. The last step is the generation through a ‘virtual diffractometer’ of a theoretical
51 diffraction pattern (different \mathbf{G} vectors and different orientations of the lattice planes), which can be
52 compared with the experimental one. Depending on the size of the simulated representative
53 volume of matter and the experimental conditions such as the X-Ray beam coherence, different
54 assumptions can be done such as a coherent beam (where the amplitudes scattered by different points
55 add) or an incoherent beam (scattered intensities add), or for a partially coherent beam where a full
56 calculation may be necessary. Such modeling can be quite successful and can be used to validate the
57 different steps involved, mainly the microstructure and the constitutive law used to simulate the
58 material’s behavior.

59 However, as diffraction peaks contain information on different scales of a specimen: from
60 average quantities such as Type I (average) stresses related to the peaks’ positions, Type II (at grain
61 level) stresses related to its width, and Type III stresses (near the core of defects such as dislocations)
62 related to the peaks’ tails, a realistic simulation of a diffraction peak requires a description of a
63 material’s Representative Volume Element with a very fine mesh, i.e. a huge amount of CPU time
64 with classical methods used for simulations such as the Finite Element Method.

65 Numerical approaches based on the FFT for calculating the stress and strain fields within a
66 composite material received a surge of interest since the pioneering work of Moulinec and Suquet
67 [23,24]. They were first developed to compute effective properties and mechanical field of linear
68 elastic composites[23–26] and were extended to heterogeneous materials with eigenstrains
69 (dislocations, thermal strains...) [14,27–30]. They are also used for conductivity problems [31], non-
70 linear materials [25,27], viscoplastic or elastoviscoplastic polycrystals [32–36]. Today, FFT based
71 approaches represent alternative to finite element method because they are rather attractive in terms
72 of computation time [32].

73 However initial tests indicate that the displacement field computed (essential for diffraction
74 pattern generation) with FFT algorithms presents some numerical artifacts. These numerical artifacts
75 are due to Gibbs phenomenon or “aliasing” and to voxelization. The accuracy of the calculated strain
76 or displacement field is strongly influenced by these shortcomings and the simulated peaks may
77 provide wrong information on mechanical behavior or material characteristics. Therefore, it is
78 important to control these artifacts to simulate correct diffraction pattern in the case of a
79 microstructure containing different phases, grains, and crystal defects.

80 The aim of this paper is to improve the accuracy of the displacement field for diffraction peak
81 generation. This improvement is based on the introduction of a consistent discrete periodized Green
82 operator associated with the displacement field in order to take explicitly into account the
83 discreteness of the discrete Fourier Transform method [37]. The improvement of the voxelization in
84 FFT-method is performed through a sub-voxelization method will be described for inclined
85 dislocation loops. These improvements are reported and discussed. In the section 2, the FFT-based
86 method to compute the displacement field in a periodic medium is described. In section 3, the
87 treatment of voxelization problems in FFT-based approaches by a sub-voxelization method is
88 detailed in the case of slip plane not conforming to FFT grid. In the section 4, simulation of diffraction
89 peaks is reported and discussed.

90 2. Displacement field

91 2.1. FFT-based algorithm and mechanical fields

92 Let us consider a homogeneous elastic medium with eigenstrain assuming a periodic unit cell
93 discretized in $N \times N \times N$ voxels and subjected to an uniform overall strain tensor denoted \mathbf{E} . Here,
94 this overall strain is the spatial average of the strain field in the unit cell (with external loading and a

95 given eigenstrain field). The unit cell contains dislocations (line defects) which are modeled with an
 96 eigenstrain tensor. These dislocations create a displacement field during their motion along various
 97 slip systems and thus generates strain/stress field [38].

98 The displacement vector is denoted \mathbf{u} and in the forthcoming equations, \mathbf{x} denotes any
 99 position vector within the unit cell. All vectorial and tensorial fields will be written using bold
 100 characters.

101 Starting from the equation for mechanical equilibrium, $\text{div } \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, and using elastic
 102 equations, the displacement field is given at every positions by [39]:

$$103 \quad \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{B} * \mathbf{c}^0 : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*)(\mathbf{x}) \quad (1)$$

104 Where the symbol $*$ denotes the spatial convolution product, \mathbf{c}^0 is the homogeneous linear elastic
 105 stiffness, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*$ is the eigenstrain field and \mathbf{B} is a third order Green operator defined in Fourier space
 106 as:

$$107 \quad \widehat{B}_{ijk}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \frac{i}{2} (\widehat{G}_{ij} \xi_k + \widehat{G}_{ik} \xi_j) \quad (2)$$

108 in which $\widehat{\mathbf{B}}$ is the Fourier transform of \mathbf{B} and $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is the Fourier transform of the elastic Green
 109 tensor [39]. Therefore, using the Fourier transform of spatial convolution product, Equation (1) can
 110 be written in Fourier space as:

$$111 \quad \widehat{\mathbf{u}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \widehat{\mathbf{B}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) : \mathbf{c}^0 : \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^*(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \quad (3)$$

112 Several numerical results showed that the use of the third order operator $\widehat{\mathbf{B}}$ derived from the
 113 classic Green $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ leads to spurious oscillations on the computed displacement field near materials
 114 discontinuities. The Discrete Fourier transform (DFT) used in this algorithm indeed transforms a
 115 periodic function in real space into a periodic function in reciprocal space. However, the operator $\widehat{\mathbf{B}}$
 116 commonly used is the continuous analytic operator truncated to the size of the unit cell of the
 117 reciprocal space: it is not periodic function. To fix this problem, we a periodized consistent discrete
 118 Green operator using the DFT. The Fourier transform of this new operator $\widehat{\mathbf{B}}'$ is written as function
 119 of $\widehat{\mathbf{B}}$ (the mathematical details about its derivation are given in [37]) and reads:

$$120 \quad \widehat{\mathbf{B}}'(\xi_{ijk}) = A_{ijk} \sum_{m,n,p=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+n+p}}{(mN+i)} \frac{1}{(nN+j)} \frac{1}{(pN+k)} \widehat{\mathbf{B}}(\xi_{mN+i, nN+j, pN+k})$$

$$121 \quad \text{With} \quad A_{ijk} = \left(\frac{N}{\pi}\right)^3 \sin\left(\frac{i\pi}{N}\right) \sin\left(\frac{j\pi}{N}\right) \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{N}\right) \quad (4)$$

122 Discrete frequency appearing in this equation is given when N is even by (T is the unit cell period):

$$123 \quad \boldsymbol{\xi} = \left(-\frac{N}{2} + 1\right) \frac{1}{T}, \left(-\frac{N}{2} + 2\right) \frac{1}{T}, \dots, -\frac{1}{T}, 0, \frac{1}{T}, \dots, \left(\frac{N}{2} - 1\right) \frac{1}{T}, \left(\frac{N}{2}\right) \frac{1}{T}$$

124 Here the sum on the $\widehat{\mathbf{B}}$ operator is extended to the whole reciprocal space (in practice for m, n, p
 125 up to a few tens) and folded up onto the unit cell of the DFT with suitable coefficients. The inverse
 126 transform of $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ gives the displacement field at the center of each voxel.

127 We can also compute the displacement field at each voxel's corner with a shifted operator using the
 128 shift theorem:

$$129 \quad \widehat{\mathbf{B}}''(\xi_{ijk}) = A_{ijk} e^{p\pi \frac{i+j+k}{N}} \sum_{m,n,p=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(mN+i)} \frac{1}{(nN+j)} \frac{1}{(pN+k)} \widehat{\mathbf{B}}(\xi_{mN+i, nN+j, pN+k}) \quad (5)$$

130 2.2. Numerical examples

131 Let us consider a homogeneous material with isotropic elastic constant: Young's modulus $E =$
 132 333.4 GPa and the Poisson ration $\nu = 0.26$. This approximately corresponds to the room
 133 temperature elastic constants of single crystalline Ni-based Superalloys. The unit cell (Figure 1a) is
 134 discretized in $128 \times 128 \times 128$ voxels and contains a square-shaped inclusion discretized in

135 $32 \times 32 \times 1$ voxels corresponding to an Eshelby-like square prismatic loop perpendicular to the z-
 136 axis. In order to generate a shift of the upper surface of the inclusion relative to its lower surface by a
 137 Burgers vector $\mathbf{b}(0, 0, b_3)$ the voxels within the inclusion are submitted to an eigenstrain: $\epsilon_{ij}^* = 0$
 138 except $\epsilon_{33}^* = 1$. We thus have $b_3 = t \times \epsilon_{33}^*$ where t the thickness of the inclusion in the z-
 139 direction (i.e. the voxel size). This displacement field computed with the FFT algorithm using the
 140 different periodized discrete Green operators is represented along z-axis in figure 1.




Figure 1: (a) Simulation of a squared dislocation in plane (001) by a platelet with eigenstrain; (b) Component u_3 of the displacement field (normalized by b_3) along the z axis (arrow) computed with the Green operator \mathbf{B} and showing oscillations; (c) Same component correct u_3 computed with \mathbf{B}' . The displacement at voxel (64,64,64) is zero in the center of the inclusion (c) and $b_3/2$ on its surface (c). (d) Same component correct u_3 computed with \mathbf{B}'' .

148 When computed along a line crossing a dislocation loop, the displacement field exhibits a
 149 discontinuity with a jump equal to Burgers vector \mathbf{b} . This is indeed observed in figure 1. However,
 150 the displacement field computed with the usual Green operator \mathbf{B} (figure 1b) also shows oscillations,
 151 while which are not observed with the periodized operators \mathbf{B}' and \mathbf{B}'' . An artificial damping of the
 152 oscillations in figure 1b (such as a low pass filtering) might smooth these oscillations, but it would
 153 also smooth the discontinuity.

154 2.3. Voxelization effect on the displacement field.

155 While the displacement field computed for dislocation loops having their planes parallel to the
 156 faces of the simulated volume, artifacts appear for inclined loops, as shown in figure 2 for a
 157 dislocation loop with a $[0\bar{1}1]$ Burgers vector lying in a (111) slip plane of a fcc crystal. The
 158 eigenstrain tensor is constrained in the region occupied by the dislocation loop (transformed voxels)
 159 and is given by:

160


$$\varepsilon_{ij}^* = \frac{A_s}{2V} (n_i b_j + n_j b_i) \quad (6)$$

161 where A_s is the area on which planes with normal $\mathbf{n}(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ has slipped by a relative amount
 162 $\mathbf{b}(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ and V is the volume occupied by the loop [40,41]. As before, the dislocation loop is 32
 163 voxels wide in the x and y directions, and 1 voxel thick but now with a z position such that $x + y +$
 164 $z = \text{constant}$. The displacement has been computed at the center of voxels with the periodized
 165 operator \mathbf{B}' along z (figure 2b). As in figure 1c, the displacement in the center of a voxel belonging
 166 to the loop plane (black dot in the reddish transformed voxel in figure 2b) is zero. The displacement
 167 in the first neighboring voxels (red dot in figure 2b) are shifted relative to the expected position, so
 168 that the displacement difference between these voxels is significantly lower than \mathbf{b} , see figure 2c. It
 169 can be checked in figure 2b that each of these voxels shares three faces with a transformed voxel. A
 170 more detailed analysis shows that the second neighbors (which share three edges with transformed
 171 voxels) are also slightly shifted in the opposite direction. The result is shown in figure 2d with:
 172 a strong localized oscillation of the phase (taken here as the displacement modulo \mathbf{b}).

173 Although the amplitude of this shift is small (less than 10% of the Burgers vector) it has
 174 unwanted consequences on the diffraction peak simulation:

- 175 • The dislocation loops are surrounded by four impaired layers of voxels: As the scattered X-Ray
 176 amplitude is proportional to the Fourier transform of $\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{u}$ (see equation (8) in section 4) we can
 177 expect a phantom streak in the intensity in a direction perpendicular to the loop plane.
- 178 • The displacement field near the edges of the loop (near the dislocation line) will be quite different
 179 from its expected value, and the strain field will not vary with the distance r to the dislocation
 180 line as $1/r$. This will strongly affect the tails of the diffraction peaks

181



182

183

184

185

Figure 2. (a) Modeling of a squared dislocation loop in a (111) plane as a layer of voxels with eigenstrain; (b) position of the computed points relative to the transformed voxels with eigenstrains; (c) Plot of the displacement field u_3 (normalized by b_3) along the z direction for dislocation loop

186 illustrated on figure 2. (d) Local oscillation of the phase due to the voxelization of the dislocation loop
 187 (the representation is made for 32 voxels centered in the unit cell along z direction). The red line is
 188 approximately equal to the phase expected for this displacement field.


189 3. Sub-voxelization method to correct voxelization effects.

190 3.1. Sub-voxelization method

191 The (conceptually) simplest way to remove this voxelization artifact would be to work on a
 192 multiple grid (to multiply the number of voxels along each direction by 2, 4, or more), then to
 193 downsample the displacement field data. In that case, FFT algorithms would lose much of their
 194 interest due to these more demanding computational efforts. We show below that this can be done
 195 in a more economical way by applying a patch to the FFT-computed displacement field. The basic
 196 method is to compute *on the same grid* the difference vector:


$$197 \quad \Delta_i(\mathbf{x}) = u_i^{sub}(\mathbf{x}) - u_i^{hom}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (7)$$

198 where $u_i^{sub}(\mathbf{x})$ is the displacement vector calculated for voxels where this eigenstrain is
 199 concentrated on a single plane of sub voxels (figure 3b) and u_i^{hom} the displacement field in direction
 200 i of voxels with a uniform eigenstrain (figure 3a). For the sake of clarity, we use 2D diagrams in Figure
 201 3, but here the technique is applied to real 3D problems.



202 **Figure 3.** 2D representation of a dislocation loop in a tilted plane on a (8×8) FFT grid. (a) With a
 203 homogeneous eigenstrain in the voxels occupied by the dislocation loop. (b) With each voxel
 204 subdivided into 4×4 sub-voxels, only 4 of which are eigenstrained.

205 In order to compute the displacement due to sub-voxels, we use a $N \times N$ ($N \times N \times N$) grid for
 206 2D (resp. 3D) problems where each voxel can be subdivided into $n \times n$ ($n \times n \times n$) sub voxels. Only
 207 $n \times n$ sub voxels are submitted to an eigenstrain field. At a point \mathbf{A} of the grid (black dots, figure
 208 4a), we need to compute the sum of the displacements \mathbf{u}_i^j due to the n ($n \times n$) sub voxels j (center
 209 \mathbf{B}_j) within a voxel centered at point \mathbf{O} . This sum is equivalent to the sum of the displacements due
 210 to a strained sub voxel at point \mathbf{O} on the grid points \mathbf{A}_j such as $\mathbf{OA}_j = \mathbf{B}_j\mathbf{A}$ (figure 4b). It is also
 211 equivalent to the sum of the displacements \mathbf{u}_i^j due to a *full* voxel at point \mathbf{O} on the initial grid on
 212 points \mathbf{A}'_j such as $\mathbf{OA}'_j = n\mathbf{B}_j\mathbf{A}$ (figure 4c). The only difference between these last two sums is due to
 213 the long-range strain field, and approximately results in a linear drift of the displacement. As the end
 214 of the vectors \mathbf{OA}'_j does not lie on the grid points (voxel centers) but on the corners of the voxels, the
 215 \mathbf{u}_i^j displacements must be calculated with the shifted operator \mathbf{B}'' (equation (5)). A last point is the
 216 scaling of the \mathbf{u}_i^j and \mathbf{u}_i^j sums during the operations of figure 4. To keep the one Burgers vector
 217 jump between both sides of the sub voxels plane in Figure 4a, the eigenstrain in the sub voxels must
 218 be multiplied by n . The backwards change of scale requires a division by n : there is no scaling factor
 219 between u_i^{hom} and $u_i^{sub} = \sum u_i^j$.



220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

Figure 4. (a). 2D representation of the computational grid. Black dots correspond to the voxels center. A voxel with center O is discretized in 4×4 in 2D ($4 \times 4 \times 4$ in 3D) sub-voxels. Some sub-voxels contain an eigenstrain (red sub-voxels). We want to compute the displacement field at voxel centered at point A , due to these deformed sub-voxels centered at B_j . (b) Effect of one deformed sub-voxel centered at O on a row of sub-voxels centered at B_j such as $OA_j = B_j A$. The sum of these effects is equal to the previous displacement field. (c) Effect of deformed voxels centered at O on a row of voxels (computed at corners A'_j using Green operator B'') such as $OA'_j = n B_j A$. This sum is equal to previous wanted sum.

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250


We need to compute $\Delta_{ij_pl}(\mathbf{x})$ the difference in displacement in direction i due to a voxel which belongs to the plane “pl” (for fcc “pl” is equal to $(111), (\bar{1}\bar{1}1), (1\bar{1}\bar{1}), (11\bar{1})$) of a dislocation loop with a Burgers vector j at a position \mathbf{x} relative to the transformed voxel. In practice, in a material with cubic symmetry, it is sufficient to compute $\Delta_{13_ (111)}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\Delta_{33_ (111)}(\mathbf{x})$, and to use the symmetries of the cube (fourfold $[001]$ axis, threefold $[111]$ axis, and $(1\bar{1}0)$ symmetry plane) (and suitable exchanges of the components of \mathbf{x}) to obtain the required components. As it can be seen in figure 2b, $\Delta_{ij_pl}(\mathbf{x})$ is non-zero only for the neighbors of the transformed voxel, except the drift due to the long range strain alluded above. The final recipe to compute $\Delta_{ij_pl}(\mathbf{x})$ and use the patch becomes:

- Compute the field $\mathbf{c}^0: \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*$ defined in equation (1) for an isolated voxel with the eigenstrain associated to a dislocation loop (equation (6)) with a Burgers vector $[001]$ in a (111) plane (see figure 2).
- Compute the displacement field in directions $x(\mathbf{u}_1^{hom})$ and $z(\mathbf{u}_3^{hom})$ at the voxels’ center around the transformed voxel by convolution with the discrete periodized operator \mathbf{B}' (equation (4))
- Compute the displacement field in directions x and z at the voxels’ corners around the transformed voxel by convolution with the shifted operator \mathbf{B}'' (equation (5))
- Calculate the $\mathbf{u}_1^{sub} = \sum \mathbf{u}_1^j$ and $\mathbf{u}_3^{sub} = \sum \mathbf{u}_3^j$ sums ($n \times n$ terms for each sum) as in figure 4c, then the raw $\Delta_{13_ (111)}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\Delta_{33_ (111)}(\mathbf{x})$ for (x_1, x_2, x_3) going from -3 to 3 times the voxel size t .
- Use the farthest voxels to correct the drift of the components so that all terms for large \mathbf{x} are zero, and keep only the terms for the first three neighbors non zero. .

The patch can then be applied on the raw (FFT-based) displacement field by adding the convolution of all transformed voxels of the different slip systems by the relevant $\Delta_{ij_pl}(\mathbf{x})$.

251 3.2. Results

252 For tests, we used the same $128 \times 128 \times 128$ grid as above, and the transformed voxel was
 253 divided into $8 \times 8 \times 8$ sub voxels (using a reference medium with the same elastic constants as before).
 254 Only the final values in units of b (after drift correction) of $\Delta_{13_{(111)}}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\Delta_{33_{(111)}}(\mathbf{x})$ are used
 255 and others components are obtain by symmetries (Appendix A).



256

257 **Figure 5.** (a) Plot of the displacement field u_3 (normalized by b_3) along the z direction for dislocation
 258 loop illustrated on figure2. Artifacts are removed by sub-voxel method described above. (b) the phase
 259 (i.e. the displacement modulo a Burgers vector). With this correction, the phase is almost continuous.


260 The patch was used on the same configuration as in figure2. Figure 5a shows the resulting
 261 displacement field and figure 5b the phase (i.e. the displacement modulo a Burgers vector) in Burgers
 262 vector units. As it can be observed from figure 5a, the artifacts of the displacement field have
 263 disappeared. In addition, the resulting phase varies smoothly even during the crossing of the
 264 dislocation loop, see figure 5b.

265 4. Application on diffraction peak simulation

266 In this section, we show simulated diffraction peaks in order to point the effects of voxelization
 267 artifacts and of the patch on numerical results. Under kinematical conditions and assuming a
 268 coherent beam, the amplitude of a diffracted wave at a position \mathbf{q} in the vicinity of a reciprocal \mathbf{G}
 269 lattice vector is [14,18,42–44]:

$$270 \quad A(\mathbf{q}) = FT[A_0(\mathbf{r}) \times F(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{x}) \times \exp(-2i\pi \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}))] \quad (8)$$

271 where \mathbf{x} is the position of the scattering atom, $A_0(\mathbf{x})$ is the amplitude of the incidence wave, $F(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{x})$
 272 is the local structure factor and $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$ the displacement field. The scattered intensity is $I(\mathbf{q}) = |A(\mathbf{q})|^2$.
 273 For a face-centered cubic crystal, this intensity is non zero when $\mathbf{G} (h, k, l)$ is such as $h, k,$ and l have
 274 the same parity. Here two diffraction vectors $\mathbf{G} (200)$ and $\mathbf{G} (002)$ are used. They respectively
 275 correspond to $\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0$ and $\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{b} = 1$. The 3D diffracted intensity has been calculated using the FFT
 276 instead of the continuous Fourier Transform, then summed in the planes perpendicular to the \mathbf{G}
 277 vector to obtain a linear plot along \mathbf{G} equivalent to a $I(2\theta)$ plot. In figures 6a ($\mathbf{G} (200)$) and 6c ($\mathbf{G}(002)$),
 278 we show the diffracted intensity (logarithmic scale) as a function of the pixel position i , and in figures
 279 6b and 6d a logarithmic/logarithmic plot of the intensity vs. $|i - i_0|$ where i_0 is the center of the
 280 peak. In order to only study the effect of the displacement fields, we set $A_0(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ and $F(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{x}) = 1$
 281 for these simulations.



282

283

284 **Figure 6.** Simulated diffracted intensity as a function of the pixel position (logarithmic scale). 3D
 285 configuration is represented in 1D plot by making sum in each plane along an x-axis. Different way
 286 for computing the displacement fields are studied for a dislocation loop with a $[0\bar{1}1]$ Burgers vector
 287 lying in a (111) slip plane. (a) Diffracted vector studied is \mathbf{G} (200) corresponding to $\mathbf{G}\cdot\mathbf{b} = 0$. (b)
 288 log/log representation of the intensity vs. $|i - i_0|$. (c) and (d) Same as (a) et (b) but the studied
 289 diffracted vector is \mathbf{G} (002).

290 The peak shape near the top of the peaks is the same for both computing methods. It is perfectly
 291 symmetric in the $\mathbf{G}\cdot\mathbf{b} = 0$ case and exhibits a bump on the right side for $\mathbf{G}\cdot\mathbf{b} = 1$. Their long-range
 292 behavior is however quite different. When the displacement field has been calculated with the usual
 293 truncated operator (black line), a phantom peak is observed at large $|i - i_0|$ (at large q), which is due
 294 to the short period oscillations near the displacement field discontinuity (Figure 1a). The behavior of
 295 the peak calculated with the modified Green operator (blue curve) is only slightly better: the intensity
 296 at large q is underestimated in one case and overestimated in the other. When the intensity has been
 297 calculated with the sub voxel patch (red curve) the long range intensity follows the expected
 298 $I_0|i - i_0|^{-3}$ law [45,46]: the peak tails are indeed related to the highly distorted zones near the
 299 dislocations' cores. However, the red curve saturates at very large q . We suppose this is due to the
 300 use of the Fast Fourier Transform instead of the continuous Fourier Transform in the calculation of
 301 the scattered amplitude (equation (8)): The plot of figure 6 represents only one period in Fourier
 302 space, and is repeated over and over on all Fourier space. We can now calculate the intensity of the
 303 tails of these repetitions:

$$304 \quad I_{neib.} = \sum I_0|i - i_0 - 128m|^{-3} \quad (9)$$

305 where m varies from -5 to 5 (zero excluded). We obtain the pink curve at the bottom of figures 5a and
 306 5c. If we now plot the difference between the red intensity curve and this pink background line, we
 307 obtain the green curve. On the log./log. plots, figure 6b and 6d it can be checked that this curve follows
 308 the $I_0|i - i_0|^{-3}$ law to the end. Thus, the residual error in the intensity computed by FFT results of
 309 the FFT itself, and not from a residual error on the sub voxel corrected displacement field. If the

310 number of voxels is increased to 512^3 or 1024^3 while keeping the physical size of the Representative
311 Volume constant this residual error should fall down to undetectable levels.

312 5. Conclusions

313 In this paper, we showed that although the use of a periodized Green operator in the FFT-based
314 method improves the final displacement field solution in a Representative Volume containing
315 discontinuities (dislocation loops), artifacts due to the voxelization of the dislocation loop planes are
316 still present with respect to analytical solutions. These artifacts have unwanted consequences on the
317 tails of diffraction peaks simulated by using this displacement field as input data.

318 We have introduced a patch which corrects these artifacts by simulating the displacement field
319 which would be obtained with a much finer voxelization without need to do the computations on a
320 finer grid. A simple construction method for this patch has been given and the patch can be used in
321 a single post-processing step to modify the initial FFT-based displacement field.

322 The modified displacement field has been used to simulate one-dimensional diffraction peaks.
323 The procedure strongly improves the shape of the peaks' tails, i.e. it gives a good description of the
324 displacement field near the dislocation lines.

325 **Acknowledgments:** This work was supported by the French State through the program "Investment in the
326 future" operated by the National Research Agency (ANR) and referenced by ANR-11-LABX-0008-01
327 (LabEx DAMAS). Gabor Ribarik greatly acknowledge the support of the Janos Bolyai Research Fellowship
328 of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

329 **Author Contributions:** For the present paper, Komlavi S. Elo performed the simulation work and wrote
330 the first draft of the paper; Alain Jacques analyzed numerical results and helped the simulation part; Gabor
331 Ribarik helped in constructing the computer code and helped in analyzing results; Stéphane Berbenni
332 helped in developing the first theoretical part of the paper. The paper was written by Komlavi S. Elo,
333 Alain Jacques and Stéphane Berbenni.

334 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

335 Appendix A

336 $\Delta_{33_{(111)}}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $\Delta_{13_{(111)}}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ have these symmetries due to the permutation
337 properties of the plan **(111)**

$$338 \Delta_{33_{(111)}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \Delta_{33_{(111)}}(x_2, x_1, x_3)$$

$$339 \Delta_{33_{(111)}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = -\Delta_{33_{(111)}}(-x_1, -x_2, -x_3)$$

$$340 \Delta_{13_{(111)}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = -\Delta_{13_{(111)}}(-x_1, -x_2, -x_3)$$

341 The others values of $\Delta_{ij_{(111)}}(\mathbf{x})$ are given as function of $\Delta_{33_{(111)}}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $\Delta_{13_{(111)}}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$:

$$342 \Delta_{11_{(111)}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \Delta_{33_{(111)}}(x_3, x_2, x_1) \quad \Delta_{12_{(111)}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \Delta_{13_{(111)}}(x_1, x_3, x_3)$$

$$343 \Delta_{22_{(111)}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \Delta_{33_{(111)}}(x_1, x_3, x_2) \quad \Delta_{31_{(111)}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \Delta_{13_{(111)}}(x_3, x_2, x_1)$$

$$344 \Delta_{32_{(111)}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \Delta_{13_{(111)}}(x_3, x_1, x_2) \quad \Delta_{21_{(111)}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \Delta_{13_{(111)}}(x_1, x_3, x_2)$$

$$345 \Delta_{23_{(111)}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \Delta_{13_{(111)}}(x_2, x_1, x_3)$$

346 The value of $\Delta_{33}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $\Delta_{13}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ for the remaining plane $(\bar{1}11), (1\bar{1}1), (11\bar{1})$ are
347 obtained using these symmetries:

$$348 \Delta_{33_{(\bar{1}11)}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \Delta_{33_{(111)}}(x_2, -x_1, x_3) \quad \Delta_{13_{(\bar{1}11)}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \Delta_{13_{(111)}}(-x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

$$349 \Delta_{33_{(1\bar{1}1)}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \Delta_{33_{(111)}}(-x_2, x_1, x_3) \quad \Delta_{13_{(1\bar{1}1)}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \Delta_{13_{(111)}}(x_1, -x_2, x_3)$$

$$350 \Delta_{33_{(11\bar{1})}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = -\Delta_{33_{(111)}}(x_2, x_1, -x_3) \quad \Delta_{13_{(11\bar{1})}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = -\Delta_{13_{(111)}}(x_1, x_2, -x_3)$$


351 References

- 352 1. Graverend, J.-B. L.; Dirand, L.; Jacques, A.; Cormier, J.; Ferry, O.; Schenk, T.; Gallemeau, F.; Kruch, S.;
353 Mendez, J. In Situ Measurement of the γ/γ' Lattice Mismatch Evolution of a Nickel-Based Single-Crystal

- 354 Superalloy During Non-isothermal Very High-Temperature Creep Experiments. *Metall and Mat Trans A*
355 **2012**, *43*, 3946–3951, doi:10.1007/s11661-012-1343-x.
- 356 2. Robinson, I.; Harder, R. Coherent X-ray diffraction imaging of strain at the nanoscale. *Nat Mater* **2009**, *8*,
357 291–298, doi:10.1038/nmat2400.
- 358 3. Pfeifer, M. A.; Williams, G. J.; Vartanyants, I. A.; Harder, R.; Robinson, I. K. Three-dimensional mapping of
359 a deformation field inside a nanocrystal. *Nature* **2006**, *442*, 63.
- 360 4. Ungár, T. Strain Broadening Caused by Dislocations Available online: [https://www.scientific.net/MSF.278-](https://www.scientific.net/MSF.278-281.151)
361 [281.151](https://www.scientific.net/MSF.278-281.151) (accessed on Jun 16, 2018).
- 362 5. Ungár, T.; Gubicza, J.; Ribárik, G.; Borbély, A. Crystallite size distribution and dislocation structure
363 determined by diffraction profile analysis: principles and practical application to cubic and hexagonal
364 crystals. *Journal of applied crystallography* **2001**, *34*, 298–310.
- 365 6. Ribárik, G.; Ungár, T.; Gubicza, J. MWP-fit: a program for multiple whole-profile fitting of diffraction peak
366 profiles by ab initio theoretical functions. *Journal of Applied Crystallography* **2001**, *34*, 669–676.
- 367 7. Ribárik, G.; Gubicza, J.; Ungár, T. Correlation between strength and microstructure of ball-milled Al–Mg
368 alloys determined by X-ray diffraction. *Materials science and engineering: A* **2004**, *387*, 343–347.
- 369 8. Balogh, L.; Ribárik, G.; Ungár, T. Stacking faults and twin boundaries in fcc crystals determined by x-ray
370 diffraction profile analysis. *Journal of applied physics* **2006**, *100*, 023512.
- 371 9. Groma, I. X-ray line broadening due to an inhomogeneous dislocation distribution. *Physical Review B* **1998**,
372 *57*, 7535.
- 373 10. Tréhorel, R.; Ribarik, G.; Schenk, T.; Jacques, A. Real time study of transients during high temperature creep
374 of a Ni-based superlloy by far field high energy synchrotron X-rays diffraction. *Journal of applied*
375 *crystallography(under review)*.
- 376 11. Tréhorel, R. Comportement mécanique haute température du superalliage monocristallin AM1: Etude in-
377 situ par une nouvelle technique de diffraction en rayonnement synchrotron, Université de Lorraine: Nancy,
378 France, 2018.
- 379 12. Bernier, J. V.; Park, J.-S.; Pilchak, A. L.; Glavicic, M. G.; Miller, M. P. Measuring Stress Distributions in Ti-
380 6Al-4V Using Synchrotron X-Ray Diffraction. *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A* **2008**, *39*, 3120–3133,
381 doi:10.1007/s11661-008-9639-6.
- 382 13. Miller, M. P.; Bernier, J. V.; Park, J.-S.; Kazimirov, A. Experimental measurement of lattice strain pole
383 figures using synchrotron x rays. *Review of Scientific Instruments* **2005**, *76*, 113903, doi:10.1063/1.2130668.
- 384 14. Jacques, A. From Modeling of Plasticity in Single-Crystal Superalloys to High-Resolution X-rays Three-
385 Crystal Diffractometer Peaks Simulation. *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A* **2016**, *47*, 5783–5797,
386 doi:10.1007/s11661-016-3793-z.
- 387 15. Weisbrook, C. M.; Gopalaratnam, V. S.; Krawitz, A. D. Use of finite element modeling to interpret
388 diffraction peak broadening from elastic strain distributions. *Materials Science and Engineering: A* **1995**, *201*,
389 134–142, doi:10.1016/0921-5093(95)09757-0.
- 390 16. Miller, M. P.; Dawson, P. R. Understanding local deformation in metallic polycrystals using high energy X-
391 rays and finite elements. *Current Opinion in Solid State & Materials Science* **2014**, *5*, 286–299,
392 doi:10.1016/j.cossms.2014.09.001.
- 393 17. Demir, E.; Park, J.-S.; Miller, M. P.; Dawson, P. R. A computational framework for evaluating residual stress
394 distributions from diffraction-based lattice strain data. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and*
395 *Engineering* **2013**, *265*, 120–135, doi:10.1016/j.cma.2013.06.002.
- 396 18. Vaxelaire, N.; Proudhon, H.; Labat, S.; Kirchlechner, C.; Keckes, J.; Jacques, V.; Ravy, S.; Forest, S.; Thomas,
397 O. Methodology for studying strain inhomogeneities in polycrystalline thin films during in situ thermal
398 loading using coherent x-ray diffraction. *New J. Phys.* **2010**, *12*, 035018, doi:10.1088/1367-2630/12/3/035018.
- 399 19. Song, X.; Xie, M.; Hofmann, F.; Illston, T.; Connolley, T.; Reinhard, C.; Atwood, R. C.; Connor, L.;
400 Drakopoulos, M.; Frampton, L. Residual stresses and microstructure in powder bed direct laser deposition
401 (PB DLD) samples. *International Journal of Material Forming* **2015**, *8*, 245–254.
- 402 20. Hofmann, F.; Song, X.; Jun, T.-S.; Abbey, B.; Peel, M.; Daniels, J.; Honkimäki, V.; Korsunsky, A. M. High
403 energy transmission micro-beam Laue synchrotron X-ray diffraction. *Materials Letters* **2010**, *64*, 1302–1305.
- 404 21. Hofmann, F.; Abbey, B.; Liu, W.; Xu, R.; Usher, B. F.; Balaur, E.; Liu, Y. X-ray micro-beam characterization
405 of lattice rotations and distortions due to an individual dislocation. *Nature communications* **2013**, *4*, 2774.

- 406 22. Suter, R. M.; Hennessy, D.; Xiao, C.; Lienert, U. Forward modeling method for microstructure
407 reconstruction using x-ray diffraction microscopy: Single-crystal verification. *Review of Scientific Instruments*
408 **2006**, *77*, 123905, doi:10.1063/1.2400017.
- 409 23. Moulinec, H.; Suquet, P. A numerical method for computing the overall response of nonlinear composites
410 with complex microstructure. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* **1998**, *157*, 69–94,
411 doi:10.1016/S0045-7825(97)00218-1.
- 412 24. Moulinec, H.; Suquet, P. Fast numerical method for computing the linear and nonlinear properties of
413 composites. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences. Série II* **1994**, *318*.
- 414 25. Michel, J. C.; Moulinec, H.; Suquet, P. A computational scheme for linear and non-linear composites with
415 arbitrary phase contrast. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* **2001**, *52*, 139–160.
- 416 26. Müller, W. Mathematical vs. Experimental Stress Analysis of Inhomogeneities in Solids. *Journal de Physique*
417 *IV Colloque* **1996**, *06*, C1-139-C1-148, doi:10.1051/jp4:1996114.
- 418 27. Vinogradov, V.; Milton, G. W. An accelerated FFT algorithm for thermoelastic and non-linear composites.
419 *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* **2008**, *76*, 1678–1695, doi:10.1002/nme.2375.
- 420 28. Anglin, B. S.; Lebensohn, R. A.; Rollett, A. D. Validation of a numerical method based on Fast Fourier
421 Transforms for heterogeneous thermoelastic materials by comparison with analytical solutions.
422 *Computational Materials Science* **2014**, 209–217.
- 423 29. Graham, J. T.; Rollett, A. D.; LeSar, R. Fast Fourier transform discrete dislocation dynamics. *Modelling Simul.*
424 *Mater. Sci. Eng.* **2016**, *24*, 085005, doi:10.1088/0965-0393/24/8/085005.
- 425 30. Berbenni, S.; Taupin, V.; Djaka, K. S.; Fressengeas, C. A numerical spectral approach for solving elasto-
426 static field dislocation and g-disclination mechanics. *International Journal of Solids and Structures* **2014**, *51*,
427 4157–4175, doi:10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2014.08.009.
- 428 31. Eyre, D. J.; Milton, G. W. A fast numerical scheme for computing the response of composites using grid
429 refinement. *Eur. Phys. J. AP* **1999**, *6*, 41–47, doi:10.1051/epjap:1999150.
- 430 32. Prakash, A.; Lebensohn, R. A. Simulation of micromechanical behavior of polycrystals: finite elements
431 versus fast Fourier transforms. *Modelling Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **2009**, *17*, 064010, doi:10.1088/0965-
432 0393/17/6/064010.
- 433 33. A. Lebensohn, R. N-site modeling of a 3D viscoplastic polycrystal using Fast Fourier Transform. *Acta*
434 *Materialia* **2001**, *49*, 2723–2737, doi:10.1016/S1359-6454(01)00172-0.
- 435 34. Lebensohn, R. A.; Rollett, A. D.; Suquet, P. Fast fourier transform-based modeling for the determination of
436 micromechanical fields in polycrystals. *JOM* **2011**, *63*, 13–18, doi:10.1007/s11837-011-0037-y.
- 437 35. Lebensohn, R. A.; Kanjarla, A. K.; Eisenlohr, P. An elasto-viscoplastic formulation based on fast Fourier
438 transforms for the prediction of micromechanical fields in polycrystalline materials. *International Journal of*
439 *Plasticity* **2012**, *32–33*, 59–69, doi:10.1016/j.ijplas.2011.12.005.
- 440 36. Suquet, P.; Moulinec, H.; Castelnau, O.; Montagnat, M.; Lahellec, N.; Grennerat, F.; Duval, P.; Brenner, R.
441 Multi-scale modeling of the mechanical behavior of polycrystalline ice under transient creep. *Procedia*
442 *IUTAM* **2012**, *3*, 76–90, doi:10.1016/j.piutam.2012.03.006.
- 443 37. Eloh, K. S.; Jacques, A.; Berbenni, S. Development of a new consistent discrete Green operator for FFT-
444 based methods to solve heterogeneous problems with eigenstrain. *International Journal of*
445 *Plasticity(submitted)* **2018**.
- 446 38. Hirth, J. P.; Lothe, J. *Theory of Dislocations*; Krieger Publishing Company, 1982; ISBN 978-0-89464-617-1.
- 447 39. Mura, T. *Micromechanics of Defects in Solids*; Mechanics of Elastic and Inelastic Solids; 2nd ed.; Springer
448 Netherlands, 1987; ISBN 978-90-247-3256-2.
- 449 40. Li, Q.; Anderson, P. M. A Compact Solution for the Stress Field from a Cuboidal Region with a Uniform
450 Transformation Strain. *Journal of Elasticity* **2001**, *64*, 237–245, doi:10.1023/A:1015203721914.
- 451 41. Anderson, P. M. Crystal-based plasticity. *Fundamentals of Metals Forming* **1982**, 277–279.
- 452 42. Takagi, S. A Dynamical Theory of Diffraction for a Distorted Crystal. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*
453 **1969**, *26*, 1239–1253, doi:10.1143/JPSJ.26.1239.
- 454 43. Vartanyants, I. A.; Yefanov, O. M. Coherent X-ray Diffraction Imaging of Nanostructures. *arXiv:1304.5335*
455 *[cond-mat]* **2013**.
- 456 44. Takagi, S. Dynamical theory of diffraction applicable to crystals with any kind of small distortion. *Acta*
457 *Crystallographica* **15**, 1311–1312, doi:10.1107/S0365110X62003473.
- 458 45. Ungár, T. Microstructural parameters from X-ray diffraction peak broadening. *Scripta Materialia* **2004**, *51*,
459 777–781, doi:10.1016/j.scriptamat.2004.05.007.

- 460 46. Krivoglaz, M. A. Theory of X-Ray and Thermal Neutron Scattering by Real Crystals; Springer US, 1969;
461 ISBN 978-1-4899-5584-5.



© 2018 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).