



Depuis 80 ans, nos connaissances bâtissent de nouveaux mondes

Microneurograpy: Single unit recordings from touch afferents in humans

Rochelle ACKERLEY

Laboratoire de Neurosciences Sensorielles et Cognitives CNRS – Aix*Marseille Université Marseille, France

Aix*Marseille



First experiments



Aims:

- Investigate human physiology and compare it to animal physiology
- Relate nerve activity to sensations experienced

Hensel & Bowman (1960) J Neurophysiol

- Cut the skin to access the nerve

Knutsson & Widen (1967) Nature

- Percutaneous insertion, but used glass-coated electrodes

Vallbo & Hagbarth (1967) Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol - 'Microneurography'

Microneurography



- Recording percutaneously from peripheral nerve
 - Started over 50 years ago in Sweden
 - Multi-unit vs. single unit
 - Afferent vs. efferent
 - Record vs. stimulate

"Microneurography is, in principle, a very simple technique, but in practice is quite demanding." Vallbo (2018) J Neurophysiol



Approach



- Subject is fully conscious, no anaesthetic
- Subject must remain calm and not move
- Tungsten electrode: hard, but semi-flexible
- Rounded end (tip ~5 µm)
- Manipulated by hand
- No cutting of the skin cannot see where the electrode is
- Electrode is free-floating
- Demanding for the experimenter and subject
- Time consuming
- Ethical considerations







Approach



- Subject is fully conscious, no anaesthetic
- Subject must remain calm and not move
- Tungsten electrode: hard, but semi-flexible
- Rounded end (tip ~5 µm)
- Manipulated by hand
- No cutting of the skin cannot see where the electrode is
- Electrode is free-floating
- Demanding for the experimenter and subject
- Time consuming
- Ethical considerations





Coated tungsten 0.2 mm diameter Electrode tip ~5 μ m \sim 300-500 k Ω impedance



Depuis 80 ans, nos connaissances bâtissent de nouveaux mondes

Approach



- Subject is fully conscious, no anaesthetic
- Subject must remain calm and not move
- Tungsten electrode: hard, but semi-flexible
- Rounded end (tip ~5 µm)
- Manipulated by hand
- No cutting of the skin cannot see where the electrode is
- Electrode is free-floating
- Demanding for the experimenter and subject
- Time consuming
- Ethical considerations







Approach



- Subject is fully conscious, no anaesthetic
- Subject must remain calm and not move
- Tungsten electrode: hard, but semi-flexible
- Rounded end (tip ~5 µm)
- Manipulated by hand
- No cutting of the skin cannot see where the electrode is
- Electrode is free-floating
- Demanding for the experimenter and subject
- Time consuming
- Ethical considerations



1 ms

Nerve (x10)

From: SimplyAandP, YouTube



8

From: SimplyAandP, YouTube



From: SimplyAandP, YouTube

Mechanoreceptors

Glabrous skin

Meissner, FA1 Merkel, SA1 Pacini, FA2 Ruffini, SA2





<u>Hairy skin</u>

Merkel, SA1 Pacini, FA2 Ruffini, SA2 Hair units Field units C-tactile

Thick, myelinated, fast-conducting, Aβ afferents Thin, unmyelinated, slowly-conducting, C-tactile (CT) afferents

In the nerve









Thumb_LatAnteBrach.wmv





Studies into affective touch



 Hairy skin contains C-tactile (CT) afferents, thought to encode positive affective/pleasant touch

'Affective touch hypothesis'

Olausson et al. (2010) Neurosci & Biobehav Rev; McGlone et al. (2014) Neuron

- Hairy skin more suited to convey affective touch
 Ackerley et al., 2014a, b, Front Neurosci
- Use more emotional language for touch on hairy skin Ackerley et al., 2014a, Front Neurosci



CT afferents (n = 8 papers)



- Slow conduction velocity \approx 1 m/s
- Respond to <2.5 mN force
- Small receptive field (~15 mm²)
- Respond well to gentle, slow, moving stimuli
- Respond poorly to rapid events and vibration
- Fatigues with repeated stimulation
- Show afterdischarges that outlast mechanical stimulus
- Found in hairy skin

CT afferents are not suited for discriminative touch (intensity, timing, localisation), but seem to encode positive (pleasant) affective touch

Nordin (1990), Vallbo et al (1993, 1999), Wessberg et al (2003)

CT afferents respond well to stroking



Löken, Wessberg, Morrison, McGlone, Olausson (2009) Nat Neurosci



Ackerley et al. (2014) J. Neurosci

Slow (0.3 cm/s) thermal touch





Neutral slow stroking produced highest firing, but responses supressed with warmth

Ackerley et al (2018) J Neurophysiol

CT temperature responses



Virtually no response to radiant cooling/warming

Cooling, then touch, produces afterdischarges



Ackerley et al (2018) J Neurophysiol

Not nociceptors



Physiologically distinct from nociceptive afferents



Do CTs also code unpleasantness?



- 'Correlation' between CT firing and pleasantness
 - This is not direct with additional factors
 e.g. temperature, different body site, add odour
 - Great variability in 'inverted-U' shape curve
- At lowest and fastest velocities near unpleasant



Croy, Bierling, Sailer & Ackerley (2019) meta-analysis in progress

Conclusions CTs



- CTs are true low-threshold mechanoreceptors (not thermoreceptors, not nociceptors)
- Respond preferentially to slow, gently touch at typical human skin temperature
 - May promote conspecific interactions
 - May reflect thermal comfort, which is related to pleasantness
- But what do CTs signal?
 - Low-threshold touch
 - Not pleasantness directly
 - Why is there increased firing with cold?
 - Positive and negative affective signals?
 - Test stimuli of different affective quality

Microneurography



- Record responses from single units
- Direct human physiology
- Link to perception
- Compare with animal work
- Combine with other techniques e.g. fMRI, MEG, EEG, psychophysics
- Takes skill and patience!



• Thank you!





Cancelidator grant 2017 APTT

Consolidator grant 2017 ARTTOUCH

