

A multifaceted overview of apple tree domestication

Amandine Cornille, Ferran Antolín, Elena García, Cristiano Vernesi, Alice Fietta, Otto Brinkkemper, Wiebke Kirleis, Angela Schlumbaum, Isabel Roldán-Ruiz

▶ To cite this version:

Amandine Cornille, Ferran Antolín, Elena García, Cristiano Vernesi, Alice Fietta, et al.. A multifaceted overview of apple tree domestication. Trends in Plant Science, 2019, 24 (8), pp.770-782. 10.1016/j.tplants.2019.05.007. hal-02357023

HAL Id: hal-02357023

https://hal.science/hal-02357023

Submitted on 9 Nov 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A multifaceted overview of apple tree domestication

2

1

- 3 Cornille Amandine^{1*}, Ferran Antolín², Elena Garcia³, Cristiano Vernesi^{4a}, Alice Fietta⁴, Otto
- 4 Brinkkemper⁵, Wiebke Kirleis⁶, Angela Schlumbaum² and Isabel Roldán-Ruiz^{7,8}

5

- 6 1. GQE- Le Moulon, INRA, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay,
- 7 91190, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- 8 2. Integrative Prehistory and Archeological Science (IPNA/IPAS), Department of Environmental
- 9 Sciences, University of Basel. Spalenring 145 CH-4055 Basel (Switzerland)
- 3. Department of Horticulture, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville. AR, USA
- 4. Department of Biodiversity and Molecular Ecology, Research and Innovation Centre -
- Fondazione Edmund Mach, via E. Mach 1, 38010 S. Michele all'Adige (TN), Italy
- 5. Cultural Heritage Agency, PO Box 1600, 3800 BP Amersfoort, The Netherlands.
- 6. Institute for Prehistoric and Protohistoric Archeology/Graduate School Human Development in
- Landscapes, Christian-Albrechts-University Kiel, Kiel, Germany.
- 7. Flanders Research Institute for Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (ILVO), Plant Sciences Unit,
- 17 Caritasstraat 39, B-9090 Melle, Belgium.
- 8. Ghent University, Faculty of Sciences, Dept. of Plant Biotechnology and Bioinformatics,
- 19 Technologiepark 71, 9052 Ghent, Belgium.

20

- ^acurrent address: Dept. of Sustainable Agroecosystems and Bioresocurces, Research and Innovation
- 22 Centre Fondazione Edmund Mach, via E. Mach 1, 38010 S. Michele all'Adige (TN), Italy

2324

25 *Corresponding author: amandine.cornille@inra.fr

27

26

Abstract

Apple is an iconic tree and major fruit crop worldwide. It is also a model species for the study of the evolutionary processes and the genomic basis underlying the domestication of clonally propagated perennial crops. Multidisciplinary evidence from across Eurasia has documented the pace and process of cultivation of this remarkable crop. While population genetics and genomics have revealed the overall domestication history of apple across Eurasia, untangling the evolutionary processes involved, archeobotany has helped to document the transition from gathering and using apples to the practice of cultivation. Further studies, integrating archeogenetics and –genomics approaches, will definitively bring new insights about key traits involved in apple domestication. Such knowledge has potential to boost innovation in present-day apple breeding.

39

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

40 41

43

42

Keywords: Adaptive introgression, self-incompatibility, rootstock, pathogen, Silk Road, targeted sequencing, plant remains, paleogenetics.

46 47

48

Glossary

- Archeobotany: The study of plant remains (seeds, fruits, wood, leaves, pollen, etc.) found in archeological deposits with the aim of reconstructing the paleo-environment and the management of plant resources (including the domestication of wild plants), their uses, and the waste disposal
- 52 practices of ancient societies.
- 53 Archeo/paleogenetics and -genomics: Research fields that use the genetic information gathered
- from archeological plant or animal remains (ancient DNA; aDNA) to address questions about the
- evolution, domestication and history of use and cultivation of plants and animals (e.g. [1–8]).
- 56 Balancing selection: Selection regimes that result in increased genetic diversity relative to neutral
- 57 expectations in populations of living organisms.
- Crabapple [9]: Wild apple species that usually blossom profusely and produce small, acidic fruits.
- 59 The word crab comes from the Old English 'crabbe' meaning bitter or sharp tasting. Many
- crabapples are cultivated as ornamental trees. In Western Europe the term crabapple is often used to
- refer to *Malus sylvestris* (the European crabapple), in the Caucasus to *M. orientalis* (the Caucasian
- 62 crabapple) and, in Siberia, to M. baccata (the Siberian crabapple). The native North American

- crabapples are M. fusca, M. coronaria, M. angustifolia, and M. ioensis. Malus sieversii, the main
- progenitor of the cultivated apple, is usually not referred to as a 'crabapple'.
- 65 **Grafting:** A technique of vegetative propagation whereby tissues of two plants are intentionally
- joined and allowed to grow together. The upper part of the combined plant (which contributes the
- aerial parts and produces fruit) is called the scion and the lower part (which provides the roots) is
- 68 called the rootstock.
- 69 **Introgression:** The transfer of genomic regions from one species into the gene pool of another
- species through an initial hybridization event followed by repeated backcrosses.
- 71 **Taphonomy:** A number of agents that determine the presence and preservation quality of items
- 72 found in sedimentary deposits.
- 73 **The cultivated apple:** The only domesticated species in the genus *Malus*, here called *Malus*
- 74 domestica. Malus domestica is often referred to as Malus × domestica, the "×" indicating a hybrid
- origin. However, from what we know so far, the cultivated apple did not originate from a hybrid
- speciation event. The cultivated apple originated from the Central Asian wild apple M. sieversii and
- was later introgressed by other wild species present along the ancient Silk Road trading routes. We
- 78 therefore prefer *M. domestica*.
- 79 Water flotation: Laboratory technique used to process sediment samples from archeological
- 80 deposits using the principle of density where a light fraction and a heavy fraction are separated
- inside the flotation tank. Usually both fractions are dried after the separation process is finished.
- When waterlogged sediments are processed, the fractions must be stored in water at a temperature
- at around 4°C.

84

The history of apples: tangled, gnarly and otherwise hard to unravel

Recent multi-proxy studies have unraveled the complex histories of seed-propagated crops (e.g. maize [10]), but equivalent research in long-lived, clonally propagating perennials is still lacking. One reason is the difficulty of retrieving suitable ancient remains; another is that genomic resources have only been deployed relatively recently in this type of crop, in comparison of seed-propagated crops. Attempts to combine the perspectives from studies in different disciplines, to make multiple-proxy interpretations of the domestication history of apple are rare. As a consequence, answers to many questions are still left for future research.

Apple (Malus domestica Borkh.) is an iconic tree and a major fruit crop in Eurasia that supports many local economies. Thousands of apple cultivars are grown worldwide to produce high-quality fruit for the fresh market, a range of beverages and other food products [11] (Box 1). The past decade has seen tremendous progress in the reconstruction of apple history due to the increasing availability of genetic and phenotypic data and archeobotanical information for both wild and cultivated Malus species. It is now assumed that the cultivation origins of the apple tree are in the Tian Shan mountains located in Central Asia [9] (Box 1). In classical times, apple cultivation expanded along the Silk Road trade routes linking Asia and Europe and spread from there [9,12,13]. The introduction of grafting techniques facilitated the fixation and propagation of superior genotypes derived from open pollination (i.e. 'chance seedlings'), and caused a revolution in the history of apple cultivation [13,14] (Box 2). For some apple species, including the closest wild relatives to the cultivated apple, the combination of molecular markers and phenotypic traits that were initially used to build the systematics of the genus Malus, has allowed to confirm that some morphological groups are truly genetically differentiated [15-24]. However, the origin of this crop and its domestication history - in particular the relationships between cultivated and wild apples - is not yet completely understood.

Botanical and **archeobotanical** evidence, together with genetic and genomic data, has laid the groundwork for a multidisciplinary re-evaluation of the biogeography of wild apples and the history of the cultivated species. Our previous review [9] highlighted the genetics and ecology of apple domestication and its impact on wild apple evolution. In this review, results obtained using botany, **archeobotany**, **archeogenetics/genomics** and genetics/genomics are merged to start mapping the extensive history of the cultivated apple. We conclude this review with a discussion of how multidisciplinary research, including recent technological advances in genomics, can be applied to further study of the domestication process of the cultivated apple.

Using archeobotany and the potential of archeogenetics and archeogenomics to reconstruct the history of cultivation of apples

By fingerprinting present-day apple samples using DNA markers (i.e. microsatellites), the pace and process of apple domestication and diversification has been reconstructed. These data support the view that **the cultivated apple** was domesticated from the wild apple *Malus sieversii* (Ledeb.) M. Roem. in Central Asia and was then transported westwards along trade routes [9]. Along the way, the cultivated apple hybridized with other wild apple species including Malus sylvestris (L.) Mill, the European crabapple [16,25]. Further data gathering using archeo/paleogenetics and genomics approaches is however still relatively slow [26]. This is due to the shortage of suitable ancient apple remains, slowing the data generation for comparative studies between past and present-day apple samples [9]. Full documentation of this process of domestication, expansion and introgressive hybridization (Box 1) requires suitable amounts and a wide diversity of archeological apple remains from covering the range of the cultivated apple in Eurasia. But ancient human populations did not store apple seeds on purpose at the same scale as this was done for seedpropagated crops. As a result, the number of apple seeds in archeological sites therefore remains low in comparison. In addition, ancient apple seed remains from Central Asian archeological sites dating to around 8-10,000 years Before the Common Era (BCE) (Box 3) are scarce due to unfavorable preservation conditions and lack of excavations in certain regions. Additional data could be gleaned by performing new campaigns to gather vegetal remains using water-based sieving techniques in some relevant sites that are already being excavated, e.g. [27]. Another possible source is archived ancient apple seed remains already present in collections (archaeological or herbarium) that could be (re-)investigated using DNA-based techniques.

Archeobotanical insights on apple cultivation

Early apple use by humans started with the gathering of wild apples, then proceeded to increasingly managed wild stands (including cultivation with protection) and ultimately the intentional cultivation of apple trees. However, our knowledge of the evolution of these practices in Eurasia remains patchy at best [28] (Box 3). The knowledge gaps can be explained by a lack of apple plant remains (seeds, fruits, wood or pollen) in archeological sites in the region where apple domestication and diffusion took place. The recovery of apple remains in archeological sites depends heavily on preservation conditions and **taphonomic** agents. Apple seed testa (which have the greatest chance of being identified to genus or species level) are abundant and frequent in sites with waterlogged deposits (lakeshore settlements, wells, etc.) preserved under anoxic conditions

(Figure 1). In contrast, dry sites rarely contain apple seed testa remains, as they can only be preserved in a charred or mineralized state. So far, waterlogged archeological deposits have primarily been investigated in Europe. It is relatively common to find apple halves and some whole apples (Figure 1) that were accidentally charred during drying in ovens prior to storage in Europe. These remains would be ideal material for investigating changes in fruit size over time (Figure 1), but this has not yet been attempted. Such charred remains can also be found in dry sites, where their detection depends primarily on the intensity of sampling and the use of **water flotation** to retrieve small plant remains from archeological sediments.

This combination of factors has resulted in a higher number of apple seed remains from Europe in comparison to those from Asia. We can now reconstruct apple use before and after the arrival of cultivated apples in Europe (Box 3). Unfortunately, the few finds from Kazakhstan, Mongolia, Nepal, Tajikistan and Turkmenistan only date to the last 3,000 years [27,29,30]. This prevents us from tracing earlier cultivation or domestication in this area, which is supposed to be the home of apple cultivation [9,31]. It is also difficult to distinguish between the remains from wild and domestic forms of apple based on the morphological characteristics of seeds, fruits, pollen or wood alone [32,33] (Figure 1 and Box 3). Often identification to the genus level is not possible, leading to descriptions such as *Malus/Pyrus* or even Maloideae (also referred to as Pomoideae tribe - we will use the term Maloideae hereafter) in case of wood or pollen. In only one medieval site in the Nepalese Johng Valley, two seeds could be determined as *M. baccata* [34]. New digital image analysis techniques such as those applied to the Rosaceae family, combined with genetic markers and genome data (nuclear or chloroplastic, see below), might prove useful for the Maloideae and could improve the available data at the genus level [35].

Ancient DNA investigations of apple remains

Only two studies have used ancient DNA (aDNA) techniques to infer the history of apple gathering, use and cultivation; both studies used data from European sites [28]. First, Schlumbaum *et al.* [28], investigated waterlogged bulk samples of testa fragments from two Neolithic and two Roman sites in the Alpine foreland using chloroplast and nuclear markers. Chloroplast aDNA, present in many copies in one cell, has more chances to survive than single copy nuclear aDNA [36], but nuclear aDNA bi-parental inheritance has the potential to reveal signatures of hybridization between different species, sub-species and even cultivars. The use of chloroplast markers was unsuccessful in that study, but a nuclear ITS1 region (internal transcribed spacer region 1 of the ribosomal DNA) was successfully typed in two Roman samples, confirming that they belonged to the genus *Malus*. The retrieved aDNA ITS1 sequences were identical to each other and were shared with wild *M*.

sylvestris and *M. sieversii* as well as with apple cultivars. Recent DNA studies also reported similar low power to discriminate among species [12,31,37]. This genome region was therefore not informative to discriminate among apple species, in particular between *M. domestica* and its close relatives *M. sieversii* and *M. sylvestris*. However, the study by Schlumbaum *et al.* [28] demonstrated that it is feasible to use waterlogged remains to at least identify the taxonomic status of seed remains as belonging to genus *Malus* vs. *Pyrus*.

More recently, Fietta et *al.* [38] used aDNA techniques to characterize 23 ancient apple seeds from Europe, covering a large time scale and geographical range: ten archeological sites spanning a period from the Bronze age (3300 years BCE) to the Middle Ages (1492 Anno Domini, "AD" hereafter) collected in locations distributed from England to Estonia. A small target ITS1 region (about 80-150 bp) [39] was typed, but only four specimens out of the 23 (i.e. amplification success of 17%) delivered useful data. Among these, two specimens had been retrieved at a Middle Ages archeological site in Tartu (Estonia) and two at a late Roman (AD 300-400) archeological site in London (UK). The two Estonian samples formed a monophyletic group with the available GenBank ITS1 sequences belonging to *M. sylvestris, M. domestica, Malus pumila* Mill., *Malus hupehensis* (Pamp.) Rehder, and *Malus caspiriensis* Langenf., with a high similarity (98% *blastn* sequence similarity) with a Russian specimen of *M. sylvestris*. One of the specimens from the London site also showed a close similarity with the Russian *M. sylvestris* sample. Again, ITS markers did not distinguish between cultivated and wild apples, but did allow the taxonomic identification of the genus *Malus* of waterlogged seed remains.

These studies demonstrate that morphological and genetic identification results coincide and that morphological criteria for the distinction between *Malus* and *Pyrus* are reliable, at least in well-preserved samples. However, these two studies also highlight that higher-resolution genetic markers are needed to be able to distinguish between wild and cultivated apple. Such markers are also needed to draw comparisons with present-day apple samples using population genomics and genetic approaches.

Archeogenomics holds great promise

Recently, advances in **archeogenomics** have opened new perspectives for aDNA studies in woody plant perennials and will likely offer new opportunities for the investigation of the origin and domestication of the cultivated apple. Plant aDNA extraction protocols, e.g. [40], and the use of next generation sequencing technologies allow the sequencing and reconstruction of plant genomes (e.g. [5,10]). This is especially true for DNA extracted from ancient apple remains, as it is currently accepted that either waterlogged or desiccated plant materials, including wood, are more suitable

for archeogenomics [41,42] than charred plant remains [43]. Many new techniques are introduced in aDNA studies. Sequence capture or target enrichment is particularly elegant and effective [39] because it reduces contamination risks and can target several genomic regions simultaneously. DNA regions both in the nuclear and plastidial genomes, known to be informative when identifying different *Malus* species and cultivars, can be targeted. Such archeogenomics data, combined with the increasing number of genomic resources available for the genus *Malus* (see [24] for a general review), will enable tracing and follow-up of polymorphisms and alleles in functional genes that are known to have played a role in domestication. Examples are genes involved in water and nutrient use, dormancy, flowering time, fruit ripening, color, acidity, or disease resistance [24].

In summary, current archeogenomics techniques open perspectives for significant progress in reconstructing the pace and process of apple domestication. The success of this endeavor will depend on strong collaboration between population genomicians, archeobotanists and archeogenomicians. First they will have to work together to retrieve biological apple remains from key archeological sites such as those located in Central Asia and along the Silk Road, and then to apply state-of-the-art sequencing and population genomics methods to the found remains.

Genomic insights into apple history

For decades already, population genetics and genomics studies have provided insights into the history of the cultivated apple and its wild relatives, as well as some clues about the genomic basis of domestication. However, genomic evidence has only been generated for a few traits relevant to apple domestication and cultivation (e.g. fruit size and color). Little is known about the role of the crop-wild hybridization that occurred between the cultivated apple and its wild relatives in the adaptation of the cultivated apple to different environments in Eurasia and beyond. Knowledge of the untapped genetic diversity available in wild apple relatives is highly relevant to modern crop improvement [44]; recent advances in genomics hold great promise in this area.

Apple diversification and domestication: from population genetics to genomics

Population genetics approaches have generated insights into the origins of the cultivated apple, its domestication history and its spread by human populations, and the biogeography of its wild relatives, i.e. *M. sieversii and M. sylvestris*. In a recent review we have summarized the available knowledge on these topics based on the use of classical genetic markers such as microsatellites [9]. Genetic analyses support a Central Asian origin for cultivated apple, together with a large secondary contribution from the European wild apple (*M. sylvestris*) to the genetic makeup of the

crop. These genetic studies revealed that wild apple species display weak spatial population structure, reflecting high levels of gene flow and high levels of introgression from the domesticated apple [9,45]. Also within the cultivated gene pool, weak levels of structure have been detected [25,46–50]. Genetic research based on a few marker loci has thus revealed a major role of hybridization during the domestication of the apple tree.

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

Recently, next-generation DNA sequencing technologies have made it possible to generate annotated genome assemblies of different genotypes and to produce vast amounts of DNA sequence data for a relatively large sample of wild and cultivated apple genotypes, enabling (i) the exploration of the genomic changes that have taken place during domestication and breeding, and (ii) the analysis of wild apple species evolution and diversification. For example, a de novo assembly of a doubled-haploid derived from the cultivar Golden Delicious (line GDDH13) using third-generation sequencing technologies has provided a high quality reference genome [51] that complements the previously published genome sequence of Golden Delicious [52]. Interestingly, the exploration of the GDDH13 reference genome revealed a burst of transposable elements whose timing coincided with the uplift of the Tian Shan Mountains. An additional high quality genome assembly of the anther-derived homozygous HFTH1 revealed extensive genomic variation compared to the GDDH13 reference genome, largely explained by the activity of transposable elements [53]. In particular, the authors pinpointed a long terminal repeat retrotransposon insertion ("redTE") upstream of a gene (MdMYB1) known to be a core transcriptional factor of anthocyanin biosynthesis and associated with red skin color. Repetitive elements are therefore at the core of the cultivated apple genomic architecture, and might have played a central role in the evolution of this genus as demonstrated in other crops such as maize and sunflower [54,55]. Further, analysis of patterns of DNA methylation showed that epigenetic factors may contribute to agronomically relevant aspects such as fruit development [51]. Also recently, Duan et al. [12] used genome resequencing approaches to generate a detailed genome variation map of 117 apple accessions from 24 species, and comprising 35 samples of cultivated apple (scions and rootstocks), 39 samples from recognized wild contributor species (10 M. sylvestris and 29 M. sieversii), and 21 from other species neglected in previous studies. This genome-wide dataset has provided insights that complement those generated by studies based on small sets of genetic markers regarding phylogenetic relationships among the cultivated apple and its wild relatives (for an overview see [9]). Genomic regions determining fruit firmness and flavor were detected using a genome-wide association study, and the effect of domestication and selection on these regions was described [12]. All of the gathered evidence supported a model of apple fruit size evolution comprising two major events: one occurring prior to domestication and the other after/during domestication or recent breeding. Similarly, but without the use of genome-wide data, Yao et al. [56] found that a microRNA whose expression is associated with fruit size was fixed in cultivated apple and in its wild progenitors with large fruits. This indicates that selection for fruit size was initiated before domestication. Genomic analyses therefore suggest that apple differs from annual crop models, such as maize [57], where selection for most key agronomic traits likely occurred after domestication [52]. To date, these genomic studies of apple have not integrated an estimate of the timing of selection in the context of a pre-existing or post-domestication adaptation to a new environment (e.g. climate, pathogens, humans). Nor have they tested whether such genomic regions are the result of crop-wild introgressions following apple domestication.

Combined genomics and archeogenomics analyses of present-day and ancient samples (e.g. charred apples or apple halves found in archeological sites), respectively, could provide temporal multi-proxy data that would make it possible to decipher the origin and further selection of traits relevant for apple breeding such as dormancy, regulation of flowering, fruit size, ripening, color, acidity or disease resistance (see [24] for a general review).

Introgression as an essential player in apple diversification

While inference from quantitative genomics and phylogenomics contributes significantly to our understanding of apple evolution, other issues may be better investigated at the population level. In particular, as explained above, crop-wild hybridization is at the core of apple evolution. Such hybridizations followed by successful establishment of wild beneficial alleles into domesticated populations and *vice versa* can occasionally trigger adaptation. For example, adaptive introgression from highland teosintes has contributed to maize highland adaptation [57]. However, to date no studies have been published on the adaptive consequences of crop-wild introgressions in apple and their genomic basis. The development of new population genomic inference methods makes it possible to identify the dynamics of gene flow and positive selection between populations [24,58–61]. In combination with a comprehensive sampling of both local wild populations and of landraces cultivated along the Silk Road, this offers opportunities to explore the timing, genomic architecture, and regimes of selection acting in the introgressed regions in wild and cultivated apple.

The role of adaptive introgression driven by **balancing selection** at self-incompatibility loci in favoring crop-wild pollination and introgression during domestication also deserves further investigation using next-generation sequencing [62]. It is widely assumed that natural selection should favor introgression for alleles at genes evolving under multi-allelic balancing selection including self-incompatibility in plants [63]. Apples are characterized by gametophytic self-incompatibility (GSI) which shows two distinct components: one that determines the pistil specificity (S-RNAse gene) and another that determines the pollen specificity (SFBB gene), called

S-genes. The locus that contains the genes determining GSI specificity is called the S-locus. The studies that investigated the evolution at the GSI system in Malus (and other Rosaceae) have nonexhaustively described hundreds of alleles of the S-RNase and SFBB genes obtained by PCR-based genotyping, Sanger sequencing, or DNA gel blotting for a limited set of accessions [64-72]. However, the architecture of the S-locus, its variability and the respective selective regimes acting on it across wild and cultivated apple are still little explored. The extremely high nucleotide diversity, structural rearrangements and repetitive sequences are the reason why traditional sequence analysis is impractical [73–75]; however, see Veeckman et al. [76] for methods and tools to reconstruct loci with a high level of complexity in highly heterozygous species using nextgeneration sequencing and advanced bioinformatics tools. To decipher the role of the S-locus in the adaptive introgression during apple domestication, one must get a detailed view of polymorphism of the whole region from geographically widely distributed wild and cultivated apple samples. Recently, using RNA sequencing, Pratas et al. [77] identified 24 SFBB genes expressed in anthers and determined their gene sequence in nine M. domestica cultivars. Whole-genome information has also become publicly available for apples, including the high quality reference genome and the resequenced genomes for more than 100 wild and cultivated apple accessions. It is therefore timely to take advantage of these data to explore species-wide polymorphism of the whole S-locus region and its role in the evolution history of apples during domestication. Such investigations would complement archeogenomics data that is difficult to use for the reconstruction of the S-locus, as that would require high quality and long fragment data.

Knowledge of apple domestication and diversification underpins future breeding

Crop wild relatives (CWRs) are an invaluable resource for apple breeding [78]. However, the wild genetic resources remain largely unexplored [44]. For instance, *M. baccata* (*L.*) Borkh., the Siberian crab apple, is one of the main genetic resources for breeding programs, particularly in China, because of its excellent resistance to cold stress and apple scab [79]. Likewise, *Malus floribunda* Siebold ex Van Houtte, *M. hupehensis*, *Malus zumi* (Matsum.) Rehder, *Malus* × *robusta* (CarriŠre) Rehder and *Malus sieboldii* (Regel) Rehder could provide valuable genetic variation for developing elite cultivars and rootstocks that would be better adapted to specific biotic and abiotic stress conditions [80]. The use of CWRs is also particularly relevant when considering the important role played by hybridization and introgression in the domestication process of *M. domestica* [9]. Several disease resistance genes implemented in commercial apple breeding were introgressed from a wild *Malus* species. Well-known examples are the *Rvi6* gene from *M. floribunda*, which confers resistance to apple scab [81] or the *FB_MR5* gene of *M.* × *robusta*, which confers resistance to fire

blight [81,82]. In the *M. sylvestris - M. domestica* pair, the lack of strong reproductive barriers or spatial distance between apple orchards and *M. sylvestris* populations [17] has resulted in the spread of alleles from the cultivated gene pool to populations of the wild species [17,18]. This has generated a number of unmanaged wild apple *M. sylvestris* populations in Europe with introgressed crop alleles [17,18]. These trees may have become unintended repositories of alleles that are no longer available in breeding germplasm, or they may represent an untapped reservoir of adaptive alleles. To date, the lack of cost-efficient methods to screen CWR genomes at high resolution to identify genomic regions associated to traits of relevance in breeding has hindered the use of CWRs in apple breeding.

Recent technological innovations have generated in-depth knowledge of the genes underlying specific adaptations to relevant biotic and abiotic factors. These innovations hold great promise to further accelerate this discovery process. For instance, next generation sequencing technologies have been used to unravel the genes underlying resistance to pathogens, such as blue mold (*Penicillium expansum*) infection in *M. sieversii* compared to *M. domestica* [83] and to identify QTLs (Quantitative Trait Loci) controlling resistance to this pathogen in *M. sieversii* [84]. These studies illustrate the efficient use of genomic tools to understand domestication and selection in apple and to identify useful genetic variation in wild apple species [85,86] that might open new perspectives for breeding.

A major bottleneck is the total lack of systematic, range-wide and genome-wide collections for any of the wild apple relatives (*M. sieversii*, *M. sylvestris*, *M. orientalis* Uglitzk, *M. niedzwetzkyana* Dieck) and more ancestral species (*M. baccata*, *M. floribunda*, *M. florentina* (Zuccagni) C.K.Schneid.)). Sampling these taxa will offer clear opportunities to: (i) explore the evolutionary history of apple; (ii) underpin the genomics of adaptation in vegetatively propagated perennials; and (iii) tackle challenges of modern apple breeding by characterizing the genomic variation underlying fruit-related traits and apple adaptation to the biotic and abiotic environments it encountered during its spread along the Silk Road. Archeobotany and archeogenomic studies of apple seed remains from different periods of time will also perfectly complement present-day genomics datasets to bring new insights regarding key traits involved in domestication and recent diversification.

Concluding remarks

The botany, taxonomy and genetics of apples has been a tantalizing research subject for some time [13,31]. Interdisciplinary investigations have allowed (at least partial) elucidation of some of the processes underlying apple domestication and the history of wild apples in Europe, where apple

remains have been systematically recovered from archeological deposits since the beginning of the Holocene. These studies have demonstrated that apple is a suitable study model to unravel a process of divergence and adaptation with gene flow [9]. However, major issues remain to be resolved (see Outstanding Questions). Current limitations include potential difficulties in species identification of archeological remains and the lack of archeobotanical samples in and around the area of origin in Central Asia. However, all of the modern technologies can now be applied to address these issues: easier genome retrieval from modern individuals, genomic approaches on ancient waterlogged and desiccated plant remains including wood, and novel morphometric approaches in archeobotany. We therefore anticipate that genomics and archeogenomics will assist in deciphering apple evolution. Retrieval of representative samples from the centers of domestication in Central Asia and centers of diversification in Europe and in the Caucasus remains an important concern. The risk of loss of wild apple populations in their area of origin and beyond due to human encroachment and climate change has become alarming, underscoring the urgency of investigating this iconic crop. These findings should contribute to sustainable management of cultivated apple germplasm for future breeding programs and the conservation of wild apple populations that are often located in developing countries and subject to increasing anthropogenic threats.

415416

417

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

Acknowledgements

418

- 419 We thank the Institut Diversité Ecologie et Evolution du Vivant (IDEEV), the laboratoire
- d'Excellence BASC and the ATIP-Avenir for funding, the archeobotanists who kindly provided data
- for our database: Maria Lityńska-Zając, Marianne Høyem Andreasen, Tanja Märkle, Helmut Kroll,
- 422 Kelly Reed, Julian Wiethold, Anne de Vareilles, Daniele Arobba, Angela Kreuz, Barbara Zach,
- 423 Mette Marie Hald, Bénédicte Pradat, Núria Rovira, Koen Deforce, Magdalena Moskal-del-Hoyo,
- Örni Akeret, Tjasa Tolar, Milena Primavera and Tania Zerl. We also thank Miriam Levenson
- 425 (ILVO) for excellent English Language editing and review.

426

427

References

- Besnard, G. *et al.* (2017) On the origins and domestication of the olive: a review and perspectives. *Ann. Bot.* DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcx145
- Castillo, C.C. *et al.* (2016) Rice, Beans and Trade Crops on the Early Maritime Silk Route in Southeast Asia.
 Antiquity 90, 1255–1269
- da Fonseca, R.R. *et al.* (2015) The origin and evolution of maize in the Southwestern United States. *Nat. Plants* 1, 14003
- 434 4 Jaenicke-Després, V. *et al.* (2003) Early Allelic Selection in Maize as Revealed by Ancient DNA. *Science* 302, 1206
- Nathan, W. *et al.* (2018) Ancient DNA reveals the timing and persistence of organellar genetic bottlenecks over 3,000 years of sunflower domestication and improvement. *Evol. Appl.* 0, 1–16
- 438 6 Salse, J. (2012) In silico archeogenomics unveils modern plant genome organisation, regulation and evolution.
 439 *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 15, 122–130

- 440 7 MacHugh, D.E. *et al.* (2017) Taming the past: ancient DNA and the study of animal domestication. *Annu. Rev.* 441 *Anim. Biosci.* 5, 329–351
- 442 8 Orlando, L. et al. (2015) Reconstructing ancient genomes and epigenomes. Nat Rev Genet 16, 395–408
- 443 9 Cornille, A. et al. (2014) The domestication and evolutionary ecology of apples. Trends Genet. 30, 57–65
- 444 10 Kistler, L. *et al.* (2018) Multiproxy evidence highlights a complex evolutionary legacy of maize in South 445 America. *Science* 362, 1309
- 446 11 Morgan, J. and Richards, A. (1993) *The Book of Apples*, Brogdale Horticultural Trust, Ebury Press.
- Duan, N. *et al.* (2017) Genome re-sequencing reveals the history of apple and supports a two-stage model for fruit enlargement. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 249
- 449 13 Juniper, B.E. and Mabberley, D.J. (2006) The Story of the Apple, Imber Press, Inc.
- 450 14 Gardiner, S.E. et al. (2007) Fruits and Nuts: Apple. 4 (Kole, C., ed), pp. 1–62, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Coart, E. *et al.* (2003) Genetic variation in the endangered wild apple (*Malus sylvestris* (L.) Mill.) in Belgium as revealed by amplified fragment length polymorphism and microsatellite markers. *Mol. Ecol.* 12, 845–857
- Coart, E. *et al.* (2006) Chloroplast diversity in the genus Malus: new insights into the relationship between the European wild apple (*Malus sylvestris* (L.) Mill.) and the domesticated apple (*Malus domestica* Borkh.). *Mol. Ecol.* 15, 2171–2182
- Cornille, A. *et al.* (2015) Anthropogenic and natural drivers of gene flow in a temperate wild fruit tree: a basis for conservation and breeding programs in apples. *Evol. Appl.* DOI: 10.1111/eva.12250
- Feurtey, A. *et al.* (2017) Crop-to-wild gene flow and its fitness consequences for a wild fruit tree: Towards a comprehensive conservation strategy of the wild apple in Europe. *Evol. Appl.* 10, 180–188
- Gharghani, A. *et al.* (2009) Genetic identity and relationships of Iranian apple (*Malus domestica* Borkh.) cultivars and landraces, wild *Malus* species and representative old apple cultivars based on simple sequence repeat (SSR) marker analysis. *Genet Resour Crop Ev* 56, 829–842
- Richards, C. *et al.* (2009) Genetic diversity and population structure in *Malus sieversii*, a wild progenitor species of domesticated apple. *Tree Genet. Genomes* 5, 339–347
- Volk, GayleM. *et al.* (2014) The vulnerability of US apple (*Malus*) genetic resources. *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.*DOI: 10.1007/s10722-014-0194-2
- Zhang, C. *et al.* (2007) Genetic structure of *Malus sieversii* population from Xinjiang, China, revealed by SSR markers. *J. Genet. Genomics* 34, 947–955
- Zhang, Q. et al. (2012) Evaluation of Genetic Diversity in Chinese Wild Apple Species Along with Apple
 Cultivars Using SSR Markers. Plant Mol. Biol. Report. 30, 539–546
- 471 24 Peace, C.P. et al. (2019) Apple whole genome sequences: recent advances and new prospects. Hortic. Res. 6, 59
- Cornille, A. *et al.* (2012) New insight into the history of domesticated apple: secondary contribution of the European wild apple to the genome of cultivated varieties. *PLoS Genet* 8, e1002703
- Fuller, D.Q. (2018) Long and attenuated: comparative trends in the domestication of tree fruits. *Veg. Hist.*Archaeobotany 27, 165–176
- 476 27 Spengler, R.N. *et al.* (2018) Arboreal crops on the medieval Silk Road: Archaeobotanical studies at Tashbulak. *PLOS ONE* 13, e0201409
- Schlumbaum, A. *et al.* (2012) Towards the onset of fruit tree growing north of the Alps: Ancient DNA from waterlogged apple (Malus sp.) seed fragments. *Ann. Anat. Anat. Anz.* 194, 157–162
- 480 29 Miller, N.F. (1999) Agricultural development in western Central Asia in the Chalcolithic and Bronze Ages. *Veg.* 481 *Hist. Archaeobotany* 8, 13–19
- Spengler, R.N. (2019) *Fruit from the Sands: The Silk Road Origins of the Foods We Eat*, University of California Press.
- 484 31 Harris, S.A. et al. (2002) Genetic clues to the origin of the apple. Trends Genet 18, 426–430
- Jacomet, S. et al. (1989) Archäobotanik am Zürichsee. Ackerbau, Sammelwirtschaft und Umwelt von neolitischen
 und bronzezeitlichen Seeufersiedlungen im Raum Zürich. Ergebnisse von Untersuchungen pflanzlicher
 Makroreste der Jahre 1979-1988., 67
- 488 33 Schweingruber, F.H. (1979) Wildäpfel und prähistorische Aepfel. Archaeo-Physika
- 489 34 Knörzer, K.-H. (2000) 3000 years of agriculture in a valley of the High Himalayas. *Veg. Hist. Archaeobotany* 9, 490 219–222
- 491 35 Ucchesu, M. *et al.* (2017) First finds of Prunus domestica L. in Italy from the Phoenician and Punic periods (6th–492 2nd centuries bc). *Veg. Hist. Archaeobotany* 26, 539–549
- Wales, N. *et al.* (2016) The limits and potential of paleogenomic techniques for reconstructing grapevine domestication. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 72, 57–70
- 495 37 Robinson, J.P. *et al.* (2001) Taxonomy of the genus *Malus* Mill. (Rosaceae) with emphasis on the cultivated apple, *Malus domestica* Borkh. *Plant Syst Evol* 226, 35–58
- 497 38 Fietta, A. *et al.* (2014), EvolvApple: studying apple domestication through the genome scan of ancient seeds., in *Settore AGR/07 Genetica Agraria*, Basel (CH)
- Savelyeva, E.N. *et al.* (2013) Analysis of sequences of ITS1 internal transcribed spacer and 5.8S ribosome gene of Malus species. *Russ. J. Genet.* 49, 1175–1182
- Wales, N. and Kistler, L. (2019) Extraction of Ancient DNA from Plant Remains. In *Ancient DNA: Methods and Protocols* (Shapiro, B. et al., eds), pp. 45–55, Springer New York

- Brown, T.A. *et al.* (2015) Recent advances in ancient DNA research and their implications for archaeobotany. *Veg. Hist. Archaeobotany* 24, 207–214
- Wagner, S. et al. (2018) High-Throughput DNA sequencing of ancient wood. Mol. Ecol. 27, 1138–1154
- Nistelberger, H.M. *et al.* (2016) The efficacy of high-throughput sequencing and target enrichment on charred archaeobotanical remains. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 37347
- 508 44 Zhang, H. *et al.* (2017) Back into the wild—Apply untapped genetic diversity of wild relatives for crop improvement. *Evol. Appl.* 10, 5–24
- Amirchakhmaghi, N. *et al.* (2018) First insight into genetic diversity and population structure of the Caucasian wild apple in the Hyrcanian forest (Iran) and its resistance to apple scab and powdery mildew. *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.* in press,
- Lassois, L. *et al.* (2016) Genetic Diversity, Population Structure, Parentage Analysis, and Construction of Core Collections in the French Apple Germplasm Based on SSR Markers. *Plant Mol. Biol. Report.* 34, 827–844
- Omasheva, M.Y. *et al.* (2017) To what extent do wild apples in Kazakhstan retain their genetic integrity? *Tree Genet. Genomes* 13, 52
- Pereira-Lorenzo, S. *et al.* (2017) Analysis of the genetic diversity and structure of the Spanish apple genetic resources suggests the existence of an Iberian genepool. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 171, 424–440
- 519 49 Urrestarazu, J. *et al.* (2016) Analysis of the genetic diversity and structure across a wide range of germplasm reveals prominent gene flow in apple at the European level. *BMC Plant Biol.* 16, 1–20
- 521 Vanderzande, S. *et al.* (2017) Genetic diversity, population structure, and linkage disequilibrium of elite and local apple accessions from Belgium using the IRSC array. *Tree Genet. Genomes* 13, 125
- 523 51 Daccord, N. *et al.* (2017), The doubled haploid 'Golden Delicious' apple reference genome and epigenome., 524 *Nat. Genet.*, 1099–1106
- 525 Velasco, R. et al. (2010) The genome of the domesticated apple (Malus x domestica Borkh.). Nat Genet 42,
- 526 53 Zhang, L. *et al.* (2019) A high-quality apple genome assembly reveals the association of a retrotransposon and red fruit colour. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 1494
- 528 54 Badouin, H. *et al.* (2017) The sunflower genome provides insights into oil metabolism, flowering and Asterid evolution. *Nature* 546, 148
- 530 55 Diez, C.M. *et al.* (2014) Three Groups of Transposable Elements with Contrasting Copy Number Dynamics and Host Responses in the Maize (Zea mays ssp. mays) Genome. *PLOS Genet.* 10, e1004298
- 532 56 Yao, J.-L. *et al.* (2015), A microRNA allele that emerged prior to apple domestication may underlie fruit size evolution. , *The Plant Journal*, 417–427
- 534 57 Brandenburg, J.-T. *et al.* (2017) Independent introductions and admixtures have contributed to adaptation of European maize and its American counterparts. *PLOS Genet.* 13, 1–30
- 536 Sa Racimo, F. *et al.* (2017) Signatures of Archaic Adaptive Introgression in Present-Day Human Populations. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 34, 296–317
- 538 59 Skov, L. et al. (2018) Detecting archaic introgression using an unadmixed outgroup. PLOS Genet. 14, 1–15
- 60 Roux, C. *et al.* (2016) Shedding Light on the Grey Zone of Speciation along a Continuum of Genomic Divergence. *PLOS Biol.* 14, e2000234
- 541 61 Medina, P. *et al.* (2018) Estimating the Timing of Multiple Admixture Pulses During Local Ancestry Inference. 542 *Genetics* 210, 1089–1107
- 543 62 Burgarella, C. *et al.* (2018) Adaptive introgression: an untapped evolutionary mechanism for crop adaptation. 544 *bioRxiv* DOI: 10.1101/379966
- 545 63 Rieseberg, L.H. *et al.* (1995) Chromosomal and genic barriers to introgression in *Helianthus. Genetics* 141, 546 1163–1171
- 547 64 Minamikawa, M. *et al.* (2010) Apple S locus region represents a large cluster of related, polymorphic and pollen-548 specific F-box genes. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 74, 143–154
- 549 65 Okada, K. *et al.* (2013) Isolation and characterization of multiple F-box genes linked to the S 9 and S 10 -RNase in apple (*Malus* × *domestica* Borkh.). *Plant Reprod.* 26, 101–111
- 551 66 Aguiar, B. *et al.* (2015) Convergent Evolution at the Gametophytic Self-Incompatibility System in Malus and Prunus. *PLOS ONE* 10, e0126138
- Ashkani, J. and Rees, D.J.G. (2016) A Comprehensive Study of Molecular Evolution at the Self-Incompatibility Locus of Rosaceae. *J. Mol. Evol.* 82, 128–145
- Larsen, B. *et al.* (2016) A high-throughput method for genotyping S-RNase alleles in apple. *Mol. Breed. New Strateg. Plant Improv.* 36, 24–24
- 557 69 Dreesen, R.S.G. *et al.* (2010) Analysis of *Malus* S-RNase gene diversity based on a comparative study of old and modern apple cultivars and European wild apple. *Mol. Breed.* 26, 693–709
- Vieira, J. *et al.* (2010) Evolutionary patterns at the RNase based gametophytic self incompatibility system in two divergent Rosaceae groups (Maloideae and *Prunus*). *BMC Evol. Biol.* 10, 200
- 561 71 Ma, X. *et al.* (2017) Identification, genealogical structure and population genetics of S-alleles in Malus sieversii, the wild ancestor of domesticated apple. *Heredity* 119, 185
- 563 72 De Franceschi, P. *et al.* (2018) Characterization of 25 full-length S-RNase alleles, including flanking regions, from a pool of resequenced apple cultivars. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 97, 279–296

- Goubet, P.M. *et al.* (2012) Contrasted Patterns of Molecular Evolution in Dominant and Recessive Self-Incompatibility Haplotypes in Arabidopsis. *PLOS Genet.* 8, 1–13
- Tsuchimatsu, T. *et al.* (2017) Patterns of Polymorphism at the Self-Incompatibility Locus in 1,083 *Arabidopsis* thaliana Genomes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 34, 1878–1889
- 569 75 Guo, Y.-L. et al. (2011) Evolution of the S-Locus Region in Arabidopsis Relatives. Plant Physiol. 157, 937–946
- Veeckman, E. *et al.* (2018) Overcoming challenges in variant calling: exploring sequence diversity in candidate genes for plant development in perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*). *DNA Res.* DOI: 10.1093/dnares/dsy033
- 572 Pratas, M.I. *et al.* (2018) Inferences on specificity recognition at the *Malus×domestica* gametophytic self-573 incompatibility system. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 1717
- 574 78 Migicovsky, Z. and Myles, S. (2017) Exploiting Wild Relatives for Genomics-assisted Breeding of Perennial 575 Crops. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 460
- 576 79 Bus, V.G.M. *et al.* (2011) Revision of the Nomenclature of the Differential Host-Pathogen Interactions of Venturia inaequalis and Malus. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 49, 391–413
- Wang, M.-R. *et al.* (2018) Cryobiotechnology of apple (Malus spp.): development, progress and future prospects. *Plant Cell Rep.* DOI: 10.1007/s00299-018-2249-x
- 580 81 Hough, L. (1944) A survey of the scab resistance of the foliage on seedlings in selected apple progenies. *Proc* 581 *Amer Soc Hort Sci*
- 582 Peil, A. *et al.* (2007) Strong evidence for a fire blight resistance gene of *Malus robusta* located on linkage group 3. *Plant Breed.* 126, 470–475
- Ballester, A.-R. *et al.* (2017) Transcriptomic Response of Resistant (PI613981–*Malus sieversii*) and Susceptible ("Royal Gala") Genotypes of Apple to Blue Mold (Penicillium expansum) Infection. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 1981
- Norelli, J.L. *et al.* (2017) Genotyping-by-sequencing markers facilitate the identification of quantitative trait loci controlling resistance to Penicillium expansum in *Malus sieversii*. *PLOS ONE* 12, e0172949
- 588 85 Malnoy, M. *et al.* (2016) DNA-Free Genetically Edited Grapevine and Apple Protoplast Using CRISPR/Cas9 Ribonucleoproteins. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 1904
- 590 86 Voytas, D.F. and Gao, C. (2014) Precision Genome Engineering and Agriculture: Opportunities and Regulatory 591 Challenges. *PLOS Biol.* 12, 1–6
- 592 87 Charrier, G. *et al.* (2015) Effects of environmental factors and management practices on microclimate, winter physiology, and frost resistance in trees. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6, 259
- 594 88 Charrier, G. *et al.* (2017) Monitoring of freezing dynamics in trees: a simple phase shift causes complexity. *Plant Physiol.* DOI: 10.1104/pp.16.01815
- 596 89 Hartmann, H.T. et al. (1990) Plant Propagation: Principles and Practices, Pearson.
- 597 90 De Franceschi, P. *et al.* (2012) Molecular bases and evolutionary dynamics of self-incompatibility in the Pyrinae (Rosaceae). *J. Exp. Bot.* 63, 4015–4032
- 599 91 Gaut, B.S. *et al.* (2015) Genomics and the Contrasting Dynamics of Annual and Perennial Domestication. *Trends* 600 *Genet.* 31, 709–719
- Zohary, D. and Spiegel-Roy, P. (1975) Beginnings of fruit growing in the Old World. Science
- Rom, C.R. *et al.* (1990) Size controlling apple rootstocks affect growth, spur quality, foliar nutrition and productivity. *Compact Fruit Tree* 23, 17–21
- 604 94 Jackson, J.E. (2003) The Biology of Apples and Pears, Cambridge.

625

- Autio, W. et al. (2011), Performance of several semi-dwarfing rootstocks with "Fuji" and "McIntosh" as Scion cultivars in the 1999 NC-140 semi-dwarf apple rootstock trials., in *IX International Symposium on Integrating Canopy, Rootstock and Environmental Physiology in Orchard Systems*, Belgium, pp. 327–334
- 608 96 Ferree, D.C. and Warrington, I.J. (2003) Apples: botany, production, and uses,
- 609 97 Preston, A.P. (1966) Apple Rootstock Studies: Fifteen Years' Results with Malling-Merton Clones. *J. Hortic. Sci.* 41, 349–360
- 98 Pearsall, D. (2015) Paleoethnobotany, Third Edition. New York: Routledge., Third edition. New York: Routledge.
- Brozio, J.P. *et al.* (2014) A Middle Neolithic well from Northern Germany: a precise source to reconstruct water
 supply management, subsistence economy, and deposition practices. *World Reshaped Pract. Impacts Early* Agrar. Soc. 51, 135–153
- Kirleis, W. (2018) *The cultural significance of plants: Past Landscapes: The Dynamics of Interaction Between*Society, Landscape, and Culture, Haug et al. (eds.). Sidestone Press, Leiden.
- 617 Hoban, S. *et al.* (2016) Finding the Genomic Basis of Local Adaptation: Pitfalls, Practical Solutions, and Future Directions. *Am. Nat.* 188, 379–397
- Antolin, F. et al. (2017) Archäobotanik (Makroreste): Ergebnisse der Untersuchungen der Flächenproben im
 Hinblick auf Taphonomie, Ackerbau, Sammelwirtschaft und Umwelt, Bleicher, N., Harb, C.Band 3:
 Naturwissenschaftliche Untersuchungen, Zürich und Egg.
- 622 103 Bieniek, A. and Lityńska-Zając, M. (2001) New finds of Malus sylvestris Mill. (wild apple) from Neolithic sites 623 in Poland. *Veg. Hist. Archaeobotany* 10, 105–106
- 624 104 Martin, A.C. and Barkley, W.D. (1961) Seed identification manual, University of California Press.

Box 1. On the cultivation of apples, and its past and present use worldwide

The commercial apple (*Malus domestica* Borkh.), sometimes referred to as the "Grand King of Fruit Crops", is a deciduous species belonging to the Rosaceae family. It is cultivated mostly in temperate zones and consumed either fresh or in food products worldwide. Thanks to its (eco)physiological properties and grafting techniques, the species can survive in cold environments by reducing the risk of damage during autumn and spring frost events [87,88].

Known for its fruit qualities and characteristics, it has been cultivated since ancient times [13]. The so-called Silk Road, a network of ancient trade routes from Asia to Europe in existence from as 3500 BCE to the mid-1400s, promoted the spread of apples into the European continent. The Greek philosopher and botanist Theophrastus (ca. 320 BCE) studied the apples brought to Greece by Alexander the Great and described six apple cultivars and cultural practices such as general tree care and grafting. It is believed that the Romans introduced some of today's apple cultivars to the British Isles. Canadian settlers introduced this fruit to North America, with the first commercial apple orchard in the U.S.A. established ca. 1600 [13].

Apples are now consumed worldwide as either fresh fruit or as the basis for various food products (e.g. dried, juice, cider, purees, etc.). Over 89 million tons of apples are produced annually worldwide, making this fruit the third the most popular world (http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC). The largest producer is China, representing around 40% of the world's total production, followed by the United States, with over 4.5 million tons per year. Italy, France, Germany and Poland are the biggest apple producers In Europe. (www.yara.us/agriculture/crops/apple/key-facts/world-apple-production/). **Product** quality ensured by intensive cultural and pest management practices as well as harvesting at proper maturity, storage, and shipping under highly managed conditions.

650 651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

Box 2. The rootstock and the scion: Key components of large-scale apple cultivation

To obtain the uniformity required for profitable production, the commercial apple tree is a composite biological unit: a combination of rootstock, scion, and sometimes an interstem. Such rootstock/scion/interstem combination is created by either **grafting** or budding [89]. These asexual propagation practices are essential for commercial production, because apple seedlings derived from a single tree are genetically and phenotypically highly heterogeneous due to their forced cross-pollination due to self-incompatibility [77,90]. The cultivated apple tree is therefore a complex biological entity that requires a vast array of knowledge from scientists, consultants and growers to ensure a high quality product for the market.

Invented in East Asia about 4,000 years ago, the grafting of scion onto a rootstock created a revolution for apple spread and cultivation [9,91,92] The use of dwarfing rootstocks has facilitated

that more dwarfing rootstocks induce the scion cultivar to flower and bear fruit earlier than less dwarfing rootstocks [93–95]. Hypotheses of the biological mechanisms involved in scion size control and precocity include hormone translocation and changes in fluxes of nutrients, water, and assimilates between rootstock and scion [94]. Higher light-use efficiency in high-density orchards can have a positive effect on fruit size and color as well as on fruit production. In general, the more dwarfing the rootstocks, the better they perform in high-density planting, generating earlier economic returns [96].

The interaction between the rootstock and the scion is complex. For example, the most commonly used M.9 rootstock (dwarfing) has the highest ratio of crop to scion when compared to more vigorous rootstocks [97]. However, choice of rootstock can also influence productivity [93] and other factors such as precocity, yield, environmental and edaphic adaptability, light interception, and disease and pest resistance [97,98]. Scion selection is an existential question when selecting for desired fruit qualities and tree characteristics. Important traits influenced by scion include fruit quality (size, shape, color, sweetness, tartness, firmness, flesh color, etc.), yield, disease resistance, time of harvest, and storage potential [93,97].

Worldwide there are an estimated 6,000 to 10,000 scion cultivars [49]. Frequently, new cultivars arise as spontaneous mutations, often called 'bud sports' (i.e. 'Red Delicious'), but several private and public breeding programs also create new apple cultivars via crossing and selection.

Box 3. Some archeobotanical evidence of apple cultivation

A first glimpse into apple use and cultivation in Europe is coming into view. We have compiled archeobotanical data from about 600 sites located in 34 countries to give an overview of the use of apple in prehistoric and historic times (Figure I). The compilation is based on findings of apple in Eurasia, identified as *Malus* or *Malus/Pyrus* (or Maloideae). In these studies, the small plant remains from soil samples are retrieved using **water flotation** techniques [98].

Most remains of *M. domestica* identified are from the Roman period (ca. 2,100-1,500 BCE) onwards (Figure I). The increase observed since the Roman period could be a result of the spread of the cultivation of cultivated apple in central and northern Europe. The important representation of wild apple remains during the Neolithic period can be interpreted as an evidence of the efforts made to manage the landscapes around the sites in order to increase the productivity of certain trees such as hazel, apple or oak, and to protect them from domestic animals (e.g. [99]). *Malus sylvestris* needs a lot of light, thus it was unlikely to be common in prehistoric forests in Europe; instead it would have been found on floodplains, hedges and thickets [100]. One good example for this is the Zürich-Parkhaus Opéra site (dated to ca. 5,100 BCE), where the excellent preservation conditions made it

possible to calculate that between 190 and 400 kg of apples were gathered yearly per domestic unit in average over a period of 15 years [101]. These amounts clearly exceed the normal productivity of a natural woodland environment in the Lake Zürich region. For some of the northernmost regions of Europe it has been proposed that early farmers could have spread wild apples [100], which would also indicate a high degree of management of *M. sylvestris*. The land use system changed significantly afterwards, with larger areas of cultivated land and less access to woodland. The consumption of wild apples also appears to have decreased (note, however, that this observation may be biased due to the state of research and **taphonomy** issues). A significant increase of apple consumption probably only started with domesticated apple cultivation (see also [100]).

Figure captions

708709

710

711

712

713

714

707

Figure I. Presence of apple remains recorded from archeobotanical studies a. in different types of preservation conditions, b. for different regions, c. for different chronological periods. Similar temporal trends are shown in the different regions, with a high number of records for the Neolithic period (ca. 8,000-4,500 BCE), a decrease in the Bronze and Iron ages (ca. 4,500-2,100 BCE), followed by an increase in Roman times and a generally good record of medieval and post-medieval finds.

715716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

Figure 1. Photography and sizes of seed remains of apples. From left to right and from top to bottom: Seed of Malus sylvestris (Zürich-Parkhaus Opéra), pericarp of Malus/Pyrus (Zürich-Parkhaus Opéra, form (Photo credits: G. Haldimann) [102]; charred crabapple (Photo credits: W. Kirleis); charred apple half (Photo credits: W. Kirleis). Fruit size is used as a criterion to distinguish between wild and domesticated apple in Europe. Charring has an important effect on fruit size, as fruits can become ca. 1/3 smaller after charring [33]. Before charring, wild apples were 20-36 mm wide and 18-36 mm long (weight 5-16 g). After charring, wild apples measure 15-25 mm wide and 15-26 mm long. Most charred archeological remains of wild apples fit within these dimensions and the results agreed with an average of 27 mm in diameter for charred wild apple [103]. Within this genus, the differentiation of seed morphology between species has been little explored, but the morphological differentiation of seeds between Malus sp. and Pyrus sp. is relatively well defined in the archeobotanical literature. Seeds of apple are wider and rounded in the apex, in contrast to those of pear. They also have fibrous lengthwise striations on their surface (e.g. [104]) that make them well recognizable in both charred and uncharred states (Figure I). Pericarp fragments can be found in archeological sites as well. In addition, the inner wall of the endocarp (also called pericarp or carpel in the literature) of apple seeds is shiny, and presents undulating fibers on the inner side (Figure I). This allows identification of apple pericarp fragments found in archeological sites.

733

734