



HAL
open science

Fully discrete polynomial de Rham sequences of arbitrary degree on polygons and polyhedra

Daniele Antonio Di Pietro, Jerome Droniou, Francesca Rapetti

► **To cite this version:**

Daniele Antonio Di Pietro, Jerome Droniou, Francesca Rapetti. Fully discrete polynomial de Rham sequences of arbitrary degree on polygons and polyhedra. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, In press, 30 (9), pp.1809-1855. 10.1142/S0218202520500372 . hal-02356810v1

HAL Id: hal-02356810

<https://hal.science/hal-02356810v1>

Submitted on 9 Nov 2019 (v1), last revised 4 Jun 2021 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Fully discrete polynomial de Rham sequences of arbitrary degree on polygons and polyhedra

Daniele A. Di Pietro¹, Jérôme Droniou², and Francesca Rapetti³

¹IMAG, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Montpellier, France, daniele.di-pietro@umontpellier.fr

²School of Mathematics, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia, jerome.droniou@monash.edu

³Laboratoire J. A. Dieudonné, Univ. Côte d'Azur, Nice, France frapetti@univ-cotedazur.fr

November 9, 2019

Abstract

In this work, merging ideas from compatible discretisations and polyhedral methods, we construct novel fully discrete polynomial de Rham sequences of arbitrary degree on polygons and polyhedra. The spaces and operators that appear in these sequences are directly amenable to computer implementation. Besides proving exactness, we show that the usual sequence of Finite Element spaces forms, through appropriate interpolation operators, a commutative diagram with our sequence, which ensures suitable approximation properties. A discussion on reconstructions of potentials and discrete L^2 -products completes the exposition.

Key words. Fully discrete de Rham sequences, compatible discretisations, polyhedral methods, mixed methods

MSC2010. 65N08, 65N30, 65N99

1 Introduction

In this paper we construct novel fully discrete polynomial de Rham sequences of arbitrary degree on polygons and polyhedra. By fully discrete, we mean that both the spaces and vector operators that appear in the sequence are directly amenable to computer implementation.

The ideas underlying this work result from the confluence of two streams of research that have gathered an enormous amount of attention in the numerical community over the last years: compatible discretisations and polytopal methods.

Compatible discretisations aim at preserving structural features of the continuous model at the discrete level. Such features are instrumental to obtaining the stability and consistency properties required for convergence when non-trivial operators and domains are considered, as is the case in computational electromagnetism. The origins of compatible discretisations can be tracked back to, e.g., [33, 50, 51] for the mathematical community and [17, 37, 47, 49, 52] for the electromagnetic one. The importance of concepts from differential geometry and algebraic topology in the formulation of compatible discretisations is nowadays widely recognised; see, e.g., [2, 3, 10, 16, 26, 38, 42, 46]. As a matter of fact, by reformulating the continuous problems in terms of differential forms, one gets some indications on the design of suitable Finite Element (FE) discretisations. Specifically:

- (i) the choice of the degrees of freedom should reflect the nature (and global regularity properties) of the fields they represent;

- (ii) the discrete spaces and operators should form an exact sequence;
- (iii) the maps that reconstruct a form from a set of degrees of freedom should be such that the continuous and discrete de Rham sequences form commutative diagrams.

An important issue when generating high-order discrete de Rham sequences in the FE spirit lies in the choice of the bases and of the degrees of freedom. A reformulation of classical moments that underlines their geometrical aspects has been recently proposed in [11]. This reformulation has been made possible by the precursor works [22, 44], where new degrees of freedom in terms of weights of forms on small chains have been proposed. These weights have shed new light on the high-order approximations originally proposed by Nédélec [43] confirming, also for the high-order version, the tight relation with Whitney forms [51]; see [14, 15, 17] for the low-order case. A generalisation to the high-order case of the relations between the moments of a field and those of its potential has been proposed in [1].

The extension of the FE approach to more general meshes is, however, not straightforward. The main reason is that, in order to construct a conforming FE discretisation, one has to devise discrete spaces that, through the single-valuedness of degrees of freedom at element boundaries, satisfy suitable global continuity requirements. Recent efforts in this direction have been made in, e.g., [21, 39] (see also references therein), focusing mainly on the lowest-order case and with some limitations on the element shapes in three dimensions.

The problem of devising discretisation methods that support more general meshes than classical FE (including, e.g., polytopal elements and nonmatching interfaces) has been recently tackled with great impetus by the numerical community. Supporting general meshes paves the way to computational strategies that are typically not accessible to traditional conforming FE (nonconforming mesh refinement, mesh coarsening, seamless handling of fractures and microstructures, etc.). We will focus here only on those developments that bear relations to the approach proposed in this work, and refer the reader to the preface of [29] for a literature review of broader scope.

Let us start with lowest-order methods that fall within the category of compatible discretisations. Mimetic Finite Differences (MFD) are derived by mimicking the Stokes theorem to formulate discrete counterparts of differential operators and L^2 -products [9]. Their extension to polytopal meshes has been first carried out in [40, 41], then analysed in [18, 19]; see also [35] for a link with the Mixed Hybrid Finite Volume (MHFV) methods of [34, 36] and [32, Section 2.5] along with [31, Section 3.5] for links with Hybrid High-Order (HHO) methods. In the Discrete Geometric Approach (DGA), originally introduced in [25] and extended to polyhedral meshes in [23, 24], as well as in Compatible Discrete Operators [12, 13], formal links with the continuous operators are expressed in terms of Tonti diagrams [47, 48]. Similarly to the approach pursued here, MFD, DGA, and CDO methods work on discrete unknowns and rely on discrete counterparts of the vector operators. Contrary to the present work, however, they are typically limited to the lowest-order and their analysis often relies on an interplay of functional and algebraic arguments that is not required in our presentation.

The development of high-order schemes is more recent. A high-order approach with structure-preserving features is provided by the Virtual Element Method (VEM); see [4]. VEM can be described as FE methods where explicit expressions for the basis functions are not available at each point; hence the term “virtual” in reference to the function space they span. The degrees of freedom are selected so as to enable the computation of polynomial projections of virtual functions and vector operators, which are used in turn to formulate local contributions involving consistency and stabilisation terms. An exact de Rham sequence of virtual spaces on polyhedra has been recently proposed in [8], with polynomial degrees decreasing by one at each application of the exterior derivative (other virtual sequences are presently under investigation [20], see also the related works [6, 7] concerning applications to magnetostatics).

Owing to the variational crime committed when taking projections on polynomial spaces, the exactness of the virtual sequence cannot be directly exploited to obtain stable numerical approximations. The approach proposed in this work, inspired by the HHO literature [29–31], aims at establishing the

exactness property for *fully discrete* de Rham sequences, i.e., sequences involving spaces of (polynomial) discrete unknowns and discrete counterparts of vector operators acting thereon. The starting point is to identify arbitrary-order reconstructions of vector operators in full polynomial spaces. These reconstructions allow one to identify appropriate sets of discrete unknowns, which play the role of degrees of freedom in standard FE (or VEM). To ensure the compatibility with the choice of unknowns, each discrete vector operator is attached to the appropriate geometric entities: in three space dimensions, the discrete gradient has components on the edges, faces, and inside the polyhedron; the discrete curl has components at faces and inside the polyhedron; the discrete divergence has only one component inside the polyhedron. The full reconstructions of vector operators cannot be directly used to form an exact sequence, but their study permits to identify the modifications required to recover exactness. Specifically, an exact sequence is obtained by restricting the domains/co-domains of the operators in the middle of the sequence and taking the L^2 -orthogonal projections of the full vector operator reconstructions on these spaces. Crucially, the proof of exactness relies on purely discrete arguments, that do not involve spaces of non-polynomial functions. The sequence we focus on is constructed so that all the spaces involved have the same polynomial degree and so that, through appropriate interpolation operators, it forms commutative diagrams with the usual sequence of FE spaces, which warrants suitable approximation properties. To complete the exposition, we also show how to reconstruct consistent potentials in each space and write discrete and consistent counterparts of L^2 -products based on the latter. The focus of this paper is on the development of the exact discrete sequence; applications are postponed to future works.

The rest of this work is organised as follows: in Section 2 we introduce the basic tools and notations; in Sections 3 and 4 we construct fully discrete arbitrary-order exact sequences in two and three space dimensions, respectively.

2 Basic tools and notation

2.1 Polyhedra and polygons

A polytope of \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$, is a connected set that is the interior of a finite union of simplices. Our focus will be here on polytopes in dimension $d = 2$ (polygons) and $d = 3$ (polyhedra). Given a polyhedron $T \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, we denote by \mathcal{F}_T the set of planar polygonal faces that lie on the boundary of T . For all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$, an orientation is set by prescribing a unit normal vector \mathbf{n}_F , and we denote by $\omega_{TF} \in \{-1, 1\}$ the orientation of F relative to T , that is, $\omega_{TF} = 1$ if \mathbf{n}_F points out of T , -1 otherwise. With this choice, $\omega_{TF}\mathbf{n}_F$ is the unit vector normal to F that points out of T . Similarly, for a polygon F , we denote by \mathcal{E}_F the set of edges that lie on the boundary ∂F of F . Notice that, throughout the paper, polygons are tacitly regarded as immersed in \mathbb{R}^3 whenever needed. For all $E \in \mathcal{E}_F$, an orientation is set by prescribing the unit tangent vector \mathbf{t}_E . The boundary of F is oriented counter-clockwise with respect to \mathbf{n}_F , and we denote by $\omega_{FE} \in \{-1, 1\}$ the orientation of \mathbf{t}_E opposite to ∂F : $\omega_{FE} = 1$ if \mathbf{t}_E points on E in the opposite orientation to ∂F , $\omega_{FE} = -1$ otherwise. The vertices V_1, V_2 of the edge E have coordinates $\mathbf{x}_{E,1}, \mathbf{x}_{E,2}$ and are numbered so that $|E|\mathbf{t}_E = \mathbf{x}_{E,2} - \mathbf{x}_{E,1}$, where $|E|$ denotes the length of E . For any polygon F and any edge $E \in \mathcal{E}_F$, we also denote by \mathbf{n}_{FE} the unit normal vector to E lying in the plane of F such that $(\mathbf{t}_E, \mathbf{n}_{FE})$ form a system of right-handed coordinates in the plane of F , which means that the system of coordinates $(\mathbf{t}_E, \mathbf{n}_{FE}, \mathbf{n}_F)$ is right-handed. It can be checked that $\omega_{FE}\mathbf{n}_{FE}$ is the normal to E , in the plane where F lies, pointing out of F , and that, if F_1, F_2 are two faces of T that share an edge E , it holds

$$\omega_{TF_1}\omega_{F_1E} + \omega_{TF_2}\omega_{F_2E} = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

In what follows, we will also need the sets of edges and vertices of a polyhedron $T \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, which we denote by \mathcal{E}_T and \mathcal{V}_T , respectively, as well as the set $\partial^2 T := \bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{E}_T} \overline{E}$. The set of vertices of a polygon

F will be denoted by \mathcal{V}_F . The vector of coordinates of a generic vertex V will be denoted by \mathbf{x}_V .

2.2 Polynomial spaces and vector operators

For given integers $\ell \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$, we denote by \mathbb{P}_n^ℓ the space of n -variate polynomials of total degree $\leq \ell$, with the convention that $\mathbb{P}_0^\ell = \mathbb{R}$ for any ℓ and $\mathbb{P}_n^{-1} = \{0\}$ for any n . For X polyhedron, polygon (immersed in \mathbb{R}^3), or segment (again immersed in \mathbb{R}^3), we denote by $\mathcal{P}^\ell(X)$ the space spanned by the restriction to X of functions in \mathbb{P}_3^ℓ . Denoting by $0 \leq n \leq 3$ the dimension of X , $\mathcal{P}^\ell(X)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}_n^ℓ (the proof, quite simple, follows the ideas of [29, Proposition 1.23]). With a little abuse of notation, we denote both spaces with $\mathcal{P}^\ell(X)$, and the exact meaning of this symbol should be inferred from the context. We will also need the subspace $\mathcal{P}^{0,\ell}(X) := \{q \in \mathcal{P}^\ell(X) : \int_X q = 0\}$. For any X polygon or polyhedron, the L^2 -orthogonal projector $\pi_{\mathcal{P},X}^\ell : L^1(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\ell(X)$ is such that, for any $q \in L^1(X)$,

$$\int_X (\pi_{\mathcal{P},X}^\ell q - q)r = 0 \quad \forall r \in \mathcal{P}^\ell(X). \quad (2.2)$$

As a projector, $\pi_{\mathcal{P},X}^\ell$ is polynomially consistent, that is, it maps any $r \in \mathcal{P}^\ell(X)$ onto itself. Optimal approximation properties for this projector have been proved in [28]; see also [27] for more general results on projectors on local polynomial spaces. Denoting by n the dimension of X , we also denote by $\pi_{\mathcal{P},X}^\ell : L^1(X)^n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\ell(X)^n$ the vector version defined applying the projector component-wise.

Let T be a polyhedron in \mathbb{R}^3 . We denote by $\mathcal{P}^\ell(\mathcal{F}_T)$ the space of functions $q : \partial T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $q|_F \in \mathcal{P}^\ell(F)$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$; an element $q \in \mathcal{P}^\ell(\mathcal{F}_T)$ is identified with the family $(q|_F)_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T}$ of its restrictions to the faces. The space $\mathcal{P}^\ell(\mathcal{E}_T)$ is defined similarly, replacing faces by edges of T . If F is a polygon immersed in \mathbb{R}^3 , we define in the same way the space $\mathcal{P}^\ell(\mathcal{E}_F)$. We also define the spaces $\mathcal{P}_c^\ell(\partial^2 T) := \mathcal{P}^\ell(\mathcal{E}_T) \cap C^0(\partial^2 T)$ and $\mathcal{P}_c^\ell(\partial F) := \mathcal{P}^\ell(\mathcal{E}_F) \cap C^0(\partial F)$ of functions that are continuous on the corresponding boundary and polynomial of degree $\leq \ell$ on each edge of this boundary. It is easily checked that the following mapping is an isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{P}_c^\ell(\partial F) \ni q \mapsto ((\pi_{\mathcal{P},E}^{\ell-1} q)_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F}, (q(\mathbf{x}_V))_{V \in \mathcal{V}_F}) \in \left(\bigotimes_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \mathcal{P}^{\ell-1}(E) \right) \times \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{V}_F}. \quad (2.3)$$

A similar isomorphism can be constructed for $\mathcal{P}_c^\ell(\partial^2 T)$.

We respectively denote by \mathbf{grad}_F and \mathbf{div}_F the tangent gradient and divergence operators acting on smooth enough functions defined on $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$. Moreover, for any $r : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth enough, we define the two-dimensional vector curl operator such that

$$\mathbf{rot}_F r := \varrho_{-\pi/2}(\mathbf{grad}_F r), \quad (2.4)$$

where $\varrho_{-\pi/2}$ is the rotation, in the oriented tangent space to F , of angle $-\frac{\pi}{2}$. We will also need the two-dimensional scalar curl operator such that, for any $\mathbf{v} : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ smooth enough,

$$\mathbf{rot}_F \mathbf{v} := \mathbf{div}_F(\varrho_{-\pi/2} \mathbf{v}). \quad (2.5)$$

We note for future use the following formulas linking volume and surface operators, which can be established selecting an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^3 in which \mathbf{n}_F is the third vector: For any polyhedron $T \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, any face $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$ and any sufficiently smooth functions $\mathbf{v} : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ and $r : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$(\mathbf{grad} r)|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F = \mathbf{rot}_F(r|_F), \quad (2.6)$$

$$(\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v})|_F \cdot \mathbf{n}_F = \mathbf{div}_F(\mathbf{v}|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F) = \mathbf{rot}_F(\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F)). \quad (2.7)$$

Above, $\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F)$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathbf{v}|_F$ on the plane that contains F . Notice that, here and in what follows, with a little abuse of notation, this and similar quantities are regarded as functions $F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ whenever necessary.

For any integer $\ell \geq -1$, we define the following relevant subspaces of $\mathcal{P}^\ell(F)^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}^\ell(F) &:= \mathbf{grad}_F \mathcal{P}^{\ell+1}(F), & \mathcal{G}^\ell(F)^\perp &:= L^2\text{-orthogonal complement of } \mathcal{G}^\ell(F) \text{ in } \mathcal{P}^\ell(F)^2, \\ \mathcal{R}^\ell(F) &:= \mathbf{rot}_F \mathcal{P}^{\ell+1}(F), & \mathcal{R}^\ell(F)^\perp &:= L^2\text{-orthogonal complement of } \mathcal{R}^\ell(F) \text{ in } \mathcal{P}^\ell(F)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding L^2 -orthogonal projectors are, with obvious notation, $\pi_{\mathcal{G},F}^\ell$, $\pi_{\mathcal{G},F}^{\perp,\ell}$, $\pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^\ell$, and $\pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,\ell}$.

Similarly, given a polyhedron $T \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, for any integer $\ell \geq -1$ we introduce the following subspaces of $\mathcal{P}^\ell(T)^3$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}^\ell(T) &:= \mathbf{grad} \mathcal{P}^{\ell+1}(T), & \mathcal{G}^\ell(T)^\perp &:= L^2\text{-orthogonal complement of } \mathcal{G}^\ell(T) \text{ in } \mathcal{P}^\ell(T)^3, \\ \mathcal{R}^\ell(T) &:= \mathbf{curl} \mathcal{P}^{\ell+1}(T), & \mathcal{R}^\ell(T)^\perp &:= L^2\text{-orthogonal complement of } \mathcal{R}^\ell(T) \text{ in } \mathcal{P}^\ell(T)^3, \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding L^2 -orthogonal projectors are $\pi_{\mathcal{G},T}^\ell$, $\pi_{\mathcal{G},T}^{\perp,\ell}$, $\pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^\ell$, and $\pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^{\perp,\ell}$.

For any polygon F , polyhedron T , and polynomial degree $\ell \geq 0$, the following mappings are isomorphisms:

$$\mathbf{rot}_F : \mathcal{P}^{0,\ell}(F) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{R}^{\ell-1}(F), \quad \mathbf{grad} : \mathcal{P}^{0,\ell}(T) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{G}^{\ell-1}(T), \quad (2.8)$$

$$\mathbf{div}_F : \mathcal{R}^\ell(F)^\perp \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{P}^{\ell-1}(F), \quad \mathbf{div} : \mathcal{R}^\ell(T)^\perp \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{P}^{\ell-1}(T), \quad (2.9)$$

$$\mathbf{curl} : \mathcal{G}^\ell(T)^\perp \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{R}^{\ell-1}(T). \quad (2.10)$$

The isomorphisms in (2.8) are trivial using (2.4) and the definitions of the respective co-domains. The other isomorphisms follow from [2, Corollary 7.3], except in the following situations that can easily be verified by hand: $\ell = 0$ in (2.9), and $\ell = 0$ or 1 in (2.10).

2.3 Integration by parts formulas

We recall a few inspiring integration by parts formulas, starting with those relevant for the design of the discrete gradient and divergence operators. Given a polyhedron $T \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and two functions $\mathbf{v}_T : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ and $q_T : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth enough, we have

$$\int_T \mathbf{grad} q_T \cdot \mathbf{v}_T = - \int_T q_T \mathbf{div} \mathbf{v}_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F q_T (\mathbf{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{n}_F). \quad (2.11)$$

Similarly, for any polygon F and functions $\mathbf{v}_F : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ and $q_F : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth enough, we have

$$\int_F \mathbf{grad}_F q_F \cdot \mathbf{v}_F = - \int_F q_F \mathbf{div}_F \mathbf{v}_F + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E q_F (\mathbf{v}_F \cdot \mathbf{n}_{FE}), \quad (2.12)$$

while, for any edge E and functions $v_E : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $q_E : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth enough,

$$\int_E q'_E v_E = - \int_E q_E v'_E + (q_E v_E)(\mathbf{x}_{E,2}) - (q_E v_E)(\mathbf{x}_{E,1}), \quad (2.13)$$

where the derivatives are taken along the direction \mathbf{t}_E .

Let us now move to the formulas used in the design of the discrete curl operators. Given a polyhedron $T \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and two smooth enough functions $\mathbf{v}_T : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbf{w}_T : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{w}_T &= \int_T \mathbf{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \mathbf{v}_T \cdot (\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F) \\ &= \int_T \mathbf{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F (\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F)) \cdot (\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

the second equality being justified recalling that $\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F)$ is the projection of \mathbf{v}_T on the plane spanned by F , and noting that $\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F$ belongs to that plane. Similarly, for any polygon F and smooth enough functions $\mathbf{v}_F : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ and $r_F : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_F \mathbf{rot}_F \mathbf{v}_F r_F = \int_F \mathbf{v}_F \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_F - \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E (\mathbf{v}_F \cdot \mathbf{t}_E) r_F. \quad (2.15)$$

3 An exact two-dimensional sequence

In this section we define a discrete counterpart of the following exact two-dimensional sequence on a polygon F (which may be thought of as a mesh face):

$$\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{i_F} H^1(F) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{grad}_F} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{rot}; F) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{rot}_F} L^2(F) \xrightarrow{0} \{0\}, \quad (3.1)$$

where i_F is the operator that maps a real value to a constant function over F and, with usual notation, $H^1(F)$ denotes the space of functions that are square integrable along with their (tangential) derivatives on F , while $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{rot}; F) := \{\mathbf{v} \in L^2(F)^2 : \mathbf{rot}_F \mathbf{v} \in L^2(F)\}$. The starting point is, in Section 3.1, the design of reconstructions of the two-dimensional gradient and curl operators in full polynomial spaces, which drive the choice of the discrete unknowns. These operators cannot be directly used to form an exact discrete sequence, as we show in Section 3.2. Their properties, however, point out to the modifications required to obtain exactness, as detailed in Section 3.3. The discrete counterparts of L^2 -products along with their stability and consistency properties are discussed in Section 3.5. In the rest of this section, we fix a polynomial degree $k \geq 0$.

3.1 Two-dimensional full vector operators reconstructions

We start by defining reconstructions of the vector operators in full polynomial spaces. As a general convention of notation, we use underlines to denote vectors made of components in different polynomial spaces, and bold fonts for vector-valued polynomials or vectors that have at least one vector-valued polynomial component. Thus, $\underline{\mathbf{a}} = (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, d)$ denotes the vector whose components are the vector-valued polynomials \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{c} and the scalar-valued polynomial d , while $\underline{a} = (b, c, d)$ is the vector whose components are the scalar-valued polynomials b , c , and d . Also, the full vector operators that will only enter in the sequence through L^2 -projections or restrictions of their domain will be denoted using sans serif font to facilitate their identification.

3.1.1 Gradient

From the polytopal methods literature, it is well known that a consistent gradient can be reconstructed in $\mathcal{P}^k(F)^2$ using polynomials of degree $(k-1)$ inside F and boundary polynomials in $\mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_F)$ (see, e.g., [29, Section 2.1]). However, in order for the discrete gradient operator to map on the domain of the

discrete curl operator, we also aim here at reconstructing a gradient of degree k on ∂F . For this reason, we will rather consider boundary polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(\partial F)$. We therefore define

$$\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k := (\mathbf{G}_F^k, G_{\partial F}^k) : \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F) \times \mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(\partial F) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^k(F)^2 \times \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_F)$$

such that, for all $\underline{q}_F = (q_F, q_{\partial F}) \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F) \times \mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(\partial F)$,

$$\int_F \mathbf{G}_F^k \underline{q}_F \cdot \mathbf{w}_F = - \int_F q_F \operatorname{div}_F \mathbf{w}_F + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E q_{\partial F} (\mathbf{w}_F \cdot \mathbf{n}_{FE}) \quad \forall \mathbf{w}_F \in \mathcal{P}^k(F)^2 \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$(G_{\partial F}^k \underline{q}_F)|_E = G_E^k \underline{q}_F := (q_{\partial F})'|_E \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{E}_F, \quad (3.3)$$

where the derivative on E is taken along the direction \mathbf{t}_E . Since $G_{\partial F}^k$ only depends on the boundary values of \underline{q}_F , by a slight abuse of notation we also write $G_{\partial F}^k q_{\partial F}$ instead of $G_{\partial F}^k \underline{q}_F$ when needed.

We next state two results that will be useful in what follows: the consistency of both components of $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k$, and the surjectivity of $G_{\partial F}^k$. Let us first introduce the interpolator $\underline{I}_{\text{grad}, F}^k : C^0(\overline{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F) \times \mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(\partial F)$ such that, for all $q \in C^0(\overline{F})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{I}_{\text{grad}, F}^k q &:= (q_F, q_{\partial F}) \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F) \times \mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(\partial F) \text{ with } q_F = \pi_{\mathcal{P}, F}^{k-1} q, \\ \pi_{\mathcal{P}, E}^{k-1}(q_{\partial F})|_E &= \pi_{\mathcal{P}, E}^{k-1} q|_E \text{ for all } E \in \mathcal{E}_F, \text{ and } q_{\partial F}(\mathbf{x}_V) = q(\mathbf{x}_V) \text{ for all } V \in \mathcal{V}_F. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The isomorphism (2.3) shows that the last two relations define $q_{\partial F}$ uniquely.

Proposition 1 (Polynomial consistency of the reconstructed gradient). *It holds*

$$\mathbf{G}_F^k(\underline{I}_{\text{grad}, F}^k q) = \mathbf{grad}_F q \quad \forall q \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F), \quad (3.5)$$

$$G_E^k(\underline{I}_{\text{grad}, F}^k q) = (q|_E)' \quad \forall q \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F), \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{E}_F. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. Let $q \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F)$ and set $\underline{q}_F := \underline{I}_{\text{grad}, F}^k q$. The restriction $q|_{\partial F}$ of q to ∂F obviously satisfies the conditions imposed on $q_{\partial F}$ in (3.4), and thus $q_{\partial F} = q|_{\partial F}$. This establishes (3.6). The definition (3.2) of \mathbf{G}_F^k then yields, for all $\mathbf{w}_F \in \mathcal{P}^k(F)^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_F \mathbf{G}_F^k(\underline{I}_{\text{grad}, F}^k q) \cdot \mathbf{w}_F &= - \int_F (\pi_{\mathcal{P}, F}^{k-1} q) \operatorname{div}_F \mathbf{w}_F + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E q_{\partial F} (\mathbf{w}_F \cdot \mathbf{n}_{FE}) \\ &= - \int_F q \operatorname{div}_F \mathbf{w}_F + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E q|_{\partial F} (\mathbf{w}_F \cdot \mathbf{n}_{FE}) = \int_F \mathbf{grad}_F q \cdot \mathbf{w}_F, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where the removal of $\pi_{\mathcal{P}, F}^{k-1}$ in the second line is justified by its definition (2.2) along with the fact that $\operatorname{div}_F \mathbf{w}_F \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F)$, and the conclusion follows from the integration by parts formula (2.12). Since both $\mathbf{G}_F^k(\underline{I}_{\text{grad}, F}^k q)$ and $\mathbf{grad}_F q$ belong to $\mathcal{P}^k(F)^2$, (3.7) proves (3.5). \square

Proposition 2 (Surjectivity of $G_{\partial F}^k$). *For all $r_{\partial F} \in \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_F)$ such that $\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E r_{\partial F} = 0$, there exists $q_{\partial F} \in \mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(\partial F)$ such that $G_{\partial F}^k q_{\partial F} = r_{\partial F}$.*

Remark 3 (Bijectivity of $G_{\partial F}^k$). It is not difficult to check that the condition $\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E r_{\partial F} = 0$ is also necessary for $r_{\partial F}$ to be in the image of $G_{\partial F}^k$, which is therefore an isomorphism between $\mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(\partial F)$ and $\{r_{\partial F} \in \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_F) : \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E r_{\partial F} = 0\}$.

Proof of Proposition 2. Define the function $\tilde{r}_{\partial F} : \partial F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting $(\tilde{r}_{\partial F})|_E := \omega_{FE}(r_{\partial F})|_E$ for all $E \in \mathcal{E}_F$. Then,

$$\int_{\partial F} \tilde{r}_{\partial F} = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Fix an arbitrary $V \in \mathcal{V}_F$ and, for a given $\mathbf{x} \in \partial F$, let $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}_V \rightarrow \mathbf{x}}$ be the path in ∂F that goes from \mathbf{x}_V to \mathbf{x} in a clockwise direction. Define then $q_{\partial F}(\mathbf{x})$ as the integral of $\tilde{r}_{\partial F}$ along $\Gamma_{\mathbf{x}_V \rightarrow \mathbf{x}}$. The condition (3.8) ensures the continuity of $q_{\partial F}$ at V after a complete loop around ∂F . By construction, the derivative of $q_{\partial F}$ in the clockwise direction along ∂F is equal to $\tilde{r}_{\partial F}$. This means that, on any $E \in \mathcal{E}_F$ with orientation \mathbf{t}_E , we have $\omega_{FE}(q_{\partial F})'|_E = (\tilde{r}_{\partial F})|_E = \omega_{FE}(r_{\partial F})|_E$, which precisely establishes $\mathbf{C}_{\partial F}^k q_{\partial F} = r_{\partial F}$. \square

3.1.2 Curl

The full two-dimensional scalar curl reconstruction operator is $\mathbf{C}_F^k : \mathcal{P}^k(F)^2 \times \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_F) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^k(F)$ such that, for all $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_F = (\mathbf{v}_F, \mathbf{v}_{\partial F}) \in \mathcal{P}^k(F)^2 \times \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_F)$,

$$\int_F \mathbf{C}_F^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_F r_F = \int_F \mathbf{v}_F \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_F - \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E \mathbf{v}_{\partial F} r_F \quad \forall r_F \in \mathcal{P}^k(F). \quad (3.9)$$

Define the interpolator $\mathbf{I}_{\text{rot}, F}^k : H^1(F)^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^k(F)^2 \times \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_F)$ such that, for all $\mathbf{v} \in H^1(F)^2$,

$$\mathbf{I}_{\text{rot}, F}^k \mathbf{v} := (\pi_{\mathcal{P}, F}^k \mathbf{v}, (\pi_{\mathcal{P}, E}^k(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}_E))_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F}). \quad (3.10)$$

Proposition 4 (Commutation property for \mathbf{C}_F^k). *The following commutation property holds:*

$$\mathbf{C}_F^k(\mathbf{I}_{\text{rot}, F}^k \mathbf{v}) = \pi_{\mathcal{P}, F}^k(\mathbf{rot}_F \mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in H^1(F)^2. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. Writing (3.9) for $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_F = \mathbf{I}_{\text{rot}, F}^k \mathbf{v}$ we have, for all $r_F \in \mathcal{P}^k(F)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_F \mathbf{C}_F^k(\mathbf{I}_{\text{rot}, F}^k \mathbf{v}) r_F &= \int_F \pi_{\mathcal{P}, F}^k \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_F - \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E \pi_{\mathcal{P}, E}^k(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}_E) r_F \\ &= \int_F \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_F - \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}_E) r_F = \int_F \mathbf{rot}_F \mathbf{v} r_F, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where, to remove the L^2 -orthogonal projectors in the second line, we have used their definition (2.2) after observing that $\mathbf{rot}_F r_F \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F)$ and $(r_F)|_E \in \mathcal{P}^k(E)$ for all $E \in \mathcal{E}_F$, and we have invoked the integration by parts formula (2.15) to conclude. By definition of $\pi_{\mathcal{P}, F}^k$, (3.12) implies (3.11). \square

Remark 5 (Internal unknown). An inspection of the above proof reveals that the commutation property (3.11) holds also if we take $\mathcal{R}^{k-1}(F) \times \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_F)$ instead of $\mathcal{P}^k(F)^2 \times \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_F)$ as a domain for the discrete curl operator, and we correspondingly replace $\pi_{\mathcal{P}, F}^k$ with $\pi_{\mathcal{R}, F}^{k-1}$ in the definition (3.10) of $\mathbf{I}_{\text{rot}, F}^k$.

3.2 An almost-exact two-dimensional sequence

The two-dimensional full gradient and curl reconstructions define the following sequence:

$$\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{I}_{\text{grad}, F}^k} \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F) \times \mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(\partial F) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{G}_F^k} \mathcal{P}^k(F)^2 \times \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_F) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{C}_F^k} \mathcal{P}^k(F) \xrightarrow{0} \{0\}. \quad (3.13)$$

This sequence satisfies some exactness properties, but is not completely exact. Analysing these properties, as done in the following proposition, will guide us to define an exact two-dimensional sequence of spaces and operators.

Proposition 6 (Properties of the sequence (3.13)). *It holds:*

$$I_{\text{grad},F}^k \mathbb{R} = \text{Ker } \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\text{Im } \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \subset \text{Ker } \mathbf{C}_F^k, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For all } \underline{\mathbf{v}}_F = (\mathbf{v}_F, v_{\partial F}) \in \text{Ker } \mathbf{C}_F^k, \text{ there exists } \underline{q}_F = (q_F, q_{\partial F}) \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F) \times \mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(\partial F) \\ \text{such that } v_{\partial F} = G_{\partial F}^k q_{\partial F}, \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1} \mathbf{v}_F = \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1} (\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F), \text{ and } \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} \mathbf{v}_F = \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} (\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F), \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$\text{Im } \mathbf{C}_F^k = \mathcal{P}^k(F). \quad (3.17)$$

Proof. 1. Proof of (3.14). If $C \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\underline{q}_F = I_{\text{grad},F}^k C$, then the consistency properties (3.5) and (3.6) give, respectively, $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F = \mathbf{0}$ and $G_{\partial F}^k \underline{q}_F = 0$. These two relations establish the inclusion \subset in (3.14).

To prove the converse inclusion, we first notice that, if $\underline{q}_F = (q_F, q_{\partial F}) \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F) \times \mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(F)$ is such that $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F = \mathbf{0}$, then $G_{\partial F}^k q_{\partial F} = 0$ and hence $q_{\partial F} = C$ for some $C \in \mathbb{R}$ (since $q_{\partial F}$ is continuous and its derivative vanishes on each $E \in \mathcal{E}_F$). Plugging this result into the definition (3.2) of $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k$ and using the integration by parts formula (2.12) with C instead of q_F , we infer, for all $\mathbf{w}_F \in \mathcal{P}^k(F)^2$,

$$\int_F \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F \cdot \mathbf{w}_F = - \int_F q_F \text{div}_F \mathbf{w}_F + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E C (\mathbf{w}_F \cdot \mathbf{n}_{FE}) = \int_F (C - q_F) \text{div}_F \mathbf{w}_F. \quad (3.18)$$

Using $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F = \mathbf{0}$, we see that the left-hand side vanishes and, since $\text{div}_F : \mathcal{P}^k(F)^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F)$ is surjective (consequence of (2.9)) and $q_F \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F)$, this yields $\pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^{k-1} C = \pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^{k-1} q_F = q_F$. Together with $q_{\partial F} = C$, this establishes that $I_{\text{grad},F}^k C = \underline{q}_F$, which concludes the proof of the inclusion \supset in (3.14).

2. Proof of (3.15). Let $\underline{q}_F \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F) \times \mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(F)$ and write, using the definition (3.9) of \mathbf{C}_F^k , for all $r_F \in \mathcal{P}^k(F)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_F \mathbf{C}_F^k (\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F) r_F &= \int_F \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_F - \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E G_{\partial F}^k \underline{q}_F r_F \\ &= \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E \left[q_{\partial F} \mathbf{rot}_F r_F \cdot \mathbf{n}_{FE} - (q_{\partial F})'_{|E} r_F \right], \end{aligned}$$

where the second line follows using the definitions (3.2) of $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k$ with $\mathbf{w}_F = \mathbf{rot}_F r_F$ (additionally noticing that $\text{div}_F(\mathbf{rot}_F r_F) = 0$) and (3.3) of $G_{\partial F}^k$. We then use the integration by parts formula (2.13) on each $E \in \mathcal{E}_F$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_F \mathbf{C}_F^k (\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F) r_F &= \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E q_{\partial F} \left(\mathbf{rot}_F r_F \cdot \mathbf{n}_{FE} + (r_F)'_{|E} \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} (q_{\partial F}(\mathbf{x}_{E,2}) r_F(\mathbf{x}_{E,2}) - q_{\partial F}(\mathbf{x}_{E,1}) r_F(\mathbf{x}_{E,1})) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

where the cancellation comes from

$$\mathbf{rot}_F r_F \cdot \mathbf{n}_{FE} = \mathbf{grad}_F r_F \cdot (\varrho_{-\pi/2}^t \mathbf{n}_{FE}) = \mathbf{grad}_F r_F \cdot (\varrho_{\pi/2} \mathbf{n}_{FE}) = -\mathbf{grad}_F r_F \cdot \mathbf{t}_E = -(r_F)'_{|E} \quad (3.20)$$

(since $(\mathbf{t}_E, \mathbf{n}_{FE})$ is right-handed in F), and we have concluded using the fact that, for all $V \in \mathcal{V}_T$, the term $q_{\partial F}(\mathbf{x}_V) r_F(\mathbf{x}_V)$ appears exactly twice in the last sum, with opposite signs. This proves (3.15).

3. Proof of (3.16). Suppose that $\underline{v}_F \in \mathcal{P}^k(F)^2 \times \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_F)$ is such that $\mathbf{C}_F^k \underline{v}_F = 0$. Then, (3.9) with $r_F = 1$ shows that $\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E v_{\partial F} = 0$ and thus, by Proposition 2, there exists $q_{\partial F} \in \mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(\partial F)$ such that $\mathbf{G}_{\partial F}^k q_{\partial F} = v_{\partial F}$. This establishes the first conclusion in (3.16).

Using $\mathbf{C}_F^k \underline{v}_F = 0$ and again the definition (3.9) of \mathbf{C}_F^k , for all $r_F \in \mathcal{P}^k(F)$ we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_F \underline{v}_F \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_F &= \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E \mathbf{G}_{\partial F}^k q_{\partial F} r_F \\
&= - \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E q_{\partial F} (r_F)'|_E \\
&= \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E q_{\partial F} (\mathbf{rot}_F r_F \cdot \mathbf{n}_{FE}) \\
&= \int_F \mathbf{G}_F^k(q_F, q_{\partial F}) \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_F,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

where the second line follows integrating by parts on each edge and cancelling out the vertex values in a similar way as in (3.19), the third line from (3.20), and the conclusion is obtained applying the definition (3.2) of \mathbf{G}_F^k to $\mathbf{w}_F = \mathbf{rot}_F r_F$ (which satisfies $\text{div}_F \mathbf{w}_F = 0$) and $\underline{q}_F = (q_F, q_{\partial F})$ for an arbitrary $q_F \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F)$. Since (3.21) is valid for any $r_F \in \mathcal{P}^k(F)$, this proves the second conclusion in (3.16).

To conclude the proof of (3.16), we need to identify a specific $q_F \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F)$ such that $\pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} \underline{v}_F = \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k}(\mathbf{G}_F^k \underline{q}_F)$, that is to say, for any $\mathbf{w}_F \in \mathcal{R}^k(F)^\perp$,

$$\int_F \underline{v}_F \cdot \mathbf{w}_F = \int_F \mathbf{G}_F^k \underline{q}_F \cdot \mathbf{w}_F = - \int_F q_F \cdot \text{div}_F \mathbf{w}_F + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E q_{\partial F} (\mathbf{w}_F \cdot \mathbf{n}_{FE}),$$

where we have used the definition (3.2) of \mathbf{G}_F^k in the second passage. Since $q_{\partial F}$ is already given, we simply have to take $q_F \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F)$ such that:

$$\int_F q_F \cdot \text{div}_F \mathbf{w}_F = - \int_F \underline{v}_F \cdot \mathbf{w}_F + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E q_{\partial F} (\mathbf{w}_F \cdot \mathbf{n}_{FE}) \quad \forall \mathbf{w}_F \in \mathcal{R}^k(F)^\perp.$$

By (2.9), this relation defines q_F uniquely.

4. Proof of (3.17). We only have to prove $\mathcal{P}^k(F) \subset \text{Im } \mathbf{C}_F^k$. Let $q_F \in \mathcal{P}^k(F)$. Since $\text{rot}_F : \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F)^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^k(F)$ is surjective (this is a consequence of its definition (2.5) along with the surjectivity of $\text{div}_F : \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F)^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^k(F)$, which follows from (2.9)), there is $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F)^2$ such that $\text{rot}_F \mathbf{v} = q_F$. Hence, using the polynomial consistency of $\pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^k$ followed by the commutation property (3.11), we have $q_F = \text{rot}_F \mathbf{v} = \pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^k(\text{rot}_F \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{C}_F^k(\mathbf{1}_{\text{rot},F}^k \mathbf{v}) \in \text{Im } \mathbf{C}_F^k$, which is the desired result. \square

3.3 An exact two-dimensional sequence

Proposition 6 shows that the defect of exactness of the sequence (3.13) lies in the domain of \mathbf{C}_F^k /co-domain of \mathbf{G}_F^k , which is too large. Specifically, the space $\mathcal{P}^k(F)^2 \times \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_F)$ in this sequence must be restricted to its subspace $(\mathcal{R}^{k-1}(F) \oplus \mathcal{R}^k(F)^\perp) \times \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_F)$, which still contains sufficient information to reconstruct a discrete curl satisfying the commutation property (3.11) (cf. Remark 5). Obviously, this restriction requires to project \mathbf{G}_F^k onto this space in order for the sequence to be well-defined.

The domain of the reconstructed gradient does not change, so we take as discrete counterpart of the space $H^1(F)$ in the sequence (3.1) the space

$$\underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k := \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F) \times \mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(\partial F)$$

and, as before, a generic vector $\underline{q}_F \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k$ is denoted by $(q_F, q_{\partial F})$ with $q_F \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F)$ and $q_{\partial F} \in \mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(\partial F)$. The interpolator on $\underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k$ does not change either:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{I}_{\text{grad},F}^k q &:= (q_F, q_{\partial F}) \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F) \times \mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(\partial F) \text{ with } q_F = \pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^{k-1} q, \\ \pi_{\mathcal{P},E}^{k-1}(q_{\partial F})|_E &= \pi_{\mathcal{P},E}^{k-1} q|_E \text{ for all } E \in \mathcal{E}_F, \text{ and } q_{\partial F}(\mathbf{x}_V) = q(\mathbf{x}_V) \text{ for all } V \in \mathcal{V}_F. \end{aligned}$$

The domain of the reconstructed curl, which plays the role of the space $\mathbf{H}(\text{rot}; F)$ at the discrete level, is now

$$\underline{X}_{\text{rot},F}^k := \left(\mathcal{R}^{k-1}(F) \oplus \mathcal{R}^k(F)^\perp \right) \times \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_F),$$

and a generic vector $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_F \in \underline{X}_{\text{rot},F}^k$ is decomposed into $(\mathbf{v}_F = \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F}^\perp, v_{\partial F})$ with $\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F} \in \mathcal{R}^{k-1}(F)$, $\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F}^\perp \in \mathcal{R}^k(F)^\perp$, and $v_{\partial F} \in \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_F)$.

The discrete gradient operator $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k : \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k \rightarrow \underline{X}_{\text{rot},F}^k$ is defined by projecting $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k$ onto $\underline{X}_{\text{rot},F}^k$: For all $\underline{q}_F \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F &:= (\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1} \underline{q}_F + \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} \underline{q}_F, G_{\partial F}^k q_{\partial F}) \\ \text{with } \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1} &:= \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1} \mathbf{G}_F^k \text{ and } \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} := \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} \mathbf{G}_F^k \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{F}_T. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

It can easily be checked from (3.2) that the following two relations characterise $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k}$: For all $\underline{q}_F \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k$,

$$\int_F \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1} \underline{q}_F \cdot \mathbf{w}_F = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E q_{\partial F} (\mathbf{w}_F \cdot \mathbf{n}_{FE}) \quad \forall \mathbf{w}_F \in \mathcal{R}^{k-1}(F), \quad (3.23a)$$

$$\int_F \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} \underline{q}_F \cdot \mathbf{w}_F = - \int_F q_F \text{div}_V \mathbf{w}_F + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E q_{\partial F} (\mathbf{w}_F \cdot \mathbf{n}_{FE}) \quad \forall \mathbf{w}_F \in \mathcal{R}^k(F)^\perp. \quad (3.23b)$$

The discrete curl operator $C_F^k : \underline{X}_{\text{rot},F}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^k(F)$ is given by the restriction of \mathbf{C}_F^k to $\underline{X}_{\text{rot},F}^k$, that is: For all $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_F = (\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F}^\perp, v_{\partial F}) \in \underline{X}_{\text{rot},F}^k$,

$$\int_F C_F^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_F r_F = \int_F \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F} \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_F - \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E v_{\partial F} r_F \quad \forall r_F \in \mathcal{P}^k(F). \quad (3.24)$$

Notice that, in the integral over F , we have removed the component $\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F}^\perp$ of \mathbf{v}_F accounting for the fact that it is L^2 -orthogonal to $\mathbf{rot}_F r_F \in \mathcal{R}^{k-1}(F) \subset \mathcal{R}^k(F)$.

Letting $\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{rot},F}^k : H^1(F)^2 \rightarrow \underline{X}_{\text{rot},F}^k$ be the interpolator obtained projecting $\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{rot},F}^k$, that is, for all $\mathbf{v} \in H^1(F)^2$,

$$\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{rot},F}^k \mathbf{v} := (\pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1} \mathbf{v} + \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} \mathbf{v}, (\pi_{\mathcal{P},E}^k (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}_E))_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F}), \quad (3.25)$$

we have, following Remark 5, the commutation property

$$C_F^k (\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{rot},F}^k \mathbf{v}) = \pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^k (\mathbf{rot}_F \mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in H^1(F)^2. \quad (3.26)$$

		k	V	E	F	Total
$\underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k$	$(\mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F))$	0	1 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (3)
		1	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (0)	7 (6)
		2	1 (1)	2 (2)	3 (1)	12 (10)
		3	1 (1)	3 (3)	6 (3)	18 (15)
$\underline{X}_{\text{rot},F}^k$	$(\varrho_{\pi/2}\mathcal{RT}^k(F))$	0		1 (1)	0 (0)	3 (3)
		1		2 (2)	3 (2)	9 (8)
		2		3 (3)	8 (6)	17 (15)
		3		4 (4)	15 (12)	27 (24)
$\mathcal{P}^k(F)$	$(\mathcal{P}^k(F))$	0			1 (1)	1 (1)
		1			3 (3)	3 (3)
		2			6 (6)	6 (6)
		3			10 (10)	10 (10)

Table 1: Number of discrete unknowns attached to each geometric entity for the two-dimensional sequence (3.27) on a triangle F for $k \in \{0, \dots, 3\}$. For comparison, we also report in parentheses the number of degrees of freedom of the corresponding spaces in the FE sequence $(\mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F), \varrho_{\pi/2}\mathcal{RT}^k(F), \mathcal{P}^k(F))$.

Theorem 7 (Exact two-dimensional sequence). *The following sequence is exact:*

$$\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{I_{\text{grad},F}^k} \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k} \underline{X}_{\text{rot},F}^k \xrightarrow{C_F^k} \mathcal{P}^k(F) \xrightarrow{0} \{0\}. \quad (3.27)$$

Remark 8 (Comparison with Finite Elements). When F is a triangle, the sequence (3.27) can be compared with the usual FE sequence $(\mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F), \varrho_{\pi/2}\mathcal{RT}^k(F), \mathcal{P}^k(F))$ (with $\varrho_{\pi/2}\mathcal{RT}^k(F)$ denoting the rotated two-dimensional Raviart–Thomas space, cf. [45]). The number of discrete unknowns in each case is reported in Table 1. We notice that, for each space in the sequence, the number of discrete unknowns attached to the lowest-dimensional geometric support is the same in both cases. On the other hand, our sequence has more internal unknowns. This phenomenon is known from the Virtual Element literature and can be countered using serendipity spaces; see, e.g., [5]. Also, when writing a scheme, internal unknowns can usually be locally eliminated by static condensation; see, e.g., [29, Section B.3.2].

Proof of Theorem 7. We have to prove that

$$I_{\text{grad},F}^k \mathbb{R} = \text{Ker } \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k, \quad (3.28)$$

$$\text{Im } \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k = \text{Ker } C_F^k, \quad (3.29)$$

$$\text{Im } C_F^k = \mathcal{P}^k(F). \quad (3.30)$$

1. Proof of (3.28). Since $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k$ is a projection of $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k$, we have $\text{Ker } \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \subset \text{Ker } \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k$ and, thus, (3.14) gives

$$\boxed{I_{\text{grad},F}^k \mathbb{R} \subset \text{Ker } \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k.}$$

Assume now that $q_F \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k$ is such that $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k q_F = \mathbf{0}$. As in the proof of (3.14), since the boundary components of $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k$ and $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k$ are the same, this shows that $q_{\partial F} = C$ for some constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, equation (3.18) still holds, and can be applied to a generic $\mathbf{w}_F \in \mathcal{R}^k(F)^\perp$ to infer

$$\int_F (C - q_F) \text{div}_F \mathbf{w}_F = \int_F \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k q_F \cdot \mathbf{w}_F = \int_F \underline{\mathbf{G}}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} q_F \cdot \mathbf{w}_F = 0,$$

where the second equality follows from the definition of $\pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k}$ recalling that $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} = \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} \mathbf{G}_F^k$ (see (3.22)). Together with the surjectivity of $\text{div}_F : \mathcal{R}^k(F)^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F)$, this ensures as before that $q_F = \pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^{k-1} C$, which concludes the proof that $\underline{q}_F = \underline{I}_{\text{grad},F}^k C$. Hence, we have

$$\boxed{\text{Ker } \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \subset \underline{I}_{\text{grad},F}^k \mathbb{R}},$$

concluding the proof of (3.28).

2. Proof of (3.29). Let $\underline{q}_F \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k$ and set $\underline{v}_F := \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F$, that is, by definition (3.22) of $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k$, $\underline{v}_F = (\mathbf{v}_F = \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1} \mathbf{w}_F + \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} \mathbf{w}_F, \mathbf{w}_{\partial F})$ with $\underline{\mathbf{w}}_F = \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F$. By (3.15), we have $\mathbf{C}_F^k \underline{\mathbf{w}}_F = 0$. Using the definitions (3.9) and (3.24) of $\mathbf{C}_F^k \underline{\mathbf{w}}_F$ and $\mathbf{C}_F^k \underline{v}_F$ and the relation

$$\int_F \mathbf{w}_F \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_F = \int_F \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1} \mathbf{w}_F \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_F = \int_F \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F} \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_F \quad \forall r_F \in \mathcal{P}^k(F),$$

which follows from the definitions of $\pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F}$, we see that $0 = \mathbf{C}_F^k \underline{\mathbf{w}}_F = \mathbf{C}_F^k \underline{v}_F$. Hence, $\mathbf{C}_F^k (\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F) = 0$ and

$$\boxed{\text{Im } \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \subset \text{Ker } \mathbf{C}_F^k}. \quad (3.31)$$

Let now $\underline{v}_F = (\mathbf{v}_F = \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F}^\perp, \mathbf{v}_{\partial F}) \in \text{Ker } \mathbf{C}_F^k = \underline{X}_{\text{rot},F}^k \cap \text{Ker } \mathbf{C}_F^k$. By (3.16), there is $\underline{q}_F \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F) \times \mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(\partial F) = \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k$ such that $\mathbf{v}_{\partial F} = \mathbf{G}_{\partial F}^k q_{\partial F}$, $\pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1} \mathbf{v}_F = \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1} (\mathbf{G}_F^k \underline{q}_F) = \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1} \underline{q}_F$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} \mathbf{v}_F = \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} (\mathbf{G}_F^k \underline{q}_F) = \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} \underline{q}_F$. Since $\mathcal{R}^{k-1}(F) \subset \mathcal{R}^k(F)$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{R}^k(F)^\perp$, we have $\pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1} \mathbf{v}_F = \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} \mathbf{v}_F = \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F}^\perp$, which proves that $\underline{v}_F = \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F$. Hence,

$$\boxed{\text{Ker } \mathbf{C}_F^k \subset \text{Im } \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k}$$

and the second exactness property (3.29) is proved.

3. Proof of (3.30). Consequence of the commutation property (3.26) proceeding as in the proof of (3.17). \square

3.4 Two-dimensional potentials

We next exhibit reconstructions of the potential for each space in the sequence.

3.4.1 Scalar potential (scalar trace)

We start with a scalar potential reconstruction $\gamma_F^{k+1} : \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F)$ which, when F represents a face of a polyhedron T , plays the role of a reconstruction of the scalar trace on F . The required properties on γ_F^{k+1} are the following:

$$\gamma_F^{k+1}(\underline{I}_{\text{grad},F}^k q) = q \quad \forall q \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F), \quad (3.32a)$$

$$\pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^{k-1}(\gamma_F^{k+1} \underline{q}_F) = q_F \quad \forall \underline{q}_F = (q_F, q_{\partial F}) \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k. \quad (3.32b)$$

The first property expresses the polynomial consistency of the reconstruction, while the second enforces its projection on $\mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F)$ and shows that γ_F^{k+1} has to be a higher-order correction of the projection $\underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k \ni \underline{q}_F = (q_F, q_{\partial F}) \mapsto q_F \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(F)$. It is easily checked that, if $\tilde{\gamma}_F^{k+1} : \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F)$ is a reconstruction that satisfies the consistency property (3.32a), then a reconstruction γ_F^{k+1} satisfying (3.32a)–(3.32b) is obtained setting

$$\gamma_F^{k+1} \underline{q}_F = q_F + \tilde{\gamma}_F^{k+1} \underline{q}_F - \pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^{k-1}(\tilde{\gamma}_F^{k+1} \underline{q}_F) \quad \forall \underline{q}_F \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k.$$

Remark 9 (A consistent potential reconstruction). There are several ways to devise a reconstruction $\tilde{\gamma}_F^{k+1} : \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F)$ that satisfies (3.32a). One of them is to define, for all $\underline{q}_F \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k$, $\tilde{\gamma}_F^{k+1} \underline{q}_F \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F)$ such that

$$\int_F \tilde{\gamma}_F^{k+1} \underline{q}_F \operatorname{div}_F \mathbf{v}_F = - \int_F \mathbf{G}_F^k \underline{q}_F \cdot \mathbf{v}_F + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E q_{\partial F} (\mathbf{v}_F \cdot \mathbf{n}_{FE}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_F \in \mathcal{R}^{k+2}(F)^\perp.$$

This relation defines $\tilde{\gamma}_F^{k+1} \underline{q}_F$ uniquely since $\operatorname{div}_F : \mathcal{R}^{k+2}(F)^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F)$ is an isomorphism (see (2.9)). The consistency property (3.32a) for this reconstruction can be checked setting $\underline{q}_F = \underline{I}_{\text{grad},F}^k q$ for $q \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F)$, invoking the polynomial consistency property (3.5) to infer $\mathbf{G}_F^k \underline{q}_F = \mathbf{G}_F^k (\underline{I}_{\text{grad},F}^k q) = \mathbf{grad}_F q$, using the fact that $q_{\partial F} = q|_{\partial F}$ as a consequence of the definition (3.4) of $\underline{I}_{\text{grad},F}^k$ together with the isomorphism (2.3) and $q|_{\partial F} \in \mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(\partial F)$, and applying the integration by parts formula (2.12).

3.4.2 Vector potential (tangential vector trace)

We next define the two-dimensional vector potential $\gamma_{t,F}^k : \underline{X}_{\text{rot},F}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^k(F)^2$ such that, for all $\underline{v}_F \in \underline{X}_{\text{rot},F}^k$,

$$\int_F \gamma_{t,F}^k \underline{v}_F \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_F = \int_F C_F^k \underline{v}_F r_F + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E v_{\partial F} r_F \quad \forall r_F \in \mathcal{P}^{0,k+1}(F), \quad (3.33a)$$

$$\int_F \gamma_{t,F}^k \underline{v}_F \cdot \mathbf{w}_F = \int_F \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F}^\perp \cdot \mathbf{w}_F \quad \forall \mathbf{w}_F \in \mathcal{R}^k(F)^\perp. \quad (3.33b)$$

To check that, given $\underline{v}_F \in \underline{X}_{\text{rot},F}^k$, (3.33) defines a unique polynomial $\gamma_{t,F}^k \underline{v}_F \in \mathcal{P}^k(F)^2$, observe that (3.33a) and (3.33b) prescribe, respectively, $\pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^k(\gamma_{t,F}^k \underline{v}_F)$ (use (2.8)) and $\pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k}(\gamma_{t,F}^k \underline{v}_F)$, and recall the orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{P}^k(F)^2 = \mathcal{R}^k(F) \oplus \mathcal{R}^k(F)^\perp$. When F represents a face of a polyhedron T , $\gamma_{t,F}^k$ corresponds to a reconstruction of the tangential trace.

Remark 10 (Validity of (3.33a)). Observing that both sides of (3.33a) vanish for $r_F = 1$ (use the definition of C_F^k for the right-hand side), we deduce that (3.33a) holds in fact for any $r_F \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F)$.

We now state and prove two propositions on the commutation properties of the vector potential reconstruction.

Proposition 11 (Commutation property for the two-dimensional vector potential reconstruction). *For all $\mathbf{v} \in H^1(F)^2$ such that $\operatorname{rot}_F \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{P}^k(F)$ and $\mathbf{v}|_E \cdot \mathbf{t}_E \in \mathcal{P}^k(E)$ for all $E \in \mathcal{E}_F$, it holds*

$$\gamma_{t,F}^k(\underline{I}_{\text{rot},F}^k \mathbf{v}) = \pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^k \mathbf{v}. \quad (3.34)$$

Proof. Take $r_F \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F)$, write (3.33a) (using Remark 10) for $\underline{v}_F = \underline{I}_{\text{rot},F}^k \mathbf{v}$, and apply the commutation property (3.26) of C_F^k to get

$$\int_F \gamma_{t,F}^k(\underline{I}_{\text{rot},F}^k \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_F = \int_F \pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^k(\operatorname{rot}_F \mathbf{v}) r_F + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E \pi_{\mathcal{P},E}^k(\mathbf{v}|_E \cdot \mathbf{t}_E) r_F.$$

Using the assumptions on \mathbf{v} along with their definition (2.2), the projectors $\pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^k$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{P},E}^k$ can be removed from the equation above, and the integration by parts formula (2.15) then leads to

$$\int_F \gamma_{t,F}^k(\underline{I}_{\text{rot},F}^k \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_F = \int_F \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_F.$$

The polynomial r_F being arbitrary in $\mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F)$, this relation implies $\pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^k(\gamma_{\mathfrak{t},F}^k(\underline{\mathbf{l}}_{\text{rot},F}^k \mathbf{v})) = \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^k \mathbf{v}$. On the other hand, (3.33b) with $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_F = \underline{\mathbf{l}}_{\text{rot},F}^k \mathbf{v}$ and the definition of $\underline{\mathbf{l}}_{\text{rot},F}^k$ yield $\pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{t},F}^k(\underline{\mathbf{l}}_{\text{rot},F}^k \mathbf{v})) = \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F}^\perp = \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} \mathbf{v}$. The relation (3.34) then follows using the decomposition $\mathcal{P}^k(F)^2 = \mathcal{R}^k(F) \oplus \mathcal{R}^k(F)^\perp$ to write

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{t},F}^k(\underline{\mathbf{l}}_{\text{rot},F}^k \mathbf{v}) = \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^k(\gamma_{\mathfrak{t},F}^k(\underline{\mathbf{l}}_{\text{rot},F}^k \mathbf{v})) + \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{t},F}^k(\underline{\mathbf{l}}_{\text{rot},F}^k \mathbf{v})) = \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^k \mathbf{v} + \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} \mathbf{v} = \pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^k \mathbf{v}. \quad \square$$

Proposition 12 (Two-dimensional vector potential reconstruction and gradient). *It holds*

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{t},F}^k(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F) = \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F \quad \forall \underline{q}_F \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k. \quad (3.35)$$

Proof. For all $r_F \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F)$, writing (3.33a) for $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_F = \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F$, it is inferred that

$$\int_F \gamma_{\mathfrak{t},F}^k(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F) \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_F = \int_F \cancel{C_F^k(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F)} r_F + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E \underline{\mathbf{G}}_{\partial F}^k \underline{q}_F r_F = \int_F \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_F,$$

where we have used the inclusion (3.31) in the cancellation, while the conclusion follows proceeding as in (3.21). This implies $\pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^k(\gamma_{\mathfrak{t},F}^k(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F)) = \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^k(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F)$. On the other hand, (3.33b) also applied to $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_F = \underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F$ implies $\pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{t},F}^k(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F)) = \underline{\mathbf{G}}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} \underline{q}_F = \pi_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k}(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_F)$. Combining these relations with the orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{P}^k(F)^2 = \mathcal{R}^k(F) \oplus \mathcal{R}^k(F)^\perp$, (3.35) follows. \square

3.5 Two-dimensional discrete L^2 -products

We next define discrete counterparts of the L^2 -products in $H^1(F)$ and $\mathbf{H}(\text{rot}; F)$. The discrete L^2 -products are composed of consistent and stabilising terms. The former correspond to the L^2 -product of the full potential reconstructions, whereas the latter penalise in a least square sense high-order differences between the potential reconstruction and the discrete unknowns. The design of these high-order differences is inspired by the stabilisation terms in HHO methods, see [29, Section 2.1.4]. Specifically, we define

- $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\text{grad},F} : \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k \times \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $\underline{q}_F, \underline{r}_F \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{q}_F, \underline{r}_F)_{\text{grad},F} := & \int_F \gamma_F^{k+1} \underline{q}_F \gamma_F^{k+1} \underline{r}_F + \int_F \delta_{\text{grad},F}^{k-1} \underline{q}_F \delta_{\text{grad},F}^{k-1} \underline{r}_F \\ & + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} h_F \int_E \delta_{\text{grad},\partial F}^{k+1} \underline{q}_F \delta_{\text{grad},\partial F}^{k+1} \underline{r}_F, \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

where h_F denotes the diameter of F and we have set, for any $\underline{q}_F \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k$,

$$(\delta_{\text{grad},F}^{k-1} \underline{q}_F, \delta_{\text{grad},\partial F}^{k+1} \underline{q}_F) := \underline{\mathbf{l}}_{\text{grad},F}^k(\gamma_F^{k+1} \underline{q}_F) - \underline{q}_F.$$

- $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\text{rot},F} : \underline{X}_{\text{rot},F}^k \times \underline{X}_{\text{rot},F}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_F, \underline{\mathbf{w}}_F \in \underline{X}_{\text{rot},F}^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{\mathbf{v}}_F, \underline{\mathbf{w}}_F)_{\text{rot},F} := & \int_F \gamma_{\mathfrak{t},F}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_F \cdot \gamma_{\mathfrak{t},F}^k \underline{\mathbf{w}}_F + \int_F \delta_{\text{rot},F}^{k-1} \underline{\mathbf{v}}_F \cdot \delta_{\text{rot},F}^{k-1} \underline{\mathbf{w}}_F \\ & + \int_F \delta_{\text{rot},F}^{\perp,k} \underline{\mathbf{v}}_F \cdot \delta_{\text{rot},F}^{\perp,k} \underline{\mathbf{w}}_F + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} h_F \int_E \delta_{\text{rot},E}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_F \delta_{\text{rot},E}^k \underline{\mathbf{w}}_F, \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

where we have set, for any $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_F \in \underline{X}_{\text{rot},F}^k$,

$$(\delta_{\text{rot},F}^{k-1} \underline{\mathbf{v}}_F + \delta_{\text{rot},F}^{\perp,k} \underline{\mathbf{v}}_F, (\delta_{\text{rot},E}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_F)_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F}) := \underline{\mathbf{l}}_{\text{rot},F}^k(\gamma_{\mathfrak{t},F}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_F) - \underline{\mathbf{v}}_F.$$

The bilinear forms $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\text{grad}, F}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\text{rot}, F}$ are obviously symmetric and positive semi-definite. Using arguments similar to the ones deployed in the three-dimensional case (cf. Lemma 28 below), it can be proved that they are actually positive definite, hence they define proper inner products on $\underline{X}_{\text{grad}, F}^k$ and $\underline{X}_{\text{rot}, F}^k$, respectively. By (3.32a) and (3.34), they also enjoy the following consistency properties:

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{I}_{\text{grad}, F}^k q, \underline{I}_{\text{grad}, F}^k r)_{\text{grad}, F} &= (q, r)_{L^2(F)} & \forall q, r \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F), \\ (\underline{I}_{\text{rot}, F}^k \mathbf{v}, \underline{I}_{\text{rot}, F}^k \mathbf{w})_{\text{rot}, F} &= (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})_{L^2(F)^2} & \forall \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{P}^k(F)^2. \end{aligned}$$

4 An exact three-dimensional sequence

In this section we define a discrete counterpart of the following exact three-dimensional sequence on a polyhedron T (which may be thought of as a mesh element):

$$\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{i_T} H^1(T) \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} \mathbf{H}(\text{curl}; T) \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} \mathbf{H}(\text{div}; T) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} L^2(T) \xrightarrow{0} \{0\},$$

where i_T is the operator that maps a real value to a constant function over T , $H^1(T)$ denotes the space of functions that are square integrable over T along with their derivatives, $\mathbf{H}(\text{curl}; T) := \{\mathbf{v} \in L^2(T)^3 : \text{curl } \mathbf{v} \in L^2(T)^3\}$, and $\mathbf{H}(\text{div}; T) := \{\mathbf{v} \in L^2(T)^3 : \text{div } \mathbf{v} \in L^2(T)\}$. The principle is, as in two dimensions, to start from reconstructions of vector operators in full polynomial spaces, and to project them on restricted domains/co-domains to form an exact sequence. For the sake of conciseness, and since it is similar to the two-dimensional case, we do not detail the initial analysis (i.e., the derivation of an almost-exact sequence and the equivalent of Proposition 6), but directly provide appropriate choices of spaces and discrete operators.

4.1 Three-dimensional discrete spaces and interpolators

4.1.1 Discrete $H^1(T)$ space

The discrete counterpart of $H^1(T)$ is

$$\underline{X}_{\text{grad}, T}^k := \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(T) \times \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_T) \times \mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(\partial^2 T). \quad (4.1)$$

A generic vector $\underline{q}_T \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad}, T}^k$ is written

$$\underline{q}_T = (q_T, q_{\partial T}, q_{\partial^2 T}), \text{ with } q_T \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(T), q_{\partial T} \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_T) \text{ and } q_{\partial^2 T} \in \mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(\partial^2 T).$$

For any $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$ we let $q_F := (q_{\partial T})|_F$ and, for any $E \in \mathcal{E}_T$, $q_E := (q_{\partial^2 T})|_E$. The interpolator associated with this space is $\underline{I}_{\text{grad}, T}^k : C^0(\bar{T}) \rightarrow \underline{X}_{\text{grad}, T}^k$ such that, for all $q \in C^0(\bar{T})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{I}_{\text{grad}, T}^k q &:= \underline{q}_T = (q_T, q_{\partial T}, q_{\partial^2 T}) \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad}, T}^k \text{ with } q_T = \pi_{\mathcal{P}, T}^{k-1} q, q_F = \pi_{\mathcal{P}, F}^{k-1} q|_F \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{F}_T, \\ \pi_{\mathcal{P}, E}^{k-1} q_E &= \pi_{\mathcal{P}, E}^{k-1} q|_E \text{ for all } E \in \mathcal{E}_T, \text{ and } q_{\partial^2 T}(\mathbf{x}_V) = q(\mathbf{x}_V) \text{ for all } V \in \mathcal{V}_T. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Arguments similar to the two-dimensional case show that the component $q_{\partial^2 T}$ is well-defined by the conditions in the second line of (4.2).

We denote by $\underline{X}_{\text{grad}, \partial T}^k$ the restriction of $\underline{X}_{\text{grad}, T}^k$ to ∂T , and the corresponding interpolator, with obvious definition, is denoted by $\underline{I}_{\text{grad}, \partial T}^k$. Similarly, $\underline{X}_{\text{grad}, \partial^2 T}^k$ is the restriction of $\underline{X}_{\text{grad}, T}^k$ to $\partial^2 T$. The restriction of $\underline{q}_T = (q_T, q_{\partial T}, q_{\partial^2 T}) \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad}, T}^k$ to $\underline{X}_{\text{grad}, \partial T}^k$ is $\underline{q}_{\partial T} := (q_{\partial T}, q_{\partial^2 T})$.

Remark 13 (Relation with two-dimensional spaces). The restriction of an element $\underline{q}_T \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad}, T}^k$ to a face $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$ defines an element $\underline{q}_F := (q_F, (q_{\partial^2 T})|_{\partial F}) \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad}, F}^k$. Conversely, gluing together a family $(\underline{q}_F)_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T}$ with $\underline{q}_F = (q_F, q_{\partial F}) \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad}, F}^k$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$ defines an element of $\underline{X}_{\text{grad}, \partial T}^k$ provided that the edge values coincide: For any F_1, F_2 faces of T sharing an edge E , $(q_{\partial F_1})|_E = (q_{\partial F_2})|_E$.

4.1.2 Discrete $\mathbf{H}(\text{curl}; T)$ space

The role of the space $\mathbf{H}(\text{curl}; T)$ is played, at the discrete level, by

$$\underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\text{curl}, T}^k := \left(\mathcal{R}^{k-1}(T) \oplus \mathcal{R}^k(T)^\perp \right) \times \left(\bigotimes_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} [\mathcal{R}^{k-1}(F) \oplus \mathcal{R}^k(F)^\perp] \right) \times \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_T). \quad (4.3)$$

A generic vector $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \in \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\text{curl}, T}^k$ is denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T &= (\mathbf{v}_T = \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R}, T} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R}, T}^\perp, (\mathbf{v}_F = \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R}, F} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R}, F}^\perp)_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T}, v_{\partial^2 T}) \\ &\quad \text{with } (\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R}, T}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R}, T}^\perp) \in \mathcal{R}^{k-1}(T) \times \mathcal{R}^k(T)^\perp, \\ &\quad (\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R}, F}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R}, F}^\perp) \in \mathcal{R}^{k-1}(F) \times \mathcal{R}^k(F)^\perp \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{F}_T, \text{ and } v_{\partial^2 T} \in \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_T). \end{aligned}$$

The interpolator $\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{curl}, T}^k : C^0(\bar{T})^3 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\text{curl}, T}^k$ is such that, for all $\mathbf{v} \in C^0(\bar{T})^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{curl}, T}^k \mathbf{v} &:= \\ & \left(\pi_{\mathcal{R}, T}^{k-1} \mathbf{v} + \pi_{\mathcal{R}, T}^{\perp, k} \mathbf{v}, \left(\pi_{\mathcal{R}, F}^{k-1} (\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F)) + \pi_{\mathcal{R}, F}^{\perp, k} (\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F)) \right)_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T}, \left(\pi_{\mathcal{P}, E}^k (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}_E) \right)_{E \in \mathcal{E}_T} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

We remind the reader that $\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F)$ is the orthogonal projection of \mathbf{v} on the plane spanned by F . The restriction of $\underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\text{curl}, T}^k$ to the boundary of T is

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\text{curl}, \partial T}^k &:= \left\{ \underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\partial T} := ((\mathbf{v}_F = \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R}, F} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R}, F}^\perp)_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T}, v_{\partial^2 T}) : \right. \\ & \quad \left. (\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R}, F}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R}, F}^\perp) \in \mathcal{R}^{k-1}(F) \times \mathcal{R}^k(F)^\perp \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{F}_T \text{ and } v_{\partial^2 T} \in \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_T) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 14 (Relation with two-dimensional spaces). The restriction of an element $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \in \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\text{curl}, T}^k$ to a face $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$ defines an element $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_F := (\mathbf{v}_F, (v_{\partial^2 T})|_{\partial F}) \in \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\text{rot}, F}^k$. Conversely, gluing together a family $(\underline{\mathbf{v}}_F)_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T}$ with $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_F = (\mathbf{v}_F, v_{\partial F}) \in \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\text{rot}, F}^k$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$ defines an element of $\underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\text{curl}, \partial T}^k$ provided that the edge values coincide: For any F_1, F_2 faces of T sharing an edge E , $(v_{\partial F_1})|_E = (v_{\partial F_2})|_E$.

4.1.3 Discrete $\mathbf{H}(\text{div}; T)$ space

Finally, the discrete counterpart of the space $\mathbf{H}(\text{div}; T)$ is

$$\underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\text{div}, T}^k := \left(\mathcal{G}^{k-1}(T) \oplus \mathcal{G}^k(T)^\perp \right) \times \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{F}_T), \quad (4.5)$$

with a generic vector $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \in \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\text{div}, T}^k$ decomposed as

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T := (\mathbf{v}_T = \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{G}, T} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{G}, T}^\perp, v_{\partial T}) \text{ with } (\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{G}, T}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{G}, T}^\perp) \in \mathcal{G}^{k-1}(T) \times \mathcal{G}^k(T)^\perp \text{ and } v_{\partial T} \in \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{F}_T).$$

The interpolator $\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{div}, T}^k : C^0(\bar{T})^3 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\text{div}, T}^k$ is such that, for all $\mathbf{v} \in C^0(\bar{T})^3$,

$$\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{div}, T}^k \mathbf{v} := \left(\pi_{\mathcal{G}, T}^{k-1} \mathbf{v} + \pi_{\mathcal{G}, T}^{\perp, k} \mathbf{v}, \left(\pi_{\mathcal{P}, F}^k (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_F) \right)_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \right). \quad (4.6)$$

4.2 Three-dimensional vector operators reconstructions

4.2.1 Gradient

The three-dimensional full gradient operator $\mathbf{G}_T^k : \underline{X}_{\text{grad},T}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^k(T)^3$ is defined such that, for all $\underline{q}_T \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad},T}^k$:

$$\int_T \mathbf{G}_T^k \underline{q}_T \cdot \mathbf{v}_T = - \int_T q_T \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \gamma_F^{k+1} \underline{q}_{\partial T} (\mathbf{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{n}_F) \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_T \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)^3, \quad (4.7)$$

where $(\gamma_F^{k+1} : \underline{X}_{\text{grad},\partial T}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F))_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T}$ is a family of trace reconstruction operators such that, for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$, γ_F^{k+1} only depends on the unknowns on F and satisfies the polynomial consistency and projection properties (3.32).

The discrete gradient operator $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_T^k : \underline{X}_{\text{grad},T}^k \rightarrow \underline{X}_{\text{curl},T}^k$ is defined by projecting \mathbf{G}_T^k onto $\mathcal{R}^{k-1}(T) \oplus \mathcal{R}^k(T)^\perp$, and by completing with face and edge components obtained from the two-dimensional discrete gradient operators. Specifically, for all $\underline{q}_T \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad},T}^k$, we let

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathbf{G}}_T^k \underline{q}_T &:= (\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},T}^{k-1} \underline{q}_T + \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},T}^{\perp,k} \underline{q}_T, (\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1} \underline{q}_T + \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} \underline{q}_T)_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T}, (G_E^k \underline{q}_T)_{E \in \mathcal{E}_T}), \\ &\text{with } \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},T}^{k-1} := \pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^{k-1} \mathbf{G}_T^k, \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},T}^{\perp,k} := \pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^{\perp,k} \mathbf{G}_T^k, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

and $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1}$, $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k}$, and G_E^k formally defined by (3.22) and (3.3), respectively.

Accounting for the fact that the face and edge gradients depend only on boundary unknowns, with a little abuse of notation we will use the same symbols for the operators obtained by restricting their domain: $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1} : \underline{X}_{\text{grad},\partial T}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{k-1}(F)$, $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} : \underline{X}_{\text{grad},\partial T}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^k(F)^\perp$, $G_E^k : \underline{X}_{\text{grad},\partial T}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^k(E)$, and $G_E^k : \underline{X}_{\text{grad},\partial^2 T}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^k(E)$.

4.2.2 Curl

The full curl operator $\mathbf{C}_T^k : \underline{X}_{\text{curl},T}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^k(T)^3$ is defined such that, for all $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \in \underline{X}_{\text{curl},T}^k$,

$$\int_T \mathbf{C}_T^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \cdot \mathbf{w}_T = \int_T \mathbf{v}_T \cdot \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{w}_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \gamma_{t,F}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\partial T} \cdot (\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F) \quad \forall \mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)^3, \quad (4.9)$$

where $(\gamma_{t,F}^k : \underline{X}_{\text{curl},\partial T}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^k(F)^2)_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T}$ is the family of tangential trace reconstruction operators such that, for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$, $\gamma_{t,F}^k$ is formally defined by (3.33). The discrete curl operator $\underline{\mathbf{C}}_T^k : \underline{X}_{\text{curl},T}^k \rightarrow \underline{X}_{\text{div},T}^k$ is obtained projecting \mathbf{C}_T^k onto $\mathcal{G}^{k-1}(T) \oplus \mathcal{G}^k(T)^\perp$ and completing using the face curl operators: For all $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \in \underline{X}_{\text{curl},T}^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathbf{C}}_T^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T &:= (\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1} \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T + \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{\perp,k} \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T, (C_F^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T)_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T}) \text{ with } \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1} := \pi_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1} \mathbf{C}_T^k, \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{\perp,k} := \pi_{\mathcal{G},T}^{\perp,k} \mathbf{C}_T^k, \\ &\text{and } C_F^k : \underline{X}_{\text{curl},T}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^k(F) \text{ formally defined by (3.24).} \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

4.2.3 Divergence

The discrete and full divergence operators are both equal to $D_T^k : \underline{X}_{\text{div},T}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^k(T)$ defined such that, for all $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \in \underline{X}_{\text{div},T}^k$,

$$\int_T D_T^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T q_T = - \int_T \mathbf{v}_T \cdot \operatorname{grad} q_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F v_F q_T \quad \forall q_T \in \mathcal{P}^k(T). \quad (4.11)$$

		k	V	E	F	T	Total
$\underline{X}_{\text{grad},T}^k$	$(\mathcal{P}^{k+1}(T))$	0	1 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (4)
		1	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (0)	1 (0)	15 (10)
		2	1 (1)	2 (2)	3 (1)	4 (0)	32 (20)
		3	1 (1)	3 (3)	6 (3)	10 (1)	56 (35)
$\underline{X}_{\text{curl},T}^k$	$(\mathcal{N}^k(T))$	0		1 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	6 (6)
		1		2 (2)	3 (2)	4 (0)	28 (20)
		2		3 (3)	8 (6)	15 (3)	65 (45)
		3		4 (4)	15 (12)	36 (12)	120 (84)
$\underline{X}_{\text{div},T}^k$	$(\mathcal{RT}^k(T))$	0			1 (1)	0 (0)	4 (4)
		1			3 (3)	6 (3)	18 (15)
		2			6 (6)	20 (12)	44 (36)
		3			10 (10)	45 (30)	85 (70)
$\mathcal{P}^k(T)$	$(\mathcal{P}^k(T))$	0				1 (1)	1 (1)
		1				4 (4)	4 (4)
		2				10 (10)	10 (10)
		3				20 (20)	20 (20)

Table 2: Number of discrete unknowns attached to each geometric entity for the three-dimensional sequence (4.12) on a tetrahedron T for $k \in \{0, \dots, 3\}$. For comparison, we also report in parentheses the number of degrees of freedom of the corresponding spaces in the FE sequence $(\mathcal{P}^{k+1}(T), \mathcal{N}^k(T), \mathcal{RT}^k(T), \mathcal{P}^k(T))$.

4.3 Exactness of the three-dimensional sequence

The goal of this section is to prove the following exactness result.

Theorem 15 (Exact three-dimensional sequence). *The following sequence is exact:*

$$\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{I_{\text{grad},T}^k} \underline{X}_{\text{grad},T}^k \xrightarrow{G_T^k} \underline{X}_{\text{curl},T}^k \xrightarrow{C_T^k} \underline{X}_{\text{div},T}^k \xrightarrow{D_T^k} \mathcal{P}^k(T) \xrightarrow{0} \{0\}. \quad (4.12)$$

Remark 16 (Comparison with Finite Elements). When T is a tetrahedron, the sequence (4.12) can be compared with the usual FE sequence $(\mathbb{P}^{k+1}, \mathcal{N}^k(T), \mathcal{RT}^k(T), \mathbb{P}^k)$ (with $\mathcal{N}^k(T)$ and $\mathcal{RT}^k(T)$ denoting the usual three-dimensional edge and face spaces of [43], whose definitions are respectively recalled in (4.18) and (4.40) below). The corresponding number of discrete unknowns is reported in Table 2. Similar considerations as for the two-dimensional case hold; see Remark 8.

4.3.1 Preliminary results

We establish first a few properties on the discrete operators that will be useful during the proof of Theorem 15. We start by noticing the following relations:

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{TF} \omega_{FE} a_E = 0 \quad \forall (a_E)_{E \in \mathcal{E}_T} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{E}_T}, \quad (4.13)$$

$$(\mathbf{z} \times \mathbf{n}_F) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{FE} = \mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{t}_E \quad \forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_T, \forall E \in \mathcal{E}_F. \quad (4.14)$$

Relation (4.13) follows from the fact that, after rearranging the sum over the edges, each a_E appears in factor of the quantity in the left-hand side of (2.1). Equation (4.14) is a direct consequence of $(\mathbf{z} \times \mathbf{n}_F) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{FE} = -\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{n}_F \times \mathbf{n}_{FE})$ together with the fact that $(\mathbf{t}_E, \mathbf{n}_{FE}, \mathbf{n}_F)$ is a right-handed system of coordinates.

Lemma 17 (Properties of the gradient operator). *It holds:*

$$\mathbf{G}_T^k(I_{\underline{\text{grad}},T}^k q) = \mathbf{grad} q \quad \forall q \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(T), \quad (4.15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},T}^{k-1} q_{\underline{T}} \cdot \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T &= \int_T \mathbf{G}_T^k q_{\underline{T}} \cdot \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T = - \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \mathbf{G}_F^k q_{\underline{F}} \cdot (\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F) \\ &\quad \forall q_{\underline{T}} \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad},T}^k, \forall \mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)^3. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Remark 18 (Properties (4.15)–(4.16)). The relation (4.15) states a consistency property on the full gradient reconstruction, while (4.16) provides a link between volume and face gradients.

Proof of Lemma 17. 1. Proof of (4.15). Let $q \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(T)$ and apply the definition (4.7) of \mathbf{G}_T^k to $q_{\underline{T}} := I_{\underline{\text{grad}},T}^k q$. Using the definition (4.2) of $I_{\underline{\text{grad}},T}^k$ together with the consistency (3.32a) of each γ_F^{k+1} , this gives, for all $\mathbf{v}_T \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)^3$,

$$\int_T \mathbf{G}_T^k(I_{\underline{\text{grad}},T}^k q) \cdot \mathbf{v}_T = - \int_T \pi_{\mathcal{P},T}^{k-1} q \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F q (\mathbf{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{n}_F) = \int_T \mathbf{grad} q \cdot \mathbf{v}_T,$$

where the second equality is obtained removing the projector $\pi_{\mathcal{P},T}^{k-1}$ (since $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_T \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(T)$) and using the integration by parts formula (2.11). Since both $\mathbf{G}_T^k(I_{\underline{\text{grad}},T}^k q)$ and $\mathbf{grad} q$ belong to $\mathcal{P}^k(T)^3$, this relation establishes (4.15).

2. Proof of (4.16). The first equality is a straightforward consequence of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},T}^{k-1} = \pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^{k-1} \mathbf{G}_T^k$ (see (4.8)) and of $\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{R}^{k-1}(T)$. To prove the second equality, apply the definition (4.7) of \mathbf{G}_T^k to $\mathbf{v}_T = \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(T)^3$ and introduce, using its definition, the projector $\pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^{k-1}$ in each boundary integral to get

$$\int_T \mathbf{G}_T^k q_{\underline{T}} \cdot \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T = - \int_T q_T \operatorname{div} \mathbf{curl} \overline{\mathbf{w}_T} + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^{k-1} (\gamma_F^{k+1} q_{\underline{\partial T}}) (\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T \cdot \mathbf{n}_F).$$

Using the projection property (3.32b) of γ_F^{k+1} and the identity (2.7), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T \mathbf{G}_T^k q_{\underline{T}} \cdot \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T &= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F q_F \operatorname{div}_F (\mathbf{w}_{T|F} \times \mathbf{n}_F) \\ &= - \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \mathbf{G}_F^k q_{\underline{F}} \cdot (\mathbf{w}_{T|F} \times \mathbf{n}_F) + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{TF} \omega_{FE} \int_E q_E (\mathbf{w}_{T|F} \times \mathbf{n}_F) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{FE}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where the second equality follows applying the definition (3.2) of \mathbf{G}_F^k to $\mathbf{w}_F := \mathbf{w}_{T|F} \times \mathbf{n}_F$. Using (4.14) we have $(\mathbf{w}_{T|F} \times \mathbf{n}_F) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{FE} = \mathbf{w}_T \cdot \mathbf{t}_E$ on each $E \in \mathcal{E}_T$, and the double sum in (4.17) therefore vanishes owing to (4.13) with $a_E = \int_E q_E (\mathbf{w}_T \cdot \mathbf{t}_E)$. The proof of the second equality in (4.16) is complete. \square

The following Nédélec space, in which $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_T := \frac{1}{|T|} \int_T \mathbf{x} \, d\mathbf{x}$ denotes the centroid of T , will be useful to formulate a commutation property for \mathbf{C}_T^k :

$$\mathcal{N}^k(T) := \mathcal{P}^k(T)^3 + (\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_T) \times \mathcal{P}^k(T)^3. \quad (4.18)$$

Lemma 19 (Properties of the curl operator). *It holds:*

$$\mathbf{C}_T^k(\mathbf{I}_{\text{curl},T}^k \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{N}^k(T), \quad (4.19)$$

$$\int_T \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1} \mathbf{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{w}_T = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \mathbf{v}_F \cdot (\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F) \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_T \in \underline{\mathcal{X}}_{\text{curl},T}^k, \forall \mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{G}^{k-1}(T), \quad (4.20)$$

$$\int_T \mathbf{C}_T^k \mathbf{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{grad} r_T = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \mathbf{C}_F^k \mathbf{v}_{\partial T} r_T \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_T \in \underline{\mathcal{X}}_{\text{curl},T}^k, \forall r_T \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(T), \quad (4.21)$$

$$\int_T \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1} \mathbf{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{grad} r_T = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \mathbf{C}_F^k \mathbf{v}_{\partial T} r_T \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_T \in \underline{\mathcal{X}}_{\text{curl},T}^k, \forall r_T \in \mathcal{P}^k(T). \quad (4.22)$$

Remark 20 (Properties (4.19)–(4.22)). Equation (4.19) states a polynomial consistency property for \mathbf{C}_T^k , (4.20) is a characterisation of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1}$, and (4.21)–(4.22) provide links between volume and face curls.

Proof of Lemma 19. 1. Proof of (4.19). Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{N}^k(T)$ and set $\mathbf{v}_T := \mathbf{I}_{\text{curl},T}^k \mathbf{v}$. The function \mathbf{v} belongs to $\mathcal{P}^{k+1}(T)^3$ and thus $\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F) \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F)^2$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$. As a consequence, $\text{rot}_F(\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F)) \in \mathcal{P}^k(F)$. Write now $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} + (\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_T) \times \mathbf{z}$ with $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)^3$, take $E \in \mathcal{E}_F$, and denote by $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_E$ the midpoint of E . For all $\mathbf{x} \in E$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) \times \mathbf{n}_F)) \cdot \mathbf{t}_E &= \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{t}_E = \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{t}_E + ((\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_T) \times \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{x})) \cdot \mathbf{t}_E \\ &= \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{t}_E + \underbrace{((\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_E) \times \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{x})) \cdot \mathbf{t}_E}_{=0} + ((\bar{\mathbf{x}}_E - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_T) \times \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{x})) \cdot \mathbf{t}_E, \end{aligned}$$

the first equality coming from the fact that $\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) \times \mathbf{n}_F)$ is the projection of $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$ on the plane spanned by F , and the cancellation in the second line being justified by the fact that $\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_E$ is parallel to \mathbf{t}_E . Since both \mathbf{w} and \mathbf{z} are polynomials of degree $\leq k$, this proves that $(\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F)) \cdot \mathbf{t}_E \in \mathcal{P}^k(E)$. We have thus shown that $\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F)$ satisfies the assumptions in Proposition 11 and, noticing that $\mathbf{v}_{\partial T}$ is given on each face $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$ by $\mathbf{I}_{\text{rot},F}^k(\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F))$, we infer that

$$\mathbf{v}_{\partial T}^k = \pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^k(\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F)) \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_T. \quad (4.23)$$

By definition of $\mathbf{I}_{\text{curl},T}^k$, we have $\mathbf{v}_T = \pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^{k-1} \mathbf{v} + \pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^{\perp,k} \mathbf{v}$, and thus, for any $\mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)^3$,

$$\int_T \mathbf{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T = \int_T (\pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^{k-1} \mathbf{v} + \pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^{\perp,k} \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T = \int_T \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T,$$

where we have removed $\pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^{k-1}$ using its definition, and $\pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^{\perp,k}$ using its L^2 -orthogonality to $\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{R}^{k-1}(T) \subset \mathcal{R}^k(T)$. Hence, applying the definition (4.9) of \mathbf{C}_T^k and using (4.23), we obtain, for all $\mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T \mathbf{C}_T^k(\mathbf{I}_{\text{curl},T}^k \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w}_T &= \int_T \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^k(\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F)) \cdot (\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F) \\ &= \int_T \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F (\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F)) \cdot (\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F) \\ &= \int_T \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_T, \end{aligned}$$

where the second line follows from $\mathbf{w}_T|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F \in \mathcal{P}^k(F)^2$, and the conclusion is a consequence of the integration by parts formula (2.14). Since both $\mathbf{C}_T^k(\mathbf{I}_{\text{curl},T}^k \mathbf{v})$ and $\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v}$ belong to $\mathcal{P}^k(T)^3$, this concludes

the proof of (4.19).

2. Proof of (4.20). Recalling that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1} = \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1} \mathbf{C}_T^k$ (see (4.10)) and using the definition (4.9) of \mathbf{C}_T^k we infer, for all $\mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{G}^{k-1}(T)$,

$$\int_T \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1} \mathbf{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{w}_T = \int_T \mathbf{C}_T^k \mathbf{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{w}_T = \int_T \mathbf{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{curl} \overline{\mathbf{w}_T} + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\iota,F}^k \mathbf{v}_{\partial T} \cdot (\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F),$$

the cancellation coming from the vector calculus identity $\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{grad} = \mathbf{0}$. Let now $r_T \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)$ be such that $\mathbf{w}_T = \mathbf{grad} r_T$. Then the identity (2.6) yields $\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F = \mathbf{rot}_F r_{T|F}$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1} \mathbf{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{w}_T &= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\iota,F}^k \mathbf{v}_{\partial T} \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_{T|F} \\ &= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \left(\int_F C_F^k \mathbf{v}_{\partial T} r_{T|F} + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E v_{\partial^2 T} r_{T|F} \right) \\ &= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \mathbf{v}_F \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_{T|F}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second line follows from the definition (3.33a) of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\iota,F}^k$ applied to $r_F = r_{T|F} \in \mathcal{P}^k(F) \subset \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F)$ (see also Remark 10) and the third line is a consequence of the definition (3.24) of C_F^k with the same r_F (together with the definition $\mathbf{v}_F = \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F}^\perp$ and the L^2 -orthogonality of $\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F}^\perp$ and $\mathbf{rot}_F r_F$). The relation (4.20) follows by recalling that $\mathbf{rot}_F r_{T|F} = \mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F$.

3. Proof of (4.21)–(4.22). Let $\mathbf{v}_T \in \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{curl},T}^k$ and $r_T \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(T)$. Writing the definition (4.9) of \mathbf{C}_T^k with $\mathbf{w}_T = \mathbf{grad} r_T$, observing that $\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{grad} r_T = \mathbf{0}$, and using the identity (2.6), we infer

$$\int_T \mathbf{C}_T^k \mathbf{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{grad} r_T = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\iota,F}^k \mathbf{v}_{\partial T} \cdot \mathbf{rot}_F r_{T|F}.$$

We continue using, for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$, the definition (3.33a) of the tangential trace reconstruction with $r_F = r_{T|F} \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(F)$ (see also Remark 10) to write

$$\int_T \mathbf{C}_T^k \mathbf{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{grad} r_T = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F C_F^k \mathbf{v}_{\partial T} r_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E v_E r_T,$$

where, to cancel the rightmost term, we have used (4.13) with $a_E = \int_E v_E r_T$. This proves (4.21). The relation (4.22) is obtained applying (4.21) with $r_T \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)$ and using $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1} = \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1} \mathbf{C}_T^k$. \square

Lemma 21 (Surjectivity of the boundary curl). *Let $v_{\partial T} = (v_F)_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \in \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{F}_T)$ be such that*

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F v_F = 0. \quad (4.24)$$

Then, there exists $\mathbf{z}_{\partial T} \in \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{curl},\partial T}^k$ such that $C_F^k \mathbf{z}_{\partial T} = v_F$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$.

Remark 22. The condition (4.24) is also necessary for the conclusion of the lemma to hold.

Proof of Lemma 21. By the exactness in two dimensions (cf. Theorem 7), for each $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$ there is $\underline{\mathbf{w}}_F \in \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{rot},F}^k$ such that $C_F^k \underline{\mathbf{w}}_F = v_F$. Following Remark 14, a vector $\mathbf{z}_{\partial T} \in \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{curl},\partial T}^k$ can be defined by gluing together the vectors $(\underline{\mathbf{w}}_F)_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T}$ if their edge values coincide. The idea of the proof is to add to

each \underline{w}_F a vector $\underline{y}_F \in \underline{X}_{\text{rot},F}^k$ such that $C_F^k(\underline{w}_F + \underline{y}_F) = v_F$ and the edge values of $(\underline{w}_F + \underline{y}_F)_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T}$ coincide; by gluing these vectors together, we obtain $\underline{z}_{\partial T} \in \underline{X}_{\text{curl},\partial T}^k$ such that $C_F^k \underline{z}_{\partial T} = v_F$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$.

To ensure the relation $C_F^k(\underline{w}_F + \underline{y}_F) = v_F$, we have to look for \underline{y}_F in $\text{Ker } C_F^k$, that is, owing to Theorem 7, $\underline{y}_F = \underline{G}_F^k \underline{q}_F$ for some $\underline{q}_F = (q_F, q_{\partial F}) \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad},F}^k$. The other condition on \underline{y}_F only concerns its boundary values $y_{\partial F} = G_{\partial F}^k q_{\partial F}$ (which means that the component q_F of \underline{q}_F is irrelevant to our purpose and can be set to 0), and is written:

$$(\underline{w}_{F_1})_E + (y_{\partial F_1})|_E = (\underline{w}_{F_2})_E + (y_{\partial F_2})|_E \text{ for all } E \in \mathcal{E}_T \text{ with } \mathcal{F}_E = \{F_1, F_2\}, \quad (4.25)$$

where \mathcal{F}_E denotes the set containing the two faces of T that share E . By Proposition 2, in order for $y_{\partial F} \in \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_F)$ to be represented as $G_{\partial F}^k q_{\partial F}$, it needs to verify

$$\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E y_{\partial F} = 0. \quad (4.26)$$

We are therefore reduced to finding, for each $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$, $y_{\partial F} \in \mathcal{P}^k(\mathcal{E}_F)$ such that (4.25) and (4.26) hold.

Let us set, for $E \in \mathcal{E}_T$ with $\mathcal{F}_E = \{F_1, F_2\}$, $r_E := \frac{1}{2} ((y_{\partial F_1})|_E + (y_{\partial F_2})|_E)$. We also set, for $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$ and $E \in \mathcal{E}_F$, $W_{FE} := \frac{1}{2} ((\underline{w}_{F'})_E - (\underline{w}_F)_E)$, where F' is the other face of T that shares E with F . Then, (4.25) is equivalent to

$$(y_{\partial F})|_E = r_E + W_{FE} \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_T, \forall E \in \mathcal{E}_F. \quad (4.27)$$

Using this expression, we obtain the following equivalent reformulation of (4.26):

$$\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E r_E = - \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E W_{FE}. \quad (4.28)$$

We thus have to find $(r_E)_{E \in \mathcal{E}_T}$ such that each r_E belongs to $\mathcal{P}^k(E)$ and (4.28) holds for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$. Defining then $(y_{\partial F})_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T}$ by (4.27), we obtain a family that satisfies (4.25) and (4.26) for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$. The relation (4.28) only involves the integral of r_E over E , and we can therefore limit our search to constant polynomials $r_E \in \mathbb{R}$, in which case (4.28) is recast, after multiplying by ω_{TF} , as

$$\omega_{TF} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} |E| r_E = - \omega_{TF} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E W_{FE} \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_T. \quad (4.29)$$

This is a linear system of size $\text{card}(\mathcal{F}_T) \times \text{card}(\mathcal{E}_T)$ in the unknowns $(r_E)_{E \in \mathcal{E}_T} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{E}_T}$. Denoting by A its matrix, this system has a solution if and only if its right-hand side belongs to $\text{Im } A = (\text{Ker } A^t)^\perp$, with A^t denoting the transpose of A through the standard dot products of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{F}_T}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{E}_T}$. It is easy to check that A^t corresponds to the mapping

$$\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{F}_T} \ni (\xi_F)_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \mapsto \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_E} \omega_{TF} \omega_{FE} \xi_F \right)_{E \in \mathcal{E}_T} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{E}_T}.$$

Invoking (2.1) we see that $(\xi_F)_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \in \text{Ker } A^t$ if and only if $\xi_{F_1} = \xi_{F_2}$ whenever $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_T$ share a common edge. Working from neighbouring face to neighbouring face, this shows that the vectors in $\text{Ker } A^t$ are those with all components equal. Hence, the right-hand side of (4.29) belongs to $(\text{Ker } A^t)^\perp$ if and only if it is orthogonal to the vector with all components equal to 1, which translates into

$$0 = - \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{TF} \omega_{FE} \int_E W_{FE}.$$

Gathering by edges and using (2.1), this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= - \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_T, \mathcal{F}_E = \{F_1, F_2\}} \omega_{TF_1} \omega_{F_1 E} \int_E (W_{F_1 E} - W_{F_2 E}) \\
&= - \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_T, \mathcal{F}_E = \{F_1, F_2\}} \omega_{TF_1} \omega_{F_1 E} \int_E \frac{1}{2} \left[(\underline{\mathbf{w}}_{F_2})_E - (\underline{\mathbf{w}}_{F_1})_E - (\underline{\mathbf{w}}_{F_1})_E + (\underline{\mathbf{w}}_{F_2})_E \right] \\
&= \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_T, \mathcal{F}_E = \{F_1, F_2\}} \left(\omega_{TF_1} \omega_{F_1 E} \int_E (\underline{\mathbf{w}}_{F_1})_E + \omega_{TF_2} \omega_{F_2 E} \int_E (\underline{\mathbf{w}}_{F_2})_E \right) \\
&= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E (\underline{\mathbf{w}}_F)_E, \tag{4.30}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the definitions of $W_{F_1 E}$ and $W_{F_2 E}$ in the second line, invoked again (2.1) in the third line, and concluded gathering back by faces. Using $r_F = 1$ in the definition (3.24) of $C_F^k \underline{\mathbf{w}}_F = v_F$, we see that

$$\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E (\underline{\mathbf{w}}_F)_E = - \int_F v_F,$$

and the solvability condition (4.30) of the system (4.29) is thus equivalent to (4.24). Hence, (4.29) has at least one solution $(r_E)_{E \in \mathcal{E}_T}$ and the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 23 (Commutation property for D_T^k). *It holds*

$$D_T^k(\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{div}, T}^k \mathbf{v}) = \pi_{\mathcal{P}, T}^k(\text{div } \mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in H^1(T)^3. \tag{4.31}$$

Proof. Setting $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T := \underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{div}, T}^k \mathbf{v}$, we have $\mathbf{v}_T = \pi_{\mathcal{G}, T}^{k-1} \mathbf{v} + \pi_{\mathcal{G}, T}^{+, k} \mathbf{v}$ and thus, for all $q_T \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)$, by definition of these projectors and since $\mathbf{grad } q_T \in \mathcal{G}^{k-1}(T)$,

$$\int_T \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \cdot \mathbf{grad } q_T = \int_T \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{grad } q_T.$$

Since $v_F = \pi_{\mathcal{P}, F}^k(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_F)$ and $(q_T)|_F \in \mathcal{P}^k(F)$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$, the definition (4.11) of $D_T^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T$ therefore shows that

$$\int_T D_T^k(\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{div}, T}^k \mathbf{v}) q_T = - \int_T \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{grad } q_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_F) q_T = \int_T \text{div } \mathbf{v} q_T,$$

where the conclusion follows from the integration by parts formula (2.11). Since this relation holds for all $q_T \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)$, it proves (4.31). \square

4.3.2 Proof of the exactness of the three-dimensional sequence

Proof of Theorem 15. We have to prove that

$$\text{Ker } \underline{\mathbf{G}}_T^k = \underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{grad}, T}^k \mathbb{R}, \tag{4.32}$$

$$\text{Ker } \underline{\mathbf{C}}_T^k = \text{Im } \underline{\mathbf{G}}_T^k, \tag{4.33}$$

$$\text{Ker } D_T^k = \text{Im } \underline{\mathbf{C}}_T^k, \tag{4.34}$$

$$\text{Im } D_T^k = \mathcal{P}^k(T). \tag{4.35}$$

1. *Proof of (4.32).* By the consistency properties of the boundary and volume gradients (see (3.6), (3.5) and (4.15)), it holds that $G_E^k(\underline{I}_{\text{grad},\partial T}^k C) = 0$, $\mathbf{G}_F^k(\underline{I}_{\text{grad},\partial T}^k C) = \mathbf{0}$, and $\mathbf{G}_T^k(\underline{I}_{\text{grad},T}^k C) = \mathbf{0}$ for all $C \in \mathbb{R}$, proving by definition (4.8) of $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_T^k$ that

$$\underline{I}_{\text{grad},T}^k \mathbb{R} \subset \text{Ker } \underline{\mathbf{G}}_T^k.$$

Let us prove the converse inclusion, i.e.,

$$\text{Ker } \underline{\mathbf{G}}_T^k \subset \underline{I}_{\text{grad},T}^k \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.36)$$

Let $\underline{q}_T \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad},T}^k$ be such that $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_T^k \underline{q}_T = \mathbf{0}$. Then, $\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_{\partial T} = \mathbf{0}$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$ and thus, recalling the two-dimensional exactness proved in Theorem 7 and accounting for the single-valuedness of vertex and edge unknowns, there exists $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\underline{q}_{\partial T} = \underline{I}_{\text{grad},\partial T}^k C$. Therefore, it only remains to prove that $\underline{q}_T = C$. Enforcing $\mathbf{G}_T^{\perp,k} \underline{q}_T = \mathbf{0}$ and using $\mathbf{G}_T^{\perp,k} = \pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^{\perp,k} \mathbf{G}_T^k$ (cf. (4.8)) together with the definition (4.7) of \mathbf{G}_T^k and the fact that $\gamma_F^{k+1} \underline{q}_{\partial T} = \gamma_F^{k+1}(\underline{I}_{\text{grad},\partial T}^k C) = C$ by the polynomial consistency (3.32a) of this trace reconstruction operator, we infer, for all $\mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{R}^k(T)^\perp$,

$$0 = \int_T \mathbf{G}_T^{\perp,k} \underline{q}_T \cdot \mathbf{w}_T = \int_T \mathbf{G}_T^k \underline{q}_T \cdot \mathbf{w}_T = - \int_T \underline{q}_T \text{div } \mathbf{w}_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F C(\mathbf{w}_T \cdot \mathbf{n}_F) = \int_F (\underline{q}_T - C) \text{div } \mathbf{w}_T,$$

where we have used the integration by parts formula (2.11) with C instead of \underline{q}_T to conclude. Since $\text{div} : \mathcal{R}^k(T)^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(T)$ is surjective by (2.9) and $\underline{q}_T \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(T)$, this implies $\underline{q}_T = \pi_{\mathcal{P},T}^{k-1} C$, thus proving (4.36).

2. *Proof of (4.33).* We start by proving that

$$\text{Im } \underline{\mathbf{G}}_T^k \subset \text{Ker } \underline{\mathbf{C}}_T^k, \quad (4.37)$$

that is $\underline{\mathbf{C}}_T^k(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_T^k \underline{q}_T) = \mathbf{0}$ for all $\underline{q}_T \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad},T}^k$. Theorem 7 implies $\mathbf{C}_F^k(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_T^k \underline{q}_T) = 0$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$. Let us prove that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1}(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_T^k \underline{q}_T) = \mathbf{0}$. From the characterisation (4.20) of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1}$ we infer, for all $\mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{G}^{k-1}(T)$,

$$\int_T \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1}(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_T^k \underline{q}_T) \cdot \mathbf{w}_T = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F (\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1} \underline{q}_T + \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} \underline{q}_T) \cdot (\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F).$$

Since $\mathbf{w}_T \in \mathbf{grad} \mathcal{P}^k(T)$, the relation (2.6) shows that $\mathbf{w}_T|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F \in \mathcal{R}^{k-1}(F) \subset \mathcal{R}^k(F)$ and thus, using $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} \underline{q}_T \in \mathcal{R}^k(F)^\perp$ and the relation (3.23a) with $\mathbf{w}_F = \mathbf{w}_T|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F$, we continue with

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1}(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_T^k \underline{q}_T) \cdot \mathbf{w}_T &= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1} \underline{q}_T \cdot (\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F) \\ &= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E q_E(\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{FE} \\ &= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E q_E(\mathbf{w}_T \cdot \mathbf{t}_E) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (4.14) to pass to the third line and (4.13) with $a_E = \int_E q_E(\mathbf{w}_T \cdot \mathbf{t}_E)$ to conclude. This implies $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1}(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_T^k \underline{q}_T) = \mathbf{0}$.

We next notice that it holds, for all $\mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{G}^k(T)^\perp$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{\perp,k}(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_T^k \underline{q}_T) \cdot \mathbf{w}_T &= \int_T (\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},T}^{k-1} \underline{q}_T + \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},T}^{\perp,k} \underline{q}_T) \cdot \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \gamma_{\mathfrak{t},F}^k(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_{\partial T}) \cdot (\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F) \\ &= \int_T \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},T}^{k-1} \underline{q}_T \cdot \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \mathbf{G}_F^k \underline{q}_{\partial T} \cdot (\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the definition (4.9) of \mathbf{C}_T^k together with $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{\perp,k} = \pi_{\mathcal{G},T}^{\perp,k} \mathbf{C}_T^k$ (cf. (4.10)) in the first line, the relation $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},T}^{\perp,k} = \pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^{\perp,k} \mathbf{G}_T^k$ (cf. (4.8)) together with $\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{R}^{k-1}(T)$ and the property (3.35) of the tangential trace reconstruction in the second line, and the link (4.16) between volume and face gradients to conclude. This proves (4.37).

We next prove the converse inclusion, that is,

$$\boxed{\text{Ker } \mathbf{C}_T^k \subset \text{Im } \mathbf{G}_T^k.} \quad (4.38)$$

This requires to show that, for all $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \in \underline{X}_{\mathbf{curl},T}^k$ such that $\mathbf{C}_T^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T = \mathbf{0}$, there exists $\underline{q}_T \in \underline{X}_{\mathbf{grad},T}^k$ such that $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T = \underline{\mathbf{G}}_T^k \underline{q}_T$. For all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$, enforcing $\mathbf{C}_F^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T = 0$ in (3.24) and taking $r_F = 1$, we see that $\sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_F} \omega_{FE} \int_E v_E = 0$. Hence, Proposition 2 provides $q_{\partial F} \in \mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(\partial F)$ such that $v_E = G_E^k q_{\partial F}$ for all $E \in \mathcal{E}_F$. Each function $q_{\partial F}$ for $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$ is defined up to an additive constant which, by the single-valuedness of $(v_E)_{E \in \mathcal{E}_T}$ across the faces, can be selected so as to form a continuous function $q_{\partial^2 T} \in \mathcal{P}_c^{k+1}(\partial^2 T)$ defined on the whole $\partial^2 T$, and such that $v_E = G_E^k q_{\partial^2 T}$ for all $E \in \mathcal{E}_T$.

We next proceed as in Point 3 of the proof of Proposition 6: first, to infer that, for any choice of $q_{\partial T} = (q_F)_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}_T)$, letting $\underline{q}_{\partial T} := (q_{\partial T}, q_{\partial^2 T})$, it holds $\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F} = \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{k-1} \underline{q}_{\partial T}$; then, to select a proper $q_{\partial T}$ such that $\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},F}^\perp = \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},F}^{\perp,k} \underline{q}_{\partial T}$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$. This proves that $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T = \underline{\mathbf{G}}_T^k \underline{q}_{\partial T}$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$.

Let us now show that, for any $q_T \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(T)$, setting $\underline{q}_T := (q_T, \underline{q}_{\partial T}) \in \underline{X}_{\mathbf{grad},T}^k$, it holds $\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},T} = \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},T}^{k-1} \underline{q}_T$. Applying the definition (4.9) of \mathbf{C}_T^k to an arbitrary $\mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{G}^k(T)^\perp$ and enforcing $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{\perp,k} \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T = \pi_{\mathcal{G},T}^{\perp,k}(\mathbf{C}_T^k \underline{q}_T)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T \mathbf{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T &= - \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \gamma_{\mathfrak{t},F}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\partial T} \cdot (\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F) \\ &= - \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \gamma_{\mathfrak{t},F}^k(\underline{\mathbf{G}}_F^k \underline{q}_{\partial T}) \cdot (\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F) \\ &= - \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \mathbf{G}_F^k \underline{q}_{\partial T} \cdot (\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F) \\ &= \int_T \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},T}^{k-1} \underline{q}_T \cdot \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the property (3.35) of the tangential trace reconstruction to pass to the third line and the link (4.16) between face and volume gradients to conclude. By (2.10), $\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T$ spans $\mathcal{R}^{k-1}(T)$ when \mathbf{w}_T spans $\mathcal{G}^k(T)^\perp$, and we therefore deduce that $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},T}^{k-1} \underline{q}_T = \pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^{k-1} \mathbf{v}_T = \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},T}$ as desired.

It only remains to prove the existence of $q_T \in \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(T)$ such that $\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},T}^\perp = \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},T}^{\perp,k} \underline{q}_T$. Recalling that $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{R},T}^{\perp,k} \underline{q}_T = \pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^{\perp,k}(\mathbf{G}_T^k \underline{q}_T)$ (see (4.8)) and applying the definition (4.7) of $\mathbf{G}_T^k \underline{q}_T$ to an arbitrary test function $\mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{R}^k(T)^\perp$, this requires the following condition to hold:

$$\int_T q_T \text{div} \mathbf{w}_T = - \int_T \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},T}^\perp \cdot \mathbf{w}_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \gamma_F^{k+1} \underline{q}_{\partial T} (\mathbf{w}_T \cdot \mathbf{n}_F) \quad \forall \mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{R}^k(T)^\perp,$$

which appropriately defines q_T since $\text{div} : \mathcal{R}^k(T)^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{k-1}(T)$ is an isomorphism by (2.9). This concludes the proof of (4.38).

3. Proof of (4.34). Let us start by proving that $D_T^k(\underline{C}_T^k \underline{v}_T) = 0$ for all $\underline{v}_T \in \underline{X}_{\text{curl},T}^k$, which implies

$$\boxed{\text{Im } \underline{C}_T^k \subset \text{Ker}(D_T^k)}.$$

For all $q_T \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)$, we have

$$\int_T D_T^k(\underline{C}_T^k \underline{v}_T) q_T = - \int_T (\underline{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1} \underline{v}_T + \cancel{\underline{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{\perp,k} \underline{v}_T}) \cdot \mathbf{grad} q_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \underline{C}_F^k \underline{v}_T q_T = 0,$$

where we have used the definition (4.11) of D_T^k in the first equality, the L^2 -orthogonality of $\underline{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{\perp,k} \underline{v}_T$ and $\mathbf{grad} q_T \in \mathcal{G}^{k-1}(T)$ in the cancellation, and we have concluded using the link (4.22) between volume and face curls. Since q_T is arbitrary in $\mathcal{P}^k(T)$, this shows that $D_T^k(\underline{C}_T^k \underline{v}_T) = 0$.

Let us now prove the inclusion

$$\boxed{\text{Ker}(D_T^k) \subset \text{Im } \underline{C}_T^k}. \quad (4.39)$$

We fix an element $\underline{v}_T \in \underline{X}_{\text{div},T}^k$ such that $D_T^k \underline{v}_T = 0$ and prove the existence of $\underline{z}_T \in \underline{X}_{\text{curl},T}^k$ such that $\underline{v}_T = \underline{C}_T^k \underline{z}_T$. Enforcing $D_T^k \underline{v}_T = 0$ in (4.11) with $q_T = 1$, we infer that $\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \underline{v}_F = 0$. Lemma 21 then provides $\underline{z}_{\partial T} \in \underline{X}_{\text{curl},\partial T}^k$ such that $\underline{v}_F = \underline{C}_F^k \underline{z}_{\partial T}$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$.

Enforcing again $D_T^k \underline{v}_T = 0$ in (4.11), this time for a generic test function $q_T \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)$, and accounting for the previous result, we can write, for all $\underline{z}_T \in \underline{X}_{\text{curl},T}^k$ whose boundary values are given by $\underline{z}_{\partial T}$,

$$\int_T \underline{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{grad} q_T = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \underline{C}_F^k \underline{z}_{\partial T} q_T = \int_T \underline{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1} \underline{z}_T \cdot \mathbf{grad} q_T,$$

where the conclusion follows from the relation (4.22) linking volume and face curls. Since $\mathbf{grad} q_T$ spans $\mathcal{G}^{k-1}(T)$ as q_T spans $\mathcal{P}^k(T)$, this proves that $\underline{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1} \underline{z}_T = \underline{\pi}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1} \underline{v}_T = \underline{v}_{\mathcal{G},T}$.

Finally, we show that, for some $\underline{z}_T \in \mathcal{R}^{k-1}(T)$, the vector $\underline{z}_T = (\underline{z}_T, \underline{z}_{\partial T}) \in \underline{X}_{\text{curl},T}^k$ satisfies $\underline{v}_{\mathcal{G},T}^\perp = \underline{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{\perp,k} \underline{z}_T$. Recalling the definition (4.9) of the full curl reconstruction and (4.10) to write $\underline{C}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{\perp,k} = \underline{\pi}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{\perp,k} \underline{C}_T^k$, this amounts to enforcing the following condition: For all $\underline{w}_T \in \mathcal{G}^k(T)^\perp$,

$$\int_T \underline{z}_T \cdot \mathbf{curl} \underline{w}_T = \int_T \underline{v}_{\mathcal{G},T}^\perp \cdot \underline{w}_T - \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \underline{\gamma}_{t,F}^k \underline{z}_{\partial T} \cdot (\underline{w}_T \times \underline{n}_F).$$

By (2.10), $\mathbf{curl} : \mathcal{G}^k(T)^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{k-1}(T)$ is an isomorphism and this relation therefore defines a unique $\underline{z}_T \in \mathcal{R}^{k-1}(T)$. This concludes the proof of (4.39).

4. Proof of (4.35). Let $q_T \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)$ and let us show the existence of $\underline{v}_T \in \underline{X}_{\text{div},T}^k$ such that $q_T = D_T^k \underline{v}_T$. By (2.9), there exists $\underline{v} \in \mathcal{R}^{k+1}(T)^\perp$ such that $\text{div } \underline{v} = q_T$. Using the polynomial consistency of $\underline{\pi}_{\mathcal{P},T}^k$ followed by the commutation property (4.31), we have $q_T = \text{div } \underline{v} = \underline{\pi}_{\mathcal{P},T}^k(\text{div } \underline{v}) = D_T^k(\underline{I}_{\text{div},T}^k \underline{v})$, which is the desired result with $\underline{v}_T = \underline{I}_{\text{div},T}^k \underline{v}$. \square

4.4 Commutative diagrams

In this section we prove commutative diagram properties for the discrete three-dimensional sequence. These commutative diagrams express, in a synthetic manner, crucial compatibility properties of the discrete three-dimensional sequence (4.12). To this end, we recall the definition (4.18) of the Nédélec space and we introduce the Raviart–Thomas–Nédélec space

$$\mathcal{RT}^k(T) := \mathcal{P}^k(T)^3 + (\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_T)\mathcal{P}^k(T). \quad (4.40)$$

Theorem 24 (Commutative diagrams). *Denoting by $i_T : \mathcal{P}^k(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^k(T)$ the identity operator, the following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(T) & \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} & \mathcal{N}^k(T) & \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} & \mathcal{RT}^k(T) & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & \mathcal{P}^k(T) \\ \downarrow \underline{I}_{\text{grad},T}^k & & \downarrow \underline{I}_{\text{curl},T}^k & & \downarrow \underline{I}_{\text{div},T}^k & & \downarrow i_T \\ \underline{X}_{\text{grad},T}^k & \xrightarrow{\underline{G}_T^k} & \underline{X}_{\text{curl},T}^k & \xrightarrow{\underline{C}_T^k} & \underline{X}_{\text{div},T}^k & \xrightarrow{D_T^k} & \mathcal{P}^k(T) \end{array} \quad (4.41)$$

Proof. Using the polynomial consistency properties (4.15) of \mathbf{G}_T^k , (3.5) of \mathbf{G}_F^k , and (3.6) of G_E^k we infer, for all $q \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(T)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_T^k(\underline{I}_{\text{grad},T}^k q) &= \text{grad } q, & \mathbf{G}_F^k(\underline{I}_{\text{grad},T}^k q) &= \text{grad}_F q|_F \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_T, \\ G_E^k(\underline{I}_{\text{grad},T}^k q) &= (q|_E)' \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{E}_T. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging these relations into the definition (4.8) of \underline{G}_T^k and recalling the definition (4.4) of $\underline{I}_{\text{curl},T}^k$ proves the leftmost commutative diagram in (4.41).

The commutation properties (4.19) of \mathbf{C}_T^k and (3.26) of C_F^k , together with $(\underline{I}_{\text{curl},T}^k \mathbf{v})_F = \underline{I}_{\text{rot},F}^k(\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F))$ give, for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{N}^k(T)$,

$$\mathbf{C}_T^k(\underline{I}_{\text{curl},T}^k \mathbf{v}) = \text{curl } \mathbf{v}, \quad C_F^k(\underline{I}_{\text{curl},T}^k \mathbf{v}) = \text{rot}_F(\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F)) = (\text{curl } \mathbf{v})|_F \cdot \mathbf{n}_F \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_T,$$

where we have additionally used the fact that $\text{rot}_F(\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F)) \in \mathcal{P}^k(F)$ and the identity (2.7). Plugging these relations into the definition (4.10) of \underline{C}_T^k and recalling the definition (4.6) of $\underline{I}_{\text{div},T}^k$ concludes the proof of the middle commutative diagram in (4.41).

Finally, the rightmost commutative diagram follows combining (4.31) and $i_T = \pi_{\mathcal{P},T}^k$ on $\mathcal{P}^k(T)$. \square

4.5 Three-dimensional potentials

4.5.1 Scalar potential

Starting from the full gradient (4.7) and scalar trace reconstructions $(\gamma_F^{k+1})_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T}$ satisfying the properties (3.32), we define a scalar potential reconstruction $P_{\text{grad},T}^{k+1} : \underline{X}_{\text{grad},T}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(T)$ as follows: For all $\underline{q}_T \in \underline{X}_{\text{grad},T}^k$,

$$\int_T P_{\text{grad},T}^{k+1} \underline{q}_T \text{div } \mathbf{v}_T = - \int_T \mathbf{G}_T^k \underline{q}_T \cdot \mathbf{v}_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \gamma_F^{k+1} \underline{q}_{\partial T} (\mathbf{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{n}_F) \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_T \in \mathcal{R}^{k+2}(T)^\perp. \quad (4.42)$$

This relation defines a unique $P_{\text{grad},T}^{k+1} \underline{q}_T$ since $\text{div} : \mathcal{R}^{k+2}(T)^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(T)$ is an isomorphism by (2.9). Combining the polynomial consistencies (4.15) of the full gradient and (3.32a) of the scalar trace reconstructions with the integration by parts formula (2.11), it is inferred that

$$P_{\text{grad},T}^{k+1}(\underline{I}_{\text{grad},T}^k q) = q \quad \forall q \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(T). \quad (4.43)$$

Notice that other choices are possible for a scalar potential reconstruction satisfying (4.43).

4.5.2 Vector potential on $\underline{X}_{\text{curl},T}^k$

A vector potential reconstruction $\mathbf{P}_{\text{curl},T}^k : \underline{X}_{\text{curl},T}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^k(T)^3$ is obtained as follows: For all $\underline{v}_T \in \underline{X}_{\text{curl},T}^k$,

$$\int_T \mathbf{P}_{\text{curl},T}^k \underline{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T = \int_T \mathbf{C}_T^k \underline{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{w}_T - \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \gamma_{\mathfrak{t},F}^k \underline{v}_{\partial T} \cdot (\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F) \quad \forall \mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{G}^{k+1}(T)^\perp, \quad (4.44a)$$

$$\int_T \mathbf{P}_{\text{curl},T}^k \underline{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{w}_T = \int_T \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{R},T}^\perp \cdot \mathbf{w}_T \quad \forall \mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{R}^k(T)^\perp. \quad (4.44b)$$

To check that these equations define a unique $\mathbf{P}_{\text{curl},T}^k \underline{v}_T \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)^3$, observe that (4.44a) and (4.44b) prescribe, respectively, $\pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^k(\mathbf{P}_{\text{curl},T}^k \underline{v}_T)$ (since $\mathbf{curl} : \mathcal{G}^{k+1}(T)^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^k(T)$ is an isomorphism, see (2.10)) and $\pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^{\perp,k}(\mathbf{P}_{\text{curl},T}^k \underline{v}_T)$, and recall the orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{P}^k(T)^3 = \mathcal{R}^k(T) \oplus \mathcal{R}^k(T)^\perp$.

Proposition 25 (Consistency of $\mathbf{P}_{\text{curl},T}^k$). *It holds*

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{curl},T}^k(\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{curl},T}^k \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)^3. \quad (4.45)$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)^3$. Applying (4.44a) to $\underline{v}_T = \underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{curl},T}^k \mathbf{v}$ and using the consistency properties (4.19) of \mathbf{C}_T^k and (3.34) of $\gamma_{\mathfrak{t},F}^k$ we obtain, for all $\mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{G}^{k+1}(T)^\perp$,

$$\int_T \mathbf{P}_{\text{curl},T}^k(\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{curl},T}^k \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}_T = \int_T \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}_T - \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^k(\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F)) \cdot (\mathbf{w}_T \times \mathbf{n}_F).$$

Since $\mathbf{n}_F \times (\mathbf{v}|_F \times \mathbf{n}_F) \in \mathcal{P}^k(F)^2$, the projector $\pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^k$ above can be removed and, invoking the integration by parts formula (2.14), it is inferred that $\pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^k(\mathbf{P}_{\text{curl},T}^k(\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{curl},T}^k \mathbf{v})) = \pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^k \mathbf{v}$. On the other hand, (4.44b) and the definition (4.4) of $\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{curl},T}^k$ readily imply $\pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^{\perp,k}(\mathbf{P}_{\text{curl},T}^k(\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{curl},T}^k \mathbf{v})) = \pi_{\mathcal{R},T}^{\perp,k} \mathbf{v}$. Recalling the orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{P}^k(T)^3 = \mathcal{R}^k(T) \oplus \mathcal{R}^k(T)^\perp$, the conclusion follows. \square

4.5.3 Vector potential on $\underline{X}_{\text{div},T}^k$

The vector potential in $\underline{X}_{\text{div},T}^k$ is $\mathbf{P}_{\text{div},T}^k : \underline{X}_{\text{div},T}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^k(T)^3$ such that, for all $\underline{v}_T \in \underline{X}_{\text{div},T}^k$,

$$\int_T \mathbf{P}_{\text{div},T}^k \underline{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{grad} q_T = - \int_T D_T^k \underline{v}_T q_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \nu_F q_T \quad \forall q_T \in \mathcal{P}^{0,k+1}(T), \quad (4.46a)$$

$$\int_T \mathbf{P}_{\text{div},T}^k \underline{v}_T \cdot \mathbf{w}_T = \int_T \mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{G},T}^\perp \cdot \mathbf{w}_T \quad \forall \mathbf{w}_T \in \mathcal{G}^k(T)^\perp. \quad (4.46b)$$

These equations prescribe, respectively, $\pi_{\mathcal{G},T}^k(\mathbf{P}_{\text{div},T}^k \underline{v}_T)$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{G},T}^{\perp,k}(\mathbf{P}_{\text{div},T}^k \underline{v}_T)$, hence $\mathbf{P}_{\text{div},T}^k \underline{v}_T$ by virtue of the orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{P}^k(T)^3 = \mathcal{G}^k(T) \oplus \mathcal{G}^k(T)^\perp$.

Proposition 26 (Consistency of $\mathbf{P}_{\text{div},T}^k$). *It holds:*

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{div},T}^k(\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{div},T}^k \mathbf{v}) = \pi_{\mathcal{P},T}^k \mathbf{v} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{RT}^k(T). \quad (4.47)$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{RT}^k(T)$ and set $\underline{v}_T = \underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{div},T}^k \mathbf{v}$. Recalling the commutation property (4.31) of D_T^k and the definition (4.6) of $\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{div},T}^k$, (4.46a) gives: For all $q_T \in \mathcal{P}^{0,k+1}(T)$,

$$\int_T \mathbf{P}_{\text{div},T}^k(\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{div},T}^k \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{grad} q_T = - \int_T \pi_{\mathcal{P},T}^k(\text{div} \mathbf{v}) q_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} \omega_{TF} \int_F \pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^k(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_F) q_T.$$

Since $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{RT}^k(T)$, we have $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)$ and $\mathbf{v}|_F \cdot \mathbf{n}_F \in \mathcal{P}^k(F)$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$ (this is a consequence of the definition (4.40) of the Raviart–Thomas space observing that, for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$, the mapping $F \ni \mathbf{x} \mapsto (\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_T) \cdot \mathbf{n}_F \in \mathbb{R}$ is constant); hence, the projectors can be removed from the right-hand side of the above expression. Invoking then the integration by parts formula (2.11), it is inferred that $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathcal{G},T}^k(\mathbf{P}_{\operatorname{div},T}^k(\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\operatorname{div},T}^k \mathbf{v})) = \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathcal{G},T}^k \mathbf{v}$. Equation (4.46b), on the other hand, readily implies $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{\perp,k}(\mathbf{P}_{\operatorname{div},T}^k(\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\operatorname{div},T}^k \mathbf{v})) = \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathcal{G},T}^{\perp,k} \mathbf{v}$. Combining these facts with the orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{P}^k(T)^3 = \mathcal{G}^k(T) \oplus \mathcal{G}^k(T)^\perp$, (4.47) follows. \square

4.6 Three-dimensional discrete L^2 -products

We next define discrete counterparts of the L^2 -products in $H^1(T)$, $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{curl}; T)$, and $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}; T)$:

- $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\operatorname{grad},T} : \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\operatorname{grad},T}^k \times \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\operatorname{grad},T}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $\underline{q}_T, \underline{r}_T \in \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\operatorname{grad},T}^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{q}_T, \underline{r}_T)_{\operatorname{grad},T} &:= \int_T \mathbf{P}_{\operatorname{grad},T}^{k+1} \underline{q}_T \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\operatorname{grad},T}^{k+1} \underline{r}_T + \int_T \delta_{\operatorname{grad},T}^{k-1} \underline{q}_T \cdot \delta_{\operatorname{grad},T}^{k-1} \underline{r}_T \\ &\quad + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} h_T \int_F \delta_{\operatorname{grad},F}^{k-1} \underline{q}_T \cdot \delta_{\operatorname{grad},F}^{k-1} \underline{r}_T + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_T} h_T^2 \int_E \delta_{\operatorname{grad},E}^{k+1} \underline{q}_T \cdot \delta_{\operatorname{grad},E}^{k+1} \underline{r}_T, \end{aligned} \quad (4.48)$$

where h_T is the diameter of T and we have set, for any $\underline{q}_T \in \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\operatorname{grad},T}^k$,

$$(\delta_{\operatorname{grad},T}^{k-1} \underline{q}_T, (\delta_{\operatorname{grad},F}^{k-1} \underline{q}_T)_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T}, (\delta_{\operatorname{grad},E}^{k+1} \underline{q}_T)_{E \in \mathcal{E}_T}) := \underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\operatorname{grad},T}^k(\mathbf{P}_{\operatorname{grad},T}^{k+1} \underline{q}_T) - \underline{q}_T.$$

- $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\operatorname{curl},T} : \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\operatorname{curl},T}^k \times \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\operatorname{curl},T}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T, \underline{\mathbf{w}}_T \in \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\operatorname{curl},T}^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T, \underline{\mathbf{w}}_T)_{\operatorname{curl},T} &:= \int_T \mathbf{P}_{\operatorname{curl},T}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\operatorname{curl},T}^k \underline{\mathbf{w}}_T \\ &\quad + \int_T \delta_{\operatorname{curl},T}^{k-1} \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \cdot \delta_{\operatorname{curl},T}^{k-1} \underline{\mathbf{w}}_T + \int_T \delta_{\operatorname{curl},T}^{\perp,k} \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \cdot \delta_{\operatorname{curl},T}^{\perp,k} \underline{\mathbf{w}}_T \\ &\quad + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} h_T \int_F \left(\delta_{\operatorname{curl},F}^{k-1} \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \cdot \delta_{\operatorname{curl},F}^{k-1} \underline{\mathbf{w}}_T + \delta_{\operatorname{curl},F}^{\perp,k} \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \cdot \delta_{\operatorname{curl},F}^{\perp,k} \underline{\mathbf{w}}_T \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_T} h_T^2 \int_E \delta_{\operatorname{curl},E}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \cdot \delta_{\operatorname{curl},E}^k \underline{\mathbf{w}}_T, \end{aligned} \quad (4.49)$$

where we have set, for all $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \in \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\operatorname{curl},T}^k$, with obvious notations,

$$(\delta_{\operatorname{curl},T}^{k-1} \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T + \delta_{\operatorname{curl},T}^{\perp,k} \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T, (\delta_{\operatorname{curl},F}^{k-1} \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T + \delta_{\operatorname{curl},F}^{\perp,k} \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T)_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T}, (\delta_{\operatorname{curl},E}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T)_{E \in \mathcal{E}_T}) := \underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\operatorname{curl},T}^k(\mathbf{P}_{\operatorname{curl},T}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T) - \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T.$$

- $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\operatorname{div},T} : \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\operatorname{div},T}^k \times \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\operatorname{div},T}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T, \underline{\mathbf{w}}_T \in \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\operatorname{div},T}^k$,

$$(\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T, \underline{\mathbf{w}}_T)_{\operatorname{div},T} := \int_T \mathbf{P}_{\operatorname{div},T}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\operatorname{div},T}^k \underline{\mathbf{w}}_T + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_T} h_T \int_F \delta_{\operatorname{div},F}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \cdot \delta_{\operatorname{div},F}^k \underline{\mathbf{w}}_T, \quad (4.50)$$

where we have set, for all $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \in \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\operatorname{div},T}^k$,

$$\delta_{\operatorname{div},F}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T := \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathcal{P},F}^k(\mathbf{P}_{\operatorname{div},T}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \cdot \mathbf{n}_F) - \mathbf{v}_F \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_T.$$

Remark 27 (Discrete L^2 -product in $\underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\text{div},T}^k$). Also for $\underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\text{div},T}^k$ it is possible to define a discrete L^2 -product where all the components of $\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{div},T}^k(\mathbf{P}_{\text{div},T}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T) - \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T$ are penalised. It turns out, however, that penalising the volume differences is not required to prove definiteness; cf. the proof of Lemma 28 below.

Lemma 28 (Discrete L^2 -products). *The bilinear forms $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\bullet,T}$, with $\bullet \in \{\mathbf{grad}, \mathbf{curl}, \text{div}\}$, are positive definite. Additionally, they satisfy the following consistency properties:*

$$(\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{grad},T}^k q, \underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{grad},T}^k r)_{\mathbf{grad},T} = (q, r)_{L^2(T)} \quad \forall q, r \in \mathcal{P}^{k+1}(T), \quad (4.51)$$

$$(\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{curl},T}^k \mathbf{v}, \underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{curl},T}^k \mathbf{w})_{\mathbf{curl},T} = (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})_{L^2(T)^3} \quad \forall \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)^3, \quad (4.52)$$

$$(\underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{div},T}^k \mathbf{v}, \underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\text{div},T}^k \mathbf{w})_{\text{div},T} = (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})_{L^2(T)^3} \quad \forall \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{P}^k(T)^3. \quad (4.53)$$

Proof. Let us first prove the positive definiteness of the bilinear forms $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\bullet,T}$. By inspection, they are positive semi-definite, and it only remains to prove that they are definite.

Consider first the case of $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbf{grad},T}$. Let $\underline{q}_T \in \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{grad},T}^k$ be such that $(\underline{q}_T, \underline{q}_T)_{\mathbf{grad},T} = 0$. Then, obviously from (4.48), we have $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{grad},T}^{k+1} \underline{q}_T = 0$, $\delta_{\mathbf{grad},T}^{k-1} \underline{q}_T = 0$, $\delta_{\mathbf{grad},F}^{k-1} \underline{q}_T = 0$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$ and $\delta_{\mathbf{grad},E}^{k+1} \underline{q}_T = 0$ for all $E \in \mathcal{E}_T$. This gives $\underline{0} = \underline{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{grad},T}^k(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{grad},T}^{k+1} \underline{q}_T) - \underline{q}_T$, and thus $\underline{q}_T = \underline{0}$ as required.

The definiteness of $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbf{curl},T}$ is obtained exactly the same way, so let us turn to $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\text{div},T}$. If $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \in \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\text{div},T}^k$ is such that $(\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T, \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T)_{\text{div},T} = 0$ then $\mathbf{P}_{\text{div},T}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T = \mathbf{0}$ and $\delta_{\text{div},F}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T = 0$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$. This shows that $v_F = \pi_{\mathcal{P},F}^k(\mathbf{P}_{\text{div},T}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T \cdot \mathbf{n}_F) - \delta_{\text{div},F}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T = 0$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_T$. Using then (4.46a), we infer that

$$\int_T D_T^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T q_T = 0 \quad \forall q_T \in \mathcal{P}^{0,k}(T).$$

The definition (4.11) of D_T^k together with the fact that $\mathbf{grad} : \mathcal{P}^{0,k}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{k-1}(T)$ is surjective then shows that $\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{G},T} = \pi_{\mathcal{G},T}^{k-1} \mathbf{v}_T = \mathbf{0}$. Since $\mathbf{P}_{\text{div},T}^k \underline{\mathbf{v}}_T = \mathbf{0}$, the relation (4.46b) obviously yields $\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{G},T}^\perp = \mathbf{0}$, which concludes the proof of $\underline{\mathbf{v}}_T = \underline{\mathbf{0}}$.

The consistency properties (4.51)–(4.53) follow easily from the consistency properties (4.43), (4.45) and (4.47) of the potential reconstructions. \square

Acknowledgements

D. A. Di Pietro acknowledges the partial support of *Agence Nationale de la Recherche* (grants ANR-15-CE40-0005 and ANR-17-CE23-0019). J. Droniou was partially supported by the Australian Government through the *Australian Research Council's* Discovery Projects funding scheme (project number DP170100605). F. Rapetti warmly thanks the CASTOR team at INRIA Sophia-Antipolis for the delegation in 2019, during which this work was completed.

References

- [1] A. Alonso-Rodriguez and F. Rapetti. “Numerical Mathematics and Advanced Applications. ENUMATH 2017”. In: ed. by F. A. Radu, K. Kumar, I. Berre, J. M. Nordbotten, and I. S. Pop. Vol. 126. Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering. Springer, 2018. Chap. The discrete relations between fields and potentials with high order Whitney forms.
- [2] D. Arnold. *Finite Element Exterior Calculus*. SIAM, 2018. ISBN: 978-1-611975-53-6. DOI: 10.1137/1.9781611975543.
- [3] D. N. Arnold, R. S. Falk, and R. Winther. “Finite element exterior calculus, homological techniques, and applications”. In: *Acta Numer.* 15 (2006), pp. 1–155. DOI: 10.1017/S0962492906210018.
- [4] L. Beirão da Veiga, F. Brezzi, A. Cangiani, G. Manzini, L. D. Marini, and A. Russo. “Basic principles of virtual element methods”. In: *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. (M3AS)* 199.23 (2013), pp. 199–214. DOI: 10.1142/S0218202512500492.

- [5] L. Beirão Da Veiga, F. Brezzi, F. Dassi, L. D. Marini, and A. Russo. “Serendipity virtual elements for general elliptic equations in three dimensions”. In: *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B* 39.2 (2018), pp. 315–334. doi: 10.1007/s11401-018-1066-4.
- [6] L. Beirão da Veiga, F. Brezzi, F. Dassi, L. D. Marini, and A. Russo. “A family of three-dimensional virtual elements with applications to magnetostatics”. In: *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 56.5 (2018), pp. 2940–2962. doi: 10.1137/18M1169886.
- [7] L. Beirão da Veiga, F. Brezzi, F. Dassi, L. D. Marini, and A. Russo. “Lowest order virtual element approximation of magnetostatic problems”. In: *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.* 332 (2018), pp. 343–362. doi: 10.1016/j.cma.2017.12.028.
- [8] L. Beirão da Veiga, F. Brezzi, L. D. Marini, and A. Russo. “ $H(\text{div})$ and $H(\text{curl})$ -conforming VEM”. In: *Numer. Math.* 133 (2016), pp. 303–332. doi: 10.1007/s00211-015-0746-1.
- [9] L. Beirão da Veiga, K. Lipnikov, and G. Manzini. *The mimetic finite difference method for elliptic problems*. Vol. 11. MS&A. Modeling, Simulation and Applications. Springer, Cham, 2014, pp. xvi+392. ISBN: 978-3-319-02662-6; 978-3-319-02663-3. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-02663-3.
- [10] P. B. Bochev and J. M. Hyman. “Principles of mimetic discretizations of differential operators”. In: *Compatible spatial discretizations*. Vol. 142. IMA Vol. Math. Appl. Springer, New York, 2006, pp. 89–119. doi: 10.1007/0-387-38034-5_5.
- [11] M. Bonazzoli and F. Rapetti. “High-order finite elements in numerical electromagnetism: degrees of freedom and generators in duality”. In: *Numer. Algorithms* 74.1 (2017), pp. 111–136. doi: 10.1007/s11075-016-0141-8.
- [12] J. Bonelle, D. A. Di Pietro, and A. Ern. “Low-order reconstruction operators on polyhedral meshes: Application to Compatible Discrete Operator schemes”. In: *Computer Aided Geometric Design* 35–36 (2015), pp. 27–41. doi: 10.1016/j.cagd.2015.03.015.
- [13] J. Bonelle and A. Ern. “Analysis of compatible discrete operator schemes for elliptic problems on polyhedral meshes”. In: *ESAIM: Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* 48 (2014), pp. 553–581. doi: 10.1051/m2an/2013104.
- [14] A. Bossavit. “Generalized Finite Differences in computational electromagnetics”. In: *Progress In Electromagnetics Research* 32 (2001), pp. 45–64. doi: 10.2528/PIER00080102.
- [15] A. Bossavit. “Generating Whitney forms of polynomial degree one and higher”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics* 38 (2002), pp. 341–344. doi: 10.1109/20.996092.
- [16] A. Bossavit. “On the geometry of electromagnetism”. In: *J. Japan Soc. Appl. Electromagn. & Mech.* 6 (1998), 17–28 (no 1), 114–23 (no 2), 233–40(no 3), 318–26 (no 4).
- [17] A. Bossavit. “Whitney forms: a class of Finite Elements for three-dimensional computation in electromagnetism”. In: *IEEE Proceedings A* 135 (1988), pp. 493–500.
- [18] F. Brezzi, A. Buffa, and K. Lipnikov. “Mimetic finite differences for elliptic problems”. In: *M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* 43.2 (2009), pp. 277–295. doi: 10.1051/m2an:2008046.
- [19] F. Brezzi, K. Lipnikov, and M. Shashkov. “Convergence of the mimetic finite difference method for diffusion problems on polyhedral meshes”. In: *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 43.5 (2005), pp. 1872–1896. doi: 10.1137/040613950.
- [20] F. Brezzi and D. Marini. Private communication. Oct. 2019.
- [21] W. Chen and Y. Wang. “Minimal degree $H(\text{curl})$ and $H(\text{div})$ conforming finite elements on polytopal meshes”. In: *Math. Comp.* 86.307 (2017), pp. 2053–2087. doi: 10.1090/mcom/3152.
- [22] S. H. Christiansen and F. Rapetti. “On high order finite element spaces of differential forms”. In: *Math. Comp.* 85.298 (2016), pp. 517–548. doi: 10.1090/mcom/2995.
- [23] L. Codecasa, R. Specogna, and F. Trevisan. “A new set of basis functions for the discrete geometric approach”. In: *J. Comput. Phys.* 19.299 (2010), pp. 7401–7410. doi: 10.1016/j.jcp.2010.06.023.
- [24] L. Codecasa, R. Specogna, and F. Trevisan. “Base functions and discrete constitutive relations for staggered polyhedral grids”. In: *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.* 198.9-12 (2009), pp. 1117–1123. doi: 10.1016/j.cma.2008.11.021.
- [25] L. Codecasa, R. Specogna, and F. Trevisan. “Symmetric positive-definite constitutive matrices for discrete eddy-current problems”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics* 43 (2 2007), pp. 510–515. doi: 10.1109/TMAG.2006.887065.
- [26] M. Desbrun, E. Kanso, and Y. Tong. “Discrete differential forms for computational modeling”. In: *Discrete differential geometry*. Vol. 38. Oberwolfach Semin. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2008, pp. 287–324. doi: 10.1007/978-3-7643-8621-4_16.
- [27] D. A. Di Pietro and J. Droniou. “ W^s-P -approximation properties of elliptic projectors on polynomial spaces, with application to the error analysis of a Hybrid High-Order discretisation of Leray–Lions problems”. In: *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 27.5 (2017), pp. 879–908. doi: 10.1142/S0218202517500191.
- [28] D. A. Di Pietro and J. Droniou. “A Hybrid High-Order method for Leray–Lions elliptic equations on general meshes”. In: *Math. Comp.* 86.307 (2017), pp. 2159–2191. doi: 10.1090/mcom/3180.

- [29] D. A. Di Pietro and J. Droniou. *The Hybrid High-Order Method for Polytopal Meshes. Design, Analysis and Applications*. Modeling, Simulation and Applications. To appear. Springer Publishing International, 2020. URL: <http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02151813>.
- [30] D. A. Di Pietro and A. Ern. “A hybrid high-order locking-free method for linear elasticity on general meshes”. In: *Comput. Meth. Appl. Mech. Engrg.* 283 (2015), pp. 1–21. DOI: 10.1016/j.cma.2014.09.009.
- [31] D. A. Di Pietro and A. Ern. “Arbitrary-order mixed methods for heterogeneous anisotropic diffusion on general meshes”. In: *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* 37.1 (2017), pp. 40–63. DOI: 10.1093/imanum/drw003.
- [32] D. A. Di Pietro, A. Ern, and S. Lemaire. “An arbitrary-order and compact-stencil discretization of diffusion on general meshes based on local reconstruction operators”. In: *Comput. Meth. Appl. Math.* 14.4 (2014), pp. 461–472. DOI: 10.1515/cmam-2014-0018.
- [33] J. Dodziuk. “Finite-difference approach to the Hodge theory of harmonic forms”. In: *Amer. J. Math.* 98.1 (1976), pp. 79–104. DOI: 10.2307/2373615.
- [34] J. Droniou and R. Eymard. “A mixed finite volume scheme for anisotropic diffusion problems on any grid”. In: *Numer. Math.* 105 (2006), pp. 35–71. DOI: 10.1007/s00211-006-0034-1.
- [35] J. Droniou, R. Eymard, T. Gallouët, and R. Herbin. “A unified approach to mimetic finite difference, hybrid finite volume and mixed finite volume methods”. In: *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. (M3AS)* 20.2 (2010), pp. 1–31. DOI: 10.1142/S0218202510004222.
- [36] R. Eymard, T. Gallouët, and R. Herbin. “Discretization of heterogeneous and anisotropic diffusion problems on general nonconforming meshes. SUSHI: a scheme using stabilization and hybrid interfaces”. In: *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* 30.4 (2010), pp. 1009–1043. DOI: 10.1093/imanum/drn084.
- [37] T. Frankel. *The geometry of physics*. An introduction. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997, pp. xxii+654. ISBN: 0-521-38334-X.
- [38] M. Gerritsma. “Edge functions for spectral element methods”. In: *Spectral and high order methods for partial differential equations*. Vol. 76. Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. Eng. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 199–207. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-15337-2_17.
- [39] A. Gillette, A. Rand, and C. Bajaj. “Construction of scalar and vector finite element families on polygonal and polyhedral meshes”. In: *Comput. Methods Appl. Math.* 16.4 (2016), pp. 667–683. DOI: 10.1515/cmam-2016-0019.
- [40] Y. Kuznetsov, K. Lipnikov, and M. Shashkov. “Mimetic finite difference method on polygonal meshes for diffusion-type problems”. In: *Comput. Geosci.* 8 (2004), pp. 301–324. DOI: 10.1007/s10596-004-3771-1.
- [41] K. Lipnikov, M. Shashkov, and D. Svyatskiy. “The mimetic finite difference discretization of diffusion problem on unstructured polyhedral meshes”. In: *J. Comput. Phys.* 211.2 (2006), pp. 473–491. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcp.2005.05.028.
- [42] C. Mattiussi. “An analysis of finite volume, finite element, and finite difference methods using some concepts from algebraic topology”. In: *J. Comput. Phys.* 133.2 (1997), pp. 289–309. DOI: 10.1006/jcph.1997.5656.
- [43] J.-C. Nédélec. “Mixed finite elements in \mathbf{R}^3 ”. In: *Numer. Math.* 35.3 (1980), pp. 315–341. DOI: 10.1007/BF01396415.
- [44] F. Rapetti and A. Bossavit. “Whitney forms of higher degree”. In: *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 47.3 (2009), pp. 2369–2386. DOI: 10.1137/070705489.
- [45] P. A. Raviart and J. M. Thomas. “A mixed finite element method for 2nd order elliptic problems”. In: *Mathematical Aspects of the Finite Element Method*. Ed. by I. Galligani and E. Magenes. New York: Springer, 1977.
- [46] F. Teixeira. “Differential Forms in Lattice Field Theories: An Overview”. In: *ISRN Mathematical Physics* (2013), p. 16.
- [47] E. Tonti. *On the formal structure of physical theories*. Istituto di Matematica del Politecnico di Milano, 1975.
- [48] E. Tonti. *The mathematical structure of classical and relativistic physics*. Modeling and Simulation in Science, Engineering and Technology. A general classification diagram. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2013. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4614-7422-7.
- [49] E. Tonti. “The reason for analogies between physical theories”. In: *Applied Mathematical Modelling* 1 (1975), pp. 37–50.
- [50] A. Weil. “Sur les théorèmes de de Rham”. In: *Comment. Math. Helv.* 26 (1952), pp. 119–145. DOI: 10.1007/BF02564296.
- [51] H. Whitney. *Geometric integration theory*. Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University Press, 1957.
- [52] K. Yee. “Numerical solution of initial boundary value problems involving Maxwell’s equations in isotropic media”. In: *IEEE Transaction AP-14* (1966), pp. 302–307. DOI: 10.1109/TAP.1966.1138693.