



HAL
open science

Jet-cooled rovibrational spectroscopy of methoxyphenols using two complementary FTIR and QCL based spectrometers

Pierre Asselin, Jonas Bruckhuisen, Anthony Roucou, Manuel Goubet, Marie-Aline Martin-Drumel, A Jabri, Y Belkhodja, Pascale Soulard, Robert Georges, Arnaud Cuisset

► To cite this version:

Pierre Asselin, Jonas Bruckhuisen, Anthony Roucou, Manuel Goubet, Marie-Aline Martin-Drumel, et al.. Jet-cooled rovibrational spectroscopy of methoxyphenols using two complementary FTIR and QCL based spectrometers. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 2019, 151 (19), pp.194302. 10.1063/1.5128196 . hal-02356097

HAL Id: hal-02356097

<https://hal.science/hal-02356097v1>

Submitted on 8 Nov 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Jet-cooled rovibrational spectroscopy of methoxyphenols using two complementary FTIR and QCL based spectrometers

P. ASSELIN¹, J. BRUCKHUISEN¹, A. ROUCOU^{2,*}, M. GOUBET³, M.-A. MARTIN-DRUMEL⁴, A. JABRI^{1,2,**}, Y. BELKHODJA¹, P. SOULARD¹, R. GEORGES⁵, AND A. CUISSET²

¹Laboratoire MONARIS, UMR-CNRS 8233, Sciences Sorbonne université, Paris, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 75252, Paris, France.

²Laboratoire de Physico-Chimie de l'Atmosphère, EA-4493, Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale, 59140 Dunkerque, France.

* Present address: Faculté des sciences, Institute of Condensed Matter and Nanosciences, Louvain la Neuve, Belgium

³Université de Lille, CNRS, UMR8523 PhLAM Physique des Lasers Atomes et Molécules, F-59000 Lille, France

⁴Institut des Sciences Moléculaires d'Orsay (ISMO), CNRS, Univ. Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91405 Orsay, France

** Present address: Grupo de Espectroscopia Molecular, Universidad de Valladolid, Spain

⁵Université de Rennes, CNRS, IPR (Institut de Physique de Rennes) - UMR 6251, F-35000 Rennes, France.

Contact corresponding author(s): arnaud.cuisset@univ-littoral.fr

Final accepted article compiled on November 8, 2019

Published in: *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **tbd**, tbd (2019) <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5128196>

Methoxyphenols (MP) are a significant component of biomass burning emissions which mainly exists in our atmosphere in the gas phase where they contribute to the formation of secondary organic aerosols (SOA). Rovibrational spectroscopy is a promising tool to monitor atmospheric MPs and infer their role in SOAs formation. In this study, we bring a new perspective on the rovibrational analysis of MP isomers by taking advantage of two complementary devices combining jet-cooled environments and absorption spectroscopy: the Jet-AILES and the SPIRALES setups. Based on Q-branches frequency positions measured in the Jet-AILES FTIR spectra and guided by quantum chemistry calculations, we propose an extended vibrational and conformational analysis of the different MP isomers in their fingerprint region. Some modes such as far-IR out-of-plane -OH bending or mid-IR in-plane -CH bending allow to assign individually all the stable conformers. Finally, using the SPIRALES setup with three different EC-QCL sources centered on the 930–990 cm⁻¹ and the 1580–1690 cm⁻¹ ranges, it was possible to proceed to the rovibrational analysis of the ν_{18} ring in-plane bending mode of the MP meta isomer providing a set of reliable excited state parameters which confirms the correct assignment of two conformers. Interestingly, the observation of broad Q-branches without visible P- and R- branches in the region of the C–C ring stretching bands was interpreted as being probably due to a vibrational perturbation. These results highlight the complementarity of broadband FTIR and narrowband laser spectroscopic techniques to reveal the vibrational conformational signatures of atmospheric compounds over a large infrared spectral range.

1. INTRODUCTION

Methoxyphenols (H₃CO–C₆H₄–OH, MP) are aromatic oxygenated compounds present in our atmosphere in their three isomeric forms: 2MP (also called guaiacol), 3MP, and 4MP (also called mequinol), which designate the ortho, meta and para isomers. MPs have a high emission rate from biomass burning and mainly exist in the gas phase. [1, 2] MPs contribute to the secondary organic aerosols (SOA) formation in the at-

mosphere via OH-oxydation processes [3, 4]. The ability to monitor in the atmosphere MPs as SOA precursors is required to fully understand the SOA atmospheric chemical cycle and thus infer their impact on the climate. Compared to lighter volatile organic compounds, it is not currently possible to detect and quantify MPs in the atmosphere by measuring their high resolution rovibrational signatures using on-board instruments such as IASI/MetOp [5] or ACE-FTS[6] sounders.

A key parameter for atmospheric monitoring of molecules is the rovibrational cross-sections that can be determined from rovibrational measurements in the laboratory. For MPs, only vibrational cross-sections have been determined so far. Their measurements were performed using synchrotron-based room-temperature Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) absorption spectroscopy on the AILES beamline at the synchrotron SOLEIL [7]. These cross-sections were determined at low resolution (0.5 cm^{-1}) by integrating the rovibrational patterns but it was never possible to fully resolve the rotational structure even at the maximal resolution of the interferometer (0.001 cm^{-1}) due to the huge density of states populated at ambient temperature. Furthermore, the different conformers of each isomer could not be distinguished. Only millimeter-wave (mmw) spectroscopy allowed to fully resolve Doppler limited rotational structure at 300 K, as demonstrated recently with a full conformational analysis in the ground and in the lowest energy vibrational states of the three MP isomers using mmw absorption and emission spectroscopies [8, 9]. These studies emphasized the congestion of lines in MPs spectra due to rather small rotational constants and the presence of several conformers and pure rotational transitions within the low-lying vibrational excited states. To the best of our knowledge, the only high resolution rovibrational data on MPs have been obtained at low temperature by the group of T. Zwier [10] and the group of M. Schmitt [11, 12] using respectively free-jet fluorescence dip infrared spectroscopy and molecular beam rotationally resolved electronic spectroscopy. While the first group focused on the anharmonic coupling in the C-H stretch region, the second group provides MP conformational analyses in the electronically excited states.

In the present study, we investigate MPs rovibrational spectra at low temperature by taking advantage of two complementary supersonic jet devices associated to two different infrared spectroscopic methods: (i) the Jet-AILES apparatus, coupled to the AILES beamline at synchrotron SOLEIL, which was used for low resolution broadband FTIR scans of the three MP isomers in the fingerprint region ($900\text{--}1700\text{ cm}^{-1}$); and (ii) the SPIRALES setup, with mid-IR external cavity quantum cascade laser (EC-QCL) sources coupled to a pulsed molecular jet. The latter setup allowed to fully resolve the ν_{18} IR band of 3MP centered at 950 cm^{-1} , to fit the rotational constants in this in-plane ring bending mode and to assess the conformational landscape previously determined.[8] Moreover, using a second QCL source centered around 1610 cm^{-1} , strong rovibrational broadenings have been observed for the ν_{36} and ν_{37} modes, highlighting a likely vibrational perturbation. In the following, a brief description of experimental and theoretical methods is presented in a first section. Experimental results will be detailed and discussed in a second section: first, the vibrational/conformational assignment of the broadband Jet-AILES FTIR spectra; then, the high-resolution analyses of the ν_{18} , ν_{36} and ν_{37} bands of 3MP measured by the SPIRALES setup.

2. METHODS

A. Experimental measurements

Commercially available MP isomers with stated purities higher than 98% were purchased from Acros Organics. Further purification was performed by continuous pumping over the samples for about 24 h in order to eliminate methanol traces. Due to the low volatility of MP compounds, liquid 2MP and 3MP (138 and $27\text{ }\mu\text{bar}$ of room temperature vapor pressure [13]) and solid 4MP ($9\text{ }\mu\text{bar}$ [13]) samples were heated in all experiments.

A.1. Jet-AILES setup

Jet-cooled spectra were recorded using the Jet-AILES apparatus, a continuous molecular jet experiment coupled to the high-resolution Bruker IFS 125 FTIR spectrometer installed on the AILES beamline of the SOLEIL synchrotron facility. This setup was previously described in detail[14, 15] thus only relevant parameters to the present study are reported here. A slit nozzle of 60 mm length and $130\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ width is used to expand the sample seeded in a buffer gas into an expansion chamber continuously evacuated by a set of roots pumps. The flow of liquid samples (2MP and 3MP) vapor through the nozzle is regulated using a Controlled Evaporation Mixer (CEM) supplied by regulated flows of argon or helium as buffer gas and liquid MP. 2MP and 3MP samples are respectively heated to 373 K and 413 K . The injection line between the CEM and the slit is also heated at these temperatures to prevent condensation. For solid 4MP, an oven heated up to 438 K is used instead of the CEM. Such heating enabled to reach vapor pressure levels as high as about 10 mbar for each MP, a necessary condition to record their vibrational spectra with a sufficient signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Spectra are recorded with flows of the buffer gas ranging from 5 to 30 standard $\text{l}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ (slm). The reservoir and chamber pressures are typically maintained around 70 mbar and 0.1 mbar , respectively, resulting in a rotational temperature of about 30 K .

High-resolution (0.001 cm^{-1}) tests were performed but the limited sensitivity of the continuous jet-FTIR setup (single pass through the expansion) prevented from a sufficient SNR to be achieved. Consequently, for each sample and each expansion condition, a jet-cooled FT spectrum consisting of 200 co-added interferograms was recorded at 0.1 cm^{-1} resolution. The most suitable combination of infrared source/beamsplitter/detector combination, namely Globar/Mylar/Si bolometer and Globar/KBr-Ge/HgCdTe was used for the far-IR ($150\text{--}650\text{ cm}^{-1}$) and the mid-IR ($1000\text{--}1700\text{ cm}^{-1}$) regions, respectively.

A.2. SPIRALES setup

The SPIRALES spectrometer, which couples an EC-QCL and a pulsed supersonic jet, proved to be an extremely sensitive probe of low temperature rovibrational spectra of heavy polyatomic molecules and molecular complexes[16, 17]. The main characteristics of the instrument are summarized in the following paragraph. The absorption light source is a continuous-wave room-temperature mode-hop-free EC-QCL (Daylight Solutions) of 10 MHz spectral width. In the present study, three EC-QCLs were used which cover the following spectral ranges: $930\text{--}990\text{ cm}^{-1}$ (Model 21106 MHF), $1580\text{--}1640\text{ cm}^{-1}$ (Model 41062-

MHF) and $1620\text{--}1690\text{ cm}^{-1}$ (Model 21060-MHF). About 8% of the total light power was sent through two laser channels for relative and absolute frequency calibrations. Absolute frequency calibration was achieved by comparing the frequency deviation of lines of C_2H_4 (in the $930\text{--}990\text{ cm}^{-1}$ range) and NH_3 and H_2O (in the $1580\text{--}1690\text{ cm}^{-1}$ range) between experimental and well-known standard (from the HITRAN2012 database [18]). This procedure allows to correct the free spectral range value of the reference fixed at the beginning of each experiment. The remaining light is sent through a multi pass astigmatic cavity accorded to a 182-pass pattern which crosses almost perpendicularly the jet expansion. Jet-cooled MP molecules were probed over axial distances between 5 and 15 mm from the nozzle exit due to the relatively large zone covered by the optical cavity. The molecular jet was produced using a pulsed 1 mm diameter pin hole nozzle from General Valve Series 9 model, controlled by a valve driver (Iota One, Parker Hannifin). MP compounds were seeded in the supersonic jet using a brass block fitted to a Dural reservoir filled with 0.5 g of sample. The reservoir located close upstream to the expansion zone was heated up to 420 K to increase the sample vapor pressure which was then carried away by argon. Typical conditions used in this work were about 1 % of MP in 0.15 MPa of Ar. The seeded mixture was then cooled down by converting the circular flow of the standard valve configuration into a planar expansion using a multichannel interface ended with two modified industrial blades, forming a 30 mm length and $150\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ width slit aperture. Guided by calculated anharmonic frequencies and intensities of MP compounds in the fingerprint region, band intensities of ν_{18} , ν_{36} and ν_{37} transitions of 4MP are expected to be weak. As a consequence, only 3MP and 2MP were investigated in the available spectral range of our EC-QCLs. Spectra were recorded using a rapid scan scheme similar to previous designs developed for high resolution molecular spectroscopy [19, 20]. Spectra are recorded by driving the piezo-electric transducer of the EC-QCL diffraction grating with a 100 Hz sine wave. This allows the QCL frequency to be scanned once per period over 0.8 cm^{-1} with a frequency sampling of about 3 MHz. A baseline-free transmittance through the multipass cavity is obtained by taking the ratio of signals recorded in the presence and absence of the jet. The accuracy of the frequency calibration is around 0.0015 cm^{-1} slightly degraded by the Doppler broadening from non orthogonal laser and planar jet crossings in the multi pass optical cavity.

B. Quantum chemical calculations

High level of theory quantum chemical calculations on MPs were performed using the Gaussian 16 package [21] and have already been described elsewhere. [8, 9] Briefly, optimized equilibrium structures and vibrational frequencies were calculated using the B3LYP functional and Dunning correlation consistent basis set cc-pVTZ [22]. This functional/basis set combination has proven to be extremely reliable in the computational study on the molecular conformations of phenolic compounds [23]. Here, the optimization process used the tight SCF convergence criterion and ultrafine grid to provide energies in the fundamental electronic state, rotational constants and dipole moments of

the stable conformers as well as conformational barriers. Anharmonic frequencies, determined with the VPT2 method[24] as implemented in Gaussian 16, have been computed using the same level of theory.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Broadband low resolution Jet-AILES spectra

A.1. Determination of optimum flow conditions

The 3MP isomer spectrum has been investigated under various experimental conditions to optimize the SNR of the recorded MPs vibrational spectra. Fig. 1 displays an example of 3MP jet-cooled mid-IR spectra measured for three different mass flow rates of Ar buffer gas. Several Q-branches are distinguishable on all spectra, but these Q-branches became readily observed with a rather good SNR on the 5 slm of Ar spectrum (see Fig. 1a). When using Ar flow rates of 10 and 20 slm, additional bands, absent from the 5 slm spectrum, can be observed (see *e.g.* the band around 1460 cm^{-1} on the inset of Fig. 1). These bands are most likely resulting from argon coating, *i.e.* the formation of $3\text{MP}-(\text{Ar})_n$ complexes, $n \geq 1$ [25, 26]. Q-branches are clearly observed with a rather good SNR owing to an absence of $\text{MP}-(\text{Ar})_n$ hetero complexes at lower dilution of the MP/Ar mixture. Both to ensure a good rotational cooling and to prevent the formation of Ar complexes, flow of 5 slm of Ar appears to be most suitable to investigate the remaining MP compounds.

Far-IR and mid-IR Jet-AILES spectra of 3MP have also been recorded with He as buffer gas in order to select the most adapted carrier gas. In similar experimental conditions, use of Ar gas resulted in higher SNR, thus this carrier was used in subsequent measurements.

A.2. Rovibrational cooling

In Fig. 2, 3MP spectra in jet-cooled conditions are compared with the room-temperature spectra obtained previously [7] to assess the rovibrational cooling in the supersonic expansion. While at first glance the overall contour of the vibrational bands appears mostly similar in both conditions, revealing the probing of thermalized gas within the boundary layer of the expansion, significant rovibrational cooling can be assessed from the presence of narrow Q-branches in the spectra. In the far-IR (see Fig. 2a), these branches are even the only significant contribution that can be distinguished. Moreover, the complex Q-branch structure observed in the room temperature spectrum is strongly reduced in jet-cooled conditions, corresponding to a strong decrease of hot bands intensities.

A.3. Vibrational and conformational analysis

Jet-AILES far-IR and mid-IR spectra of the three MP isomers are displayed in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, respectively. The vibrational spectra in the mid-IR region are of better quality, in terms of SNR, than in the far-IR, as expected from the presence of more intense modes and a higher FT sensitivity (IR source, detector). An enlarged view of the strongest Q-branches associated with the out-of-plane OH bending modes is also presented in Fig. 3c.

As previously demonstrated by the room temperature spectra[7], the far-IR region exhibits very different rovibrational




Fig. 1. Evolution of the 3MP Jet-AILES mid-IR spectra (1000–1700 cm^{-1}) for three different flow rates of Ar: 5 slm (in black, Fig. 1a), 10 slm (in blue, Fig. 1b), and 20 slm (in red, Fig. 1c); from top to bottom. *Inset:* Zoom onto the 1400–1550 cm^{-1} region revealing narrow Q branches at lower dilution and the possible formation of MP-(Ar) $_n$ complexes (as evidenced by the apparition of a band around 1460 cm^{-1}) at higher Ar flow rates.

signatures for 2MP, 3MP and 4MP with a strong dependence of the out-of-plane OH bending modes to the isomeric forms. In addition to the previous room-temperature investigation[7], jet-cooled spectra enable both a determination of band centers at the instrumental accuracy (sharp Q-branches thanks to strongly reduced thermal shift/broadening), 0.1 cm^{-1} in the present study, and the investigation of the conformational landscape of MPs (discrimination of the contribution of each conformer according to jet conditions). The anharmonic predictions (B3LYP/cc-pVTZ) facilitate the band assignment in the mid-IR region while the low-frequency modes in the far-IR region are essentially assigned on the basis of the harmonic predictions (see details hereafter). We base our conformational analysis on the relative frequency difference (using the calculated values) between each conformer of a given MP for a given vibrational mode. The analysis of the spectra of each MP isomer is detailed hereafter. Tables 1–3 report the conformer dependent band center assignments of the three MP compounds.

With only one stable conformer denoted 2MP-C1 stabilized by an intramolecular hydrogen bond [17], 2MP exhibits the most simple far-IR spectrum. In the far-IR, two main signals are observed at 429.9 cm^{-1} and 433.8 cm^{-1} corresponding to the Q-branches of the out-of-plane OH bending modes: in-phase

ν_7 and out-of-phase ν_8 , respectively (with respect to the out-of-plane CH ring deformations). In the mid-IR, 15 signals have been assigned at the instrumental accuracy thanks to the narrow Q-branches observed in jet-cooled conditions (see Table 1).

The 3MP isomer exhibits the richest conformational landscape with four stable conformers at room temperature, namely 3MP-C1 to 3MP-C4 (see Fig. 3a), as evidenced by previous rotationally resolved spectroscopic studies [8, 11]. In the far-IR, the ν_6 out-of-plane OH bending mode has a very strong band intensity ($I_{\text{harm}} > 90 \text{ km/mol}$) compared to the other low-frequency vibrations ($I_{\text{harm}} < 10 \text{ km/mol}$) which are most probably below our detection limit. Therefore, the four signals observed at 318.9 cm^{-1} , 321.1 cm^{-1} , 311.9 cm^{-1} and 292.7 cm^{-1} (see Fig. 3c) can be assigned to the C1, C2, C3 and C4 conformers of 3MP, respectively, according to the relative populations determined in the ground state (GS) [8] and to the calculated harmonic frequencies and intensities (see supplementary materials Tables S2–S5). Due to the nearly same harmonic intensities of four conformers in the case of the ν_6 mode, relative observed intensities should mainly reflect the population distribution in the jet. Comparison with the relative population of 3-MP determined at room temperature in the GS [8], those observed for the ν_6 mode in jet-cooled conditions (Fig. 3c) are quite similar and follow the same rating, suggesting that the barriers are enough high that no interconversion takes place in the collision zone before the expansion. Moreover, although Ar is a seeding gas often used to favor the most stable species, no enhancement of C1 conformer is evidenced, probably due to the low backing pressures (about 100 mbar) of Jet-AILES. In the mid-IR, a conformational assignment of the 40 observed Q-branches is tentatively proposed and summarized in Table 2. The four conformers signatures are individually assigned for some mid-IR vibrational modes such as the ν_{21} in-plane ring bending, ν_{27} CH₃ wagging/in-plane CH bending or ν_{28} C–O symmetric stretching. Nevertheless, due to a strong congestion of the spectrum because of overlapping bands, the full conformational discrimination is delicate for some modes such as the ν_{18} in-plane ring bending, ν_{33} CH₃ scissoring or ν_{35-37} C–C stretchings.

For the 4MP isomer, two stable conformers 4MP-C1 and 4MP-C2 are expected corresponding respectively to the *cis* and the *trans* orientations of the –OCH₃ and –OH groups (see Fig. 3a). Only the most stable C1 conformer (*cis*) was observed by mmw spectroscopy. The C2 conformer (*trans*) has a permanent dipole moment five times weaker than C1 and this is likely why it was not detected.[9] This problem does not arise with the 4MP rovibrational Jet-AILES spectrum, which reveals two Q-branches with similar intensities linked to the strong transition moment of the ν_5 out-of-plane OH bending mode of 4MP-C1 and 4MP-C2 at 269.1 cm^{-1} and 259.9 cm^{-1} , respectively (see Fig. 3c). The 9.2 cm^{-1} separation between the Q-branches of the two conformers is in rather good agreement with the B3LYP/cc-pVTZ harmonic calculations which predict 4MP-C2 red-shifted from 4MP-C1 by 7 cm^{-1} (see Table 3). In the absence of other intense fundamentals in the out-of-plane OH bending mode region, the weaker satellite bands observed on the blue side of the ν_5 band are probably due to hot bands of the type $(n + 1)\nu_5 \leftarrow n\nu_5$ with $n = 0 - 2$. This is the case of




Fig. 2. Comparison of 3MP FT spectra recorded at room temperature[7] (in red) and in supersonic-jet conditions with a 5 slm flow of Ar buffer gas (in black). *Fig. 2a:* Zoom into a portion of the far-IR region (240–340 cm^{-1}); *Fig. 2b:* Zoom onto a portion of the mid-IR region (1400–1550 cm^{-1}). For visibility, the four spectra are normalized to the Q-branch of strongest intensity.

the series observed for 4MP-C2 at 259.92, 260.61 and 261.37 cm^{-1} which displays a diagonal anharmonicity χ_{55} of 0.34(1) cm^{-1} . Weaker Q-branches were also observed at higher frequencies and were assigned to the ν_7 in-plane –CO bending and ν_{10} out-of-plane –CH bending modes of 4MP. For these modes, it was not possible to discriminate the two conformers. In the mid-IR, 14 bands were measured in jet-cooled conditions. The vibrational signatures of the 4MP-C1 and 4MP-C2 conformers are however clearly discriminated for some specific modes such as the ν_{21} O-CH₃ stretching, ν_{27} CH₃ wagging/in-plane CH bending or ν_{35} in-plane CH bending.

On the grounds of 80 previous vibrational FTIR assignments reported in Tables 1, 2 and 3, the average error between observed and calculated anharmonic frequencies could be estimated to about 15.7 % (11.4 % for harmonic calculations) in the far-IR domain and about 0.5 % (2.0 % for harmonic calculations) in the mid-IR domain. Moreover, in the case of a full conformational landscape assignment ($\nu_6, \nu_{21}, \nu_{27}, \nu_{28}$ modes for 3MP and $\nu_5, \nu_{21}, \nu_{27}, \nu_{31}, \nu_{35}$ modes for 4MP), we considered the differences between the highest and lowest calculated frequency of the stable conformers for a given vibrational mode, the RFD, which display far-IR average errors of about 470% with anharmonic calculations (150% for harmonic) with respect to the experimental ones. In the mid-IR, the average errors are about 40% both for harmonic and anharmonic calculations. The reliability of this parameter is crucial to validate the vibrational assignments. We compared systematically the experimental RFD (especially in the case of a full conformational assignment) to the calculated harmonic and anharmonic ones to choose the best type of calculation. The largest average errors evidenced in the far-IR confirm that low order perturbation theory calculations (VPT2) lack of accuracy in the case of low-lying large amplitude motions.[24] In these cases, since the harmonic (quadratic) term is the leading term in the series expansion of the potential,

harmonic frequency values may be preferred. On the contrary, in the mid-IR, anharmonic calculations improve the prediction of the MP vibrational band centers and facilitate the conformational assignment.

B. High resolution SPIRALES spectra

Two types of vibrations of the MP compounds are accessible within the spectral range of the available SPIRALES EC-QCLs: the in-plane ring bending mode (ν_{18}) and the C–C ring stretching modes (ν_{36}, ν_{37}) in the 930–960 cm^{-1} and 1580–1640 cm^{-1} regions, respectively. The Q-branches identified in the Jet-AILES spectra enable to evaluate more precisely which MPs rovibrational signatures can be reached with the SPIRALES setup. As can be seen in Fig.4b, only the 3MP isomer displays intense bands likely to be rotationally resolved in these regions. We thus present hereafter the results obtained from jet-cooled QCL spectroscopy of 3MP.

B.1. Rovibrational analysis of the ν_{18} band of 3MP

In the spectrum recorded by the SPIRALES setup around 950 cm^{-1} , the rotational structure in the ν_{18} band of 3MP is indeed resolved: two Q-branches spaced by 0.07 cm^{-1} (Fig. 5b) are clearly observed, which suggests the presence of two conformers of 3MP. The bands are assigned to the ν_{18} band of 3MP-C1 and 3MP-C4 on grounds of anharmonic calculations which predict these conformers bands very close in frequency, and about 20 cm^{-1} higher in frequency than 3MP-C2 and 3MP-C3. Two weaker bands appear red shifted by 0.45 cm^{-1} from the two fundamental Q-branches. These weaker features were already observed, although unresolved, on the Jet-AILES spectrum (see Fig. 5a). The intensity ratio between these features and the assigned fundamental Q-branch of 3MP-C1 is 0.34, while it is 0.2 in the SPIRALES spectrum, a ratio 1.7 times lower than that of Jet-AILES spectrum. Such findings are in agreement




Fig. 3. Stable conformers and far-IR Jet-AILES spectra of the three MP isomers (2MP, 3MP, and 4MP, from top to bottom). *Fig. 3a:* Optimized structures of the identified stable conformers obtained from calculations at the B3LYP/cc-pVTZ level of theory and labeled in accordance with Ref. [8, 9]; *Fig. 3b:* Full Jet-AILES far-IR absorbance spectra measured using 5 slm of Ar buffer gas; *Fig. 3c:* zoom on the strongest Q-branches associated with the *c*-type out-of-plane –OH bending modes (identified by a black rectangle on the full-range spectra).

with hot band features which are expected to be weaker under the pulsed expansion conditions of SPIRALES (more efficient vibrational cooling at a higher backing pressure) leading to a lower temperature of the pulsed jet compared to the continuous expansion of Jet-AILES. In the following paragraphs, we will define the *R* ratio by:

$$R = \frac{(I_{UB}/I_{FB})_{\text{Jet-AILES}}}{(I_{UB}/I_{FB})_{\text{SPIRALES}}} \quad (1)$$

where UB and FB refer to the undefined band and the fundamental band, respectively. If $R < 1$, an undefined band observed in the SPIRALES spectrum is more intense than in the Jet-AILES spectrum, relative to the fundamental band, and is thus unlikely to be a hot band.

Using as initial set of parameters the $\nu_{18} = 1$ scaled rotational constants from anharmonic B3LYP/cc-pVTZ predictions and GS experimental constants [8], the rovibrational spectrum of the ν_{18} band of both 3MP-C1 and 3MP-C4 conformers has been

assigned using the PGOPHER [27] and LWWa [28] programs. A total of 766 3MP-C1 and 179 3MP-C4 rovibrational transitions corresponding respectively to 152 and 55 different frequencies have been assigned (see supplementary materials Tables S9-S10 for the list of assigned transitions). The difference between the number of assigned transitions and the number of different frequencies is due to unresolved asymmetric splitting, overlap of *a*- and *b*-type transitions, and K_a clustering. Spectroscopic parameters were derived from a fit performed using the Pickett suite of programs [29] using a Watson-type semi-rigid rotor model (*A*-reduction in the I' representation) developed up to quartic centrifugal distortion (CD) constants (Table 4). All CD terms in $\nu_{18} = 1$ except for Δ_J were kept fixed at the GS values. Pure rotational transitions from Ref. [8] and rovibrational transitions in the ν_{18} band from this work were included in the fit. Since multiple transitions are assigned to the same frequency, each line has been weighted according to its expected intensity at 30 K. A unique uncertainty on frequency of 0.0015

Table 1. Experimental (ν_{exp}) and calculated (ν_{harm} and ν_{anharm}) values at the B3LYP/cc-pVTZ level of the vibrational bands centers of 2MP with the vibrational assignments. All frequencies were measured from the Q-branches observed on the Jet-AILES spectra.

	ν_{exp}	Mode	Symmetry	Conformer	ν_{harm}	ν_{anharm}	Description
2MP	429.9	ν_7	A''	C1	446.9	313.0	-OH/-CH in-phase out-of-plane bending
	433.8	ν_8	A''	C1	472.2	573.6	-OH/-CH out-of-phase out-of-plane bending
	911.1	ν_{18}	A''	C1	931.8	921.8	CH out-of-plane bending
	1053.5	ν_{21}	A'	C1	1068.1	1045.3	in-plane ring bending
	1113.7	ν_{22}	A'	C1	1133.9	1113.4	-CH in-plane bending
	1181.5	ν_{25}	A''	C1	1199.0	1170.2	-CH in-plane bending / -CH ₃ wagging
	1212.6	ν_{26}	A'	C1	1231.0	1209.1	-OH/-OCH in-plane bending
	1230.9	ν_{27}	A'	C1	1252.1	1219.1	C-O stretching
	1265.1	ν_{28}	A'	C1	1288.6	1256.8	C-O stretching
	1308.0	ν_{29}	A'	C1	1335.0	1307.0	-CH in-plane bending
	1461.3	ν_{31}	A'	C1	1481.7	1444.3	-CH ₃ scissoring/-CH in-plane bending
	1471.4	ν_{32}	A''	C1	1492.9	1448.5	-CH ₃ scissoring
	1477.4	ν_{33}	A'	C1	1503.6	1464.2	-CH in-plane bending
	1478.6	ν_{34}	A''	C1	1511.1	1475.2	-CH ₃ scissoring
	1508.4	ν_{35}	A'	C1	1539.1	1501.2	-CH in-plane bending
	1611.0	ν_{36}	A'	C1	1637.4	1597.7	C-C stretching
	1616.7	ν_{37}	A'	C1	1653.0	1609.7	C-C stretching

cm^{-1} was used for all infrared lines. For both conformers, the derived GS spectroscopic parameters are identical to those previously reported,[8] a likely reflection of the higher resolution, higher range of covered quantum numbers, and higher number of observed transitions in that study. In $\nu_{18} = 1$, the derived rotational constants are in excellent agreement with the predicted, scaled, values (to better than 1%), a confirmation of the correct assignment of the 3MP-C1 and 3MP-C4 conformers. With a reduced standard deviation 0.95 and 1.09 for 3MP-C1 and 3MP-C4, respectively, all the assigned lines are reproduced at the experimental accuracy.

The Jet-AILES and SPIRALES experimental spectra are compared to a PgoPher simulation (see Fig. 5c) based on the adjusted molecular parameters using a rotational temperature of 30 K and a full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the rotational transitions of 0.004 cm^{-1} , two parameters found to nicely reproduce the line profiles. However the relative intensity ratio of the C1 and C4 conformers differ from what should be expected from natural abundances previously determined [8] and predicted band intensities from the B3LYP/cc-pVTZ harmonic calculation: when taking into account both these parameters (45% and 8% population and $I = 73$ and 35 km/mol for 3MP-C1 and 3MP-C4, respectively), the 3MP-C4 Q-branch intensity is underestimated in the simulation compared to what is observed in the experiment. Instead, a reasonable simulation is obtained by using the same IR activities for the ν_{18} band of the two conformers (thus only taking into account the relative population).

From this analysis, we can confirm that the DFT calculations provide a reliable base for conformational assignment by frequency differences: the predicted harmonic and anharmonic frequencies were in reasonable agreement with the experiment and the frequency difference for a given mode between stable conformers provided accurate grounds for their experimental identification (see Fig. S1). The calculated intensities, both harmonic and anharmonic, however, appear less useful to guide

conformational assignment.

B.2. Vibrational analysis of the ν_{36} and ν_{37} modes of 3MP

Fig. 6 displays SPIRALES measurements of 3MP recorded in the $1600\text{--}1635 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ range near the Q-branches identified on the Jet-AILES spectrum. Due to the higher resolution and sensitivity of the SPIRALES setup, narrower Q-branches ($\Delta\nu_{FWHM} = 0.15 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) with better SNR are observed allowing to refine conformational assignments, particularly in the case of the ν_{37} band. While for ν_{18} mode, the harmonic and anharmonic predictions were consistent in terms of conformer frequency order, and the main change was thus in absolute frequency, for ν_{36} and ν_{37} the frequency difference between conformers is significantly different between the calculations (see Fig. S2). Interestingly, the best qualitative agreement is obtained using the harmonic frequencies which will thus serve as a basis for the following assignments.

In the ν_{36} band region (Fig. 6a), the broad Q-branch observed in the Jet-AILES spectrum is partially resolved in the SPIRALES one with two bands at 1602.63 and 1602.80 cm^{-1} accompanied by weak satellites on the blue side (Fig. 6). Besides, no other band has been detected in the $1590\text{--}1610 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ range. Based on the expected frequency difference between conformers, we propose to assign these two bands to the 3MP-C2 and 3MP-C4 conformers. The 3MP-C1 and 3MP-C3 conformers expected at lower frequencies remain not observed.

The ν_{37} band region, richer in structures, displays 6 Q-branches (Fig. 6b) whereas only four are expected. The bands appear as three sets of doublets, each composed of a strong band and a weaker satellite on the blue side (at $1624.10/1624.79$, $1629.25/1630.01$, and $1632.07/1632.49 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). Under the hypothesis that the weaker bands could arise from hot bands, we calculated the R ratio (see Eq. 1) for each doublet which takes values of 0.4, 0.7, and 2.4 for the lines at 1624.79 , 1630.01 , and 1632.49 cm^{-1} , respectively. On these grounds, we argue that the band at 1624.79 cm^{-1} can not be a hot band of the

Table 2. Experimental (v_{exp}) and calculated (v_{harm} and v_{anharm}) values at the B3LYP/cc-pVTZ level of the vibrational bands centers of 3MP with the vibrational and conformational assignments. All frequencies were measured from the Q-branches observed on the Jet-AILES spectra except those, where the v_{exp} values are marked with a star, associated with the SPIRALES measurements. In the case $v_{exp,18}$, the fitted vibrational band centers are reported (see Table 4 for more digits).

	v_{exp}	Mode	Symmetry	Conformer	v_{harm}	v_{anharm}	Description
3MP	292.7	ν_6	A''	C4	332.2	299.4	-OH out-of-plane bending
	311.9	ν_6	A''	C3	349.3	345.0	-OH out-of-plane bending
	318.9	ν_6	A''	C1	354.8	377.3	-OH out-of-plane bending
	321.1	ν_6	A''	C2	360.8	359.3	-OH out-of-plane bending
	949.72*	ν_{18}	A'	C1	964.5	946.0	in-plane ring bending
	949.66*	ν_{18}	A'	C4	964.5	946.1	in-plane ring bending
	1053.4	ν_{21}	A'	C1	1065.9	1040.4	in-plane ring bending
	1061.9	ν_{21}	A'	C2	1075.8	1050.4	in-plane ring bending
	1058.3	ν_{21}	A'	C3	1071.2	1046.2	in-plane ring bending
	1055.8	ν_{21}	A'	C4	1068.5	1043.0	in-plane ring bending
	1150.3	ν_{24}	A'	C1	1175.0	1146.9	-CH in-plane bending
	1150.9	ν_{24}	A'	C2	1178.7	1145.7	-CH in-plane bending
	1144.5	ν_{23}	A'	C3	1169.1	1133.4	-CH ₃ rocking
	1153.9	ν_{23}	A''	C4	1172.3	1150.8	-CH ₃ rocking
	1190.9	ν_{25}	A'	C4	1195.9	1182.7	-CH in-plane bending
	1161.3	ν_{25}	A'	C1/C2	1183.3/1192.6	1156.6/1166.1	-CH in-plane bending
	1175.5	ν_{26}	A'	C2	1198.4	1178.1	-CH ₃ wagging -OH in-plane bending
	1176.0	ν_{26}	A'	C1	1206.4	1177.8	-CH ₃ wagging -OH in-plane bending
	1205.1	ν_{27}	A'	C2	1227.9	1203.1	-CH ₃ wagging -CH in-plane bending
	1206.6	ν_{27}	A'	C4	1230.1	1203.2	-CH ₃ wagging -CH in-plane bending
	1217.9	ν_{27}	A'	C1	1236.7	1220.7	-CH ₃ wagging -CH in-plane bending
	1226.0	ν_{27}	A'	C3	1244.1	1231.1	-CH ₃ wagging -CH in-plane bending
	1298.7	ν_{28}	A'	C1	1317.4	1288.4	C-O symmetric stretching
	1296.6	ν_{28}	A'	C2	1320.1	1287.3	C-O symmetric stretching
	1292.3	ν_{28}	A'	C3	1317.4	1283.5	C-O symmetric stretching
	1293.7	ν_{28}	A'	C4	1315.2	1285.0	C-O symmetric stretching
	1306.8	ν_{29}	A'	C1	1337.5	1311.5	-CH in-plane bending
	1304.2	ν_{29}	A'	C3	1335.5	1307.4	-CH in-plane bending
	1330.2	ν_{30}	A'	C1	1365.7	1332.1	-CH in-plane bending
	1331.6	ν_{30}	A'	C3	1361.9	1333.3	-CH in-plane bending
	1439.8	ν_{31}	A'	C1	1474.2	1434.6	-CH ₃ wagging
	1440.0	ν_{31}	A'	C3	1477.0	1439.5	-CH ₃ wagging
	1462.5	ν_{33}/ν_{34}	A'	C2/C4	1496.7/1477.0	1463.8	-CH ₃ scissoring/C-C stretching
	1463.9	ν_{33}	A'	C1/C3	1505.0/1505.9	1499.3/1464.7	-CH ₃ scissoring
	1473.9	ν_{34}	A'	C2	1510.4	1474.2	C-C stretching
	1478.2	ν_{34}	A'	C1	1517.8	1484.6	C-C stretching
	1488.4	ν_{34}	A'	C3	1518.4	1486.2	C-C stretching
	1497.6	ν_{35}	A'	C3/C4	1529.1/1540.8	1499.3/1499.0	C-C stretching
	1498.5	ν_{35}	A'	C1	1529.7	1501.4	C-C stretching
	1506.4	ν_{35}	A'	C2	1540.8	1506.3	C-C stretching
1602.63*	ν_{36}	A'	C2	1640.4	1600.2	C-C stretching	
1602.80*	ν_{36}	A'	C4	1640.5	1606.1	C-C stretching	
1624.10*	ν_{37}	A'	C2	1652.0	1618.7	C-C stretching	
1624.79*	ν_{37}	A'	C4	1652.7	1607.3	C-C stretching	
1629.25*	ν_{37}	A'	C1	1656.7	1619.8	C-C stretching	
1632.07*	ν_{37}	A'	C3	1662.5	1623.7	C-C stretching	

one at 1624.10 cm^{-1} ($R \ll 1$). With an R value significantly above 1, the 1632.49 cm^{-1} band is tentatively assigned to a hot band of the one at 1632.07 cm^{-1} , although the modes involved remain undetermined. The 1630.01 cm^{-1} , however, is more questionable since the R value is relatively close to 1. Because of possible baseline errors in the FTIR spectrum and in the

uncertainty inherent with the R determination, the band could either arise from a hot or a cold (including overtone/combination) band. The harmonic calculation provide solid ground for the spectral assignment, as in the ν_{36} band region (see Fig. S2). The close Q-branches at 1624.10 and 1624.79 cm^{-1} are assigned to 3MP-C2 and 3MP-C4 conformers while the highest frequency

Table 3. Experimental (v_{exp}) and calculated (v_{harm} and v_{anharm}) values at the B3LYP/cc-pVTZ level of the vibrational bands centers of 4MP with the vibrational and conformational assignments. All frequencies were measured from the Q-branches observed on the Jet-AILES spectra.

	v_{exp}	Mode	Symmetry	Conformer	v_{harm}	v_{anharm}	Description
4MP	259.9	ν_5	A''	C2	302.8	301.2	-OH out-of-plane bending
	269.1	ν_5	A''	C1	309.8	370.5	-OH out-of-plane bending
	322.9	ν_7	A'	C1	381.1	378.6	-CO in-plane bending
	515.4	ν_{10}	A''	C1/C2	527.3/526.6	528.1/525.7	-CH out-of-plane bending
	1057.1	ν_{21}	A'	C1	1068.5	1041.4	O-CH ₃ stretching
	1057.4	ν_{21}	A'	C2	1068.7	1042.2	O-CH ₃ stretching
	1097.5	ν_{22}	A'	C1/C2	1124.9/1126.1	1104.7/1103.3	-CH in-plane bending
	1168.2	ν_{24}	A'	C1	1186.7	1150.1	-OH/-CH in-plane bending
	1177.4	ν_{26}	A'	C2	1207.3	1170.6	-CH ₃ wagging -OH in-plane bending
	1241.3	ν_{27}	A'	C1	1264.8	1229.0	-CH ₃ wagging -CH in-plane bending
	1247.2	ν_{27}	A'	C2	1268.7	1237.9	-CH ₃ wagging -CH in-plane bending
	1338.4	ν_{30}	A'	C2	1370.2	1340.2	-CH in-plane bending
	1443.2	ν_{31}	A'	C1	1475.7	1434.1	-CH ₃ wagging -CH in-plane bending
	1440.9	ν_{31}	A'	C2	1472.4	1432.1	-CH ₃ wagging -CH in-plane bending
	1462.9	ν_{33}	A''	C1	1493.7/1493.4	1458.0/1455.4	-CH ₃ twisting
	1474.1	ν_{34}	A'	C1/C2	1509.1/1508.8	1476.4/1477.2	-CH ₃ scissoring
	1517.4	ν_{35}	A'	C1	1449.4	1511.0	-CH in-plane bending
	1516.0	ν_{35}	A'	C2	1449.3	1509.8	-CH in-plane bending

Table 4. Summary of the spectroscopic parameters of 3MP-C1 and 3MP-C4 from a fit of the literature pure rotational GS transitions[8] and rovibrational transitions in the ν_{18} band (this work). Pertinent parameters to the fit (number of fitted transitions, range of observed quantum numbers, rms and standard deviation) are also reported. Owing to the low rotational temperature in the supersonic expansion, most of the quartic centrifugal distortion constants in $\nu_{18} = 1$ were fixed to the GS values as indicated by the brackets. Values are in MHz unless otherwise noted.

Constant	3MP-C1		3MP-C4	
	$\nu = 0$	$\nu_{18} = 1$	$\nu = 0$	$\nu_{18} = 1$
E^a		949.72714(25)		949.65653(48)
A	2840.8815(55)	2840.35(32)	2847.05511(54)	2846.19(39)
B	1303.5274(14)	1300.79(53)	1298.12761(13)	1295.29(11)
C	898.98216(10)	898.120(25)	897.065125(38)	896.464(45)
$\Delta_J \times 10^3$	0.05094(36)	0.155(11)	0.0503425(72)	0.201(20)
$\Delta_{JK} \times 10^3$	-0.0979(24)	[-0.0979]	-0.091482(53)	[-0.091484]
$\Delta_K \times 10^3$	0.402(15)	[0.4026]	0.38344(36)	[0.38337]
$\delta_J \times 10^6$	18.21(18)	[18.210]	17.9048(36)	[17.9047]
$\delta_K \times 10^6$	79.7(11)	[79.71]	81.033(48)	[81.030]
N^b	1776 ^c	766 ^d	3913	179
n^b	482 ^c	152 ^d	1130	55
J'_{max}	131	50	150	45
K'_{max}	22	14	32	14
rms	0.072 ^c	0.0009 ^{a,d}	0.076 ^c	0.0018 ^{a,d}
σ^e		0.95		1.09

^a in cm^{-1}
value[8]

^b Number of lines (N) and number of different frequencies (n) included in the fit
^d Rovibrational value

^c Pure rotation
^e Reduced standard deviation (unitless)

ones at 1629.25 and 1632.07 cm^{-1} are assigned to 3MP-C1 and 3MP-C3 conformers.

B.3. Broad features in the ν_{36} and ν_{37} regions

Interestingly, while the rotational structure was resolved in the ν_{18} band in the SPIRALES spectrum, no sign of such resolution




Fig. 4. Mid-IR Jet-AILES absorbance spectra of the 2MP (Fig.4a), 3MP (Fig.4b) and 4MP (Fig.4c) isomers measured in 5 slm of Ar buffer gas. Blue areas indicates spectral regions reachable using the SPIRALES setup.

is visible for the ν_{36} and ν_{37} modes of 3MP under the same experimental conditions. Furthermore, the Q-branches of these bands appear broader than those of ν_{18} . Further inspection of the Jet-AILES spectrum reveal that these two modes, corresponding to C-C ring stretching, exhibit broader Q-branches than in-plane bending ones close in frequency ($\Delta\nu_{FWHM} = 0.35 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ versus 0.11 cm^{-1}).

A simulation of the expected rovibrational SPIRALES spectra of ν_{36} and ν_{37} , i.e. using the optimum modelization parameters defined for ν_{18} (a rotational temperature of 30 K and a FWHM of 0.004 cm^{-1}) reveals that under the SPIRALES experimental conditions, a rotational resolution of these bands was expected. In this simulation, the experimental GS and scaled upper state constants were used, as the scaling has shown extremely reliable for the analysis of ν_{18} . Additionally, the two bands at 1630.01 and 1632.49 cm^{-1} , although unassigned, were simulated as well using constants from 3MP-C1 in order to reproduce at best the strong rovibrational congestion in this




Fig. 5. Spectrum of the ν_{18} band of 3MP recorded using the Jet-AILES apparatus (Fig. 5a, in black) and the SPIRALES setup (Fig. 5b, in blue) and comparison with a 30 K simulation performed using PGOPHER at a 0.004 cm^{-1} FWHM (Fig. 5c, in orange) using rotational constants of 3MP-C1 and 3MP-C4 conformers determined in this work (see Table 4). In the simulation, the relative abundances of both conformers are taken into account and the intensity of the ν_{18} band of 3MP-C4 is adjusted to 1.4 times that of 3MP-C1 to reflect the experimental spectrum.




Fig. 6. Spectrum of the ν_{36} (Fig. 6a) and ν_{37} (Fig. 6b) bands of 3MP recorded using the Jet-AILES apparatus (top trace, in black) and the SPIRALES setup (middle trace, in blue) and comparison with a 30 K simulation performed using PGOPHER at a 0.15 cm^{-1} FWHM (bottom trace, in orange). GS rotation constants and upper state scaled constants from the DFT calculations were used in the simulation. The relative intensities of each conformer were obtained by taking into account the relative abundances of each conformer and the same band intensity was used for all bands of a given mode.

region. Despite a high density of vibrational bands, P and R rotational features remain clearly distinguishable in the simula-

tion. Furthermore, the simulated Q-branches are narrower than what observed experimentally (see Fig. S3). It is thus likely that another effect is responsible for the spectral broadening, a plausible hypothesis being some vibrational perturbation although the responsible of which are not identified to date. Such perturbation could also explain the failure of anharmonic calculations to reproduce correctly the experimental spectrum, as already observed for the out-of-plane -OH bending mode (see section A) displaying large amplitude motions. It is worth noting that 3MP does not seem to be the only MP molecule affected by such effect, since in the case of the 2MP isomer no Q-branch of $\nu_{36,37}$ C-C ring stretching bands was detected using the Jet-AILES apparatus and these bands remained elusive using the SPIRALES setup. To help understand this effect, further investigation of related species should prove insightful, for instance the dihydroxybenzene ($C_6H_5(OH)_2$) molecules in which the methoxy group of MP is replaced by an hydroxy group, a study that has been undertaken by some of us on the ortho isomer also called catechol[30].

4. CONCLUSIONS

The present study reports a low temperature rovibrational analysis of the three MP isomers using two complementary jet-cooled spectrometers: the Jet-AILES setup for low resolution FT broad-band analysis in the fingerprint region ($100 - 1700 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and the SPIRALES instrument using different EC-QCL sources allowing to measure at high resolution targeted mid-IR bands. With the Jet-AILES apparatus, narrow Q-branches were observed at low dilution using Ar as buffer gas. In the far-IR, Q-branches of the most intense out-of-plane -OH bending modes are unambiguously assigned for all the stable conformers. Moreover, guided by the anharmonic B3LYP/cc-pVTZ frequency calculations performed for each stable conformer, we propose for the three MP isomers corrected assignments and band centers values from narrow Q-branches measured in the FT-mid-IR Jet-AILES spectra. A first EC-QCL source covering the $930\text{--}990 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ range has allowed to fully resolve the rotational structure of the ν_{18} in-plane ring bending bands of the 3MP-C1 and 3MP-C4 conformers. Two other EC-QCL sources covering the $1580\text{--}1690 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ range have been used to probe the C-C ring stretching ν_{36} and ν_{37} bands of 3MP and the associated stable conformers have been assigned. Compared to the ν_{18} analysis, it was not possible to proceed to the rovibrational analysis of the ν_{36} and ν_{37} bands which present broader Q-branches without visible P- and R-branches. The simulation of the expected rovibrational SPIRALES spectra suggests a possible vibrational perturbation responsible for the spectral broadening of the ν_{36} and ν_{37} bands. Nevertheless, the unambiguous conformer assignments obtained in the case of a rather large polyatomic molecule such as 3MP with four conformations significantly populated is a proof of the efficiency of high resolution QCL jet-cooled spectroscopy to analyze the conformational landscape of flexible molecules and to disentangle rovibrational signatures in a dense spectral region. The non-localized low-energy vibrational modes lying in the far-IR region also present a great potential for an efficient conformational discrimination [31] but, compared to the near-IR and UV domains, the available setups in this domain still lack

of sensitivity. In a near future, new generation of QCL far-IR sources [32, 33] will offer real opportunities to probe and resolve at low temperature the lowest energy vibrational bands of individual conformers even for highly flexible molecules characterized by a rich conformational landscape. The conformational analysis is, for these molecules, the first step for a correct determination of the vibrational cross sections. In a second step, the additional difficulty to be overcome will lie in the analysis of the predominant hot bands at higher temperatures.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Three supplementary figures provide comparison of the measured SPIRALES spectra with Pgoopher simulations of ν_{18} and $\nu_{36\text{--}37}$ based on the harmonic and anharmonic B3LYP/cc-pVTZ calculations. All computed fundamental harmonic and anharmonic frequencies, intensities and excited rotational constants are detailed in 7 Tables from S1 to S7, for the stable conformers 2MP-C1, 3MP-C1, 3MP-C2, 3MP-C3, 3MP-C4, 4MP-C1 and 4MP-C2. The scaling of the rotational constants in $\nu_{18} = 1$ is presented in Table S8. The line lists of the assigned rovibrational transitions of ν_{18} for 3MP-C1 and 3MP-C4 with SPIRALES are presented in Table S9 and S10.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The present work and the postdoctoral fellowship of A. Jabri take place in the Labex CaPPA (Chemical and Physical Properties of the Atmosphere) funded by the French National Research Agency (ANR) through the PIA (Programme d'Investissements d'Avenir) under contract ANR-11-LABX-005-01. The PhD of A. Roucou was supported by the Région Hauts-de-France and the French Direction Générale de l'Armement (DGA). The PhD of Y. Belkhdja was supported by the MESRI (Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur de la Recherche et de l'Innovation) within the doctoral school of Chimie Physique et Chimie Analytique de Paris Centre (ED 388). The authors are also grateful for the financial grant received from the Région Hauts-de-France, the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche (CPER Climibio), and the European Fund for Regional Economic Development. The authors are grateful to SOLEIL and the AILES staff for providing synchrotron beam under proposal 20170310. Additional acknowledgements go to the Centre de Ressources Informatiques de Lille 1 (CRI) where the GAUS-SIAN16 calculations were performed.

REFERENCES

1. S. B. Hawthorne, D. J. Miller, R. M. Barkley, and M. S. Krieger. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **22**(10), 1191–1196 (1988).
2. C. D. Simpson, M. Paulsen, R. L. Dills, L.-J. S. Liu, and D. A. Kalman. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **39**(2), 631–637 (2005).
3. C. Liu, J. Liu, Y. Liu, T. Chen, and H. He. *Atmos. Environ.*, **207**, 30–37 (2019).
4. A. Lauraguais, C. Coeur-Tourneur, A. Cassez, K. Deboudt, M. Fourmentin, and M. Choël. *Atmos. Environ.*, **86**, 155–163 (2014).
5. C. Clerbaux, A. Boynard, L. Clarisse, M. George, J. Hadji-Lazaro, H. Herbin, D. Hurtmans, M. Pommier, A. Razavi, S. Turquety, C. Wespes, and P. F. Coheur. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **9**(16), 6041–6054 (2009). doi:{10.5194/acp-9-6041-2009}.

6. P. F. Coheur, H. Herbin, C. Clerbaux, D. Hurtmans, C. Wespes, M. Carleer, S. Turquety, C. P. Rinsland, J. Remedios, D. Hauglustaine, C. D. Boone, and P. F. Bernath. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **7**(20), 5437–5446 (2007). doi: {10.5194/acp-7-5437-2007}.
7. A. Cuisset, C. Coeur, G. Mouret, W. Ahmad, A. Tomas, and O. Pirali. *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer*, **179**, 51–58 (2016).
8. A. Roucou, D. Fontanari, G. Dhont, A. Jabri, C. Bray, F. Hindle, G. Mouret, R. Bocquet, and A. Cuisset. *ChemPhysChem*, **19**(13), 1572–1578 (2018).
9. A. Jabri, D. Fontanari, A. Roucou, C. Bray, F. Hindle, G. Dhont, G. Mouret, R. Bocquet, and A. Cuisset. *J. Chem. Phys.*, **150**(10) (2019). doi: {10.1063/1.5089426}.
10. E. L. Sibert, III, D. P. Tabor, N. M. Kidwell, J. C. Dean, and T. S. Zwier. *J. Phys. Chem. A*, **118**(47), 11272–11281 (2014).
11. J. A. Ruiz-Santoyo, M. Rodríguez-Matus, J. L. Cabellos, J. T. Yi, D. W. Pratt, M. Schmitt, G. Merino, and L. Álvarez-Valtierra. *J. Chem. Phys.*, **143**(9), 094301 (2015).
12. M. Wilke, M. Schneider, J. Wilke, J. A. Ruiz-Santoyo, J. J. Campos-Amador, M. E. González-Medina, L. Álvarez-Valtierra, and M. Schmitt. *J. Mol. Struct.*, **1140**, 59–66 (2017).
13. S. Kim, P. A. Thiessen, E. E. Bolton, J. Chen, G. Fu, A. Gindulyte, L. Han, J. He, S. He, B. A. Shoemaker, J. Wang, B. Yu, J. Zhang, and S. H. Bryant. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **44**(D1), D1202–D1213 (2016). doi: {10.1093/nar/gkv951}.
14. M. Cirtog, P. Asselin, P. Soulard, B. Tremblay, B. Madebene, and M. E. Alikhani. *J. Phys. Chem. A*, **115**(12), 2523–2532 (2011). doi: {10.1021/jp111507z}.
15. P. Asselin, B. Madebene, P. Soulard, R. Georges, M. Goubet, T. R. Huet, O. Pirali, and A. Zehnacker-Rentien. *J. Chem. Phys.*, **145**(22) (2016). doi: {10.1063/1.4972016}.
16. P. Asselin, A. Potapov, A. C. Turner, V. Boudon, L. Bruel, M.-A. Gaveau, and M. Mons. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **19**(26), 17224–17232 (2017). doi: {10.1039/c7cp02529g}.
17. A. Jabri, Y. Belkhodja, Y. Berger, I. Kleiner, and P. Asselin. *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, **349**, 32–36 (2018). doi: {10.1016/j.jms.2018.03.012}.
18. L. S. Rothman, I. E. Gordon, Y. Babikov, A. Barbe, D. C. Benner, P. F. Bernath, M. Birk, L. Bizzocchi, V. Boudon, L. Brown, A. Campargue, K. Chance, E. Cohen, L. H. Coudert, V. M. Devi, B. Drouin, A. Fayt, J.-M. Flaud, R. Gamache, J. J. Harrison, J.-M. Hartmann, C. Hill, J. T. Hodges, D. Jacquemart, A. Jolly, J. Lamouroux, R. L. Roy, G. Li, D. Long, O. Lyulin, C. J. Mackie, S. T. Massie, S. Mikhailenko, H. Mueller, O. Naumenko, A. V. Nikitin, J. Orphal, V. Perevalov, A. Perrin, E. R. Polovtseva, C. Richard, M. A. H. Smith, E. Starikova, K. Sung, S. Tashkun, J. Tennyson, G. C. Toon, r. Tyuterev, and G. Wagner. *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer*, **130**(SI), 4–50 (2013).
19. M. D. Brookes, C. Xia, J. Tang, J. A. Anstey, B. G. Fulsom, K.-X. A. Yong, J. M. King, and A. McKellar. *Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy*, **60**(14), 3235 – 3242 (2004). doi: 10.1016/j.saa.2003.11.041.
20. X. Liu, Y. Xu, W. Su, Z. and Tam, and L. I. *Appl. Phys. B: Lasers Opt*, **102**, 629–639 (2011). doi: 10.1007/s00340-010-4147-y.
21. M. Frisch, G. Trucks, H. Schlegel, G. Scuseria, M. Robb, J. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, et al. Gaussian 16, revision A.03. *Gaussian Inc., Wallingford CT* (2016).
22. R. A. Kendall, T. H. Dunning Jr, and R. J. Harrison. *J. Chem. Phys.*, **96**(9), 6796–6806 (1992).
23. L. Cesari, L. Canabady-Rochelle, and F. Mutelet. *Struct. Chem.*, **29**(1), 179–194 (2018).
24. V. Barone, M. Biczysko, and J. Bloino. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **16**, 1759–1787 (2014). doi: {10.1039/c3cp53413h}.
25. T. Häber, U. Schmitt, and M. A. Suhm. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **1**, 5573–5582 (1999). doi: 10.1039/A907264K.
26. M. Goubet, P. Soulard, O. Pirali, P. Asselin, F. Réal, S. Gruet, T. R. Huet, P. Roy, and R. Georges. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **17**, 7477–7488 (2015). doi: 10.1039/C4CP05684A.
27. C. Western. *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer*, **186**, 221–242 (2016).
28. W. Lodyga, M. Kreglewski, P. Pracna, and S. Urban. *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, **243**, 182–188 (2007).
29. H. M. Pickett. *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, **148**, 371–377 (1991).
30. M. Gerhards, W. Perl, S. Schumm, U. Henrichs, C. Jacoby, and K. Kleinermanns. *J. Chem. Phys.*, **104**(23), 9362–9375 (1996).
31. A. Cuisset, G. Mouret, O. Pirali, P. Roy, F. Cazier, H. Nouali, and J. Demaison. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **112**(39), 12516–12525 (2008). doi: {10.1021/jp804665h}.
32. M. Bahriz, G. Lollia, A. N. Baranov, and R. Teissier. *Opt. Express*, **23**(2), 1523–1528 (2015).
33. K. Ohtani, M. Beck, M. J. Suess, J. Faist, A. M. Andrews, T. Zederbauer, H. Detz, W. Schrenk, and G. Strasser. *ACS Photonics*, **3**(12), 2280–2284 (2016).